

Hook restriction coefficients

Sridhar P. Narayanan

ABSTRACT. Finding a combinatorial interpretation of the multiplicities of each irreducible representation of the symmetric group S_n in the restriction of an irreducible polynomial representation of the general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ remains an interesting open problem in algebraic combinatorics. In this paper we interpret the multiplicities of all irreducible representations of S_n in the restriction of an irreducible polynomial representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ indexed by a hook partition.

1. Introduction

Given a vector space V , let $GL(V)$ be the group of invertible linear transformations of V . Let $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) := GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the n th *general linear group*. A representation $f : GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V)$ is said to be *polynomial of degree $d \geq 0$* if there exists a basis of $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ of V and a family of polynomials $p_{11}, \dots, p_{dd} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ so that for every matrix $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$f(A) \cdot v_i = \sum_{j=1}^s p_{ij}(A_{11}, \dots, A_{nn})v_j.$$

The set of nonisomorphic irreducible polynomial representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are the *Weyl functors* $W_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (see [1] for a definition) as λ ranges over the set of partitions with at most n parts.

Let S_n denote the n th *symmetric group*. The set of nonisomorphic irreducible representations of S_n are the *Specht modules* $\rho_\mu : S_n \rightarrow GL(V_\mu)$, as μ ranges over all partitions of n (see [9] for a definition).

Each permutation in S_n is mapped to a unique matrix in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, whose (i, j) th entry is 1 if the permutation takes i to j , and zero otherwise. This map defines an embedding of S_n in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. For any partition λ with at

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05E10, 05E05, 20C30.

Key words and phrases. Restriction problem, Character polynomials, Moments, Sign-reversing involution.

most n parts, when $W_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is restricted to S_n it decomposes as

$$\text{res}_{S_n}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(W_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)) = \bigoplus_{\mu \text{ partition of } n} V_\mu^{\oplus r_{\mu\lambda}}.$$

The multiplicities $r_{\mu\lambda}$ are called the *restriction coefficients*. The open problem of finding a combinatorial interpretation for these coefficients is called the *restriction problem*.

Not much is known about these coefficients. In 1958, Littlewood discovered in [11] that $r_{\mu\lambda}$ is the coefficient of the Schur function s_λ in the plethysm $s_\mu[1 + h_1 + h_2 + \dots]$ (see [12] or [21] for details on symmetric functions and plethysm). This recasts the problem but does not readily offer any combinatorial interpretations. In [19] Scharf, Thibon and Wybourne gave generating functions and algorithms to find these coefficients. More recently, Assaf and Speyer [2] and Orellana and Zabrocki [18] found a basis of the symmetric functions that is connected to these coefficients, and in [16] Orellana, Zabrocki, Salioli and Schilling approached the problem through the representation theory of partition algebras. Sriwongsa, Heaton and Wilenbring found conditions for the positivity of the coefficients in some specific cases in [8].

In [14] and [15], my coauthors- Digjoy Paul, Amritanshu Prasad and Shraddha Srivastava- and I use *character polynomials* to find combinatorial interpretations and conditions for positivity of the multiplicities of the sign and trivial representations of the symmetric group in the restriction of $W_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ when λ is either a two-row (only trivial), two-column or hook shape.

In this paper I extend the results of [15] to give a combinatorial interpretation for the multiplicities of all representations of the symmetric group in the restriction of $W_\lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ when λ is a hook partition. To do this we obtain a new formula for what we call the *Specht character polynomial* in Lemma 3.8. We use this to find an expression for the restriction coefficients in Proposition 4.2, as an alternating sum. Each summand is then shown in Proposition 5.2 to be the cardinality of a set of tableau that are defined in Section 5. Section 6 contains the main combinatorial idea of this paper. Here we define a process to match oppositely signed tableau occurring in the alternating sum. What remains of the sum after this matching away is a purely positive expression. This is interpreted in Theorem 6.11- the main theorem of the paper- as the cardinality of a set of *supertableaus*.

I am grateful to Prof. Arvind Ayyer for pointing out that the objects occurring in this paper have been studied in the literature as supertableaus. These objects occur (albeit with a different ordering on the entries) in the representation theory of superalgebras, in [5], [7], [20], etc. Some interesting combinatorial articles on these tableaus are [3], [10] and [13]. We do not yet know the representation theoretic significance of these objects occurring in this context, but perhaps works such as [17] are a link.

2. Preliminaries

A **composition** is a finite sequence of positive integers. The **size** of a composition is the sum of its parts, while its **length** is the number of parts. We denote the size and length of a composition λ by $|\lambda|$ and $l(\lambda)$ respectively. A composition is depicted by its Young diagram, as in Figure 2.

A **partition** is a composition whose terms are in nonincreasing order. We express that λ is a partition of n by $\lambda \vdash n$. The set of all partitions is denoted Par . For each positive integer i , let $x_i(\lambda)$ be the number of occurrences of i in λ . Then λ may be represented in the **exponential notation** by $\lambda = 1^{x_1(\lambda)}2^{x_2(\lambda)}\dots$. Partitions of the form $(a + 1, 1^b)$ are called **hook partitions**. They are denoted in Frobenius notation as $(a|b)$. The **conjugate** of a partition λ is the partition corresponding to the Young diagram obtained by reflecting the Young diagram of λ along the main diagonal. It is denoted by λ^t .




FIGURE 1. The Young diagram for the partition $(5, 4, 3, 3)$. Its conjugate is $(4, 4, 4, 2, 1)$.

Since the set of irreducible representations of S_n is indexed by partitions of n , so too is the set of its conjugacy classes. Given a permutation σ in S_n , define

$$(2.1) \quad x_i(\sigma) = \text{number of cycles of length } i \text{ in } \sigma.$$

Then the partition $1^{x_1(\sigma)}2^{x_2(\sigma)}\dots$ is called the **cycle-type** of σ . The cycle-type maps a permutation to its conjugacy class.

Functions assuming constant values on each conjugacy class are called **class functions**. An example of a class function is the **character** of any representation $\rho : S_n \rightarrow GL(V)$, defined at $\sigma \in S_n$ as the trace of $\rho(\sigma)$, and denoted by $\chi_\rho(\sigma)$. For any partition ν of n we define $\chi_\rho(\nu) = \chi_\rho(\sigma)$ for any permutation σ of cycle-type ν . The characters of the Specht modules V_μ are called irreducible characters, and we abbreviate χ_{ρ_μ} to χ_μ . The irreducible characters $\{\chi_\mu | \mu \vdash n\}$ form an orthonormal basis of the space of class functions of S_n with respect to the inner product

$$(2.2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x \in S_n} f(x)g(x).$$

Further, $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\mu \rangle_n$ is the multiplicity of ρ_μ in the representation ρ .

Define the evaluation of $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ at a permutation σ by

$$f(\sigma) = f(x_1(\sigma), x_2(\sigma), \dots).$$

The elements of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ are thus class functions on every symmetric group. A sequence $(\rho_i : S_i \rightarrow V_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of representations of symmetric groups is said to be **eventually polynomial** if there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ and a positive integer $N \geq 1$ so that for every $n \geq N$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ we have

$$p(\sigma) = \chi_{\rho_n}(\sigma).$$

The polynomial p , which is unique, is called the **character polynomial** associated to (ρ_i) . The reader is referred to [4] for a comprehensive treatment of eventually polynomial representations and character polynomials.

EXAMPLE 2.1. The *trivial* representation of S_n is denoted by the partition (n) . The sequence $(\rho_{(n)} : S_n \rightarrow V_{(n)})$, comprising the trivial representation of each symmetric group, is eventually polynomial. Its character polynomial is the constant $p = 1$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. The *sign* representation of S_n is denoted by the partition (1^n) . The sequence $(\rho_{(1^n)} : S_n \rightarrow V_{(1^n)})$, comprising the sign representation of each symmetric group, is not polynomial. In fact the function

$$\text{sgn} = \prod_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{(i-1)x_i}$$

that satisfies $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \chi_{(1^n)}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in S_n, n \geq 1$ is clearly not a polynomial.

Fix a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$ and define $\mu[n] = (n - |\mu|, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$ when $n \geq |\mu| + \mu_1$ and \emptyset otherwise. Define $\rho_{\mu[n]}$ to be the Specht module corresponding to $\mu[n]$, with ρ_{\emptyset} defined to be zero. The sequence of representations $(\rho_{\mu[n]})$ is eventually polynomial, and the associated character polynomial q_μ is called the **Specht polynomial**, since $q_\mu = \chi_{\mu[n]}$ for $n \geq |\mu| + \mu_1$. Formulas for the Specht polynomial occur in [6] and [12].

EXAMPLE 2.3. Fix $\mu = \emptyset$. Then for every $n \geq 0$, we see that $\mu[n] = (n)$. The resulting sequence of representations is $(\rho_{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$. The character polynomial of this sequence was found in Example 2.1 to be the constant function 1. Thus $q_\emptyset = 1$, and for every $n \geq 1$ we have $q_\emptyset(\sigma) = \chi_{(n)}(\sigma)$.

REMARK 2.4. A property of the sign representation of S_n is that for any $\mu \vdash n$ we have $\text{sgn} \cdot \chi_\mu = \chi_{\mu^t}$, with sgn defined in Example 2.2. The sequence $(\rho_{\mu[n]^t})_{n \geq 1}$, comprising conjugates of $\mu[n]$ for every $n \geq 1$, has character $\chi_{\mu[n]^t} = q_\mu \cdot \text{sgn}$ for all $n \geq |\mu| + \mu_1$.

The sequence of symmetric tensor spaces $(\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^n))$ is also eventually polynomial, and has character polynomial H_k , found in [15] to be

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} H_k q^k = \sum_{\beta=(1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots)} \prod_{i \geq 1} \binom{x_i}{b_i} q^{ib_i},$$

where $\binom{x_i}{b_i} = \binom{x_i + b_i - 1}{b_i}$.

Similarly, the sequence of alternating tensor spaces $(\bigwedge^l(\mathbb{C}^n))$ has character polynomial E_l , found in [15] to be

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{l \geq 0} E_l v^l = \sum_{\beta=(1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots)} \prod_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{(i-1)b_i} \binom{x_i}{b_i} v^{ib_i}.$$

Let $S_{(k|l)}$ denote the character polynomial of $(\text{res}_{S_n}^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})} W_{(k|l)}(\mathbb{C}^n))_{n \geq 1}$. From Pieri's identity (see [12]) we have

$$(2.5) \quad S_{(k|l)} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq l} (-1)^j H_{k+1+j} E_{l-j}.$$

It follows from Remark 2.4 that

$$r_{\mu[n]^t, (k|l)} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) q_{\mu}(\sigma) S_{(k|l)}(\sigma).$$

We will refer to these coefficients as **hook restriction coefficients**.

REMARK 2.5. The reason for including the sgn representation in this inner product is to simplify the proof of Theorem 6.9, as explained in Remark 6.10. Although the proof in this paper does not work for finding a combinatorial interpretation for the trivial hook restriction coefficient (corresponding to $\mu[n] = (1^n)$), such an interpretation is found in [15].

Given a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the **multivariate generating function** for f is the formal power series

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_d) = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d} f(a_1, \dots, a_d) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_d^{a_d}.$$

The reader is referred to [22] for a complete exposition on generating functions. For a sequence $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d)$ we abbreviate $t^\Omega = t_1^{\Omega_1} \cdots t_d^{\Omega_d}$ and $f(\Omega) = f(\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d)$. The coefficient of t^Ω in F is denoted $[t^\Omega]F$.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $p(n)$ be the number of partitions of n . The generating function $P = \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)t^n$ is given by

$$P = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^i}.$$

For any $n \geq 0$, $[t^n]P = p(n)$.

REMARK 2.7. With the function f and its generating function F defined above, and for a fixed monomial t^ν , we have

$$[t^\Omega] (t^\nu F) = [t^{\Omega - \nu}]F,$$

with $\Omega - \nu$ interpreted as a componentwise difference. For example, the coefficient of t^n in tP (with P defined in Example 2.6) is $p(n - 1)$.

For a partition μ of length d and a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$, define the sequence $\sigma \cdot \mu$ whose i th entry, for $1 \leq i \leq d$, is

$$(2.6) \quad (\sigma \cdot \mu)_i = \mu_i - i + \sigma(i),$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let $F(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ be the generating function for $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and define $\Upsilon := \prod_{i>j}(1 - \frac{t_i}{t_j})$. Then*

$$[t^\mu]\Upsilon F = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma) ([t^{\sigma \cdot \mu}]F).$$

PROOF. We must show that $[t^\mu]\Upsilon F = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma)[t^{\sigma \cdot \mu}]F$. Let \mathfrak{S}_d be the collection of sets of the form $S = \{(j_1, i_1), \dots, (j_m, i_m) \mid \text{for some } 0 \leq m \leq \binom{d}{2} \text{ and } 1 \leq j_r < i_r \leq d, \forall 1 \leq r \leq m\}$. We can expand Υ as the signed sum

$$\Upsilon = \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} (-1)^{|S|} M_S,$$

where $M_S = \prod_{(j,i) \in S} \frac{t_i}{t_j}$ is called the **monomial** corresponding to S . Note that we neither simplify the product of fractions, nor group together like summands.

An *inversion* in a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ is a tuple (j, i) with $1 \leq j < i \leq d$. The inversion-set of σ is defined as

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) = \{(j, i) \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq d, (j, i) \text{ is an inversion in } \sigma\}.$$

For every $\sigma \in S_d$ the set $\text{inv}(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, and $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{|\text{inv}(\sigma)|}$. The map $M_S \mapsto \sigma : \text{inv}(\sigma) = S$ is a bijection between monomials in Υ and permutations in S_d . We must now show that:

- (1) The monomial associated to σ occurs with sign equal to $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$,
- (2) The coefficient of t_i in the monomial associated to σ is $t^{i-\sigma(i)}$.

The first assertion is clearly true, while the second follows from the observation that the coefficient of t_i in the monomial is the difference between the number of $(-, i)$ inversions and the number of $(i, -)$ inversions in σ . This number is also equal to $i - \sigma(i)$. The second assertion then follows from Remark 2.7. \square

NOTE 2.9. For a partition $\beta = 1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots$ we abbreviate $\left(\begin{matrix} x \\ \beta \end{matrix}\right)$ and $\binom{x}{\beta}$ to mean $\prod_i \left(\begin{matrix} x_i \\ b_i \end{matrix}\right)$ and $\prod_i \binom{x_i}{b_i}$ respectively. Through the rest of this paper

we use the following elementary identities (repeated from [14]):

$$(A) \quad \exp(t/i) = \sum_{b \geq 0} \frac{1}{i^b b!} t^b,$$

$$(B) \quad \log \frac{1}{1-t} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^i / i,$$

$$(C) \quad (1+t)^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} t^k,$$

$$(D) \quad (1-t)^{-n} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n+k-1}{k} t^k,$$

$$(E) \quad \frac{1}{1-t} = \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k,$$

$$(F) \quad \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(\sigma) = \sum_{\alpha \vdash n} \frac{f(\alpha)}{z_\alpha},$$

with $z_{(1^a 2^b \dots)} := 1^a a! 2^b b! \dots$ and for any class function f .

(2.7)

3. The Specht polynomial

The Specht polynomial q_μ is the character polynomial for the sequence of Specht modules $(V_{\mu[n]})$. This means that $q_\mu(\sigma) = \chi_{\mu[n]}(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$ with $n \geq |\mu| + \mu_1$.

For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$, define $r(\mu)$ to be the partition obtained by removing the first row of μ . The *umbral operator* \downarrow is defined by $x_i^b \downarrow = b! \binom{x_i}{b}$. The following recursive formula for q_μ is due to Garsia and Goupil in [6, Theorem 1.1]:

$$(3.1) \quad q_\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{q_{r(\mu)}(\alpha)}{z_\alpha} \prod_i (ix_i - 1)^{a_i} \downarrow,$$

where $\alpha = 1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots$.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $\mu = (\mu_1)$. Then $r(\mu) = \emptyset$ and substituting $q_\emptyset = 1$ from Example 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu(x_1, x_2, \dots) &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash \mu_1} \frac{1}{z_\alpha} \prod_i (ix_i - 1)^{a_i} \downarrow \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash \mu_1} \frac{1}{z_\alpha} \prod_i \sum_{b_i \leq a_i} \binom{a_i}{b_i} (-1)^{a_i - b_i} i^{b_i} x_i^{b_i} \downarrow \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash \mu_1} \frac{1}{z_\alpha} \prod_i \sum_{b_i \leq a_i} \binom{a_i}{b_i} (-1)^{a_i - b_i} i^{b_i} b_i! \binom{x_i}{b_i} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash \mu_1} \frac{1}{z_\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (-1)^{l(\alpha) - l(\beta)} z_\beta \binom{x}{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = 1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots$ and the sum is over β with $b_i \leq a_i$ for all i .

We can expand Equation (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{q_{r(\mu)}(\alpha)}{z_\alpha} \prod_i (ix_i - 1)^{a_i} \downarrow \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{q_{r(\mu)}(\alpha)}{z_\alpha} \prod_i \sum_{b_i \leq a_i} \binom{a_i}{b_i} (-1)^{a_i - b_i} i^{b_i} x_i^{b_i} \downarrow \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{q_{r(\mu)}(\alpha)}{z_\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (-1)^{l(\alpha) - l(\beta)} z_\beta \binom{x}{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over β satisfying $b_i \leq a_i$ for all i . However since $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = 0$ for all β not satisfying this condition, the scope may be expanded to all partitions. Thus

$$q_\mu = \sum_{\beta} (-1)^{l(\beta)} z_\beta \binom{x}{\beta} \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{(-1)^{l(\alpha)}}{z_\alpha} q_{r(\mu)}(\alpha) \binom{\alpha}{\beta}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $\mathbf{1}$ be the character of the trivial representation of $S_{|\mu|}$ and define a class function $B(\mu, \beta) := (-1)^{\sum x_i} \binom{x}{\beta} q_{r(\mu)}(x)$. Then

$$q_\mu = \sum_{\beta} (-1)^{l(\beta)} z_\beta \binom{x}{\beta} \langle B(\mu, \beta), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|}.$$

PROOF. Clear from (F). \square

Substituting for $q_{r(\mu)}$ from Proposition 3.2 into the formula for $B(\mu, \beta)$ and simplifying, we get

$$(3.2) \quad B(\mu, \beta_1) = \sum_{\beta_2} (-1)^{\sum x_i} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \binom{x}{\beta_2} (-1)^{l(\beta_2)} z_{\beta_2} \langle B(r(\mu), \beta_2) \rangle_{|r(\mu)|}.$$

The term $B(\mu, \beta)$ is the recursive part of q_μ . We will unravel this recursion by finding a generating functions for the inner products $\langle B(\mu, \beta), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|}$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. When $\mu = (\mu_1)$, then $r(\mu) = \emptyset$, and $q_\emptyset = 1$ (see Example 3.1) and:

$$B(\mu, \beta) = (-1)^{\sum x_i} \binom{x}{\beta}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.4. For $\mu = (\mu_1)$ by the definition of the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(\mu, \beta), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} B(\mu, \beta)(\sigma) \\ &\stackrel{3.3}{=} \sum_{\alpha \vdash \mu_1} \frac{(-1)^{l(\alpha)}}{z_\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \\ &= [w^{|\mu| - |\beta|}] \prod_{i \geq 1} \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{a_i}}{i^{a_i} a_i!} \binom{a_i}{b_i} w^{i(a_i - b_i)} \end{aligned}$$

Observe using (A) that the i th term in this product is

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} [w_i^{m_i - b_i}] \frac{1}{b_i!} \frac{d^{b_i}}{(dw^i)^{b_i}} \exp\left(\frac{(-w)^i}{i}\right),$$

where $\mu = 1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots$.

Evaluating the derivative,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(\mu, \beta), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{\mu_1} &= [w^{|\mu| - |\beta|}] \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{b_i}}{i^{b_i} b_i!} \exp\left(\frac{(-w)^i}{i}\right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta)}}{z_\beta} \prod_{i \geq 1} \exp\left(\frac{(-w)^i}{i}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(B)}{=} \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta)}}{z_\beta} (1 - w). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $w^{|\beta|}$ gives us the identity

$$\sum_{\mu = (\mu_1)} \langle B(\mu, \beta), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} w^{|\mu|} = (1 - w) \sum_{\beta \in \text{Par}} \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta)}}{z_\beta} w^{|\beta|}.$$

DEFINITION 3.5. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$, define $\sum \mu$ by $(\sum \mu)_i = \sum_{j=i}^s \mu_j$. In particular, $(\sum \mu)_1 = |\mu|$.

DEFINITION 3.6. Define the function P_d recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} P_d(w_1, \dots, w_d) &= (1 - w_1)(1 - w_1 w_2) \cdots (1 - w_1 w_2 \cdots w_d) P_{d-1}(w_2, \dots, w_d), \\ \text{and } P_1(w_d) &= (1 - w_d). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.7. For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ and a partition β_1 :

$$\sum_{\mu} \langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} w^{\Sigma \mu} = \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta_1)}}{z_{\beta_1}} P_d(w) \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} w_1^{|\beta_1|} \cdots w_d^{|\beta_d|}.$$

PROOF. We prove this by induction on the length of μ . Example 3.4 handles the basic step. Assume the result is true for all partitions of length $s-1$, in particular for $r(\mu)$. Substituting the above formula into Equation (3.2) we have

$$B(\mu, \beta_1) = \sum_{\beta_2} (-1)^{\Sigma x_i} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \binom{x}{\beta_2} (-1)^{l(\beta_2)} z_{\beta_2} \langle B(r(\mu), \beta_2) \rangle_{|r(\mu)|}$$

Substituting for $\langle B((\mu_2), \beta_2) \rangle_{|r(\mu)|}$ using induction hypothesis,

$$B(\mu, \beta_1) = [\overline{w}^{\Sigma r(\mu)}] P_{d-1}(\overline{w}) \binom{x}{\beta_1} \sum_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{x}{\beta_2} \binom{\beta_2}{\beta_3} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} \overline{w}^{|\beta|},$$

where $\overline{w} = w_2, w_3, \dots$ and $|\beta| = (|\beta_2|, \dots, |\beta_d|)$.

The inner product $\langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|}$ is the coefficient of $\overline{w}^{\Sigma r(\mu)}$ in

$$P_{d-1}(\overline{w}) \sum_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d} \sum_{\alpha \vdash |\mu|} \frac{1}{z_{\alpha}} (-1)^{l(\alpha)} \binom{\alpha}{\beta_1} \binom{\alpha}{\beta_2} \binom{\beta_2}{\beta_3} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} \overline{w}^{|\beta|}.$$

Thus $\langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} = [\overline{w}^{\Sigma r(\mu)}] P_{d-1}(\overline{w}) \prod_{i \geq 1} [w_1^{i(a_i - b_{1i})}] T_i$, where

$$T_i = \sum_{b_{ij} | i=2, \dots, d} \frac{(-1)^{a_i}}{i^{a_i} a_i!} \binom{a_i}{b_{1i}} \binom{a_i}{b_{2i}} \binom{b_{2i}}{b_{3i}} \cdots \binom{b_{d-1i}}{b_{di}} w_1^{i(a_i - b_{1i})} w_2^{ib_{2i}} \cdots w_d^{ib_{di}}.$$

As in Example 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= \frac{1}{b_{1i}!} \frac{d^{b_{1i}}}{(dw_1^i)^{b_{1i}}} \exp\left(\frac{(-w_1)^i}{i} W_i\right) \\ &\stackrel{(A),(B)}{=} \frac{(-1)^{b_{1i}}}{i^{b_{1i}} b_{1i}!} W_i^{b_{1i}} (1 - w_1)(1 - w_1 w_2) \cdots (1 - w_1 \cdots w_d), \end{aligned}$$

where $W_i = (1 + w_2^i(1 + w_3^i(1 + \cdots + w_{l-1}^i(1 + w_d^i)) \cdots))$. Expand $W_i^{b_{1i}}$ by repeated applications of the binomial theorem into

$$W_i^{b_{1i}} = \sum_{b_{2i}, \dots, b_{di}} \binom{b_{1i}}{b_{2i}} \cdots \binom{b_{s-1i}}{b_{si}} w_2^{ib_{2i}} \cdots w_d^{ib_{di}}.$$

and note that $(1 - w_1 w_2) \cdots (1 - w_1 \cdots w_d) P_{d-1}(\overline{w}) = P_d(w)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu} \langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} w_1^{|\mu| - |\beta_1|} \overline{w}^{\Sigma r(\mu)} &= \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta_1)}}{z_{\beta}} P_d(w) \sum_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} \overline{w}^{|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

We can multiply both sides by $w_1^{|\beta_1|}$ to get,

$$\sum_{\mu} \langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|} w^{\Sigma \mu} = \frac{(-1)^{l(\beta_1)}}{z_{\beta_1}} P_d(w) \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} w_1^{|\beta_1|} \cdots w_d^{|\beta_d|}.$$

□

LEMMA 3.8. *The Specht polynomial q_{μ} is the coefficient of $w^{\Sigma \mu}$ in the function*

$$(3.3) \quad P(w_1, \dots, w_d) \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d \in \text{Par}} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} w_1^{|\beta_1|} \cdots w_d^{|\beta_d|}.$$

PROOF. Recall that

$$q_{\mu} = \sum_{\beta_1} (-1)^{l(\beta_1)} z_{\beta_1} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \langle B(\mu, \beta_1), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{|\mu|}.$$

Substituting for $B(\mu, \beta_1)$ from Proposition 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\mu} &= [w^{\Sigma \mu}] P_d(w) \sum_{\beta_1} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \sum_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} w_1^{|\beta_1|} \cdots w_d^{|\beta_d|} \\ &= [w^{\Sigma \mu}] P_d(w) \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d} \binom{x}{\beta_1} \binom{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\beta_{d-1}}{\beta_d} w_1^{|\beta_1|} \cdots w_d^{|\beta_d|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Generating function for hook restriction coefficients

Fix a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ of n with $\mu \neq (1^n)$. In this section we find that $r_{\mu^t(k|l)}$ is the alternating sum described in Proposition 4.2.

LEMMA 4.1. *For a composition α with at most d parts and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\kappa_{(a|b)}^{\alpha}$ denote the coefficient of $q^a v^b t^{\alpha}$ in*

$$F(q, v, t) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 + q^j t_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - q^j v t_i)}.$$

Then

$$\langle q_{r(\mu)} \text{sgn}, H_a E_b \rangle_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \kappa_{(a|b)}^{\sigma \cdot \mu}.$$

PROOF. Using Equations (2.3) and (2.4) and Lemma 3.8

$$\sum_{a, b, \mu} \kappa_{(a|b)}^{\mu} w_0^n w^{\Sigma r(\mu)} q^a v^b = \langle q_{r(\mu)} \text{sgn}, H_a E_b \rangle_n w_0^n w^{\Sigma r(\mu)} q^a v^b,$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(F)}}{=} P_d(w) \prod_{i \geq 1} \sum_{a_i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i^{a_i} a_i!} \left(\frac{-(-w_0)^i (1 - (-v)^i)}{(1 - q^i)} W_i \right)^{a_i},$$

where $W_i = (1 + w_1^i(1 + \dots + w_{l-1}^i(1 + w_d^i) \dots))$. Using Equation (A) and (E)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a,b,\mu} \kappa_{(a|b)}^\mu w_0^n w^{\sum r(\mu)} q^a v^b &\stackrel{(A)}{=} P_d(w) \prod_{i \geq 1} \exp\left(-(-w_0)^i \frac{(1 - (-v)^i)}{i(1 - q^i)} W_i\right), \\
&\stackrel{(E)}{=} P_d(w) \frac{\prod_{i \geq 1} \exp\left(\frac{-(-w_0)^i}{i(1 - q^i)} W_i\right)}{\prod_{i \geq 1} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(vw_0)^i}{i(1 - q^i)} W_i\right)}, \\
&= P_d(w) \frac{\prod_{j \geq 0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{-(-q^j w_0)^i}{i} W_i\right)}{\prod_{j \geq 0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(vw_0 q^j)^i}{i} W_i\right)}, \\
&= P_d(w) \frac{\prod_{j \geq 0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{-(-q^j w_0)^i}{i} W_i\right)}{\prod_{j \geq 0} \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(vw_0 q^j)^i}{i} W_i\right)}, \\
&= P_d(w) \frac{\prod_{j \geq 0} (1 + q^j w_0 W_1)}{\prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - vw_0 q^j W_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now substitute $w_i = \frac{t_{i+1}}{t_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $w_0 = t_1$, under which we have $P_d(w) = \Upsilon(t)$ and

$$\sum_{a,b,\mu} \kappa_{(a|b)}^\mu t^\mu q^a v^b = \Upsilon(t) F(q, v, t).$$

The result then follows from Proposition 2.8. \square

It is then clear from Equation (2.5) that

PROPOSITION 4.2. *For a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ of n , with $\mu \neq (1^n)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have*

$$r_{\mu^t(a|b)} = \sum_{j=0}^b (-1)^j \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \kappa_{(a+1+j|b-j)}^{\sigma \cdot \mu}.$$

5. Supertableaus

Let \mathcal{A} be the set $\{0, 0, 1, 1, \dots\}$, ordered by

$$0 < 0 < 1 < 1 < \dots,$$

and define the **numerical value** $|i| = |i| = i$. For a composition α , a tableau T of **shape** α , denoted $\text{sh}(T) = \alpha$, is a filling of the boxes of the Young diagram of α with the elements of \mathcal{A} . Let $\text{bl}(T)$ be the number of blue entries in T and $\text{wt}(T)$ be the sum of the entries, disregarding their colour. When the shape of a tableau T is a partition μ , we may define the **conjugate** of T to be a tableau T' of shape μ^t , whose (j, i) th entry is the (i, j) th entry of T .

A tableau is said to be *row-standard in the red entries* if the entries in each row are weakly increasing, with each **red** entry occurring at most once.

We may similarly define row-standardness in the blue entries and column-standardness in either the red or blue entries. Let $\Xi(\alpha, b, \mathbf{a})$ be the set of tableau of shape α that are row-standard in the red entries, of weight \mathbf{a} and with b blue entries.

0	1	1	2
1	1	1	2
1	1	2	
1	2	2	

FIGURE 2. A tableau in $\Xi((4, 4, 3, 3), 10, 18)$.

Let μ be a partition of length d and σ be a permutation in S_d . Let $\sigma * \mu$ be the composition defined by

$$\sigma * \mu_i = \mu_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} - \sigma^{-1}(i) + i.$$

Note that this is a rearrangement of the sequence $\sigma \cdot \mu$ defined in Equation (2.6), and $x_1^{(\sigma \cdot \mu)_1} \cdots x_d^{(\sigma \cdot \mu)_d} = x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}^{(\sigma * \mu)_1} \cdots x_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}^{(\sigma * \mu)_d}$. Define the set

$$\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a}) = \Xi(\sigma * \mu, b, \mathbf{a}).$$

To each tableau T in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$ we assign a statistic called its **permutation**, denoted $\text{perm}(T) = \sigma$.

0			
1	2	2	4
0	1		

FIGURE 3. A tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, 4, 10)$ for $\mu = (3, 2, 2)$ and $\sigma = (12)$.

EXAMPLE 5.1. In Figure 3 we see a tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, 4, 10)$ for $\mu = (3, 2, 2)$ and $\sigma = (12)$. The first row in this tableau is of length $\mu_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} - \sigma^{-1}(1) + 1$, i.e. of length $2 - 2 + 1 = 1$. Similarly the second row is of length $3 - 1 + 2 = 4$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. For any partition μ with d parts and any permutation $\sigma \in S_d$, with the coefficients $\kappa_{(a|b)}^\alpha$ as defined in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\kappa_{(a+1+j|b-j)}^{\sigma \cdot \mu} = |\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b - j, a + 1 + j)|.$$

PROOF. From Lemma 4.1 we know that $\kappa_{(a|b)}^\alpha$ is the coefficient of $q^a v^b t^\alpha$ in

$$F(q, v, t) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 + q^j t_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - q^j v t_i)}.$$

Each monomial that contributes to this coefficient is a product of terms of terms like $q^j vt_i$ - each of which may be repeated, and terms like $q^j t_i$ - each of which occurs at most once. There are a total of b terms of the first kind, and the sum of the exponents of q is a . We will map each such monomial to a tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b-j, a+1+j)$.

For any i with $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_j$ be the exponents of q in terms of the form $q^j vt_i$ and let $f_1 < \dots < f_m$ be the exponents of q in terms of the form $q^j t_i$. The coloured numbers $e_1, \dots, e_j, f_1, \dots, f_m$ are arranged in weakly increasing order in the $\sigma(i)$ th row of the tableau. Clearly each red entry occurs at most once in each row.

Conversely given such a tableau, each \mathbf{x} (resp. x) in the i th row of the tableau correspond to the terms $q^{\mathbf{x}} t_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$ (resp. $q^x vt_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$). \square

A tableau is called a **supertableau** if it is *row-standard* in the **blue** entries and *column-standard* in the **red** entries. Let $\text{ST}(\mu^t, b, \mathbf{a})$ be the set of supertableaus of shape μ , of weight \mathbf{a} and with b blue entries. Observe that the conjugate of a tableau in $\text{ST}(\mu, b, \mathbf{a})$ is in the set $\Xi(\mu^t, b, \mathbf{a})$.

6. Involutions

From Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 5.2 we conclude

$$(6.1) \quad r_{\mu^t(a|b)} = \sum_{j=0}^b (-1)^j \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b-j, a+1+j)|.$$

We call $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ the **inner sign** and $(-1)^j$ the **outer sign**. In this section we describe two algorithms, to be applied one after the other, to match a tableau in one of the sets $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b-j, a+1+j)$ either to itself (**fixed tableaux**) or to a tableau in a set that occurs in the above sum with the opposite sign (**matched tableaux**). The matched tableaux cancel each other out in the final tally, and we thus only need to identify and count the fixed tableaux. A mapping of this kind is called a **sign-reversing involution**.

6.1. Inner involution. In this section we will describe a sign-reversing involution on $\bigsqcup_{\sigma \in S_d} \Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$ that either fixes a tableau or matches it to another tableau that has the opposite inner sign.

Given a tableau in $T \in \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in S_d} \Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$ we will refer to the cell in the i th row and j th column of a tableau T , and to the element of \mathcal{A} in this cell, by $T(i, j)$. We say that $T(i, j) \leq T(l, m)$ if $(i, j) \in \text{sh}(T)$ and either $(l, m) \notin \text{sh}(T)$ or $(l, m) \in \text{sh}(T)$ and $T(i, j) \leq T(l, m)$ in \mathcal{A} . We use $T(i, j) \leftarrow x$ to denote that $T(i, j)$ is assigned the value $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

two-column case: Fix $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ with $\mu_1 \geq 2$. Fix $0 \leq j \leq b$ and let $b = b - j$ and $\mathbf{a} = a + 1 + j$. Define the *inner sum*

$$\Delta_j = (|\Xi(\mu, id, b, \mathbf{a})| - |\Xi(\mu, \epsilon, b, \mathbf{a})|),$$

where ϵ is the **nonidentity element** of S_2 .

EXAMPLE 6.1. Our running example uses $\mu = (5, 3)$, $b = 4$ and $\mathbf{a} = 10$. Figures 4 and 6 show a tableau in the sets $\Xi(\mu, id, b, \mathbf{a})$ and $\Xi(\mu, \epsilon, b, \mathbf{a})$ respectively.

0	1	1	2	2
0	1	3		

FIGURE 4. A tableau of shape $\mu = (5, 3)$, $\sigma = id$ and $b = 4$ and $\omega = 10$.

Algorithm 1 *Input:* Partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$; $\sigma \in S_2$; $b, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; $T \in \Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$.

- 1: Set **site** = $\min\{j | T(2, j) \leq T(1, j) \text{ and if equal then } T(1, j) \text{ is blue}\}$.
 - 2: **if** no site is found **then**
 - 3: *Output:* $T \in \Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$. Tableau T is *fixed*.
 - 4: **else**
 - 5: **for** $j = 1, \dots, \text{site} - 1$ **do**
 - 6: $T'(1, j) \leftarrow T(1, j), T'(2, j) \leftarrow T(2, j)$.
 - 7: **end for**
 - 8: $T'(2, \text{site}) \leftarrow T(2, \text{site})$.
 - 9: Set $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \sigma * \mu$.
 - 10: **for** $j = \text{site}, \dots, \alpha_2 - 1$ **do**
 - 11: $T'(1, j) \leftarrow T(2, j + 1)$.
 - 12: **end for**
 - 13: **for** $j = \text{site}, \dots, \alpha_1$ **do**
 - 14: $T'(2, j + 1) \leftarrow T(1, j)$.
 - 15: **end for**
 - 16: *Output:* $T' \in \Xi(\mu, (12)\sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$. Tableau T' is *matched* to T .
 - 17: **end if**
-

EXAMPLE 6.2. Let us implement the above algorithm on the tableau in Figure 4.

The site is 2, since it is the smallest index such that $T(2, 2) < T(1, 2)$. Note that although $T(1, 1) = T(2, 1)$, this does not satisfy the condition since $T(1, 1)$ is **red**. The site is marked in Figure 5.

0	1	1	2	2
0	⟨1⟩	3		

FIGURE 5. The location of the site is indicated with $\langle \rangle$.

Every cell in the first row after (and including) the second column is shifted to the right by one place and moved to the second row, while every

entry in the second row after (not including) the second column is shifted to the left by one place and moved to the first row. The result is in Figure 6.

0	3				
0	⟨1⟩	1	1	2	2

FIGURE 6. Algorithm 1 on Figure 5.

The site remains at 2 in the image. Reversing this procedure, one obtains the original tableau.

THEOREM 6.3. *For any partition μ with $\mu_1 \geq 2$ and $l(\mu) = 2$, Algorithm 1 is a sign-reversing involution on the set $\Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \sqcup \Xi(\mu, id, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$, and the set of fixed tableau is isomorphic to (i.e. bijective with) $ST(\mu^t, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$.*

PROOF. Let T be a matched tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \sqcup \Xi(\mu, id, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ and let T' be its image under Algorithm 1. We must show that

- (1) if $T \in \Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ then either $T' \in \Xi(\mu, id, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ and vice-versa,
- (2) The algorithm applied to T' produces T .

First, let $T \in \Xi(\mu, id, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$. Then its shape is (μ_1, μ_2) . From Steps 5-7 we see that the first site -1 entries in the first row and the first site entries in the second row are fixed. The $\mu_2 - 1$ site remaining entries in the second column are moved to the first row, while the $\mu_1 - 1$ site $+1$ remaining entries in the first row are moved to the second. Therefore the shape of T' is $(\mu_2 - 1, \mu_1 + 1)$. Thus $T' \in \Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$.

Conversely, let $T \in \Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$. Then its shape is $(\mu_2 - 1, \mu_1 + 1)$. The first site -1 entries in the first row and the first site entries in the second row are fixed. The $\mu_1 + 1 - 1$ site remaining entries in the second column are moved to the first row, while the $\mu_2 - 1 - 1$ site remaining entries in the first row are moved to the second. Therefore the shape of T' is (μ_1, μ_2) . Thus $T' \in \Xi(\mu, id, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$.

Next we show that the algorithm matches T' to T . It is clear that if the site is known then the swapping of the rows is involutive. Since the algorithm does not disturb the tableau T before column site -1 , no new site may be created at any such column. Since $T'(1, \text{site}) = T(2, \text{site} + 1)$, and $T(2, \text{site}) \leq T(2, \text{site} + 1)$ - and if of equal numerical value then $T(2, \text{site} + 1)$ is blue, a site exists in T' at the same location as T .

Finally we must show that the fixed tableau occur with $\text{Perm}(T) = id$. A tableau $T \in \Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ has at least 2 more entries in its second row than its first, and for any $j > \mu_2 - 1$ we have $T(2, j) \leq T(1, j)$. A site must thus exist on T with site $\leq \mu_2$. At least one cell from the second row is moved to the first, since $\mu_1 + 1 - \text{site} \geq 1$. Thus, T is matched to a tableau different from itself (i.e. is not fixed).

The tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \epsilon, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ that are fixed are those that have no site—that is, those tableau that are of shape μ with \mathbf{b} blue entries and of weight \mathbf{a} and

- (1) Are strongly increasing in the blue entries along each column,
- (2) Are weakly increasing in the red entries along each column.

The isomorphism to $\text{ST}(\mu^t, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ is by taking the conjugate of a fixed tableau. \square

general case: We fix $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ with $\mu_1 \geq 2$. Fix $0 \leq j \leq b$ and let $\mathbf{b} = b - j$ and $\mathbf{a} = a + 1 + j$. Define the inner sum

$$(6.2) \quad \Delta_j = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\Xi(\mu, \sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})|.$$

We augment Algorithm 1 to show that Δ_j is a positive sum.

Algorithm 2 *Input:* Partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$; $\sigma \in S_d$; $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; $T \in \Xi(\mu, \sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$.

- 1: **for** $i \in 2, \dots, d$ **do**
 - 2: Set site_i to be the smallest column so that $T(i, \text{site}_i) \leq T(i-1, \text{site}_i)$ and if equal then $T(i-1, \text{site}_i)$ is blue.
 - 3: **end for**
 - 4: Set **spot** = (i_0, j_0) such that $j_0 = \min\{\text{site}_i \mid i = 2, \dots, d\}$ and $i_0 = \max\{i \mid \text{site}_i = j_0\}$.
 - 5: **if** no spot is found **then**
 - 6: *Output:* $T \in \Xi(\mu, \sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$. Tableau T is fixed.
 - 7: **else**
 - 8: Set $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) = \sigma * \mu$.
 - 9: $T'(i, j) \leftarrow T(i, j)$ for all $i \neq i_0, i_0 - 1, j \leq \alpha_i$.
 - 10: **for** $j = 1, \dots, j_0 - 1$ **do**
 - 11: $T'(i_0 - 1, j) \leftarrow T(i_0 - 1, j), T'(i_0, j) \leftarrow T(i_0, j)$.
 - 12: **end for**
 - 13: $T'(i_0, j_0) \leftarrow T(i_0, j_0)$.
 - 14: **for** $j = j_0, \dots, \alpha_{i_0} - 1$ **do**
 - 15: $T'(i_0 - 1, j) \leftarrow T(i_0, j + 1)$.
 - 16: **end for**
 - 17: **for** $j = j_0, \dots, \alpha_{i_0-1}$ **do**
 - 18: $T'(i_0, j + 1) \leftarrow T(i_0 - 1, j)$.
 - 19: **end for**
 - 20: *Output:* $T' \in \Xi(\mu, (j_0 - 1j_0)\sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$. Tableau T' is matched to T .
 - 21: **end if**
-

0	1	1	2
1	1	$\langle 1 \rangle$	2
1	$\langle 1 \rangle$	2	
1	2	$\langle 2 \rangle$	

FIGURE 7. A tableau in $\Xi((4, 4, 3, 3), id, 10, 18)$ with the sites marked on it.

0	1	1	2
1	1	$\langle 1 \rangle$	2
1	$[1]$	2	
1	2	$\langle 2 \rangle$	

FIGURE 8. The spot is the lowest of the leftmost sites, which is $(3, 2)$.

0	1	1	2	
1	2			
1	$[1]$	1	1	2
1	2	2		

FIGURE 9. The tableau in $\Xi((4, 4, 3, 3), (23), 10, 18)$ resulting from Algorithm 2 on the tableau in Figure 7.

EXAMPLE 6.4. We demonstrate Algorithm 2 on the tableau in Figure 7. The sites are at $(2, 3)$, $(3, 2)$ and $(4, 3)$.

The spot is the lowermost of the leftmost sites. Here the spot = $(3, 2)$, distinguished in Figure 8 by square braces.

Carrying out Algorithm 2 on Figure 7 gives the tableau in Figure 9.

THEOREM 6.5. *For any partition μ with $d \geq 2$ parts and $\mu_1 \geq 2$, Algorithm 2 is a sign-reversing involution on the set $\bigsqcup_{\sigma \in S_d} \Xi(\mu, \sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$, and the fixed tableaux are isomorphic to the set $ST(\mu^t, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$.*

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, let T be a tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ that is not fixed by Algorithm 2. Let T' be the tableau matched to T by performing Steps 7 – 21 at spot = (i_0, j_0) .

We prove that T' is in $\Xi(\mu, (i_0 - 1i_0)\sigma, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$, and thus has opposite inner sign. Let $\tilde{\sigma} = (i_0 - 1i_0)\sigma$; then $(\tilde{\sigma} * \mu)_{i_0} = (\sigma * \mu)_{i_0-1} + 1$ and $(\tilde{\sigma} * \mu)_{i_0-1} = (\sigma * \mu)_{i_0} - 1$, and all other rows are of the same length. The algorithm can be observed to have this effect on the lengths of the rows of T .

Next we must prove that the algorithm gives T when implemented on T' . If the position of the spot is fixed then this is clearly the case. So we must ensure that T' has a spot in the same location as T . It is clear from the proof of Theorem 6.3 that the site in the i_0 th row continues to remain at j_0 , but we must check that no site is created either in a lower column and any row or in the column j_0 and a further row than i_0 .

Observing that the algorithm fixes all but the $i_0 - 1, i_0$ th row of T , it is clear that no new site is produced in T' at any of the rows $1, \dots, i_0 - 2, i_0 + 2, \dots, d$. Further, the $i_0 - 1, i_0$ th rows are undisturbed before columns $j_0 - 1$ and j_0 respectively. Thus a site may be created in the $i_0 - 1$ th row, but only at a column greater than or equal to j_0 . Since j_0 columns of the i_0 th row are fixed, a new site may only be created in the $i_0 + 1$ th row in a column that is strictly greater than j_0 .

Finally we show that the fixed tableau are in $\Xi(\mu, id, b, \mathbf{a})$, and satisfy the conditions enumerated. Let $\sigma \in S_d$ be such that there exists a $j_0 \geq 2$ with $\sigma(i_1) = j_0$ and $\sigma(i_2) = j_0 - 1$ and $i_1 < i_2$. Let T be a tableau in $\Xi(\mu, \sigma, b, \mathbf{a})$. Then $(\sigma * \mu)_{j_0} - (\sigma * \mu)_{j_0 - 1} \geq 2$, and so a site must always exist in the j_0 th row, and since the difference is greater than 1, at least one cell moves from the j_0 to the $j_0 - 1$ th row, and thus the tableau is mapped to another one different from itself.

The fixed tableau are those in $\Xi(\mu, id, b, \mathbf{a})$ that

- (1) Are strongly increasing in the blue entries along each column,
- (2) Are weakly increasing in the red entries along each column.

The bijection to $ST(\mu^t, b, \mathbf{a})$ is by conjugating tableau. □

COROLLARY 6.6. *The inner sum Δ_j , as defined in Equation (6.2), is*

$$\Delta_j = |ST(\mu^t, b, \mathbf{a})|.$$

REMARK 6.7. From now on we will visualise the fixed tableau in $\Xi(\mu, b, \mathbf{a})$ as their conjugates in $ST(\mu, b, \mathbf{a})$. It was necessary to wait until now to do this because the conjugate of a composition α is itself a composition iff α is a partition. We now know that the shape of a fixed tableau is a partition.

0	1	2	3
0	1	2	
0	1		

FIGURE 10. The tableau in $ST((3, 3, 2, 1)^t, 7, 10)$ that is fixed by Algorithm 2.

6.2. The outer involution. Fix a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ of n , such that $\mu \neq (n)$. Corollary 6.6 allows us to rewrite Equation (6.1) as

$$(6.3) \quad r_{\mu(a|b)} = \sum_{j=0}^b (-1)^j |\text{ST}(\mu, b-j, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{j})|.$$

Set $\text{ST}_{\mathbf{a}}^b(\mu) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^l \text{ST}(\mu, b-j, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{j})$. We will define a sign reversing involution on $\text{ST}_{\mathbf{a}}^b(\mu)$, and prove that the fixed tableaux occur with positive sign.

Algorithm 3 *Input:* $b, \mathbf{a}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $j : 0 \leq j \leq b$, Tableau $T \in \text{ST}(\mu, b-j, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{j})$.

- 1: Set $l = l(\mu)$.
 - 2: **if** $T(l, 1)$ is **blue** **then**
 - 3: set $c = |T(l, 1)|$.
 - 4: *Output:* $T' \in \text{ST}(\mu, b-j-1, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{j})$ with $T'(i, j) \leftarrow T(i, j)$ for $(i, j) \neq (1, \mu_1)$ and $T'(l, 1) \leftarrow c + 1$. Tableau T' is *matched* with T .
 - 5: **else if** $T(l, 1)$ is **red** **then**
 - 6: **if** $\text{bl}(T) < b$ **then**
 - 7: Set $c = |T(l, 1)|$.
 - 8: *Output:* $T' \in \text{ST}(\mu, b-j+1, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{j})$ with $T'(i, j) \leftarrow T(i, j)$ for $(i, j) \neq (1, \mu_1)$ and $T'(l, 1) \leftarrow c - 1$. Tableau T' is *matched* with T .
 - 9: **else if** $\text{bl}(T) = b$ **then**
 - 10: *Output:* $T \in \text{ST}(\mu, b, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1})$. Tableau T is *fixed*.
 - 11: **end if**
 - 12: **end if**
-

EXAMPLE 6.8. Set $b = 4$ and $\mathbf{a} = 8$. Then the tableau in Figure 10 is in $\text{ST}((4, 3, 2), b-1, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1})$, fixed by Algorithm 2. The entry $T(1, 3) = 0$, so we must implement Steps 1-3 on this tableau. It is matched to the tableau in Figure 11, whose $(1, 3)$ entry is 1, and every other entry is the same as in T . Observe that this tableau is also fixed by Algorithm 2, and is in $\text{ST}((4, 3, 2), b-2, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2})$.

0	1	2	3
0	1	2	
1	1		

FIGURE 11. The result of implementing Algorithm 3 on the tableau in Figure 10.

THEOREM 6.9. *Algorithm 3 is a sign-reversing involution on the set $\text{ST}_{\mathbf{a}}^b(\mu)$ for all $b, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

PROOF. Consider a tableau $T \in \text{ST}(\mu, b - j, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{j})$ matched by Algorithm 3 to a tableau $T' \in \text{ST}_{\mathbf{a}}^b(\mu)$.

First we must show that T' is a supertableau. If $T(l, 1)$ is red then $T'(l, 1) = |T(l, 1)| - 1$. It is clear that the order in the l th row is preserved. To show column-standardness in the red entries, we note that $|T(l - 1, 1)| < |T(l, 1)|$ since blues follow reds of the same numerical value. If instead $T(l, 1)$ is blue then $T'(l, 1) = |T(l, 1)| + 1$. It is clear that the order in the first column is preserved. To show row-standardness in the blue entries, we note that $|T(l, 1)| < |T(l, 2)|$ (if $T(l, 2)$ exists) since T is row-standard in the blue entries.

It is easy to see that the algorithm is an involution. We must show that it is also sign-reversing. If the last cell in the first column is blue, it is raised by one and its colour changed to red. Thus $\text{bl}(T') = \text{bl}(T) - 1$ and $\text{wt}(T') = \text{wt}(T) + 1$. In this case $T' \in \text{ST}(\mu, b - j - 1, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{j})$, and thus occurs with the opposite sign as T . If instead the last cell in the first column of T is red, then $T' \in \text{ST}(\mu, b - j + 1, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{j})$, and thus still occurs with the opposite sign. \square

REMARK 6.10. This process of changing colour while lowering/raising the numerical value by 1 occurs in the reverse direction if sgn is not involved in the inner product. In that case, applying the outer involution no longer gives a tableau that is fixed by the inner involution. While there might be other modifications to the algorithm that solve this issue, our solution is to tensor with sgn .

The fixed tableau of Algorithm 3 are easily deduced from Steps 9-11.

THEOREM 6.11 (Main Theorem). *Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$ be a partition of n with $\mu \neq (n)$. Then for $a, b \geq 0$ the restriction coefficient $r_{\mu(a|b)}$ is the number of tableau in $\text{ST}(\mu, b, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1})$ with a red entry in the last cell of the first column.*

References

- [1] Kaan Akin, David A Buchsbaum, and Jerzy Weyman. Schur functors and schur complexes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 44(3):207–278, 1982.
- [2] S. Assaf and D. Speyer. Specht modules decompose as alternating sums of restrictions of Schur modules. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2019.
- [3] F Bonetti, D Senato, and A Venezia. The robinson–schensted correspondence for the fourfold algebra. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)*, 2(3):541–554, 1988.
- [4] T. Church, J. S. Ellenberg, and B. Farb. FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(9):1833–1910, 2015.
- [5] Jie Du and Hebing Rui. Quantum schur superalgebras and kazhdan–lusztig combinatorics. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 215(11):2715–2737, 2011.
- [6] A. M. Garsia and A. Goupil. Character polynomials, their q -analogs and the Kronecker product. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(2):R19, 2009.
- [7] Frank D. Grosshans, Gian-Carlo Rota, and Joel A. Stein. Invariant theory and superalgebras. 1987.

- [8] A. Heaton, S. Sriwongsa, and J. F. Willenbring. Branching from the general linear group to the symmetric group and the principal embedding. *Algebr. Combin.*, 4(2):189–200, 2021.
- [9] James. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [10] ROBERTO LA SCALA, VINCENZO NARDOZZA, and DOMENICO SENATO. Super rsk-algorithms and super plactic monoid. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 16(02):377–396, 2006.
- [11] D. E. Littlewood. Products and plethysms of characters with orthogonal, symplectic and symmetric groups. *Canadian J. Math.*, 10:17–32, 1958.
- [12] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Classic Texts in the Physical Sciences. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 2015.
- [13] Robert Muth. Super rsk correspondence with symmetry. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 26, 11 2017.
- [14] S. P. Narayanan, D. Paul, A. Prasad, and S. Srivastava. Character Polynomials and the Restriction Problem. *Algebr. Combin.*, 4(4):703–722, 2021.
- [15] Sridhar P. Narayanan, Digjoy Paul, Amritanshu Prasad, and Shraddha Srivastava. Some restriction coefficients for the trivial and sign representations, 2022.
- [16] R. Orellana, F. Saliola, A. Schilling, and M. Zabrocki. Plethysm and the algebra of uniform block permutations. *Algebr. Combin.*, 5(5):1165–1203, 2022.
- [17] R. Orellana and M. Zabrocki. A combinatorial model for the decomposition of multivariate polynomial rings as S_n -modules. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 27(3):Paper No. 3.24, 18, 2020.
- [18] R. Orellana and M. Zabrocki. Symmetric group characters as symmetric functions. *Adv. Math.*, 390:107943, 2021.
- [19] Thomas Scharf, Jean-Yves Thibon, and Brian G Wybourne. Generating functions for stable branching coefficients of sl_n and so_n . *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 30(19):6963, oct 1997.
- [20] Yung-Ning Peng Sean Clark and S. Kuang Thamrongpaioj. Super tableaux and a branching rule for the general linear lie superalgebra. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 63(2):274–282, 2015.
- [21] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [22] H.S. Wilf. *Generatingfunctionology*. Academic Press, 1990.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, MUMBAI
 Email address: sridharp.narayanan@gmail.com