


Double Exponential Lower Bound for Telephone Broadcast

Prafullkumar Tale   

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal, India

Abstract

Consider the TELEPHONE BROADCAST problem in which an input is a connected graph G on n vertices, a source vertex $s \in V(G)$, and a positive integer t . The objective is to decide whether there is a broadcast protocol from s that ensures that all the vertices of G get the message in at most t rounds. We consider the broadcast protocol where, in a round, any node aware of the message can forward it to at most one of its neighbors. As the number of nodes aware of the message can at most double at each round, for a non-trivial instance we have $n \leq 2^t$. Hence, the brute force algorithm that checks all the permutations of the vertices runs in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. As our first result, we prove this simple algorithm is the best possible in the following sense.

- TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, unless the ETH fails.

To the best of our knowledge, this is only the fourth example of NP-Complete problem that admits a double exponential lower bound when parameterized by the solution size. It also resolves the question by Fomin, Fraigniaud, and Golovach [WG 2023]. In the same article, the authors asked whether the problem is FPT when parameterized by the feedback vertex set number of the graph. We answer this question in the negative.

- TELEPHONE BROADCAST, when restricted to graphs of the feedback vertex number one, and hence treewidth of two, is NP-complete.

We find this a relatively rare example of problems that admit a polynomial-time algorithm on trees but is NP-complete on graphs of treewidth two.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Fixed parameter tractability

Keywords and phrases Double Exponential Lower Bound, ETH-Based Lower Bound, Telephone Broadcasting, NP-hard

1 Introduction

The aim of broadcasting in a network is to transmit a message from a given source vertex of the network to all the other vertices. With the increasing interest in interconnection networks, there is considerable research dedicated to broadcasting considering different models. These models can have different attributes such as the number of sources, the number of vertices a particular vertex can forward message to in each round, the distances of each call, the number of destinations, etc., to name a few. In this paper, we consider the classical model of broadcasting [23] in which the process is split into discrete time steps and at each time step, i.e., round, an informed vertex can forward the message to at most one of its uninformed neighbors. There is a unique vertex, called *source*, that has the message at the start of the process. For a more detailed introduction to broadcasting, we refer the reader to surveys like [18, 24] and on recent PhD thesis [28].

Formally, consider a connected simple graph G and let $s \in V(G)$ be the unique source of a message M . At any given round, any node $u \in V(G)$ aware of M can forward M to at most one neighbor v of u . The minimum number of rounds for broadcasting a message from s in G to all other vertices is denoted by $b(G, s)$. The problem of computing the broadcast time $b(G, s)$ for a given graph G and a given source $s \in V(G)$ is NP-hard [36]. Also, the results of [34] imply that it is NP-complete to decide whether $b(G, s) \leq t$ for graphs with $n = 2t$ vertices. The problem is known to be NP-complete for restricted graph classes such

as 3-regular planar graphs [31] and split graphs [27]. Polynomial-time algorithms are known for trees [36] and some classes of tree-like graphs [6, 20, 22].

These hardness results motivate to study approximation, exact exponential and parameterized algorithms for the problem. For example, the polynomial time algorithm in [29] computes, for every graph G and every source s , a broadcast protocol from s performing in $2 \cdot b(G, s) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ round, and hence, this algorithm has an approximation ratio of $2 + o(1)$ for graphs with broadcast time $\gg \sqrt{n}$, but $\tilde{\Theta}(\sqrt{n})$ in general. Later, a series of papers tighten the approximation ratio from $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n / \log \log n)$ [35], to $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ [3], and eventually $\mathcal{O}(\log n / \log \log n)$ [13]. For special graph classes, algorithms with better approximation results are known [4, 5, 21].

Fomin et al. [16] presented an exact exponential algorithm that runs in time $3^n \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. This improves upon a brute force algorithm that checks all the permutations of the vertices where in each round, an informed vertex forwards the message to the first uninformed neighbor on its right. They also initiated the parameterized complexity study of the problem. We mention the relevant definitions in Section 2 but state the formal definition of the problem before proceeding.

TELEPHONE BROADCAST

Input: A connected graph G on n vertices, a source vertex $s \in V(G)$, and a positive integer t .

Question: Does there exist a broadcasting protocol (where an informed vertex can forward the message to at most one of its uninformed neighbors) that starts from s and informs all the vertices in time t ?

Fomin et al. [16] observed that the problem admits a trivial kernel with 2^t vertices when parameterized by the natural parameter, i.e., the number of rounds t . To see this, note that the number of informed nodes can at most double at each round. This implies that at most 2^t vertices can have received the message after t communication rounds. Hence, if $n > 2^t$, it is safe to conclude that it is a NO-instance. Combined with the exact exponential algorithms mentioned above, this implies that the TELEPHONE BROADCAST admits an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Fomin et al. [16] explicitly asked whether it is possible to obtain an algorithm with improved running time. We answer this question in the negative.

► **Theorem 1.** *Unless the ETH fails, TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.*

To the best of our knowledge, this is only the fourth such example of tight double-exponential lower bound when parameterized by the solution size. The other three examples are EDGE CLIQUE COVER [10], BICLIQUE COVER [9], and TEST COVER [7]¹. As in these examples, a simple corollary of the above theorem is that TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit a kernelization algorithm that returns a kernel with $2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}$ many vertices.

Towards the structural parameterization of the problem, Fomin et al. [16] proved that the problem admits fixed-parameter tractable algorithm when parameterized by the vertex cover number and the cyclomatic number of the input graph. They mentioned that it is interesting to consider other structural parameterizations and, in particular, asked whether the problem admits fixed-parameter tractable algorithms when parameterized by the feedback vertex number or treewidth, which are ‘smaller’ parameters. Our following result states that the

¹ We mention a result in [2] which states that GRUNDY COLORING does not admit an algorithm running in time $f(k) \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(2^k - \log k)}$, unless the ETH fails.

problem is para-NP-hard when parameterized by these parameters and hence highly unlikely to admit such algorithms.

► **Theorem 2.** *TELEPHONE BROADCAST, when restricted to graphs*

- *with the feedback vertex set number one, and hence treewidth of two, and*
 - *with the pathwidth of three,*
- remains NP-complete.*

This results prove polynomial vs NP-complete dichotomy separating treewidth one from treewidth two, which is a rare phenomenon and to the best of our knowledge, the only other examples are CUTWIDTH [38, 32], L(2,1)-LABELING [14], LIST L(1, 1)-LABELING, L(1, 1)-PRELABELING EXTENSION [15], and MINIMUM SUM EDGE COLORING [30]². Our reduction holds even when the larger parameter ‘distance to paths’ is two, i.e., when the input is restricted to graphs in which deleting two vertices result in a collection of paths. Moreover, it also imply the following result.

► **Theorem 3.** *Unless the ETH fails, TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\text{td}}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, where td is the tree-depth of the input graph.*

Recently, Foucaud et al. [17] proved that three distance-related NP-complete graph problems, viz. METRIC DIMENSION, STRONG METRIC DIMENSION, GEODETIC SET, admit such double exponential lower bounds for parameters treewidth and vertex cover number. Two follow up papers showed that problems from learning theory [8], namely NON-CLASHING TEACHING MAP and NON-CLASHING TEACHING DIMENSION, and local identification problems [7], namely LOCATING-DOMINATING SET and TEST COVER to admit similar lower bounds.

We organise the paper as follows: Section 2 contains necessary preliminaries and a simple but crucial observation (Observation 5) regarding TELEPHONE BROADCAST. Section 3 contains proof of Theorem 1 whereas Section 4 contains a reduction used to prove both Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. We conclude the paper in Section 5 with an open problem.

2 Preliminaries

For a positive integer q , we denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ by $[q]$. We use \mathbb{N} to denote the collection of all non-negative integers.

Parameterized complexity. A *parameterized problem* is a decision problem in which every instance I is associated with a natural number k called *parameter*. A parameterized problem Π is said to be *fixed-parameter tractable* (FPT) if every instance (I, k) can be solved in $f(k) \cdot |I|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time where $f(\cdot)$ is some computable function whose value depends only on k . We say that two instances, (I, k) and (I', k') , of a parameterized problem Π are *equivalent* if $(I, k) \in \Pi$ if and only if $(I', k') \in \Pi$. A parameterized problem Π admits a kernel of size $g(k)$ (or $g(k)$ -kernel) if there is a polynomial-time algorithm (called *kernelization algorithm*) which takes as an input (I, k) , and in time $(|I| + k)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ returns an equivalent instance (I', k') of Π such that $|I'| + k' \leq g(k)$ where $g(\cdot)$ is a computable function whose value depends only on k .

A parameterized problem Π is said to be *para-NP-hard* parameterized by k if the problem is NP-hard even for the constant value of parameter k . The Exponential Time Hypothesis

² We are grateful to Dr. Michael Lampis and Dr. Christian Komusiewicz for pointing to these papers [1]

(ETH), formalized in [25], roughly states that an arbitrary instance of ψ , with n variables, of 3-SAT does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{o(n)}$. For more details on parameterized complexity and ETH, we refer the reader to the book by Cygan et al. [11].


Graph Theory. For an undirected graph G , sets $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote its set of vertices and edges, respectively. We denote an edge with two endpoints u, v as (u, v) . Two vertices u, v in $V(G)$ are *adjacent* if there is an edge (u, v) in G . A *simple path* from v_1 to v_{d+1} ($\neq v_1$) is a non-empty sequence of vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d+1}\}$ such that all the vertices in this sequence are distinct and $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E(G)$ for every $i \in [d]$. We define $\text{dist}(v_1, v_{d+1})$ as the the number of edges in the shortest path from v_1 to v_{d+1} . A graph is called *connected* if there is a path between every pair of distinct vertices. We refer to the book by Diestel [12] for standard graph-theoretic definitions and terminology not defined here.

A set of vertices S of a graph G is a *vertex cover* if each edge of G has at least one of its endpoints in S . The *vertex cover number* of G is the minimum size of a vertex cover. Note that for a vertex cover S , the set $I = V(G) \setminus S$ is an independent set, that is, any two distinct vertices of I are not adjacent. A set of vertices X of a graph G is a *feedback vertex set* if $G - X$ is a forest, i.e., it does not contain any cycle. The *feedback vertex set number* of G is the minimum size of a feedback vertex set. On similar lines, the *distance to paths* of G is the minimum size of set Y such that $G - Y$ is the collection of paths. By definitions, the feedback vertex number of G is less than or equal to its distance to paths, which is at most its vertex cover number. We refer readers to [11, Chapter 7] for the definitions of pathwidth and treewidth.

Treedepth is a key invariant in the ‘sparsity theory’ for graphs initiated by Nešetřil and Ossona de Mendez [33], with several algorithmic applications. It is defined as follows: An *elimination forest* of a graph G is a rooted forest consisting of trees T_1, \dots, T_p such that the sets $V(T_1), \dots, V(T_p)$ partition the set $V(G)$ and for each edge $(x, y) \in E(G)$, the vertices x and y belong to one tree T_i and in that tree, one of them is an ancestor of the other. The vertex-height of an elimination forest is the maximum number of vertices on a root-to-leaf path in any of its trees. The *treedepth* of a graph G , denoted $\text{td}(G)$, is the minimum vertex-height of an elimination forest of G .

Broadcasting in Graphs. Let G be a connected graph and let $s \in V(G)$ be a source vertex that broadcast a message. We say that a vertex is *informed* if it has received the messages and is *uninformed* otherwise. In general, a broadcasting protocol is a mapping that for each round $i \geq 1$, assigns to each vertex $v \in V(G)$ that is either a source or has received the message in rounds $1, \dots, i - 1$, a neighbor u to which v sends the message in the i^{th} round. We also refer to this as at time i , u forwards the message to v . However, it is convenient to note that it can be assumed that each vertex v that got the message, in the next $d \leq \deg(v)$ rounds, transmits the message to some neighbors in a certain order in such a way that each vertex receives the message only once. This allows us to define a broadcasting protocol formally as a pair $(\mathcal{T}, \{C(v) \mid v \in V(\mathcal{T})\})$, where \mathcal{T} is a spanning tree of G rooted in s and for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $C(v)$ is an ordered set of children of v in \mathcal{T} . As soon as v gets the message, v starts to send it to the children in \mathcal{T} in the order defined by $C(v)$.

► **Definition 4** (Message Propelling and Downtime). *Consider a path P from s to a vertex v . We say the path propels the message at time t' if there is an informed vertex v_i who is the predecessor of an uninformed vertex v_{i+1} in the path, and v_i forwards the message to v_{i+1} . The downtime of the path is the number of occasions on which the path does not propel the message.*



■ **Figure 1** An application of Observation 5. For an edge (u, v) , the integer t' associated with it denotes that at time t' , vertex u forwarded message to vertex v .

► **Observation 5.** Consider a YES-instance (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST. Suppose there is a vertex $x \in V(G)$ such that $\text{dist}(s, x) = t - \ell$ for a non-negative integer ℓ . Then, there is at least one path from s to x with downtime at most ℓ .

Proof. As (G, s, t) is a YES-instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST, there exists a broadcasting protocol that can be represented as a pair $(\mathcal{T}, \{C(v) \mid v \in V(\mathcal{T})\})$, where \mathcal{T} is a spanning tree of G rooted in s and for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $C(v)$ is an ordered set of children of v in \mathcal{T} . Suppose x receives the message at time $t' \leq t$. Consider the unique path from s to x in \mathcal{T} . The downtime of this path is $t' - \text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}}(s, x)$, which is at most $t - \text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}}(s, x)$. As \mathcal{T} is a spanning subtree of G , we have $\text{dist}_G(s, x) \leq \text{dist}_{\mathcal{T}}(s, x)$. Hence, the downtime of the said path is at most $t - \text{dist}_G(s, x) = t - (t - \ell) = \ell$. ◀

Consider the toy example in Figure 1 and suppose $t = 8$. Note that $\text{dist}(s, \delta_1^1) = 8$, $\text{dist}(s, \delta_1^2) = 7$, and $\text{dist}(s, \delta_1^3) = 6$. There are two shortest paths s to δ_1^1 , one via x_1^1 and another via $\neg x_1^1$. At least one of these paths should have a downtime of 0. Without loss of generality, suppose the path containing $\neg x_1^1$ has downtime 0. At every time step, the message is propelled along this path. The blue time stamps in the figure denote this. Now consider the two shortest paths from s to δ_1^2 , one via x_1^2 and another via $\neg x_1^2$. Both paths already have downtime of 1 as s forwarded the message to $\neg x_1^1$. Hence, by Observation 5, at least one of the paths has no more downtime. Suppose such a path passes via x_1^2 . Hence, at every remaining time step, the message is propelled along this path, which is denoted by the green time stamps in the figure. Finally, consider the shortest paths from s to δ_1^3 , one via x_2^3 and another via $\neg x_2^3$. Both of these paths already occurred downtime of two as s forwarded the message to $\neg x_1^1$ and x_1^1 forwarded the message to x_1^2 . As before, this implies that at every remaining time step, the message is propelled along this path, which is denoted by the red time stamps in the figure.

3 Lower Bound Parameterized by the Solution Size

In this section, we prove Theorem 1, i.e., TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\Theta(t)}} \cdot |V(G)|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, unless the ETH fails. We present a reduction from a variant of 3-SAT called (3, 3)-SAT. In this variation, an input is a boolean satisfiability

formula ψ in conjunctive normal form such that each clause contains *at most*³ 3 variables and each variable appears at most 3 times. Consider the following reduction from an instance ϕ of 3-SAT with n variables and m clauses to an instance ψ of (3,3)-SAT mentioned in [37]: For every variable x_i that appears k (> 3) times, the reduction creates k many new variables $v_i^1, v_i^2, \dots, v_i^k$, replaces the j^{th} occurrence of v_i by v_i^j , and adds a series of new clauses to encode $v_i^1 \Rightarrow v_i^2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow v_i^k \Rightarrow v_i^1$. For an instance ψ of 3-SAT, suppose k_i denotes the number of times a variable x_i appeared in ϕ . Then, $\sum_{i \in [n]} k_i \leq 3 \cdot m$. Hence, the reduced instance ψ of (3,3)-SAT has at most $3m$ variables and $4m$ clauses. Using the ETH [25] and the sparsification lemma [26], we have the following result.

► **Proposition 6.** *(3,3)-SAT, with n variables and m clauses, does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{o(m+n)}$, unless the ETH fails.*


We highlight that every variable appears positively and negatively at most once. Otherwise, if a variable appears only positively (respectively, only negatively) then we can assign it **True** (respectively, **False**) and safely reduce the instance by removing the clauses containing this variable. Hence, instead of using the terms the first, second, or third appearance of the variable, we use the terms like first positive, first negative, second positive, or second negative appearance of the variable.

Bird’s Eye View of the Reduction We start with a high-level overview of the reduction. Consider an instance ψ of (3,3)-SAT and the first four variables $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots$, and the first three clauses $C_1 \equiv (\neg v_1 \vee \neg v_2 \vee v_4)$, $C_2 \equiv (v_1 \vee v_2 \vee v_3)$, $C_3 \equiv (v_1 \vee \neg v_2), \dots$. First, the reduction partitions the variables into ‘buckets’ $B^1 = \{v_1\}$, $B^2 = \{v_2, v_3\}, \dots$. It rename the vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots$ to $v_1^1, v_1^2, v_2^2, v_1^3, \dots$ to highlight the buckets these variables are in. Then, it constructs a complete binary tree rooted at the source s . The $(\ell^\circ)^{\text{th}}$ level of this tree corresponds to $(\ell^\circ)^{\text{th}}$ bucket. More precisely, for each variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$, it associates two vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ that have the same parent. See Figure 2.

In this complete binary tree, the order in which the the message is forwarded from a parent to children, corresponding to the assignment of the variable. For example, if s forwards the message to $\neg x_1^1$ before x_1^1 , this corresponds to setting v_1^1 to **False**. Similarly, if x_1^1 (which is a parent of both x_1^2 and $\neg x_1^2$) forwards the message to x_1^2 before $\neg x_1^2$, this corresponds to assigning x_1^2 to **True**. For each vertex of the form $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$, the reduction adds two vertices $y_i^{\ell^\circ}, z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and uses them to connect to the clauses in which variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$ appears positively for the first and the second time, respectively. The vertex $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message towards these vertices via the path containing α^{ℓ° and β^{ℓ° . Note the way β^{ℓ° is connected to $y_i^{\ell^\circ}, z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ in Figure 2. Hence, if the message is received at β^{ℓ° at time t' , it can reach both $y_i^{\ell^\circ}, z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ at time $t' + 2$. The reduction adds vertices corresponding to the negative literal of the variable similarly.

Towards the clause side, the reduction adds an edge (c_j, c'_j) for clause C_j and makes c_j adjacent with the y or z -type vertices representing the literal in it. For every clause C_j , the length of path connecting α^{ℓ° to β^{ℓ° are adjusted such that the shortest path from s to α^{ℓ° to β^{ℓ° to c_j is of length t (if it is via y^{ℓ°) or $t - 1$ (if it is via y^{ℓ°). Hence, for each clause, at least one of β -type vertices representing the literal in it should receive the message in time $t - 4$. This will translate to setting the literal to **True** and, hence, satisfying the clause. For example, consider the clause $C_2 \equiv (v_1^1 \vee v_1^2 \vee v_2^2)$. For the message to reach at c'_2 in time t , it

³ We remark that if each clause contains *exactly* 3 variables, and each variable appears 3 times, then the problem is polynomial-time solvable [37, Theorem 2.4].



■ **Figure 2** Overview of the reduction in Section 3. For clarity, the figure only shows vertices corresponding to variables v_1^1 and v_1^2 (part of v_2^2 but not v_1^3). With renaming the variables, $C_1 \equiv (\neg v_1^1 \vee \neg v_1^2 \vee v_1^3)$, $C_2 \equiv (v_1^1 \vee v_1^2 \vee v_2^2)$, and $C_3 \equiv (v_1^1 \vee \neg v_1^2)$. C_2 and C_3 are connected to y_1^1 and z_1^1 , respectively, to encode the first positive and the second positive appearance of variable v_1^1 . The thick line with an arrow towards δ -type vertices denotes the long paths whose length is adjusted so that Observation 5 is applicable. For this example, suppose $t = 13$. The blue and green colored time-stamps show a broadcasting protocol that forwards the messages to $\neg x_1^1$ (before forwarding it to x_1^1) and to x_2^2 (before forwarding it to $\neg x_2^2$), respectively. This corresponds to assigning $v_1^1 = \text{False}$ and $v_1^2 = \text{True}$. These choices ensure that vertices c_2' and c_3' get the message in time which translate to satisfying clauses C_2 and C_3 . The red and purple colored time stamps, respectively, show that the message forwarded by x_1^1 or $\neg x_1^2$ can not reach the clause vertices. In the case of red time stamps, the message can't reach even if it deviates from others.

should reach at least one of β_1^1 , β_1^2 or β_2^2 at time $t - 4$. The reduction sets the lengths of paths such that if the message is to reach c_j via β_1^2 , it should reach x_1^2 before it reaches $\neg x_1^2$. This translates into setting the variable v_1^2 to True which satisfies the clause C_2 .

It remains to ensure that the message flows in the complete binary tree in the desired way. Towards this, for every variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$, it adds a path with specified length from $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and connects $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ with a path of length two to both $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$. See Figure 2. The path of length two ensures that the message does not ‘jump’ from $(\neg\alpha_1^1)$ -line to (α_1^1) -line. The length of this path depends on the bucket B^{ℓ° containing variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and adjusted such that $\text{dist}(s, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$. Hence, the shortest path from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ can have a downtime of at most $\ell^\circ - 1$. The reduction relies on these ‘distant vertices’ and Observation 5, to ensure that the broadcasting of the message corresponds to a valid assignment of the variables.

Reduction The reduction takes as input an instance ψ of (3, 3)-SAT with n variables and outputs an instance (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST. The reduction modifies the given instance by renaming the variables and then constructs graph G as mentioned below.

- Suppose $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the collection of variables and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$ is the collection of clauses in ψ . Here, we consider $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ and $\langle C_1, \dots, C_m \rangle$ be arbitrary but fixed orderings of variables and clauses in ψ . The second ordering specifies the first/second positive/negative appearance of variables in \mathcal{V} in the natural way.

- It groups the variables in the various buckets as follows:
 - It processes the variables as per the ordering above. It initializes the process by creating the first bucket $B^1 = \{v_1\}$ and assigning $\ell^\circ = 1$. Until there is a variable that is not assigned a bucket, it increments ℓ° to $\ell^\circ + 1$, creates an empty bucket B^{ℓ° , and adds next $2^{\ell^\circ - 1}$ many variables in the sequence to it.
 - Suppose the reduction has constructed $(\ell - 1)$ many buckets so far. Note that for every $\ell^\circ < \ell - 1$, bucket B^{ℓ° contains exactly $2^{\ell^\circ - 1}$ many variables. Reduction add dummy variables to \mathcal{V} (towards the end of the above sequence), to ensure that even bucket $B^{\ell - 1}$ is full, i.e., it contains $2^{(\ell - 1) - 1}$ many variables. Finally, it adds another $2^{\ell - 1}$ many dummy variables to \mathcal{V} (towards the end of the above sequence), and constructs the bucket B^ℓ containing all these variables.
 - For every bucket B^{ℓ° , it renames variables in it to $v_1^{\ell^\circ}, v_2^{\ell^\circ}, \dots, v_{2^{\ell^\circ - 1}}^{\ell^\circ}$.

We remark that this last bucket of dummy variables is critical for the proof of correctness. Moreover, the total number of variables now is at most four times the number of variables in the original instance.

- It starts constructing the graph G by adding the source vertex s , and then adds a complete binary tree of height ℓ which is rooted at s . We define *level* of a vertex in the tree as its distance from the root s . Hence, for every $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$, there are $2 \cdot 2^{\ell^\circ - 1}$ many vertices in level ℓ° . It renames the vertices of the tree as follows:
 - It renames left child of s as x_1^1 and right child of s as $\neg x_1^1$.
 - For every $\ell^\circ \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ - 1}]$, consider the vertex $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. It renames two children of $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ as $x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ + 1}$ and $\neg x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ + 1}$, and two children of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ as $x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ + 1}$ and $\neg x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ + 1}$.

Recall that for every $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$, bucket B^{ℓ° contains $2^{\ell^\circ - 1}$ variables, viz $v_1^{\ell^\circ}, v_2^{\ell^\circ}, \dots, v_{2^{\ell^\circ - 1}}^{\ell^\circ}$. For a variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$, vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ correspond to positive and negative literal of $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$, respectively.

- For every $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$ and every $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ - 1}]$, the reduction adds the following vertices and edges.
 - It adds a path with end vertices $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ such that

$$(2^{\ell^\circ} - 1) + 1 + 1 + \text{dist}(\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}, \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = t - 4. \quad (1)$$

We specify the value of t at the end of the reduction. Similarly, it adds another path with end vertices $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ such that the distance between them satisfies the same condition.

- It adds edges to make $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ adjacent with $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ adjacent with $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$.
- It adds two vertices $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$. It adds a path of length two to connect $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$, and adds an edge to make $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ adjacent with $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Similarly, it adds $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}, \neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$, and a path of length two to connect $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$, and adds an edge to make $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ adjacent with $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$.
- It adds a path with end vertices $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, two paths of length two to connect $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(s, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ}) &= \text{dist}(s, \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}) + \text{dist}(\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}, \gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}) + \text{dist}(\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = t - (\ell^\circ - 1) \\ (\ell_i^\circ + 1) + 2 + \text{dist}(\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ}) &= t - (\ell^\circ - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- For every clause C_j , the reduction adds an edge (c_j, c'_j) . Suppose C_j contains the first positive (respectively, the second) appearance of variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$ for some $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ - 1}]$, then, it adds an edge to make $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$) adjacent with c_j .

Similarly, if C_j contains the first positive (respectively, the second) appearance of variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$, then, it adds an edge to make $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$) adjacent with c_j .

This completes the construction. The reduction sets $t = 2\ell + 6$ to ensure that all the distances mentioned above are at least two, and returns (G, s, t) as an instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST. In the following two lemmas, we prove the correctness of the reduction.

► **Lemma 7.** *If ψ is a YES-instance of (3,3)-SAT then (G, s, t) is a YES-instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST.*


Proof. Consider the following invariant: For any $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ} - 1]$, consider the two vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ corresponding to variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$. The times by which these two vertices receive the message are in $\{2\ell^\circ - 1, 2\ell^\circ\}$. We define a broadcasting protocol that maintains this invariant. Suppose $\pi : \mathcal{V} \mapsto \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$ is a satisfying assignment for ψ .

- In the first round, i.e., at time $t' = 1$, if $\pi(v_1^1) = \text{True}$ the source s forwards the message to x_1^1 otherwise it forwards it to $\neg x_1^1$.
- For any $\ell^\circ \in \{2, 3, \dots, \ell\}$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ}]$, suppose $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ has received the message before $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and at time t' . Then, $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards it to $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ at time $t' + 1$. Note that by this time, $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ has received the message from its parent in the complete binary tree. In the case when $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ has received the message before $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ at time t' , it forwards it to $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ at time $t' + 1$.
- Consider the time $t' + 1$ when both $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ have received the message. Recall that two children of $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ are $x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ and $\neg x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ+1}$. If $\pi(v_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ+1}) = \text{True}$ then $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to $x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ otherwise it forwards it to $\neg x_{2i-1}^{\ell^\circ+1}$. Similarly, two children of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ are $x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ and $\neg x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ+1}$. If $\pi(v_{2i}^{\ell^\circ+1}) = \text{True}$ then $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to $x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ otherwise it forwards it to $\neg x_{2i}^{\ell^\circ+1}$.
- Amongst $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$, whomever receives the message first, forwards it towards $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$, which continues to forward it in the direction of $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Suppose $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message via $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$, then after forwarding the message in the direction of $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, it forwards it to the vertex adjacent with both $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$.
- Then, $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$), forwards the message towards $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, towards $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$). Whenever $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$) receives the message, it first forwards it to $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, to $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$) and then to $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, to $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$).
- Whenever $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ (respectively, $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$) receive the message, they forward it to the unique clause (if any) vertex they are adjacent them (if time permits).

This completes the protocol.

We now prove that every vertex receives the message in time t . For any $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ} - 1]$, consider the pair of vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. From the invariant that the above protocol maintains, these two vertices receive the message at time $2\ell^\circ$ and $2\ell^\circ - 1$ (which need not be in the same order). As $t = 2\ell + 6$, every vertex in the complete binary tree receives the message. Before moving forward, consider the vertex in $\{x_i^{\ell^\circ}, \neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}\}$ that received the message at time $2\ell^\circ - 1$. As $\text{dist}(s, x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{dist}(s, \neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \ell^\circ$, this implies the path from s to the vertex has downtime of $\ell^\circ - 1$.

Now consider the vertex of type $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By the protocol, one of the paths from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, which are either via $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ or $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$, has downtime of $\ell^\circ - 1$ till the message reaches one of these two vertices. However, after this, the message is continuously propelled towards $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, and hence there is no more downtime on this path. As $\text{dist}(s, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$, the vertex $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time t . We note that since the $\text{dist}(\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}, \delta_i^{\ell^\circ})$ is at least two, the vertices in the path connected $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$ also receive the message before it reaches $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. See Figure 3.



■ **Figure 3** Illustration of a pair of vertices in bucket B^{ℓ° . For the sake of clarity, we do not show all the vertices. For Lemma 7, the blue time stamps show the round in which a vertex forwards the message along the edge. For Lemma 8, the green-shaded path and red-shaded path show the message propelled along the path due to constraints imposed by $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\delta_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$, respectively.

Now, consider the vertices of type $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and suppose $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message before $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Recall Equation 1. The first term $(2^{\ell^\circ} - 1)$ corresponds to the time by which $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message. The next term, i.e., $+1$ accounts for the round in which $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message towards $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. The second $+1$ term accounts for the round in which $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message towards $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$. After that, the path continuously propels the message towards $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Hence, by Equation 1, $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message at time $t - 4$ when $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message before $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$, i.e., when $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{True}$. Using identical arguments, $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message at time $t - 4$ when $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{False}$. We can extend this argument further to claim that $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receive the message at time $t - 2$ if $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{True}$. Similarly, $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receive the message at time $t - 2$ if $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{False}$.

As π is the satisfying assignment, for every clause C_j , we can fix a literal that satisfies it. By the arguments in the previous paragraph, the y -type or z -type vertex corresponding to that literal has received the message at time $t - 2$. Hence, the vertex c'_j receives the message in time. The only case that remains to be argued is the vertices on $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ when $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message before $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Consider the vertices on paths $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and the corresponding vertices, i.e., vertices that are at the same distance from s on $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. For each pair of such vertices, the time difference between the messages received is exactly two. Hence, in the last two rounds, i.e., while $y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ are forwarding messages to vertices encoding the clause, vertices $\neg y_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg z_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receive the message. This concludes that all the vertices in the graph receive the message in time. ◀

► **Lemma 8.** *If (G, s, t) is a YES-instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST then ψ is a YES-instance of $(3, 3)$ -SAT.*

Proof. Consider a broadcasting protocol that is represented by $(\mathcal{T}, \{C(v) \mid v \in V(\mathcal{T})\})$,

where \mathcal{T} is a spanning tree of G rooted in s and for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $C(v)$ is an ordered set of children of v in \mathcal{T} . Recall that as soon as v gets the message, v starts to send it to the children in \mathcal{T} in the order defined by $C(v)$. We establish specific properties of this broadcasting.

▷ **Claim 9.** For any $\ell^\circ \in [\ell]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ-1}]$, consider the two vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ corresponding to variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Suppose $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message at $2\ell^\circ - 1$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message after $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Then, in round $2\ell^\circ$, vertex $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$.

Proof. Consider the vertex $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By Equation 2, it is at distance $t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$ from s . By Observation 5, there is at least one path from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ that has at most $(\ell^\circ - 1)$ downtime. Once again, there are only two paths of length $t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$ from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, viz one via $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and another via $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By the construction, $\text{dist}(s, x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \ell^\circ$, and hence the path from s to $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and the path from s to $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ has downtime of $\ell^\circ - 1$ and at least ℓ° , respectively. This implies that the path from $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ can not have any more downtime. Hence, at time $2\ell^\circ$, vertex $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$. \square

▷ **Claim 10.** For any $\ell^\circ \in [\ell - 1]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ-1}]$, consider the two vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ corresponding to variable $v_i^{\ell^\circ}$. The times by which these two vertices receive the message are $2\ell^\circ - 1$ and $2\ell^\circ$ (but need not be in the same order).

Proof. We prove this claim using the induction on ℓ° . Consider the base case when $\ell^\circ = 1$. By Equation 2, $\delta_1^{\ell^\circ}$ is at distance $t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$. By Observation 5, at least one path from s to $\delta_1^{\ell^\circ}$ has zero downtime. Note that there are only two paths from s to $\delta_1^{\ell^\circ}$ of distance exactly t , viz one passing through $x_1^{\ell^\circ}$ and another passing through $\neg x_1^{\ell^\circ}$. Hence, at least one of these two paths has zero downtime. Without loss of generality, suppose the path via $\neg x_1^{\ell^\circ}$ has a downtime of zero. Hence, s forwards the message to $\neg x_1^{\ell^\circ}$ in the first round. As s has only two children, s forwards the message to $x_1^{\ell^\circ}$ in the second round. This completes the base case.

Suppose the induction hypothesis states the claim is true for $\ell^\circ - 1$. We prove the claim holds for ℓ° . Consider a pair of vertices $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ for some $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ-1}]$. We consider the following two cases, which are exhaustive by the induction hypothesis.

Case 1: The parent of these two vertices receives the message at time $2(\ell^\circ - 1) - 1 = 2\ell^\circ - 3$.

Case 2: The parent of these two vertices receives the message at time $2(\ell^\circ - 1) = 2\ell^\circ - 2$.

In the first case, by Claim 9, the parent of $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to α -type vertex adjacent to it in round $2(\ell^\circ - 1)$. Hence, in either case, the parent of $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ can forward the message to its children in round $(2\ell^\circ - 2) + 1 = 2\ell^\circ - 1$.

Now, consider the vertex $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Once again, there are only two paths of length $t - (\ell^\circ - 1)$ from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$, viz one via $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and another via $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$, but both contain the parent of these two vertices. As the parent of these vertices can only forward the message in round $2\ell^\circ - 1$, and $\text{dist}(s, x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{dist}(s, \neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \ell^\circ$, there is already a downtime of $\ell^\circ - 1$ by the time message reaches $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ or $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By Observation 5, there is at least one path from s to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ that has at most $\ell^\circ - 1$ downtime. Hence, there is at least one path from the parent of $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\delta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ without anymore downtime. This implies that at time $2\ell^\circ - 1$, the parent of $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ and $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to either of these two vertices. Without loss of generality, suppose $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message by time $2\ell^\circ - 1$, and hence before $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. See the green shaded path in Figure 3. It remains to argue that the $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $2\ell^\circ$, i.e., in the next round.

Suppose $x_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ and $\neg x_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ are the children of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. Now, consider the vertex $\delta_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$. Once again, there are only two paths of length $t - (\ell^\circ + 1 - 1)$ from s to $\delta_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$, viz one via

$x_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ and another via $\neg x_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$, but both contain parent of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. As the parent of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ can only forward the message in round $2\ell^\circ$, and $\text{dist}(s, x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{dist}(s, \neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \ell^\circ$, there is already a downtime of ℓ° by the time message reaches $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By Observation 5, there is at least one path from s to $\delta_{i'}^{\ell^\circ}$ that has at most ℓ° downtime. Hence, there is at least one path from the parent of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ to $\delta_{i'}^{\ell^\circ+1}$ without anymore downtime. This implies that at time $2\ell^\circ$, the parent of $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ forwards the message to $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$. See the red shaded path in Figure 3. This concludes the proof of the claim. \triangleleft

We use the above claim to construct a satisfying assignment for ψ . The above claim is valid only for $\ell^\circ < \ell$. However, the last bucket contains dummy variables, and hence, such a claim is not necessary.

Consider the following assignment $\pi : \mathcal{V} \mapsto \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$. For every $\ell^\circ \in [\ell - 1]$ and $i \in [2^{\ell^\circ} - 1]$, assign $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{True}$ if $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $(2\ell^\circ - 1)$ otherwise, assign it to **False**. For the variables in B^ℓ , arbitrarily assign them to **True** or **False**. Claim 10 ensures that this is a valid assignment. In the remaining proof, we argue that it is a satisfying assignment. Before that, note that the proof of Claim 10 implies that if $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{True}$ then $x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $2\ell^\circ - 1$ and hence $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $2\ell^\circ$. Similarly, if $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{False}$ then $\neg x_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $2\ell^\circ - 1$ and hence $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in $2\ell^\circ$. Moreover, whichever vertex amongst $\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ or $\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message first needs to send it in the direction of $\gamma_i^{\ell^\circ}$. By Equation 1, $\text{dist}(\alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}, \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{dist}(\neg \alpha_i^{\ell^\circ}, \neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}) = (t - 4) - (2\ell^\circ + 1)$. If $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{True}$ then $\beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $t - 4$, else $\pi(v_i^{\ell^\circ}) = \text{False}$ and $\neg \beta_i^{\ell^\circ}$ receives the message in time $t - 4$.

Now, consider an arbitrary clause C_j of ψ and the corresponding vertices c'_j in the graph. We started with a broadcast protocol that ensures the message reaches all the vertices, especially c' , at least one of the three β -type vertices corresponding to literals in C_j did not receive the message in time $t - 4$. This implies that for every clause C_j , at least one of its literal is set to **True**, and hence π is a satisfying assignment which concludes the proof. \blacktriangleleft

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume there is an algorithm \mathcal{A} that, given an instance (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST, runs in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ and correctly determines whether it is YES-instance. Consider the following algorithm that takes as input an instance ψ of (3,3)-SAT and determines whether it is a YES-instance. It first constructs an equivalent instance (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST as mentioned in this section. Then, it calls algorithm \mathcal{A} as a subroutine and returns the same answer. The correctness of this algorithm follows from the correctness of algorithm \mathcal{A} , Lemma 7 and Lemma 8. By the description of the construction, it takes time polynomial in the number of variables in ψ to return an instance of (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST, and $t = \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$. This implies the running time of the algorithm for (3,3)-SAT is $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(\log(n))}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)} = 2^{\mathcal{O}(n)} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. This, however, contradicts Proposition 6. Hence, our assumption is wrong, and TELEPHONE BROADCAST does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(t)}} \cdot |V(G)|^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, unless the ETH fails. \blacktriangleleft

4 On Graphs of Bounded Feedback Vertex Set

In this section, we prove the problem in NP-complete even when restricted to graphs with the feedback vertex set number one. The starting point of our reduction is the following problem.

NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING

Input: Disjoint sets W , X , and Y , each containing m elements, a function $\text{size} : W \cup X \cup Y \mapsto \mathbb{N}^+$, and a positive integer T such that $\sum_{a \in W \cup X \cup Y} \text{size}(a) = m \cdot T$.

Question: Does there exist a partition of $W \cup X \cup Y$ into m disjoint sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m such that for every $i \in [m]$, A_i contains exactly one element from each of W , X , and Y , and $\sum_{a \in A_i} \text{size}(a) = T$?

This problem is known to be strongly NP-complete (See [SP16] in [19]). Specifically, by Theorem 4.4 in [19], the problem is NP-complete even when $\max_{a \in W \cup X \cup Y} \{\text{size}(a)\} \leq 2^{16} \cdot (3m)^4 = c_0 \cdot m^4$ for a constant c_0 . We define a closely related problem that is more suitable for our problem, and prove that this problem is also strongly NP-complete.

NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING

Input: Disjoint sets W , X , and Y , each containing m elements, a function $\text{size} : W \cup X \cup Y \mapsto \mathbb{N}^+$ such that (i) for any two elements $x \neq x'$ in X , $\text{size}(x) \neq \text{size}(x')$, (ii) for any two elements $y \neq y'$ in Y , $\text{size}(y) \neq \text{size}(y')$, (iii) for any $a \in W \cup X \cup Y$, $\text{size}(a)$ is multiplicative of m^2 , and two positive integers T, λ .


Question: Does there exist a partition of $W \cup X \cup Y$ into m disjoint sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m such that for every $i \in [m]$, A_i contains exactly one element from each of W , X , and Y , and $T - \lambda \leq \sum_{a \in A_i} \text{size}(a)$?

► **Lemma 11.** *NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING is NP-complete in the strong sense, i.e., even when $\max_{a \in W \cup X \cup Y} \{\text{size}(a)\} \leq 2^{16} \cdot (3m)^4 \cdot m^2$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that the problem is in NP. Consider a polynomial time reduction that takes as input an instance $(W, X, Y, \text{size}, T)$ of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING and constructs an instance $(W, X, Y, \text{size}^\circ, T^\circ, \lambda^\circ)$ of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING as mentioned below. It is safe to assume that the number of elements m in each set is large, hence $m^2 \gg 2(m+1)$.

- For every $a \in W \cup X \cup Z$, define $\text{size}^\circ(a) = m^2 \cdot \text{size}(a)$. Also, define $T^\circ = m^2 \cdot T$ and $\lambda = 2(m+1)$.
- Suppose (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) is the non-decreasing ordering of elements in X with respect to size° function. By the construction, for any $i \in [m-1]$, if $\text{size}^\circ(x_i) \neq \text{size}^\circ(x_{i+1})$ then $m^2 \leq \text{size}^\circ(x_{i+1}) - \text{size}^\circ(x_i)$.
- Suppose i is the smallest integer and $k \geq 2$ is the largest integer such that $x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{i+k}$ have the same size. Then, redefine $\text{size}^\circ(x_{i+j}) = \text{size}^\circ(x_{i+j}) - (k-j)$. As $k \leq m$, we have $\text{size}^\circ(x_i) < \text{size}^\circ(x_{i+1}) < \dots < \text{size}^\circ(x_{i+k})$. Hence, all the elements before x_{i+k} have different sizes. Repeating this process for all the elements in X and then for elements in Y results in the instance with the desired property.

It remains to prove that this new instance is equivalent to the input instance. We prove that disjoint sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is a solution for NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING if and only if it is a solution for NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING. Consider an arbitrary set $A_i = \{w, x, y\}$ where $w \in W$, $x \in X$, and $y \in Y$. It is sufficient to prove that $\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y) = T$ if and only if $T^\circ - \lambda \leq \text{size}^\circ(w) + \text{size}^\circ(x) + \text{size}^\circ(y)$. The forward direction follows as the size of elements in $X \cup Y$ can reduce by at most m and



■ **Figure 4** Overview of the reduction. The thick line shows long paths of the specified size.

$\lambda = 2(m + 1)$. In the backward direction, by the construction,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^\circ - \lambda &\leq \text{size}^\circ(w) + \text{size}^\circ(x) + \text{size}^\circ(y) \\
 m^2 \cdot T - \lambda &\leq m^2 \cdot \text{size}(w) + (m^2 \cdot \text{size}(x) - \lambda_x) + (m^2 \cdot \text{size}(y) - \lambda_y) \\
 m^2 \cdot T - (\lambda - \lambda_x - \lambda_y) &\leq m^2 \cdot (\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y)) \\
 T - (\lambda - \lambda_x - \lambda_y)/m^2 &\leq (\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, $0 \leq \lambda_x, \lambda_y \leq m$ are possible reduction in $\text{size}^\circ(x)$ and $\text{size}^\circ(y)$ because of multiple elements having same weights. As $\lambda = 2(m + 1)$ and m is large, we have $(\lambda - \lambda_x - \lambda_y)/m^2 \ll 1$. As $(\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y))$ and T are integers, we conclude that $T \leq (\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y))$. Recall that $A_i = \{w, x, y\}$ is an arbitrary set from the solution. This implies that for an arbitrary set A_i , we have $T \leq (\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y))$. As $\sum_{a \in W \cup X \cup Y} \text{size}(a) = m \cdot T$, for every set A_i , we have $T = (\text{size}(w) + \text{size}(x) + \text{size}(y))$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. ◀

Consider the following reduction that takes as input an instance $(W, X, Y, \text{size}, T, \lambda)$ of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING, with the property that $\max_{a \in W \cup X \cup Y} \{\text{size}(a)\} \leq 2^{16} \cdot (3m)^4 \cdot m^2$, and returns an instance (G, s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST. Suppose (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) , (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) , and (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) be the arrangement of elements in W , X , and Y , respectively accordingly to size function. Note that the first sequence is non-decreasing, whereas the other two are strictly increasing.

- For every element w_i in W , the reduction adds two nodes α_i and β_i and connects them with the path of length $T - \lambda - \text{size}(w_i) - 2$.
- The reduction adds two vertices s_x and s_y . It adds edges to make s_x adjacent with all vertices in $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in [m]\}$ and $\{\beta_i \mid i \in [m]\}$.
- For every $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t-2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, the reduction adds a vertex $\gamma_{j'}$ and connects it to s_x via path of length $(t-2) - (j'-1)$.
- For every $k' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t-2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(y_k) \mid k \in [m]\}$, the reduction adds a vertex $\delta_{k'}$ and connects it to s_y via path of length $(t-1) - k'$.
- Finally, it adds a source vertex s , connects it with s_x via path of length two and makes it adjacent with s_y .

This completes the construction of G . The reduction returns $(G, s, t = T)$ as an instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST.

We present a brief overview of the reduction. The core idea is: γ -type vertices and δ -type vertices are too many and too far that they demand s_x and s_y , respectively, to keep forwarding the message in their directions. The only time these two vertices are allowed to forward message to α -type vertices and β -type vertices are at time $(t - \text{size}(x_j))$ and $(t - \text{size}(y_k))$ for some $x_j \in X$ and $y_k \in Y$. Now, suppose vertex α_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(x_j))$ and β_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(y_k))$. These two vertices forward the message to each other to convey it to all the vertices in the path connecting them. All the vertices in the path will be informed if and only if $T - \lambda - \text{size}(w_i) - 2 \leq (\text{size}(x_j) - 1) + (\text{size}(y_k) - 1)$ which implies $T - \lambda \leq \text{size}(w_i) + \text{size}(x_j) + \text{size}(y_k)$. As this is true for every $i \in [m]$, there is a natural correspondence between a solution of TELEPHONE BROADCASTING and that of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING. Note that selecting appropriate x_j and y_k for w_i to make a partition depends on the time s_x and s_y forward message to α_i and β_i , respectively. As any vertices can forward the message to at most one of their neighbors in a round, we critically need that $\text{size}(x_j) \neq \text{size}(x_{j'})$ and $\text{size}(y_k) \neq \text{size}(y_{k'})$ for every $x_j \neq x_{j'} \in X$ and $y_k \neq y_{k'} \in Y$. We formalize this intuition in the next two lemmas.

► **Lemma 12.** *If $(W, X, Y, \text{size}, T, \lambda)$ is a YES-instance of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING then (G, s, t) is a YES-instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST.*

Proof. Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is a partition of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING with desired properties. Without loss of generality, suppose that A_i contains w_i for every $i \in [m]$. Consider the following broadcasting protocol based on this partition.

- In the first round, s forwards the message towards s_x . In the second round, s forwards the message to s_y , and the vertex adjacent to s and s_x forwards it to s_x . Hence, by the end of the second round, both s_x and s_y has received the message.
- Consider vertex s_x and subsequent rounds, i.e., when time $t' \geq 3$.
 - If $t' - 2 = j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$ then the s_x forwards the message towards $\gamma_{j'}$. In the subsequent rounds, the path from s_x to $\gamma_{j'}$ keeps propelling the message towards $\gamma_{j'}$.
 - Suppose $t' - 2 = j' = t - \text{size}(x_j)$. As A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is a partition of $W \cup X \cup Y$ such that each set contains exactly one element from W , X , and Y , there exists A_i for some $i \in [m]$ such that $x_j \in A_i$. Then, s_x forwards message to α_i . In the subsequent rounds, the path from α_i to β_i keeps propelling the message towards β_i .
- The broadcasting protocol is defined in a similar way at s_y . We repeat it for the sake of completeness.
 - If $(t' - 2) = k' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(y_k) \mid k \in [m]\}$ then the s_y forwards the message towards $\delta_{k'}$. In the subsequent rounds, the path from s_y to $\delta_{k'}$ keeps propelling the message towards $\delta_{k'}$.
 - Suppose $(t' - 2) = k' = t - \text{size}(y_k)$. As A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m is a partition of $W \cup X \cup Y$ such that each set contains exactly one element from W , X , and Y , there exists A_i for some $i \in [m]$ such that $y_k \in A_i$. Then, s_y forwards message to β_i . In the subsequent rounds, the path from β_i to α_i keeps propelling the message towards α_i .

This completes the protocol.

We now prove that every vertex in the graph gets the message in time T . As mentioned before, by the end of the second round, both s_x and s_y has received the message. Consider the vertex s_x in the subsequent round, i.e., at time $t' \geq 3$. Define $t' - 2 = j'$, and suppose $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$. Then, by the protocol, s_x forwards the message

towards $\gamma_{j'}$ in the $(t')^{th}$ round. Hence, by the end of $(t')^{th}$ round, the message has reached the vertex at a distance 1 from s_x on the path towards $\gamma_{j'}$. This implies that by the end of t^{th} round, the message has reached the vertex at distance $t - (t' - 1) = t - (j' + 2 - 1) = (t - 2) - (j' - 1)$. This implies that all the vertices in the path from s_x to $\gamma_{j'}$ has received the message.

Similarly, consider the vertex s_y in the subsequent round, i.e., at time $t' \geq 3$. Define $t' - 2 = k'$, and suppose $k' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(y_k) \mid k \in [m]\}$. Then, by the protocol, s_y forwards the message towards $\delta_{k'}$ in the $(t')^{th}$ round. Hence, by the end of $(t')^{th}$ round, the message has reached the vertex at a distance 1 from s_x on the path towards $\gamma_{j'}$. This implies that by the end of t^{th} round, the message has reached vertex at distance $t - (t' - 1) = t - (k' + 2 - 1) = (t - 1) - k'$. This implies that all the vertices in the path from s_y to $\delta_{k'}$ has received the message.

It remains to argue about the vertices in the path from α_i to β_i . By the protocol, if $A_i = \{w_i, x_j, y_k\}$, vertex α_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(x_j))$ from s_x and β_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(y_k))$ from s_y . These two vertices forward the message towards each other to convey it to all the vertices in the path connecting them. As $T - \lambda \leq \text{size}(w_i) + \text{size}(x_j) + \text{size}(y_k)$, which implies $T - \lambda - \text{size}(w_i) - 2 \leq (\text{size}(x_j) - 1) + (\text{size}(y_k) - 1)$, and hence all the vertices in the path receive the message in t rounds. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \blacktriangleleft

► **Lemma 13.** *If (G, s, t) is a YES-instance of TELEPHONE BROADCAST then $(W, X, Y, \text{size}, T, \lambda)$ is a YES-instance of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING.*

Proof. Consider a broadcasting protocol that is represented by $(\mathcal{T}, \{C(v) \mid v \in V(\mathcal{T})\})$, where \mathcal{T} is a spanning tree of G rooted in s and for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $C(v)$ is an ordered set of children of v in \mathcal{T} . Recall that as soon as v gets the message, v starts to send it to the children in \mathcal{T} in the order defined by $C(v)$. We establish specific properties of this broadcasting.

First, consider $C(s)$, i.e., ordering of children of s . Consider vertex $\gamma_{j'}$ for $j' = 1$. This vertex is at distance $(t - 2) - (j' - 1) = t - 2$ from s_x , and hence at distance t from s_x . By Observation 5, there is a path from s to γ_1 with zero-downtime. As there is a unique shortest path from s to γ_1 , this path has zero downtime; this implies that in the first round, s forwards the message towards s_x . The distance of $\delta_{k'}$ from s_y is $(t - 1) - k'$ and hence from s is $(t - 1) - k' + 1$. This implies δ_1 is at distance $t - 1$ from s . By Observation 5, there is a path from s to γ_1 with downtime of one. There is unique path from s to δ_1 of length $t - 1$, which is vertex disjoint from s to γ_1 path, apart from s . As there is downtime on the path from s to δ_1 in the first round, in the second round s should forward the message to s_y . Hence, by the end of the second round, both s_x and s_y have received the message.

Now, consider $C(s_x)$, i.e., the ordering in which s_x forwards the message to its children. The number of children of s_x is $t - 2$ and they are either γ -type vertices or α -type vertices. Consider any two vertices γ -type vertices $\gamma_{j'}$ and $\gamma_{j''}$ such that $j' < j''$, and hence $\text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j''}) < \text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j'})$. Suppose $\gamma_{j''}$ appears before $\gamma_{j'}$ in the ordering $C(s_x)$, i.e., s_x forwards the message towards $\gamma_{j''}$ at time t_1 and towards $\gamma_{j'}$ at time t_2 such that $t_1 < t_2$. As every vertex receives the message in time t and from the above inequalities, we have, $\text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j''}) < \text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j'}) \leq t - (t_2 - 1) < t - (t_1 - 1)$. Hence, exchanging the position of $\gamma_{j''}$ and $\gamma_{j'}$ in $C(s_x)$ also leads to valid protocol. For the rest of the proof, we suppose that for every $j' < j''$, $\gamma_{j'}$ appears before $\gamma_{j''}$ in ordering $C(s_x)$.

With such modifications (if needed), suppose s_x forwards the message towards $\gamma_{j'}$ at time $f_t(j')$. Once again, this implies that $\text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j'}) \leq t - (f_t(j') - 1)$. As $\text{dist}(s_x, \gamma_{j'}) =$

$(t - 2) - (j' - 1)$, we have $(f(j') - 2) \leq j'$. We use this inequality to determine at what time s_x forwards the message towards $\gamma_{j'}$. For example, this implies that when $j' = 1$, the only feasible value for $f(j') = 3$ as s_x receives the message by the end of second round. Hence, s_x should forward the message towards γ_1 at time $f(j') = 3$. Alternately, γ_1 is the first vertex in $C(s_x)$. Recall that $\text{size}(x_j)$ is a multiple of m^2 . Hence, a similar argument implies that (at least) first $m^2 - 1$ vertices in $C(s_x)$ are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{m^2-1}$. Note that if all the indices of γ -type vertices were consecutive, then $f(j') = j' - 2$ and the ordering would have continued in the same fashion. As this is not the case, in the next paragraph, we argue that for a missing index in γ -type vertices, s_x forwards the message to α -type vertices.

Suppose j' is the smallest and $j''(\geq j')$ is the largest indices of γ -type vertices such that for every $j^\circ \in \{j', j' + 1, \dots, j''\}$, we have j° is in $\{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, i.e., it is an index of some γ -type vertex and $f_t(j^\circ) - 2 < j^\circ$. As j' is the smallest such index, for every $j^* \in \{1, 2, \dots, j' - 1\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, we have $f_t(j^*) - 2 = j^*$, more specifically $f_t(j' - 1) - 2 = (j' - 1)$. As s_x forwards the message towards $\gamma_{j'}$ in the next round, we have $f_t(j') = f_t(j' - 1) + 1$, and hence $f_t(j') = j' + 1$. As next consecutive rounds, s_x sends message towards γ -type vertices, we have $f_t(j^\circ) - 1 = j^\circ$ for every $j^\circ \in \{j', j' + 1, \dots, j''\}$. As j'' is largest such index, at time $j'' + 1$, the s_x forwards the message to α_i for some $i \in [m]$.

We consider the following modification to $C(s_x)$. The α_i is removed from the ordering and inserted before j' . The rest of the ordering remains the same. We argue that this ordering also corresponds to a valid broadcasting protocol. With this modification, if in the earlier protocol s_x forwarded message towards γ_{j° at time t_1 , then now it forwards the message towards γ_{j° at time $t_1 + 1$. Hence, in this new protocol, $f_t(j^\circ) - 2 = j^\circ$. This implies vertex γ_{j° for every $j^\circ \in \{j', j' + 1, \dots, j''\}$ receives the message in t round. As the message is sent to α_i earlier than before, any vertex in the path α_i to β_i will receive the message even in this new protocol. As the remaining order remains unchanged, the modification results in valid broadcasting protocol. Repeating this process, we get a new protocol in which for every $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, the vertex s_x forwards the message to one of the γ -type vertex in round $j' + 2$. As s_x has $(t - 2)$ many children and it receives the message by the end of the second round, for every time $j' \in \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, it sends the message to α -type vertex in round $j' + 2$.

Using the similar arguments, for every $k' \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 2\} \setminus \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, the vertex s_y forwards the message to one of the γ -type vertex in round $k' + 2$ and for every time $k' \in \{t - \text{size}(x_j) \mid j \in [m]\}$, it sends the message to α -type vertex in round $k' + 2$.

Now, suppose vertex α_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(x_j))$ and β_i gets the message at time $(t - \text{size}(y_k))$. These two vertices forward the message towards each other to convey it to all the vertices in the path connecting them. As all the vertices in the path will be informed, we have $T - \lambda - \text{size}(w_i) - 2 \leq (\text{size}(x_j) - 1) + (\text{size}(y_k) - 1)$ which implies $T - \lambda \leq \text{size}(w_i) + \text{size}(x_j) + \text{size}(y_k)$. As this is true for every $i \in [m]$, this implies a solution of TELEPHONE BROADCASTING and that of NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \blacktriangleleft

Note that, as NUMERICAL 3-DIMENSIONAL (ALMOST) MATCHING is strongly NP-complete, the reduction is completed in the time polynomial in the size of input. Lemma 12 and Lemma 13 imply the correctness of the reduction. This along with the fact that deleting s_x (or s_y) removes all the cycle from the graph prove Theorem 2. Also, deleting both s_x and s_y results in the collection of paths. For Theorem 3, note that the treedepth of the path of length q is $\mathcal{O}(\log(q))$. This, along with the arguments presented in the proof of Theorem 1, prove Theorem 3.

5 Conclusion

In this article, we studied the TELEPHONE BROADCAST problem and answered two open questions by Fomin et al. [16]. Our reductions result in somewhat rare results. First, the problem admits a double exponential lower bound when parameterized by the solution size under the ETH. Second, we prove that the problem is NP-complete even on graphs of feedback vertex set number one, and hence on graphs of tree-width at most two. Hence, this result proves very tight polynomial vs NP-complete dichotomy separating treewidth one from treewidth two, which is a rare phenomenon. The same reduction also implies that the problem does not admit an algorithm running in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(td)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ unless the ETH fails.

Fomin et al. [16] presented the algorithm that runs in time $2^{2^{\mathcal{O}(vc)}} \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Note that tree-depth is smaller parameter than vertex cover. Hence, we have a lower bound with respect to the tree-width but upper bound with respect to the vertex cover number. It would be interesting to close this gap either by improving the algorithm or tightening the lower bound.

Addendum

After sharing the manuscript, Prof. Dr. Petr Golovach pointed out that Papadimitriou and Yannakakis [34] mentioned that the problem of determining whether a graph contains a binomial spanning tree is NP-complete (Theorem 8). Consider a reduction that given an instance (G) , a graph on n vertices, of this problem, constructs an instance (G', s, t) of TELEPHONE BROADCAST by adding a global vertex s to G and making it adjacent with $t - 1$ pendant vertices, where $t = \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$. Hence, the TELEPHONE BROADCAST problem is NP-complete even when $|V(G')| = \mathcal{O}(2^t)$. As the original problem is NP-complete, this implies a stronger statement than Theorem 1.

References

- 1 CS Theory Stack Exchange. <https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/53948/problems-that-are-np-complete-when-restricted-to-graphs-of-treewidth-2-but-polyn>, 2024.
- 2 Pierre Aboulker, Édouard Bonnet, Eun Jung Kim, and Florian Sikora. Grundy coloring and friends, half-graphs, bicliques. *Algorithmica*, 85(1):1–28, 2023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00453-022-01001-2>, doi:10.1007/S00453-022-01001-2.
- 3 Amotz Bar-Noy, Sudipto Guha, Joseph Naor, and Baruch Schieber. Message multicasting in heterogeneous networks. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 30(2):347–358, 2000. doi:10.1137/S0097539798347906.
- 4 Puspall Bhabak and Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan. Constant approximation for broadcasting in k-cycle graph. In Sumit Ganguly and Ramesh Krishnamurti, editors, *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics - First International Conference, CALDAM 2015, Kanpur, India, February 8-10, 2015. Proceedings*, volume 8959 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 21–32. Springer, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-14974-5_3.
- 5 Puspall Bhabak and Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan. Approximation algorithm for the broadcast time in k-path graph. *J. Interconnect. Networks*, 19(4):1950006:1–1950006:22, 2019. doi:10.1142/S0219265919500063.
- 6 Maja Cevnik and Janez Zerovnik. Broadcasting on cactus graphs. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 33(1):292–316, 2017. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-015-9957-8>, doi:10.1007/S10878-015-9957-8.
- 7 Dipayan Chakraborty, Florent Foucaud, Diptapriyo Majumdar, and Prafullkumar Tale. Tight (double) exponential bounds for identification problems: Locating-dominating set and test

- cover. *CoRR*, abs/2402.08346, 2024. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.08346>, arXiv:2402.08346, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2402.08346.
- 8 Jérémie Chalopin, Victor Chepoi, Fionn Mc Inerney, and Sébastien Ratel. Non-clashing teaching maps for balls in graphs. *CoRR*, abs/2309.02876, 2023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.02876>, arXiv:2309.02876, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2309.02876.
 - 9 L. S. Chandran, D. Issac, and A. Karrenbauer. On the parameterized complexity of biclique cover and partition. In J. Guo and D. Hermelin, editors, *11th International Symposium on Parameterized and Exact Computation, IPEC 2016*, volume 63 of *LIPICs*, pages 11:1–11:13. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2016.
 - 10 M. Cygan, M. Pilipczuk, and M. Pilipczuk. Known algorithms for edge clique cover are probably optimal. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 45(1):67–83, 2016.
 - 11 Marek Cygan, Fedor V. Fomin, Lukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michal Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. *Parameterized Algorithms*. Springer, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-21275-3.
 - 12 Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory, 4th Edition*, volume 173 of *Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer, 2012.
 - 13 Michael Elkin and Guy Kortsarz. Sublogarithmic approximation for telephone multicast. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 72(4):648–659, 2006. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2005.12.002>, doi:10.1016/J.JCSS.2005.12.002.
 - 14 Jirí Fiala, Petr A. Golovach, and Jan Kratochvíl. Distance constrained labelings of graphs of bounded treewidth. In Luís Caires, Giuseppe F. Italiano, Luís Monteiro, Catuscia Palamidessi, and Moti Yung, editors, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 32nd International Colloquium, ICALP 2005, Lisbon, Portugal, July 11-15, 2005, Proceedings*, volume 3580 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 360–372. Springer, 2005. doi:10.1007/11523468_30.
 - 15 Jirí Fiala, Petr A. Golovach, and Jan Kratochvíl. Parameterized complexity of coloring problems: Treewidth versus vertex cover. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 412(23):2513–2523, 2011. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2010.10.043>, doi:10.1016/J.TCS.2010.10.043.
 - 16 Fedor V. Fomin, Pierre Fraigniaud, and Petr A. Golovach. Parameterized complexity of broadcasting in graphs. In Daniël Paulusma and Bernard Ries, editors, *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science - 49th International Workshop, WG 2023, Fribourg, Switzerland, June 28-30, 2023, Revised Selected Papers*, volume 14093 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 334–347. Springer, 2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-43380-1_24.
 - 17 Florent Foucaud, Esther Galby, Liana Khazaliya, Shaohua Li, Fionn Mc Inerney, Roohani Sharma, and Pravallkumar Tale. Problems in NP can admit double-exponential lower bounds when parameterized by treewidth and vertex cover. *CoRR*, abs/2307.08149, 2023. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.08149>, arXiv:2307.08149, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2307.08149.
 - 18 Pierre Fraigniaud and Emmanuel Lazard. Methods and problems of communication in usual networks. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 53(1-3):79–133, 1994.
 - 19 M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
 - 20 Mohammad Saber Gholami, Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan, and Edward Maraachlian. Optimal broadcasting in fully connected trees. *J. Interconnect. Networks*, 23(1):2150037:1–2150037:20, 2023. doi:10.1142/S0219265921500377.
 - 21 Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan and Narek A. Hovhannisyan. Broadcasting in split graphs. In Marios Mavronicolas, editor, *Algorithms and Complexity - 13th International Conference, CIAC 2023, Larnaca, Cyprus, June 13-16, 2023, Proceedings*, volume 13898 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 278–292. Springer, 2023. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-30448-4_20.
 - 22 Hovhannes A. Harutyunyan and Edward Maraachlian. On broadcasting in unicyclic graphs. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 16(3):307–322, 2008. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-008-9160-2>, doi:10.1007/S10878-008-9160-2.

- 23 Sandra Mitchell Hedetniemi, Stephen T. Hedetniemi, and Arthur L. Liestman. A survey of gossiping and broadcasting in communication networks. *Networks*, 18(4):319–349, 1988. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/net.3230180406>, doi:10.1002/NET.3230180406.
- 24 Juraj Hromkovič, Ralf Klasing, Burkhard Monien, and Regine Peine. Dissemination of information in interconnection networks (broadcasting & gossiping). *Combinatorial network theory*, pages 125–212, 1996.
- 25 Russell Impagliazzo and Ramamohan Paturi. On the complexity of k-sat. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 62(2):367–375, 2001. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcss.2000.1727>, doi:10.1006/JCSS.2000.1727.
- 26 Russell Impagliazzo, Ramamohan Paturi, and Francis Zane. Which problems have strongly exponential complexity? *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 63(4):512–530, 2001. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcss.2001.1774>, doi:10.1006/JCSS.2001.1774.
- 27 Klaus Jansen and Haiko Müller. The minimum broadcast time problem for several processor networks. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 147(1&2):69–85, 1995. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(94)00230-G.
- 28 Aram Khanlari. *Broadcasting in highly connected graphs*. PhD thesis, Concordia University, 2023. URL: <https://spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/992648/>.
- 29 Guy Kortsarz and David Peleg. Approximation algorithms for minimum-time broadcast. *SIAM J. Discret. Math.*, 8(3):401–427, 1995. doi:10.1137/S0895480193245923.
- 30 Dániel Marx. Complexity results for minimum sum edge coloring. *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 157(5):1034–1045, 2009. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2008.04.002>, doi:10.1016/J.DAM.2008.04.002.
- 31 Martin Middendorf. Minimum broadcast time is np-complete for 3-regular planar graphs and deadline 2. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 46(6):281–287, 1993. doi:10.1016/0020-0190(93)90066-I.
- 32 Burkhard Monien and Ivan Hal Sudborough. Min cut is np-complete for edge weighted trees. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 58:209–229, 1988. doi:10.1016/0304-3975(88)90028-X.
- 33 Jaroslav Nešetřil and Patrice Ossona de Mendez. *Sparsity - Graphs, Structures, and Algorithms*, volume 28 of *Algorithms and combinatorics*. Springer, 2012. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-27875-4.
- 34 Christos H. Papadimitriou and Mihalis Yannakakis. The complexity of restricted spanning tree problems. *J. ACM*, 29(2):285–309, 1982. doi:10.1145/322307.322309.
- 35 R. Ravi. Rapid rumor ramification: Approximating the minimum broadcast time (extended abstract). In *35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, 20-22 November 1994*, pages 202–213. IEEE Computer Society, 1994. doi:10.1109/SFCS.1994.365693.
- 36 Peter J. Slater, Ernest J. Cockayne, and Stephen T. Hedetniemi. Information dissemination in trees. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10(4):692–701, 1981. doi:10.1137/0210052.
- 37 Craig A. Tovey. A simplified np-complete satisfiability problem. *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 8(1):85–89, 1984. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(84)90081-7.
- 38 Mihalis Yannakakis. A polynomial algorithm for the min-cut linear arrangement of trees. *J. ACM*, 32(4):950–988, 1985. doi:10.1145/4221.4228.