

# Decomposing Dedekind Numbers: A Polynomial Representation with Powers of 2

Liu Yongqing

January 2023

## 1 Introduction

In 1897, Dedekind introduced the problem of counting the number of monotone Boolean functions, leading to the concept of Dedekind numbers [2]. This count, denoted by  $\psi(n)$ , grows rapidly with the number of variables  $n$ . Over the years, mathematicians like Kleitman, Gilbert, Hansel, Korshunov, Sapozhenko, and others explored formulas and estimates for Dedekind numbers [3, 12, 13, 7, 9, 5, 6].

Kleitman established asymptotic bounds on  $\psi(n)$  in 1969 [5], and Korshunov provided explicit asymptotics in 1977 [13]. Subsequent work by Sapozhenko in 1989 focused on antichains in partially ordered sets [9].

Efforts to compute exact Dedekind numbers beyond  $n \leq 8$  faced challenges. Wiedemann computed the eighth Dedekind number in 1991 [11], but it took years for significant progress. Recent advances in 2023 by Pawelski [8], Jäkel [4], and others [10] yielded the ninth Dedekind number, showcasing the potential of computational optimizations.

Our contribution extends beyond previous studies. Our general Theorem 1 builds upon and generalizes existing formulas, including those presented in [1]. Introducing the concept of local Dedekind numbers, we provide a strategy for partitioning Dedekind numbers into finite terms, each comprising smaller Dedekind numbers. This partitioning allows us to decompose any Dedekind number into a polynomial of powers of 2.

In our ongoing research, we are actively exploring the determination of coefficients for these terms, with the goal of potentially deriving a more comprehensive formula for  $n$ -dimensional Dedekind numbers.

## 2 Definitions

**Definition 1 (Cube)** Let  $E = \{0, 1\}$ , and define the order relation on it as  $0 \leq 0, 0 \leq 1, 1 \leq 1$ . The set of all sequences of length  $n$  formed by elements of  $E$ , denoted as  $a = (a^1, \dots, a^n), a_i \in E, 0 < i \leq n$ , is denoted as  $E^n$ . The partial order on  $E^n$  is defined as:  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a^i \leq b^i, 0 < i \leq n$ . We call  $E^n$  an  $n$ -dimensional cube.

**Definition 2 (Point Weight)** The number of 1s in an element  $a \in E^n$  is called the weight of  $a$ , denoted as  $|a|$ .

**Definition 3 (Comparable, Incomparable)** Let  $a, b \in E^n$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be comparable if  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ . Otherwise,  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be incomparable.

**Definition 4 (Cover)** Let  $a, b \in E^n$ ,  $a$  is said to cover  $b$  if  $a \geq b$  and  $|a| - |b| = 1$ , denoted as  $b \prec a$ .

**Definition 5 (Antichain)** Let  $A$  be a poset,  $B$  a subset of  $A$ . If any two elements in  $B$  are comparable, then  $B$  is called a chain. Conversely, if any two elements in  $B$  are incomparable, then  $B$  is called an antichain. A subset with only one element is both a chain and an antichain.

**Definition 6 (Poset Dual)** Given two posets  $S = (X, \leq_1)$  and  $S' = (X, \leq_2)$  with the same set of elements  $X$ , if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \leq_1 y$  if and only if  $y \leq_2 x$ , then the posets  $S$  and  $S'$  are said to be dual to each other.

**Definition 7 (Monotone Boolean Function)** A Boolean function with  $n$  variables is a mapping  $f : E^n \rightarrow E$ . The function  $f$  is monotone if for any  $a, b \in E^n$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $f(a) \leq f(b)$ . The set of all  $n$ -variable monotone Boolean functions is denoted by  $M_n$ .

**Definition 8 (Dedekind Number)** The number of monotone Boolean functions with  $n$  variables is called the  $n$ th Dedekind number, denoted by  $D_n$ .

**Definition 9 (Function Local Restriction)** Let  $S \subseteq E^n$  be a subset with the poset of  $E^n$ . Then the restriction of the  $n$ -variable Boolean function  $f$  to  $S$  is:

$$f|_S : S \rightarrow E; x \mapsto f(x)$$

It is monotone if for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $f|_S(a) \leq f|_S(b)$ . For  $n$ -variable monotone Boolean functions  $f, g$ , if for all  $x \in S$ ,  $g|_S(x) = f|_S(x)$ , then we say  $g|_S = f|_S$ . For all  $n$ -variable monotone Boolean functions, we call the number of all distinct monotone  $f|_S$  the  $n$ -dimensional local Dedekind number on the subset  $S$ , denoted by  $D(S)$ , and its set is denoted by  $M(S)$ .

Note that  $D_n = D(E^n)$ .

**Definition 10 (Upper set, Lower set)** Define the upper set of  $a \in E^n$  as  $S_{a,1} = \{b | b \geq a, b \in E^n\}$ , and the lower set as  $S_{a,0} = \{b | b \leq a, b \in E^n\}$ .

**Definition 11 (Subset generated by  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{y}$ )** Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  be a subset of  $E^n$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$ , and  $\mathbf{y} = (y^1, \dots, y^k)$  be a  $k$ -tuple, where  $y^j \in E$ , define the subset of  $E^n$  generated by  $(A, \mathbf{y})$  as

$$S_{A,\mathbf{y}} = \bigcup_{a_i \in A} S_{a_i, y^i}$$

### 3 Recursive Partition Formula of the Dedekind Number

**Theorem 1 (Partition of the Dedekind number)** For any subset  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  of the poset  $S \subseteq E^n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional local Dedekind number  $D(S)$  on  $S$  can be decomposed into the sum of  $D(A)$  parts:

$$D(S) = \sum_{f \in M(A)} D(S - S_{A,(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))}) \quad (1)$$

We call the set of all terms of the above polynomial the partition of  $D(S)$  induced by  $A$ .

*Proof* This is equivalent to dividing the set  $M(S)$  into several parts: given  $f \in M(A)$ , let  $g$  be a monotonic Boolean function on  $S$  such that  $g(a_i) = f(a_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$ . Due to monotonicity, the function values of  $g$  on  $S_{A,(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))}$  are determined, and the values on the remaining part  $S - S_{A,(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))}$  are undetermined. Therefore, the number of  $g$  that satisfy the condition is equal to the local Dedekind number of the remaining free part of  $S$ , that is,  $D(S - S_{A,(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))})$ .  $\square$

In  $E^n$ , let  $|A| = 1$  to obtain the following corollary, which has been previously proven by other methods in [1].

**Corollary 1** *The  $n$ -dimensional Dedekind number  $D_n = D(E^n - S_{a,1}) + D(E^n - S_{a,0})$ , where  $a \in E^n$ .*

**Definition 12 (Complete Partition)** *A subset  $A \in S$  is said to completely partition  $S$  if every element in the partition of  $S$  induced by  $A$  is either  $D_k$  or a product of some  $D_k$ , where  $k$  is an integer.*

Before delving into the concept of complete partition, we introduce the following relevant definitions, which will serve as the foundation for our subsequent derivations and proofs.

**Definition 13 (Cover-preserving Isomorphism)** *Suppose  $(P, \leq)$  and  $(Q, \leq)$  are two posets. A mapping  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  is called a cover-preserving isomorphism of posets if it satisfies the following two conditions:*

1.  $f$  is a bijection.
2. For all  $x, y \in P$ , if  $x \prec y$  in  $P$ , then  $f(x) \prec f(y)$  in  $Q$ . Conversely, if  $f(x) \prec f(y)$  in  $Q$ , then  $x \prec y$  in  $P$ .

If a cover-preserving isomorphism exists between  $P$  and  $Q$ , we denote this as  $P \simeq Q$ .

**Definition 14 (The Triangle Subset  $V_3$ )** *We define a special subset  $A = \{a, b, v\}$  in  $E^n$  such that  $v \prec a, v \prec b$  and  $|a| = |b|, a \neq b$ . Its dual is  $A' = \{a', b', v'\}$  such that  $a' \prec v', b' \prec v'$  and  $|a'| = |b'|, a' \neq b'$ . We collectively refer to  $A$  and  $A'$  as  $V_3$ .*

**Theorem 2 (Conditions of Complete Partition)** *A subset  $A$  can completely partition  $S \subseteq E^n$  if and only if there is no subset of  $S - A$  that is cover-preserving isomorphic to  $V_3$ .*

*If  $S = E^n$ , the condition is Equivalent to the following: subset  $B$  of  $S$  that is cover-preserving isomorphic to  $E^2$ , at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1. At least one middle layer point of  $B$  belongs to  $A$ .
2. Both the top and bottom points of  $B$  belong to  $A$ .

*Proof* Given a subset  $A$  that completely partitions  $S$ , we proceed by contradiction. If there exists a subset  $B$  that does not satisfy either condition, then  $S$  contains a  $V_3$ . If a monotone function  $f$  on the upper set of  $V_3$  all takes the value 1, on the lower set take the value 0, and take 1 on the points not comparable to  $V_3$ , then the number of this kind of monotone functions is equal to  $D(V_3)$  which is not a  $D_k$ . Therefore, a subset  $A$  that completely partitions  $S$  must satisfy at least one of the two conditions.

Conversely, if a subset  $A$  satisfies at least one of the two conditions, then there is no  $V_3$  in  $S - A$ . Therefore, for any  $f \in M(S)$ , and any subsets  $B, B'$  of  $S$  that is cover-preserving isomorphic to  $E^2$ , the function  $f|_B$  will fix all points of  $B$  or fix some points and leave subset  $b$  that is cover-preserving isomorphic to  $E^1, E^0$ , and for  $B'$ ,  $f$  leaves  $b'$ .

When  $b \simeq E^0$  and  $b' \simeq E^0$ , if they are comparable then  $b \cup b' \simeq E^1$  else  $b \cup b' \simeq E^0 \cup E^0$ , neither case violates the condition of complete partition. When  $b \simeq E^0$  and  $b' \simeq E^1$ , they are not comparable, because if there is a pair pf elements  $x \in b, x' \in b'$ , such that  $x \leq x'$  or  $x \leq x'$ , then there exists  $y \in b'$  such that  $V_3 = \{x, x', y\}$ , this contradicts the setting.  $\square$

**Lemma 1 (Minimal Complete Partition)** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $E^n$ , which completely partitions  $E^n$ . If there is no subgraph  $B \subset A$  such that  $B \simeq V_3$ , then there is no  $A' \subset E^n$  such that  $A'$  complete partition  $E^n$  and  $|A'| < |A|$ .*

*Proof* Suppose  $E^n$  is completely partitioned by  $A$  and there is no subset in  $(E^n - A)$  that is cover-preserving isomorphic to  $V_3$ . By the condition of complete partition, removing any point from  $A$  would lead to the appearance of  $V_3$  in  $(E^n - A)$ . Similarly, adding any point to  $A$  would lead to the appearance of  $V_3$  in  $A$ . So that adding a point  $a \in (E^n - A)$  to  $A$  cannot lead to the removal of any point  $b \in A$ , therefore the number of points in  $A$  increases. Hence, a complete partition subset  $A$  of  $E^n$  with  $V_3$  has more points than one without  $V_3$ . Therefore, the complete partition subset without  $V_3$  is minimal.  $\square$

**Definition 15 (Upper and Lower Subcubes of  $E^n$ )** *Let  $E^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypercube. For a given index  $i, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the set of points with the  $i$ -th coordinate equal to 1 forms the upper subcube, denoted as  $E_{i,1}^n$ . Similarly, the set of points with the  $i$ -th coordinate equal to 0 forms the lower subcube, denoted as  $E_{i,0}^n$ .*

**Theorem 3 (Construction of Minimal Complete Partition)** *Let  $E_{i,1}^n$  and  $E_{i,0}^n$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  be the upper subcube and the lower subcube of  $E^n$  respectively. If  $E_{i,1}^n$  (or  $E_{i,0}^n$ ) can be completely partitioned by its subset  $A_1$ , and there is no  $V_3$  in  $A_1$ , we take the complement  $A_0$  of  $A_1$  in the lower subcube  $E_{i,0}^n$  (or  $E_{i,1}^n$ ), then the subset  $A_1 \cup A_0$  can completely partition  $E^n$ .*

*Proof* Since there is no  $V_3$  in  $A_1$ , there is no  $V_3$  in the lower cube minus  $A_0$ , so the lower cube can be completely partitioned by  $A_0$ . For the edges connecting the upper and lower subcubes, each edge has one point belonging to  $A_1 \cup A_0$ , so no  $V_3$  will appear. Therefore the union of  $A_1$  and  $A_0$  completely partitions  $E^n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2 (Size of the Complete Partition Subgraph)** *Suppose  $A$  is a subset of  $E^n$ , and  $A$  completely partitions  $E^n$ , then  $|A| \geq 2^{(n-1)}$ . If there exists a subgraph  $B \simeq V_3$  in  $A$ , then  $|A| > 2^{(n-1)}$ , otherwise  $|A| = 2^{(n-1)}$ .*

*Proof* The complete partition subgraph constructed in Theorem 3 has a size of  $2^{(n-1)}$  and does not contain  $V_3$ , thus from lemma 1, we can conclude that if there exists a subgraph  $B \simeq V_3$  in  $A$ , then  $|A| > 2^{(n-1)}$ , otherwise  $|A| = 2^{(n-1)}$ .  $\square$

In the subsequent discussion, we introduce a distinctive minimal partition subset that unveils a particular polynomial representation for Dedekind numbers.

**Lemma 3 (A Specific Minimal Complete Partition)** *Let  $E^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypercube with  $n \geq 3$ . When  $n$  is even, its subsets  $A = \{a \in E^n \mid |a| = 0, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $B = \{a \in E^n \mid |a| =$*

$1, 3, \dots, n-1\}$  satisfy the construction of Theorem 3, and each forms a minimal complete partition subgraph of  $E^n$ . When  $n$  is odd, its subsets  $A' = \{a \in E^n \mid |a| = 0, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $B' = \{a \in E^n \mid |a| = 1, 3, \dots, n\}$  satisfy the construction of Theorem 3, and each forms a minimal complete partition subgraph of  $E^n$ .

*Proof* In  $(E^n - A)$ , there are no pairs of points  $a, b$  such that  $a \prec b$ . Therefore, in  $(E^n - A)$ , there are no subsets that are cover-preserving isomorphic to  $V_3$ . The same reasoning applies to  $B, A'$ , and  $B'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4 (Structure of the  $D_n$  polynomial)** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $E^n$  constructed by the method in Lemma 3, which completely partitions  $E^n$ . Then in the polynomial*

$$D(S) = \sum_{f \in M(A)} D(S - S_{A, (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))}), \quad (2)$$

each term is a power of  $E^0$ .

*Proof* Consider a point  $a$  in  $(E^n - A)$ . There exists  $f \in M(A)$  such that  $a \in (S - S_{A, (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))})$ . Since  $f$  is monotonic, for all such  $b \in (E^n - A)$  with  $b > a$ , we have  $f(b) = 1$ , and for all such  $c \in (E^n - A)$  with  $c < a$ , we have  $f(c) = 0$ . Therefore, both  $b$  and  $c$  do not belong to  $(S - S_{A, (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))})$ . As a result,  $a$  is incomparable with all other points in  $(S - S_{A, (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))})$ . Therefore, it is impossible for a subset isomorphic to  $E^k$  with  $k \geq 1$  to exist in  $(S - S_{A, (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_k))})$ . However,  $E^0$  that is incomparable with  $a$  may appear. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J Berman and P Köhler. On dedekind numbers and two sequences of knuth. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 24(2):3, 2021.
- [2] Richard Dedekind. Über zerlegungen von zahlen durch ihre grössten gemeinsamen theiler. In *Fest-Schrift der Herzoglichen Technischen Hochschule Carolo-Wilhelmina*, pages 1–40. Springer, 1897.
- [3] EN Gilbert. Lattice theoretic properties of frontal switching functions. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 33(1-4):57–67, 1954.
- [4] Christian Jäkel. A computation of the ninth dedekind number. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00895*, 2023.
- [5] Daniel Kleitman. On dedekind’s problem: the number of monotone boolean functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 21(3):677–682, 1969.
- [6] Daniel Kleitman and George Markowsky. On dedekind’s problem: the number of isotone boolean functions. ii. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 213:373–390, 1975.
- [7] Aleksej Dmitrievich Korshunov. Monotone boolean functions. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 58(5):929, 2003.
- [8] Bartłomiej Pawelski and Andrzej Szebietowski. Divisibility properties of dedekind numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04615*, 2023.

- [9] Aleksandr Antonovich Sapozhenko. The number of antichains in ranked partially ordered sets. *Diskretnaya Matematika*, 1(1):74–93, 1989.
- [10] Lennart Van Hirtum, Patrick De Causmaecker, Jens Goemaere, Tobias Kenter, Heinrich Riebler, Michael Lass, and Christian Plessl. A computation of  $d(9)$  using fpga supercomputing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03039*, 2023.
- [11] Doug Wiedemann. A computation of the eighth dedekind number. *Order*, 8(1):5–6, 1991.
- [12] Ж. Ансель. О числе монотонных булевых функций  $n$  переменных. *Кибернетический сборник. Новая серия*, 5:53–63, 1968.
- [13] Алексей Дмитриевич Коршунов. Решение проблемы Дедекинда о числе монотонных булевых функций. In *Доклады Академии наук*, volume 233, pages 543–546. Российская академия наук, 1977.