

AN EXPANSION FORMULA FOR ELLIPTIC HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

GAURAV BHATNAGAR AND ARCHNA KUMARI

ABSTRACT. We prove an expansion formula for elliptic hypergeometric series. In the q -case, when the nome $p = 0$, it generalizes q -hypergeometric transformation formulas due to Liu, and Wang and Ma, results that are used to derive identities for Hecke-type series and transformations for false and mock-theta functions. Our formula contains an arbitrary sequence as an argument, and is thus flexible in the number of parameters it contains. As a result, we are able to derive five new transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series, as well as six new double summation formulas. These formulas are new even in the basic hypergeometric case, when $p = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are a large number of summation and transformation formulas for hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric series, that is, series of the form $\sum t_k$, where the ratio t_{k+1}/t_k of successive terms is a rational function of the index k , or, a rational function of q^k . There are relatively fewer results for elliptic hypergeometric series, where the ratio of successive terms is an elliptic function, the index k now regarded as a complex variable. The reason is a classic theorem on the factorization of elliptic functions, which prescribes a balancing condition that the ratio has to satisfy, and thus restricts the parameters of such a series. In the case of basic hypergeometric series, there are general techniques, such as the Bailey transform and lemma, which generate a large number of useful results, beginning with a relatively few, known, identities. The objective of this paper is to provide one promising general technique that is quite productive in finding new identities for elliptic hypergeometric series. The special case, where $p = 0$, applies to basic hypergeometric series.

Among the first results for elliptic hypergeometric series were two fundamental formulas given by Frenkel and Turaev [10], one of which sums a ${}_{10}V_9$ sum, and the other, a transformation formula for ${}_{12}V_{11}$ series. Subsequently, Spiridonov [28] and Warnaar [32, 33] popularized the area, obtained many results, and proposed several conjectures. Further summation and transformation formulas appear in [2, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 25, 26, 27, 34]. Gasper and Rahman included an introduction in Chapter 11 of the second edition of their popular book [11], making these results accessible to a wide variety of researchers.

As regards general techniques in the study of elliptic hypergeometric series, Warnaar [32] used matrix inversion to obtain many transformation formulas. Spiridonov [28] and Warnaar [33] established the well-poised (or simply, the WP) Bailey transform and lemma. Chu and Jia [8, 9] gave a general approach which leads to several identities.

In this paper, we provide a general technique which leads to many new identities. This technique is motivated by an approach to q -Lagrange inversion introduced by Gessel and Stanton [13]. More recently, Wang and Ma [29] used this idea to give an alternate proof of an

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 33D15; Secondary 33D65, 33E20.

Key words and phrases. elliptic hypergeometric series, basic hypergeometric series, elliptic well-poised Bailey transform.

expansion formula of Liu [18, Theorem 4.1]. This approach was codified by Bhatnagar and Rai [3], and applied to prove another one of Liu's key expansion formulas. Their approach involves the matrices associated with the Bailey transform, along with the q -analogue of the Pfaff–Saalchütz summation; this brings Liu's development of the theory of basic hypergeometric series closer to the mainstream, namely the approach of Andrews [1], where the Bailey transform plays a key role, and Gasper–Rahman-type methods of [11]. It also leads, in [3], to extensions of Liu's work to multiple basic hypergeometric series over root systems.

Liu has demonstrated the usefulness of his expansion formulas. In particular, Liu [17, 18, 19, 20] and Liu and Zeng [22] obtain many classical theorems of q -hypergeometric series and give an alternate approach to the orthogonality of the Askey–Wilson polynomials. Several authors, in addition to Liu, apply these two formulas in number-theoretic contexts; for example, Chan and Liu [6], Wang and Yee [31], Wang [30] and Chen and Wang [7] use them to prove Hecke-type identities, and identities for false theta and mock theta functions. (The Wang in the references above refers to Liquan Wang, while the Wang in Wang and Ma refers to Jin Wang.)

In this paper, we give an expansion formula which collates the ingredients which allow the technique in [3] to be applied to elliptic hypergeometric series. In our elliptic extension of this work, we use an equivalent form of Warnaar's [32] matrix inversion. It is known—but perhaps not very well-known—that Bressoud's matrix inversion is an important component of the well-poised Bailey transform and lemma. Warnaar's matrix inversion contains an elliptic extension of Bressoud's [5] matrix inverse, and is thus useful in our work. The other ingredient is Frenkel and Turaev's elliptic summation formula for a terminating, balanced, and very-well-poised $_{10}V_9$.

To be able to state our results, we need some notation. We define the q -shifted factorials, for k a non-negative integer, as

$$(a; q)_k := \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^j),$$

and for $|q| < 1$,

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^j).$$

The parameter q is called the *base*. With this definition, we have the *modified Jacobi theta function*, defined as

$$\theta(a; p) := (a; p)_\infty (p/a; p)_\infty,$$

where $a \neq 0$ is a complex number, and $|p| < 1$. We define the q, p -shifted factorials (or *theta shifted factorials*), for k an integer, as

$$(a; q, p)_k := \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta(aq^j; p).$$

The parameter p is called the *nome*. When the nome $p = 0$, the modified theta function $\theta(a; p)$ reduces to $(1 - a)$; and $(a; q, p)_k$ reduces to $(a; q)_k$.

We use the short-hand notations

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; p) &:= \theta(a_1; p) \theta(a_2; p) \cdots \theta(a_r; p), \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q, p)_k &:= (a_1; q, p)_k (a_2; q, p)_k \cdots (a_r; q, p)_k, \end{aligned}$$

Very-well-poised elliptic hypergeometric series are defined as

$${}_{r+1}V_r(a_1; a_6, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(a_1 q^{2k}; p) (a_1, a_6, \dots, a_{r+1}; q, p)_k}{\theta(a_1; p) (q, a_1 q/a_6, \dots, a_1 q/a_{r+1}; q, p)_k} q^k,$$

where

$$q^2 a_6^2 a_7^2 \cdots a_{r+1}^2 = (a_1 q)^{r-5}.$$

In the following, we refer to a_1 as the *special parameter* of the very-well-poised series.

Our main result is the following expansion formula.

Theorem 1. *Let p be a complex number with $|p| < 1$. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let a, b, c, d , and q be complex numbers, and (A_j) a sequence of complex numbers, such that both sides of the following identity have no poles. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, d, c/b, bcq/ad; q, p)_n}{(bq, ad/b, c/a, cq/d; q, p)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-n}, cq^n; q, p)_j}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1-n}/c, bq^{1+n}; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{bq}{c}\right)^j A_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, cq^n, a/b, ad/c, bq/d; q, p)_k}{\theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq^{1-n}/c, bq, cq/d, ad/b; q, p)_k} q^k \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-k}, aq^k, d, bcq/ad; q, p)_j}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, ad/c, bq/d; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{bq}{c}\right)^j A_j. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Note that the sum on the left-hand side of (1.1) has factors which are very-well-poised with special parameter b , and on the right-hand side, the outer sum has very-well-poised factors with special parameter a . While the hypothesis says that we must avoid all poles, the result may make sense at some poles. For example, note that the inner sum on the right-hand side is not defined for $j = k$, and $k > 0$, when b is equal to a , due to the factor $(bq^{1-k}/a; q, p)_j$ in the denominator. However, one can use (1.6c) (below) on $(a/b; q, p)_k / (bq^{1-k}/a; q, p)_j$, and then the double sum makes sense for $b = a$. Note that there is no pole corresponding to $b = a$ on the left-hand side of (1.1).

The proof of Theorem 1 requires the following ${}_{10}V_9$ summation formula due to Frenkel and Turaev [10]; see [11, equation (11.4.1)]:

$${}_{10}V_9(a; b, c, d, e, q^{-n}; q, p) = \frac{(aq, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/cd; q, p)_n}{(aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/bcd; q, p)_n}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $a^2 q^{n+1} = bcde$. When $A_j = \delta_{j0}$ in (1.1), we recover an equivalent form of (1.2).

Theorem 1 also extends Frenkel and Turaev's ${}_{12}V_{11}$ transformation formula. To see this, take A_j such that the inner sum on the right-hand side of Theorem 1 is summable by using (1.2). Let

$$A_j \mapsto \frac{(b, ad/c, bq/d, e, f, b^2 q/ae f; q, p)_j}{(d, bcq/ad, bq/e, bq/f, aef/b; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^j$$

in (1.1). Now the inner sum on the right-hand side can be summed using the following case of (1.2): $(a, b, c, d, e, n) \mapsto (b, aq^k, e, f, b^2 q/ae f, k)$. This gives the Frenkel and Turaev's transformation formula in the form

$${}_{12}V_{11}(a; a, cq^n, ad/c, bq/d, ae/b, af/b, bq/ef, q^{-n}; q, p)$$

$$= \frac{(aq, d, c/b, bcq/ad; q, p)_n}{(bq, ad/b, c/a, cq/d; q, p)_n} {}_{12}V_{11}(b; b, cq^n, e, f, bq/d, ad/c, b^2q/ae f, q^{-n}; q, p).$$

In standard notation, it is stated as (2.3) below.

When $p = 0$, and $c \rightarrow 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain a terminating form of a formula of Wang and Ma [29, Cor. 2.15]; see Bhatnagar and Rai [3, Eq. 1.3, $y = q^n$]. Further, (1.1) can be written as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, aq/bc, aq/bd, aq/cd; q, p)_n}{(aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/bcd; q, p)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, b, c, d; q, p)_j}{(q, cdq^{-n}/a, bcd/a; q, p)_j} q^j A_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, b, c, d, a^2q^{n+1}/bcd; q, p)_k}{\theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, bcdq^{-n}/a; q, p)_k} q^k \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(q^{-k}, aq^k, bcq^{-n}/a, bdq^{-n}/a; q, p)_j}{(q, bcdq^{k-n}/a, bcdq^{-k-n}/a^2; q, p)_j} q^j A_j. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

To obtain (1.3), we substitute $(b, d) \mapsto (bcd/aq, cd/a)$ in (1.1), and replace A_j as follows:

$$A_j \mapsto \frac{\theta(b; p) (b, d; q, p)_j}{\theta(bq^{2j}; p)} \left(\frac{aq}{bd}\right)^j A_j.$$

In the resulting formula, take $c \mapsto bq^{-n}$ and $b \mapsto c$ to obtain (1.3). With $p = 0$, and $b \rightarrow 0$, this reduces to a formula of Liu [18, Theorem 9.2]. Wang and Ma's formula contains another very useful formula of Liu [17, Theorem 4.1]. The $p = 0$ case of (1.1) combines both of these important formulas of Liu. To the best of our knowledge, the $p = 0$ case of our results do not appear in the literature. Thus the q -hypergeometric results implied by our results also appear to be new.

The presence of the arbitrary sequence A_j in Theorem 1 makes it a very flexible and useful result. The proof of its flexibility lies in its application—in §3, we present five new transformation formulas; and in §4, we derive six double-summation results; all these applications follow by suitably choosing A_j to fit known summation theorems.

As mentioned earlier, our work in this paper is motivated by the exposition Bhatnagar and Rai [3] gave of Liu and Wang–Ma's q -hypergeometric results. The proofs of these expansion formulas in [3] used the matrices corresponding to the Bailey Transform ([13, Th. 3.7, $p = q$] or [23, Th. 3.7, $n = 1$]), and the balanced ${}_3\phi_2$ summation [11, Eq. (1.7.2)]. We use an equivalent form of Warnaar's matrix inversion from Bhatnagar and Schlosser [4, Cor. 4.5] (with $n = 1$, $x_1 = 1$). Further, in place of the balanced, ${}_3\phi_2$ summation, we use (1.2).

The proof of Theorem 1 suggests that we can generalize it further, by using Frenkel and Turaev's ${}_{12}V_{11}$ transformation, instead of the ${}_{10}V_9$ summation. This yields another very general expansion formula.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a complex number with $|p| < 1$. Let n be a non-negative integer. Let a, b, c, d, e, f , and q be complex numbers, and (A_j) a sequence of complex numbers, such that both sides of the following identity have no poles. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, c/b, d, e, f, bc^2q^2/ade f; q, p)_n}{(bq, c/a, cq/d, cq/e, cq/f, ade f/bcq; q, p)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-n}, cq^n; q, p)_j}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1+n}, bq^{1-n}/c; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{bq}{c}\right)^j A_j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, cq^n, a/b, bcq^2/def, ade f/c^2q; q, p)_k}{\theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq^{1-n}/c, bq, c^2q^2/def, ade f/bcq; q, p)_k} q^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-k}, aq^k, d, e, f, \lambda bq^{1-j}/a, \lambda q^{1-j}; q, p)_j}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, cq/d, cq/e, cq/f, \lambda bq^{1-j}/c, aq^j/\lambda; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{bq}{c}\right)^j A_j \\
& \times \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} \frac{\theta(\lambda q^{2m}; p) (q^{-k+j}, \lambda, cq/de, cq/df, cq/ef; q, p)_m}{\theta(\lambda; p) (q, cq^{1+j}/d, cq^{1+j}/e, cq^{1+j}/f; q, p)_m} \\
& \times \frac{(c/b, aq^{k+j}, \lambda bq/a; q, p)_m}{(\lambda bq/c, \lambda q^{-j-k}/a, a/b, \lambda q^{1+k-j}; q, p)_m} q^m, \tag{1.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = c^2 q^{1+j}/def$.

Note that when $f = cq/e$, then Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1. Such transformations, consisting of a q -hypergeometric single series transformed into a triple series, have been considered by Liu [21].

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in §2.

We now provide some background information and list some notation used in this paper. For further background information, we recommend Gasper and Rahman [11, Chapter 11] and Rosengren [24].

Background information.

- (1) Two important properties of the modified theta function are [11, Equation (11.2.42)]

$$\theta(a; p) = \theta(p/a; p) = -a\theta(1/a; p), \tag{1.5a}$$

and [35, p. 451, Example 5]

$$\theta(xy, x/y, uv, u/v; p) - \theta(xv, x/v, uy, u/y; p) = \frac{u}{y} \theta(yv, y/v, xu, x/u; p). \tag{1.5b}$$

This last formula is called the Weierstraß addition formula.

- (2) The following general theorem serves as a motivation for the name elliptic hypergeometric series.

Proposition 3 ([24, Theorem 1.3.3]). *Let $g(x)$ be an elliptic function, that is, a doubly periodic meromorphic function of the complex variable x . Then $g(x)$ is of the form:*

$$g(x) = \frac{\theta(a_1 q^x, a_2 q^x, \dots, a_r q^x; p)}{\theta(b_1 q^x, b_2 q^x, \dots, b_r q^x; p)} c,$$

where c is a constant, and

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_r.$$

This last condition is the *elliptic balancing condition*. If we write $q = e^{2\pi i \sigma}$, $p = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, with complex σ , τ , then $g(x)$ is indeed doubly periodic in x with periods σ^{-1} and $\tau \sigma^{-1}$.

- (3) For arbitrary integers k and m , we define products as follows.

$$\prod_{j=k}^m A_j := \begin{cases} A_k A_{k+1} \cdots A_m, & \text{if } m \geq k; \\ 1, & \text{if } m = k - 1; \\ (A_{m+1} A_{m+2} \cdots A_{k-1})^{-1}, & \text{if } m \leq k - 2. \end{cases}$$

Using this we can extend the definitions for $(a; q)_k$ and $(a; q, p)_k$ to arbitrary integers. Note that this implies

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_{-k}} = 0 = \frac{1}{(q; q, p)_{-k}} \text{ for } k > 0.$$

In addition, we have, for positive integers k and n ,

$$(q^{-n}; q)_k = 0 = (q^{-n}; q, p)_k \text{ if } k > n.$$

From these properties, we find that the ${}_{r+1}V_r$ series terminates naturally from below, and if one of the numerator parameters is q^{-n} , it terminates naturally from above. In the case of elliptic hypergeometric series, we will only consider terminating series, so there is no question of convergence.

(4) The following elementary identities [11, Ch. 11], for integers n, k are very useful.

$$(a; q, p)_{n+k} = (a; q, p)_n (aq^n; q, p)_k; \quad (1.6a)$$

$$(aq^{-k}; q, p)_k = (q/a; q, p)_k (-a/q)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}}; \quad (1.6b)$$

$$(q^{1-n}/a; q, p)_k = \frac{(a; q, p)_n}{(a; q, p)_{n-k}} (-q/a)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}; \quad (1.6c)$$

$$(pa; q, p)_k = (-1)^k a^{-k} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} (a; q, p)_k. \quad (1.6d)$$

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

We begin with a brief overview of the technique used in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. The proofs require a pair of infinite, lower-triangular, matrices that are inverses of each other. Thus we require a pair of matrices $\mathbf{F} = (F_{km})$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_{km})$, with rows and columns indexed by non-negative integers, such that $F_{km} = 0 = G_{km}$ for $m \geq k$, and

$$\sum_{j=k}^m F_{kj} G_{jm} = \delta_{km} = \sum_{j=k}^m G_{kj} F_{jm}.$$

The pair of matrices we use in this paper are an equivalent form of inverse matrices given by Warnaar [32].

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathbf{F} = (F_{km})$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_{km})$ be defined as*

$$F_{km} = \frac{\theta(aq^{2m}; p) (bq^k, q^{-k}; q, p)_m (b/a; q, p)_k \left(-\frac{a}{b}\right)^m q^{\binom{m+1}{2}}}{(aq^{1+k}, aq^{1-k}/b; q, p)_m}; \quad (2.1a)$$

$$G_{km} = \frac{\theta(bq^{2m}; p) (aq^{m+1}; q, p)_{k-1} (a/b; q, p)_k (q^{-k}; q, p)_m \left(-\frac{b}{a}\right)^k q^{m-\binom{k}{2}}}{(bq^{1-k}/a, q; q, p)_m (q; q, p)_k (bq^m; q, p)_{k+1}}. \quad (2.1b)$$

Then \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} are inverses of each other.

Remark. When we wish to highlight or modify the parameters a and b , we use the notation $F_{km}(a, b)$ for F_{km} .

Proof. We consider the equivalent formulation of Warnaar's matrix inversion from Bhatnagar and Schlosser [4, Cor. 4.5] (with $n = 1, x_1 = 1$). The entries of the matrix $\mathbf{B} = (B_{km})$ and its inverse are given by:

$$B_{km} = \frac{(b; q, p)_{k+m} (b/a; q, p)_{k-m}}{(aq; q, p)_{k+m} (q; q, p)_{k-m}},$$

and

$$B_{km}^{-1} = \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p)}{\theta(a; p)} \frac{\theta(bq^{2m}; p)}{\theta(b; p)} \frac{(a; q, p)_{m+k} (a/b; q, p)_{k-m}}{(bq; q, p)_{m+k} (q; q, p)_{k-m}} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{k-m}.$$

Let \mathbf{D}^L and \mathbf{D}^R be diagonal matrices with entries

$$D_{kk}^L = \frac{(-1)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}}}{\theta(aq^{2k}; p)}, \text{ and } D_{mm}^R = \frac{(b; q, p)_m}{(q, aq; q, p)_m}.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{D}^L \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{D}^R$ and $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{D}^R)^{-1} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{D}^L)^{-1}$ are inverses of each other. Using some elementary simplifications, we obtain the expressions in (2.1a) and (2.1b). \square

We use the the following lemma from Bhatnagar and Rai [3, Lemma 5.1]:

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbf{F} = (F_{km})$ and $\mathbf{G} = (G_{km})$ be a pair of inverse, infinite, lower triangular matrices. Let $f_k(y)$ be analytic in y in a disk around the origin, such that $f_k(q^N) = F_{Nk}$. Let $K(y)$, $h_j(y)$ and β_j be such that both sides of the following transformation formula are analytic in y in a disk $|y| < \epsilon$; or $y = q^n$ for some non-negative integer n , $h_j(q^n) = 0 = f_j(q^n)$ for $j > N$, and the series terminate. Then*

$$K(y) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j(y) \beta_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(y) \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} h_j(q^{j+m}) G_{k,j+m} K(q^{j+m}). \quad (2.2)$$

Since we are dealing with elliptic hypergeometric series, we only consider the terminating case where $y = q^n$, for a non-negative integer n .

The key idea in our work is to use this lemma with the matrices \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} from Proposition 4. To obtain Theorem 1, we take $h_j(q^m) = F_{mj}(b, c)$, and choose $K(q^m)$ appropriately, and apply the ${}_{10}V_9$ sum to the inner-sum on the right-hand side.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $F_{mj}(a, b)$ denote (2.1a), where we now choose to explicitly state the parameters. We take $h_j(q^m) = F_{mj}(b, c)$,

$$K(q^m) = \frac{(d, bcq/ad, aq; q, p)_m}{(cq/d, ad/b, bq; q, p)_m}, \text{ and } \beta_j = \frac{(-1)^j}{(q; q, p)_j} q^{-\binom{j}{2}} A_j,$$

in Lemma 5. Again, the inner sum on the right hand side is summed using the

$$(a, b, c, d, n) \mapsto (cq^{2j}, aq^{j+k}, c/b, dq^j, k - j)$$

case of (1.2). After some elementary calculations, we obtain (1.1). \square

In the proof of Theorem 1 above, we chose $h_j(q^m)$ and K in such a manner that the inner sum is summed using the ${}_{10}V_9$ summation. In the derivation of Theorem 2, we use Frenkel and Turaev's [10] transformation formula for terminating, balanced, and very-well-poised ${}_{12}V_{11}$ elliptic hypergeometric series, rather than the summation theorem for ${}_{10}V_9$ series. Frenkel and Turaev's ${}_{12}V_{11}$ transformation formula [11, Eq. (11.2.23), p. 307] is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{12}V_{11}(a; b, c, d, e, f, \lambda aq^{n+1}/ef, q^{-n}; q, p) &= \frac{(aq, \lambda q/e, \lambda q/f, aq/ef; q, p)_n}{(\lambda q, aq/e, aq/f, \lambda q/ef; q, p)_n} \\ &\quad \times {}_{12}V_{11}(\lambda; \lambda b/a, \lambda c/a, \lambda d/a, e, f, \lambda aq^{n+1}/ef, q^{-n}; q, p), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lambda = a^2 q/bcd$.

Proof of Theorem 2. We take $h_j(q^m) = F_{m,j}(b, c)$,

$$K(q^m) = \frac{(d, e, f, bc^2 q^2/ade f, aq; q, p)_m}{(cq/d, cq/e, cq/f, ade f/bcq, bq; q, p)_m}, \text{ and } \beta_j = \frac{(-1)^j q^{-\binom{j}{2}}}{(q; q, p)_j} A_j$$

in Lemma 5. The inner sum on the right hand side is transformed using the simultaneous substitutions $(a, b, c, d, e, f, n) \mapsto (cq^{2j}, dq^j, eq^j, fq^j, c/b, aq^{j+k}, k-j)$ in (2.3). After some elementary calculations, we obtain (1.4). \square

3. ELLIPTIC TRANSFORMATION FORMULAS

There is a lot of flexibility afforded by the sequence A_j in the statement of Theorem 1. The objective of this section is to use this flexibility to obtain several transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series which follow by applying Theorem 1. The results are new, even in the $p = 0$ case. In the following, we choose A_j so that the inner sum on the right-hand side of Theorem 1 sums using one of Warnaar's summations in [34].

We begin by choosing A_j , so that the inner sum on the right hand side of Theorem 1 is summable by [34, Eq. (1.3)]. This yields the following transformation formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq/b, d, c/a, bcq/d; q, p)_n}{(aq, d/b, bc/a, cq/d; q, p)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(a^2q^{4j}; p^2) (q^{-n}, cq^n, ad/bc, aq/d; q, p)_j (a^2, b/q; q^2, p^2)_j}{\theta(a^2; p^2) (aq^{1-n}/c, aq^{1+n}, d, bcq/d; q, p)_j (q^2, a^2q^3/b; q^2, p^2)_j} q^{2j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(a^2q^{4k}/b^2; p^2) (q^{-n}, cq^n, ad/bc, aq/d; q, p)_k (a^2/b^2, 1/bq; q^2, p^2)_k}{\theta(a^2/b^2; p^2) (aq^{1+n}/b, aq^{1-n}/bc, cq/d, d/b; q, p)_k (q^2, a^2q^3/b; q^2, p^2)_k} q^{2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

To obtain (3.1), first substitute $(a, b) \mapsto (a/b, a)$ in (1.1). Now take

$$A_j = \frac{\theta(-aq^{2j}; p) (a^2, b/q; q^2, p^2)_j (q, ad/bc, aq/d; q, p)_j}{\theta(-a; p) (q^2, a^2q^3/b; q^2, p^2)_j (d, bcq/d; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{cq}{a}\right)^j.$$

The inner sum can be summed using Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.3)] to obtain (3.1).

Next, we change the nome p to p^2 and q to q^2 in Theorem 1 and use [34, Eq. (1.4)]. First we substitute

$$(a, b, p, q) \mapsto (a^2, ab, p^2, q^2)$$

in (1.1). Next take A_j suitably, so that the inner sum can be summed using Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.4)]. This gives the following transformation formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a^2q^2, bcq^2/ad, c/ab, d; q^2, p^2)_n}{(abq^2, ad/b, c/a^2, cq^2/d; q^2, p^2)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(abq^{4j}; p^2) (q^{-2n}, cq^{2n}, ab, aq^2/b; q^2, p^2)_j}{\theta(ab; p^2) (q^2, abq^{2-2n}/c, abq^{2+2n}, aq; q^2, p^2)_j} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(b, bq, b/p, bqp, a^2d/c, abq^2/d; q^2, p^2)_j}{(apq^2, aq/p, b^2, aq^2, d, bcq^2/ad; q^2, p^2)_j} q^{2j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(-aq^{2k}; p) (-a, aq/b; q, p)_k (q^{-2n}, cq^{2n}, a^2d/c, abq^2/d; q^2, p^2)_k}{\theta(-a; p) (q, -b; q, p)_k (a^2q^{2+2n}, a^2q^{2-2n}/c, cq^2/d, ad/b; q^2, p^2)_k} q^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Next we obtain the transformation formula.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a^2q^2, bc/ad, c/ab, d; q, p^2)_n}{(abq, adq/b, c/a^2q, cq/d; q, p^2)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(abq^{2j}; p^2) (q^{-n}, cq^n, ab, b, -b, bp, -b/p; q, p^2)_j}{\theta(ab; p^2) (q, abq^{1-n}/c, abq^{1+n}, aq, -aq; q, p^2)_j} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(aq/b, a^2dq/c, abq/d; q, p^2)_j}{(aq/p, -apq, b^2, bc/ad, d; q, p^2)_j} q^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(a^2q^{1+4k}; p^2) (q, a^2q^2/b^2; q^2, p^2)_k (q^{-n}, a^2q, cq^n, a^2dq/c, abq/d; q, p^2)_{2k}}{\theta(a^2q; p^2) (a^2q^2, b^2q; q^2, p^2)_k (q, a^2q^{2+n}, a^2q^{2-n}/c, cq/d, adq/b; q, p^2)_{2k}} q^{2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

To obtain (3.3), we again need to change the nome from p to p^2 in Theorem 1; but this time we use [34, Eq. (1.10)]. First make the simultaneous substitutions: $(a, b, p) \mapsto (a^2q, ab, p^2)$ in (1.1). Next, with a suitable choice of A_j , the inner sum on the right-hand side of (1.1) can be summed using Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.10)].

To obtain the next transformation formula, we take $a = q$ and $p \mapsto p^2$ in (1.1), and make an appropriate choice of A_j . The inner sum can be summed using Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.15)]. This yields the transformation formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q^2, c/b, bc/d, d; q, p^2)_n}{(bq, c/q, dq/b, cq/d; q, p^2)_n} \\ & \times \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p^2) (q^{-n}, cq^n, b, -b, bp, -b/p, e/b, bq/e, dq/c, bq/d; q, p^2)_j}{\theta(b; p^2) (q, -q, q/p, -qp, bq^{1-n}/c, bq^{1+n}, b^2q/e, bc/d, d, e; q, p^2)_j} q^j \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(q^{1+2k}; p^2) (eq^{-k}; q^2, p^2)_k (q^{-n}, cq^n, dq/c, bq/d, e/b^2; q, p^2)_k}{\theta(q; p^2) (eq^{-k}/b^2; q^2, p^2)_k (q^{2+n}, q^{2-n}/c, e, cq/d, dq/b; q, p^2)_k} \left(-\frac{q}{b}\right)^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, we obtain a transformation formula using a formula of Lee, Rains and Warnaar [15]. In [15, Eq. (2.19a)], we take $a \mapsto a/p$, simplify, and then take $a \mapsto b$ and $b \mapsto a/b$, to rewrite their result as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-n}, aq^n, b, aq/b; q, p)_j (b^2/a; q, p^2)_{2j}}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1-n}/a, bq^{n+1}, b^2/a; q, p)_j (aq; q, p^2)_{2j}} q^j \\ & = \frac{\theta(a; p^2) (bq; q, p)_n (pq, a^2q/b^2; q, p^2)_n}{\theta(aq^{2n}; p^2) (a/b; q, p)_n (a, b^2p/a; q, p^2)_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We specialize A_j in (1.1) suitably, apply (3.5), and obtain the transformation formula:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, bcq/ad, c/b, d; q, p)_n}{(bq, ad/b, c/a, cq/d; q, p)_n} \\ & \times \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-n}, cq^n, ad/c, aq/b, b, bq/d; q, p)_j (b^2/a; q, p^2)_{2j}}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1-n}/c, bq^{1+n}, b^2/a, bcq/ad, d; q, p)_j (aq; q, p^2)_{2j}} q^j \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) \theta(a; p^2) (q^{-n}, a, cq^n, ad/c, bq/d; q, p)_k (pq, a^2q/b^2; q, p^2)_k}{\theta(aq^{2k}; p^2) \theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq^{1-n}/c, ad/b, cq/d; q, p)_k (a, b^2p/a; q, p^2)_k} q^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In summary, in this section we applied Theorem 1 with five summation theorems, to obtain five new transformation formulas.

4. SUMMATION FORMULAS FOR DOUBLE SUMS

In this section, we find double summation formulas. In §3, we chose A_j so that the inner sum on the right-hand side of Theorem 1 is summable; now, we choose A_j so that the left-hand side is summable. We give six such results. Again, to the best of our knowledge, these are new even in the q -hypergeometric case, when the nome $p = 0$.

We begin by choosing A_j so that the sum on the left-hand side of Theorem 1 is summable by Frenkel and Turaev's ${}_{10}V_9$ sum. Take

$$A_j = \frac{(b, e, f, b^2q/cef; q, p)_j}{(bq/e, bq/f, cef/b; q, p)_j} \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^j;$$

the sum on the left-hand side can be summed using the $(a, b, c, d) \mapsto (b, e, cq^n, f)$ case of (1.2). In this manner, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, cq^n, a/b, ad/c, bq/d; q, p)_k}{\theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1-n}/c, aq^{1+n}, ad/b, bq, cq/d; q, p)_k} q^k \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-k}, aq^k, b, d, e, f, bcq/ad, b^2q/cef; q, p)_j}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, ad/c, bq/d, bq/e, bq/f, cef/b; q, p)_j} q^j \\ & = \frac{(aq, bcq/ad, bq/ef, ce/b, cf/b, d; q, p)_n}{(ad/b, bq/e, bq/f, c/a, cq/d, cef/b; q, p)_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The next four theorems are obtained similarly by using Warnaar's summation theorems. First we use [34, Eq. (1.3)] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, bq^n/c, a/b, acd/b, bq/d; q, p)_k}{\theta(a; p) (q, acq^{1-n}/b, aq^{1+n}, ad/b, bq, bq/cd; q, p)_k} q^k \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(b^2q^{4j}; p^2) (q^{-k}, aq^k, d, b^2q/acd; q, p)_j (b^2, c/q; q^2, p^2)_j}{\theta(b^2; p^2) (bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, acd/b, bq/d; q, p)_j (q^2, b^2q^3/c; q^2, p^2)_j} q^{2j} \\ & = \frac{\theta(-bq^{2n}/c; p) (aq, -b/c, d, b^2q/acd; q, p)_n (1/cq; q^2, p^2)_n}{\theta(-b/c; p) (-q, ad/b, b/ac, bq/cd; q, p)_n (b^2q^3/c; q^2, p^2)_n} q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

To show (4.2), we substitute $c \mapsto b/c$ (1.1) and take

$$A_j = \frac{\theta(-bq^{2j}; p) (b^2, c/q; q^2, p^2)_j}{\theta(-b; p) (q^2, b^2q^3/c; q^2, p^2)_j} (q/c)^j.$$

The sum on the left-hand side can be summed using the $a \mapsto b$ and $b \mapsto c$ case of Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.3)].

Next we use [34, Eq. (1.4)] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{4k}; p^2) (q^{-2n}, a, c^2q^{2n}, a/bc, ad/c^2, bcq^2/d; q^2, p^2)_k}{\theta(a; p^2) (q^2, aq^{2+2n}, aq^{2-2n}/c^2, bcq^2, c^2q^2/d, ad/bc; q^2, p^2)_k} q^{2k} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bcq^{4j}; p^2) (q^{-2k}, aq^{2k}, b, bq, b/p, bpq, bc, cq^2/b, d, bc^3q^2/ad; q^2, p^2)_j}{\theta(bc; p^2) (q^2, bcq^{2+2k}, bcq^{2-2k}/a, ad/c^2, bcq^2/d, cq, cq^2, cpq^2, cq/p, b^2; q^2, p^2)_j} q^{2j} \\ & = \frac{\theta(c; p) (-q, cq/b; q, p)_n (aq^2, d, bc^3q^2/ad; q^2, p^2)_n}{\theta(cq^{2n}; p) (c, -b; q, p)_n (ad/bc, c^2/a, c^2q^2/d; q^2, p^2)_n} q^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Substitute $(b, c, q, p) \mapsto (bc, c^2, q^2, p^2)$ in (1.1) and take A_j , so that the sum on the left-hand side can now be summed using the $a \mapsto c$ case of [34, Eq. (1.4)]. This gives (4.3).

In the following statement we use the truth function $\chi(S)$, defined as

$$\chi(S) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \text{ is true;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p^2) (q^{-n}, a, c^2q^{1+n}, a/bc, ad/c^2q, bcq/d; q, p^2)_k}{\theta(a; p^2) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq^{-n}/c^2, bcq, c^2q^2/d, ad/bc; q, p^2)_k} q^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bcq^{2j}; p^2) (q^{-k}, aq^k, bc, b, -b, bp, -b/p, cq/b, d, bc^3q^2/ad; q, p^2)_j}{\theta(bc; p^2) (q, bcq^{1+k}, bcq^{1-k}/a, bcq/d, cq, -cq, cq/p, -cpq, b^2, ad/c^2q; q, p^2)_j} q^j \\
& = \chi(n \text{ is even}) \frac{(q, c^2q^2/b^2; q^2, p^2)_{n/2} (aq, d, bc^3q^2/ad; q, p^2)_n}{(c^2q^2, b^2q; q^2, p^2)_{n/2} (ad/bc, c^2q/a, c^2q^2/d; q, p^2)_n}. \quad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (4.4), first substitute $(b, c, p) \mapsto (bc, c^2q, p^2)$ in (1.1). Using an appropriate choice of A_j , the sum on the left-hand side can be summed using Warnaar's summation [34, Eq. (1.10), $a \mapsto c$]. This completes the proof of (4.4).

The next in this section also uses a result of Warnaar. Here we need to take the case $c = q$ and change the nome p to p^2 in Theorem 1. Now take an appropriate choice of A_j , and sum the left-hand side using [34, Eq. (1.15)]. In this manner, we obtain the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p^2) (q^{-n}, a, q^{1+n}, a/b, ad/q, bq/d; q, p^2)_k q^k}{\theta(a; p^2) (q, aq^{1+n}, aq^{-n}, bq, q^2/d, ad/b; q, p^2)_k} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p^2) (q^{-k}, aq^k, b, -b, bp, -b/p, c/b, bq/c, d, bq^2/ad; q, p^2)_j}{\theta(b; p^2) (q, -q, q/p, -qp, bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, ad/q, bq/d, b^2q/c, c; q, p^2)_j} q^j \\
& = \frac{(aq, d, bq^2/ad, c/b^2; q, p^2)_n (cq^{-n}; q^2, p^2)_n}{(ad/b, c, q/a, q^2/d; q, p^2)_n (cq^{-n}/b^2; q^2, p^2)_n} \left(-\frac{1}{b}\right)^n. \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Our final result of this section uses the summation theorem (3.5), due to Lee, Rains and Warnaar [15].

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\theta(aq^{2k}; p) (q^{-n}, a, cq^n, a/b, ad/c, bq/d; q, p)_k q^k}{\theta(a; p) (q, aq^{1-n}/c, aq^{1+n}, ad/b, bq, cq/d; q, p)_k} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\theta(bq^{2j}; p) (q^{-k}, aq^k, b, bcq/ad, cq/b, d; q, p)_j (b^2/c; q, p^2)_{2j}}{\theta(b; p) (q, bq^{1+k}, bq^{1-k}/a, ad/c, bq/d, b^2/c; q, p)_j (cq; q, p^2)_{2j}} q^j \\
& = \frac{\theta(c; p^2) (aq, bcq/ad, d; q, p)_n (pq, c^2q/b^2; q, p^2)_n}{\theta(cq^{2n}; p^2) (ad/b, c/a, cq/d; q, p)_n (c, b^2p/c; q, p^2)_n}. \quad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

To show (4.6), we choose A_j appropriately in (1.1), and apply the $a \mapsto c$ case of (3.5) on the left-hand side.

To summarize the work of this section, we have obtained six double summations, by combining Theorem 1.1 with various summation theorems.

From our work in §3 and §4, it is evident that the freedom in the choice of A_j is what makes Theorem 1.1 so useful.

5. REMARKS ON q -HYPERGEOMETRIC SPECIAL CASES

We can take the nome $p = 0$ in our formulas to obtain new results for basic hypergeometric series. In most results, taking $p = 0$ is immediate; the q, p -shifted factorials reduce to q -rising factorials. In some cases, such as (3.2) and (4.4), where parameters in the elliptic hypergeometric series appear with p in the denominator (for example, b/p and aq/p in (3.2)), we need to use (1.6d), or substitute parameters appropriately, before taking $p = 0$.

Note that several results obtained in this fashion are for bibasic series. Warnaar's summations in [34] that we have used extend results due to Nassrallah and Rahman (see [11, §3.10]). The results obtained in §3 fit naturally in that theory.

We remark that when $p = 0$, that is, in the q -hypergeometric case, we can use Lemma 5 to obtain results for infinite series. This follows by interpreting both sides of a terminating identity as analytic functions which are true for $y = q^n$, and then obtaining a result with parameter y using analytic continuation. Thus our finite identities may imply identities with infinite products and sums. For examples, see [3].

Liu [16, Theorem 3] has used a double summation to give a generating function for the Askey–Wilson polynomials. The results in Section 4 are about such identities.

Theorem 2 concerns a transformation of a single series into a triple series. Again, Liu [21, Theorem 1.4] has given such a result in the q -hypergeometric case.

Finally, the work of Bhatnagar and Schlosser [4] may be used to provide extensions over root systems of Theorems 1 and 2. Further, applications analogous to those in the current paper may be obtained using results from Rosengren and Schlosser [26]. We expect to study those in a subsequent publication.

Acknowledgements. We thank Michael Schlosser for helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews. Bailey’s transform, lemma, chains and tree. In *Special functions 2000: current perspective and future directions (Tempe, AZ)*, volume 30 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 1–22. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [2] G. Bhatnagar, A. Kumari, and M. J. Schlosser. An esoteric identity with many parameters and other elliptic extensions of elementary identities. *New Zealand J. Math.*, to appear. arXiv:2310.20219.
- [3] G. Bhatnagar and S. Rai. Expansion formulas for multiple basic hypergeometric series over root systems. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 137:Paper No. 102329, 29pp, 2022.
- [4] G. Bhatnagar and M. J. Schlosser. Elliptic well-poised Bailey transforms and lemmas on root systems. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 14:Paper No. 025, 44, 2018.
- [5] D. M. Bressoud. A matrix inverse. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88(3):446–448, 1983.
- [6] H. H. Chan and Z.-G. Liu. On certain series of Hecke-type. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 48:1–10, 2018.
- [7] D. Chen and L. Wang. Representations of mock theta functions. *Adv. Math.*, 365:107037, 72, 2020.
- [8] W. Chu and C. Jia. Abel’s method on summation by parts and theta hypergeometric series. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 115(5):815–844, 2008.
- [9] W. Chu and C. Jia. Quartic theta hypergeometric series. *Ramanujan J.*, 32(1):23–81, 2013.
- [10] I. B. Frenkel and V. G. Turaev. Elliptic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions. In *The Arnold-Gelfand mathematical seminars*, pages 171–204. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [11] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, volume 96 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2004. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- [12] G. Gasper and M. Schlosser. Summation, transformation, and expansion formulas for multibasic theta hypergeometric series. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang)*, 11(1):67–84, 2005.
- [13] I. Gessel and D. Stanton. Applications of q -Lagrange inversion to basic hypergeometric series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 277(1):173–201, 1983.
- [14] R. Langer, M. J. Schlosser, and S. O. Warnaar. Theta functions, elliptic hypergeometric series, and Kawanaka’s Macdonald polynomial conjecture. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 5:Paper 055, 20, 2009.
- [15] C.-h. Lee, E. M. Rains, and S. O. Warnaar. An elliptic hypergeometric function approach to branching rules. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 16:Paper No. 142, 52, 2020.
- [16] Z.-G. Liu. An extension of the non-terminating ${}_6\phi_5$ summation and the Askey–Wilson polynomials. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 17(10):1401–1411, 2011.
- [17] Z.-G. Liu. On the q -derivative and q -series expansions. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 9(8):2069–2089, 2013.
- [18] Z.-G. Liu. On the q -partial differential equations and q -series. In *The legacy of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, volume 20 of *Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.*, pages 213–250. Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2013.

- [19] Z.-G. Liu. A q -series expansion formula and the Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Ramanujan J.*, 30(2):193–210, 2013.
- [20] Z.-G. Liu. A q -summation formula, the continuous q -Hahn polynomials and the big q -Jacobi polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 419(2):1045–1064, 2014.
- [21] Z.-G. Liu. On a transformation of triple q -series and Rogers–Hecke type series, 2024. arXiv:2401.14099.
- [22] Z.-G. Liu and J. Zeng. Two expansion formulas involving the Rogers-Szegő polynomials with applications. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 11(2):507–525, 2015.
- [23] S. C. Milne. Balanced ${}_3\phi_2$ summation theorems for $U(n)$ basic hypergeometric series. *Adv. Math.*, 131(1):93–187, 1997.
- [24] H. Rosengren. Elliptic Hypergeometric Functions. In *Lectures on Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions (Cohl, H. S. and Ismail, M. E. H. (eds.))*, pages 213–279. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [25] H. Rosengren and M. J. Schlosser. On Warnaar’s elliptic matrix inversion and Karlsson-Minton-type elliptic hypergeometric series. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 178(1-2):377–391, 2005.
- [26] H. Rosengren and M. J. Schlosser. Multidimensional matrix inversions and elliptic hypergeometric series on root systems. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 16:Paper No. 088, 21, 2020.
- [27] M. J. Schlosser and M. Yoo. Elliptic hypergeometric summations by Taylor series expansion and interpolation. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 12:Paper No. 039, 21, 2016.
- [28] V. P. Spiridonov. An elliptic incarnation of the Bailey chain. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (37):1945–1977, 2002.
- [29] J. Wang and X. Ma. An expansion formula of basic hypergeometric series via the $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion and its applications, 2021.
- [30] L. Wang. New proofs of Ramanujan’s identities on false theta functions. *Ramanujan J.*, 50(2):423–431, 2019.
- [31] L. Wang and A. J. Yee. Some Hecke-Rogers type identities. *Adv. Math.*, 349:733–748, 2019.
- [32] S. O. Warnaar. Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series. *Constr. Approx.*, 18(4):479–502, 2002.
- [33] S. O. Warnaar. Extensions of the well-poised and elliptic well-poised Bailey lemma. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 14(3-4):571–588, 2003.
- [34] S. O. Warnaar. Summation formulae for elliptic hypergeometric series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(2):519–527, 2005.
- [35] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A Course of Modern Analysis*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions; with an account of the principal transcendental functions, Reprint of the fourth (1927) edition.

ASHOKA UNIVERSITY, SONIPAT, HARYANA 131029, INDIA
Email address: bhatnagarg@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DELHI 110067, INDIA.
Email address: arcyadav856@gmail.com