

Secure Total Domination Number in Maximal Outerplanar Graphs

Yasufumi Aita Toru Araki

Gunma University

Abstract

A subset S of vertices in a graph G is a secure total dominating set of G if S is a total dominating set of G and, for each vertex $u \notin S$, there is a vertex $v \in S$ such that uv is an edge and $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a total dominating set of G . We show that if G is a maximal outerplanar graph of order n , then G has a total secure dominating set of size at most $\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$. Moreover, if an outerplanar graph G of order n , then each secure total dominating set has at least $\lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$ vertices. We show that these bounds are best possible.

Secure total domination, total domination, maximal outerplanar graphs, upper bound, lower bound.

1 Introduction

We consider finite undirected graph G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ without self-loops. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined by $N_G(v) = \{u \mid vu \in E(G)\}$, and the *closed neighborhood* of v is $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. We denote by $\deg_G v = |N_G(v)|$ the degree of v . For a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph induced by U is denoted by $G[U]$. For a proper subset $U \subset V(G)$, we denote by $G - U$ the graph obtained by removing vertices in U and their incident edges from G . A vertex x is a *cut-vertex* of a connected graph G if $G - \{x\}$ is disconnected. A *block* of a connected graph is a maximal subgraph that has no cut-vertices. Any terminology not defined here, we refer to [9].

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of G if each vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to some vertex in S . The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a dominating set of G . A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *total dominating set* of G if every vertex is adjacent to some vertex in S . The *total domination number* $\gamma_t(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a total dominating set of G .

Recently, secure domination and secure total domination were introduced in [4, 10]. A dominating set S is a *secure dominating set* (or an *SDS*) if, for any $u \in V(G) \setminus S$, there exists a vertex $v \in S$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ and

$(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a dominating set. The *secure domination number* $\gamma_s(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a secure dominating set of G . A total dominating set S is a *secure total dominating set* (or an *STDS*) if, for every $u \in V(G) \setminus S$, there exists a vertex $v \in S$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ and $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a total dominating set. The *secure total domination number* $\gamma_{st}(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of a secure total dominating set of G .

Various aspects of secure domination and secure total domination have been researched [6, 20, 14, 7, 16, 18]. The secure total domination problem is NP-hard even when restricted to chordal bipartite and split graphs [12]. Some polynomial-time algorithms for computing the secure domination number of some restricted graph classes are investigated [5, 1, 22, 2, 13, 24].

A graph G is *outerplanar* if it has a crossing-free embedding in the plane such that all vertices belong to the boundary of its outer face (the unbounded face). A *maximal outerplanar graph* (or just a *mop*) is an outerplanar graph such that the addition of a single edge results in a graph that is not outerplanar. Matheson and Tarjan [19] proved a tight upper bound for the domination number on the class of *triangulated discs*: graphs that have an embedding in the plane such that all of their faces are triangles, except possibly one. They proved that $\gamma(G) \leq n/3$ for any n -vertex triangulated disc. For maximal outerplanar graphs, better upper bounds are obtained. Campos and Wakabayashi [8] showed that if G is a mop of n vertices, then $\gamma(G) \leq (n + k)/4$ where k is the number of vertices of degree 2. Tokunaga proved the same result independently in [23]. Li et al. improved the result by showing that $\gamma(G) \leq (n + t)/4$, where t is the number of pairs of consecutive degree 2 vertices with distance at least 3 on the outer cycle [17]. Dorfling et al. [11] proved that $\gamma_t(G) \leq \lfloor 2n/5 \rfloor$, and then Lemańska et al. [15] gave an alternative proof of it. The second author [3] proved that $\gamma_s(G) \leq \lceil 3n/7 \rceil$ and the upper bound is sharp.

In this paper, we give sharp upper and lower bounds for the secure total domination number of maximal outerplanar graphs. We prove that, for any maximal outerplanar graph G of $n \geq 3$ vertices, $\lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil \leq \gamma_{st}(G) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$, and these bounds are sharp. In Section 2, some properties of maximal outerplanar graphs are described. Then, in Section 3 and 4, we establish upper and lower bounds for the secure total domination number.

2 Structure of maximal outerplanar graphs

In this section, we give some properties of maximal outerplanar graphs. O'Rourke [21] pointed out that every mop has a unique Hamiltonian cycle. Thus, the Hamiltonian cycle is the boundary of the mop. The Hamiltonian cycle of a mop G is denoted by $C(G)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([17]). *Every maximal outerplanar graph contains at least two vertices of degree 2.*

Proposition 2.2 ([21]). *Let G be a mop of $n \geq 5$ vertices. There are consecutive vertices in $C(G)$ that induce at least one of the following eight subgraphs which*




Figure 1: Eight induced subgraphs in a maximal outerplanar graph.

are illustrated in Fig 1:

- (a) Subgraph induced by five consecutive vertices u, v, w, x, y such that $vx, ux, uy \in E(G)$ or the mirror image of it.
- (b) Subgraph induced by five consecutive vertices u, v, w, x, y such that $uw, ux, uy \in E(G)$ or the mirror image of it.
- (c) Subgraph induced by five consecutive vertices u, v, w, x, y such that $uw, wy, uy \in E(G)$.
- (d) Subgraph induced by six consecutive vertices t, u, v, w, x, y such that $tw, uw, wy, ty \in E(G)$ or the mirror image of it.
- (e) Subgraph induced by six consecutive vertices t, u, v, w, x, y such that $tv, tw, wy, ty \in E(G)$ or the mirror image of it.
- (f) Subgraph induced by seven consecutive vertices t, u, v, w, x, y, z such that $tw, uw, wy, wz, tz \in E(G)$.
- (g) Subgraph induced by seven consecutive vertices t, u, v, w, x, y, z such that $tw, uw, wz, xz, tz \in E(G)$ or the mirror image of it.
- (h) Subgraph induced by seven consecutive vertices t, u, v, w, x, y, z such that $tv, tw, wz, xz, tz \in E(G)$.

A graph H is a *subdivision* of G if either $G = H$ or H can be obtained from G by inserting vertices of degree 2 into the edges of G . The following characterization of outerplanar graphs is known (see [9], for example).

Theorem 2.3. *A graph G is outerplanar if and only if G contains no subgraph that is a subdivision of the complete graph K_4 or the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,3}$.*

3 Upper bound

In this section, we show the next theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *For any maximal outerplanar graph G of $n \geq 3$ vertices,*

$$\gamma_{st}(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

Let S be a total dominating set of G . For $u \notin S$ and $v \in S$, if $uv \in E(G)$ and $(S \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a total dominating set of G , we say that v *totally S -defends* u . Hence S is an STDS of G if and only if, for any vertex $u \notin S$, there exists a vertex $v \in S$ such that v totally S -defends u .

For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the *external private neighborhood* of $v \in S$ with respect to S is defined by

$$\text{epn}(v, S) = \{w \mid w \notin S \text{ and } N(w) \cap S = \{v\}\}.$$

The *internal private neighborhood* of $v \in S$ with respect to S is defined by

$$\text{ipn}(v, S) = \{u \mid u \in S \text{ and } N(u) \cap S = \{v\}\}.$$

The next proposition was given in [14].

Proposition 3.2 ([14]). *Let S be a total dominating set of G . A vertex $v \in S$ totally S -defends $u \notin S$ if and only if (1) $\text{epn}(v, S) = \emptyset$, and (2) $\{v\} \cup \text{ipn}(v, S) \subseteq N(u)$.*

We prove the following theorem instead of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be any maximal outerplanar graph G of $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then, there exists a secure total dominating set S of G such that $|S| \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$ and S contains no vertex of degree 2.*

Proof. It should be noted that, if an STDS S does not contain a vertex u of degree 2, then the two vertices adjacent to u are in S since, for any vertex $x \in S$, we have $\text{epn}(x, S) = \emptyset$ by Proposition 3.2.

We prove this theorem by induction on n . For $4 \leq n \leq 6$, the proposition is true as illustrated in Fig 2. Each of the STDSs has at most $\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$ vertices and does not have a vertex of degree 2.

Let G be a maximal outerplanar graph of $n \geq 7$ vertices. We assume that, for any $4 \leq n' \leq n - 1$, the theorem is true for any mop of order n' . By Proposition 2.2, G has a subgraph H which is induced by consecutive vertices in $C(G)$ illustrated in Fig. 1. Consider the following eight cases.

(Case a) H is Fig. 1(a). Let $G' = G - \{v, w, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of order $n - 3$. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$. Then $S = S' \cup \{v, x\}$ is an STDS of G since v totally S -defends w , and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.




Figure 2: Minimum STDSs for maximal outerplanar graphs of $n \leq 6$ vertices. The gray vertices are members of STDSs.

(Case b) H is Fig. 1(b). Let $G' = G - \{v, w, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$. Consider the next two cases.

(b-1) First we assume that $u \in S'$. In this case, let $S = S' \cup \{w, x\}$. Then S is a total dominating set of G , and w totally S -defends v , and x totally S -defends y when $y \notin S$. Hence S is an STDS of G and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(b-2) Next we assume that $u \notin S'$. Then there is either $y \in S'$ or y is totally S' -defended by some vertex $r \in S'$. In this case, let $S = S' \cup \{u, w\}$. Then S is a total dominating set of G , and w totally S -defends v and x , and y is totally S -defended by r . Hence S is an STDS of G and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(Case c) H is Fig. 1(c). Let $G' = G - \{v, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 2$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 2)/3 \rfloor$ and $w \notin S'$ and $u, y \in S'$. Let $S = S' \cup \{w\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since w totally S -defends v and x , and $|S| = |S'| + 1 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 2)/3 \rfloor + 1 \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(Case d) H is Fig. 1(d). Let $G' = G - \{u, v, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$ and $w \notin S'$ and $t, y \in S'$. Let $S = S' \cup \{u, w\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since w totally S -defends v and x , and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(Case e) H is Fig. 1(e). Let $G' = G - \{u, v, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$ and $w \notin S'$ and $t, y \in S'$. Let $S = S' \cup \{v, w\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since v and w totally S -defend u and x , respectively, and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(Case f) H is Fig. 1(f). Let $G' = G - \{u, v, x\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$ and $y \notin S'$ and $w, z \in S'$. Let $S = S' \cup \{u, y\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since w totally S -defends v, x and t , and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(**Case g**) H is Fig. 1(g). Let $G' = G - \{u, v, y\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$ and $x \notin S'$ and $w, z \in S'$. Let $S = S' \cup \{u, x\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since w totally S -defends v and t , and x totally S -defends y . We obtain $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(**Case h**) H is Fig. 1(h). Let $G' = G - \{u, v, y\}$. Then G' is a mop of $n - 3$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, G' has an STDS S' such that $|S'| \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor$ and $x \notin S'$ and $w, z \in S'$. Consider two cases below.

(h-1) First assume that $t \in S'$. In this case, let $S = S' \cup \{v, x\}$. Then S is an STDS of G since v totally S -defends u , and x totally S -defends y , and $|S| = |S'| + 2 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

(h-2) Next we assume that $t \notin S'$. In this case, $w \in S'$ is contained in $\text{ipn}(z, S')$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, for any vertex $s \in (V \setminus S') \setminus \{t, x\}$, z does not totally S' -defend s . Thus the vertex s is totally S' -defended by some vertex other than z . Let $S = (S' \setminus \{w\}) \cup \{t, v, x\}$. Then S is a total dominating set of G , and x totally S -defends y and w , and v totally S -defends u . We have $|S| = (|S'| - 1) + 3 \leq \lfloor 2(n - 3)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$.

For each of the above eight cases, we obtain desired STDSs of G . Hence we complete the proof. \square

If $n = 3$, then clearly we obtain $\gamma_{st}(G) = 2$. Hence, Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorem 3.3. The upper bound in Theorem 3.1 is best possible. For any $k \geq 1$, let H_k be a graph as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} V(H_k) &= \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\} \cup \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}, \\ E(H_k) &= \{a_i b_i, b_i c_i, c_i a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \cup \{c_i a_{i+1} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1\} \\ &\quad \cup \{a_1 a_i, a_1 c_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, k\}. \end{aligned}$$

H_k has a mop of $n = 3k$ vertices, and $\deg_{H_k}(b_i) = 2$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For example, H_4 is represented in Fig. 3. We can see that the set $S = \{a_1, c_1, a_2, c_2, \dots, a_k, c_k\}$ is an STDS of H_k and $|S| = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor = 2k$. We show that S is a minimum STDS of H_k .

Assume that there is an STDS S' of $2k - 1$ vertices. Let $T_i = \{a_i, b_i, c_i\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, k$. By the pigeonhole principle, there is a subset T_j such that $|S' \cap T_j| \leq 1$. If $S' \cap T_j = \emptyset$, then S' is not a dominating set. If $S' \cap T_j = \{b_j\}$, then S' is not a total dominating set since both of a_j and c_j are not in S' . Finally, consider $S' \cap T_j = \{a_j\}$ or $\{c_j\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $S' \cap T_j = \{a_j\}$. Since $b_j, c_j \notin S'$, $b_j \in \text{epn}(a_j, S')$. By Proposition 3.2, a_j cannot totally S' -defend b_j , and hence S' is not an STDS.

From the above discussion, S is an STDS of G , and $\gamma_{st}(H_k) = 2k$.

The graph H_k is order $3k$ and has k vertices of degree 2. The authors could not find an mop G of order n with $\gamma_{st}(G) = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$ and $k < \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$, where k is the number of vertices of degree 2.




Figure 3: A maximal outerplanar graph H_k when $k = 4$. The set of the gray vertices form a minimum STDS of H_k .

4 Lower bound

The purpose of this section is to show the next theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For an outerplanar graph G of $n \geq 3$ vertices,*

$$\gamma_{st}(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \right\rceil.$$

A set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *2-dominating set* of G if $|S \cap N_G(u)| \geq 2$ for any $u \notin S$. The *2-domination number* of G , denoted by $\gamma_2(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating set of G . In this section, we show that $\gamma_2(G) \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$ for an outerplanar graph G of n vertices. By Theorem 3.2, if S is an STDS of G , we have $\text{epn}(v, S) = \emptyset$ for any $v \in S$, and thus S is a 2-dominating set. This means that $\gamma_2(G) \leq \gamma_{st}(G)$. Hence, if $\gamma_2(G) \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$, we obtain Theorem 4.1.

Let S be a 2-dominating set of G and $T = V(G) \setminus S$, and let $|S| = x$ and $|T| = y$. Thus $x + y = n$. If $y \leq 2x - 2$, we obtain $x \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$.

In order to show $y \leq 2x - 2$, we suppose to the contrary that $y \geq 2x - 1$. Let $B(S)$ be a spanning subgraph of G such that

- $B(S)$ is a bipartite graph with the bipartition $S \cup T$, and
- each $v \in T$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices in S .

Since S is a 2-dominating set, $v \notin S$ is adjacent to at least two vertices in S , and hence there is such $B(S)$.

We assume that $B(S)$ is connected. If $B(S)$ is disconnected, there is at least one connected component B' with the bipartition $S' \cup T'$, where $S' \subseteq S$ and

$T' \subseteq T$, that satisfies $|T'| \geq 2|S'| - 1$. Thus we can replace $B(S)$ with B' in the following discussion.

Since $\deg_{B(S)}(v) = 2$ for $v \in T$, we define the multigraph B_M by replacing each vertex $v \in T$ and the two incident edges with a single edge. Hence, B_M has a connected multigraph with the vertex set S , and has y edges that are corresponding to vertices of T . Note that there are parallel edges between vertices x and y of B_M if and only if two or more vertices of T are adjacent to x and y in $B(S)$.

Claim 1. B_M has no pair of vertices such that there are three or more parallel edges between them.

Proof of Claim 1. If there are three parallel edges between x and y in B_M , then $B(S)$ has $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph. Since G is an outerplanar graph, it is impossible by Theorem 2.3. \square

Claim 2. B_M has a block F of x' vertices and y' edges such that $y' \geq x' + 1$.

Proof of Claim 2. If B_M has no cut-vertex, then B_M itself a block and we have $y \geq 2x - 1 \geq x + 1$. Assume that B_M has $k \geq 2$ blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k , and B_i has x_i vertices and y_i edges for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Suppose to the contrary that $y_i \leq x_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus B_M has $y = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$ edges. Since B_M has k blocks, we obtain $y = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k \leq x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = x + k - 1$. Since $y \geq 2x - 1$, we obtain $x \leq k$. But a graph of $x \leq k$ vertices cannot have $k \geq 2$ blocks, so it is a contradiction. \square

Let F be a block satisfying the condition of Claim 2. If $x' = 2$, then it has $y' \geq 3$ parallel edges between the two vertices. But it is impossible by Claim 1. Hence $x' \geq 3$. Since F has no cut-vertex, F contains a cycle. Let C be a shortest cycle of order at least 3 in F . So C has no chord. If there is parallel edges between two consecutive vertices v and w on C , there are three internally disjoint paths from v to w , two are the parallel edges and one is a path along the cycle C . This implies $B(C)$ has a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, and it is impossible by Theorem 2.3. Then, we assume that C has no parallel edges. Since $y' \geq x' + 1$, F has at least one vertex v not on C . Since F has no cut-vertex, there are two paths P_1 and P_2 such that

- P_1 is a path from v to some vertex v_1 on C , and $V(P_1) \cap V(C) = \{v_1\}$,
- P_2 is a path from v to some vertex v_2 on C , and $V(P_2) \cap V(C) = \{v_2\}$,
and
- $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $V(P_1) \cap V(P_2) = \{v\}$.

There are three internally disjoint paths from v_1 to v_2 , two are along the cycle C and the one is obtained by concatenating P_1 and P_2 . Again, we see that $B(C)$ has a subdivision of $K_{2,3}$, and it is impossible.




Figure 4: A outerplanar graph G_k when $k = 4$. The set of the gray vertices form an STDS of G_4 .

From the above discussion, we show that $y \geq 2x - 1$ is impossible. Hence $y \leq 2x - 2$ holds. Since $n = x + y$, we obtain $n - x \leq 2x - 2$ or $x \geq (n + 2)/3$. Therefore, any 2-dominating set S has at least $\lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil$ vertices, and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

We can construct infinite family of mops such that the equality of the lower bound in Theorem 4.1 holds.

For any $k \geq 1$, let G_k be a graph that has the vertex set $V(G_k) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k+1}\}$, and

$$E(G_k) = \{v_i v_{i+1} \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, 3k\} \cup \{v_1 v_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, 3k + 1\}.$$

G_k has a mop of $n = 3k + 1$ vertices, and $\deg_{G_k}(v_1) = n - 1$, $\deg_{G_k}(v_i) = 2$ for $i \in \{2, 3k + 1\}$, and $\deg_{G_k}(v_i) = 3$ for $i \notin \{1, 2, 3k + 1\}$. An example of G_4 is in Fig. 4.

Let $S = \{v_1\} \cup \{v_{3i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then S is an STDS of G_k , and $|S| = \lceil (n + 2)/3 \rceil = k + 1$. We show that S is a minimum STDS of G_k .

Assume to the contrary that there is an STDS S' of k vertices. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let $V_j = \{v_{3j-1}, v_{3j}, v_{3j+1}\}$. Then $V(G) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$, where $V_0 = \{v_1\}$. Since $|S'| = k$, we have $V_j \cap S' = \emptyset$ for some j . If $V_j \cap S' = \emptyset$ for some $j \geq 1$, then $v_1 \in S'$ since S' is a total dominating set, but v_1 cannot totally S' -defend v_{3j} since $v_{3j} \in \text{epn}(v_1, S')$ (Theorem 3.2). Hence $v_1 \notin S'$. Since $|S'| = k$ and S' is a dominating set, $|S' \cap V_j| = 1$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. However, it implies that S' cannot be a total dominating set. Therefore, $\gamma_{st}(G_k) = k + 1$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22K11898.

References

- [1] T. Araki, H. Miyazaki, Secure domination in proper interval graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 247 (2018) 70–76.
- [2] T. Araki, R. Yamanaka, Secure domination in cographs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 262 (2019) 179–184.
- [3] T. Araki, I. Yumoto, On the secure domination numbers of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 236 (2018) 23–29.
- [4] S. Benecke, E. J. Cockayne, C. M. Mynhardt, Secure total domination in graphs, *Utilitas Mathematicae* 74 (2007) 247–259.
- [5] A. P. Burger, A. P. de Villiers, J. H. van Vuuren, A linear algorithm for secure domination in trees, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 171 (10) (2014) 15–47.
- [6] A. P. Burger, A. P. de Villiers, J. H. van Vuuren, On minimum secure dominating sets of graphs, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 39 (2) (2016) 189–202.
- [7] A. P. Burger, M. A. Henning, J. H. van Vuuren, Vertex covers and secure domination in graphs, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 31 (2) (2008) 163–171.
- [8] C. N. Campos, Y. Wakabayashi, On dominating sets of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161 (2013) 330–335.
- [9] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, P. Zhang, *Graphs & Digraphs*, 5th ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2011.
- [10] E. J. Cockayne, P. J. P. Grobler, W. R. Gründlingh, J. Munganga, J. H. van Vuuren, Protection of a graph, *Utilitas Mathematicae* 67 (2005) 19–32.
- [11] M. Dorfling, J. H. Hattingh, E. Jonck, Total domination in maximal outerplanar graphs II, *Discrete Mathematics* 339 (2016) 1180–1188.
- [12] O. Duginov, Secure total domination in graphs: Bounds and complexity, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 222 (2017) 97–108.
- [13] A. Jha, D. Pradhan, S. Banerjee, The secure domination problem in cographs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 145 (2019) 30–38.
- [14] W. F. Klostermeyer, C. M. Mynhardt, Secure domination and secure total domination in graphs, *Dicussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 28 (2008) 267–284.
- [15] M. Lemańska, R. Zuazua, P. Żyliński, Total dominating sets in maximal outerplanar graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 33 (2017) 991–998.
- [16] Z. Li, Z. Shao, J. Xu, On secure domination in trees, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 40 (1) (2017) 1–12.

- [17] Z. Li, E. Zhu, Z. Shao, J. Xu, On dominating sets of maximal outerplanar and planar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 198 (2016) 164–169.
- [18] A. C. Martínez, L. P. Montejano, J. A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the secure total domination number of graphs, *Symmetry* 11 (9) (2019) 1165–1176.
- [19] L. R. Matheson, R. E. Tarjan, Dominating sets in planar graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 17 (1996) 565–568.
- [20] H. B. Merouane, M. Chellali, On secure domination in graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 115 (2015) 786–790.
- [21] J. O'Rourke, *Art gallery theorems and algorithms*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.
- [22] D. Pradhan, A. Jha, On computing a minimum secure dominating set in block graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*.
- [23] S. Tokunaga, Dominating sets of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161 (2013) 3097–3099.
- [24] Y. H. Zou, J. J. Liu, C. C. Hsu, Y. L. Wang, A simple algorithm for secure domination in proper interval graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 260 (2019) 289–293.