

POLYNOMIAL EXTENSION OF STRONGER CENTRAL SET THEOREM NEAR ZERO

SUJAN PAL, ANIK PRAMANICK

ABSTRACT. Furstenberg introduced the notion of Central sets in 1981. Later in 1990 V. Bergelson and N. Hindman proved a different but an equivalent version of the central set theorem. In 2008 D. De, N. Hindman and D. Strauss proved a stronger version of central sets theorem. Hindman and Leader first introduced the concept of near zero. Recently S. Goswami, L. Baglini and S. Patra did a polynomial extension of Stronger Central sets theorem in 2023. In this article, we proved the Polynomial stronger Central Sets Theorem near zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

To talk about Central sets, we need to give a brief introduction to the algebraic structure of βS .

Let (S, \cdot) be any discrete semigroup, and βS be the set of all ultrafilters on S , where the points of S are identified with the principal ultrafilters. Then $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S\}$, where $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$ forms a closed basis for some topology on βS . With this topology βS becomes a compact Hausdorff space in which S is dense, called the Stone-Ćech compactification of S . The operation of S can be extended to βS making $(\beta S, \cdot)$ a compact, right topological semigroup with S contained in its topological center. That is, for all $p \in \beta S$ the function $\rho_p : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ is continuous, where $\rho_p(q) = q \cdot p$ and for all $x \in S$, the function $\lambda_x : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ is continuous, where $\lambda_x(q) = x \cdot q$. For $p, q \in \beta S$ and $A \subseteq S$, $A \in p \cdot q$ if and only if $\{x \in S : x^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$, where $x^{-1}A = \{y \in S : x \cdot y \in A\}$. one can see [HS] for an elementary introduction to the semigroup $(\beta S, \cdot)$ and its combinatorial applications. An element $p \in \beta S$ is called idempotent if $p \cdot p = p$. A subset $A \subseteq S$ is called central if and only if A is an element of an idempotent ultrafilter p .

Here we will work for those dense subsemigroup $((0, 1), \cdot)$, which are dense subsemigroup of $((0, \infty), +)$, in this case one can define

$$0^+ = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} cl_{\beta(0,1)_d}(0, \epsilon).$$

0^+ is two sided ideal of $(\beta(0,1)_d, \cdot)$, so contains the smallest ideal. It is also a subsemigroup of $(\beta\mathbb{R}_d, +)$. As a compact right topological semigroup, 0^+ has a smallest two sided ideal.

Furstenberg defined the Central sets using the notions from topological dynamics and proved the Central Sets theorem dynamically in 1981.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $(y_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z} . Let C be a central subset of \mathbb{N} . Then there exists sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} and $(H_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

- (1) for all n , $\max H < \min H_{n+1}$ and
- (2) for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ and all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$,

$$\sum_{n \in F} \left(a_n + \sum_{t \in H_n} y_{i,t} \right) \in C.$$

Proof. [PP, Proposition 8.21]. □

Then Bergelson and Hindman extended the above theorem in the following way.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $(y_{i,n})_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in S . Let C be a central subset of S . Then there exist sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in S and $(H_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

- (1) for all n , $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$ and
- (2) for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ and all $f : F \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$,

$$\sum_{n \in F} \left(a_n + \sum_{t \in H_n} y_{f(i),t} \right) \in C.$$

Proof. [6, Corollary 2.10] □

Later D. De, N. Hindman and D. Strauss proved a more stronger version of Central sets theorem which states the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(S, +)$ be a commutative semigroup and let C be a central subset of S . Then there exist functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}S) \rightarrow S$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(S^\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

- (1) If $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(S^\mathbb{N})$ and $F \subsetneq G$ then $\max H(F) < \min H(G)$ and
- (2) If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m \in \mathcal{P}_f(S^\mathbb{N})$; $G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_m$; and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $(y_{i,n}) \in G_i$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\alpha(G_i) + \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} y_{i,t} \right) \in C.$$

Proof. [DHS, Theorem 2.2]. □

In [GBP] Goswami, Baglini and Patra gave a non-linear version of theorem 1.1. Here we state the nonlinear version of van der Waerden's theorem, namely Polynomial van der Waerden's theorem. Before we proceed, let us define a shorthand notation to denote polynomials.

For any $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, and $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_3}$, let $\mathbb{P}_A(B, C)$ be the set of all polynomials from B to C with zero constant term and coefficients are in A . Whenever $A = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we will simply write $\mathbb{P}(B, C)$ instead of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}(B, C)$. We will use the notation \mathbb{P} to denote $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N})$.

Theorem 1.4. *[Polynomial van der Waerden's theorem] [BL96] Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ be a r -coloring of \mathbb{N} . Then for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exist $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $p \in F$,*

$$a + p(d) \in C_j, \text{ for some } 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

There is another version of van der Waerden's theorem which will be required for our work.

Theorem 1.5. (*Abstract IP-Polynomial van der Waerden theorem*) Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a piecewise syndetic set. Then for any finite set of polynomials F from \mathbb{N}^j to \mathbb{N} and any IP-set $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})}$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

$$a + f(x_\beta) \in A$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}), f \in F$.

For near zero there is a version of Abstract IP-Polynomial van der Waerden theorem.

Theorem 1.6. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let G be a countable abelian group and let F be a finite family of polynomial maps from G^j to G such that $f(0) = 0$ for each $f \in F$. Then for every piecewise syndetic set $A \subseteq G$ and every IP set $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})}$, in G^j there exist $a \in A$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

$$a + f(x_\beta) \in A$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}), f \in F$.

The near zero version of the following theorem is necessary for our work. In the next chapter we will give the near zero version of this theorem. Before that we need to know about J_p -sets.

Definition 1.7. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Then:

- (1) A is called a J-set if and only if for every $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $f \in H$,

$$a + \sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \in A.$$

- (2) A is called a J_p -set if and only if for every $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, and every $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for all $p \in F$ and all $f \in H$,

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A.$$

Theorem 1.8. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a J_p -set. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $(x_\alpha^i)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})}$ be an IP-set in \mathbb{N} . Then for all finite $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P})$, there exist $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\min \beta > m$ and

$$a + P(x_\beta^i) \in A$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and $P \in F$.

Proof. [GBP, Theorem 10]. □

In this article we define J_p -set near zero, the polynomial version of J-sets near zero. Then demonstrate \mathcal{J}_P^0 is a two sided ideal of 0^+ in the section two. In the section three we proved polynomial strongly Central Set Theorem.

2. J_p -SET NEAR ZERO

Definition 2.1. Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), .)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), .)$ and let $A \subseteq S$. Then A is a J-set near zero if and only if whenever $F \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $H \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in F$,

$$a + \sum_{t \in H} f(t) \in A.$$

Where \mathcal{T}_0 be the set of all sequences in S which converge to zero.

Here is the pollynomial version of J-sets near zero.

Definition 2.2. Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), .)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), .)$. Let F be the set of finite polynomials each of which vanishes at 0. A set $A \subseteq S$ is called a J_p -set near zero whenever $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $\beta \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in F$ and all $P \in F$,

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A.$$

Now we are going to prove the near zero version of theorem 1.8.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), .)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), .)$. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a J_p -set near zero. For each $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $\beta \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ with $\min \beta > m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A$$

For each $f \in H$ and all $P \in F$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, for each $h \in H$ define $g_h \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ by $g_h(t) = f(t + m), t \in \mathbb{N}$. For this $K = \{g_h : h \in H\}$, pick $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $\gamma \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \gamma} g_h(t) \right) \in A$$

For each $h \in H$ and all $P \in F$. And let $\beta = m + \gamma$. □

After defining J_p -set near zero, there is some immediate subset of βS_d are stated in the following.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), .)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), .)$.

- (1) $\mathcal{J}_p^0 = \{p \in \beta S_d : \text{for all } A \in p, A \text{ is a } J_p \text{ set near zero}\}$.
- (2) $E(\mathcal{J}_p^0) = \{p \in \mathcal{J}_p^0 : p \text{ is an idempotent ultrafilter}\}$.

We know that

$$J(S) = \{p \in \beta S : \forall A \in p, A \text{ is a J-set}\}$$

is a two sided ideal of βS .

In [BT, Theorem 3.9] Bayatmanesh, Tootkaboni proved that

$$J_0(S) = \{p \in \beta S : \forall A \in p, A \text{ is a } J\text{-set near zero}\}$$

is also a two sided ideal of 0^+ .

After defining J_p -set, Goswami, Baglini and Patra demonstrated that

$$\mathcal{J}_P = \{p \in \beta \mathbb{N} : \text{for all } A \in p, A \text{ is a } J_p\}$$

is also a two sided ideal of $(\beta \mathbb{N}, +)$ [GBP, Theorem 8].

So we expect that \mathcal{J}_P^0 also a two sided ideal of 0^+ . The proof is in the following.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), \cdot)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), \cdot)$. Then \mathcal{J}_P^0 is a two sided ideal of 0^+ .*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$ and $q \in 0^+(S)$. We want to show $p + q, q + p \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$.

To show, $p + q \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$, let $A \in p + q$, so that $B = \{x \in S : -x + A \in q\} \in p$. Hence B is a J_p -set near 0. Then for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $a \in S \cap (0, \delta/2)$ and $\beta \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in H$ and all $P \in F$,

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in B.$$

Then

$$\bigcap_{f \in F} \left\{ - \left(a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \right) + A \right\} \in q.$$

Let us choose

$$y \in \left[\bigcap_{f \in F} \left\{ - \left(a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \right) + A \right\} \right] \cap (0, \delta/2).$$

So, $\forall f \in F$,

$$y + a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A,$$

Let us define, $x = y + a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$.

Hence for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $x \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $\beta \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in H$ and all $P \in F$,

$$x + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A.$$

Therefore A is a J_p -set near 0. Since A is arbitrary element from $p + q$. So, $p + q \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$.

Now, If $A \in q + p$, then $B = \{x \in S : -x + A \in p\} \in q$. Choose $x \in B \cap (0, \delta/2)$, $-x + A \in p$. So, $-x + A$ is a J_p -set near 0.

Therefore for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S)), H \in P_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $a \in S \cap (0, \delta/2)$ and $\beta \in P_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in H$ and all $P \in F$,

$$a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in -x + A.$$

Hence $\forall f \in F$,

$$x + a + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A,$$

Let us define, $z = x + a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$

So for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S))$, $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $z \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $f \in H$ and all $P \in F$,

$$z + P \left(\sum_{t \in \beta} f(t) \right) \in A.$$

Therefore A is a J_p -set near 0. Since A is arbitrary element from $q + p$. So, $q + p \in \mathcal{J}_p^0$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), +)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), +)$. Then \mathcal{J}_p^0 is closed subset of 0^+ .*

Proof. Let $p \in 0^+ \setminus \mathcal{J}_p^0$, then there exists $A \in p$ such that A is not a J_p -set. Then $\bar{A} \cap \mathcal{J}_p^0 = \emptyset$ and $p \in A$. So p is not a limit point of \mathcal{J}_p^0 . So the proof is done.

Where $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta S : A \in p\}$. \square

By Ellis' theorem [HS, Corollary 2.39], a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.5 and lemma 2.6 is that there are idempotent ultrafilters, and even minimal idempotent ultrafilters, in \mathcal{J}_p^0 . We denote the set of all idempotents in \mathcal{J}_p^0 by $E(\mathcal{J}_p^0)$.

Definition 2.7. A be a C_p^0 set in S if $A \in p$ for some idempotent ultrafilter $p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p^0)$.

3. POLYNOMIAL STONG CENTRAL SET THEOREM NEAR ZERO

The central sets theorem near zero originally proved by Hindman and Leader in [HL]. Recently the Central Set Theorem was extended by Goswami, Baglini and Patra for polynomials in [GBP].

Here C_p -set is the element of the ultrafilter is in

$$E(\mathcal{J}_P) = \{p \in \mathcal{J}_P : p \text{ is an idempotent ultrafilter}\}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a C_p -set and let $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S))$. There exist functions $\alpha : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow S$ and $H : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

- (1) *If $G, K \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $G \subsetneq K$ then $\max H(G) < \min H(K)$ and*
- (2) *If $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}); G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_m$; and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, f_i \in G_i$, then for all $P \in F$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in A.$$

Proof. [GBP, Theorem 11]. \square

Inspired by their work we proved the Stronger Polynomial Central sets theorem near zero. Before that let's recall some notions.

Definition 3.2. Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), +)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), +)$.

- (1) $\mathcal{J}_p^0 = \{p \in \beta S_d : \text{for all } A \in p, A \text{ is a } J_p \text{ set near zero}\}$.
- (2) $E(\mathcal{J}_p^0) = \{p \in \mathcal{J}_p^0 : p \text{ is an idempotent ultrafilter}\}$.
- (3) A set $A \subseteq S$ is called C_p^0 set if $A \in p \in E(\mathcal{J}_p^0)$.

In The following theorem $\mathcal{T}_0 = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S^{\mathbb{N}} : x_n \text{ converges to zero}\}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), +)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), +)$. Let A be a C_p^0 set in S and $L \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S))$. Then for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exist functions $\alpha_\delta : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow S$ and $H_\delta : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that

- (1) $\alpha_\delta(F) < \delta$ for each $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$,
- (2) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $F \subset G$, then $\max H_\delta(F) < \min H_\delta(G)$ and
- (3) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$, $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots \subset G_n$ and $f_i \in G_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. then

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{1}}^n \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{1}}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in A.$$

for all $P \in L$.

Proof. Choose an idempotent $p \in \mathcal{J}_p^0$ with $A \in p$. For $\delta > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$, we define $\alpha_\delta(F) \in S$ and $H_\delta(F) \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ witnessing (1, 2, 3) by induction on $|F|$.

For the base case of induction, let $F = \{f\}$. As p is idempotent, the set $A^* = \{x \in A : -x + A \in p\}$ belongs to p , hence it is a J_p -set near 0. So for $\delta > 0$ there exist $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ and $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ such that

$$\forall P \in L, a + P \left(\sum_{t \in H} f(t) \right) \in A^*.$$

By setting $\alpha_\delta(\{f\}) = a$ and $H_\delta(\{f\}) = H$, conditions (1, 2, 3) are satisfied.

Now assume that $|F| > 1$ and $\alpha_\delta(G)$ and $H_\delta(G)$ have been defined for all proper subsets G of F . Let $K_\delta = \bigcup \{H_\delta(G) : \emptyset \neq G \subset F\} \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, $m = \max K_\delta$ and

Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subset F, \\ f_i \in G_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M_\delta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \emptyset \neq G_1 \subsetneq G_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_n \subset F, \\ f_i \in G_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, P \in L. \end{array} \right\}$$

Then $R \subseteq S$ and M_δ is finite and by hypothesis 3, $M_\delta \subseteq A^*$.

Let

$$B = A^* \cap \left(\bigcap_{x \in M} (-x + A^*) \right) \in p.$$

For $P \in L$ and $d \in R$, let us define the polynomial

$$Q_{p,d}(y) = P(y + d) - P(d)$$

Since the coefficients of P come from ω , $Q_{p,d} \in \mathbb{P}(S, S)$.

Let $M = L \cup \{Q_{p,d} \mid P \in S \text{ and } d \in R\}$.

From Theorem 2.3, there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ with $\min(\gamma) > m$ and $a \in S \cap (0, \delta)$ such that

$$\forall P \in M, f \in F \ a + Q(f(\gamma)) \in B.$$

We set $\alpha_\delta(F) = a < \delta$ and $H_\delta(F) = \gamma$. So 1 is satisfied immediately. Now we are left to verify conditions 2 and 3.

Since $\min(\gamma) > m$ 2 is satisfied.

to verify 3, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$, $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots \subset G_n = F$ and $f_i \in G_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and let $P \in L$.

For $n = 1$, then $\alpha_\delta(G_n) + P\left(\sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_n)} f_n(t)\right) = a + Q(f(\gamma)) \in B \subseteq A^*$.

If $n > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \\ &= \alpha_\delta(G_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_n)} f_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \\ &= a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{t \in \gamma} f_n(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_n = F$ and $\alpha_\delta(F) = a, H_\delta(G_n) = \gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) + \\ &P\left(\sum_{t \in \gamma} f_n(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) - P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \\ &= a + y + Q_{p,d}\left(\sum_{t \in \gamma} f_n(t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \in M_\delta, d = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t) \in R$ and $P \in L$ so $Q_{p,d} \in M$.

So we have

$$a + Q_{p,d}\left(\sum_{t \in \gamma} f_n(t)\right) \in B \in -y + A^*$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t)\right) \in A^*.$$

This completes the induction argument, hence the proof. \square

We can also generalize this theorem along Fulara's way easily

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(S, +)$ be a dense subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ containing 0 such that $(S \cap (0, 1), \cdot)$ is a subsemigroup of $((0, 1), \cdot)$. Let $\langle C_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be decreasing family of C_p^0 sets in S such that all $C_i \in p \in E(\mathcal{J}_P^0)$ and $L \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{P}(S, S))$. Then for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exist functions $\alpha_\delta : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow S$ and $H_\delta : \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ such that*

- (1) $\alpha_\delta(F) < \delta$ for each $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$,
- (2) if $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$ and $F \subset G$, then $\max H_\delta(F) < \min H_\delta(G)$ and
- (3) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{T}_0)$, $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots \subset G_n$ and $f_i \in G_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. with $|G_1| = k$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_\delta(G_i) + P \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in H_\delta(G_i)} f_i(t) \right) \in C_k.$$

Acknowledgment: Both the authors acknowledge the Grant CSIR-UGC NET fellowship with file No. 09/106(0199)/2019-EMR-I and 09/106(0202)/2020-EMR-I respectively. They also acknowledge the help of their supervisor Prof. Dibyendu De for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [F] H. Furstenberg: Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.
- [BJM] V. Bergelson, J. H. Johnson Jr. and J. Moreira, New polynomial and multidimensional extensions of classical partition results, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 147: 119–154, 2017
- [HL] N.Hindman and I. Leader, The Semigroup of Ultrafilters Near 0, Semigroup Forum 59 (1999), 33–55.
- [GBP] S. Goswami , Lorenzo Luperi Baglini , Sourav Kanti Patra , Polynomial extension of the Stronger Central Sets Theorem, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics · December 2023.
- [CG] A. Chakraborti , S. Goswami, Polynomial Central Set Theorem Near Zero,
- [BH] V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, Ramsey theory in noncommutative semigroups, Transl. Am. Math. Soc. 330 (1992) 433–446
- [DHS] D. De, N. Hindman, D. Strauss, A new and stronger central set sets theorem, Fundam. Math. 199 (2008) 155 – 175
- [HS] N. Hindman, D. Strauss, Algebra in the Stone-Cech Compactification: Theory and Applications, 2nd edition, de Gruyter Berlin, 2012.
- [Ph] D. Phulara, A generalize central sets theorem and applications, Topology and its applications 196 (2015) 92-105
- [PP] S. Pal, J. Poddar, Some generalize central sets theorem near zero along Phulara’s way.
- [BT] E. Bayatmanesh, M. Akbari Tootkaboni, Central Sets Theorem near zero,
- [HS] N.Hindman, D.Strauss, Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification. de Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012. Theory and applications, Second revised and extended edition [of MR1642231].

Email address: sujan2016pal@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, KALYANI, NADIA-741235, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: pramanick.anik@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, KALYANI, NADIA-741235, WEST BENGAL, INDIA