

On the mod k chromatic index of graphs

Oothan Nweit^{1,2}, Daqing Yang^{1,3,4}

March 7, 2024

Abstract

For a graph G and an integer $k \geq 2$, a χ'_k -coloring of G is an edge coloring of G such that the subgraph induced by the edges of each color has all degrees congruent to 1 (mod k), and $\chi'_k(G)$ is the minimum number of colors in a χ'_k -coloring of G . In [“The mod k chromatic index of graphs is $O(k)$ ”, J. Graph Theory. 2023; 102: 197-200], Botler, Colucci and Kohayakawa proved that $\chi'_k(G) \leq 198k - 101$ for every graph G . In this paper, we show that $\chi'_k(G) \leq 177k - 93$.

Keywords: edge colorings, modulo, orientation, maximum average degree

1 Introduction

All graphs considered here are simple. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, and $v(G) := |V(G)|$ and $e(G) := |E(G)|$. If $X \subseteq V(G)$, then $G[X]$ is the subgraph of G induced by X . For an integer $k \geq 2$, a χ'_k -coloring of G is a coloring of the edges of G such that the subgraph induced by the edges of each color has all degrees congruent to 1 (mod k), and the mod k chromatic index of graph G , denoted by $\chi'_k(G)$, is the minimum number of colors in a χ'_k -coloring of G . Pyber [4] proved that $\chi'_2(G) \leq 4$ for every graph G and asked whether $\chi'_k(G)$ is bounded by some function of k only. Scott [5] proved that $\chi'_k(G) \leq 5k^2 \log k$ for any graph G , and in turn asked if $\chi'_k(G)$ is in fact bounded by a linear function of k . Botler, Colucci, and Kohayakawa [1] answers Scott’s question affirmatively by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]) *For every graph G we have $\chi'_k(G) \leq 198k - 101$.*

Also in [1], Botler, Colucci, and Kohayakawa proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2 ([1]) *There is a constant C s.t. $\chi'_k(G) \leq k + C$ for every graph G .*

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang 321004, China.

²E-mail: oothannweit@gmail.com.

³E-mail: dyang@zjnu.edu.cn.

⁴Corresponding author, grant numbers: NSFC 12271489, U20A2068.

In this paper, we improve the upper bound of the mod k chromatic index of graphs by proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *For every graph G we have $\chi'_k(G) \leq 177k - 93$.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, Botler et al. in [1] applies the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1.4 (Mader [3]) *If $k \geq 1$, G is a graph with $e(G) \geq 2kv(G)$, then G contains a k -connected subgraph.*

Lemma 1.5 (Thomassen [6]) *If $k \geq 1$ and G is a $(12k - 7)$ -edge-connected graph with an even number of vertices, then G has a spanning subgraph in which each vertex has degree congruent to $k \pmod{2k}$.*

A graph G is k -divisible if k divides the degree of each vertex of the graph G . By applying Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.5, Botler et al [1] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6 ([1]) *If graph G does not contain a nonempty k -divisible subgraph, then $e(G) < 2(12k - 6)v(G)$.*

For a graph G , let $N_G(v)$ denote the neighbors of v , $E_G(v)$ denote the edges that are incident to v , and let $d_G(v)$ be the degree of v , i.e., $d_G(v) = |E_G(v)|$. Let $\vec{G} = (V, \vec{E})$ be an orientation of G , for $v \in V$, let $N_{\vec{G}}^+(x)$ denote the out-neighbor(s) of x , i.e., $N_{\vec{G}}^+(x) = \{y : x \rightarrow y\}$, let $d_{\vec{G}}^+(x)$ be the out-degree of x , i.e., $d_{\vec{G}}^+(x) = |N_{\vec{G}}^+(x)|$; if y is an out-neighbor of x , then we say edge \vec{xy} an out-edge of x . Let $N_{\vec{G}}^-(x)$ denote the in-neighbor(s) of x , i.e., $N_{\vec{G}}^-(x) = \{y : x \leftarrow y\}$, let $d_{\vec{G}}^-(x)$ be the in-degree of x , i.e., $d_{\vec{G}}^-(x) = |N_{\vec{G}}^-(x)|$; if y is an in-neighbor of x , then we say edge \overleftarrow{xy} an in-edge of x . Let $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) = \max_{v \in V} d_{\vec{G}}^+(v)$, $\Delta^-(\vec{G}) = \max_{v \in V} d_{\vec{G}}^-(v)$. We drop the subscripts G or \vec{G} in the above notations when G or \vec{G} is clear from the context.

The *maximum average degree* of a graph G , denoted by $\text{mad}(G)$, is defined as

$$\text{mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \frac{2e(H)}{v(H)},$$

which places a bound on the average vertex degree in all subgraphs. It has already attracted a lot of attention and has a lot of applications. The following theorem is well-known (cf. [2], Theorem 4), we use it in our proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.7 *Let G be a graph. Then G has an orientation \vec{G} such that $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$ if and only if $\text{mad}(G) \leq 2d$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. The proof uses the following lemma, which was available in [7]. For the completeness of this paper, we present its short proof here.

Lemma 2.1 ([7, Lemma 1.7], adapted) *Let $d \geq 0$ be an integer. If an oriented graph \vec{G} has $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$, then there exists a linear order σ of $V(\vec{G})$, such that for any vertex $u \in V(\vec{G})$, the number of vertices that are the in-neighbors of u , and precede u in σ is at most d .*

Proof. We recursively construct a linear ordering $\sigma = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ of $V = V(\vec{G})$ as follows. Suppose that we have constructed the final sequence $v_{i+1} \dots v_n$ of L . (If $i = n$ then this sequence is empty.) Let $M = \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ be the set of vertices that have already been ordered and $U = V - M$ be the set of vertices that have not yet been ordered. Let $\vec{G}_U \subseteq \vec{G}$ be the subgraph of \vec{G} induced by U . If we have not yet finished constructing σ , we choose $v_i \in U$ so that $d_{\vec{G}_U}^-(v_i)$ is minimal in \vec{G}_U . Since $\sum_{v \in U} d_{\vec{G}_U}^-(v) = \sum_{v \in U} d_{\vec{G}_U}^+(v)$, and $\Delta^+(\vec{G}_U) \leq \Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$, we have $d_{\vec{G}_U}^-(v_i) \leq d$. This proves the lemma. ■

Combining Lemma 2.1 and the techniques used in [1], we prove the following lemma, which is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. If a graph G has an orientation \vec{G} such that $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$, then $\chi'_k(G) \leq 7d + 2k - 3$.*

Proof. If a graph G has an orientation \vec{G} such that $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$, by applying Lemma 2.1, we can suppose linear ordering $\sigma := v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ of $V(G)$ satisfying that for any vertex $u \in V(\vec{G})$, the number of vertices that are the in-neighbors of u , and precede u in σ is at most d .

Following the above linear ordering σ , we give a χ'_k -coloring of G by coloring the edges incident with v_i for each $v_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ in turn. At step v_i , we name *this procedure as processing vertex v_i , which means that we color all the edges incident with v_i that are not colored yet at this time*. After we have finished processing vertex v_i , we shall maintain that we have a χ'_k -coloring of the graph spanned by the edges incident with v_1, \dots, v_i , we call this a *good partial χ'_k -coloring after step v_i* .

To define the coloring method, we partition all the colors into two sets C_1 and C_2 such that $|C_1| = 3d - 1$ and $|C_2| = 4d + 2k - 2$, note that $|C_1| + |C_2| = 7d + 2k - 3$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, we use the colors in C_1 to color the uncolored out-edges of v_i and use the colors in C_2 to color the uncolored in-edges of v_i . Equivalently, for any directed edge uv ($u \rightarrow v$ in \vec{G}), if u is processed before v , then edge uv is colored with a color in C_1 ; if v is processed before u , then edge uv is colored with a color in C_2 .

By induction on i , we give a good partial χ'_k -coloring of G after processing v_i . For the induction hypothesis, suppose when we begin to process vertex v_i , all the edges that are incident with a vertex v that precedes v_i in σ have already been colored.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, when v_i is processed, let $U^+(v_i)$ denote the unprocessed out-neighbor(s) of v_i , i.e., $U^+(v_i) = N_{\vec{G}}^+(v_i) \cap \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$; let $U^-(v_i)$ denote the unprocessed in-neighbor(s) of v_i , i.e., $U^-(v_i) = N_{\vec{G}}^-(v_i) \cap \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$. When we process v_i , we color the uncolored out-edges $\{v_i v_j : v_j \in U^+(v_i)\}$ such that all out-edges of v_i are colored with distinct colors; to color the uncolored in-edges $\{v_j v_i : v_j \in U^-(v_i)\}$, we use colors in C_2 that are different than having been used in the out-edges of v_i (refer $X(v_i)$ in the following paragraph); and we do the coloring in this order.

For the induction step, suppose we process vertex v_i , where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Suppose $N_{\vec{G}}^+(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} = X(v_i)$. Since $\Delta^+(\vec{G}) \leq d$, $|X(v_i)| \leq d$. Suppose $N_{\vec{G}}^-(v_i) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\} = Y(v_i)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $|Y(v_i)| \leq d$. For the induction hypothesis, we suppose each edge $y_j v_i$, where $y_j \in Y(v_i)$, is colored with a color in C_1 ; and each edge $v_i x_j$, where $x_j \in X(v_i)$, is colored with a color in C_2 . When we process vertex v_i , i.e., color the remaining uncolored edges incident with v_i , we do this in two steps.

In the first step, we use the colors in C_1 to color the uncolored out-edges $\{v_i v_j : v_j \in U^+(v_i)\}$, such that all the out-edges of v_i have distinct colors. We show that we can do this for any edge $v_i v_j$ with $v_j \in U^+(v_i)$. For vertex v_j , since $v_j \in U^+(v_i)$, v_j has not been processed yet. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and the induction hypothesis, the in-edges of v_j that have been colored with colors in C_1 is at most $d - 1$ (note that in the counting we removed the in-edge $v_i v_j$ of v_j). For vertex v_i , when we begin to process vertex v_i , by the induction hypothesis, the edges incident with v_i and colored with colors in C_1 are $y_j v_i$, where $y_j \in Y(v_i)$. During processing vertex v_i , for the edge $v_i v_j$ with $v_j \in U^+(v_i)$, at most $|U^+(v_i)| - 1$ edges incident with v_i are colored with colors in C_1 . Note that,

$$|C_1| - |Y(v_i)| - (|U^+(v_i)| - 1) - (d - 1) \geq (3d - 1) - d - (d - 1) - (d - 1) \geq 1.$$

This proves that there is a color left for $v_i v_j$ with $v_j \in U^+(v_i)$.

In the second step, we color the uncolored in-edges $R(v_i) = \{v_j v_i : v_j \in U^-(v_i)\}$ of v_i . Note that v_i has at most $|X(v_i)| \leq d$ processed out-neighbors before v_i is processed. Observe that for any edge $x_i x_{\ell'}$ with $x_{\ell'} \in X(v_i)$, $x_i x_{\ell'}$ is an in-edge of $x_{\ell'}$. By the induction hypothesis, $x_i x_{\ell'}$ is colored when $x_{\ell'}$ is processed, and is colored with a color in C_2 . After removing the colors that used by edges $x_i x_{\ell'}$ with $x_{\ell'} \in X(v_i)$, there are at least $|C_2| - |X(v_i)| \geq 4d + 2k - 2 - d = 3d + 2k - 2$ colors left in C_2 that can be used to color edges in $R(v_i)$.

We partition these left colors in C_2 arbitrarily into sets $A(v_i)$ and $B(v_i)$ so that $|A(v_i)| = d + k$ and $|B(v_i)| \geq 2d + k - 2$. For each $v_j \in U^-(v_i)$ is an unprocessed in-neighbor of v_i . We say that a color c is *forbidden* at v_j if there is out-edge of v_j is colored with c , and we call the colors in $A(v_i)$ that are not forbidden at v_j *available* at v_j . Note that at most $d - 1$ out-edges of v_j are colored (removing the out-edge $v_j v_i$ of v_j in the counting). This implies that at least $k + 1$ colors in $A(v_i)$ are available at v_j .

Let $R^*(v_i)$ be the maximal subset of $R(v_i)$ that can be colored with colors in $A(v_i)$ in a way such that:

- (a) each in-edge $v_j v_i \in R^*(v_i)$ of v_i is colored with a color available at v_j ;
- (b) the number of edges in $R^*(v_i)$ colored with any color is congruent to 1 (mod k).

Let $\bar{R}(v_i) = R(v_i) \setminus R^*(v_i)$ be the set of the remaining edges in $R(v_i)$. We claim that $|\bar{R}(v_i)| < |A(v_i)|$.

Assume otherwise that $|\bar{R}(v_i)| \geq |A(v_i)|$, and suppose $A(v_i) = \{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq d + k\}$, $\bar{R}(v_i) = \{e_j = w_j v_i : w_j \in U^+(v_i), 1 \leq j \leq t, \text{ and } t \geq d + k\}$. We define an auxiliary bipartite graph T with vertices bipartition $A(v_i)$ and $\bar{R}(v_i)$, edges $E(T) = \{a_i e_j : \text{where } e_j = w_j v_i, a_i \text{ is available at } w_j\}$.

Since, for each $w_j \in U^+(v_i)$, there are at least $k + 1$ colors in $A(v_i)$ available at w_j , we have $d_T(e_j) \geq k + 1$ for every $e_j \in \bar{R}(v_i)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{a_i \in A(v_i)} d_T(a_i) = |E(T)| = \sum_{e_j \in \bar{R}(v_i)} d_T(e_j) \geq (k + 1)t \geq (k + 1)(d + k).$$

Since $|A(v_i)| = d + k$, we concluded that there exists a color a_i in $A(v_i)$, $d_T(a_i) \geq k + 1$, which means that color a_i is available on at least $k + 1$ edges in $\bar{R}(v_i)$.

If some edge in $R^*(v_i)$ is already colored with a_i , then we color k edges in $\bar{R}(v_i)$ with color a_i . If no edge in $R^*(v_i)$ is colored with a_i , then we color $k + 1$ edges in $\bar{R}(v_i)$ with color a_i . Both of these cases contradict with the maximality of $R^*(v_i)$. This proves that $|\bar{R}(v_i)| < |A(v_i)| = d + k$.

Finally we show that we can color all the edges in $\bar{R}(v_i)$ with distinct colors in $B(v_i)$. For this, it suffices to note that, for each $w_j v_i \in \bar{R}(v_i)$, there are at most $d - 1 + |\bar{R}(v_i)| - 1 \leq 2d + k - 3 < |B(v_i)|$ colors of $B(v_i)$ that are either forbidden at w_j , or were used on previous edges of $\bar{R}(v_i)$. ■

We prove our main result by using Lemma 1.6, Theorem 1.7, Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 2.2. The proof is similar to Theorem 5 in [1], the differences are applications of Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 2.1 here, and Lemma 2.2 is stronger than the corresponding one in [1].

Theorem 1.3. *For every graph G we have $\chi'_k(G) \leq 177k - 93$.*

Proof. Let H be a maximal subgraph of G such that $d_H(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ for every $v \in V(H)$, and let $G' = G \setminus E(H)$. Then $V(G) \setminus V(H)$ is independent. Since otherwise, there exists an edge e with both ends in $V(G) \setminus V(H)$; then $H' = H + e$ would be a graph for which $d_{H'}(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$; but this contradicts the maximality of H .

Similarly, by the maximality of H , $G'[V(H)]$ has no nonempty k -divisible subgraph. By Lemma 1.6, for every nonempty $J \subseteq G'[V(H)]$, we have $e(J) < 2(12k - 6)v(J)$. Thus,

$$\text{mad}(G'[V(H)]) = \max_{J \subseteq G'[V(H)]} \frac{2e(J)}{v(J)} < \max_{J \subseteq G'[V(H)]} \frac{2(24k - 12)v(J)}{v(J)} = 2(24k - 12).$$

By Theorem 1.7, $G'[V(H)]$ has an orientation $\overrightarrow{G'[V(H)]}$ such that $\Delta^+(\overrightarrow{G'[V(H)]}) \leq 24k - 12$.

For every vertex $u \in V(H)$, by the maximality of H , u has at most $k - 1$ neighbors in $V(G) \setminus V(H)$. For every edge $e = uv$ in G' with $u \in V(H)$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$, we orient e from u to v .

Thus there exists an orientation \vec{G}' of G' , such that $\Delta^+(\vec{G}') \leq 25k - 13$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a χ'_k -coloring of G' using at most $177k - 94$ colors. Then color all $E(H)$ with a new color, this proves the theorem. ■

Remark. In the above proof of Theorem 1.3, for all the edges $e = uv$ in G' with $u \in V(H)$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$, we can orient e from v to u . Then define a linear ordering σ' beginning with vertices in $V(G) \setminus V(H)$, and concatenating a linear ordering of $V(H)$ that has been proved existing by Lemma 2.1. By using this σ' and some adjusted arguments of Lemma 2.2, we may have a slightly better upper bound of $\chi'_k(G)$. But to do this, we shall have to tweak all the proofs of Lemma 2.2. As the authors in [1] have mentioned, we think we would be far from the truth still (refer Conjecture 1.2), we skip this small improvement here for the readability of this paper.

References

- [1] F. Botler, L. Colucci, and Y. Kohayakawa, *The mod k chromatic index of graphs is $O(k)$* , J. Graph Theory. 2023; 102: 197-200.
- [2] S. L. Hakimi, *On the degree of the vertices of a directed graph*, J. Franklin Inst. 279 (1965), 290-308. MR31 #4736.
- [3] W. Mader, *Existenz n -fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend grosser Kantendichte*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 37 (1972), 86-97.
- [4] L. Pyber, *Covering the edges of a graph by ...*, Sets, Graphs and Numbers (Budapest, 1991), vol. 60, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 583-610.
- [5] A. D. Scott, *On graph decompositions modulo k* , Discrete Math. 175 (1997), no. 1-3, 289-291.
- [6] C. Thomassen, *Graph factors modulo k* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. B. 106 (2014), 174-177.
- [7] D. Yang, *Generalization of transitive fraternal augmentations for directed graphs and its applications*, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) no. 13, 4614-4623.