

SCALAR CURVATURE DEFORMATIONS WITH NON-COMPACT BOUNDARIES

HELGE FRERICHS

ABSTRACT. We develop a general deformation principle for families of Riemannian metrics on smooth manifolds with possibly non-compact boundary, preserving lower scalar curvature bounds. The principle is used in order to strengthen boundary conditions, from mean convex to totally geodesic or doubling. The deformation principle preserves further geometric properties such as completeness and a given quasi-isometry type.

As an application, we prove non-existence results for Riemannian metrics with (uniformly) positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary.

1. INTRODUCTION

By the h -principle for open Diff-invariant partial differential relations, each connected manifold of dimension at least two and with non-empty boundary carries a metric of positive scalar curvature. Furthermore, the space of all such metrics is contractible. If additional conditions are imposed on the boundary, such as being mean convex or totally geodesic, the topology becomes non-trivial. This has been systematically studied for manifolds with a compact boundary in work by Bär-Hanke [4].

The paper at hand presents a general deformation principle for Riemannian metrics with a lower scalar curvature bound on manifolds with possibly non-compact boundary. This allows for comparison of various boundary conditions. The method applies whenever one needs a deformation which is mean curvature nonincreasing. A special case of our main result, Theorem 3.17, is the following:

Sample Theorem. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let M be an n -dimensional manifold with non-empty (possibly non-compact) boundary and let g be a Riemannian metric on M with $\text{scal}_g > 0$. Let $k \in C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field along ∂M satisfying $\frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr}_{g_0}(k) \leq H_g$. Here g_0 denotes the metric induced on ∂M and H_g denotes the mean curvature of the boundary.*

Then there exists a continuous path $(f_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$ of Riemannian metrics on M such that

- (a) $\text{scal}_{f_s} > 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$;
- (b) $f_0 = g$;
- (c) $\text{II}_{f_1} = k$ where II denotes the second fundamental form of the boundary.

The space of Riemannian metrics on M is equipped with the weak C^∞ -topology.

We can add various features to this assertion:

- ▷ Instead of only considering positive scalar curvature (psc), an arbitrary continuous function $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be used as a lower scalar curvature bound. This means that if $\text{scal}_g > \sigma$, then the metrics f_s can be constructed in such a way that $\text{scal}_{f_s} > \sigma$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.
- ▷ The result also applies to families of metrics and in a relative version. The last aspect is a main advantage of our deformation principle since it allows not only to answer existence questions for metrics with boundary conditions, but also to treat spaces of such metrics and to study their homotopy type.
- ▷ The deformations may be supported in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the boundary.
- ▷ Our method preserves completeness of metrics, i.e. if $g(\xi)$ is complete for some $\xi \in K$ then $f(\xi, s)$ is complete for all $s \in [0, 1]$.
- ▷ Recall that two Riemannian metrics g and h on M are called *quasi-isometric via the identity* if there exist constants $A \geq 1$ and $B \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{A} d_h(p, q) - B \leq d_g(p, q) \leq A d_h(p, q) + B \quad \text{for all } p, q \in M.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C23; Secondary: 53C20, 57M25, 57R55.

Key words and phrases. Manifolds with non-compact boundary, lower scalar curvature bounds, lower mean curvature bounds, deformations of Riemannian metrics, local flexibility, doubling metrics, Whitehead manifold.

Acknowledgment. This work is partly based on my master's thesis under the guidance of Bernhard Hanke. It was supported by the TopMath Program from the Elite Network of Bavaria. I am grateful to Shmuel Weinberger for advice regarding the Whitehead manifold.

Here d_g and d_h denote the Riemannian distances associated to g and h . The above condition defines an equivalence relation on the space of Riemannian metrics on M .

The deformation principle preserves a given quasi-isometry type, meaning that it is carried out within a fixed equivalence class of metrics.

A boundary condition of high interest is what we call the doubling property for metrics on a manifold with boundary. A doubling metric is defined as a metric whose twofold copy is a smooth Riemannian metric on the double of the manifold. By working with doubling metrics, our deformation principle provides a connection between scalar curvature geometry on manifolds with boundary and on manifolds without boundary. The use of such a connection is a strategy that has already been employed in work by Gromov-Lawson [13], Almeida [1], and Carlotto-Li [8, 9], for instance.

In a similar spirit, using work by Hanke-Kotschick-Roe-Schick [14], Cecchini [10] and Chang-Weinberger-Yu [11], we prove some non-existence results for (uniform) psc-metrics with mean convex boundary. The term 'mean convex' indicates that the mean curvature is non-negative at each boundary point. We state our applications from Corollary 4.1, 4.3-4.5.

- Applications.** (1) *The right half-plane $\mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2$ cannot carry any complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary.*
(2) *Let $n \geq 3$. The right half-space $\mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$ cannot carry any complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary and which is quasi-isometric to the Euclidean metric via the identity.*
(3) *Let $M := \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n \# T^n$ be a connected sum of the right half-space with an n -torus attached in the interior. Then M does not admit a complete Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary.*
(4) *The Whitehead manifold has contractible submanifolds with non-compact boundary which do not admit complete Riemannian metrics of uniformly positive scalar curvature with mean convex boundary.*

The paper is organized as follows: The first section discusses the geometry of doubling metrics. We will spend some effort to prove the following seemingly tautological result: If g is a complete doubling metric on a manifold with boundary, then the two-fold copy $g \cup g$ is a complete metric on the double of the manifold. This result is of independent interest. The precise proof makes use of absolutely continuous curves on manifolds with boundary, relying on work of Burtscher [7].

In the second section, we develop the main deformation principle for families of metrics with lower scalar curvature bounds in a three-step process. The essential part (step two and three) is to generalize the deformation scheme [4, Prop. 23 and 26] of Bär-Hanke to non-compact boundaries. Here we make use of the local flexibility lemma in [3]. Our deformation principle combines the work of Bär-Hanke with a closer study of the topology of the boundary.

In the last section we present our non-existence results that have been mentioned above.

2. COMPLETENESS OF DOUBLING METRICS

Let M be a smooth manifold with non-empty boundary. Given a Riemannian metric g on M its normal exponential map provides a collar neighbourhood

$$\phi_g: V \rightarrow U \subset M, \phi_g(t, p) = \exp_p(tN_g(p))$$

where $V \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial M$ is an open neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times \partial M$ and N_g is the inward-pointing unit normal vector field along ∂M . We call ϕ_g a geodesic collar neighbourhood. It induces a smooth structure on the topological double $DM = M \cup_{\partial M} M$.

The resulting smooth manifold is sometimes referred to as DM^g to emphasise the smooth structure. Note that two distinct Riemannian metrics induce diffeomorphic smooth structures on DM since two arbitrary collar neighbourhoods for ∂M are isotopic. However, the two smooth structures are not the same in general. This will be important later.

Definition 2.1. We call g a *doubling metric* if the twofold copy $g \cup g$ is a smooth Riemannian metric on DM^g .

There is another characterization for the doubling property: According to the generalized Gauss lemma, pulling back g along ϕ_g yields a generalized cylinder metric

$$g = dt^2 + g_t$$

where g_t is given by $g_\bullet: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$, $g_t(p)(v, w) = (\phi_g^*g)(t, p)(v, w)$. The family g_\bullet gives rise to new families $\dot{g}_\bullet, \ddot{g}_\bullet, g_\bullet^{(\ell)}: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$ of $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields on ∂M defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{g}_t(p)(v, w) &:= \frac{d}{dt}(g_t(p)(v, w)), \\ \ddot{g}_t(p)(v, w) &:= \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(g_t(p)(v, w)), \\ g_t^{(\ell)}(p)(v, w) &:= \frac{d^{(\ell)}}{dt^{(\ell)}}(g_t(p)(v, w))\end{aligned}$$

for all $(t, p) \in V$ and $v, w \in T_p\partial M$. Then g is doubling iff $g_0^{(\ell)} \equiv 0$ for ℓ odd.

Apart from that, the second fundamental form of the boundary is given by $\Pi_g = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{g}_0$, see [2, Prop. 4.1]. This means that doubling metrics need to have a totally geodesic boundary. The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem for a connected M .

Theorem 2.2. *If g is a complete doubling metric on M then $G := g \cup g$ is a complete metric on DM .*

By completeness we mean metric completeness, which is the convergence of all Cauchy sequences with respect to the Riemannian distance. The next equation turns out to be crucial:

Proposition 2.3. *It holds $d_g(p, q) = d_G(p, q)$ for all $p, q \in M$.*

Here $d_g(p, q)$ is the Riemannian distance between p and q in M with respect to g and $d_G(p, q)$ is their distance in DM with respect to G . Note that we have to consider all admissible curves in DM in order to determine $d_G(p, q)$ whereas for $d_g(p, q)$ we only look at curves in M . This is why the inequality $d_g(p, q) \geq d_G(p, q)$ is obvious.

To address the other inequality, we use a reflection argument: Reflecting an admissible curve in DM along the hypersurface ∂M results in a curve in M that retains its original length. Therefore, there are no shorter curves between p and q in DM than in M .

Unfortunately, not every class of admissible curves is invariant under reflection. For instance, the common class of piecewise smooth curves is not as can be seen from the right half-plane $M = \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2$ and the Euclidean metric $g = g_{\text{eucl}}$. Its double DM^g is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 via the canonical map

$$\kappa: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow DM, \kappa(x) = \begin{cases} [(x_1, x_2), 1], & x_1 \geq 0 \\ [(-x_1, x_2), 2], & x_1 \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \gamma(t) = \begin{cases} (e^{-1/t^2} \sin(\frac{\pi}{t}), t), & t \neq 0 \\ (0, 0), & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a piecewise smooth curve but its reflection to M is not. This is why we consider the larger class of absolutely continuous curves. The following considerations are based on Burtscher [7].

Definition 2.4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval (open or closed) or an open subset. A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *absolutely continuous* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every family $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ of disjoint intervals with $[a_i, b_i] \subset I$ and total length $\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| < \delta$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|f(b_i) - f(a_i)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n .

A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *locally absolutely continuous* if it is absolutely continuous on every closed interval $[a, b] \subset I$.

A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$ is called (locally) absolutely continuous if it is (locally) absolutely continuous as a function $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now let M be a connected smooth manifold with boundary of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The boundary may or may not be empty.

Definition 2.5. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval. A continuous curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ is called absolutely continuous if, for every chart (φ, U) of M , the function

$$\varphi \circ \gamma: \gamma^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$$

is locally absolutely continuous. The set of all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{ac}(M)$ respectively \mathcal{A}_{ac} . For any two points $p, q \in M$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{ac}^{p,q} \subset \mathcal{A}_{ac}$ the set of all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$.

We show that absolute continuity is a well-behaved concept in differential topology.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval. A continuous curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ is absolutely continuous iff for every $p \in \gamma(I)$, there exists a local chart (φ, U) of M around p such that $\varphi \circ \gamma: \gamma^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U)$ is locally absolutely continuous.*

Proof. Let (ψ, V) be an arbitrary local chart of M with $V \cap \gamma(I) \neq \emptyset$. Let $[a, b] \subset \gamma^{-1}(V)$ be a closed interval. We need to prove absolute continuity for $\psi \circ \gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \psi(V)$.

By assumption there exists an atlas $(\varphi_l, U_l)_{l \in I}$ of M such that $\varphi_l \circ \gamma: \gamma^{-1}(U_l) \rightarrow \varphi_l(U_l)$ is locally absolutely continuous for every $l \in I$. Then we find a partition $a = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_N = b$ of $[a, b]$ so that $\gamma|_{[s_{j-1}, s_j]}$ is entirely contained in one of the neighbourhoods U_l . To every $1 \leq j \leq N$ we assign such a neighbourhood U_j .

Every transition map $\psi \circ \varphi_j^{-1}: \varphi_j(V \cap U_j) \rightarrow \psi(V \cap U_j)$ is smooth, hence Lipschitz continuous on the compact subspace $\text{im}(\varphi_j \circ \gamma|_{[s_{j-1}, s_j]})$. Let $(L_j)_{j=1}^N$ be a family of associated positive Lipschitz constants.

Now we prove that $\psi \circ \gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \psi(V)$ is absolutely continuous. To this end, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that for every $1 \leq j \leq N$, every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every family $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ of disjoint intervals with $[a_i, b_i] \subset [s_{j-1}, s_j]$ and total length $\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| < \delta$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|(\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(b_i) - (\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(a_i)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L_j N}.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of disjoint intervals with $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$ and total length $\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| < \delta$. After dividing the intervals (a_i, b_i) if necessary, we can assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is some $1 \leq j \leq N$ with $[a_i, b_i] \subset [s_{j-1}, s_j]$. Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \|(\psi \circ \gamma)(b_i) - (\psi \circ \gamma)(a_i)\| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{[a_i, b_i] \\ \subset [s_{j-1}, s_j]}} \|(\psi \circ \gamma)(b_i) - (\psi \circ \gamma)(a_i)\| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{[a_i, b_i] \\ \subset [s_{j-1}, s_j]}} \|(\psi \circ \varphi_j^{-1})((\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(b_i)) - (\psi \circ \varphi_j^{-1})((\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(a_i))\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{[a_i, b_i] \\ \subset [s_{j-1}, s_j]}} L_j \cdot \|(\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(b_i) - (\varphi_j \circ \gamma)(a_i)\| < \sum_{j=1}^N L_j \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{L_j N} = \varepsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7. Burtscher [7, Prop. 3.4] is a consequence of Lemma 2.6.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval. Every absolutely continuous curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ is differentiable almost everywhere. If g is a Riemannian metric on M , then $|\dot{\gamma}|_g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable.*

Proof. See [7, Prop 3.7]. □

We fix a Riemannian metric g on M . By Proposition 2.8 each absolutely continuous curve γ has a well-defined length $\ell_g(\gamma) := \int_I |\dot{\gamma}(s)|_g \, ds$. Then $(\mathcal{A}_{ac}, \ell_g)$ is a length structure on M and so induces a distance function d_{ac} .

We want to show that d_{ac} coincides with the usual Riemannian distance d_g which is determined by piecewise smooth curves. Note that piecewise smooth curves are absolutely continuous since they are absolutely continuous on a partition of their domain.

Definition 2.9. The *variational metric* on \mathcal{A}_{ac} is defined by

$$D_{ac}: \mathcal{A}_{ac} \times \mathcal{A}_{ac} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad D_{ac}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} d_g(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s)) + \int_0^1 \left| |\dot{\gamma}_1(s)|_g - |\dot{\gamma}_2(s)|_g \right| \, ds.$$

This is indeed a metric, i.e. $(\mathcal{A}_{ac}, D_{ac})$ is a metric space.

Remark 2.10. The length functional $\ell_g: \mathcal{A}_{ac} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is 1-Lipschitz with respect to the variational metric D_{ac} .

Proposition 2.11. *For every $p, q \in M$, the set $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}^{p,q}$ of piecewise smooth curves $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$ is dense in $(\mathcal{A}_{ac}^{p,q}, D_{ac})$.*

Proof. See [7, Thm. 3.11]. The proof also applies in the case where M has non-empty boundary. The only thing to notice is the following: If $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$ is a curve in the right half-plane, then so is the convolution $\rho * \gamma$ with a Friedrichs mollifier ρ where the convolution is componentwise. \square

Remark 2.12. Given an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we find a $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_{s_1}^{s_2} |\dot{\gamma}|_g < \varepsilon$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$. According to Burtscher, this is due to the fundamental theorem of calculus for absolutely continuous functions. In fact, the statement holds by integrability of $|\dot{\gamma}|_g$ and the dominated convergence theorem.

However, the fundamental theorem of calculus can be used at another point: If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous and bounded function, one can show that the convolution $f * \rho$ with a Friedrichs mollifier ρ is smooth and that its derivative is given by $(f * \rho)' = f * \rho'$.

Then choose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp } \rho \subset [a, b]$ and let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus for absolutely continuous functions, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (f * \rho')(s) - (f' * \rho)(s) \\ &= \int_a^b f(s - \sigma) \rho'(\sigma) - f'(s - \sigma) \rho(\sigma) \, d\sigma \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{d\sigma} (f(s - \sigma) \rho(\sigma)) \, d\sigma \\ &= f(s - b) \rho(b) - f(s - a) \rho(a) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

respectively $(f * \rho')(s) = (f' * \rho)(s)$. Therefore $(f * \rho)' = f' * \rho$. This fact is used in equation (3.5b) of [7, Thm. 3.11].

By Remark 2.10 and Proposition 2.11, we obtain

Corollary 2.13. $d_{ac} = d_g$.

The next step is to perform the reflection argument for absolutely continuous curves. From now on, g is a doubling metric on a manifold M with non-empty boundary. Put $G := g \cup g$ as a metric on DM^g .

Proposition 2.14. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a closed interval and let $\gamma: I \rightarrow DM^g$ be an absolutely continuous curve.*

- (a) *Then $\tilde{\gamma}: I \rightarrow M$, $\tilde{\gamma} := \text{pr} \circ \gamma$ is an absolutely continuous curve where $\text{pr}: DM \rightarrow M$ denotes the projection map onto a fixed copy of M .*
- (b) *It holds $|\dot{\gamma}(s)|_G = |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)|_g$ for all $s \in I$ where both γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are differentiable.*

Proof. The two copies of M will be denoted by $[M, 1]$ and $[M, 2]$. For the proof let $\text{pr}: DM \rightarrow [M, 1]$ be the projection onto the first copy.

Regarding (a): Let $p \in \tilde{\gamma}(I)$. If $p \notin \partial M$ we find a local chart (φ, U) of M around p with $U \subset \text{int}(M)$. Let $[a, b] \subset \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(U)$. Since $\gamma|_{[a,b]}$ does not hit the boundary, it either holds $\text{im}(\gamma|_{[a,b]}) \subset [U, 1]$ or $\text{im} \gamma|_{[a,b]} \subset [U, 2]$ due to continuity. In any case, $\varphi \circ \tilde{\gamma}|_{[a,b]} = \varphi \circ \gamma|_{[a,b]}$ is absolutely continuous.

In the following we consider $p \in \partial M$: The hypersurface $\partial M \subset DM$ has a geodesic tubular neighbourhood

$$\phi_G: V \rightarrow U^G, \quad \phi_G(t, p) = \exp_p^G(tN_g(p))$$

where $V \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial M$ is an open neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times \partial M$ and N_g is the inward pointing unit normal vector field along the boundary of $[M, 1]$ with respect to g . Moreover, V is supposed to be symmetric under reflection along $\{0\} \times \partial M$.

We have $\phi_G(V \cap \{t \geq 0\}) \subset [M, 1]$ and $\phi_G(V \cap \{t \leq 0\}) \subset [M, 2]$, as well as

$$\phi_G(t, p) = (\text{pr} \circ \phi_G)(-t, p) \tag{1}$$

for all $(t, p) \in V$ with $t \geq 0$ by symmetry of G . Put $U^g := U^G \cap [M, 1]$. Then

$$\phi_g: V \cap \{t \geq 0\} \rightarrow U^g, \quad \phi_g(t, p) := \phi_G(t, p)$$

is a geodesic collar neighbourhood of ∂M in $[M, 1]$, see Figure 1. It holds $\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(U^g) = \gamma^{-1}(U^G)$.

Within a tubular neighbourhood, γ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_G^{-1} \circ \gamma: \gamma^{-1}(U^G) &\rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial M, \\ (\phi_G^{-1} \circ \gamma)(s) &= (t(s), p(s)) \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 1. Tubular and collar neighbourhoods of ∂M

for some continuous functions $t: \gamma^{-1}(U^G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p: \gamma^{-1}(U^G) \rightarrow \partial M$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}: \gamma^{-1}(U^G) &\rightarrow V \cap \{t \geq 0\}, \\ (\phi_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}(s) &= (|t(s)|, p(s)) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{\gamma}$ in the geodesic collar which is a consequence of (1).

Now we will provide the actual proof. To ensure clarity, we assume that ∂M is covered by a single chart without significant loss of generality. Let $[a, b] \subset \gamma^{-1}(U^G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ small enough so that the condition for absolute continuity of $\phi_G^{-1} \circ \gamma|_{[a, b]}$ holds with ε and δ .

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ be a family of disjoint intervals with $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and total length $\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| < \delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^m \|(\phi_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(b_i) - (\phi_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(a_i)\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|(|t(b_i)|, p(b_i)) - (|t(a_i)|, p(a_i))\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m ((|t(b_i)| - |t(a_i)|)^2 + \|p(b_i) - p(a_i)\|^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m (|t(b_i) - t(a_i)|^2 + \|p(b_i) - p(a_i)\|^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|(t(b_i), p(b_i)) - (t(a_i), p(a_i))\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \|(\phi_G^{-1} \circ \gamma)(b_i) - (\phi_G^{-1} \circ \gamma)(a_i)\| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

meaning that $\phi_g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}|_{[a, b]}$ is absolutely continuous. In total, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is absolutely continuous by Lemma 2.6.

Regarding (b): Let $s_0 \in I$ be a point where both γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are differentiable. According to proposition 2.8 and part (a), this is the case almost everywhere.

The claim $|\dot{\gamma}(s_0)|_G = |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s_0)|_g$ is easy to prove if $\gamma(s_0) \notin \partial M$ (cf. part (a)) so that we consider $\gamma(s_0) \in \partial M$. Then it holds $t(s_0) = 0$. Since γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are differentiable in s_0 , so are $t, |t|$ and p . By the generalized Gauss lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_G^* G &= dt^2 + G_t, \\ \phi_g^* g &= dt^2 + g_t \end{aligned}$$

where $G_\bullet: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$ and $g_\bullet: V \cap \{t \geq 0\} \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$ coincide on $V \cap \{t \geq 0\}$.

It holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\gamma}(s_0)|_G^2 &= \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} t(s) \right)^2 + |\dot{p}(s_0)|_{g_0}^2, \\ |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s_0)|_g^2 &= \left(\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} |t(s)| \right)^2 + |\dot{p}(s_0)|_{g_0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We show that $d/ds|_{s=s_0} t(s) = d/ds|_{s=s_0} |t(s)| = 0$.

First case: There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $t(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in (s_0 - \delta, s_0 + \delta)$ or $t(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \in (s_0 - \delta, s_0 + \delta)$. Assuming $t(s) \geq 0$ for all such s , the left-sided derivative in s_0 is ≤ 0 whereas the right-sided derivative is ≥ 0 . Hence $d/ds|_{s=s_0} t(s) = 0$. As $|t|$ coincides with t on $(s_0 - \delta, s_0 + \delta)$, we also have $d/ds|_{s=s_0} |t(s)| = 0$.

Second case: There is no such δ as in the first case. We can pick a sequence $(s_k)_k$ with $s_k \rightarrow s_0$ so that $t(s_k) \geq 0$ for k even and $t(s_k) \leq 0$ for k odd. For the even part, one has

$$\frac{|t(s_{2k})|}{s_{2k} - s_0} = \frac{t(s_{2k})}{s_{2k} - s_0} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} t(s)$$

and for the odd part

$$\frac{|t(s_{2k+1})|}{s_{2k+1} - s_0} = \frac{-t(s_{2k+1})}{s_{2k+1} - s_0} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} t(s).$$

By differentiability of $|t|$, we conclude $d/ds|_{s=s_0} t(s) = -d/ds|_{s=s_0} t(s)$ or $d/ds|_{s=s_0} t(s) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} |t(s)| = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} t(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=s_0} t(s) = 0.$$

In either case, it holds $|\dot{\gamma}(s_0)|_G = |\dot{p}(s_0)|_{g_0} = |\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s_0)|_g$. \square

Remark 2.15. The doubling property for g is actually not needed in part (a), since gluing together two copies of an appropriate collar neighbourhood of $\partial M \subset M$ yields a tubular neighbourhood for ∂M in DM . This is by construction of the smooth structure on DM .

Now Proposition 2.3 follows immediately from Corollary 2.13 and Proposition 2.14.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $(p_k)_k$ be a Cauchy sequence in DM with respect to d_G . Without loss of generality, we can assume that all points $p_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are contained in a single copy of M . If not, we select an appropriate subsequence. Then $(p_k)_k$ converges by Proposition 2.3 and the completeness of g . \square

3. THE DEFORMATION PRINCIPLE

Throughout this section we fix a smooth manifold M of dimension $n \geq 2$ with non-empty (possibly non-compact) boundary. For each Riemannian metric g on M we denote by

- ▷ $\text{scal}_g: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its scalar curvature;
- ▷ $g_0 \in C^\infty(\partial M; T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ the metric induced on ∂M ;
- ▷ N_g the inward pointing unit normal vector field along ∂M ;
- ▷ II_g the second fundamental form of $\partial M \subset M$ with respect to N_g ;
- ▷ $H_g = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr}_{g_0}(\text{II}_g): \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the mean curvature of ∂M .

The space of Riemannian metrics on M is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(M)$. It is endowed with the weak C^∞ -topology. Let $\sigma: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function that also remains unchanged. We investigate metrics in the subspace $\mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M) := \{g \in \mathcal{R}(M) : \text{scal}_g > \sigma\}$ where the topology is inherited from $\mathcal{R}(M)$.

The following refined versions of geodesic collar neighbourhoods are important for the deformation principle: Given a metric g on M and a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ of ∂M there exists a continuous positive function $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U^g = U_\eta^g$ is the diffeomorphic image of

$$V_\eta := \{(t, p) \in [0, \infty) \times \partial M : t < \eta(p)\}$$

under the normal exponential map, i.e.

$$\phi_g: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^g, \phi_g(t, p) = \exp_p(tN_g(p))$$

is a collar neighbourhood. We can arrange $U_\eta^g \subset \mathcal{U}$ by choosing η small enough. Working in families of metrics is more complicated as the neighbourhoods V_η and U_η^g may differ between members. However, it is possible to fix either the domain or the target in the following sense:

Fact C1. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics. For each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there exists a continuous positive function $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- ▷ $\phi_{g(\xi)}: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^{g(\xi)}$ is a collar neighbourhood for each $\xi \in K$;
- ▷ $\phi: K \rightarrow C^\infty(V_\eta; M), \xi \mapsto \phi_{g(\xi)}$ is continuous;
- ▷ $U_\eta^g \subset \mathcal{U}$ for all $\xi \in K$.

Fact C2. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics. Let $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous positive function such that $\phi_{g(\xi)}: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^{g(\xi)}$ is a geodesic collar neighbourhood for all $\xi \in K$. Then there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of ∂M with the following properties:

- ▷ $U \subset U_\eta^{g(\xi)}$ for all $\xi \in K$;
- ▷ $\phi^{-1}: K \rightarrow C^\infty(U; V_\eta), \xi \mapsto \phi_{g(\xi)}^{-1}$ is continuous.

As with $\mathcal{R}(M)$, we equip all function spaces with the weak C^∞ -topology. Facts C1 and C2 are proven in [12, Satz 2.14 and Prop. 2.15].

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval with $[0, 1] \subset I$. A continuous family of time-dependent Riemannian metrics is a continuous map $g: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ with $g(\xi)(\bullet, s)$ being a Riemannian metric for each $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. We require I to be open as well as $g(\xi)(p, s) = g(\xi)(p, 0)$ for all $s \leq 0$ and $g(\xi)(p, s) = g(\xi)(p, 1)$ for all $s \geq 1$. This condition is not inalienable but for avoiding corners.

There is a need for adapted versions of C1 and C2 with families of time-dependent metrics. As an abbreviation, we will denote $\phi_{\xi, s} := \phi_{g(\xi)(\bullet, s)}$ and $U_\eta^{\xi, s} := U_\eta^{g(\xi)(\bullet, s)}$.

Fact C1'. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ be a continuous family of time-dependent Riemannian metrics. For each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there exists a continuous positive function $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- ▷ $\phi_{\xi, s}: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^{\xi, s}$ is a collar neighbourhood for each $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$;
- ▷ $\phi: K \rightarrow C^\infty(V_\eta \times I; M), \xi \mapsto [(t, p, s) \mapsto \phi_{\xi, s}(t, p)]$ is well-defined and continuous;
- ▷ $U_\eta^{\xi, s} \subset \mathcal{U}$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$.

Fact C2'. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ be a continuous family of time-dependent Riemannian metrics. Let $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous positive function such that $\phi_{\xi, s}: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^{\xi, s}$ is a geodesic collar neighbourhood for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. Then there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset M$ of ∂M with the following properties:

- ▷ $U \subset U^{\xi, s}$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$;
- ▷ $\phi^{-1}: K \rightarrow C^\infty(U \times I; V_\eta), \xi \mapsto [(p, s) \mapsto \phi_{\xi, s}^{-1}(p)]$ is well-defined and continuous.

To say ϕ is well-defined means that the assignment $(t, p, s) \mapsto \phi_{\xi, s}(t, p)$ is jointly smooth with respect to the point $(t, p) \in V_\eta$ and the parameter $s \in I$ (similar with ϕ^{-1}). Facts C1' and C2' can be deduced from [12, Satz 2.14 and Prop. 2.15] using autonomization techniques from ODE theory.

To begin the deformation process, it is useful to standardise the normal exponential maps of a given continuous family $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$. Uniformisation is even necessary for some applications, see Corollary 4.3. The process concludes with Corollary 3.5.

Lemma 3.1. Let $r > 0$. There exists a complete Riemannian metric $m \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ and an open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ such that each U_i is relatively compact and that

$$I_i := \{j \in I : \overline{B}_m(U_i, r) \cap \overline{B}_m(U_j, r) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite for every $i \in I$. Here $\overline{B}_m(U_i, r) = \{p \in M : d_m(p, U_i) < r\}$ denotes the closed r -neighbourhood around U_i with respect to m .

Proof. We choose a proper smooth embedding $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ of M into some sufficiently large Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N . The sets

$$U_i := \Phi^{-1}(B(i, \sqrt{N})), \quad i \in I := \mathbb{Z}^N$$

form an open cover of M where $B(i, \sqrt{N})$ denotes the open Euclidean ball of radius \sqrt{N} around $i \in I$. Each fixed U_i intersects only a finite number of other $U_j, j \in I$. The sets are relatively compact since each closure $\text{cl}(U) \subset \Phi^{-1}(\overline{B}(i, \sqrt{N}))$ is contained in a compact neighbourhood.

We set

$$m := \Phi^* g_{\text{eucl}}$$

where g_{eucl} denotes the Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^N . The metric m is complete because $\Phi(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is closed. It holds $\Phi(\overline{B}_m(U_i, r)) \subset \overline{B}(i, \sqrt{N} + r)$ for every $i \in I$. Since the balls $\overline{B}(i, \sqrt{N} + r)$ only meet in finite families, the same is true for the neighbourhoods $\overline{B}_m(U_i, r)$. \square

We choose $r = 1$ and fix a background metric $m \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ together with an open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of M as in the lemma. We would like to mention that the balls $\overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$ are compact due to the Hopf-Rinow

theorem, see [6, Prop. 2.5.22 and Thm. 2.5.28]. This is applicable since Riemannian manifolds with boundary are length spaces, see e.g. [5, Prop. 3.18].

Suppose there is a distinguished metric $g(\xi_0), \xi_0 \in K$ in a given family $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$. The starting point for the uniformisation process is to join every metric $g(\xi), \xi \in K$ with the metric $g(\xi_0)$ by a path of metrics.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval with $[0, 1] \subset I$ and let $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function with

- ▷ $\rho(s) = 0$ for all $s \leq 0$;
- ▷ $\rho(s) = 1$ for all $s \geq 1$;
- ▷ $\text{supp } \rho' \subset (0, 1)$.

Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M . We consider the family of time-dependent Riemannian metrics given by $(1 - \rho(s))g(\xi_0) + \rho(s)g(\xi)$ for $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$.

By Fact C1', there exists an associated family of geodesic collar neighbourhoods $\phi_{\xi, s}: V \rightarrow U^{\xi, s}$ that satisfy the inclusion $U^{\xi, s} \subset \mathcal{U}$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. We drop the subscript η at this point.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \omega: K &\rightarrow C^\infty(U^{g(\xi_0)} \times I; M), \\ \omega(\xi)(p, s) &= (\phi_{\xi, s} \circ \phi_{g(\xi_0)}^{-1})(p). \end{aligned}$$

This map is continuous due to Fact C1'.

Our proofs will utilise time-dependent vector fields. Similar to time-dependent metrics, a time-dependent vector field $V \in C^\infty(M \times I; TM)$ is supposed to satisfy $V(\xi)(p, s) = V(\xi)(p, 0)$ for all $s \leq 0$ and $V(\xi)(p, s) = V(\xi)(p, 1)$ for all $s \geq 1$. We further require $V(\partial M \times I) \subset T(\partial M)$.

The vector field is said to have *bounded velocity* if there exists a complete Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ and a constant $L \geq 0$ such that $|V(p, s)|_g \leq L$ for all $p \in M$ and $s \in I$, cf. [15].

Lemma 3.2. *Let (K, ξ_0) be a compact pointed Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics. Let $\delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous positive function.*

For each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M , there exists a smaller neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U \subset \mathcal{U}$ and a continuous family

$$V: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; TM)$$

of time-dependent vector fields such that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$:

- (a) $V(\xi)(p, s) = 0$ for all $p \in \partial M$;
- (b) $V(\xi_0)(p, s) = 0$ for all $p \in M$;
- (c) The curve $\omega(\xi)(p, \bullet): I \rightarrow M$ is a solution to the IVP

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(s) &= V(\xi)(\gamma(s), s) \\ \gamma(0) &= p \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in U$;

- (d) $V(\xi)(p, s) = 0$ for all $p \in M \setminus \text{cl}(\mathcal{U})$;
- (e) $|V(\xi)(p, s)|_m < \delta(p)$ for all $p \in M$;
- (f) $|V(\xi)(p, s)|_{g(\xi)} < \delta(p)$ for all $p \in M$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M . We will start from the point before the lemma: There exist neighbourhoods $\partial M \subset U'' \subset U' \subset M$ with $\text{cl}(U'') \subset U' \subset U^{\xi, s}$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$ as can be seen from Fact C1', C2' and the later Lemma 3.15. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v: K &\rightarrow C^\infty(U' \times I; TM), \\ v(\xi)(p, s) &= \frac{\partial \omega(\xi)}{\partial s}((\phi_{g(\xi_0)} \circ \phi_{\xi, s}^{-1})(p), s) \end{aligned}$$

is a local family of time-dependent vector fields. For each point $p \in \partial M$, it holds $\omega(\xi)(p, s) = p$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$ so that $v \equiv 0$ on ∂M . By continuity and compactness of K , we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(\xi)(p, s)|_m &< \delta(p), \\ |v(\xi)(p, s)|_{g(\xi)} &< \delta(p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in U', \xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. This is without loss of generality.

Next let $V'' \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial M$ be a neighbourhood of ∂M such that $\phi_{\xi, s}(V'') \subset U''$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. Put $U := \phi_{g(\xi_0)}(V'')$. We then obtain the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \omega(\xi)}{\partial s}(p, s) = v(\xi)(\omega(\xi)(p, s), s)$$

for all $p \in U, \xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. In order to globalize the construction let $\chi: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth bumping function with

- ▷ $\chi = 1$ on U'' ;
- ▷ $\text{supp } \chi \subset U'$.

Define a global time-dependent vector field by

$$\begin{aligned} V: K &\rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; TM), \\ V(\xi)(p, s) &= \chi(p) \cdot v(\xi)(p, s). \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that V satisfies properties (a) – (f). We present (c):

It holds $\omega(\xi)(p, s) \in U''$ for all $p \in U, \xi \in K$ and $s \in I$ by construction. We further know that ω satisfies the IVP

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \omega(\xi)}{\partial s}(p, s) &= v(\xi)(\omega(\xi)(p, s), s), \\ \omega(\xi)(p, 0) &= p. \end{aligned}$$

Since V and v coincide on U'' , the curves $\omega(\xi)(p, \bullet): I \rightarrow M$ are solutions to (c). \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let (K, ξ_0) be a compact pointed Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics. Let $\delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous positive function.*

For each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there exists a smaller neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U \subset \mathcal{U}$ and a continuous map

$$\Omega: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$$

such that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in I$:

- (a) $\Omega(\xi, 0) = \text{id}_M$;
- (b) $\Omega(\xi_0, s) = \text{id}_M$;
- (c) $\Omega(\xi, 1) = \phi_{g(\xi)} \circ \phi_{g(\xi_0)}^{-1}$ on U ;
- (d) $\Omega(\xi, s) = \text{id}$ and $d\Omega(\xi, s) = \text{id}$ on $M \setminus \mathcal{U}$;
- (e) $d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) < \delta(p)$ for all $p \in M$;
- (f) $d_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) < \delta(p)$ for all $p \in M$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M . We apply Lemma 3.2 for the function $\delta/2$ to obtain a family of vector fields V and a neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U_V \subset \mathcal{U}$ with properties 3.2(a) – (f). Now we use the open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ from Lemma 3.1:

Let $i \in I$. By compactness there exists some $\tilde{\delta}_i > 0$ such that

$$|V(\xi)(p, s)|_m - |V(\xi)(q, s)|_m < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta(p') : p' \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)\} \quad (2)$$

and

$$|V(\xi)(p, s)|_{g(\xi)} - |V(\xi)(q, s)|_{g(\xi)} < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta(p') : p' \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)\} \quad (3)$$

for all $p, q \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$ with $d_m(p, q) < \tilde{\delta}_i$ and for all $\xi \in K, s \in I$. Let $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $(B_m(U_i, 1))_{i \in I}$. Set

$$\tilde{\delta}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\delta}(p) = \sum_{i \in I} \psi_i(p) \cdot \min_{j \in I_i} \tilde{\delta}_j.$$

This is a well-defined continuous function with $\tilde{\delta}(p) > 0$ for all $p \in M$. We have $\delta(p) \leq \tilde{\delta}_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $p \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$.

Since $V \equiv 0$ on ∂M , there is a neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U' \subset M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(\xi)(p, s)|_m &< \min\{\tilde{\delta}(p), 1\}, \\ |V(\xi)(p, s)|_{g(\xi)} &< \tilde{\delta}(p) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $p \in U', \xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. Choose a smooth bumping function $\chi: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with

- ▷ $\chi = 1$ on some neighbourhood U'' of ∂M ;
- ▷ $\text{supp } \chi \subset U'$.

Then set

$$V_\Omega: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; TM),$$

$$V_\Omega(\xi)(p, s) = \chi(p) \cdot V(\xi)(p, s).$$

This family satisfies the inequalities (4) globally and it satisfies $|V_\Omega(\xi)| \leq |V(\xi)|$ for every Riemannian metric. In particular, $V_\Omega(\xi)$ has bounded velocity for every $\xi \in K$.

According to [15, Thm. 8.1.1] and [12, Satz A.5], the solution curves to the IVP

$$\dot{\gamma}(s) = V_\Omega(\xi)(\gamma(s), s)$$

$$\gamma(0) = p, \quad p \in M$$

assemble in a well-defined and continuous solution map

$$\Omega: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M \times I; M)$$

which consists of diffeomorphisms. From this we deduce a map

$$\Omega: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$$

which is again denoted by Ω , and check properties (a) – (f):

(a) is by definition of flows.

(b) follows from Lemma 3.2(b).

(c) As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we find a neighbourhood $U \subset U_V \cap U''$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \omega(\xi)}{\partial s}(p, s) = V_\Omega(\omega(\xi)(p, s), s),$$

$$\omega(\xi)(p, 0) = p$$

for all $p \in U, \xi \in K$ and $s \in I$. By uniqueness of integral curves, it holds $\Omega(\xi)(p, s) = \omega(\xi)(p, s)$ for $p \in U$. In particular, $\Omega(\xi, 1) = \phi_{g(\xi)} \circ \phi_{g(\xi_0)}^{-1}$ on U for all $\xi \in K$.

(d) follows from the fact that $V_\Omega \equiv 0$ on $M \setminus \text{cl } \mathcal{U}$.

(e) Let $p \in M$. Then it holds $p \in U_i$ for some $i \in I$. For any $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) = d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), \Omega(\xi, 0)(p))$$

$$\leq \int_0^s \left| \frac{\partial \Omega(\xi)}{\partial t}(p, t) \right|_m dt$$

$$= \int_0^s |V_\Omega(\xi)(\Omega(\xi, t)(p), t)|_m dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^s 1 dt \leq 1.$$

This means $\Omega(\xi, s)(p) \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, it holds

$$d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) \leq \int_0^s |V_\Omega(\xi)(\Omega(\xi, t)(p), t)|_m dt$$

$$< \int_0^s \tilde{\delta}(\Omega(\xi, t)(p)) dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^s \tilde{\delta}_i dt \leq \tilde{\delta}_i$$

for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Finally, we obtain

$$d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) \leq \int_0^s |V_\Omega(\xi)(\Omega(\xi, t)(p), t)|_m dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^s |V(\xi)(\Omega(\xi, t)(p), t)|_m dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^s |V(\xi)(p, t)|_m + ||V(\xi)(p, t)|_m - |V(\xi)(\Omega(\xi, t)(p), t)|_m| dt$$

$$< \int_0^s \frac{1}{2} \delta(p) + \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta(p') : p' \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)\} dt$$

$$\leq \delta(p)$$

for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ by inequality (2).

(f) Let $p \in M$. Choose some $i \in I$ with $p \in U_i$. We know that $\Omega(\xi, s)(p) \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$ and that $d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) < \tilde{\delta}_i$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. The assertion follows from (3). \square

Remark 3.4. Hirsch [15, Thm. 8.1.1] refers to time-dependent vector fields that are parametrized over compact intervals. The result is also true for open intervals.

Corollary 3.5. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ . Let $\beta \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ be another distinguished Riemannian metric.*

For each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there exists a smaller neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U \subset \mathcal{U}$ and a continuous map

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$:

- (a) $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
- (b) $N_{f(\xi, 1)} = N_\beta$ and $\phi_{f(\xi, 1)} = \phi_\beta$ on $\phi_\beta^{-1}(U)$;
- (c) $f(\xi, s)_t = g(\xi)_t$ near $\{0\} \times \partial M$;
- (d) $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathcal{U}$;
- (e) $f(\xi, s)$ is quasi-isometric to $g(\xi)$ via the identity;
- (f) if $g(\xi)$ is complete then so is $f(\xi, s)$.

Property (e) means that there exist constants $A \geq 1$ and $B \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{A} d_{g(\xi)}(p, q) - B \leq d_{f(\xi, s)}(p, q) \leq A d_{g(\xi)}(p, q) + B$$

for all $p, q \in M$. In fact, we can arrange these inequalities for $A = 1$ and an arbitrary constant $B > 0$ independent from ξ and s . The proof below works with $B = 1$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M . Let ξ_0 be an arbitrary object. Then $K \cup \{\xi_0\}$ with the topology of disjoint union is again a compact Hausdorff space. We put $g(\xi_0) := \beta$.

Each metric $f(\xi, s)$ will be a pullback of $g(\xi)$ along some diffeomorphism of M . For the construction we consider the open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ from Lemma 3.1. Another important quantity is the scalar curvature surplus

$$D: K \rightarrow C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R}), \quad D(\xi)(p) = \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(p) - \sigma(p).$$

Now let $i \in I$. By compactness, there exists some $\delta_i > 0$ such that

$$|\text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(p) - \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(q)| < \min\{D(\xi')(p') : p' \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1), \xi' \in K\}$$

for all $p, q \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$ with $d_m(p, q) < \delta_i$ and for all $\xi \in K$.

Let $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $(U_i)_{i \in I}$. Set

$$\delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta(p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in I} \psi_i(p) \cdot \min\{\min_{j \in I_i} \delta_j, 1\}.$$

This is a well-defined continuous function with $0 < \delta(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $p \in M$. Furthermore, it holds $\delta(p) < \delta_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $p \in U_i$.

Proposition 3.3, applied for the compact Hausdorff space $K \cup \{\xi_0\}$ and the function δ , yields a neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U \subset \mathcal{U}$ and a family $\Omega: (K \cup \{\xi_0\}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ with its distinguished properties 3.3(a) – (f). We put

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M), \quad f(\xi, s) := \Omega(\xi, s)^* g(\xi).$$

This operation preserves the lower scalar curvature bound σ which is shown as follows: Let $p \in M, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Then it holds $\text{scal}_{f(\xi, s)}(p) = \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(p))$.

Choose some $i \in I$ with $p \in U_i$. By Proposition 3.3(e), we have $d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) < \delta(p) < 1$, i.e. $\Omega(\xi, s)(p) \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1)$. The same inequality gives $d_m(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) < \delta(p) < \delta_i$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(p)) - \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}(p)| \\ & < \min\{D(\xi')(p') : p' \in \overline{B}_m(U_i, 1), \xi' \in K\} \leq D(\xi)(p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $\text{scal}_{f(\xi, s)}(p) > \sigma(p)$.

It remains to check properties (a) – (f):

- (a) follows from Proposition 3.3(a);

(b) Let $\xi \in K$ and $(t, p) \in \phi_\beta^{-1}(U)$. The map $\Omega(\xi, 1): (M, f(\xi, 1)) \rightarrow (M, g(\xi))$, as an isometry, maps normal geodesics to normal geodesics. By Proposition 3.3(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(\xi, 1)(\phi_\beta(t, p)) &= \phi_{g(\xi)}(t, p) = \exp_p^{g(\xi)}(tN_{g(\xi)}(p)) \\ &= \Omega(\xi, 1)(\exp_p^{f(\xi, 1)}(tN_{f(\xi, 1)}(p))) \\ &= \Omega(\xi, 1)(\phi_{f(\xi, 1)}(t, p))\end{aligned}$$

since Ω preserves boundary points. Thus, $\phi_{f(\xi, 1)}(t, p) = \phi_\beta(t, p)$. In particular,

$$N_{f(\xi, 1)}(p) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \phi_{f(\xi, 1)}(t, p) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \phi_\beta(t, p) = N_\beta(p).$$

(c) Let $\xi \in K$. We choose a neighbourhood $V \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial M$ of ∂M such that $\phi_{f(\xi, s)}: V \rightarrow U^{f(\xi, s)}$ is a geodesic collar neighbourhood with $U^{f(\xi, s)} \subset U$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V, dt^2 + g(\xi)_t) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{g(\xi)}} & (U^{g(\xi)}, g(\xi)) \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \Omega(\xi, s) \\ (V, dt^2 + f(\xi, s)_t) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{f(\xi, s)}} & (U^{f(\xi, s)}, f(\xi, s)) \end{array}$$

It commutes since $\Omega(\xi, s)$ maps normal geodesics to normal geodesics. As the horizontal maps and the vertical map on the right are isometries, so is the identity on the left.

(d) follows from Proposition 3.3(d).

(e) Let $p, q \in M, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. According to Proposition 3.3(f), it holds

$$\begin{aligned}d_{f(\xi, s)}(p, q) &= d_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), \Omega(\xi, s)(q)) \\ &\leq d_{g(\xi)}(p, q) + d_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(p), p) + d_{g(\xi)}(\Omega(\xi, s)(q), q) \\ &\leq d_{g(\xi)}(p, q) + 1.\end{aligned}$$

The other inequality is similar.

(f) Let $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Since $\Omega(\xi, s): (M, f(\xi, s)) \rightarrow (M, g(\xi))$ is a Riemannian isometry, it is also a metric isometry. Completeness is transferred from $g(\xi)$ to $f(\xi, s)$. \square

In a second step, we establish a standard type of Riemannian metrics within the deformation principle. These are called *C-normal metrics*. They provide better control on scalar curvature.

For the definition, we consider a Riemannian metric g on M with its representation $g = dt^2 + g_t$ in a geodesic collar neighbourhood $\phi_g: V \rightarrow U^g$. Then it holds $\Pi_g = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{g}_0$ as a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on ∂M . The associated Weingarten map of ∂M is denoted by W_g . Its mean curvature is given by

$$H_g = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr}(W_g) = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \text{tr}_{g_0}(\dot{g}_0).$$

More generally, for each $(t, p) \in V$ we find a neighbourhood $p \in V_p \subset \partial M$ such that $\{t\} \times V_p \subset V$. The second fundamental form for this slice is $\Pi_t = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{g}_t$ (with respect to the normal $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$). They build a map $\Pi_\bullet: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$. So do the corresponding Weingarten maps $W_\bullet: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T\partial M$, uniquely defined by

$$\langle W_t(p)(v), w \rangle_{g_t} = \Pi_t(p)(v, w) = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{g}_t(p)(v, w).$$

The scalar curvature of (M, g) within U^g can be computed by means of [2, Prop. 4.1]:

$$\text{scal}_g = \text{scal}_{g_t} + 3 \text{tr}(W_t^2) - \text{tr}(W_t)^2 - \text{tr}_{g_t}(\ddot{g}_t). \quad (5)$$

Definition 3.6. Let $C \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$. A Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ is called *C-normal* if the pullback metrics g_\bullet with respect to some small geodesic collar neighbourhood $\phi_g: V \rightarrow U^g$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}g_t(p) &= g_0(p) + t \cdot \dot{g}_0(p) - C(p)t^2 \cdot g_0(p) \\ &= g_0(p) - 2t \cdot \Pi_g(p) - C(p)t^2 \cdot g_0(p), \quad (t, p) \in V.\end{aligned}$$

Every Riemannian metric on M can be deformed into a *C-normal* metric while preserving lower scalar curvature bounds, with the original 1-jet along the boundary:

Proposition 3.7. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ .*

Then there exists a smooth function $C_0 \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$ such that for each function $C \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$ with $C \geq C_0$ and each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there exists a continuous map

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

such that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$:

- (a) $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
- (b) $f(\xi, 1)$ is C -normal;
- (c) if $g(\xi)$ is \tilde{C} -normal then $f(\xi, s)$ is $((1-s)\tilde{C} + sC)$ -normal;
- (d) $f(\xi, s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$ and $\Pi_{f(\xi, s)} = \Pi_{g(\xi)}$;
- (e) $\ddot{f}(\xi, s)_0 = (1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sCg(\xi)_0$;
- (f) $f(\xi, s)_0^{(\ell)} = (1-s)g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \geq 3$;
- (g) $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathcal{U}$;
- (h) $f(\xi, s)$ is quasi-isometric to $g(\xi)$ via the identity;
- (i) if $g(\xi)$ is complete then so is $f(\xi, s)$.

Proof. Based on Theorem 3.5, it can be assumed that all metrics $g(\xi), \xi \in K$ have the same normal exponential map.

Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M and let $\phi: V \rightarrow U$ be a common geodesic collar neighbourhood for all metrics in g which satisfies $U \subset \mathcal{U}$. One can identify the metrics $g(\xi), \xi \in K$ on U with their associated generalized cylinder metrics on V .

Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a cover of ∂M consisting of open and relatively compact subsets, and let $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $(U_i)_{i \in I}$. For each $i \in I$, we define

$$C_i := \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \max_{\xi \in K} \|\text{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0)\|_{C^0(U_i)}.$$

It holds $C_i < \infty$ due to compactness of $\text{cl}(U_i)$. Then put

$$C_0: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, C_0 := \sum_{i \in I} \psi_i \cdot C_i.$$

This is a smooth function with

$$2(n-1) \cdot C_0(p) \geq |\text{tr}_{g(\xi)_0(p)}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0(p))|$$

for all $\xi \in K$ and $p \in \partial M$.

Now let $C: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with $C \geq C_0$. We consider the Taylor expansion of g_\bullet around $t = 0$:

$$g(\xi)_t = g(\xi)_0 + \dot{g}(\xi)_0 \cdot t + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 \cdot t^2 + R(\xi)_t.$$

Here $R(\xi)_\bullet$ is a smooth map $R(\xi)_\bullet: V \rightarrow T^*\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M$ made out of symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensors on ∂M that depends continuously on ξ . It holds

$$R(\xi)_0 = \dot{R}(\xi)_0 = \ddot{R}(\xi)_0 = 0$$

for all $\xi \in K$. Put

$$F(\xi, s) := g(\xi) - s \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 + C \cdot g(\xi)_0 \right) \cdot t^2 + R(\xi)_t \right)$$

for $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. This defines a continuous family $F: K \rightarrow C^\infty(V; T^*V \otimes T^*V)$ of symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields. It can also be seen as a family of $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields on U .

Shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that $F(\xi, s)$ is a Riemannian metric on U for each $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. This is by continuity and compactness of K . Furthermore, (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scal}_{F(\xi, s)}|_{\partial M} &= \text{scal}_{F(\xi, s)_0} + 3 \text{tr}(W_{F(\xi, s)}^2) - \text{tr}(W_{F(\xi, s)})^2 - \text{tr}_{F(\xi, s)_0}(\ddot{F}(\xi, s)_0) \\ &= \text{scal}_{g(\xi)_0} + 3 \text{tr}(W_{g(\xi)}^2) - \text{tr}(W_{g(\xi)})^2 - \text{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0) \\ &\quad - \text{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(-s\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sC \cdot g(\xi)_0) \\ &= \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}|_{\partial M} + s \cdot (\text{tr}_{g(\xi)_0}(\ddot{g}(\xi)_0) + 2C \cdot (n-1)) \geq \text{scal}_{g(\xi)}|_{\partial M} > \sigma|_{\partial M}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity allows to assume that $F(\xi, s) \in \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(U)$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Along the boundary, we find

- ▷ $F(\xi, s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$;
- ▷ $\dot{F}(\xi, s)_0 = \dot{g}(\xi)_0$;

- ▷ $\ddot{F}(\xi, s)_0 = (1-s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2sCg(\xi)_0$;
- ▷ $F(\xi, s)_0^{(\ell)} = (1-s)g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$ for all $\ell \geq 3$.

Finally, since $F(\xi, s)|_{\partial M} = g(\xi)|_{\partial M}$, it can be arranged that

$$\frac{1}{2}|v|_{g(\xi)} \leq |v|_{F(\xi, s)} \leq 2|v|_{g(\xi)} \quad (6)$$

for all $v \in TU, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. This time we use continuity for the maps

$$K \times [0, 1] \times \tilde{U} \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (\xi, s, p, v) \mapsto \frac{|v|_{F(\xi, s)(p)}}{|v|_{g(\xi)(p)}}$$

where $\tilde{U} \subset U$ is an arbitrary coordinate neighbourhood. Observe that one can restrict to the Euclidean sphere S^{n-1} which is compact and independent from ξ .

The condition $\text{scal} > \sigma$ defines a second order open partial differential relation on the space of pointwise metrics over M . The metrics in g solve it globally, the metrics in F solve it locally over U .

By the family version of the local flexibility lemma [3, Addendum 3.4] of Bär-Hanke, we obtain an open neighbourhood $\partial M \subset U_0 \subset U$ and a family

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M)$$

such that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$:

- ▷ $f(\xi, s) \in \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$;
- ▷ $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
- ▷ $f(\xi, s)|_{U_0} = F(\xi, s)|_{U_0}$;
- ▷ $f(\xi, s)|_{M \setminus U} = g(\xi)|_{M \setminus U}$.

In particular, $f(\xi, s)|_{M \setminus \mathcal{U}} = g(\xi)|_{M \setminus \mathcal{U}}$. We conclude properties (a) – (g) for this f .

The remaining properties (h) – (i) can be deduced from the actual construction in the flexibility lemma: Accordingly there exists a smooth function $\tau: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(\xi, s)(p) = \begin{cases} F(\xi, s\tau(p))(p), & p \in U, \\ g(\xi)(p), & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Together with (6), this yields

$$\frac{1}{2}|v|_{g(\xi)} \leq |v|_{f(\xi, s)} \leq 2|v|_{g(\xi)}$$

for all $v \in TM, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Properties (h) – (i) follow immediately. \square

Remark 3.8. The identification $g = dt^2 + g_t$ refers to a geodesic collar neighbourhood $\phi_g: V \rightarrow U^g$ of g . The deformations $F(\xi, s)$ are defined in terms of the collars $\phi_{g(\xi)}$. As soon as $F(\xi, s)$ is a Riemannian metric on $U = U^{g(\xi)}$ with its own geodesic collars, one may ask for the meaning of $F(\xi, s)_t$. In fact, there is no subtlety because normal geodesics of $F(\xi, s)$ and $g(\xi)$ coincide near the boundary.

Remark 3.9. Given $L > 1$ we can arrange the deformation so that

$$\frac{1}{L}|v|_{g(\xi)} \leq |v|_{f(\xi, s)} \leq L|v|_{g(\xi)}.$$

Remark 3.10. Strictly speaking, the local flexibility lemma from Bär-Hanke applies to smooth manifolds without boundary. To achieve an admissible setting, we attach a small cylinder to ∂M and extend the metrics $g(\xi)$ to the new manifold M^+ in continuous dependence of ξ .

Specifically, there is a continuous map $g^+: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M^+)$ such that the canonical inclusion $(M, g(\xi)) \rightarrow (M^+, g^+(\xi))$ is an isometric embedding for every $\xi \in K$. We can guarantee continuity for g^+ by means of the Seeley extension theorem [17]. See [12, Prop. 2.8] for details.

The last deformation step is for adjusting the 1-jet along the boundary, again respecting lower scalar curvature bounds. Several lemmas are required.

Lemma 3.11. *Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space and let g_1 and g_0 be two Euclidean scalar products on V such that $\|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$|\text{tr}_{g_1}(h) - \text{tr}_{g_0}(h)| \leq 2 \cdot \|g_1 - g_0\|_{g_0} \cdot \|h\|_{g_0}$$

holds for all symmetric bilinear forms h on V . Here $\|\cdot\|_{g_0}$ denotes the Frobenius norm on the space of symmetric bilinear forms induced by g_0 .

Proof. See [4, Lemma 24]. \square

Lemma 3.12. *There exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for each $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ there exists a smooth function $\chi_\delta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with*

- ▷ $\chi_\delta(t) = t$ for t near 0, $\chi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \sqrt{\delta}$ and $0 \leq \chi_\delta(t) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all t ,
- ▷ $|\dot{\chi}_\delta(t)| \leq c_0$ for all t ,
- ▷ $-\frac{2}{\delta} \leq \ddot{\chi}_\delta(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$ and $|\ddot{\chi}_\delta(t)| \leq c_0$ for all $t \in [\delta, \sqrt{\delta}]$.

Proof. See [4, Lemma 25]. □

Remark 3.13. Given $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and a function χ_δ as in Lemma 3.12 it holds $\chi_\delta(t) \leq t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$: Since $\ddot{\chi}_\delta \leq 0$ on $[0, \delta]$ we find $\dot{\chi}_\delta(t) \leq \dot{\chi}_\delta(0) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \delta]$. Thus, $\chi_\delta(t) \leq t$ by the mean value theorem. For $t \in (\delta, \infty)$, we have $\chi_\delta(t) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \leq t$.

Lemma 3.14. *There exist countable covers $(U_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (U_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (U_i^3)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ∂M such that the following holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$:*

- ▷ Each subset $U_i^1, U_i^2, U_i^3 \subset \partial M$ is open and relatively compact;
- ▷ $I_i := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : U_i^3 \cap U_j^3 \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite;
- ▷ $\text{cl}(U_i^1) \subset U_i^2$ and $\text{cl}(U_i^2) \subset U_i^3$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1. Let $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a proper smooth embedding into some Euclidean space. Then the sets $U_i^k := \Phi^{-1}(B(i, k\sqrt{N}))$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}^N \cong \mathbb{N}$ do the job. □

We fix three covers $(U_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (U_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (U_i^3)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ∂M as in Lemma 3.14 together with a partition of unity $\psi = (\psi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ subordinate to $(U_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The next lemma concerns the construction of closed collar neighbourhoods in manifolds with boundary. This is not a trivial matter, as demonstrated by the following example: Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . Suppose there exists a geodesic collar $\phi_g: [0, \varepsilon) \times \partial M \rightarrow M$ of uniform width $\varepsilon > 0$.

If ∂M is compact, then $\phi_g([0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times \partial M)$ is compact, hence closed in M . However, if ∂M is non-compact, then $\phi_g([0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \times \partial M)$ is not closed in general, as can be seen with $M = \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2 \setminus (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0})$ and $g = g_{\text{eucl}}$. If the deformation was done within such a collar, the resulting metric would not be smooth and not even continuous along the x_1 -axis. Nevertheless, there does exist a closed collar in our example, namely the set $A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x \leq y\}$. Another closed collar is given by

$$B = \left(\bigcup_{i \geq 2} \left[0, \frac{1}{i}\right] \times \left[\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i-1}\right] \right) \cup \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times [1, \infty) \right).$$

We generalize the collar neighbourhood B to arbitrary manifolds.




FIGURE 2. Collar neighbourhoods in $M = \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2 \setminus (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0})$; A and B are closed whereas the collar in the left picture is not

Lemma 3.15. *Let g be a Riemannian metric on M and let $\phi_g: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta^g$ be a geodesic collar neighbourhood. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $0 < \varepsilon_i < \min\{i^{-1}, \inf_{p \in U_i^3} \eta(p)\}$ and $\bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g} := \phi_g([0, \varepsilon_i] \times \text{cl}(U_i^1))$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g} \subset M$ is a closed neighbourhood of ∂M .*

Proof. Let $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g}$ with $q_n \rightarrow q \in M$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show $q \in \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g}$. To this end, let $(\phi_g^{-1}(q_n))_n = (t_n, p_n)$ denote the associated sequence in the preimage.

First case: There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p_n \in \cup_{i \leq N} \text{cl}(U_i^1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it holds $q_n \in \cup_{j \in I_i, i \leq N} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_j}^{1,g}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The last set is closed as it is a finite union of compact sets. Therefore, $q \in \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g}$.

Second case: There is no such $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By the estimate $\varepsilon_i < i^{-1}$, we find a subsequence $t_{\varphi(n)}$ of t_n such that $t_{\varphi(n)} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is $d_g(q_{\varphi(n)}, \partial M) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The triangle inequality gives

$$d_g(q, \partial M) \leq d_g(q, q_{\varphi(n)}) + d_g(q_{\varphi(n)}, \partial M) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

so that $d_g(q, \partial M) = 0$. Since $\partial M \subset M$ is closed, this yields $q \in \partial M \subset \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\varepsilon_i}^{1,g}$. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $g_0: K \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial M; T^* \partial M \otimes T^* \partial M)$ be a continuous family of Riemannian metrics on ∂M and let $h, k: K \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial M; T^* \partial M \otimes T^* \partial M)$ be continuous families of symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields satisfying $\text{tr}_{g_0}(h) \geq \text{tr}_{g_0}(k)$.*

Then there exists a smooth function $C_0 = C_0(g, h, k) \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$, $C_0 > 0$ such that

\triangleright *for every continuous family*

$$g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

of C -normal metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ with $C \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$, $C \geq C_0$, $g(\xi)_0 = g_0(\xi)$ and $\Pi_{g(\xi)} = h(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in K$ and

\triangleright *for each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M*

there exists a continuous map

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$:

- (a) $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
- (b) $f(\xi, s)$ is C -normal;
- (c) $f(\xi, s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$;
- (d) $\Pi_{f(\xi, s)} = (1 - s)\Pi_{g(\xi)} + sk(\xi)$;
- (e) $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathcal{U}$;
- (f) $f(\xi, s)$ is quasi-isometric to $g(\xi)$ via the identity;
- (g) if $g(\xi)$ is complete then so is $f(\xi, s)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a neighbourhood of ∂M . Let $C \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$ be a positive smooth function and let $g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$ be a continuous family of C -normal metrics of scalar curvature greater than σ with $g(\xi)_0 = g_0(\xi)$ and $\Pi_{g(\xi)} = h(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in K$.

Given that this proposition follows Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 within the deformation principle, we can assume that all metrics in g have the same normal exponential map and that they satisfy the condition of C -normality on a common neighbourhood U_0 of ∂M .

We choose a continuous positive function $\eta: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi: V_\eta \rightarrow U_\eta$ is a common geodesic collar neighbourhood for g with $U_\eta \subset U_0 \cap \mathcal{U}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $0 < \eta_i < \inf_{p \in U_i^3} \eta(p)$ be some fixed distance from the boundary.

Later, we will consider the C^k -norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^k}$ for tensor fields on ∂M , which is supposed to be the maximum of C^k -norms induced by the metrics $g_0(\xi)$, $\xi \in K$. Set

$$C_i := \|C\|_{C^2(U_i^3)}.$$

The deformation will be performed over each piece $U_i^2 \subset \partial M$, gluing everything together by the partition of unity ψ . To this end, let $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of real numbers satisfying

$$0 < \delta_i < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, i^{-2}, \min_{j \in I_i} \eta_j^2, \min_{j \in I_i} C_j^{-2} \right\}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let χ_{δ_i} be a function as in Lemma 3.12. We define

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\delta_i}: K \times [0, 1] &\rightarrow C^\infty(U_{\eta_i}^2; T^* M \otimes T^* M), \\ f^{\delta_i}(\xi, s) &= dt^2 + (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + 2s\chi_{\delta_i}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \end{aligned}$$

where $U_{\eta_i}^2 := \phi([0, \eta_i] \times U_i^2)$. Given $(t, p) \in [0, \eta_i] \times U_i^2$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{\delta_i}(\xi, s)(t, p) - g(\xi)(t, p)\|_{g(\xi)(t, p)} &= 2s\chi_{\delta_i}(t) \cdot \|h(\xi)(p) - k(\xi)(p)\|_{g(\xi)(t, p)} \\ &\leq \delta_i \cdot \sup_{\substack{\xi \in K, t \in [0, \eta_i] \\ p \in U_i^2}} \|h(\xi)(p) - k(\xi)(p)\|_{g(\xi)(t, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since positive definiteness is an open condition, f^{δ_i} becomes a family of Riemannian metrics on $U_{\eta_i}^2$ for sufficiently small δ_i . Then put

$$f^\delta: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C^\infty(M; T^*M \otimes T^*M),$$

$$f^\delta(\xi, s) = \begin{cases} dt^2 + (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_i \cdot 2s\chi_{\delta_i}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \\ \quad \text{on } \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\eta_i}^2, \\ g(\xi) \quad \text{on } M - \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\eta_i}^2 \end{cases}$$

for $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as small as above. This expression is positive definite at each point $(t, p) \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\eta_i}^2$, as can be seen from the formula

$$f^\delta(\xi, s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j \cdot (dt^2 + (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + 2s\chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi))). \quad (7)$$

If $p \in U_j^2$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t < \eta_j$, then the respective summand is positive definite by the above. If $t \geq \eta_j$, then $\chi_{\delta_j}(t) = 0$ and the other three summands equal $g(\xi)(t, p)$. There are no more summands to take into account because $\psi_j(p) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \notin U_j^2$.

We also verify the smoothness of $f^\delta(\xi, s)$ and the continuity of f^δ with respect to (ξ, s) . This is obvious once we know

$$f^\delta(\xi, s) = g(\xi) \quad \text{on } M - \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\sqrt{\delta_i}}^1,$$

the latter being open in M by Lemma 3.15. For justification, let $(t, p) \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{\eta_i}^2 - \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{U}_{\sqrt{\delta_i}}^1$. That is $(t, p) \in U_{\eta_i}^2$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Every summand in (7) is either $\psi_j(p) \cdot g(\xi)(t, p)$ (if $p \in U_j^1$) or zero (if $p \notin U_j^1$). Therefore, $f^\delta(\xi, s)(t, p) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j(p) \cdot g(\xi)(t, p) = g(\xi)(t, p)$.




FIGURE 3. Construction for f^δ by boxes

The main task is to arrange the deformation so that $\text{scal}_{f^\delta(\xi, s)} > \sigma$ for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In the following we use the notation \lesssim to mean that the left hand side is bounded by the right hand side multiplied with a positive constant that only depends on g_0, h and k on U_i^3 . In particular, it is independent from δ, t, p, ξ, s and C . Furthermore, a statement is said to be satisfied for sufficiently small $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$ if it is satisfied for all tuples $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$ whose maximum is smaller than a certain constant. This constant only depends on g_0, h and k on U_i^3 and on C on $\bigcup_{j \in I_i} U_j^3$.

On U_i^3 we use the abbreviation

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &:= f^\delta(\xi, s) \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_t &:= f^\delta(\xi, s)_t = (1 - Ct^2) \cdot g_0(\xi) - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s\chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, \eta_i]$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scal}_\gamma &= \text{scal}_{\gamma_t} + 3\text{tr}(W_t^2) - \text{tr}(W_t)^2 - \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t) \\ &\geq \text{scal}_{\gamma_t} - \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathbb{I}_t)^2 - \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

since W_t is a field of self-adjoint endomorphisms. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_t &= -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\gamma}_t = h(\xi) + Ct \cdot g_0(\xi) - \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot s\dot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)), \\ \ddot{\gamma}_t &= -2C \cdot g_0(\xi) + \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that normal geodesics of $f^\delta(\xi, s)$ and $g(\xi)$ coincide (cf. Remark 3.8). We investigate each summand in (8) on the set $[0, \eta_i] \times U_i^2$. One can even restrict to $[0, \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}] \times U_i^2$ since γ equals $g(\xi)$ on $[\max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}, \eta_i] \times U_i^2$.

Regarding scal_{γ_t} : For every $t \in [0, \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}]$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} &= \left\| -Ct^2 \cdot \gamma_0 - 2t \cdot h(\xi) + \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s\chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \right\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \\ &\leq t^2 \cdot \|C \cdot \gamma_0\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 2t \cdot \|h(\xi)\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 2s \sum_{j \in I_i} \chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot \|\psi_j \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi))\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \\ &\leq 4t^2 \cdot C_i \cdot \|\gamma\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 2t \cdot \|h(\xi)\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 8s \sum_{j \in I_i} \chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot \|\psi_j\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \cdot \|h(\xi) - k(\xi)\|_{C^2(U_i^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$t^2 \cdot C_i \leq t \cdot \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j} \cdot C_i \leq t.$$

Together with the inequality $2s\chi_{\delta_j}(t) \leq 2t$ from Remark 3.13, we find

$$\|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \leq 4t \cdot \|\gamma_0\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 2t \cdot \|h(\xi)\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} + 8t \sum_{j \in I_i} \|\psi_j\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \cdot \|h(\xi) - k(\xi)\|_{C^2(U_i^3)}.$$

Hence $\|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{C^2(U_i^3)} \lesssim t + t + t = 3t \leq 3 \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}$. This yields

$$\text{scal}_{\gamma_t} \gtrsim -1 \quad \text{on } U_i^2 \quad (9)$$

for sufficiently small $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$.

Regarding $\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathbb{I}_t)$: Let $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$ be sufficiently small so that $\|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $(t, p) \in [0, \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}] \times U_i^2$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathbb{I}_t)| &\leq |\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| + |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathbb{I}_t) - \text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| \\ &\lesssim |\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| + \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \cdot \|\mathbb{I}_t\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\lesssim |\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| + \|\mathbb{I}_t\|_{\gamma_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By the estimate $|\dot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t)| \leq c_0$ (cf. Lemma 3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{I}_t\|_{\gamma_0} &= \|h(\xi) + Ct \cdot \gamma_0 - \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot s\dot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi))\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\leq \|h(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0} + \sqrt{n-1} \cdot Ct + \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot s|\dot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t)| \cdot \|h(\xi) - k(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\lesssim 1 + C_i \cdot \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j} + \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \\ &\leq 3 \end{aligned}$$

and $|\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| \leq \sqrt{n-1} \cdot \|\mathbb{I}_t\|_{\gamma_0} \lesssim 1$. Hence

$$|\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\mathbb{I}_t)| \lesssim |\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{I}_t)| + \|\mathbb{I}_t\|_{\gamma_0} \lesssim 1. \quad (10)$$

Regarding $-\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t)$: The trace is given by

$$-\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t) = - \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) + 2C \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\gamma_0). \quad (11)$$

We consider the first sum: Let $j_0 \in I_i$ be fixed. Since $\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h) \geq \text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(k)$, $\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \leq 0$ and $\|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \lesssim t$ for all $(t, p) \in [0, \delta_{j_0}] \times U_i^2$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) &\leq \ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) - \ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \\ &\leq |\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t)| \cdot |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) - \text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi) - k(\xi))| \\ &\lesssim |\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t)| \cdot \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \cdot \|h(\xi) - k(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\lesssim \frac{2}{\delta_{j_0}} \cdot t \\ &\leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

for such t and p . This calculation is where the estimate $\chi_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \leq t$ comes into play, contrary to [4, Proposition 26].

For $t \in [\delta_{j_0}, \sqrt{\delta_{j_0}})$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi))| &\lesssim |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi))| \\ &\lesssim |\text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(h(\xi) - k(\xi))| + \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \cdot \|h(\xi) - k(\xi)\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\lesssim 1 + 1 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \sqrt{\delta_{j_0}}$. In total, we have

$$\ddot{\chi}_{\delta_{j_0}}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \lesssim 1$$

on $[0, \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}] \times U_i^2$ so that

$$\sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s \ddot{\chi}_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(h(\xi) - k(\xi)) \lesssim 1. \quad (12)$$

The second summand in (11) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\gamma_0) - n + 1| &= |\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\gamma_0) - \text{tr}_{\gamma_0}(\gamma_0)| \\ &\lesssim \|\gamma_t - \gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \cdot \|\gamma_0\|_{\gamma_0} \\ &\lesssim \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$|\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\gamma_0) - n + 1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for sufficiently small $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$, respectively

$$2C \cdot \text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\gamma_0) \geq 2(n - \frac{3}{2})C. \quad (13)$$

Equations (11), (12) and (13) give

$$-\text{tr}_{\gamma_t}(\ddot{\gamma}_t) \gtrsim C \quad (14)$$

if C is large enough on U_i^2 . By (8), (9), (10) and (14), we obtain

$$\text{scal}_{\gamma} \gtrsim C, \quad (15)$$

provided that C is large enough on U_i^2 .

Equations (14) and (15) make a condition on C on U_i^2 . If we carry out the same calculation for every set $U_{\eta_j}^2$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, this will add more conditions to C on U_i^2 . In fact, only the finite number of sets $U_{\eta_j}^2$, $j \in I_i$ can make a contribution for U_i^2 . This is why there exists a smooth function $C_{00} \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ which is sufficiently large on all pieces U_j^2 , $j \in \mathbb{N}$ in the sense of the calculation above.

Given $C \geq C_{00}$ we impose finitely many conditions on $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$, and in particular on δ_i , in order to obtain equation (15). Repeating the procedure for all sets $U_{\eta_j}^2$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ will add only finitely many conditions on δ_i . This admits the following conclusion:

Let $C \in C^\infty(\partial M; \mathbb{R})$, $C \geq C_{00}$. There exists a sequence of positive constants $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = m_i(g_0|_{U_i^3}, h|_{U_i^3}, k|_{U_i^3})$ such that for each collection $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sufficiently small numbers, it holds

$$\text{scal}_{\gamma} \geq m_i \cdot C \quad \text{on } [0, \max_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}] \times U_i^2 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally,

$$C_0: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad C_0 = C_{00} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2\psi_i \cdot \max_{j \in I_i} (m_j^{-1} \cdot \sup_{[0, \eta_j] \times U_j^2} |\sigma|)$$

is a function as is required in the proposition.

It remains to arrange properties (f) and (g): Given $L > 1$ we will be able to choose $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ small enough so that

$$\frac{1}{L}|v|_{g(\xi)} \leq |v|_{f(\xi,s)} \leq L|v|_{g(\xi)}$$

for all $v \in TM, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Without great loss of generality, one can assume that each closure $\text{cl}(U_{\eta_j}^2), j \in I_i$ is covered by a single chart. Then we add the following condition on δ :

$$0 < \delta_i < \min_{j \in I_i} \inf \{|v|_{g(\xi)(q)}^4 : \xi \in K, q \in U_{\eta_j}^2, v \in S^{n-1}\}.$$

For every $q \in U_{\eta_j}^2, v \in S^{n-1}, \xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, it holds

$$\frac{|v|_{f(\xi,s)}^2}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} \sum_{j \in I_i} \psi_j \cdot 2s\chi_{\delta_j}(t) \cdot (h(\xi) - k(\xi))(v^\top, v^\top)$$

where v^\top is the tangential part of v with respect to $d\phi$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|v|_{f(\xi,s)}^2}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} - 1 &\geq -\frac{1}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} \sum_{j \in I_i} \delta_j \cdot |(h(\xi) - k(\xi))(v^\top, v^\top)| \\ &\geq -\sum_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j} \cdot |(h(\xi) - k(\xi))(v^\top, v^\top)| \gtrsim -\sum_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\frac{|v|_{f(\xi,s)}^2}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} - 1 \geq -\left(1 - \frac{1}{L^2}\right)$$

for sufficiently small $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$. Hence $|v|_{f(\xi,s)}/|v|_{g(\xi)} \geq 1/L$ for such $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|v|_{f(\xi,s)}^2}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} - 1 &\leq \frac{1}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} \sum_{j \in I_i} \delta_j \cdot |(h(\xi) - k(\xi))(v^\top, v^\top)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in I_i} \sqrt{\delta_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $(\delta_j)_{j \in I_i}$ small enough, we obtain

$$\frac{|v|_{f(\xi,s)}^2}{|v|_{g(\xi)}^2} - 1 \leq L^2 - 1,$$

respectively $|v|_{f(\xi,s)}/|v|_{g(\xi)} \leq L$. □

The results from Proposition 3.7 and 3.16 are combined to the following theorem where we use two auxiliary functions $S_1, S_2: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$S_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1-t), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1-2t, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 3.17. *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let*

$$g: K \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

be continuous. Let $k: K \rightarrow C^\infty(\partial M; T^\partial M \otimes T^*\partial M)$ be a continuous family of symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields satisfying $\frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr}_{g_0}(k(\xi)) \leq H_{g(\xi)}$ for all $\xi \in K$.*

Then there exists a smooth positive function $C_0 \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ such that for each $C \in C^\infty(\partial M)$ with $C \geq C_0$ and for each neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of ∂M there is a continuous map

$$f: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>\sigma}(M)$$

so that the following holds for all $\xi \in K$ and $s \in [0, 1]$:

- (a) $f(\xi, 0) = g(\xi)$;
- (b) $f(\xi, 1)$ is C -normal;
- (c) $f(\xi, s)_0 = g(\xi)_0$;
- (d) $\Pi_{f(\xi,s)} = S_1(s)\Pi_{g(\xi)} + (1 - S_1(s))k(\xi)$, in particular $\Pi_{f(\xi,1)} = k(\xi)$;
- (e) if $g(\xi)$ is \tilde{C} -normal then $f(\xi, s)$ is C_s -normal for $C_s = S_2(s)\tilde{C} + (1 - S_2(s))C$;
- (f) $\ddot{f}(\xi, s)_0 = S_2(s)\ddot{g}(\xi)_0 - 2(1 - S_2(s))Cg(\xi)_0$;
- (g) for $\ell \geq 3$ we have $f(\xi, s)_0^{(\ell)} = S_2(s) \cdot g(\xi)_0^{(\ell)}$;

- (h) $f(\xi, s) = g(\xi)$ on $M \setminus \mathcal{U}$;
- (i) $f(\xi, s)$ is quasi-isometric to $g(\xi)$ via the identity;
- (j) if $g(\xi)$ is complete then so is $f(\xi, s)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [4, Theorem 27]. We apply Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.16 for sufficiently large C , one after the other, and concatenate the arising homotopies. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

We present a couple of non-existence results for metrics with (uniformly) positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary.

Corollary 4.1. *The right half-plane $\mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2$ cannot carry any complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary.*

Proof. Set $M := \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2$. Assume there is a Riemannian metric g as in the theorem. We apply Theorem 3.17 to obtain a complete doubling metric $f \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ of uniformly positive scalar curvature.

Set $F := f \cup f \in \mathcal{R}(DM^f)$. This metric is complete by Theorem 2.2 and it has uniformly positive scalar curvature. Since DM^f is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , we obtain a complete metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature on \mathbb{R}^2 . However, such a metric does not exist by the Bonnet-Myers theorem. \square

In fact, there do exist metrics of positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary on $\mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^2$. An example of this is the following Riemannian hypersurface with boundary, applied in the case $n = 2$:

$$P_n := \left\{ x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_n^2}, x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < 1, x_1 \geq 0 \right\} \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, g_{\text{eucl}}).$$

Figure 4 shows P_2 in \mathbb{R}^3 . For $n \geq 3$, the level sets of P_n are half spheres of dimension two or greater, indicating that P_n even has uniformly positive scalar curvature. This is why Corollary 4.1 cannot hold in higher dimensions. However, if we fix a quasi-isometry type, we can find an analogous result for $n \geq 3$. It requires a preparatory lemma.




FIGURE 4. P_2 in \mathbb{R}^3

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a smooth manifold with non-empty boundary. Let g_1 and g_2 be two complete doubling metrics on M . Put $G_1 := g_1 \cup g_1$ and $G_2 := g_2 \cup g_2$. If $\text{id}: (M, d_{g_1}) \rightarrow (M, d_{g_2})$ is a quasi-isometry, then so is $\text{id}: (DM, d_{G_1}) \rightarrow (DM, d_{G_2})$.*

Proof. We assume that M is connected and that $\text{id}: (M, d_{g_1}) \rightarrow (M, d_{g_2})$ is a quasi-isometry. Then there exist constants $A \geq 1$ and $B \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{A} d_{g_1}(p, q) - B \leq d_{g_2}(p, q) \leq A d_{g_1}(p, q) + B \quad \text{for all } p, q \in M.$$

Let $p, q \in DM$. If p and q are contained in the same copy of M , then Proposition 2.3 yields the desired quasi-isometry condition.

We focus on the case where p and q are contained in different copies. Since G_2 is complete (see Theorem 2.2), there exists a length-minimizing G_2 -geodesic $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow DM^{g_2}$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(1) = q$. By continuity there is $s \in [0, 1]$ with $\gamma(s) \in \partial M$. The restricted curves $\gamma|_{[0,s]}$ and $\gamma|_{[s,1]}$ are again length-minimizing G_2 -geodesics. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G_2}(p, q) &= \ell_{G_2}(\gamma) = \ell_{G_2}(\gamma|_{[0,s]}) + \ell_{G_2}(\gamma|_{[s,1]}) \\ &= d_{G_2}(p, \gamma(s)) + d_{G_2}(\gamma(s), q) \\ &= d_{g_2}(p, \gamma(s)) + d_{g_2}(\gamma(s), q) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{A}d_{g_1}(p, \gamma(s)) - B\right) + \left(\frac{1}{A}d_{g_1}(\gamma(s), q) - B\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{A}d_{G_1}(p, \gamma(s)) - B\right) + \left(\frac{1}{A}d_{G_1}(\gamma(s), q) - B\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{A}d_{G_1}(p, q) - 2B. \end{aligned}$$

The other inequality $d_{G_2}(p, q) \leq A d_{G_1}(p, q) + 2B$ can be shown in a similar manner. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $n \geq 3$. The right half-space $\mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$ cannot carry any complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary and which is quasi-isometric to the Euclidean metric via the identity.*

Proof. Set $M := \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n$. Assume there is a Riemannian metric g as in the theorem. We apply Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.17 for the metric g and the distinguished metric $\beta := g_{\text{eucl}}$. This yields a Riemannian metric $f \in \mathcal{R}(M)$ with the following properties:

- ▷ f has uniformly positive scalar curvature;
- ▷ f is doubling;
- ▷ $\phi_f = \phi_\beta$ on a neighbourhood of $\partial M \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial M$;
- ▷ f is quasi-isometric to g_{eucl} via the identity;
- ▷ f is complete.

From the third property, we deduce that f and β induce the same smooth structure on the double DM . Both $B = \beta \cup \beta$ and $F = f \cup f$ are smooth metrics on DM . The metric F clearly has uniformly positive scalar curvature. Furthermore, F is complete by Theorem 2.2 and the identity $\text{id}: (DM, d_B) \rightarrow (DM, d_F)$ is a quasi-isometry by Lemma 4.2.

Now we apply the canonical diffeomorphism

$$\kappa: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow DM, \kappa(x) = \begin{cases} [(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), 1], & x_1 \geq 0 \\ [(-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), 2], & x_1 \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

to obtain the following commutative diagram of Riemannian manifolds and smooth maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (DM, B) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (DM, F) \\ \uparrow \kappa & & \uparrow \kappa \\ (\mathbb{R}^n, G_{\text{eucl}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (\mathbb{R}^n, \kappa^* F) \end{array}$$

This is where the unique smooth structure of DM comes into play because it guarantees smoothness for the right vertical arrow. Both vertical arrows are Riemannian isometries.

The diagram above gives rise to a commutative diagram of metric spaces and continuous maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (DM, d_B) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (DM, d_F) \\ \uparrow \kappa & & \uparrow \kappa \\ (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\text{eucl}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\kappa^* F}) \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are metric isometries. As the upper horizontal arrow is a quasi-isometry, so is the lower one. In total, $\kappa^* F$ violates the non-existence result in [14, Cor. 1.8]. \square

The quasi-isometry condition can be dropped if we add some topology to the half-space.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $M := \mathbb{R}_{x_1 \geq 0}^n \# T^n$ be a connected sum of the right half-space with an n -torus attached in the interior. Then M does not admit a complete Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary.*

Proof. The strategy is as before. Since the double DM is diffeomorphic to a connected sum $(T^n \# T^n) \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ of tori with a single point removed and since $T^n \# T^n$ is enlargeable, we can apply [10, Theorem C]. \square

One can also drop the quasi-isometry condition by considering certain submanifolds with boundary of the Whitehead manifold instead of half-spaces. The Whitehead manifold W is an open 3-manifold which is contractible but not homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . Chang-Weinberger-Yu [11] have shown that W does not admit any metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature. Subsequent work of Wang [18] even excludes the existence of complete metrics of positive scalar curvature without uniform positive lower bound.

We look at two equivalent pictures for the Whitehead manifold: Consider the 3-sphere as a union of two solid tori T and T_0 . Then embed another solid torus T_1 in T_0 such that T_1 forms a Whitehead link with the meridian of T_0 . Afterwards, we embed a solid torus T_2 in T_1 in the same way as T_1 has been embedded in T_0 and so on. The Whitehead manifold is defined as the open submanifold $W = S^3 - \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k \subset S^3$. It can also be seen as the union of T with infinitely many "peeled" tori:

$$W = T \cup (T_0 - T_1) \cup (T_1 - T_2) \cup \dots$$

This description motivates the second picture: Put $A := T_0 - \text{int}(T_1)$. Then A is a manifold with two boundary components where the outer component is denoted by ∂^0 and the inner one is denoted by ∂^1 . Let $\varphi: T_0 \rightarrow T_1$ be a homeomorphism twisting the torus T_0 . We consider the sequence of spaces

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 &:= A \cup_{\partial^1} T_1, \\ W_1 &:= A \cup_{\partial^1} \varphi(W_0), \\ W_2 &:= A \cup_{\partial^1} \varphi(W_1), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

together with the obvious inclusion maps. Furthermore, we construct a sequence of maps $W_i \rightarrow W$ as follows: Let $f: A \rightarrow A$ be a self-homeomorphism with $f|_{\partial^1} = \varphi^{-1}|_{\partial T_1}$. Such a map exists since there is an isotopy for the Whitehead link changing its components. For $i = 0$, we define $f_0: W_0 \rightarrow W$ by $f_0|_A = f$ and $f_0|_{T_1} = [T_0 \rightarrow T] \circ \varphi^{-1}$ where the second map swaps T_0 and T . The next map $f_1: W_1 \rightarrow W$ is defined by $f_1|_A = \varphi \circ f$ and $f_1|_{\varphi(W_0)} = f_0 \circ \varphi^{-1}$. In a nutshell, the maps f_i send the innermost torus of W_i to T and the peeled tori that are stacked to the outside of W_i to the peeled tori inside W .

As the collection $\{T, T_0 - \text{int}(T_1), T_1 - \text{int}(T_2), \dots\}$ of closed subsets of W is locally finite, a map $W \rightarrow Y$ to any topological space Y is continuous iff it is continuous on every subset in the collection. Thus, $W \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff $W_i \rightarrow W \rightarrow Y$ is continuous for every i , showing that W is the (topological) direct limit of the W_i . This is the second picture for the Whitehead manifold. Both pictures give geometrical and topological insights: While one can immediately see the manifold structure of W in the first picture, its contractibility can be deduced from the second picture relying on the fact that $W_i \rightarrow W_{i+1}$ is nullhomotopic for every i and that the π_n -functors commute with direct limits.

Now we remove the innermost torus of W , corresponding to the torus T in the first picture. As has been shown by Newman-Whitehead [16, Theorem 6], the fundamental group $\pi_1(W - T)$ is not finitely generated. This is sufficient to show that W is not homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 : If there was a homeomorphism $\Phi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, then it would hold $\pi_1(W - T) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - \Phi(T))$. However, $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - \Phi(T))$ is finitely generated (Wirtinger presentation).

Next, we pass to submanifolds with boundary of W . To this end, let $R \approx \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open tube around a properly embedded ray $[0, \infty)$ in $W - T$. Then $W - R$ is a contractible manifold with noncompact boundary $\partial(W - R) \approx \mathbb{R}^2$. Indeed, we apply the Seifert-van Kampen theorem for the subsets $W - R$ and R of W to obtain

$$1 = \pi_1(W) \cong \pi_1(W - R) *_{\pi_1(\partial(W - R))} \pi_1(R) = \pi_1(W - R).$$

Thus, by the Whitehead and Hurewicz theorems, it suffices to show that $W - R$ is homologically trivial. The latter follows from a Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the same subsets as above.

Corollary 4.5. *$W - R$ does not admit any complete metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature which has mean convex boundary.*

Proof. Using the deformation principle and [11, Theorem 1], it suffices to show that the double $D(W - R)$ is contractible but not homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . The contractibility can be proven in a similar manner to that of $W - R$. In the second part of the proof, we consider the manifold

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= D(W - R) - \iota(T) \\ &\approx (W - (T \cup R)) \cup_R (W - R) \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota: W - R \rightarrow D(W - R)$ is the canonical inclusion map for the first summand. According to Seifert-van Kampen, the fundamental group of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(X) &\cong \pi_1(W - (T \cup R)) * \pi_1(W - R) \\ &= \pi_1(W - (T \cup R)) \\ &\cong \pi_1(W - T) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, it is not finitely generated. If $D(W - R)$ was homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 , then $\pi_1(X)$ would allow a finite generating system, again using the Wirtinger presentation. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Almeida, S.: Minimal hypersurfaces of a positive scalar curvature manifold. *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 73–82.
- [2] Bär, C.; Gauduchon, P.; Moroianu, A.: Generalized cylinders in semi-Riemannian and Spin geometry. *Math. Z.* **249** (2005), 545–580.
- [3] Bär, C.; Hanke, B.: Local flexibility for open partial differential relations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **75** (2022), 1377–1415.
- [4] ———: Boundary conditions for scalar curvature. Perspectives in scalar curvature. Vol. 2., World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 325–377, 2023.
- [5] Bridson, M. R.; Haefliger, A.: *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 319. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [6] Burago, D.; Burago, Y.; Ivanov, S.: *A course in metric geometry*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [7] Burtscher, A. Y.: Length structures on manifolds with continuous Riemannian metrics. *New York J. Math.* **21** (2015), 273–296.
- [8] Carlotto, A.; Li, Ch.: Constrained deformations of positive scalar curvature metrics (2021). Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.11772>, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [9] ———: Constrained deformations of positive scalar curvature metrics, II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **77** (2024), 795–862.
- [10] Cecchini, S.: A long neck principle for Riemannian spin manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **30** (2020), 1183–1223.
- [11] Chang, S.; Weinberger, S.; Yu, G.: Taming 3-manifolds using scalar curvature. *Geom. Dedicata* **148** (2010), 3–14.
- [12] Frerichs, H.: Skalarkrümmung auf Mannigfaltigkeiten mit nicht-kompaktem Rand (2022), available at <https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bvb:384-opus4-1081965>.
- [13] Gromov, M.; Lawson, H. B.: Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111** (1980), 209–230.
- [14] Hanke, B.; Kotschick, D.; Roe, J.; Schick, T.: Coarse topology, enlargeability, and essentialness. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **41** (2008), 471–493.
- [15] Hirsch, M. W.: *Differential topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 33. Springer New York, NY, 1976.
- [16] Newman, M. H. A.; Whitehead, J. H. C.: On the group of a certain linkage. *Q. J. Math.* **8** (1937), 14–21.
- [17] Seeley, R. T.: Extension of C^∞ functions defined in a half space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964), 625–626.
- [18] Wang, J.: Contractible 3-manifolds and Positive scalar curvature (I) (2023). Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.04605>, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.

UNIVERSITÄT AUGSBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 86135 AUGSBURG, GERMANY
 Email address: helge.frerichs@math.uni-augsburg.de