

SHALIKA NEWFORMS FOR $GL(n)$

TAKEO OKAZAKI

ABSTRACT. Let (π, V) be a generic irreducible representation of a general linear group over a p -adic field. Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika gave an open compact subgroup K , so that the subspace V^K consisting of $v \in V$ fixed by K is one-dimensional. If π has a Shalika model Λ , then we call vectors in $\Lambda(V)$ the Shalika forms of π , and those in $\Lambda(V^K)$ the Shalika newforms. In this article, we give a method to determine all values of the Shalika newforms on the mirabolic subgroup in the case where π is supercuspidal. Using this result, we give another Shalika form with nice properties, which is not fixed by K in the case where the character defining the Shalika model is ramified.

CONTENTS

1. Main results	1
2. Preparation	5
3. Pre-Shalika model	6
3.1. Uniqueness of pre-Shalika models	6
3.2. Essential pre-Shalika form	11
4. Whittaker model	23
5. Hecke operators	33
6. Zeta integrals	34
References	40

1. MAIN RESULTS

Let $n = 2m$ be an even integer. Let F be a p -adic field, and S the Shalika subgroup of $G_n = GL_n(F)$ consisting of matrices

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a nontrivial additive character. Let $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a continuous homomorphism. If an irreducible representation π of G_n is realized in a subspace $\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ of the space consisting of continuous functions $J : G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$J(sg) = \chi \circ \det(a)\psi(\mathrm{tr}(a^{-1}b))J(g),$$

then we call $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ a Shalika form of π relevant to χ . Suppose that π is generic with conductor \mathfrak{c}_π and central character ω_π . By the work of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [J-PS-S], there exists a unique $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ up to constant multiples such that

$$\pi(k)J = \omega_\pi(k_{n,n})J$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F55, 11F70 .
Key words and phrases. Newform, Shalika period.

for k lying in the open compact subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(\mathfrak{c}_\pi) &= \Gamma(\mathfrak{c}_\pi) := \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \cap \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1} & \\ & \varpi^{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} \end{bmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1} & \\ & \varpi^{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \{k \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \mid k_{n,1}, \dots, k_{n,n-1} \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{o} indicates the ring of integers of F , $\mathfrak{p} = \varpi\mathfrak{o}$ the prime ideal, and 1_{n-1} the unit matrix of G_{n-1} . We call such a Shalika form, a Shalika newform of π , and denote by J^{new} .

In this article, for supercuspidal representations, we give a method to determine all values of J^{new} on the mirabolic subgroup P_n . By it, we obtain:

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a generic, irreducible, supercuspidal representation of G_n realized in $\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$. Let e be the conductor of χ . Let $P_n(\mathfrak{o}) \subset P_n$ denote the subgroup consisting matrices $p \in P_n$ with entries in \mathfrak{o} . Assume that $\psi(\mathfrak{o}) = \{1\} \neq \psi(\mathfrak{p}^{-1})$. Then we have the followings.*

- i) If $e = 0$, then the support of $J^{new}|_{P_n}$ contains $(S \cap P_n)P_n(\mathfrak{o})$.
- ii) If $e > 0$, then the support of $J^{new}|_{P_n}$ equals the $(S \cap P_n, P_n(\mathfrak{o}))$ -double coset of

$$g_n := \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} \varpi^e & & & 1 \\ & \varpi^{3e} & & \varpi^e \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \varpi^{(n-3)e} \\ & & & \varpi^{(m-2)e} \\ & & & \varpi^{(m-1)e} \\ \hline & & & 1_m \end{array} \right].$$

Also for non-supercuspidal generic representations whose standard L -function equal 1, the same thing holds under the assumption (3.8) (c.f. Proposition 3.11, Theorem. 3.23, 3.26, 4.7).

However, from our aesthetic perspective and various application ones, we think it is not desirable that J^{new} vanishes at 1_n in the case where χ is ramified, contrary to the fact that Whittaker newforms never vanish at 1_n . Further, from some results of representations (τ, V) of algebraic groups, in the case where an L -function $L(s, \tau)$ is defined as a generator of a fractional ideal of the principal ideal domain $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ (q is the cardinality of $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$) spanned by some zeta integrals, and a functional equation between $L(s, \tau)$ and $L(1-s, \tau^\vee)$ for the contragredient τ^\vee holds, we infer that the desirable newform theory should satisfy the followings.

- i) for a suitable open compact subgroup K , and a homomorphism $\Omega : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, the subspace $V_\Omega^K := \{v \in V \mid \tau(k)v = \Omega(k)v\}$ is one-dimensional.
- ii) the open compact subgroup K depends on and the realization V , and the definition of the zeta integral.
- iii) if V consists of functions on G , then $\xi \in V_\Omega^K$ does not vanish at the identity.
- iv) the zeta integral or its ‘suitable’ arrangement of $\xi \in V_\Omega^K$ coincides with $L(s, \tau)$, and that of its suitable conjugate of ξ coincides with the product of $L(s, \tau^\vee)$ and the root number appearing in the functional equation.

By this reason, we construct a Shalika form J_π with the following properties, assuming:

- π is unitary.
- J^{new} does not vanish at 1_n (resp. the above g_n) in the case of $e = 0$ (resp. $e > 0$).
- $\mathfrak{c}_\pi \geq me$.

Put

$$l = \mathfrak{c}_\pi - (m-1)e.$$

Let \mathcal{O}_r denote the ring of $r \times r$ matrices with entries in \mathfrak{o} , and for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}_r(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_{r-1} & \\ & \varpi^s \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}_r \begin{bmatrix} 1_{r-1} & \\ & \varpi^s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{R}_r(s) &= \mathcal{O}_r \cap \mathcal{O}_r(s).\end{aligned}$$

We define the ring

$$R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} = \mathcal{R}_n(l) \cap \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & \varpi^e 1_m \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{O}_n \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & \varpi^e 1_m \end{bmatrix}^{-1},$$

and denote by $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ the units group of $R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$, which is an open compact subgroup of G_n and equals $\Gamma(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ in the case where χ is unramified. Explicitly, $R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$ consists of matrices

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad D \in \mathcal{R}_m(l), \quad A, B, \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^e 1_{m-1} & \\ & \varpi^l \end{bmatrix}^{-1} C \in \mathcal{O}_m.$$

The first property of J_π is that

$$\pi(k)J_\pi = \chi \circ \det(d_k)J_\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$$

where d_k indicates the $m \times m$ block matrix of k in the lower right corner. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$B_{m,i} = \{b \in B_m \cap \mathcal{O}_m \mid \det(b) \in \varpi^i \mathfrak{o}^\times\},$$

where B_m indicates the Borel subgroup of G_m . The second property of J_π is

$$L(s, \pi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})}, \quad c_i = \sum_{b \in B_{m,i}/B_{m,0}} J_\pi \left(\begin{bmatrix} b & \\ & 1_m \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

In particular, $J_\pi(1_n) = 1$. Set

$$(1.2) \quad v_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^l & & \\ & \varpi^e 1_{m-1} & \\ & & w_m \end{bmatrix} \in G_n,$$

where w_m indicates the standard anti-diagonal Weyl element in G_m . Define $J_\pi^* \in \mathbb{S}_{\pi^\vee}(\chi^{-1})$ by

$$J_\pi^*(g) = J_\pi(w_n {}^t g^{-1} v_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}).$$

Let $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)^* = v_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^{-1} \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi) v_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$, which is the units group of the ring $R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^*$ consisting of matrices

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad D \in w_m {}^t \mathcal{R}_m(l) w_m, \\ A, B \in w_m {}^t \mathcal{O}_m(l') w_m, \quad C \in \mathcal{O}_m \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^l & \\ & \varpi^e 1_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $l' = l - e$. From the first property of J_π , it follows that

$$\pi(k)J_\pi^* = \chi \circ \det(d_k)^{-1} J_\pi^*, \quad k \in \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)^*.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$B_{m,k}^e = \{b \in B_m \mid \det(b) \in \varpi^k \mathfrak{o}^\times, b_{11} \in \mathfrak{o}, b_{1j} \in \mathfrak{p}^{-l'}; j > 1, b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i > 1\}.$$

Then,

$$\varepsilon_\pi L(s, \pi^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^* q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})}, \quad c_i^* = \sum_{b \in B_{m,k}^e/B_{m,0}^e} J_\pi^* \left(\begin{bmatrix} b & \\ & 1_m \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

In particular, $J_\pi^*(1_n)$ equals ε_π , the root number of π . So, our J_π and $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ satisfies the above conditions except for i), the one-dimensionality. This problem will be discussed in a future work.

Another motivation of the above construction is a theta lift from G_4 to $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$. It is known that each generic, irreducible, admissible representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ is a theta lift from a generic, irreducible representation π with a Shalika model. In a forthcoming paper, we really construct a Whittaker ‘newform’ of a generic, irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GSp}_4(F)$ using the above J_π , and show that the inequality $\mathfrak{c}_\pi \geq 2e$ holds for any π . We think that this supports the validity of our Shalika form J_π and open compact subgroup $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$.

This article is organized as follows. In sec. 2, we introduce several fundamental terminologies used throughout. In sec. 3, we show the uniqueness of pre-Shalika models, and devote to compute the values of a ‘canonical’ pre-Shalika form, which plays an essentially important role. These notation are defined for representations of the mirabolic subgroups. The method to determine all values of J^{new} on the mirabolic subgroup P_n is also given. In sec. 4, using the results of the previous section, we give a Whittaker model of supercuspidal, generic, irreducible representation π of G_n realized in $\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$. In sec. 5, we prove a proposition of the previous section by a Hecke theory. In sec. 6, we construct J_π , and show that it has the above nice properties.

Notation: Throughout F denotes a p -adic field with ring \mathfrak{o} of integers. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \varpi\mathfrak{o}$ denote the prime ideal of \mathfrak{o} and q the cardinality of the residue field. Let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote a nontrivial additive character, where \mathbb{C}^\times indicates the multiplicative group of the complex number field \mathbb{C} . Let $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote a continuous homomorphism, and e its (order of) conductor. Let $o(x)$ denote the p -adic order of $x \in F$, and $\nu(x)$ the p -adic norm: $\nu(x) = q^{-o(x)}$.

If G is a group, h, g are elements in G and H is a subgroup of G , then we write h^g and H^g for ghg^{-1} and $\{h^g \mid h \in H\}$, respectively. We use the notation for the groups:

$$\begin{aligned} G_r &= \mathrm{GL}_r(F) \\ B_r &= \text{the standard Borel subgroup of } G_r \\ D_r &= \text{the diagonal matrices in } G_r \\ N_r &= \text{the unipotent matrices in } B_r \\ P_r &= \{(p_{ij}) \in G_r \mid p_{rj} = 0 \text{ for } j < r, p_{rr} = 1\} \\ U_r &= \{(u_{ij}) \in N_r \mid u_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ for } j < r\} \\ \bar{U}_r &= \text{the opposite of } U_r \\ K_r &= \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathfrak{o}) \\ \mathfrak{S}_r &= \text{the symmetric group of degree } r. \end{aligned}$$

For a permutation w of the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$, we also denote by w the permutation matrix in G_r defined by

$$w[g_{i,j}]w^{-1} = [g_{w(i),w(j)}],$$

and identify \mathfrak{S}_r a subgroup of G_r naturally. When a positive integer l is clear from the context, let $\acute{*} : G_r \rightarrow G_{r+l}$ denote the embedding

$$g \mapsto \acute{g} = \begin{bmatrix} g & \\ & 1_l \end{bmatrix},$$

and for a function f on a subset of G_{r+l} containing \acute{G}_r , let \acute{f} denote the function on G_r by the pullback, where $1_l \in G_r$ indicates the identity.

2. PREPARATION

Let r be an integer larger than 1. Fix ψ . Denote also by ψ the homomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad N = N_r \ni n \longmapsto \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r-1} \psi(n_{i,i+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Let π be an irreducible representation of $G = G_r$. It is known that $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \text{Ind}_N^G \psi)$, the \mathbb{C} -space of Whittaker models, is at most one-dimensional, where Ind indicates the induction functor. If π has a Whittaker model, then π is called *generic*. In this case, let \mathbb{W}_π denote the image of π under it, and call $W \in \mathbb{W}_\pi$ *Whittaker forms of π* . For a positive integer m , let $\Gamma_r(m) = \Gamma(m) \subset G_r$ be the open compact subgroup

$$(2.2) \quad \{k \in K_r \mid k_{r,1}, \dots, k_{r,r-1} \in \mathfrak{p}^m\}.$$

Assume that

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(\mathfrak{o}) = \{1\} \neq \psi(\mathfrak{p}^{-1}).$$

By the work of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [J-PS-S], there exists a unique $W^{new} \in \mathbb{W}_\pi$ such that

$$W^{new}(1_r) = 1, \quad \pi(k)W = \omega_\pi(k_{rr})W, k \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{c}_\pi),$$

where \mathfrak{c}_π and ω_π indicate the conductor and central character of π , respectively. We call W^{new} the *canonical Whittaker newform* of π .

If r is even, then *the Shalika subgroup* $S_r = S \subset G_r$ is defined to be the subgroup consisting of the matrices

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{r/2} & b \\ & 1_{r/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For χ , let $\chi_\psi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the homomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad s \longmapsto \chi \circ \det(a)\psi(\text{tr}(b)).$$

F. Chen, and B. Sun [C-S] showed that $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \text{Ind}_S^G(\chi_\psi))$, the \mathbb{C} -space of Shalika models, is at most one-dimensional. If π has a Shalika model, then let $\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ denote the image of π under it, and call $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ *Shalika forms of π relevant to χ* . By definition,

$$(2.5) \quad J(sg) = \chi_\psi(s)J(g).$$

By the above Whittaker newform theory, there exists a unique $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ up to constant multiples such that

$$\pi(k)J = \omega_\pi(k_{rr})J, k \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{c}_\pi),$$

which are called *the Shalika newforms of π (relevant to χ)*.

Let

$$S_r^\circ = S_r \cap P_r.$$

For an irreducible smooth representation τ of P_r , we call the \mathbb{C} -space

$$\text{Hom}_{P_r}(\tau, \text{Ind}_{S_r^\circ}^{P_r}(\chi_\psi)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{S_r^\circ}(\tau, \chi_\psi)$$

the pre-Shalika models of τ relevant to χ , and will show that it is at most one-dimensional in the next section. If τ has a pre-Shalika model, then let $\mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)$ denote its image of τ , and call vectors in $\mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)$ *pre-Shalika forms of τ relevant to χ* .

3. PRE-SHALIKA MODEL

In this section, firstly we show that, for an arbitrary χ , the irreducible smooth representation

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_n := \text{c-Ind}_{N_n}^{P_n}(\psi)$$

has a unique pre-Shalika model up to constant multiples, where c-Ind indicates the compact induction functor. By this uniqueness, the restriction to P_n of a Shalika form of a supercuspidal representation coincides with a pre-Shalika form of ψ_n , and vice versa (c.f. Prop. 3.10, 3.11). Secondly, we compute the support of a $P_n(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant pre-Shalika form, playing the essentially important role in this article, of ψ_n constructed by the pre-Shalika model, where

$$P_n(\mathfrak{o}) = \{p \in P_n \mid p_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}\}.$$

3.1. Uniqueness of pre-Shalika models. For an l -group G (c.f. [B-Z]), we denote by $\mathcal{A}(G)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}(G)$) the category of smooth (resp. smooth irreducible) $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules. For $\tau \in \mathcal{A}(P_r) \cup \mathcal{A}(G_r)$, we call *the lift of τ to P_l with $l > r$* the representation

$$\tau_l := \begin{cases} \Psi^{l-r}(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{A}(P_r) \\ \Psi^{l-r-1} \circ \Upsilon(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathcal{A}(G_r). \end{cases}$$

where $\Psi : \mathcal{A}(P_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P_{s+1})$ and $\Upsilon : \mathcal{A}(G_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P_{s+1})$ are the functors defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \tau &\longmapsto \text{c-Ind}_{\dot{P}_s U_{s+1}}^{P_{s+1}}(\tau \rtimes \psi) \\ \Upsilon : \tau &\longmapsto \tau \rtimes \mathbf{1}_{U_{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tau \rtimes \psi$ (resp. $\tau \rtimes \mathbf{1}_{U_{s+1}}$) indicates the representation sending $\dot{p}u \in \dot{P}_s U_{s+1}$ to $\psi(u)\tau(p)$ (resp. $\tau(p)$). Abbreviate $\text{Ind}_{S_n^{\mathfrak{o}}}^{P_n}(\chi_\psi)$ as $\mathbb{I}^n(\chi)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{I}(G_r)$. Let r be a positive integer. For an even integer $n > r$, we have the followings.*

- i) *If r is odd, then τ_n has no pre-Shalika model.*
- ii) *If r is even, then*

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\tau_n, \mathbb{I}^n(\chi)) = \dim \text{Hom}_{G_r}(\tau, \text{Ind}_{S_r}^{G_r}(\nu^{\frac{n-r}{2}} \chi_\psi)).$$

This follows from the induction on n , and the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *For $\tau \in \mathcal{A}(G_r)$ with r odd, τ_{r+1} does not have a pre-Shalika model relevant to any χ .*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{P_{r+1}}(\tau_{r+1}, \mathbb{I}^{r+1}(\chi))$, and $f \in \tau_{r+1}$. By the definition of Υ and that of the pre-Shalika space,

$$\lambda(f) = \lambda(\tau_{r+1}(u)f) = \psi(u_{\frac{r+1}{2}, r+1})\lambda(f), \quad u \in U_{r+1}.$$

Hence $\lambda(f)$ vanishes, and the assertion. □

Lemma 3.3. *For $\tau \in \mathcal{A}(G_n)$ with n even, and an arbitrary χ ,*

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{P_{n+2}}(\tau_{n+2}, \mathbb{I}^{n+2}(\chi)) = \dim \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\tau, \text{Ind}_{S_n}^{G_n}(\nu \chi_\psi)).$$

Lemma 3.4. *For $\tau \in \mathcal{A}(P_n)$ with n even, and an arbitrary χ ,*

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{P_{n+2}}(\tau_{n+2}, \mathbb{I}^{n+2}(\chi)) = \dim \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\tau, \mathbb{I}^n(\nu \chi)).$$

To show the last two lemmas, we use the distributional technique of loc. cit. for l -spaces X . For a \mathbb{C} -vector space V , let $\mathcal{S}(X, V)$ denote the space of all Schwartz functions on X with values in V . Linear functionals T on $\mathcal{S}(X, V)$ are called V -distributions on X . Additionally, if X is an l -group, and T is right (resp. left) invariant under an open compact subgroup, we say T is *locally constant on the right (resp. left)*.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be an l -group, and V a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Let T be a V -distribution on G . We have the followings:*

- i) If T is right (resp. left) invariant, then T is the product of a right (resp. left) Haar measure on G , and a linear functional on V .*
- ii) If T is locally constant on the right (resp. left), then T is the product of a right (resp. left) Haar measure on G , and a V^* -valued continuous function on G , where V^* indicates the full-dual of V .*

Proof. Suppose that T is right invariant. Let $d_r g$ denote a right Haar measure on G . Let $\{N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of the identity consisting of open compact subgroups of G . For $v \in V$, let $\varphi_v^\alpha = \text{Ch}(g; N_\alpha)v \in \mathcal{S}(G, V)$, where Ch indicates the characteristic function. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, define $v_\alpha^* \in V^*$ by

$$\langle v_\alpha^*, v \rangle = \text{vol}(N_\alpha)^{-1} T(\varphi_v^\alpha), \quad v \in V.$$

Therefore $v_\alpha^* = v_\beta^*$ if N_α contains N_β , since $T(\varphi_v^\alpha)$ equals $[N_\alpha : N_\beta]T(\varphi_v^\beta)$ by the right invariance property of T . This implies that v_α^* is independent from the choice of α . Since each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G, V)$ is right invariant under some N_α , we may express φ by a finite sum of some right translations of $\varphi_{v_i}^\alpha$ with some v_i 's. By the right invariance property of T again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varphi) &= T\left(\sum_i \varphi_{v_i}^\alpha\right) = \sum_i \text{vol}(N_\alpha) \langle v_\alpha^*, v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \int_G \langle v_\alpha^*, \varphi_{v_i}^\alpha(g) \rangle d_r g = \int_G \langle v_\alpha^*, \varphi(g) \rangle d_r g. \end{aligned}$$

This is the former assertion. Now, the latter one follows from the proof of Prop. 1.28. of loc. cit. \square

Let G be an l -group. Let Q_0 and U be its closed subgroups such that $Q_0 \cap U = \{1\}$, and Q_0 normalizes U . Set

$$Q = Q_0 U,$$

which is a closed subgroup of G . Let $\xi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a continuous homomorphism stabilized by Q_0 . Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup, and $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ a continuous homomorphism. Assume that

$$(3.2) \quad QH = \{g \in G \mid \xi(h^g) = \rho(h) \text{ for all } h \in H \cap U^{g^{-1}}\}.$$

Observe the last set is left Q -, right H -invariant, closed, and an l -space in the induced topology. Additionally, assume that

$$(3.3) \quad Q \cap H = (Q_0 \cap H)(U \cap H).$$

Proposition 3.6. *With the above assumptions, for an arbitrary $\pi \in \mathcal{A}(Q_0)$, it holds that*

$$\dim \text{Hom}_H(\text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\pi \rtimes \xi), \rho) = \dim \text{Hom}_{Q_0 \cap H}(\pi, \Delta_{Q \cap H} \Delta_H^{-1} \rho),$$

where $\Delta_{Q \cap H}, \Delta_H$ indicate the modular characters on the groups.

Proof. Our proof is a modification of that for Prop. 1 of [K]. Abbreviate $\pi \times \xi$ and $\Delta_{Q \cap H} \Delta_H^{-1}$ as π_ξ and Δ , respectively. We will construct a linear map from the \mathbb{C} -space $\text{Hom}_H(\text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\pi_\xi), \rho)$ to $\text{Hom}_{Q \cap H}(\pi, \Delta\rho)$. Denote the representation space of π by V_π , on which Q also acts by π_ξ . For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(G, V_\pi)$, define $f_\phi \in \text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\pi_\xi)$ by

$$f_\phi(g) = \int_Q \pi_\xi(q^{-1})\phi(qg)d_r q.$$

The linear map $\phi \mapsto f_\phi$ is bijective. For $\mu \in \text{Hom}_H(\text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\pi_\xi), \rho)$, define a V_π -distribution T_μ on G by $T_\mu(\phi) = \mu(f_\phi)$. Observe that $T = T_\mu$ satisfies:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} T \circ R(h) &= \rho(h)T \quad (h \in H), \\ T \circ L(q) &= \Delta_Q(q)T \circ \pi_\xi(q^{-1}) \quad (q \in Q). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the double coset space $Q \backslash G / H$. By (3.2), if g does not lie in QH , then it holds that $\rho(h) \neq \xi(h^g)$ for some $h \in H$, and $T(g)$ is zero by (3.4). Therefore, the support of T is contained in QH , and we may regard T as a V_π -distribution on the closed subset QH (an l -space by (3.2)).

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(Q \times H, V_\pi)$. Define $\overline{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(QH, V_\pi)$ by

$$\overline{\varphi}(q^{-1}h) = \int_{Q \cap H} \Delta_Q(aq)\pi_\xi((aq)^{-1})\rho(ah)\varphi(aq, ah)d_r a.$$

The linear map $\varphi \mapsto \overline{\varphi}$ is bijective. Therefore any V_π -distribution T' on $Q \times H$ is derived from a V_π -distribution T on QH satisfying (3.4) by setting $T'(\varphi) = T(\overline{\varphi})$. For $q' \in Q, h' \in H$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R(q', h')\varphi}(q^{-1}h) &= \int_{Q \cap H} \Delta_Q(aq)\pi_\xi((aq)^{-1})\rho(ah)\varphi(aqq', ah h')d_r a \\ &= \frac{\pi_\xi(q')}{\Delta_Q(q')\rho(h')} \int_{Q \cap H} \Delta_Q(aqq')\pi_\xi((aq q')^{-1})\rho(ah h')\varphi(aqq', ah h')d_r a \\ &= \frac{\pi_\xi(q')}{\Delta_Q(q')\rho(h')} L(q')R(h')\overline{\varphi}(q^{-1}h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore T' is right invariant by (3.4). By Prop. 3.5, there exists a linear functional μ' on V_π such that

$$(3.5) \quad T'(\varphi) = \int_H \int_Q \langle \mu', \varphi(q, h) \rangle d_r q d_r h.$$

For $b \in Q \cap H$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{L(b, b)\varphi}(q^{-1}h) &= \int_{Q \cap H} \Delta_Q(aq)\pi_\xi((aq)^{-1})\rho(ah)\varphi(b^{-1}aq, b^{-1}ah)d_r a \\ &= \Delta_{Q \cap H}(b) \int_{Q \cap H} \Delta_Q(baq)\pi_\xi((aq)^{-1}b^{-1})\rho(bah)\varphi(aq, ah)d_r a \\ &= \Delta_{Q \cap H}(b)\Delta_Q(b)\rho(b)\overline{\pi_\xi(b^{-1})\varphi}(q^{-1}h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T' \circ L(b, b) = \Delta_{Q \cap H}(b)\Delta_Q(b)\rho(b)T' \circ \pi_\xi(b^{-1}).$$

Now from (3.5),

$$\Delta_H(b)\langle \mu', \varphi(q, h) \rangle = \Delta_{Q \cap H}(b)\rho(b)\langle \mu', \pi_\xi(b^{-1})\varphi(q, h) \rangle.$$

This means that μ' lies in $\text{Hom}_{Q \cap H}(\pi_\xi, \Delta\rho)$. By the restriction to Q_0 , we obtain a $\mu'' \in \text{Hom}_{Q_0 \cap H}(\pi, \Delta\rho)$. The linear map $\mu' \mapsto \mu''$ is bijective by (3.3). We have constructed the desired map $\mu \mapsto \mu''$. One can reverse the above steps, and easily find that this map is bijective. \square

Remark 3.7. *The spaces $\text{Hom}_H(\text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\pi_\xi), \rho)$ and $\text{Hom}_{Q \cap H}(\pi_\xi, \Delta\rho)$ are both zero, if $\Delta|_{U \cap H} \neq \mathbf{1}$.*

Let $n = 2m$ be an even integer. By the Frobenius duality,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{P_{n+2}}(\tau_{n+2}, \mathbb{I}^{n+2}(\chi)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{S_{n+2}^\circ}(\tau_{n+2}, \chi_\psi), \\ \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\tau, \mathbb{I}^n(\nu\chi)) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{S_n^\circ}(\tau, \nu\chi_\psi). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove Lemma 3.4 by showing the spaces in the right hands are same dimensional. By the previous proposition, it suffices to check (3.2), (3.3) under the situation considered. Set $G = P_{n+2}$. Let $h_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ be the permutation

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & m & m+1 & m+2 & m+3 & \cdots & n+1 \\ 1 & \cdots & m & 2m+1 & m+1 & m+2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Abbreviate

$$(3.7) \quad \eta = \acute{h}_{n+1} \in P_{n+2}.$$

Set $H = \eta S_{n+2}^\circ \eta^{-1}$. Let $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the homomorphism:

$$h \mapsto \chi_\psi(\eta^{-1}h\eta).$$

If we write a typical $s \in S_{n+2}^\circ$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & {}^t\alpha & X & {}^t\beta \\ & 1 & \gamma & y \\ & & A & {}^t\alpha \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where A, X are $m \times m$ matrices, α, β, γ are m -dimensional row vectors, and y is an element of F , then

$$\begin{aligned} s^\eta &= \begin{bmatrix} A & X & {}^t\alpha & {}^t\beta \\ & A & & {}^t\alpha \\ & \gamma & 1 & y \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(s^\eta) &= \chi \circ \det(A)\psi(y + \text{tr}(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Set $Q_0 = \acute{P}_n \subset G_{n+2}$, and $U = U_{n+1}U_{n+2}$, Set $\xi = \psi|_U$. Observe that

- $\tau_{n+2} = \text{c-Ind}_Q^G(\tau \rtimes \xi)$.
- $\acute{S}_n^\circ = H \cap Q_0$.
- $\rho(\acute{t}) = \chi_\psi(\acute{t})$ for $\acute{t} \in S_n$.
- $\Delta_{Q \cap H} \Delta_H^{-1}(\acute{t}) = |\det(A)|$ for $\acute{t} = \begin{bmatrix} A & * \\ & A \end{bmatrix} \in S_n$.

It is easy to check (3.3). For (3.2), it suffices to see that any matrix p in the RHS of (3.2) satisfies:

$$p_{n,1} = \cdots = p_{n,m} = p_{n+1,1} = \cdots = p_{n+1,m} = 0.$$

Let $E_{i,j}$ denote the i -th row and j -th column matrix unit. If $p_{n+1,i} \neq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then for some $h = 1_{n+2} + xE_{i,n+2} \in H$,

$$\rho(h) = 1 \neq \psi(xp_{n+1,i}) = \xi(h^p).$$

Hence, $p_{n+1,1} = \dots = p_{n+1,m} = 0$. Now we may assume that p lies in $\dot{P}_{n+1}U_{n+2}$, since the RHS of (3.2) is right H -invariant. If $p_{n,i} \neq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then for some $h' = 1_{n+2} + yE_{i,n+1} + yE_{i+m,n+2} \in H$,

$$\rho(h') = 1 \neq \psi(y p_{n,i}) = \xi(h'^p).$$

Hence, $p_{n,1} = \dots = p_{n,m} = 0$. Now (3.2) is checked, and the proof of the lemma is completed. The proof of Lemma 3.3 is similar and omitted.

Similar to Prop. 3.1, the following holds.

Proposition 3.8. *For an arbitrary χ , ψ_n has a unique up to constant multiples pre-Shalika model relevant to χ .*

Proof. Since ψ_n equals $\Psi^{n-2}(\psi_2)$, the assertion is reduced to the evaluation of the dimension of $\text{Hom}_{P_2}(\psi_2, \mathbb{I}^2(\chi))$ by Lemma 3.4. Consider the space of corresponding \mathbb{C} -distributions on P_2 , and the corresponding double coset space $N_2 \backslash P_2 / N_2$. As a complete system of its representatives, using $\{t \in P_2 \mid t \in F^\times\}$, we find that all supports of the distributions are contained in N_2 . \square

Since any irreducible smooth representation of P_n is equivalent to ψ_n , or a lift from $\mathcal{S}(G_r), r < n$ (c.f. [B-Z]), we obtain:

Theorem 3.9. *An irreducible smooth representation of P_n has no or a unique up to constant multiples pre-Shalika model relevant to χ .*

Now let $(\pi, V) \in \mathcal{S}(G_n)$ be generic. There exists a Jordan-Hölder sequence of smooth P_n -modules $V_l \subset \dots \subset V_0 = V$ with the following properties (c.f. [B-Z]):

- V_l is equivalent to ψ_n .
- each V_i/V_{i+1} is equivalent to some lift from $\mathcal{S}(G_r), r < n$.
- π is supercuspidal, if and only if $V = V_l$.

Assume that

$$(3.8) \quad V_l \text{ contains a Shalika form relevant to } \chi \text{ not vanishing at } 1_n.$$

Of course, if π is supercuspidal, then this condition is empty.

Proposition 3.10. *Under (3.8), for any pre-Shalika form of ψ_n relevant to χ , there exists a Shalika form in V_l whose restriction to P_n coincides with it.*

Proof. By (3.8), for a Shalika form in V_l , its restriction to P_n is nontrivial, and can be regarded as a pre-Shalika form of ψ_n . The assertion follows from the irreducibility of $V_l \simeq \psi_n \simeq \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi)$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that all V_i/V_{i+1} has no pre-Shalika model relevant to χ . Then, (3.8) is satisfied. Further, for a Shalika form J , the followings are equivalent.*

- i) J does not vanish on P_n .
- ii) J lies in V_l .

In this case, $J|_{P_n}$ coincides with a pre-Shalika form of ψ_n .

Proof. Let V_i^0 be the P_n -submodule $\{J \in V_i \mid J|_P \equiv 0\} \subset V_i$. Take the minimal V_r containing a Shalika form which does not vanish at the identity. By definition, if $r \neq l$, then we have $V_{r+1} = V_{r+1}^0 \subset V_r^0$ and V_r/V_{r+1} has a pre-Shalika model, conflicting with the assumption. Hence the first assertion. This argument also implies the equivalence of i), ii). The last assertion follows from the proof of Prop. 3.10. \square

We will give an easy sufficient condition for the lack of Shalika models.

Lemma 3.12. *If $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(G_r)$ is ramified, then any lift of τ has no nontrivial $P_r(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant vector.*

Proof. Let $f \in \tau_l$ with $l > r$ be $P_l(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant. Let

$$T = \{\text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_l) \mid t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1\} \subset D_l.$$

By the inclusive relation $P_l \subset N_l \acute{G}_r T P_l(\mathfrak{o})$, and the definition of τ_l , it suffices to show that f vanishes on $\acute{G}_r T$. The restriction to \acute{G}_r of the right translation of f by $t \in T$ is K_r -invariant. However, it is identically zero since τ is ramified. \square

Lemma 3.13. *If χ is ramified, then any unramified $\pi \in \mathcal{S}(G_n)$ with n even has no Shalika model relevant to χ .*

Proof. Assume that π has a Shalika model relevant to ramified χ . Then there exists a nontrivial K_n -invariant $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$. By the Iwasawa decomposition of G_n , $J|_{B_n} \neq 0$. By (2.5), $J|_{\acute{B}_{n/2}} \neq 0$. By (2.5) and the Cartan decomposition of $G_{n/2}$, we may assume that $J(\acute{d}) \neq 0$ for some $d \in D_{n/2}$. By (2.5) and the K_n -invariance property of J ,

$$\chi(t)J(\acute{d}) = J(\acute{t}\acute{d}) = J(\acute{d}\acute{t}) = J(\acute{d})$$

for any $t \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Since χ is ramified, it follows that $J(\acute{d}) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Combining the above lemmas and Prop. 3.1,

Proposition 3.14. *Any lift of $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(G_r)$ has no pre-Shalika model relevant to χ , if one of τ, χ is ramified and another is unramified.*

3.2. Essential pre-Shalika form. Let $n = 2m$ be an even integer. If $\tau \in \mathcal{S}(P_n)$ has a Shalika model relevant to χ , then that of τ_{n+2} relevant to $\nu^{-1}\chi$ is given as follows. Let dA be the right G_m -invariant measure on $P_m \backslash G_m$ normalized so that $\text{vol}(P_m \backslash P_m K_m) = 1$. Let dx be the Haar measure on F^m normalized so that $\text{vol}(\mathfrak{o}^m) = 1$. For $\varphi \in \mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)_{n+2}$, consider the function θ_φ on P_{n+2} defined by the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varphi(p) &= \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \int_{F^m} \chi \circ \det(A)^{-1} \varphi(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} p) dx dA \\ &= \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \int_{F^m} \chi \circ \det(A)^{-1} \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \eta p \right) dx dA \end{aligned}$$

where η is the matrix (3.7). Since the integrated function as a function on $G_m \times F^m$ is compactly supported modulo P_m , the integrals can be exchanged and converge. Obviously, θ_φ lies in $\mathbb{I}^{n+2}(\nu^{-1}\chi)$. Denote by θ_{n+2}^χ this linear map $\varphi \mapsto \theta_\varphi$. We will see the nontriviality

of θ_{n+2}^χ . We may assume that (2.3). Take a $\xi \in \mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)$. For a nonnegative integer l , define the open compact subgroup

$$C_n(l) = \{p \in P_n(\mathfrak{o}) \mid p \equiv 1_n \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^l}\} \subset P_n.$$

Since $\mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)$ is smooth, ξ is invariant under $C_n(l)$ for a sufficiently large l . Define the $C_{n+2}(l)$ -invariant $\varphi_\xi \in \mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)_{n+2}$ by

$$\varphi_\xi(p) = \begin{cases} \psi(u)\xi(g) & \text{if } p \in u\acute{g}C_{n+2}(l) \text{ for } g \in P_n, u \in U_{n+1}U_{n+2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\phi_n^{(l)}$ this construction (linear map) $\xi \mapsto \varphi_\xi$ for $C_n(l)$ -invariant $\xi \in \mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)$.

Proposition 3.15. *We have the followings.*

- i) *The above φ_ξ is well-defined.*
- ii) *If $t \notin \mathfrak{o}^\times$, then*

$$\varphi_\xi \left(\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ & t & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0.$$

- iii) *$\theta_{\varphi_\xi}(\eta^{-1})$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $\xi(1_n)$.*
- iv) *Let T be a subset of P_n . Define the function ξ' on P_n by*

$$\xi'(g) = \int_T \xi(tg) dt.$$

Then, for $u \in U_{n+1}U_{n+2}$,

$$\int_T \varphi_\xi(tu\acute{g}) dt = \psi(u)\varphi_{\xi'}(\acute{g}).$$

Proof. i) Suppose that $\acute{g}uC_{n+2}(l) = \acute{h}vC_{n+2}(l)$ for $g, h \in P_n$ and

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & {}^t x & {}^t y \\ & 1 & z \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, v = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & {}^t x' & {}^t y' \\ & 1 & z' \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in U_{n+1}U_{n+2},$$

where $x, x', y, y' \in F^n$, $z, z' \in F$. Then, $v^{-1}\acute{h}^{-1}\acute{g}u$ lies in $C_{n+2}(l)$, and is in the form of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \acute{h}^{-1}g & {}^t w & * \\ & 1 & z - z' \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, w_n = x_n - x'_n.$$

Thus, $x_n - x'_n, z - z'$ lie in \mathfrak{p}^l , and $\acute{h}^{-1}g$ lies in $C_n(l)$.

ii) Follows from our construction of φ_ξ and the observation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & * & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ & t & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin C_{n+2}(l).$$

iii) Let $K_m(l) = \{k \in K_m \mid k \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^l}\}$. By the definition of φ_ξ ,

$$\varphi_\xi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$$

unless $x \in (\mathfrak{p}^l)^m$ and $A \in P_m K_m(l)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\varphi_\xi}(\eta^{-1}) &= \int_{P_m \backslash P_m K_m(l)} \int_{(\mathfrak{p}^l)^m} \chi \circ \det(A)^{-1} \varphi_\xi \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dx dA \\ &= q^{-lm} \int_{P_m \backslash P_m K_m(l)} \chi \circ \det(A)^{-1} \xi(A) dA \\ &= q^{-lm} \text{vol}(P_m \backslash P_m K_m(l)) \xi(1_n). \end{aligned}$$

iv) Follows from the definition of $\phi_n^{(l)}$ and the fact that $\psi|_{U_{n+1}U_{n+2}}$ is stabilized by P_n . \square

By iii), θ_{n+2}^χ is nontrivial. By Theorem 3.9,

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_\tau(\chi)_n, \mathbb{I}_{\tau_n}(\nu^{-1}\chi)) = \mathbb{C}\theta_n^\chi$$

for $\tau \in \mathcal{I}(P_{n-2})$ having a Shalika model relevant to χ . Since θ_r^χ is an integral over a subset of P_r , it is also applied to functions Φ on P_n , $n > r$ such that

$$\Phi(sp) = \chi_\psi(s)\Phi(p), \quad s \in \dot{S}_r^\circ.$$

For $\xi \in \psi_n$, set

$$\Lambda_n^\chi \xi := \theta_n^{\nu^\chi} \circ \dots \circ \theta_4^{\nu^{m-1}\chi}(\xi) \in \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi).$$

Denote by Λ_n^χ the linear map $\xi \mapsto \Lambda_n^\chi \xi$. If Λ_n^χ is nontrivial, then it follows from the irreducibilities of $\psi_n, \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi)$

Theorem 3.16. *For an arbitrary χ , Λ_n^χ is bijective, and*

$$\text{Hom}_{P_n}(\psi_n, \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi)) = \mathbb{C}\Lambda_n^\chi.$$

Immediately, follows

Corollary 3.17. *For arbitrary χ and χ' ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n^{\chi'} \circ (\Lambda_n^\chi)^{-1}(J_{n,\chi}^\circ) &= J_{n,\chi'}^\circ, \\ \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi), \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi')) &= \mathbb{C}\Lambda_n^{\chi'} \circ (\Lambda_n^\chi)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To see the nontriviality of Λ_n^χ , we observe a particular pre-Shalika form constructed by it as follows. Fix ψ such that (2.3). For $f \in (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, let

$$\varpi^f = \text{diag}(\varpi^{f_1}, \dots, \varpi^{f_{n-1}}).$$

Define the $P_n(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant $\xi_n \in \psi_n$ by

$$(3.10) \quad \xi_n(\varpi^f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$\xi_n = \phi_n^{(0)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi_2).$$

For an even integer $n \geq 4$, we call

$$(3.11) \quad J_{n,\chi}^\circ := \theta_n^{\nu^\chi} \circ \phi_{n-2}^{(0)} \circ \dots \circ \theta_4^{\nu^{m-1}\chi} \circ \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi_2) \in \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi),$$

the essential pre-Shalika form relevant to χ .

Proposition 3.18. *With the above notation,*

$$J_{n,\chi}^\circ = \Lambda_n^\chi \xi_n.$$

Proof. Since $\xi_4 = \phi_2^{(0)}(\xi_2)$, the assertion is obvious if $n = 4$. Suppose that $n \geq 6$. By the decomposition $P_n = \dot{B}_{2n-1}P_n(\mathfrak{o})$, it suffices to show that

$$(3.12) \quad \theta_{2r-2} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_n(\acute{b}) = \phi_{2r-2} \circ \theta_{2r-2} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_4(\acute{b}), \quad b \in B_{2r-1},$$

for $3 \leq r \leq n/2$, where we drop the subscripts $\nu^*\chi$ and 0 from the notation. We will show this by induction on r . Suppose that $r = 3$. Any matrix in B_5 is in the form of

$$(3.13) \quad up \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2r-3} & & \\ & x & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix}, \quad p \in P_{2r-2}, x, y \in F^\times, u \in U_{2r-2}U_{2r-1}$$

with $r = 3$. By iv) of Prop. 3.15, at the embedding of this matrix, both sides of (3.12) takes $\psi(u)\theta_4\xi_4(\acute{h}_3p)$, if $x, y \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, and zero otherwise. Here h_3 indicates the matrix corresponding to (3.6). Therefore, the statement for $r = 3$ is true. Assume the statement for a particular $r = k$ is true. Then,

$$\theta_{2k} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_n(\acute{b}) = \theta_{2k} \circ \phi_{2k-2} \circ \theta_{2k-2} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_4(\acute{b}), \quad b \in B_{2k-1}.$$

By the definition of ϕ_{2k} ,

$$\theta_{2k} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_n(\acute{b}) = \phi_{2k} \circ \theta_{2k} \circ \cdots \circ \theta_4 \xi_4(\acute{b}), \quad b \in B_{2k-1}.$$

By the same argument for $r = 3$, this identity holds also for any matrix in B_{2k+1} , which in the form of (3.13) with $r = k + 1$. This establishes the induction step. \square

To compute the values of $J_{n,\chi}^\circ$, we need transform the unipotent matrices

$$u(x) = u_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1_r & {}^t x \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in U_{r+1}$$

$$\bar{u}(x) = \bar{u}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1_r & \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \bar{U}_{r+1}$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in F^r$. For $a \in F$, let

$$a^* = \begin{cases} a^{-1} & \text{if } a \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{a} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

Write x^* for (x_1^*, \dots, x_r^*) . Let $\sigma = \sigma_x \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ be the permutation defined by the rules:

- $o(x_{\sigma(r)}) \leq \cdots \leq o(x_{\sigma(1)})$.
- $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1)$ if $o(x_{\sigma(i+1)}) = o(x_{\sigma(i)})$.

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, let $\tau_x^f \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ be the subset consisting of i such that

- $x_{\sigma(i)} \notin \mathfrak{o}$.
- $o(x_{\sigma(i)}) - o(x_{\sigma(j)}) < f_{\sigma(j)} - f_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $j > i$.

By definition,

$$(3.14) \quad x \notin \mathfrak{o}^r \quad (\iff) \quad x_{\sigma(r)} \notin \mathfrak{o} \quad (\implies) \quad \tau_x^f \neq \emptyset.$$

In particular, if $x \notin \mathfrak{o}^r$, then $r \in \tau_x^f$. For a subset τ of $\{1, \dots, r\}$, let

$$x(\tau) = (x(\tau)_i) \in F^r, \quad \text{where } x(\tau)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in \sigma(\tau) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(3.15) \quad d(x) = d_x = \text{diag}(d_{x,1}, \dots, d_{x,r+1}) \in D_{r+1}$$

$$d_{x,i} = \begin{cases} 1/\hat{x}_i & \text{if } i = \sigma(1) \\ \hat{x}_{\sigma(r)} & \text{if } i = r+1 \\ \hat{x}_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(i)-1)}/\hat{x}_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$K_r^1 = \text{SL}_r(\mathfrak{o})$$

$$H_f = (K_r^1)^{\varpi^f}.$$

Proposition 3.19. *With the above notation, \bar{u}_x lies in the double coset*

$$\acute{H}_f u(x(\tau_x^f)^*) d(x(\tau_x^f)) K_{r+1}^1.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on r . For $r = 1$, the statement follows immediately from the Gauss decomposition:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & y^{-1} \\ -y & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y^{-1} \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y \neq 0.$$

Assume the induction hypothesis that the statement for $r = i$ is true. Let $x \in F^{i+1}$, and $f \in \mathbb{Z}^{i+1}$. The statement for $i+1$ is obvious if x lies in \mathfrak{o}^{i+1} . Assume $x \notin \mathfrak{o}^{i+1}$. Conjugating \bar{u}_x by $\sigma'_x \in G_{i+2}$, we may assume that σ_x is the identity, i.e., $o(x_1) \geq \dots \geq o(x_{i+1})$. Write

$$x' = (x_1, \dots, x_i), \quad f' = (f_1, \dots, f_i), \quad y = x_{i+1}.$$

Using (3.16), we transform

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_x &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & \\ x' & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & \\ y & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & \\ x' & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & -y & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y^{-1} \in \mathfrak{o}$, \bar{u}_x lies in the left coset

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & \\ x' & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} K_{i+2}^1 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ -x' & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & \\ y^{-1}x' & & 1 \end{bmatrix} K_{i+2}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y^{-1}x'$ lies in \mathfrak{o}^{i+1} , this equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ -x' & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} K_{i+2}^1.$$

Put

$$\tau'' = \tau_{x'}^{f'} \cap \{j \in \{1, \dots, i\} \mid o(x_j) - o(y) < f_{i+1} - f_j\},$$

so that

$$(3.17) \quad \tau_x^f = \tau'' \sqcup \{i+1\} = \tau_{x''}^{f'} \sqcup \{i+1\}.$$

The last left coset is contained in the double coset

$$H_f \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ x'' & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} K_{i+2}^1,$$

where we write x'' for $x'(\tau'')$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\bar{u}(x'') \in H_{f'} u(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})^*) d(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})) K_{i+1}^1.$$

Since $\acute{H}_{f'}$ is contained in H_f , the last double coset is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} & H_f \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & 1 & y^{-1} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} \acute{u}(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})^*) \acute{d}(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})) K_{i+2}^1 \\ & = H_f \acute{u}(y^{-1} x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})^*) u(x(\tau_{x''}^{f'} \sqcup \{i+1\})^*) \begin{bmatrix} 1_i & & \\ & y^{-1} & \\ & & y \end{bmatrix} \acute{d}(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})) K_{i+2}^1. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.17), this equals

$$H_f \acute{u}(y^{-1} x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})^*) u(x(\tau_x^f)^*) d(x(\tau_x^f)) K_{i+2}^1.$$

By (3.17) and the definition of τ_x^f ,

$$o(x''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})_j) - o(y) = o(x(\tau_x^f)_j) - o(y) < f_{i+1} - f_j$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ such that $x_j \notin \mathfrak{o}$. By the lemma below, $u(yx''(\tau_{x''}^{f'})^*)$ lies in $H_{f'}$. Thus the last double coset equals

$$H_f u(x(\tau_x^f)^*) d(x(\tau_x^f)) K_{i+2}^1.$$

This establishes the induction step. \square

Remark 3.20. Equivalently, u_x lies in

$$\acute{H}_{-f} \bar{u}(x(\tau_x^f)^*) d(x(\tau_x^f))^{-1} K_{r+1}^1.$$

Lemma 3.21. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Let $x \in F^{r-1}$ such that σ_x is the identity. Then, $u(x(\tau)^*)$ lies in H_f , where

$$\tau = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\} \mid o(x_i) < f_r - f_i\}.$$

Proof. Obvious. \square

Now let $n = 2m$ be an even positive integer. We will abbreviate

$$\chi = \chi \circ \det, \quad J'_n = \phi_n^{(0)} J_{n,\chi}^\circ.$$

By definition,

$$J_{n+2,\nu^{-1}\chi}^\circ(p) = \int_{F^m} \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} p^n \right) d\text{Ad}x.$$

Lemma 3.22. *Any $(S_{n+2}^\circ, P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o}))$ -double coset in P_{n+2} contains a matrix in the form of the embedding into G_{n+2} of*

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & {}^t\beta \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^f & \\ & \varpi^l \end{bmatrix}, \quad f \in \mathbb{Z}^m, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in F^m.$$

Proof. Since P_{n+2} is isomorphic to $G_{n+1} \times U_{n+2}$, any left coset of $P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o})$ intersects with B_{n+2} by the Iwasawa decomposition of G_{n+1} . Therefore, any $(S_{n+2}^\circ, P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o}))$ -double coset intersects with \hat{B}_{m+1} . Now, the assertion follows from the Cartan decomposition of G_m . \square

Let g be a matrix in the form of (3.18). Then,

$$J_{n+2, \nu^{-1}\chi}^\circ(g) = \int_{F^m} \psi(-\text{tr}(x^t\beta)) \mathfrak{I}_x dx,$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad \mathfrak{I}_x := \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\varpi^f & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \varpi^l & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & \varpi^{-l}x & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA.$$

Write y for $\varpi^{-l}x$.

First, consider the case where χ is ramified, i.e., $e > 0$. If y lies in \mathfrak{o}^m , then \mathfrak{I}_x equals

$$\int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi^{-1}(A) J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\varpi^f & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \varpi^l & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA.$$

This is zero since χ is ramified. Assume that

$$y \notin \mathfrak{o}^m.$$

Abbreviate

$$\sigma = \sigma_y, \quad \tau = \tau_y^f, \quad y_0 = y_{\sigma(m)}.$$

Since $y \notin \mathfrak{o}^m$, \hat{y}_0 equals y_0 . By Prop. 3.19, there exists an $h \in H_f$ such that $\bar{u}_y \in \hat{h}u_{y(\tau)^*}d_{y(\tau)}K_{m+1}^1$. Write

$$d_{y(\tau)} = \begin{bmatrix} d^\circ & \\ & y_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $\dot{y} \in F^m$ by

$$u(y(\tau)^*)d(y(\tau)) = d(y(\tau))u(\dot{y}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_x &= \int \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\varpi^f & & & \\ & Ahd^\circ & & \\ & & \varpi^l y_0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & {}^t\dot{y} & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \chi(y_0)^{-1} \int \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ad^{\circ-1}h^{-1}\varpi^f & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \varpi^l y_0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & {}^t\dot{y} & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA. \end{aligned}$$

where the integrals in A are over $P_m \backslash G_m$. The integrated function equals

$$(3.20) \quad \chi(A)^{-1} \psi \left(\frac{(A^t \dot{y})_m}{\varpi^l y_0} \right) J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ad^{\circ-1} \varpi^f & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \varpi^l y_0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Define diagonal matrices $\delta_m, \delta_m^\# \in D_m$ by

$$(3.21) \quad \delta_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \text{diag}(\varpi^e, \varpi^{3e}, \dots, \varpi^{(2m-3)e}, \varpi^{(m-1)e}) & \text{if } m > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_m^\# = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \text{diag}(1, \varpi^{2e}, \dots, \varpi^{2(m-1)e}) & \text{if } m > 1. \end{cases}$$

Define unipotent matrices $v_m \in U_m, v_m^\# \in \bar{U}_m$ by

$$(3.22) \quad v_m = u((\varpi^{-(m-1)e}, \varpi^{-(m-2)e}, \dots, \varpi^{-e}))$$

$$v_m^\# = \bar{u}((\varpi^{(m-1)e}, \varpi^{(m-2)e}, \dots, \varpi^e)).$$

One can see by using Prop. 3.19 that

$$S_n^\circ \dot{v}_m \dot{\delta}_m P_n(\mathfrak{o}) = S_n^\circ \dot{v}_m^\# \dot{\delta}_m^\# P_n(\mathfrak{o}).$$

Theorem 3.23. *If χ is ramified, then the support of $J_{n,\chi}^\circ$ is the above double coset space.*

We prove this by induction. The statement for $m = 1$ is obviously true. Assuming the induction hypothesis that the statement for a particular m is true, we will prove the statement for $m + 1$ is true by computing $J_{n+2,\nu^{-1}\chi}^\circ(g)$. For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m), t_i \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, set

$$(3.23) \quad A_w(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \varpi^{w_-(1)e} t_1 & \dots & \varpi^{w_-(m-1)e} t_{m-1} & \varpi^{w_-(m)e} t_m \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w_- : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ is the map:

$$j \mapsto m - w(j).$$

Proposition 3.24. *There exists a unique $w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $d^{\circ-1} \varpi^f \in (\delta_m^\#)^w K_m$, and $A \in P_m A_w(t)$ for some $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m), t_i \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, if and only if the function (3.20) does not vanish at $A \in P_m \backslash G_m$.*

Proof. The coset space $P_m \backslash G_m$ is realized as the set of the following matrices:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1_{m-1} & \\ * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1_j & & \\ & 1_{m-1-j} & \\ * & & * \end{bmatrix} s_j, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, m-2\},$$

where

$$(3.26) \quad s_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1_j & & & \\ & 0 & & 1 \\ & & 1_{m-j-2} & \\ & 1 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Write $\{\mu\}$ for this system. Abbreviate

$$a = d^{\circ-1} \varpi^f, \quad \bar{u} = v_m^\sharp.$$

Assume that the function (3.20) does not vanish at $A = \mu$. By the definition of J'_n and the induction hypothesis for m , there exists a $p \in P_m$ such that $\mu \in pK_m, \mu a \in p\bar{u}\delta_m^\sharp K_m$, equivalently,

$$(3.27) \quad p^{-1}\mu, (\delta_m^\sharp)^{-1}\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu a \in K_m.$$

In particular, we need the condition $a \in K_m\delta_m^\sharp K_m$. By the Cartan decomposition, there exists a unique $w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that

$$a \in (\delta_m^\sharp)^w K_m.$$

Assume that μ is in the form of (3.25). Let $\mu' = \mu s_j^{-1}$. Then, (3.27) is equivalent to

$$p^{-1}\mu', (\delta_m^\sharp)^{-1}\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu'(\delta_m^\sharp)^{s_j w} \in K_m.$$

Therefore, we need

$$\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu' \in \delta_m^\sharp K_m ((\delta_m^\sharp)^{s_j w})^{-1}.$$

But, by the lemma below,

$$(\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu')_{i,m-1} \in \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o} & \text{if } i < s_j w(m-1) \\ \mathfrak{o}^\times & \text{if } i = s_j w(m-1) \\ \mathfrak{p}^{2e(i-s_j w(m-1))} & \text{if } i > s_j w(m-1), \end{cases}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} o((p^{-1}\mu')_{m,m-1}) &= o((\bar{u}\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu')_{m,m-1}) \\ &= 2e(m - s_j w(m-1)). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since $(p^{-1}\mu')_{m,m-1}$ is zero. Hence, we may assume that μ is in the form of (3.24). Observing the last row of $p^{-1}\mu = \bar{u}\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu$ with using the same lemma and the condition $\bar{u}^{-1}p^{-1}\mu \in \delta_m^\sharp K_m ((\delta_m^\sharp)^w)^{-1}$, we conclude that, for some $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m), t_i \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, μ equals $A_w(t)$, i.e.,

$$A \in P_m A_w(t).$$

The converse direction is obvious. \square

Lemma 3.25. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ with $f_1 < \dots < f_r$. If k lies in $K_r \cap \varpi^f K_r ((\varpi^f)^w)^{-1}$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_r$, then it holds that*

$$k_{i,w^{-1}(i)} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, \quad k_{i,j} \in \begin{cases} \mathfrak{p}^{f_i - f_{w(j)}} & \text{if } f_{w(j)} < f_i \\ \mathfrak{o} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By an elementary argument. \square

Put

$$\mathfrak{J}_w(t) = \chi(A_w(t))^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_w(t) d^{\circ-1} \varpi^f & & & \\ & A_w(t) & & \\ & & \varpi^l y_0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Abbreviate $\mathfrak{J}_w((1, \dots, 1))$ as \mathfrak{J}_w . Observe that

$$(3.28) \quad \mathfrak{J}_w((t_1, \dots, t_m)) = \mathfrak{J}_w \prod_{j=1}^m \chi^{-1}(t_j).$$

Since we assumed that \mathfrak{J}_w is nonzero, by Prop. 3.24

$$(3.29) \quad l = -o(y_0).$$

Using (3.28), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_x &= \chi(y_0)^{-1} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathfrak{J}_w \int_{(\mathfrak{o}^\times)^m} \prod_{j=1}^m \chi(t_j)^{-1} \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\dot{y}_j \varpi^{w_{-(j)} e} t_j}{y_0 \varpi^l} \right) dt_1 \cdots dt_m \\ &= \chi(y_0)^{-1} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathfrak{J}_w \prod_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{g} \left(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\dot{y}_j \varpi^{w_{-(j)} e-l}/y_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here ψ_a for $a \in F^\times$ indicates the additive character $x \mapsto \psi(ax)$, and $\mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi)$ the Gauss sum defined by

$$(3.30) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}} \chi^{-1}(x) \psi(x) dx,$$

where we extend χ to F by $\chi(0) = 0$, and the Haar measure is normalized so that $\text{vol}(\mathfrak{o}) = 1$. It is well-known that, for ψ satisfying (2.3) and ramified χ ,

$$(3.31) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_a) \neq 0 \iff o(a) = -e.$$

Therefore, the last product of Gauss sums is nonzero, if and only if

$$(3.32) \quad o(\dot{y}(\tau)_j) = -(w_{-(j)} + 1)e, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

This implies that y lies in $(F \setminus \mathfrak{o})^m$. Thus $\tau = \tau_y^f$ is the full-set, and $y(\tau)$ equals y . By the definition of \dot{y} ,

$$(3.33) \quad \dot{y}_j = \begin{cases} y_0 & \text{if } j = \sigma(1) \\ y_0 / y_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(j)-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining with (3.32), we obtain an identity

$$\{o(y_0)\} \sqcup \{o(y_0) - o(y_j)\}_{j \neq 0, \sigma(m)} = \{-e, \dots, -me\}$$

as sets. It follows that

$$o(y_{\sigma(j)}) = -je, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.34) \quad \sigma^{-1}(j) + w_{-(j)} = m, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

by (3.32), and

$$l = me$$

by (3.29). From the definition of $d(y)$, it follows that

$$o(d_{j,j}^\circ) = e, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Therefore, from Prop. 3.24, it follows that

$$f_j = (2w(j) - 1)e, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

This means that \mathfrak{J}_w for only one w as above is nonzero, and other $\mathfrak{J}_{w'}$ are zero. Now, it follows from (3.33), (3.34) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_x &= \mathfrak{J}_w \chi^{-1}(y_0) \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}}) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathfrak{g}\left(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\frac{\varpi^{(m-j-1)e-l}}{y_{\sigma(j)}}}\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}_w \chi^{-1}(y_0) \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}}) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathfrak{g}\left(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\frac{\varpi^{(m-j-1)e}}{x_{\sigma(j)}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$\text{supp}(\mathfrak{J}_x) = \varpi^{(m-\sigma^{-1}(1))e} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \dots \times \varpi^{(m-\sigma^{-1}(m))e} \mathfrak{o}^\times,$$

and can express

$$\mathfrak{J}_x = c \chi\left(\prod_{j=1}^m x_j\right)^{-1}, \quad c \neq 0$$

by the identity

$$(3.35) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_{ab}) = \nu \chi(b)^{-1} \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_a), \quad a, b \in F^\times.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F^m} \psi(x^t \beta) \mathfrak{J}_x dx &= \int_{\text{supp}(\mathfrak{J}_x)} \psi(x^t \beta) \mathfrak{J}_x dx \\ &= c \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{\varpi^{(m-\sigma^{-1}(j))e} \mathfrak{o}^\times} \chi(x_j)^{-1} \psi(\beta_j x_j) dx_j \\ &= c \prod_{j=1}^m \nu \chi(\varpi)^{(m-\sigma^{-1}(j))e} \mathfrak{g}\left(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\beta_j \varpi^{(m-\sigma^{-1}(j))e}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.31), the Gauss sums are nonzero, if and only if

$$o(\beta_j) = (\sigma^{-1}(j) - m - 1)e, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

This condition is equivalent to

$$o(\beta_j) = (w(j) - m - 1)e, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

by (3.34). This establishes the induction step, completing the proof for the theorem.

Next, consider the case where χ is unramified. Let

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^* &= \{p \in P_n \mid \det(p) \in \mathfrak{o}^\times\} \\ P_n^*(\mathfrak{o}) &= P_n^* \cap P_n(\mathfrak{o}) \\ S_n^{\circ*} &= S_n \cap P_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove:

Theorem 3.26. *If χ is unramified, then the support of $J_{n,\chi}^\circ|_{P_n^*}$ is $(S_n^{\circ*} \cap P_n^*)P_n^*(\mathfrak{o})$.*

The proof of the next lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3.22, and omitted.

Lemma 3.27. *Any $(S_n^{\circ*}, P_n^*(\mathfrak{o}))$ -double coset in P_n^* contains a matrix in the form of the embedding into G_n of*

$$u_\beta \varpi^f, \quad \beta \in F^{m-1}, \quad f \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 0.$$

For the matrices in this lemma, we have:

Proposition 3.28. *Let J be a $P_n(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant pre-Shalika form. Take a nonzero $f \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i = 0$. Then, $\hat{J}(u_\beta \varpi^f)$ vanishes for an arbitrary $\beta \in F^m$.*

Proof. Some f_i is negative by our assumption. The assertion follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 3.29. *Let Ω be a field. Let G be a group, and H, K be its subgroups. Let ξ and ω be homomorphisms into Ω^\times of H and K , respectively. Let J be a Ω -valued function on G such that*

$$J(hgk) = \xi(h)\omega(k)J(g), \quad h \in H, g \in G, k \in K.$$

Then $J(g_0)$ vanishes at $g_0 \in G$, if there exists an $h \in H$ such that $hg_0 \in K$ and $\xi(h) \neq \omega(g_0^{-1}hg_0)$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

Therefore, for the computation of $\text{supp}(J_{n,\chi}^\circ|_{P_n^*})$, it suffices to examine the values at \acute{u}_β for $\beta \in F^{m-1}$. We will prove the theorem by induction on m . The statement for $m = 1$ is obviously true. Assuming the induction hypothesis that the statement for a particular m is true, we will prove the statement for $m + 1$ is true by computing $J_{n+2,\nu^{-1}\chi}^\circ(\acute{u}_\beta)$ for $\beta \in F^m$. In this situation, \mathfrak{J}_x (see (3.19) for the definition) equals

$$\int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA.$$

Assume that $x \in F^m$ does not lie in \mathfrak{o}^m . Abbreviate τ_x^0 as τ . By Prop. 3.19, there exist $h \in K_m^1$ and $k \in K_{m+1}^1$ such that $\bar{u}_x \in \acute{h}\bar{u}(x(\tau)^*)d(x(\tau))^{-1}k$. Using this expression, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_x &= \int \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & Ah & & \\ & & 1_2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & u_{x(\tau)^*} d_{x(\tau)} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \int \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ah^{-1} & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & 1_2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & u_{x(\tau)^*} d_{x(\tau)} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \int \chi(A)^{-1} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & 1_2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & u_{x(\tau)^*} d_{x(\tau)} & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \end{aligned}$$

where the integrals in A are over $P_m \backslash G_m$. But, $x(\tau)_{\sigma_{x(\tau)}(n)} = x_{\sigma_x(n)}$ does not lie in \mathfrak{o} by (3.14). Therefore, the integrated function vanishes by Prop. 3.15. If x lies in \mathfrak{o}^m , then

$$J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Assume that this is nonzero at A . Then, there exist $\varpi^f, f \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ at which J'_n does not vanish, and $p \in P_m, k, k' \in K_m$ such that

$$A = p\varpi^f k = pk'.$$

Therefore, $o(f_1) = \dots = o(f_m) = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, in this situation, the above function takes $J'_n(1_{n+2})$. By the construction, $J'_n(1_{n+2}) = J_{n,\chi}^\circ(1_n)$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{I}_x = \mathfrak{I}_0 = \int_{P_m \backslash P_m K_m} J'_n \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA = J_{n,\chi}^\circ(1_n).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n+2,\nu^{-1}\chi}^\circ(\dot{u}_\beta) &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^m} \psi(x^t \beta) \mathfrak{I}_0 dx \\ &= \begin{cases} J_{n,\chi}^\circ(1_n) & \text{if } \beta \text{ lies in } \mathfrak{o}^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the induction step, and completes the proof.

By the argument of [S], if an unramified irreducible representation π of G_n has a Shalika model relevant to $\mathbf{1}$, its unique K_n -invariant Shalika form does not vanish at the identity. His argument works also for the case where π and χ are both unramified. Therefore, by the argument for the above proposition, we obtain:

Proposition 3.30. *Let $d < n$ be even integers. If an unramified $\pi \in \mathcal{I}(G_d)$ has a Shalika model relevant to χ (unramified by Prop. 3.14), then, there exists a $P_n(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant vector in $\mathbb{I}_{\pi_n}(\nu^{(d-n)/2}\chi)$ which does not vanish at the identity.*

4. WHITTAKER MODEL

For $J \in \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi)$, define the function $\Xi_n J$ on P_n by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n J(p) &= \int_{F^{m-1}} \int_{F^{m-1}} \psi(z_{m-1}) J(\dot{h}_{n-1}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{m-1} & & & \\ & 1_{m-1} & & \\ & & \begin{matrix} {}^t y \\ {}^t z \\ 1 \end{matrix} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} p) dy dz \\ &= \int_{F^{m-1}} \int_{F^{m-1}} \psi(z_{m-1}) J \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_{m-1} & & & \\ & \begin{matrix} {}^t y \\ 1 \\ {}^t z \end{matrix} & & \\ & & 1_{m-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} h_{n-1}^{-1} p \right) dy dz. \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ indicates the permutation matrix defined at (3.6). It is not hard to see the convergence. Denote the mapping $J \mapsto \Xi_n J$ by Ξ_n , which lies in $\text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi), \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\nu^2 \chi)_n)$.

Let $J_{n+2,\chi}^\circ$ be the essential pre-Shalika form. Put

$$(\bar{u}, \delta) = \begin{cases} (1_m, 1_m) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is unramified} \\ (v_m^\sharp, \delta_m^\sharp) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is ramified} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_m^\sharp \in D_m$ and $v_m^\sharp \in \bar{U}_m$, are the matrices defined at (3.21) and (3.22), respectively. Abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_{n+2} = \acute{u}\delta \in P_{n+2} \\ \eta &= \acute{h}_{n+1} \in P_{n+2} \\ J' &= \theta_{n+2}^{\nu\chi} \phi_n^{(0)} J_{n,\nu\chi}^\circ \\ J^\circ &= J_{n+2,\chi}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove Ξ_{n+2} is nontrivial by showing that $\Xi_{n+2} J_{n+2}^\circ(g) \neq 0$. Since $\eta^{-1}g\eta$ equals g , and J° is $P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariant, we have

$$\Xi_{n+2} J^\circ(g) = \int_{F^m} \int_{F^m} \psi(z_m) J^\circ \left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}\delta & & & \\ & {}^t y & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dy dz.$$

By the definition of J° , this equals

$$(4.1) \quad \iiint \frac{\psi(z_m)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}\delta & {}^t y & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}) dA dx dy dz$$

where the integral in A is over $P_m \backslash G_m$, and those in x, y, z are over F^m . By a matrix computation, the integrated function equals

$$\frac{\psi(z_m)\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}\delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}).$$

Fixing z , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F^m} \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \frac{\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A\bar{u} & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}) dA dx \\ &= \int_{F^m} \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \frac{\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A\bar{u}^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}) dA dx. \end{aligned}$$

This equals

$$\int_{F^m} \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \frac{\psi(x\bar{u}^{-1} {}^t y)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & \bar{u}^{-1} {}^t z & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}) dA dx$$

by the $P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o})$ -invariance property and the matrix computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A\bar{u}^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \bar{u} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A & & x\bar{u} & \\ & 1 & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \bar{u}^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & t_z & 1_m \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \bar{u} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \bar{u}^{-1}t_z & 1_m \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, at (4.1), we replace the integrated function with

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\psi(\bar{u}_m^t z + x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & t_z & 1_m \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}),$$

where \bar{u}_m indicates the last row of \bar{u} . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{x,z} &= \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J'(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & t_z & 1_m \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}) dA \\ \mathfrak{J}'_{y,z} &= \int_{F^m} \psi(x^t y) \mathfrak{J}_{x,z} dx \\ \mathfrak{J}_z &= \int_{F^m} \mathfrak{J}'_{y,z} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $z \in \mathfrak{o}^m$. Then, (4.2) equals

$$\frac{\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

If $x \notin \mathfrak{o}^m$, then by Prop. 3.19, there exist $k \in K_{m+1}^1$, $h \in K_m^1$, $a \in D_m$, $u \in U_m$ such that

$$\bar{u}_x = hu \begin{bmatrix} a & & \\ & x_{\sigma_x(m)} & \end{bmatrix} k.$$

Using this expression, we compute

$$J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\delta & & & \\ & Aha & & * \\ & & x_{\sigma_x(m)} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

This is zero by Prop. 3.24, since $x_{\sigma_x(m)} \notin \mathfrak{o}$. Hence,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}'_{y,z} &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^m} \psi(x^t y) \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dAdx \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is unramified and } y \text{ lies in } \mathfrak{o}^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $z \notin \mathfrak{o}^m$. For $d \in D_m$, let $o(d)$ denote $(o(d_{1,1}), \dots, o(d_{m,m}))$. Let $\bar{z} = (z_m, z_{m-1}, \dots, z_1)$ and $\bar{\delta} = \text{diag}(\delta_{m,m}, \dots, \delta_{1,1})$. Abbreviate $z_{\sigma_z(m)}$ and $\tau_{\bar{z}}^{-o(\bar{\delta})}$ as z_0 and $\bar{\tau}$ respectively. By Remark 3.20, there exist $h \in (K_m^1)^{o(\bar{\delta})}$, $d \in D_m$ and $k \in K_{m+1}^1$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ t z & & & \\ & 1_m & & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & h & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z(\bar{\tau})^* & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_0^{-1} & & & \\ & d & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} k.$$

Observe that

$$(4.4) \quad \overline{d(z(\bar{\tau}))} = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 & & & \\ & d^{-1} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the above expression, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}'_{y,z} &= \iint \frac{\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & t z & & \\ & & 1_m & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dAdx \\ &= \iint \frac{\psi(x^t y)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & xh + z(\bar{\tau})^* & \\ & & Ah & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & z_0^{-1} & & \\ & & d & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dAdx \\ &= \iint \frac{\psi(xh^{-1}t y)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\eta \begin{bmatrix} Ah^{-1} & & & \\ & 1 & x + z(\bar{\tau})^* & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & z_0^{-1} & & \\ & & d & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dAdx \\ &= \iint \frac{\psi((x - z(\bar{\tau})^*)^t y)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & z_0^{-1} & & \\ & & d & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dAdx, \end{aligned}$$

where we write $y' = y^t h^{-1}$, and the integral A (resp. x) is over $P_m \backslash G_m$ (resp. F^m). Since η lies in $P_{n+2}(\mathfrak{o})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &J' \left(\eta \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & 1 & x & \\ & & A & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & z_0^{-1} & & \\ & & d & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & x & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & d & & \\ & & z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \eta \right) \\ &= J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A\delta & & & \\ & Ad & & \\ & & z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & x' & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $x' = z_0 x d$. Therefore, $\psi(z(\bar{\tau})^{*t} y') \mathfrak{I}'_{y,z}$ equals

$$\chi(z_0) \int_{F^m} \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \frac{\psi(x^t y')}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ad^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & x' & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA dx.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{I}_{x,z}^\circ = \int_{P_m \backslash G_m} \chi(A)^{-1} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ad^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & x' & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA.$$

Observe that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_z &= \int_{F^m} \mathfrak{I}'_{y,z} dy = \chi(z_0) \int_{F^m} \int_{F^m} \psi(-z(\bar{\tau})^{*t} y') \psi(x^t y') \mathfrak{I}_{x,z}^\circ dx dy \\ &= \chi(z_0) \int_{F^m} \int_{F^m} \psi(-z(\bar{\tau})^{*t} y) \psi(x^t y) \mathfrak{I}_{x,z}^\circ dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviate

$$\tau' = \tau_{x'}^{o(d^{-1}\delta)}, \quad \sigma' = \sigma_{x'}, \quad x'_0 = x'_{\sigma'(m)}.$$

By Prop. 3.19, there exist $h' \in (K_m^1)^\delta$, $c \in D_m$ and $k' \in K_{m+1}^1$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{u}(x') = \acute{h}' u(x'(\tau_{x'})^*) \begin{bmatrix} c \\ x'_0 \end{bmatrix} k'.$$

Using this expression, we compute

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{x,z}^\circ &= \int \chi(A)^{-1} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ah'^{-1} d^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & & \\ & 1_m & & \\ & {}^t x'(\tau')^* & & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ c \\ x'_0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \int \frac{\psi(A_m {}^t z_0 x'(\tau')^*)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ad^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & Ac & & \\ & & x'_0 z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \chi(x'_0)^{-1} \int \frac{\psi((Ac^{-1})_m z_0 {}^t x'(\tau')^*)}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} Ac^{-1} d^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & x'_0 z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \\ &= \chi(x'_0)^{-1} \int \frac{\psi(A_m {}^t (z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1}))}{\chi(A)} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A(dc)^{-1} \delta & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & x'_0 z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dA \end{aligned}$$

where integrals in A are over $P_m \backslash G_m$. By Prop. 3.24, at (4.7), we may assume that

$$(4.8) \quad o(x'_0) = o(z_0).$$

From now, consider the case where χ is ramified. Assume that the integrated function at (4.7) is not zero at $A \in P_m \backslash G_m$. Then, by Prop. 3.24, we may assume that A is in the form of $A_w(t)$, $w \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, $t \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^m$ (c.f. (3.23)). Put

$$\mathfrak{J}_{x,z}(t) = \chi(A_w(t))^{-1} J' \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_w(t)(dc)^{-1}\delta & & & \\ & A_w(t) & & \\ & & x'_0 z_0^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Observe that, for $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}((s_1 t_1, \dots, s_m t_m)) &= \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}(t) \prod_{i=1}^m \chi(s_i)^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{J}_{(s_1 x_1, \dots, s_m x_m), z}(t) &= \mathfrak{J}_{x, (s_1 z_1, \dots, s_m z_m)}(t) = \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ$ is not zero. Then, by (3.31), we have an identity

$$\{o((z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1})_1), \dots, o((z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1})_m)\} = \{-e, \dots, -me\}$$

as sets. Thus, τ' is the full-set. However, from (3.15), it follows that

$$(x'^* c^{-1})_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \sigma'(1) \\ x'_{\sigma'(\sigma'^{-1}(i)-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Taking (4.8) into account, we conclude that

$$o(z_0) = -me, \quad o(x'_{\sigma'(i)}) = -ie, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Therefore,

$$o(c_{i,i}) = e, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *If the integrated function at (4.7) is not zero, then $\bar{\tau}$ is the full-set. Thus z lies in $(F \setminus \mathfrak{o})^m$.*

Proof. Assume that $\bar{\tau}$ is not the full-set, then some d_{ii} is 1 and therefore $o(d_{ii})$ is zero. But, by Prop. 3.24, we need $o(cd\delta_m^\#) = o((\delta_m^\#)^r)$ for some $r \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, which cannot happen. \square

By (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ &= \chi(x'_0)^{-1} \int_{(\mathfrak{o}^\times)^m} \psi(A_w(t)_m^t (z_0 x'^* c^{-1})) \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}(t) dt \\ &= \gamma_{x,z} \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_{x,z} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\varpi^{w_-(\sigma'(1))e} z_0})}{\chi(x'_0)} \prod_{i=\{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\sigma'(1)\}} \mathfrak{g} \left(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\varpi^{w_-(i)e} z_0 / x'_{\sigma'(\sigma'^{-1}(i)-1)}} \right).$$

Since we have assumed $\mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ \neq 0$, we need

$$w_-(i) = m - \sigma'^{-1}(i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

by (3.31). By (3.35) and (4.9), for $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{(s_1 x_1, \dots, s_m x_m), z} &= \gamma_{x,z} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi(s_i)^{-1} \\ \gamma_{x, (s_1 z_1, \dots, s_m z_m)} &= \gamma_{x,z} \chi(s_{\sigma(m)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By this property, we have

$$\int_{F^m} \psi(x^t y) \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ dx = \gamma'_{\mathbf{x},y,z} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathbf{x},z}(1),$$

$$\gamma'_{\mathbf{x},y,z} = \gamma_{\mathbf{x},z} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{y_i \mathbf{x}_i})$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \text{supp}(\mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ)$. By (3.35) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_z &= \chi(z_0) \int_{F^m} \psi(-z^{*t} y) \gamma'_{\mathbf{x},y,z} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathbf{x},z}(1) dy \\ &= \chi(z_0) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathbf{x},z}(1) \gamma''_{\mathbf{x},z}, \\ \gamma''_{\mathbf{x},z} &= \gamma'_{\mathbf{x},y,z} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{-y_i z_i^{-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \text{supp}(\prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{y_i \mathbf{x}_i}))$. By (3.35) again,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{n+2} J^\circ(g) &= \int_{F^m} \psi(\bar{u}_m^t z) \chi(z_0) \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathbf{x},z}(1) \gamma''_{\mathbf{x},z} dz \\ &= \chi(\varpi^{-me}) \mathfrak{g}(\chi^{-1}, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}})^m \gamma''_{\mathbf{x},z} \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_{\mathbf{x},z}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{z} = (\varpi^{-me}, \dots, \varpi^{-e}).$$

Proposition 4.2. *If χ is ramified, then $\Xi_{n+2} J^\circ(g)$ is nonzero.*

Proof. By the above argument, we have

$$\Xi_{n+2} J^\circ(g) = \int_Z \int_Y \int_X \psi(\bar{u}_m^t z - z^{*t} y + x^t y) \mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ dx dy dz,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \varpi^{-me} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \varpi^{1-me} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \dots \times \varpi^{-e} \mathfrak{o}^\times \\ Y &= \varpi^{-(m+1)e} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \varpi^{-me} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \dots \times \varpi^{-2e} \mathfrak{o}^\times \\ X &= \varpi^{me} \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \varpi^{(m-1)e} \mathfrak{o}^\times \dots \times \varpi^e \mathfrak{o}^\times. \end{aligned}$$

If (x, y, z) lies in $X \times Y \times Z$, then $\mathfrak{J}_{x,z}^\circ$, $\gamma_{x,z}$, $\gamma'_{x,y,z}$, $\gamma''_{x,z}$ are nonzero, and so is $\Xi_{n+2} J^\circ(g)$ (in this case, $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ are the identity). \square

From now consider the case where χ is unramified. Since we assumed that the integrated function (4.7) is nonzero, $\det(cd)$ lies in \mathfrak{o}^\times by (4.8). By Theorem 3.26, for $A \in \tilde{G}_m$ at (4.7), there exists a $p \in P_m$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(dc)^{-1} & \\ & A \end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} p & * \\ & p \end{bmatrix} P_n(\mathfrak{o}).$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$(4.10) \quad o(c_{i,i}) = -o(d_{i,i}), i \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

and A lies in $P_m K_m$.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the above assumption, we have the followings.*

- i) $o(x'_0)(= o(z_0)) = -1$.
- ii) $\bar{\tau} = \{m\}$.

iii) Let i be the first number such that $z_i \notin \mathfrak{o}$. Then,

$$d = \text{diag}(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i-1}, z_i^{-1}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{m-i}).$$

Proof. i) Let j be the first number (may be 1) such that $j - 1 \notin \tau'$ and $j \in \tau'$ (such a j exists, since τ' contains m). Then,

$$(z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1})_{\sigma'(j)} = z_0.$$

Let w_j be the longest Weyl element of \mathfrak{S}_j . The coset space $P_m \backslash P_m K_m \simeq P_m(\mathfrak{o}) \backslash K_m$ is realized as the set of matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_{m-1} & \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{m-j} & \\ & w_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$$

with $c_1, \dots, c_{m-j-1} \in \mathfrak{o}, c_{m-j}, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{p}, d \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Therefore, if $o(z_0)$ is less than -1 , then

$$\int_{P_m \backslash P_m K_m} \psi(A_m^t (z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1})) dA = 0,$$

and (4.7) is also zero. Hence i). Therefore, $o(z_{\sigma(j)}) \geq -1$ for all j . Take the last $j \neq m$ such that $j \in \tau$ if exists. Then, $o(z_{\sigma(j)})$ equals -1 by the definition of $\bar{\tau}$. Thus $o(z_0) - o(z_{\sigma(j)})$ equals 0, conflicting with the definition of $\bar{\tau}$. Hence ii). Now iii) follows immediately from (4.4). \square

Set

$$f^i = (\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{i-1}, 1, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{m-i}) \in \mathbb{Z}^m.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let i be as in Prop. 4.3. Then,*

$$\tau_{x'}^{f^i} = \{m\}, \quad x'_j \in \begin{cases} \varpi^{-1} \mathfrak{o}^\times & \text{if } j = i \\ \mathfrak{o} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (4.10), and the definition (4.6) of c , it follows that $o(x'_i) = -1$, and $i \in \tau' = \tau_{x'}^{f^i}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} r &= |\{j \in \{1, \dots, i\} \mid o(x_j) = -1\}| \\ s &= |\{j \in \{i+1, \dots, m\} \mid o(x_j) = -1\}| \\ t &= m - r - s. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that t is the number of j such that $x_j \in \mathfrak{o}$. By the definition of $\sigma' = \sigma'_{x'}$, we have $\sigma'(t+r) = i$. Assume that $s > 0$. Then,

$$o(x_{\sigma'(t+r)}) - o(x_{\sigma'(t+r+1)}) = 0 > -1 = f_{\sigma'(t+r+1)} - f_{\sigma'(t+r)},$$

conflicting with the condition $i \in \tau'$. Hence $s = 0$. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Then, since

$$o(x_{\sigma'(t+r-1)}) - o(x_{\sigma'(t+r)}) = 0 < 1 = f_{\sigma'(t+r)} - f_{\sigma'(t+r-1)},$$

we have $t+r-1 \in \tau'$ and $o(c_{i,i}) = o(x'_{t+r-1}) - o(x'_i) = 0$, conflicting with (4.10). Hence $r = 1$. Now the assertion is obvious. \square

Define subsets $S_{i,l}, R_{i,l} \subset F^m$ as follows.

$$S_{i,l} = \overbrace{\mathfrak{o} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{o}}^{i-1} \times \mathfrak{p}^l \times \overbrace{\mathfrak{o} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{o}}^{m-i},$$

$$R_{i,l} = S_{i,l} \setminus S_{i,l-1} = \overbrace{\mathfrak{o} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{o}}^{i-1} \times \varpi^l \mathfrak{o}^\times \times \overbrace{\mathfrak{o} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{o}}^{m-i}.$$

Let i be as in Prop. 4.3. Then, it holds that

$$z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1} = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{i-1}, z_0^{-1}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{m-i}) \in R_{i,-1},$$

and that, independent from i ,

$$\int_{P_m \setminus G_m} \psi(A_m^t(z_0 x'(\tau')^* c^{-1})) dA = -q^{-m}.$$

From (4.7), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{I}_{x,z}^\circ = \begin{cases} -\chi(\varpi)q^{-m} & \text{if } o(z_0) = -1, \text{ and } x' \in R_{i,-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for z outside of \mathfrak{o}^m . Reminding $x = z_0^{-1} x' d$, we obtain from (4.5)

$$(4.11) \quad \mathfrak{I}_z = \begin{cases} -q^{-m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{F^m} \int_{R_{i,1}} \psi(-z(\{m\})^{*t} y) \psi(x^t y) dx dy & \text{if } o(z_0) = -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for z outside of \mathfrak{o}^m .

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that the number of $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $o(z_j) = -1$ is greater than 1. Then \mathfrak{I}_z is zero.*

Proof. Let i be as in Prop 4.3. Viewing (4.11), we consider the condition for

$$\int_{R_{i,1}} \psi(x^t y) dx \neq 0.$$

It is that y lies in $S_{i,-2}$. But since $z(\{m\})^*$ equals

$$\left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{j-1}, z_j^{-1}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{m-j} \right)$$

for the last number $j \neq i$, under our assumption, such that $o(z_j) = -1$, we have $\psi(z(\{m\})^{*t} y) = 1$ for $y \in S_{i,-2}$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_{i,-2}} \int_{R_{i,1}} \psi(z(\{m\})^{*t} y) \psi(x^t y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{S_{i,-2}} \int_{R_{i,1}} \psi(x^t y) dx dy = \int_{R_{i,1}} \int_{S_{i,-2}} \psi(x^t y) dy dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the assertion. \square

We compute that

$$\int_{S_{i,-2}} \int_{R_{i,1}} \psi(-z(\{m\})^{*t} y) \psi(x^t y) dx dy = \frac{1-q}{q^2}$$

independent from i . From (4.7),

$$\mathfrak{J}_z = \frac{m(q-1)}{q^{m+2}}$$

for $z \in R_{i,-1}$. Combining (4.3), we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi J^\circ(1_{n+2}) &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^m} \psi(z_m) \mathfrak{J}_z dz + \int_{F^m \setminus \mathfrak{o}^m} \psi(z_m) \mathfrak{J}_z dz \\ &= 1 + ((m-1)q - m) \frac{m(q-1)}{q^{m+2}} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Ξ_n is nontrivial. Since Ξ_r for $r < n$ is an integral over a subset of P_r , it is also applied to functions on P_n . We define the P_n -linear map Ω_n by the iteration of the integrals:

$$\Omega_n = \Xi_4 \circ \cdots \circ \Xi_n \in \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi), \psi_n).$$

Proposition 4.6. *With the above notation, we have the followings.*

- i) Ω_n is a nonzero constant multiple of $(\Lambda_n^\chi)^{-1}$.
- ii) $\Omega_n(J_{n,\chi}^\circ)$ is a nonzero constant multiple of ξ_n .
- iii) $\text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi), \psi_n) = \mathbb{C}\Omega_n$.

Proof. By (3.9) and Cor. 3.17, $\text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_{n-2}}(\chi)_n, \mathbb{I}_{\psi_{n-2}}(\chi')_n)$ for arbitrary χ and χ' is spanned by

$$\Theta_{\chi,\chi'}^n := (\theta_n^{\chi'})^{-1} \circ \Lambda_n^{\nu^{-1}\chi'} \circ (\Lambda_n^{\nu^{-1}\chi})^{-1} \circ \theta_n^\chi,$$

which is bijective. We have

$$\Theta_{\chi,\chi'}^n \circ \phi_{n-2}^0(J_{n-2,\chi}^\circ) = c \phi_{n-2}^0(J_{n-2,\chi'}^\circ), \quad c \neq 0.$$

Since $\Xi_n \circ \theta_n^\chi \in \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\mathbb{I}_{\psi_{n-2}}(\chi)_n, \mathbb{I}_{\psi_{n-2}}(\nu^2\chi)_n)$ is nontrivial, it is a nonzero constant multiple of $\Theta_{\chi,\chi'}^n$, and we have

$$\Xi_n \circ \theta_n^\chi \circ \phi_{n-2}^0(J_{n-2,\chi}^\circ) = c' \phi_{n-2}^0(J_{n-2,\nu^2\chi}^\circ), \quad c' \neq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Xi_{n-2} \circ \Xi_n(J_{n,\chi}^\circ) = c' \Xi_{n-2} \circ \phi_{n-2}^0(J_{n-2,\nu^2\chi}^\circ).$$

By iv) of Prop. 3.15,

$$\Xi_{n-2} \circ \Xi_n(J_{n,\chi}^\circ)(\acute{g}) = c' \phi_{n-2}^{(0)} \circ \Xi_{n-2}(J_{n-2,\nu^2\chi}^\circ)(\acute{g}), \quad g \in P_{n-2}.$$

By the above computation, $\Xi_{n-2}(J_{n-2,\nu^2\chi}^\circ)$ takes a nonzero value at \acute{g}_{n-2} , and so does $\Xi_{n-2} \circ \Xi_n(J_{n,\chi}^\circ)$. Thus $\Xi_{n-2} \circ \Xi_n$ is nontrivial. Iterating such arguments, we find that Ω_n is nontrivial. Now the assertions follow from the uniqueness of pre-Shalika models, and the irreducibilities of $\psi_n, \mathbb{I}_{\psi_n}(\chi)$. \square

Since the P_n -linear map Ω_n is an integral over a subset of P_n , it can be applied to Shalika forms on G_n , and obviously,

$$\Omega_n \in \text{Hom}_{N_n}(\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi), \psi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi), \text{Ind}_{N_n}^{G_n}(\psi)).$$

We cannot say Ω_n is nontrivial without assumption at this time. However, we have:

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{I}(G_n)$ be generic. Assume (3.8). Then, Ω_n is a nontrivial Whittaker model of π . Additionally, assume $L(s, \pi) = 1$. If $J \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ is a Shalika newform, then $J|_{P_n}$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $J_{n,\chi}^\circ$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from Prop. 3.10, immediately. Since Ω_n is an integral over a subset of P_n ,

$$\Omega_n(J|_{P_n}) = \Omega_n(J)|_{P_n}.$$

Let W^{new} be the Whittaker newform of π (c.f. sec. 2). Since Ω_n as a Whittaker model is bijective, $\Omega_n(J) = cW^{new}$, $c \neq 0$. By Prop. 4.8, $\Omega_n(J)|_{P_n} = c\xi_n$. By ii) of Prop. 4.6,

$$J|_{P_n} = \Omega_n^{-1}(\Omega_n(J)|_{P_n}) = \Omega_n^{-1}(c\xi_n) = c'J_{n,\chi}^c, c' \neq 0.$$

This proves the second assertion. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\pi \in \mathcal{S}(G_n)$ be generic. If $L(s, \pi) = 1$, then*

$$W^{new}|_{P_n} = \xi_n.$$

This will be proved in the next section.

5. HECKE OPERATORS

To prove Prop. 4.8, we introduce some Hecke operators. Let

$$\mathbb{Z}_+^r = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid f_1 \geq \dots \geq f_r \geq 0\}$$

equipped with the lexicographic order as follows. If $f, f' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ are different, then, for a unique $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, it holds that $f_{i+1} = f'_{i+1}, \dots, f_r = f'_r$, and $f_i \neq f'_i$. In this case, if $f_i < f'_i$, then we write $f \prec f'$. Let \mathcal{H}_r denote the K_r invariant Hecke algebra of G_r . Let $T_f \in \mathcal{H}_r$ denote the Hecke operator which acts on right K_r -invariant functions ξ on G_r by

$$T_f \xi(g) = \int_{K_r} \text{Ch}(g; K_r \varpi^f K_r) \xi(g) dg,$$

where dg indicates the Haar measure on G_r such that $\text{vol}(K_r) = 1$. An elementary computation shows that

$$(5.1) \quad T_f \xi(g) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(f^\sigma)} \xi(gu\varpi^{f^\sigma}),$$

where f^σ indicates $(f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(r)})$, and $\mathcal{N}(f^\sigma)$ is a certain finite subset of $N_r \cap K_r$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, let

$$h_i = (\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r.$$

For a positive integer c , let $\Gamma_{r+1}(c) = \Gamma(c) \subset G_{r+1}$ be the open compact subgroup defined at (2.2), and \mathcal{H}_{r+1}^c denote the Γ_c invariant Hecke algebra of G_{r+1} . Let $T_f^c \in \mathcal{H}_{r+1}^c$ denote the Hecke operator which acts on right semi- $\Gamma(c)$ -invariant functions ξ' on G_r by

$$T_f^c \xi'(g) = \int_{K_r} \text{Ch}(g; \Gamma(c) \varpi^f \Gamma(c)) \xi'(g) dg,$$

where dg indicates the Haar measure on G_r such that $\text{vol}(\Gamma(c)) = 1$. An elementary computation shows that for a positive integer a , $T_{ah_i}^c$ acts on right semi- $\Gamma(c)$ -invariant functions ξ' by

$$(5.2) \quad T_{ah_i}^c \xi'(g) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}'(ah_i^\sigma)} \xi'(gu \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^{ah_i^\sigma} & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}),$$

where $\mathcal{N}'(ah_i^\sigma)$ is a certain finite subset of $N_{r+1} \cap K_{r+1}$. Let $\pi \in \mathcal{S}(G_{r+1})$ be generic. Assume (2.3) for ψ . If W is a right semi- $\Gamma(c)$ -invariant Whittaker form of π , then

$$(5.3) \quad \dot{W}(\varpi^f) = 0, \quad \text{if } f \notin \mathbb{Z}_+^r$$

by Lemma 3.29. Additionally, if f lies in \mathbb{Z}_+^r , then it holds that

$$(5.4) \quad T_{ah_i}^c W(\varpi^f) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} c_\sigma \dot{W}(\varpi^{f+ah_i^\sigma}), c_\sigma > 0.$$

by (5.2) and (2.3).

We prove Prop. 4.8 by induction. Let $W = W^{new}$ be the canonical Whittaker newform of π . If $f = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, then $\dot{W}(\varpi^f)$ is a constant multiple of $T_{h_1} W(1_{r+1})$ by (5.4). The statement in this case follows from Theorem 1.1. of [K-Y]. Assuming the statement for all nonzero $f' \prec f$ is true, we will show that for f is true. Let s be the maximal number such that $f_s \neq 0$. Write

$$f_- = f - f_s h_s (\in \mathbb{Z}_+^r).$$

Suppose that $f_- = 0$. Then, $\dot{W}(\varpi^f)$ is a nonzero constant multiple of $T_{f_s h_s}^c W(1_{r+1})$ by (5.3) and (5.4). The statement follows from the same theorem.

Suppose that $f_- \neq 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $\dot{W}(\varpi^{f_-}) = 0$. By (5.4),

$$0 = T_{f_s h_s}^c W(\varpi^{f_-}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r} c_\sigma W(\varpi^{f_- + f_s h_s^\sigma}).$$

If $f_- + f_s h_s^\sigma$ lies in \mathbb{Z}_+^r and $h_s^\sigma \neq h_s$, then $f_- + f_s h_s^\sigma \prec f$. Now the statement follows from the same theorem and the induction hypothesis. This establishes the induction step.

6. ZETA INTEGRALS

Let ψ be satisfying (2.3). Let $n = 2m$ be an even integer, and $\pi \in \mathcal{S}(G_n)$ be generic with a Shalika model relevant to χ . Let J^{new} be a Shalika newform (recall this is unique up to constant multiples). Assume that J^{new} does not vanish at g_n of Theorem 1.1. This condition is empty if π is supercuspidal. Assume that

$$\mathfrak{c}_\pi \geq me.$$

Put

$$l = \mathfrak{c}_\pi - (m-1)e (\geq e).$$

Let $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ be as in sec. 1. First of all, we construct a $J_\pi \in \mathbb{S}_\pi(\chi)$ such that

$$J_\pi(1_n) = 1, \pi(k)J_\pi = \chi \circ \det(d_k)J_\pi, k \in \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$$

where d_k indicates the $m \times m$ block matrix of k in the lower right corner. In the case where χ is unramified, there is nothing to do. Suppose that χ is ramified. We use the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let Ω be a field. Let f be a Ω -valued function on a group G such that $f(gk) = \xi(k)f(g)$ for a subgroup K and a homomorphism $\xi : K \rightarrow \Omega^\times$. Then, we have the followings:*

i) For the right translation f^h by $h \in G$,

$$f^h(gk) = \xi(h^{-1}kh)f^h(g), k \in K^h.$$

ii) Let K' be a subgroup containing K . Assume that ξ is extended to $\xi' : K' \rightarrow \Omega^\times$. Then,

$$f'(g) := \int_{K'/K} \xi'(k')^{-1} f(gk') dk'$$

satisfies

$$f'(gk) = \xi'(k')f(g), \quad k' \in K'.$$

Proof. Obvious. \square

Let r be a positive integer r . For a set A , let $(A)^r$ denote the m -tuple product of A . Let M_r and \mathcal{O}_r denote the ring of $r \times r$ matrices with entries in F and \mathfrak{o} , respectively. If a subgroup $K \subset G_n$ consists of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, b \in \mathfrak{B}, c \in \mathfrak{C}, d \in \mathfrak{D}$$

for subsets $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D} \subset M_m$, we call K the subgroup relevant to $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$. Let δ_m be the diagonal matrix defined in (3.21). For $t \in (\mathfrak{o}^\times)^{m-1}$, put

$$v_m(t) = u((\varpi^{-(m-1)e}t_1, \varpi^{-(m-2)e}t_2, \dots, \varpi^{-e}t_{m-1}))$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_t = \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & v_m(t) \end{bmatrix}\right)J^{new}.$$

From (2.5) and the assumption $J^{new}(g_n) \neq 0$, it follows that $\mathfrak{J}_1(\delta_m) \neq 0$. By (2.5),

$$\mathfrak{J}_t(\delta_m) = \chi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} t_i\right)\mathfrak{J}_1(\delta_m).$$

Firstly, we set

$$J_1 = \int_{(\mathfrak{o}^\times)^{m-1}} \chi(t)^{-1} \mathfrak{J}_t dt,$$

which is not zero at δ_m . For $u = \text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ with $u_i \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & u \end{bmatrix}\right)J_1 &= \int_{(\mathfrak{o}^\times)^{m-1}} \chi(t)^{-1} \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & v_m(t) \end{bmatrix}\right)J dt \\ &= \int_{(\mathfrak{o}^\times)^{m-1}} \chi(t)^{-1} \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & v_m(t') \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & u \end{bmatrix}\right)J dt \\ &= \chi\left(\prod_{i=1}^m u_i\right)J_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $t' = (t_1 u_1 / u_m, \dots, t_{m-1} u_{m-1} / u_m)$. By i) of the lemma, \mathfrak{J}_t is invariant under the subgroup relevant to

$$\mathcal{O}_m, \{b \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}\}, \{c \mid c_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i < m, c_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^c\},$$

$$\{d \mid d_{ii} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^e, d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i < j, d_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^c; j < m, d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{me}; j < i < m\}.$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$\pi(k)J_1 = \chi\left(\prod_{i=1}^m k_{m+i, m+i}\right)J_1$$

for k lying in the subgroup relevant to

$$\mathcal{O}_m, \{b \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}\}, \{c \mid c_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^c; c_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}, i < m\}, \\ \{d \mid d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i \leq j, d_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^c; j < m, d_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{me}; j < i < m\}.$$

By (2.5),

$$J_1(\delta'_m) = J_1\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & \delta_m^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right) \neq 0$$

Secondly, we set

$$J_2 = \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & \\ & \delta_m^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right)J_1.$$

Then $J_2(1_n) \neq 0$, and, by i) of the lemma,

$$\pi(k)J_2 = \chi\left(\prod_{i=1}^m k_{m+i, m+i}\right)J_2$$

for k lying in the subgroup relevant to

$$\mathcal{O}_m, \{b \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n-2}\}, \{c \mid c_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^l, c_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i < m\}, \\ \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} u & {}^t(\mathfrak{p}^{(m-2)e})^{m-1} \\ (\mathfrak{p}^{c_\pi})^{m-1} & * \end{bmatrix} \in K_m \mid u_{ij} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{(n-4)e}; i \neq j \right\}.$$

Thirdly, we set

$$J_3 = \iiint \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & \\ & u & {}^t x \\ & y & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)J_2 du dx dy$$

where the integral in x is over $(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^{(m-2)e})^{m-1}$, that in y is over $(\mathfrak{p}^l/\mathfrak{p}^{c_\pi})^{m-1}$, and that in u over

$$K_{m-1}/\{u \in K_{m-1} \mid u_{ij} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{(n-4)e}; i \neq j\} \\ \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o})/\{u \in \mathrm{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o}) \mid u_{ij} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{(n-4)e}; i \neq j\}.$$

By ii) of the lemma,

$$\pi(k)J_3 = \chi \circ \det(d_k)J_3$$

for k lying in the subgroup relevant to

$$\mathcal{O}_m, \{b \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n-2}\}, \{c \mid c_{mj} \in \mathfrak{p}^l; c_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}, i < m\}, \\ \{d = \begin{bmatrix} u & {}^t \mathfrak{o}^{m-1} \\ (\mathfrak{p}^l)^{m-1} & * \end{bmatrix} \in K_m \mid u \in K_{m-1}\}.$$

By (2.5),

$$J_3(1_n) = \iiint J_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_m & & \\ & u & {}^t x \\ & y & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) du dx dy \\ = \iiint J_2\left(\begin{bmatrix} u & {}^t x & \\ y & 1 & \\ & & 1_m \end{bmatrix}\right) du dx dy$$

is nonzero, where the integral region is the same as above. Finally, we set

$$J_\pi = c \int \pi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) J_3 dx,$$

where the integral in x is over $\mathcal{O}_m/\{b \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{p}^{n-2}\}$, and c is the nonzero constant taken so that $J_\pi(1_n) = 1$. Now the semi- $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ -invariance property of J_π follows from ii) of the lemma. Let $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)^*$ and $J_\pi^* \in \mathbb{S}_{\pi^\vee}(\chi^{-1})$ be as in sec. 1. By i) of the lemma,

$$\pi^\vee(k)J_\pi^* = \chi \circ \det(d_k)J_\pi^*, k \in \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)^*.$$

For a finite dimensional vector space V over F , let $\mathcal{S}(V)$ denote the Schwartz space of V . Define $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} \in \mathcal{S}(M_m)$ as follows. In the case where χ is unramified, it is the characteristic function of the ring $R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$ (c.f. 1.1). Suppose that χ is ramified. Define $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(F)$ by

$$\chi_0(x) = \text{Ch}(x; \mathfrak{o}^\times)\chi(x)$$

where Ch indicates the characteristic function. Define $\phi_\chi^\circ \in \mathcal{S}(M_{m-1})$ by

$$\phi_\chi^\circ(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m-1} \text{Ch}(x_{ij}; \mathfrak{o}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \chi_0(\varpi^e x_{ii}).$$

Define $\phi_{\chi,l} \in \mathcal{S}(M_m)$ by

$$\phi_{\chi,l}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & {}^t y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{\text{Ch}(y, z; (\mathfrak{p}^{-l})^{m-1} \times \mathfrak{o}^{m-1})\chi_0(\varpi^e w)}{\text{vol}(\text{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o}))} \int_{\text{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o})} \phi_\chi^\circ(xu) du.$$

Observe that

$$(6.1) \quad \phi_{\chi,l}(vk) = \chi \circ \det(k)\phi_{\chi,l}(v), \quad k \in \Gamma_m(l).$$

Define $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi} \in \mathcal{S}(M_n)$ by

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Ch}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}; R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\right)\phi_{\chi,l}(b).$$

We have defined $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$ so that $J_\pi\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$ is right $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)$ -invariant. Let $Z(s, J_\pi, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi})$ denote the Godement-Jacquet zeta integral of J_π and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}$ defined by

$$Z(s, J_\pi, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}) = \int_{G_n} J_\pi\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}(g) |\det(g)|^s dg.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$B_{m,k} = \{b \in B_m \cap \mathcal{O}_m \mid o(\det(b)) = k\},$$

and

$$c_k = \sum_{B_{m,k}/B_{m,0}} \acute{J}_\pi(b).$$

Proposition 6.2. *With the above notation,*

$$Z\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}, J_\pi, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\right) = q^{l(m-1)} \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}})^m \text{vol}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

where J^\vee is defined by $J^\vee(g) = J(g^{-1})$. We give an explicit description for $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^*$. In the case where χ is unramified, it is given by

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^* \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Ch} \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}; R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^* \right) \prod_{j=2}^m \text{Ch}(b_{j1}; \mathfrak{p}^l),$$

where $R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^*$ is the ring (c.f. 1.3). In the case where χ is ramified, define $\phi_\chi^{\circ*} \in \mathcal{S}(M_{m-1})$ by

$$\phi_\chi^{\circ*}(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq m-1} \text{Ch}(x_{ij}; \mathfrak{o}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \chi_0^{-1}(x_{ii}).$$

Define $\phi_{\chi,l}^* \in \mathcal{S}(M_m)$ by

$$\phi_{\chi,l}^* \left(\begin{bmatrix} w & y \\ t & z \\ x \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{\text{Ch}(y, z; \mathfrak{o}^{m-1} \times (\mathfrak{p}^l)^{m-1}) \chi_0^{-1}(w)}{\text{vol}(\text{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o}))} \int_{\text{SL}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{o})} \phi_\chi^{\circ*}(xu) du.$$

Then, the explicit form of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^*$ is

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^* \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}})^m \phi_{\chi,l}^*(d) \text{Ch} \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}; R_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^* \right) \prod_{j=2}^m \text{Ch}(b_{j1}; \mathfrak{p}^{-l}).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$B_{m,k}^e = \{b \in B_m \mid o(\det(b)) = k, b_{11} \in \mathfrak{o}, b_{1j} \in \mathfrak{p}^{e-l}; j > 1, b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o}; i > 1\},$$

and

$$c_k = \sum_{B_{m,k}^e / B_{m,0}^e} J_\pi^*(b).$$

Similar to Prop 6.2, we can show:

Proposition 6.3. *With the above notation,*

$$Z\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}, J_\pi^*, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}^*\right) = q^{l(m-1)} \mathfrak{g}(\chi, \psi_{\varpi^{-e}})^m \text{vol}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{c}_\pi)^*) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^* q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

From now, assume that π is unitary. Since π is unitary, its Shalika forms are matrix coefficients. From (6.5), and the Godement-Jacquet functional equation ([G-J]), it follows that

$$\frac{Z\left(1-s + \frac{n-1}{2}, J_\pi^*, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\right)}{L(1-s, \pi^\vee)} = \varepsilon_\pi \frac{Z\left(s + \frac{n-1}{2}, J_\pi, \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}_\pi}\right)}{L(s, \pi)}$$

where both the L -factors and the root number ε_π are same as those defined by Whittaker forms (c.f. [J-PS-S2]). By Prop. 6.2, 6.3, the right and left hand side lie in $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[q^s]$, respectively. Hence, both sides are nonzero constant. Thus we have:

Theorem 6.4. *With the above notation and assumptions,*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})} = L(s, \pi), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^* q^{i(-s+\frac{1}{2})} = \varepsilon_\pi L(s, \pi^\vee).$$

REFERENCES

- [B-Z] I. N. Bernstein, A. N. Zelevinskii, Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$ where F is a nonarchimedean local field, Russ. Math. Survey **31**, (1976), 1-68.
- [C-S] F. Chen, B. Sun, Uniqueness of twisted linear periods and twisted Shalika periods, Sci. China Math. **63** (2020), no. 1, 1-22.
- [G-J] R. Godement, H. Jacquet, *Zeta functions and Simple Algebras*, Lec. Note in Math., Springer-Verlag, **260**, (1972).
- [J-PS-S] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, J. A. Shalika, Conductoeur des représentations du groupe linéaire, Math. Ann. **256**, (1981), 199-214.
- [J-PS-S2] —, Rankin-Selberg convolutions. Amer. J. Math., **105** (2), (1983), 367-464.
- [K] A. C. Kable, Asai L -functions and Jacquet's conjecture, Amer. J. M., Vol. 126, Num. 4, (2004).
- [K-Y] S. Kondo, S. Yasuda, Local L and ε factors in Hecke eigenvalues, J. Number Theory, **132**, (2012), 1910-1948.
- [S] Y. Sakellaridis, A Casselman-Shalika Formula for the Shalika model of GL_n , Canada. J. Math., vol. **58**, (5), (2006), 1095-1120.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, NARA WOMAN UNIVERSITY, KITAUOYAHIGASHI-MACHI, NARA 630-8506, JAPAN.

Email address: `okazaki@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp`