arXiv:2403.03924v1 [quant-ph] 6 Mar 2024

Relaxation of maximally entangled quantum states of two nonequivalent nuclear spins in a liquid

Georgiy Baroncha,^{1,2,*} Alexander Perepukhov,² and Boris V. Fine^{3,†}

¹Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Leipzig, Linnéstraße 5, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

² Terra Quantum AG, Kornhausstrasse 25, 9000 St. Gallen, Switzerland

³Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Leipzig, Brüderstr. 16, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

(Dated: March 7, 2024)

We investigate both experimentally and theoretically the relaxation of pseudo-pure maximally entangled states (Bell states) of two nuclear spins ${}^{1}H - {}^{13}C$ belonging to a molecule in a liquid. The Bell states are obtained by a method based on a detuned Hartmann-Hahn cross-polarization condition. Their entangled character is verified by quantum-state tomography. Our relaxation measurements reveal different relaxation rates for different Bell states. We interpret this difference as originating from cross-correlations between different relaxation mechanisms, thereby demonstrating that the measurements of the differential relaxation of Bell states are potentially useful for advanced NMR characterization of liquids.

I. INTRODUCTION

Maximally entangled states of two quantum spins 1/2, known as "Bell states", have been of interest for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) for decades. These states are often exploited in the context of the quantum computing agenda [1, 2], but their utility in NMR is much broader, as they can be used for advanced preparation and characterization of nuclear spin systems. Among the Bell states, the best known is, perhaps, the singlet state. It was, in particular, shown both experimentally [3-7] and theoretically [8–10] that the nuclear singlet relaxation time can be an order of magnitude longer than the relaxation time of a single spin. Singlets can also be utilized as a part of the NMR hyperpolarization routine[11], in algorithmic cooling of nuclear spins [12], in the measurement of diffusion rate by NMR spectroscopy [13] or for the study of very slow dynamic processes [14]. Singlet-filtered spectroscopy is another promising application [15] in NMR studies.

Given the above successes, the NMR investigations of Bell states have been focused so far largely on the singlets of nuclear spins with the same gyromagnetic ratios. In this article, we present a comparative relaxation study of a singlet state and other Bell states for a pair of nuclear spins having different gyromagnetic ratios in a strong magnetic field. The relaxation of spin singlets in a similar setting with a focus on the low magnetic fields was previously investigated in [16]. Other Bell states of a nuclear spin pair have been investigated in Ref.[17] but not in the direction of comparative relaxation study.

In strong magnetic fields, the relaxation of Bell states of two different nuclear spin species is not expected to be much longer than the usual T_1 -relaxation of each of the two spins[18]. Yet these two relaxations can be measurably different, and this difference can depend on the properties of the microscopic environment of the spin pair that would not be accessible by the standard T_1 measurements. Our motivation was to examine experimentally, whether the measurable differences exist, and whether they can be understood theoretically. In this article, we arrive at the positive answers to the both questions. These answers affirm the analysis of Refs.[19, 20]. Along the way, we also introduce a method for generating pseudo-pure Bell states based on detuned Hartmann-Hahn double resonance conditions.

Below in Section II, we describe the theoretical setting and the theoretical basis of our method for generating the Bell states. In Section III, we introduce our experimental setup and the experimental pulse sequences. The results of our relaxation measurements are presented and theoretically interpreted in Section V. Section VI contains the conclusions.

II. THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

A. General formulation

We consider two nuclear spins 1/2, \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 , with different gyromagnetic ratios γ_1 and γ_2 belonging to a molecule in a liquid placed in a strong static magnetic field *B* directed along the *z*-axis. The two spins interact *via* the *J*-coupling with constant *J*. The secular part of the spin pair Hamiltonian in the laboratory reference frame is thus

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm lab} = -\Omega_1 S_{1z} - \Omega_2 S_{2z} + J S_{1z} S_{2z}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega_1 = \gamma_1 B$ and $\Omega_2 = \gamma_2 B$. We set $\hbar = 1$.

In what follows, we use the "double-rotating" reference frame, which means that the spin projections (S_{1x}, S_{1y}) and (S_{2x}, S_{2y}) are defined in the reference frames rotated around the z axis with the respective frequencies $-\Omega_1$ or $-\Omega_2$. The above transformation into the double-rotating frame converts the Hamiltonian (1) into $\mathcal{H}_0 = JS_{1z}S_{2z}$.

^{*} georgiy.baroncha@uni-leipzig.de

[†] boris.fine@uni-leipzig.de

The initial equilibrium density matrix of the spin pair in both the laboratory and the double-rotating frames is

$$\rho_{eq} = \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon_1 S_{1z} + \varepsilon_2 S_{2z}, \qquad (2)$$

where \mathbb{I} is a 4×4 identity operator, $\varepsilon_1 = \Omega_1/(4k_BT)$, $\varepsilon_2 = \Omega_2/(4k_BT)$, with k_B and T being respectively the Boltzmann constant and the temperature. Eq.(2) implies the high-temperature approximation. Since the term $\mathbb{I}/4$ in the density matrix remains invariant under time evolution and does not contribute to the NMR signal, it is omitted in all formulas for the density matrices in the rest of this article.

The spin pair is defined to be in a pseudo-pure state (PPS) when the correction to the above identity term is proportional to $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$, where $|\Psi\rangle$ is a wave function of some pure state of the pair. The equilibrium state (2) is not a PPS but rather a mixed state. The goal of this work is to create an initial pseudo-pure Bell state, i.e. a state, where $|\Psi\rangle$ is a maximally entangled wave function, and then investigate the relaxation of such a state.

B. Preparation of Bell states using detuned Hartmann-Hahn double resonance condition

As the quantum basis of the spin pair, we use one singlet and three triplet states (defined in the double rotating frame):

$$|T_{+1,x}\rangle = |\uparrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle,$$

$$|T_{0,x}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle + |\downarrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle),$$

$$|S_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle - |\downarrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle),$$

$$|T_{-1,x}\rangle = |\downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle.$$
(3)

where spin projections are quantized along the x-axis (the direction of the applied rf-fields below), $|T_{s,x}\rangle$ are the symmetric triplet states and $|S_0\rangle$ is the antisymmetric singlet state.

There exist four mutually orthogonal Bell states of the pair, namely, $|S_0\rangle$, $|T_{0,x}\rangle$, and also

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{+,x}\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle + |\downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle), \\ |\psi_{-,x}\rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle - |\downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle). \end{aligned}$$
(4)

In the experimental part, we will eventually rotate the quantization axis from x to z and deal with the analogously defined Bell states $|S_0\rangle$, $|T_{0,z}\rangle$, $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$.

In order to experimentally generate the entangled PPSs, we start with the non-entangled PPSs $|\downarrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle$, $|\uparrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$, $|\uparrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle$, and $|\downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$, which are to be obtained by the technique of Ref.[1].

Our procedure for converting non-entangled PPSs into entangled ones is based on the detuned Hartmann-Hahn (DHH) double resonant condition. The procedure requires the application of two resonant rf-fields at frequencies Ω_1 and Ω_2 with amplitudes h_1 and h_2 respectively. In the presence of these rf fields, the Hamiltonian in the double-rotating frame becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = -\omega_1 S_{1x} - \omega_2 S_{2x} + J S_{1z} S_{2z}, \tag{5}$$

where $\omega_1 = \gamma_1 h_1$ and $\omega_2 = \gamma_2 h_2$.

The Hilbert space of two spins 1/2 can be decomposed into the so-called "zero quantum space" with the basis $|\downarrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle$ and $|\uparrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$, and the "double quantum space" with the basis $|\uparrow_x\uparrow_x\rangle$ and $|\downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$. The Hamiltonian (5) does not mix the zero and the double spaces.

The dynamics in the zero quantum space is controlled by parameter $\Delta \equiv \omega_1 - \omega_2$, while the dynamics in the double quantum space is controlled by $\Sigma \equiv \omega_1 + \omega_2$. The entangled states $|S_0\rangle$ and $|T_{0,x}\rangle$ belong to the zero quantum space. In order to obtain them, one needs the Hamiltonian (5) with $\Delta = J/2$ to act on, respectively, $|\downarrow_x \uparrow_x \rangle$ and $|\uparrow_x \downarrow_x \rangle$ during time $t = \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{J}$. The value of Σ does not matter here. On the other hand, the states $|\psi_{+,x}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{-,x}\rangle$ belong to the double quantum space. They can be obtained once the Hamiltonian (5) with $\Sigma = J/2$ acts, respectively, on $|\uparrow_x \uparrow_x \rangle$ and $|\downarrow_x \downarrow_x \rangle$ during time $t = \frac{\pi\sqrt{2}}{J}$. The value of Δ is arbitrary in this case.

Let us remark here that the detuned Hartmann-Hahn condition does not require $\omega_1, \omega_2 \gg J$. On the contrary, the condition $\Sigma = J/2$ in the double quantum space implies that $\omega_1, \omega_2 \sim J$. The condition $\Delta = J/2$ can be satisfied with both $\omega_1, \omega_2 \sim J$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \gg J$.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETTING

We measure the pair of adjacent nuclear spins ¹H and ¹³C belonging to the molecule 1/2,diethylphthalimidomalonate $(-2-^{13}C,^{15}N)$ inthe solution C_6D_6 . The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1(a) with the selected ${}^{1}H - {}^{13}C$ pair indicated. The molecule is 99.5 percent enriched by ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{15}N$ at the indicated positions. The J-coupling constant for the ¹H – ¹³C pair is $J/(2\pi) = 138$ Hz. The J-couplings of ¹³C and ¹H to ¹⁵N are much smaller: 13.1 Hz and 1.7 Hz, respectively. The J-coupling of the $^{1}H - {}^{13}C$ pair to other nuclear spins belonging to the molecule is even weaker, as it was not resolved in the measured spectra. It is thus justifiable to treat the ${}^{1}H - {}^{13}C$ pair as isolated on the time scale of the order of 1/J required to prepare and characterize the Bell states. The molecule and the equilibrium NMR spectra of ¹H and ¹³C are shown on 1.

To connect to the theoretical Section II, the variables \mathbf{S}_1 , γ_1 and ω_1 refer to ¹H, while \mathbf{S}_2 , γ_2 and ω_2 refer to ¹³C.

The NMR experiments were performed using NMR spectrometer Varian Inova 500 with the magnetic field



FIG. 1. (a) Diethylphthalimidomalonate $(-2-^{13}\text{C},^{15}\text{N})$ molecule with the measured pair of nuclear spins $^{1}\text{H} - ^{13}\text{C}$ highlighted by circles. (b) Spectrum $g(\omega)$ of the circled ^{1}H , where ω is the frequency offset. (c) Spectrum $g(\omega)$ of the circled ^{13}C . The small splitting of each of the two peaks is due to the *J*-coupling with the nearby ^{15}N spin, which is neglected in our analysis.

B = 11.7 T corresponding to the ¹H Larmor frequency $\Omega_1 = 500$ MHz.

IV. PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF BELL STATES

A. Preparation pulse sequences

Our experimental procedure for preparing pseudo-pure Bell states starts with the equilibrium density matrix (2) and then proceeds as follows

$$\varepsilon_1 S_{1z} + \varepsilon_2 S_{2z} \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} (S_{1z} + S_{2z})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Step 2}} \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} |\uparrow_z \uparrow_z \rangle \langle \uparrow_z \uparrow_z | \xrightarrow{\text{Step 3}} \text{Bell state}_z$$
(6)

where the formulas before and between the arrows represent the density matrices with the trivial part $\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I}$ dropped.

The goal of step 1 is to equalize the spin polarizations of ¹H and ¹³C thereby preparing the system for step 2. Here, in order to minimize the loss of the signal, we do not use the simplest routine, namely, the partial rotation of ¹H spin followed by a gradient pulse on ¹H, but rather we first apply $(\pi/2)_{1y}, (\pi/2)_{2y}$ pulses followed by the resonant Hartmann-Hahn pulse with $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4}J$ during time π/J to partially switch the polarizations of ¹H and ¹³C, then apply $(-\pi/2)_{1y}, (-\pi/2)_{2y}$, and then apply the gradient pulse.

Step 2 employs the sequence proposed in Ref. [1] that starts with an equally polarized mixed state and then generates a pseudo-pure non-entangled state $|\uparrow_z\uparrow_z\rangle\langle\uparrow_z\uparrow_z|$.

In step 3, we rotate the state $|\uparrow_z\uparrow_z\rangle$ to an initial nonentangled state indicated in Table I and then apply the DHH pulses defined in Section IIB to generate the desired Bell states. The specific DHH parameters for the Bell states $|S_0\rangle$, $|T_{0,x}\rangle$, $|\psi_{-,x}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{+,x}\rangle$ are given in Table I.

Finally, we apply two $(-\pi/2)_{1y}$, $(-\pi/2)_{2y}$ pulses, thereby rotating the states $|T_{0,x}\rangle$, $|\psi_{-,x}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{+,x}\rangle$ into, respectively, $|T_{0,z}\rangle$, $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$. No rotation was necessary for the state $|S_0\rangle$ due to its isotropic character.

B. Quantum tomography of Bell states

To verify experimentally that the prepared states are indeed the entangled Bell states, we performed on them the full quantum-state tomography according to the methodology of Ref. [21]. The experimentally measured tomograms of the real parts for the density matrices $|S_0\rangle\langle S_0|$ and $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle\langle\psi_{+,z}|$ in the "local" basis $\{|\uparrow_z\uparrow_z\rangle,$ $|\uparrow_z\downarrow_z\rangle, |\downarrow_z\uparrow_z\rangle, |\downarrow_z\downarrow_z\rangle$ are shown in Fig. 3. The measured tomograms are in satisfactory agreement with the theoretical expectations, in particular, as far as the entanglement-related off-diagonal elements are concerned.



FIG. 2. Experimental pulse sequence described in the text: steps 1, 2, and 3 are indicated by the respective circled numbers. $CP[t = \pi/J]$ is the Hartmann-Hahn resonant cross-polarization during the time $t = \pi/J$. DHH[$t = \pi\sqrt{2}/J$] is the evolution during time $t = \pi\sqrt{2}/J$ under a detuned Hartmann-Hahn condition with either $\Delta = J/2$ or $\Sigma = J/2$ as appropriate for the target Bell state as indicated in Table I. The pulses φ_1 and φ_2 are also listed in the first column of Table I.

Pulse parameters	Pseudo-pure states	DHH	Resulting
$arphi_1\;,arphi_2$	after φ_1, φ_2 pulses	parameters	Bell state
$(-\pi/2)_{1y}, (-\pi/2)_{2y}$	$ \downarrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$	$\Sigma = J/2$	$ \psi_{-,x} angle$
$(-\pi/2)_{1y}, (\pi/2)_{2y}$	$ \downarrow_x\uparrow_x angle$	$\Delta = J/2$	$ S_0 angle$
$(\pi/2)_{1y}, (-\pi/2)_{2y}$	$ \uparrow_x\downarrow_x\rangle$	$\Delta = J/2$	$ T_{0,x}\rangle$
$(\pi/2)_{1y}, (\pi/2)_{2y}$	$ \uparrow_x\uparrow_x angle$	$\Sigma = J/2$	$ \psi_{+,x}\rangle$

TABLE I. Implementation of Step 3 in Fig. 2 for different resulting Bell states. The third column refers to the parameters of the detuned Hartman-Hahn pulse (DHH) introduced in Section II B.

The deviations of the measured density matrices exhibited in Fig. 3 from the idealized theoretical expressions indicate of the overall error of roughly 10 percent in implementing the pulse sequence (6). There are two main reasons for this error: (i) the spatial inhomogeneity of the applied rf field, and (2) instrumental limitations constraining the control of the rf field amplitude to a discrete set of values. Both have affected the accuracy of the steps involving the resonant and the detuned Hartmann-Hahn conditions.

V. RELAXATION OF BELL STATES: EXPERIMENT AND DISCUSSION

To monitor the relaxation of the Bell states, we did not measure the full tomograms as a function of time but rather recorded the spectra $g(\omega)$ of the free induction decay after the $(\pi/2)_{1y}$ pulse on ¹H. The ¹H spectra of all four Bell states have antisymmetric character: for the states $|S_0\rangle$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle$ the left peak (lower frequency) is negative, while the right peak (higher frequency) is of the same intensity but positive; the asymmetry is the opposite for the states $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$. The experimental spectra $g(\omega)$ for the states $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ and $|S_0\rangle$ right after the preparation sequence are shown in Fig. 4. When measured after a time delay τ , the ¹H spectra acquire a symmetric component as a result of the ordinary



FIG. 3. Real parts of the normalized density matrix of pseudo-pure states (a) $|S_0\rangle$ and (b) $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ obtained by quantum tomography for the two-spin system ¹H - ¹³C. Labels 0 and 1 denote respectively the states $|\uparrow_z\rangle$ and $|\downarrow_z\rangle$.

 T_1 -relaxation of ¹³C, while their antisymmetric compo-



FIG. 4. Spectra $g(\omega)$ of the measured ¹H as functions of the frequency offset ω for the following pseudo pure Bell states: (a) $|S_0\rangle$ and (b) $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$.

nents decay. We use the decay of the antisymmetric component of the spectrum

$$G_{\rm a} \equiv \left| \int_0^{+\infty} g(\omega) d\omega - \int_{-\infty}^0 g(\omega) d\omega \right|$$
(7)

as an indicator for the relaxation of the initially generated Bell state. (The numerical integration was carried out from 20 Hz to 100 Hz for the first integral and from -100 Hz to -20 Hz for the second one in terms of the frequency offset used in Fig. 4.)

The experimentally measured decays of the antisymmetric component of the ¹H spectra $G_{\rm a}(\tau)$ for all four Bell states are presented in Fig. 5. There one can see that the measurements for $|T_{0,z}\rangle$ closely follow those for $|S_0\rangle$, while the measurements for $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$ agree with the ones for $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$. To quantify the differences between the two pairs of datasets, we extracted the *initial* relaxation times of $G_{\rm a}(\tau)$ from the best exponential fits to the initial 6 seconds of decay. The extracted values are $\tau_{S_0} = 2.4$ s from the combined datasets for $|S_0\rangle$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle$, and $\tau_{\psi_+} = 3.0$ s from the combined datasets for $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$.

In order to interpret the above relaxation measurements, we note that the density matrices of the four Bell



FIG. 5. Relaxation of the antisymmetric normalized component $G_{\mathbf{a}}(\tau)$ of the ¹H spectra for Bell states $|S_0\rangle$, $|T_{0,z}\rangle$, $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$: (a) linear plots, (b) semilogarithmic plots of the same data. Solid lines are the exponential fits to the initial 6 seconds used to extract the initial relaxation times.

states can be presented in the operator form as:

$$\begin{split} |S_{0}\rangle\langle S_{0}| &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I} - S_{1z}S_{2z} - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1+}S_{2-} + S_{1-}S_{2+}), \\ |T_{0,z}\rangle\langle T_{0,z}| &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I} - S_{1z}S_{2z} + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1+}S_{2-} + S_{1-}S_{2+}), \\ |\psi_{+,z}\rangle\langle \psi_{+,z}| &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I} + S_{1z}S_{2z} + \frac{1}{2}(S_{1+}S_{2+} + S_{1-}S_{2-}), \\ |\psi_{-,z}\rangle\langle \psi_{-,z}| &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{I} + S_{1z}S_{2z} - \frac{1}{2}(S_{1+}S_{2+} + S_{1-}S_{2-}), \end{split}$$

$$(8)$$

where $S_{i\pm} \equiv S_{ix} \pm S_{iy}$. The antisymmetric component of the measured ¹H spectra G_a originated in from the term $S_{1z}S_{2z}$ in each of the Eqs.(8). In other words, our measurements only characterized the relaxation of two-spin correlations in the diagonal part of the density matrix in the S_z quantization basis. The values of G_a were initially the same for all four Bell states, because $G_a \propto |S_{1z}S_{2z}|$. From such a perspective, one could have expected that the relaxation times τ_{S_0} and τ_{ψ_+} would be the same, because they would be tied to the relaxation of the average value $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z}\rangle(t)$ in the same environment. What is then the origin of the measured difference between τ_{S_0} and τ_{ψ_+} ? We believe this difference is consistent with the socalled "cross-correlation" [19, 20] between two relaxation mechanisms – the one due to the direct magnetic dipole coupling within the ${}^{1}\text{H} - {}^{13}\text{C}$ pair and another one due to external local fields affecting differently the two spins. The latter mechanism can involve fluctuating chemical shift anisotropy (likely on ${}^{13}\text{C}$) and/or coupling to other nuclear spins on the same molecule and/or spinrotation relaxation. The detailed investigation of the cross-correlation effects presented in Refs. [19, 20] implies the following possible explanation of our observations.

The relaxation of the four diagonal terms of the spinpair density matrix towards the thermal equilibrium is not independent of each other. These four terms are determined, in addition to the overall normalization condition, by the average values $\langle S_{1z} \rangle(t), \langle S_{2z} \rangle(t)$ and $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z} \rangle(t)$ – the latter being monitored in our experiment. These three averages are coupled by the following general set of the relaxation equations [20]:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \langle S_{1z} \rangle (t) \\ \langle S_{2z} \rangle (t) \\ \langle S_{1z} S_{2z} \rangle (t) \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \sigma_{12} & \delta_1 \\ \sigma_{12} & \mu_2 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \mu_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle S_{1z} \rangle (t) - \langle S_{1z} \rangle_{eq} \\ \langle S_{2z} \rangle (t) - \langle S_{2z} \rangle_{eq} \\ \langle S_{1z} S_{2z} \rangle (t) \end{pmatrix},$$
(9)

where $\langle S_{1z} \rangle_{eq} = \varepsilon_1$ and $\langle S_{2z} \rangle_{eq} = \varepsilon_2$ are the respective equilibrium spin polarisations, μ_1 and μ_2 are the diagonal relaxation rates for $\langle S_{1z} \rangle$ and $\langle S_{2z} \rangle$, μ_{12} is the diagonal relaxation rate for $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z} \rangle$, σ_{12} is the off-diagonal rate for the cross-relaxation between $\langle S_{1z} \rangle$ and $\langle S_{2z} \rangle$ and, finally, δ_1 and δ_2 are the cross-correlation rates coupling $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z} \rangle$ with $\langle S_{1z} \rangle$ and $\langle S_{2z} \rangle$ respectively. These rates originate from the cross-correlations between different relaxation mechanisms [20].

When $\delta_1 = 0$ and $\delta_2 = 0$, the average $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z} \rangle$ is uncoupled from $\langle S_{1z} \rangle$ and $\langle S_{2z} \rangle$ and hence relaxes with the same rate μ_{12} independent of the initial conditions. In this case, both relaxation times τ_{S_0} and τ_{ψ_+} would be equal to $1/\mu_{12}$. However, when $\delta_1 \neq 0$ and/or $\delta_2 \neq 0$, one needs to look for the set of eigenmodes of the system of equations (9) and then expand the initial "vector" ($\langle S_{1z} \rangle, \langle S_{2z} \rangle, \langle S_{1z}S_{2z} \rangle$) in terms of those exponential eigenmodes. The initial conditions for the relaxation of $|S_0\rangle\langle S_0|$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle\langle T_{0,z}|$ are $\langle S_{1z}\rangle = 0$, $\langle S_{2z}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z}\rangle = -\frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}{8}$, while for $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle\langle\psi_{+,z}|$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle\langle\psi_{+,z}|$ they are ($\langle S_{1z}\rangle = 0$, $\langle S_{2z}\rangle = 0$ and $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z}\rangle = \frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}{8}$. Although the eigenmodes are the same in the two cases, the expansions of the initial conditions entail different contributions from different eigenmodes and hence different apparent initial relaxation rates given by the following general equation:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{init}}} = \mu_{12} - \delta_1 \frac{\langle S_{1z} \rangle_{\text{eq}}}{\langle S_{1z} S_{2z} \rangle(0)} - \delta_2 \frac{\langle S_{2z} \rangle_{\text{eq}}}{\langle S_{1z} S_{2z} \rangle(0)}.$$
 (10)

This rate depends on the sign of $\langle S_{1z}S_{2z}\rangle(0)$, which is negative for $|S_0\rangle\langle S_0|$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle\langle T_{0,z}|$ and positive for $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle\langle\psi_{+,z}|$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle\langle\psi_{-,z}|$. As a result,

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{S_0}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{\psi_+}} = 2 \frac{\delta_1 \langle S_{1z} \rangle_{eq} + \delta_2 \langle S_{2z} \rangle_{eq}}{|\langle S_{1z} S_{2z} \rangle(0)|}$$
$$= 16 \frac{\delta_1 \varepsilon_1 + \delta_2 \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}, \qquad (11)$$

while

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{S_0}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\psi_+}} = 2 \ \mu_{12}. \tag{12}$$

The theoretical picture based on Eq.(9) implies that, if the relaxation function $G_{\rm a}(\tau)$ for different Bell states is accurately measured over sufficiently long times, it should always be decomposable into three exponential modes characterized by the same relaxation rates but different weights. It is, perhaps, somewhat of a coincidence that, within the time range accessible in the present experiment, the relaxation curves for $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$ in Fig. 5(b) appear to be monoexponential instead of exhibiting a multi-exponential behavior. On the other hand, the curves for $|S_0\rangle$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle$ do exhibit a multiexponential character, as expected.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we introduced theoretically and implemented experimentally a method for generating pseudopure Bell states of a pair of coupled nonequivalent nuclear spins 1/2 using detuned Hartmann-Hahn resonant condition. We then measured the relaxation of the diagonal elements of thus obtained Bell states in a liquid and found that the Bell states $|S_0\rangle$ and $|T_{0,z}\rangle$ belonging to the zeroquantum sector exhibit the initial relaxation rate which is different from the one for the states $|\psi_{+,z}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{-,z}\rangle$ belonging to the double-quantum sector. This difference was interpreted as originating from cross-correlations between distinct relaxation mechanisms. Such an interpretation implies that the differences in the relaxations of Bell states can serve as a tool for discriminating the relaxation contributions from various mechanisms in liquidstate NMR, and, more generally, as an additional relaxation marker in the studies of complex molecules.

- D. G. Cory, M. D. Price, and T. F. Havel, "Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy: An experimentally accessible paradigm for quantum computing," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 82–101, 1998, proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Physics and Consumption. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0167278998000463
- [2] I. Chuang, L. Vandersypen, X. Zhou, D. W. Leung, and S. Lloyd, "Experimental realization of a quantum algorithm," *Nature*, vol. 393, pp. 143–146, 1998.
- [3] M. Carravetta and M. H. Levitt, "Long-lived nuclear spin states in high-field solution nmr," *Journal of* the American Chemical Society, vol. 126, no. 20, pp. 6228–6229, 2004, pMID: 15149209. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0490931
- [4] G. Pileio, M. Carravetta, and M. H. Levitt, "Storage of nuclear magnetization as long-lived singlet order in low magnetic field," *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences, vol. 107, no. 40, pp. 17135–17139, 2010.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.
 1073/pnas.1010570107
- [5] W. S. Warren, E. Jenista, R. T. Branca, and X. Chen, "Increasing hyperpolarized spin lifetimes through true singlet eigenstates," *Science*, vol. 323, no. 5922, pp. 1711–1714, 2009. [Online]. Available: https: //www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1167693
- [6] Y. Feng, R. Davis, and W. Warren, "Accessing long-lived nuclear singlet states between chemically equivalent spins without breaking symmetry," *Nature physics*, vol. 8, pp. 831–837, 11 2012.
- K. Claytor, T. Theis, Y. Feng, and W. Warren, "Measuring long-lived 13c2 state lifetimes at natural abundance," *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, vol. 239, pp. 81–86, 2014. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S1090780713003194
- [8] M. Carravetta and M. H. Levitt, "Theory of longlived nuclear spin states in solution nuclear magnetic resonance. i. singlet states in low magnetic field," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 122, no. 21, p. 214505, 2005. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1063/1. 1893983
- [9] P. Ahuja, R. Sarkar, P. R. Vasos, and G. Bodenhausen, "Molecular properties determined from the relaxation of long-lived spin states," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 127, no. 13, p. 134112, 2007. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2778429
- [10] S. J. Elliott, C. Bengs, L. J. Brown, J. T. Hill-Cousins, D. J. O'Leary, G. Pileio, and M. H. Levitt, "Nuclear singlet relaxation by scalar relaxation of the second kind in the slow-fluctuation regime," *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 150, no. 6, p. 064315, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5074199
- [11] M. C. Tayler, I. Marco-Rius, M. I. Kettunen, K. M. Brindle, M. H. Levitt, and G. Pileio, "Direct enhancement of nuclear singlet order by dynamic nuclear polarization," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*,

vol. 134, no. 18, pp. 7668–7671, April 2012. [Online]. Available: https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/347308/

- [12] B. A. Rodin, C. Bengs, A. S. Kiryutin, K. F. Sheberstov, L. J. Brown, R. C. D. Brown, A. V. Yurkovskaya, K. L. Ivanov, and M. H. Levitt, "Algorithmic cooling of nuclear spins using long-lived singlet order," *The Journal* of *Chemical Physics*, vol. 152, no. 16, p. 164201, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0006742
- [13] S. Cavadini and P. R. Vasos, "Singlet states open the way to longer time-scales in the measurement of diffusion by nmr spectroscopy," *Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part A*, vol. 32A, no. 1, pp. 68–78, 2008. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ abs/10.1002/cmr.a.20100
- [14] R. Sarkar, P. R. Vasos, and G. Bodenhausen, "Singlet-state exchange nmr spectroscopy for the study of very slow dynamic processes," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 129, no. 2, pp. 328–334, 2007, pMID: 17212412. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0647396
- [15] S. Mamone, N. Rezaei-Ghaleh, F. Opazo, C. Griesinger, and S. Glöggler, "Singlet-filtered nmr spectroscopy," *Science Advances*, vol. 6, no. 8, p. eaaz1955, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.science.org/doi/abs/ 10.1126/sciadv.aaz1955
- [16] S. R. Hartmann and E. L. Hahn, "Nuclear double resonance in the rotating frame," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 128, pp. 2042–2053, Dec 1962. [Online]. Available: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.128.2042
- [17] J. R. Samal, M. Gupta, P. K. Panigrahi, and A. Kumar, "Non-destructive discrimination of bell states by nmr using a single ancilla qubit," *Journal* of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, vol. 43, no. 9, p. 095508, apr 2010. [Online]. Available: https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/43/9/095508
- [18] M. Emondts, M. P. Ledbetter, S. Pustelny, T. Theis, B. Patton, J. W. Blanchard, M. C. Butler, D. Budker, and A. Pines, "Long-lived heteronuclear spin-singlet states in liquids at a zero magnetic field," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 112, p. 077601, Feb 2014. [Online]. Available: https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.077601
- [19] A. Kumar, R. Christy Rani Grace, and P. Madhu, "Cross-correlations in nmr," *Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 191–319, 2000. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S0079656500000236
- [20] A. Ghosh and A. Kumar, "Relaxation of pseudo pure states: the role of cross-correlations," *Journal* of Magnetic Resonance, vol. 173, no. 1, pp. 125–133, 2005. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S1090780704003817
- [21] G. M. Leskowitz and L. J. Mueller, "State interrogation in nuclear magnetic resonance quantum-information processing," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 69, p. 052302, May 2004. [Online]. Available: https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevA.69.052302