
Towards Efficient and Effective Unlearning of Large Language Models for Recommendation

Hangyu Wang*

Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai, China
hangyuwang@sjtu.edu.cn

Jianghao Lin*

Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai, China
chiangel@sjtu.edu.cn

Bo Chen

Huawei Noah's Ark Lab
Shenzhen, China
chenbo116@huawei.com

Yang Yang

Huawei Noah's Ark Lab
Shenzhen, China
yangyang590@huawei.com

Ruiming Tang

Huawei Noah's Ark Lab
Shenzhen, China
tangruiming@huawei.com

Weinan Zhang

Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shenzhen, China
wnzhang@sjtu.edu.cn

Yong Yu[†]

Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai, China
yyu@sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

The significant advancements in large language models (LLMs) give rise to a promising research direction, i.e., leveraging LLMs as recommenders (LLMRec). The efficacy of LLMRec arises from the open-world knowledge and reasoning capabilities inherent in LLMs. LLMRec acquires the recommendation capabilities through instruction tuning based on user interaction data. However, in order to protect user privacy and optimize utility, it is also crucial for LLMRec to intentionally forget specific user data, which is generally referred to as recommendation unlearning. In the era of LLMs, recommendation unlearning poses new challenges for LLMRec in terms of *inefficiency* and *ineffectiveness*. Existing unlearning methods require updating billions of parameters in LLMRec, which is costly and time-consuming. Besides, they always impact the model utility during the unlearning process. To this end, we propose **E2URec**, the first Efficient and Effective Unlearning method for LLMRec. Our proposed E2URec enhances the unlearning efficiency by updating only a few additional LoRA parameters, and improves the unlearning effectiveness by employing a teacher-student framework, where we maintain multiple teacher networks to guide the unlearning process. Extensive experiments show that E2URec outperforms state-of-the-art baselines on two real-world datasets. Specifically, E2URec can efficiently forget specific data without affecting recommendation performance. The source code is at <https://github.com/justarter/E2URec>.

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) possess massive parameters and are trained on vast datasets, demonstrating exceptional proficiency in various tasks [43]. The remarkable advancements in LLMs

*Equal contribution.

[†]Corresponding author.

also inspire the exploration of leveraging LLMs as recommenders (LLMRec), whose effectiveness stems from extensive open-world knowledge and reasoning ability in LLMs [10, 49]. LLMRec obtains the recommendation ability through instruction tuning on the user interaction data. But in many cases, it is also crucial for LLMRec to forget specific user data, which is referred to as **recommendation unlearning** [2]. As shown in Figure 1, recommendation unlearning aims to forget the recommender’s knowledge about certain data (named as forgotten data) while ensuring that the original recommendation performance remains unaffected.

The necessity of recommendation unlearning mainly arises from two aspects: 1) Privacy. According to privacy legislation, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) [39] and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) [19], recommenders are obligated to erase the sensitive data upon user requests in order to protect user privacy. 2) Utility. Noisy data or polluted data can severely degrade recommendation performance. Once these data are identified, recommenders need to forget them to regain the utility [5].

Although attempts have been made in recent studies [5, 24, 57] to apply machine unlearning to recommender systems due to concerns about data privacy, they have predominantly focused on conventional small-parameter recommenders. These approaches require model retraining or Hessian matrix computation, which is expensive and time-consuming given the billions of parameters in LLMs. While some studies [56, 6] have explored unlearning in large language models, they are primarily designed in the Natural Language Processing (NLP) domain. Furthermore, these methods attempt to employ a gradient ascent-based approach to unlearn knowledge. While these approaches may yield effectiveness, they often impact the model utility on normal data [56]. As a result, how to efficiently and effectively erase the influence of specific data is not trivial in LLMRec.

To this end, we propose E2URec, Efficient and Effective Unlearning for LLMRec. For *efficiency*, our proposal involves integrating a lightweight unlearning module into the original LLM, drawing inspiration from low-rank adaptation (LoRA) [17]. Consequently, during the unlearning process, only the parameters of the lightweight LoRA module will be updated, while the parameters of the LLM remain frozen. For *effectiveness*, we employ the teacher-student mode. Specifically, we introduce a forgetting teacher model that has never seen the forgotten data. During the unlearning process, the student (i.e., unlearned model) will approach the forgetting teacher, thus helping the student to forget the specific samples. We also devise a remembering teacher to guide the student to retain the original recommendation performance.

In conclusion, we summarize our main contributions as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to study the unlearning problem for LLMRec. Our proposed E2URec outperforms existing approaches in terms of both efficiency and effectiveness.
- For efficiency, we propose to add a lightweight low-rank adaptation (LoRA) module to the original LLM. Only the LoRA parameters will be updated in the unlearning, while the parameters of the LLM are frozen.
- For effectiveness, we design a novel forgetting teacher and a remembering teacher to guide the unlearned model, so that the unlearned model can forget data and maintain the recommendation performance respectively.
- Extensive experiments on two real-world public datasets demonstrate the superiority of our proposed E2URec in recommendation unlearning for LLMRec compared with existing baselines, in terms of both efficiency and effectiveness.

2 Related Work

2.1 Machine Unlearning and Downstream Applications

We would like to first introduce the concept and categorization of general machine unlearning methods (i.e., exact unlearning and approximate unlearning), and then elaborate on their special downstream applications for both recommendation unlearning and large language model unlearning.

Machine Unlearning involves eliminating the influence of particular training data from a trained model [4, 41]. One naive method to accomplish this is by retraining the model from scratch using




Figure 1: The illustration of recommendation unlearning. We aim to forget the recommendation knowledge about the chosen forgotten data due to privacy or utility purposes, and meanwhile keep the recommendation performance of the unlearned model for the rest data.

a revised dataset that excludes the forgotten data. However, this method can be impractical due to its computational demands. Based on the degree of unlearning completeness, existing unlearning techniques can be categorized into exact unlearning and approximate unlearning.

Exact unlearning requires eliminating all information relevant to the forgotten data so that the unlearned model performs identically to a completely retrained model. For example, SISA [2], as a prominent approach, only requires retraining the sub-model trained on the relevant data shard for each unlearning request. GraphEraser [7] extends SISA for unlearning in graph neural networks, while ARCANE [54] partitions the dataset by class and employs one-class anomaly detection training on each subgroup. However, these methods require model retraining, which is computationally expensive and time-consuming.

Approximate unlearning only ensures that the performance of the unlearned model closely aligns with that of a retrained model [15, 46]. These methods estimate the influence of the forgotten samples and mitigate it by inverse gradient-based update techniques [13, 8], allowing efficient forgetting without retraining. This trade-off enhances efficiency and model utility but compromises completeness, leading to statistical forgetting [20]. Certain studies use the influence function to quantify the influence of the forgotten data [21], which entails computing the Hessian matrix and incurs additional computational overheads [40]. Recent research also highlights the computational intractability of the influence of individual training data on deep models [14].

While machine unlearning techniques are widespread and well studied, many research works start to explore their applications for various downstream areas, e.g., recommendation unlearning and large language model unlearning.

Recommendation Unlearning has garnered increasing research interest due to both privacy and utility purposes [44, 45, 25, 11]. Unlearning in recommender systems not only aids in safeguarding user privacy but also enhances recommendation models by mitigating the impact of noisy data [5]. RecEraser [5], along with LASER [27] and UltraRE [26], proposes novel data partition algorithms that divide the training set into balanced shards to preserve the collaborative information. AltEraser [35] enhances the efficiency by dividing the optimization problem of unlearning into many small tractable sub-problems. SCIF [24] and IFRU [62] extend influence function by selectively updating user embedding and employing importance-based pruning algorithms, respectively. Additionally, IM-Correct [33] and Unlearn-ALS [53] improve exact unlearning by correcting the mapping matrix and using Alternating Least Squares optimization, respectively. Lastly, unlearning methods have also emerged specifically for sequential recommendation [57], session-based recommendation [51] and federated recommendation [58]. However, none of the existing methods are tailored for LLM-based recommendation. Moreover, current approaches primarily prioritize forgetting effectiveness, neglecting the significance of preserving the original recommendation performance.

Large Language Model Unlearning. While there’s a growing body of research on machine unlearning, LLM unlearning is still a largely under-explored topic [47, 34]. The extensive parameters and training data of LLMs render existing unlearning methods ill-suited and inefficient, since they are typically tailored for classification tasks and demand either model retraining or Hessian matrix com-

putations. Yao et al. [56] formulate the settings and goals in LLM unlearning, advocating the removal of harmful content using a Gradient Ascent (GA) approach. Chen and Yang [6] introduce EUL, an efficient unlearning framework employing unlearning layers. Besides, Eldan and Russinovich [9] propose a novel network to unlearn copyrighted knowledge embedded within LLMs. However, they all use gradient ascent methods to unlearn knowledge, which will deteriorate the model performance on normal data [36]. Lastly, two benchmark [38, 55] are established to evaluate the efficacy of various unlearning methods for LLMs. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to study the LLM unlearning in the recommendation scenario. To overcome the shortcomings of gradient ascent methods, we adopt the teacher-student mode to maintain the original recommendation performance.

2.2 Large Language Models as Recommenders

With the remarkable development of large language models [63], researchers start to investigate their potential to directly perform the recommendation tasks, i.e., large language models as recommenders (LLMRec). As suggested in previous work [30, 52], according to different tasks to be solved by LLMs, LLMRec can be generally classified in three categories: (1) item scoring task, (2) item generation task, and (3) hybrid task.

In the *item scoring* task [61, 23, 48, 29, 1, 32], LLMs are required to perform pointwise preference estimation for each user-item pair, and the final ranked item list is generated by sorting the estimated preference scores. In the *item generation* task [28, 16, 64, 59], LLMs serve as generative functions to directly produce the final ranked list. Generally speaking, such a generative task places a significant demand on the powerful reasoning and instruction-following capabilities of LLMs to infer the user preference for item generation. As for the *hybrid* task [60, 12, 37], LLMs would accomplish the recommendation in a multi-task manner which combines both the item scoring and generation tasks. The basis to support such hybrid functionality is that LLMs are inherent multi-task learners [3, 42] through a unified language interface.

In this paper, we mainly focus on the first paradigm of LLMRec (i.e., item scoring task), which is more similar and aligned with traditional recommendation tasks. However, our proposed E2URec is generalized, and is also capable of item generation and hybrid tasks, which we leave as future works.

3 Preliminary

3.1 LLMs as Recommenders

Conventional recommendation aims to precisely estimate the user preference for each candidate item, and finally arrange a ranked list presented to users [31, 50, 18]. LLMRec (LLMs as Recommenders) aims to utilize LLM to predict whether a new item will be clicked by a user. Here, click denotes the positive interaction and can be replaced by behaviors such as purchase or like. We denote the recommendation dataset as $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ with N samples, where x_i is the features of i -th sample, and y_i is the label. Features x_i is transformed into textual sentence x_i^{text} via hard prompt templates. For example, x_i^{text} may be:

$$x_i^{text} = \text{“The user watched the following movies in order: Pump UP the Volume, Anta, Devil’s Own, Crying Game. Please deduce if he will like the movie Titanic.”} \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the binary label $y_i \in \{1, 0\}$ (click or not) is converted into corresponding binary answer words $y_i^{text} \in \{\text{“Yes”}, \text{“No”}\}$.

In order to tailor LLM to recommendation scenarios, the causal language modeling objective [1] is used to optimize LLM on dataset \mathcal{D} , by minimizing the negative log-likelihood of generating y_i^{text} conditioned on input x_i^{text} :

$$L_{pred}(\mathcal{D}) = - \sum_{(x_i^{text}, y_i^{text}) \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{t=1}^{|y|} \log (P (y_{i,t}^{text} | x_i^{text}, y_{i,<t}^{text})) \quad (2)$$

where $y_{i,t}^{text}$ is the t -th token of y_i^{text} , and $y_{i,<t}^{text}$ is the tokens before $y_{i,t}^{text}$.




Figure 2: The overall framework of our proposed E2URec, which consists of two major stages: (1) Teacher Construction, and (2) Unlearning with Teachers.

3.2 Recommendation Unlearning for LLMRec

Formally, suppose that on the recommendation dataset \mathcal{D} , we have trained a LLMRec model that is called the **original model**. Subsequently, a request for deletion is received, whereby the data to be removed is denoted as **forgotten data** \mathcal{D}_f , and the remaining data is **retained data** $\mathcal{D}_r = \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_f$. Then, the goal of unlearning is to learn a **unlearned model** \mathcal{M}_u that forgets the information about \mathcal{D}_f without hurting the recommendation performance. The unlearned model is initialized with the parameters of the original model.

4 Methodologies

4.1 Parameter-Efficient Unlearning

Considering the billions of parameters of LLM, updating all its parameters for forgetting is resource-intensive. Inspired by recent advances in parameter-efficient finetuning [17], we propose to insert the lightweight LoRA modules into LLM, as shown in Figure 2(b). The LoRA modules add pairs of rank decomposition weight matrices to the original parameters of the LLM while just introducing a few parameters. In this way, we model the unlearned model as $\mathcal{M}_u(\cdot; \phi, \theta) := \mathcal{M}_u(\cdot; \theta)$, where ϕ is the parameters of LLM and θ is the LoRA parameters. During the unlearning process, we only need to update θ , while ϕ remains frozen. This greatly reduces the computing resource and time.

4.2 Unlearning with Forgetting/Remembering Teachers

We aim to achieve unlearning by using two teachers, as depicted in Figure 2(b). To remove knowledge, we update the unlearned model \mathcal{M}_u to produce distributions similar to the forgetting teacher \mathcal{M}_f on the forgotten data \mathcal{D}_f . Simultaneously, to preserve recommendation performance, we update the unlearned model \mathcal{M}_u to produce distributions similar to the remembering teacher \mathcal{M}_r on the retained

data \mathcal{D}_r . The whole process can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\theta} \text{KL}(\mathcal{M}_f(\mathcal{D}_f) \parallel \mathcal{M}_u(\mathcal{D}_f; \theta)) \\ \min_{\theta} \text{KL}(\mathcal{M}_r(\mathcal{D}_r) \parallel \mathcal{M}_u(\mathcal{D}_r; \theta)) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\text{KL}(\cdot)$ is the KL divergence between the output probability distributions of the teacher and unlearned model.

The forgetting teacher should have never seen the forgotten data. The retrained model, which refers to the model trained from scratch without observing \mathcal{D}_f , seems to be a suitable forgetting teacher. However, it is inefficient and not viable in practice. Here, we use an approximate method to design the forgetting teacher.

As shown in Figure 2(a), we first finetune an augmented model on the forgotten data \mathcal{D}_f . The augmented model with additional training on \mathcal{D}_f will output logits that are more relevant to \mathcal{D}_f . Therefore, the difference between the logits of the augmented and the original model represents the information related to the forgotten data \mathcal{D}_f . We denote the logits of the augmented model and the original model as \mathbf{v}_{aug} and \mathbf{v} respectively, so the difference is $\mathbf{v}_{aug} - \mathbf{v}$. Subtracting this difference from the logits of original model can obtain the logits \mathbf{v}_f , which exclude the \mathcal{D}_f information. The formula of logits \mathbf{v}_f is as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}_f = \mathbf{v} - \alpha \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{v}_{aug} - \mathbf{v}) \quad (4)$$

where α is a positive hyper-parameter. Then, the output probability distribution of the forgetting teacher is the normalized \mathbf{v}_f , defined as $\text{Softmax}(\mathbf{v}_f)$.

So far, we have acquired the forgetting teacher’s outputs. Then the forgetting loss can be formulated as:

$$L_{FGT} = \sum_{x_f \in \mathcal{D}_f} \text{KL}(\mathcal{M}_f(x_f) \parallel \mathcal{M}_u(x_f; \theta)) \quad (5)$$

Simply forgetting will hurt the model’s recommendation performance. To retain the original recommendation ability, we encourage the unlearned model to “stay close” to the remembering teacher on retain data. We choose the original model as the remembering teacher \mathcal{M}_r , because it has the best recommendation performance. Besides, to further strengthen the knowledge related to the recommendation task, we also add the prediction loss from Equation 2. Formally, the remembering loss is:

$$L_{REM} = L_{pred}(\mathcal{D}_r; \theta) + \sum_{x_r \in \mathcal{D}_r} \text{KL}(\mathcal{M}_r(x_r) \parallel \mathcal{M}_u(x_r; \theta)) \quad (6)$$

In conclusion, the purpose of constructing forgetting teacher is to efficiently simulate the retrained model after removing forgotten data. Therefore, learning from the forgetting teacher is to make the unlearned model learn how to forget data. On the other hand, the remembering teacher is actually the original model, so learning from the remembering teacher is to make the unlearned model maintain the recommendation performance. Finally, the loss of E2URec is the weighted sum of forgetting loss and remembering loss controlled by the hyper-parameter β :

$$L = \beta L_{FGT} + (1 - \beta) L_{REM} \quad (7)$$

5 Experiments

5.1 Experiment Setups

We conduct experiments on two public recommendation datasets: MovieLen-1M (ML-1M) and GoodReads (GD). Due to the large scale of datasets, we downsample each datasets to 100,000 samples. Both datasets are split into training, validation and testing sets with a ratio of 6:2:2 according to the global timestamp. In the experiment, 20% randomly chosen users would request to remove their training data. We use T5-base [43] as the LLM backbone. We set $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0.6$. *Our method only needs to update 0.7% of the total parameters.*

We compare our E2URec with the state-of-the-art methods: **Original**: the original model without unlearning. **Retrain**: the model retrained from scratch without the forgotten data. We include it as a

Table 1: All metrics comparison results (in %) on MovieLens-1M dataset. The best results (except for original and retrain) are in bold.

Metrics	Effectiveness					Efficiency	
	AUC \uparrow	ACC \uparrow	LL \downarrow	JSD \downarrow	L2-norm \downarrow	Time(s) \downarrow	#Params \downarrow
Original	77.44	70.60	56.78	-	-	9048	2.2×10^8
Retrain	76.85	69.98	57.35	-	-	5279	2.2×10^8
SISA	75.35	68.52	58.89	2.05	9.82	3042	8.9×10^8
RecEraser	75.59	68.84	58.86	2.03	9.64	4009	8.9×10^8
NegKL	75.65	69.19	59.34	3.67	12.47	1805	2.2×10^8
NegGrad	75.97	69.31	59.20	4.64	14.13	1940	2.2×10^8
Bad-T	75.61	69.41	58.83	4.35	14.95	1684	2.2×10^8
E2URec	76.34	69.76	57.75	1.91	9.51	941	1.7×10^6

Table 2: All metrics comparison results (in %) on GoodReads dataset. The best results (except for original and retrain) are in bold.

Metrics	Effectiveness					Efficiency	
	AUC \uparrow	ACC \uparrow	LL \downarrow	JSD \downarrow	L2-norm \downarrow	Time(s) \downarrow	#Params \downarrow
Original	73.52	70.67	55.46	-	-	9152	2.2×10^8
Retrain	73.39	70.53	55.56	-	-	5448	2.2×10^8
SISA	72.19	70.07	56.76	2.04	8.85	3008	8.9×10^8
RecEraser	72.29	69.93	56.57	1.66	7.71	3208	8.9×10^8
NegKL	72.88	70.15	56.38	2.02	10.01	1866	2.2×10^8
NegGrad	72.85	70.26	57.21	2.56	10.44	1608	2.2×10^8
Bad-T	72.75	70.13	61.43	8.02	19.09	1753	2.2×10^8
E2URec	73.41	70.42	55.48	0.90	6.54	800	1.7×10^6

gold standard. **SISA** [2]: Sharded, Isolated, Sliced and Aggregated training. **RecEraser** [5]: improves SISA by collaborative sharding and aggregation. **NegKL**: uses KL loss to finetune the original model both on the retained and forgotten data, negating the KL loss for the latter. **NegGrad** [13]: uses prediction loss to finetune the original model both on the retained and forgotten data, negating the gradient for the latter. **Bad-T** [8]: use prediction loss to finetune the original model both on the retained and forgotten data, randomly assigning arbitrary labels for the latter.

We use the following metrics for analysis: 1) *AUC, ACC and LogLoss (LL) on test set*: measure the recommendation performance of the unlearned model. 2) *JS-Divergence (JSD) and L2-norm on the forgotten data*: JSD and L2-norm between the outputs of the unlearned and retrained model measure the effectiveness of unlearning. Smaller the metrics, better the unlearning. 3) *Unlearning Time and the number of Trainable Parameters (#Params)*: measure the efficiency of unlearning method.

5.2 Results Analysis

We list the comparison results in Table 1 and 2. From the results on two datasets, we observe that: 1) Our method E2URec can better maintain the recommendation performance. E2URec achieves better AUC, ACC and LogLoss compared to other baselines. This is because E2URec minimizes the KL distance between the forgetting teacher and unlearned model to remove knowledge, instead of reversing gradients as in previous methods, thereby preserving model performance. 2) The prediction distributions of our unlearned model on forgotten data closely align with the retrained model, evidenced by the smallest JSD and L2-norm. This indicates that E2URec achieves the best unlearning effect due to our innovative forgetting teacher design, which only requires to modify the model’s output to mimic the retrained model. 3) E2URec attains superior unlearning efficiency

Table 3: The results (in %) on ML-1M using T5-large. The best results (except for original and retrain) are in bold.

Metrics	Effectiveness					Efficiency	
	AUC \uparrow	ACC \uparrow	LL \downarrow	JSD \downarrow	L2-norm \downarrow	Time(s) \downarrow	#Params \downarrow
Original	78.11	71.33	55.72	-	-	34080	7.7×10^8
Retrain	77.95	71.27	56.13	-	-	22005	7.7×10^8
SISA	76.54	69.33	57.50	3.40	8.64	15210	3.1×10^9
RecEraser	76.78	69.46	57.77	1.89	9.74	15343	3.1×10^9
NegKL	76.97	69.44	57.32	5.84	15.68	13458	7.7×10^8
NegGrad	77.17	69.61	57.45	4.81	14.60	12960	7.7×10^8
Bad-T	76.68	69.57	57.22	3.88	14.14	14049	7.7×10^8
E2URec	77.52	70.96	57.02	1.61	8.25	8925	4.7×10^6

Table 4: The results (in %) on ML-1M using BART-base. The best results (except for original and retrain) are in bold.

Metrics	Effectiveness					Efficiency	
	AUC \uparrow	ACC \uparrow	LL \downarrow	JSD \downarrow	L2-norm \downarrow	Time(s) \downarrow	#Params \downarrow
Original	76.91	69.97	57.41	-	-	9417	1.4×10^8
Retrain	76.57	69.92	57.95	-	-	5057	1.4×10^8
SISA	74.92	68.71	59.27	2.92	11.11	3039	5.6×10^8
RecEraser	74.63	68.57	59.32	3.96	13.26	3273	5.6×10^8
NegKL	75.18	68.87	60.97	2.85	10.57	2583	1.4×10^8
NegGrad	74.93	67.89	64.18	5.03	14.40	2018	1.4×10^8
Bad-T	75.01	68.11	59.93	4.34	14.24	2087	1.4×10^8
E2URec	76.41	69.95	57.74	1.43	7.91	591	8.8×10^5

compared to other methods. E2URec has the lowest time cost and #Params since it only updates lightweight LoRA parameters instead of all model parameters.

5.3 Impact of LLM

In this section, we further explore the impact of LLM on the effectiveness and efficiency. we conduct experiments on the MovieLens-1M dataset using a large LLM: T5-large (770M parameters) [43] and a LLM with the different structure: BART-base (140M parameters) [22]. The results are listed in Table 3 and 4, from which we can find that: 1) E2URec achieves the best performance and the fastest speed on LLMs of different sizes and structures, which demonstrates the scalability of our method. 2) When the size of the LLM backbone scales up (from T5-base to T5-large), the model’s recommendation performance improves significantly and the unlearning time also increases. This is because larger LLMs possess a broader range of open-world knowledge and greater reasoning abilities, but also require greater resources for finetuning.

5.4 Ablation Study

We also conduct the ablation study to explore the contribution of each loss. In Table 5, “w/o L_{FCT} ” and “w/o L_{REM} ” respectively represent removing L_{FCT} and L_{REM} . We observe that removing L_{FCT} would increase JSD significantly, indicating that L_{FCT} is the main factor to forget the data. Removing L_{REM} would result in a notable drop in AUC, suggesting that L_{REM} is essential to maintain recommendation performance.

Table 5: Ablation results (in %). The best results are in bold.

Variants	MovieLens-1M		GoodReads	
	AUC \uparrow	JSD \downarrow	AUC \uparrow	JSD \downarrow
E2URec	76.34	1.91	73.41	0.90
w/o L_{FGT}	76.25	2.62	73.40	1.25
w/o L_{REM}	75.75	2.27	72.98	0.99

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose E2URec, which is the first efficient and effective unlearning method for LLMRec. Our method enables LLMRec to efficiently forget the specific data by only updating the lightweight LoRA modules. Besides, to enhance the effectiveness, our method incorporates two teacher models to guide the unlearned model in forgetting information without harming recommendation performance. Extensive experiments show that E2URec outperforms state-of-the-art baselines on two real-world datasets.

References

- [1] Keqin Bao, Jizhi Zhang, Yang Zhang, Wenjie Wang, Fuli Feng, and Xiangnan He. Tallrec: An effective and efficient tuning framework to align large language model with recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00447*, 2023.
- [2] Lucas Bourtole, Varun Chandrasekaran, Christopher A Choquette-Choo, Hengrui Jia, Adelin Travers, Baiwu Zhang, David Lie, and Nicolas Papernot. Machine unlearning. In *2021 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*, pages 141–159. IEEE, 2021.
- [3] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [4] Yinzhi Cao and Junfeng Yang. Towards making systems forget with machine unlearning. In *2015 IEEE symposium on security and privacy*, pages 463–480. IEEE, 2015.
- [5] Chong Chen, Fei Sun, Min Zhang, and Bolin Ding. Recommendation unlearning. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, pages 2768–2777, 2022.
- [6] Jiaao Chen and Diyi Yang. Unlearn what you want to forget: Efficient unlearning for llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.20150*, 2023.
- [7] Min Chen, Zhikun Zhang, Tianhao Wang, Michael Backes, Mathias Humbert, and Yang Zhang. Graph unlearning. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pages 499–513, 2022.
- [8] Vikram S Chundawat, Ayush K Tarun, Murari Mandal, and Mohan Kankanhalli. Can bad teaching induce forgetting? unlearning in deep networks using an incompetent teacher. In *AAAI*, volume 37, pages 7210–7217, 2023.
- [9] Ronen Eldan and Mark Russinovich. Who’s harry potter? approximate unlearning in llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02238*, 2023.
- [10] Wenqi Fan, Zihuai Zhao, Jiatong Li, Yunqing Liu, Xiaowei Mei, Yiqi Wang, Zhen Wen, Fei Wang, Xiangyu Zhao, Jiliang Tang, and Qing Li. Recommender systems in the era of large language models (llms), 2023.
- [11] Christian Ganhör, David Penz, Navid Rekabsaz, Oleg Lesota, and Markus Schedl. Unlearning protected user attributes in recommendations with adversarial training. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2142–2147, 2022.

- [12] Shijie Geng, Shuchang Liu, Zuohui Fu, Yingqiang Ge, and Yongfeng Zhang. Recommendation as language processing (rlp): A unified pretrain, personalized prompt & predict paradigm (p5). In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, pages 299–315, 2022.
- [13] Aditya Golatkar, Alessandro Achille, and Stefano Soatto. Eternal sunshine of the spotless net: Selective forgetting in deep networks. In *CVPR*, pages 9304–9312, 2020.
- [14] Laura Graves, Vineel Nagesetty, and Vijay Ganesh. Amnesiac machine learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 11516–11524, 2021.
- [15] Chuan Guo, Tom Goldstein, Awni Hannun, and Laurens Van Der Maaten. Certified data removal from machine learning models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.03030*, 2019.
- [16] Yupeng Hou, Junjie Zhang, Zihan Lin, Hongyu Lu, Ruobing Xie, Julian McAuley, and Wayne Xin Zhao. Large language models are zero-shot rankers for recommender systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.08845*, 2023.
- [17] Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [18] Yanhua Huang, Hangyu Wang, Yiyun Miao, Ruiwen Xu, Lei Zhang, and Weinan Zhang. Neural statistics for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 1849–1853, 2022.
- [19] Erin Illman and Paul Temple. California consumer privacy act. *The Business Lawyer*, 75(1): 1637–1646, 2019.
- [20] Zachary Izzo, Mary Anne Smart, Kamalika Chaudhuri, and James Zou. Approximate data deletion from machine learning models. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 2008–2016. PMLR, 2021.
- [21] Pang Wei Koh and Percy Liang. Understanding black-box predictions via influence functions. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1885–1894. PMLR, 2017.
- [22] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7871–7880, 2020.
- [23] Xinhang Li, Chong Chen, Xiangyu Zhao, Yong Zhang, and Chunxiao Xing. E4srec: An elegant effective efficient extensible solution of large language models for sequential recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02443*, 2023.
- [24] Yuyuan Li, Chaochao Chen, Xiaolin Zheng, Yizhao Zhang, Biao Gong, Jun Wang, and Linxun Chen. Selective and collaborative influence function for efficient recommendation unlearning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 234:121025, 2023.
- [25] Yuyuan Li, Chaochao Chen, Xiaolin Zheng, Yizhao Zhang, Zhongxuan Han, Dan Meng, and Jun Wang. Making users indistinguishable: Attribute-wise unlearning in recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 984–994, 2023.
- [26] Yuyuan Li, Chaochao Chen, Yizhao Zhang, Weiming Liu, Lingjuan Lyu, Xiaolin Zheng, Dan Meng, and Jun Wang. Ultrare: Enhancing receraser for recommendation unlearning via error decomposition. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [27] Yuyuan Li, Chaochao Chen, Xiaolin Zheng, Junlin Liu, and Jun Wang. Making recommender systems forget: Learning and unlearning for erasable recommendation. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 283:111124, 2024.
- [28] Jiayi Liao, Sihang Li, Zhengyi Yang, Jiancan Wu, Yancheng Yuan, Xiang Wang, and Xiangnan He. Llara: Aligning large language models with sequential recommenders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02445*, 2023.

- [29] Jianghao Lin, Bo Chen, Hangyu Wang, Yunjia Xi, Yanru Qu, Xinyi Dai, Kangning Zhang, Ruiming Tang, Yong Yu, and Weinan Zhang. Clickprompt: Ctr models are strong prompt generators for adapting language models to ctr prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09234*, 2023.
- [30] Jianghao Lin, Xinyi Dai, Yunjia Xi, Weiwen Liu, Bo Chen, Xiangyang Li, Chenxu Zhu, Huifeng Guo, Yong Yu, Ruiming Tang, et al. How can recommender systems benefit from large language models: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05817*, 2023.
- [31] Jianghao Lin, Yanru Qu, Wei Guo, Xinyi Dai, Ruiming Tang, Yong Yu, and Weinan Zhang. Map: A model-agnostic pretraining framework for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1384–1395, 2023.
- [32] Jianghao Lin, Rong Shan, Chenxu Zhu, Kounianhua Du, Bo Chen, Shigang Quan, Ruiming Tang, Yong Yu, and Weinan Zhang. Rella: Retrieval-enhanced large language models for lifelong sequential behavior comprehension in recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11131*, 2023.
- [33] Jiahao Liu, Dongsheng Li, Hansu Gu, Tun Lu, Jiongran Wu, Peng Zhang, Li Shang, and Ning Gu. Recommendation unlearning via matrix correction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15960*, 2023.
- [34] Sijia Liu, Yuanshun Yao, Jinghan Jia, Stephen Casper, Nathalie Baracaldo, Peter Hase, Xiaojun Xu, Yuguang Yao, Hang Li, Kush R Varshney, et al. Rethinking machine unlearning for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.08787*, 2024.
- [35] Wenyan Liu, Juncheng Wan, Xiaoling Wang, Weinan Zhang, Dell Zhang, and Hang Li. Forgetting fast in recommender systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06875*, 2022.
- [36] Zheyuan Liu, Guangyao Dou, Zhaoxuan Tan, Yijun Tian, and Meng Jiang. Towards safer large language models through machine unlearning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10058*, 2024.
- [37] Sichun Luo, Bowei He, Haohan Zhao, Yinya Huang, Aojun Zhou, Zongpeng Li, Yuanzhang Xiao, Mingjie Zhan, and Linqi Song. Recranker: Instruction tuning large language model as ranker for top-k recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16018*, 2023.
- [38] Pratyush Maini, Zhili Feng, Avi Schwarzschild, Zachary C Lipton, and J Zico Kolter. Tofu: A task of fictitious unlearning for llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.06121*, 2024.
- [39] Alessandro Mantelero. The eu proposal for a general data protection regulation and the roots of the ‘right to be forgotten’. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 29(3):229–235, 2013.
- [40] Ronak Mehta, Sourav Pal, Vikas Singh, and Sathya N Ravi. Deep unlearning via randomized conditionally independent Hessians. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10422–10431, 2022.
- [41] Thanh Tam Nguyen, Thanh Trung Huynh, Phi Le Nguyen, Alan Wee-Chung Liew, Hongzhi Yin, and Quoc Viet Hung Nguyen. A survey of machine unlearning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.02299*, 2022.
- [42] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [43] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):5485–5551, 2020.
- [44] Bhavika Sachdeva, Harshita Rathee, Arun Sharma, Witold Wydmański, et al. Machine unlearning for recommendation systems: An insight. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10942*, 2024.

- [45] Sebastian Schelter, Mozhdeh Ariannezhad, and Maarten de Rijke. Forget me now: Fast and exact unlearning in neighborhood-based recommendation. 2023.
- [46] Ayush Sekhari, Jayadev Acharya, Gautam Kamath, and Ananda Theertha Suresh. Remember what you want to forget: Algorithms for machine unlearning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:18075–18086, 2021.
- [47] Nianwen Si, Hao Zhang, Heyu Chang, Wenlin Zhang, Dan Qu, and Weiqiang Zhang. Knowledge unlearning for llms: Tasks, methods, and challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15766*, 2023.
- [48] Hangyu Wang, Jianghao Lin, Xiangyang Li, Bo Chen, Chenxu Zhu, Ruiming Tang, Weinan Zhang, and Yong Yu. Flip: Towards fine-grained alignment between id-based models and pretrained language models for ctr prediction. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2310, 2023.
- [49] Likang Wu, Zhi Zheng, Zhaopeng Qiu, Hao Wang, Hongchao Gu, Tingjia Shen, Chuan Qin, Chen Zhu, Hengshu Zhu, Qi Liu, Hui Xiong, and Enhong Chen. A survey on large language models for recommendation, 2023.
- [50] Yunjia Xi, Jianghao Lin, Weiwen Liu, Xinyi Dai, Weinan Zhang, Rui Zhang, Ruiming Tang, and Yong Yu. A bird’s-eye view of reranking: from list level to page level. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 1075–1083, 2023.
- [51] Xin Xin, Liu Yang, Ziqi Zhao, Pengjie Ren, Zhumin Chen, Jun Ma, and Zhaochun Ren. On the effectiveness of unlearning in session-based recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14447*, 2023.
- [52] Lanling Xu, Junjie Zhang, Bingqian Li, Jinpeng Wang, Mingchen Cai, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Prompting large language models for recommender systems: A comprehensive framework and empirical analysis, 2024.
- [53] Mimeo Xu, Jiankai Sun, Xin Yang, Kevin Yao, and Chong Wang. Netflix and forget: Efficient and exact machine unlearning from bi-linear recommendations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.06676*, 2023.
- [54] Haonan Yan, Xiaoguang Li, Ziyao Guo, Hui Li, Fenghua Li, and Xiaodong Lin. Arcane: An efficient architecture for exact machine unlearning. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-22*, pages 4006–4013, 2022.
- [55] Jin Yao, Eli Chien, Minxin Du, Xinyao Niu, Tianhao Wang, Zezhou Cheng, and Xiang Yue. Machine unlearning of pre-trained large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.15159*, 2024.
- [56] Yuanshun Yao, Xiaojun Xu, and Yang Liu. Large language model unlearning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10683*, 2023.
- [57] Shanshan Ye and Jie Lu. Sequence unlearning for sequential recommender systems. In *Australasian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 403–415. Springer, 2023.
- [58] Wei Yuan, Hongzhi Yin, Fangzhao Wu, Shijie Zhang, Tieke He, and Hao Wang. Federated unlearning for on-device recommendation. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 393–401, 2023.
- [59] Junjie Zhang, Yupeng Hou, Ruobing Xie, Wenqi Sun, Julian McAuley, Wayne Xin Zhao, Leyu Lin, and Ji-Rong Wen. Agentcf: Collaborative learning with autonomous language agents for recommender systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09233*, 2023.
- [60] Junjie Zhang, Ruobing Xie, Yupeng Hou, Wayne Xin Zhao, Leyu Lin, and Ji-Rong Wen. Recommendation as instruction following: A large language model empowered recommendation approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.07001*, 2023.
- [61] Yang Zhang, Fuli Feng, Jizhi Zhang, Keqin Bao, Qifan Wang, and Xiangnan He. Collm: Integrating collaborative embeddings into large language models for recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19488*, 2023.

- [62] Yang Zhang, Zhiyu Hu, Yimeng Bai, Fuli Feng, Jiancan Wu, Qifan Wang, and Xiangnan He. Recommendation unlearning via influence function. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02147*, 2023.
- [63] Wayne Xin Zhao, Kun Zhou, Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Xiaolei Wang, Yupeng Hou, Yingqian Min, Beichen Zhang, Junjie Zhang, Zican Dong, et al. A survey of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*, 2023.
- [64] Bowen Zheng, Yupeng Hou, Hongyu Lu, Yu Chen, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Adapting large language models by integrating collaborative semantics for recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09049*, 2023.