

Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

Sanja Rukavina¹ and Vladimir D. Tonchev²

¹Faculty of Mathematics, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA

March 7, 2024

Abstract

The parameters 2-(36, 15, 6) are the smallest parameters of symmetric designs for which a complete classification up to isomorphism is yet unknown. In [5] Bouyukliev, Fack and Winne classified all 2-(36, 15, 6) designs that admit an automorphism of odd prime order, and gave a partial classification of such designs that admit an automorphism of order 2. In this paper, we give the classification of all symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs that admit an automorphism of order two. It is shown that there are exactly 1547701 nonisomorphic such designs, 135779 of which are self-dual designs. The ternary linear codes spanned by the incidence matrices of these designs are computed. Among these codes, there are near-extremal self-dual codes with previously unknown weight distributions.

Mathematical subject classification (2020): 05B05, 94B05

1 Introduction

We assume familiarity with the basic facts and notions from the theory of combinatorial designs [2, 7, 14, 21].

Symmetric designs with parameters $2-(36, 15, 6)$ can be obtained in many different ways, and it is not easy to determine the first occurrence of such a design. For example, in the first edition of Hall's *Combinatorial Theory* [10], designs with parameters $2-(36, 15, 6)$ were listed as unknown, while in his 1964 work [15], Mann wrote about the connection between balanced incomplete block designs and abelian difference sets, and the existence of abelian difference sets with parameters $(36, 15, 6)$. These designs have attracted much attention, many connections have been made to other structures, and many such designs are presently known (see, e.g., [5, 6, 20]). A main open problem is the complete enumeration of such designs up to isomorphism. The problem of classifying all $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs was approached by assuming an action of an automorphism group, leading to a partial classification. Bouyukliev, Fack and Winne [5] gave a complete classification of all $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs with an automorphism of odd prime order p , and a partial classification for $p = 2$. The partial classification from [5] did not cover automorphisms of order two without fixed points, or involutions with more than 10 fixed points. A classification of symmetric $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs with an automorphism of order six was given in [9], and all designs on which a cyclic automorphism group of order 4 acts standardly were constructed in [8]. In [17], $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs with an automorphism of order two were used to investigate the existence of extremal self-dual ternary codes of length 36. However, to the best of our knowledge, the enumeration of all symmetric $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs with an automorphism of order two has been an open problem. Many examples of $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs having a trivial full automorphism group are known (see, for example, [20], [22]), but a complete classification of such designs is not known.

The links between Hadamard matrices of order 36, symmetric $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs, and extremal ternary self-dual codes of length 36 were studied in ([17, 22]). In particular, it was proved in [17] that, up to isomorphism, there is exactly one $2-(36, 15, 6)$ design with an automorphism of order two whose incidence matrix spans an extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36.

The main goal of this paper is to give a detailed complete classification of symmetric $2-(36, 15, 6)$ designs with an automorphism of order two (Theorem 2.1). The ternary linear codes spanned by the incidence matrices of such designs are computed. Among these codes, there are near-extremal self-dual codes with previously unknown weight distributions.

The paper is structured as follows. In the next section we consider all possible actions of an automorphism of order two on a symmetric $2-(36, 15, 6)$, and give in detail a classification of all such symmetric designs. In Section 3,

we use the obtained designs to prove the existence of near-extremal self-dual ternary codes of length 36 with 104 or 120 codewords of minimum weight.

2 Classification of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

An automorphism of a symmetric design \mathcal{D} is a permutation on the set of points of \mathcal{D} that sends blocks to blocks. The action of an automorphism of \mathcal{D} generates the same number of point and block orbits (see [14, Theorem 3.3]). The set of all automorphisms of \mathcal{D} forms its full automorphism group, denoted by $Aut(\mathcal{D})$. A symmetric design is *self-dual* if it is isomorphic to its dual design¹.

A partial classification of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two can be found in [5], and some information for the cases not treated there are given in [17]. In both cases, the method for constructing orbit matrices with presumed action of an automorphism group, which are then indexed to construct designs was used (see, for example, [9, 13]). We complete the classification using the same method. This work can be seen as a continuation of our research started in [17]. Here we start with the orbit matrices considered in [17], which are available at

<https://www.math.uniri.hr/~sanjar/structures/>

In [17] we were interested in a construction of extremal ternary self-dual codes of length 36. Because of the large number of constructed designs, it was faster to check the codes corresponding to all designs than to eliminate the isomorphic copies of the designs first (see [17, Note 2]). Moreover, the only information given in [17] about the case where an automorphism of order two acts on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design without fixed points is that such designs do not lead to self-dual ternary codes of length 36. The same is true for symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs acted upon by an automorphism of order two with six fixed points, for which it is falsely written that they do not exist. The number of constructed orbit matrices is therefore not given for all cases considered. Table 1 contains complete information about the number of orbit matrices constructed in [17].

¹The *dual* design D^T of a design D with an incidence matrix A is the design with the incidence matrix A^T .

Number of fixed points	0	4	6	8	10	12	16
Number of orbit matrices	119909	12991	2150	670	56	311	83

Table 1: The number of orbit matrices for symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

In this paper we give details on the complete classification of all symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two, correcting some errors in the literature. In addition to our own computer programs, we use the System for Computational Discrete Algebra GAP [23] and its package DESIGN [19] to determine the automorphism groups and representatives of the isomorphism classes of the constructed designs.

An automorphism of order two acting on 2-(36, 15, 6) design can act without fixed points or have f fixed points, where $f \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18\}$. To our knowledge, a classification of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs for the cases $f \in \{0, 12, 14, 16, 18\}$ cannot be found in the literature. In [5] it is emphasized that for an action of an automorphism of order two, the most time-consuming part is the generation of the fixed parts (parts corresponding to orbits of length one) when f is large. Since there are no constraints for this part of the generation, the method used is too slow. We solved this problem in [17] by reversing the order of the orbits and considering the rows corresponding to orbits of length two first. This imposes additional constraints on the construction of the fixed part in cases where all rows can be constructed for the block orbits of length two.

Once we have determined the possible orbit lengths distributions, we should consider the possible types for the rows of the orbit matrices corresponding to the orbits of certain lengths, i.e. the representatives of the possible types of block orbits related to the given orbit lengths distribution. For the cases where an automorphism of orbit two acts on the 2-(36, 15, 6) design with 14 or 18 fixed points, we will take a closer look at the corresponding row types to conclude that it is not possible to construct orbit matrices in these cases. For all other cases, our computational results are shown in the tables 2-8, where we give the number of mutually non-isomorphic designs with the particular full automorphism group. We also give the number of self-dual designs for all cases. The final isomorphism test yields the classification shown in Table 9. The obtained results are compared with the previously known results.

2.1 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two without fixed points

The possible action of an automorphism of order two on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design without fixed points was not mentioned in [5]. Although this case was not specifically investigated, the results presented in [9] show that such designs exist.

For this action of an automorphism of order two all orbits are of length two and all rows of the corresponding orbit matrices are of type

$$2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0.$$

From 119909 corresponding orbit matrices, we have constructed 13869 mutually non-isomorphic 2-(36, 15, 6) designs (Table 2). Among the constructed designs there are 1199 self-dual designs.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
3888	$E_{81} : (S_4 \times Z_2)$	1	24	$Z_3 \times D_8$	2
1944	$(E_{27} : S_4) \times Z_3$	1		D_{24}	2
648	$Z_3 \times (E_{27} : D_8)$	1		$Z_4 \times S_3$	2
432	$((E_4 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : Z_2) : Z_2$	1	18	$Z_3 \times S_3$	2
324	$E_9 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	1	16	$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	15
	$Z_3 \times (E_{27} : E_4)$	1		$Z_2 \times D_8$	3
240	$S_5 \times Z_2$	1	12	D_{12}	11
216	$(E_4 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : Z_2$	1		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	1
144	$S_4 \times S_3$	2		Z_{12}	2
	$E_9 : ((Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		A_4	2
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2	8	$Z_4 \times Z_2$	28
	$Z_3 \times ((Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		D_8	22
	$(Z_3 \times A_4) : Z_2$	2		E_8	18
48	$Z_2 \times S_4$	2	6	Z_6	6
36	$Z_6 \times S_3$	5		S_3	1
	$S_3 \times S_3$	1	4	E_4	693
	$Z_3 \times (Z_3 : Z_4)$	1		Z_4	66
24	S_4	6	2	Z_2	12958
	$E_4 \times S_3$	4			

Table 2: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting without fixed points

2.2 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two acting with four fixed points

According to [5] there are 170648 symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs on which an automorphism of order two acts with 4 fixed points. After eliminating

the isomorphic designs constructed from 12991 orbit matrices for such an action, we also obtained 170648 designs among which there are 17210 self-dual designs. These include the design leading to an extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36 equivalent to the Pless symmetry code $C(17)$ [17]. Further details of the constructed designs are given in Table 3.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
51840	$O(5, 3) : Z_2$	1	32	$E_4 \times D_8$	12
12096	$PSU(3, 3) : Z_2$	1		$(Z_8 : Z_2) : Z_2$	1
3888	$E_{81} : (S_4 \times Z_2)$	1	24	$Z_2 \times A_4$	10
1152	$Ex_{32}^+ : (S_3 \times S_3)$	1		S_4	7
648	$(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) : Z_3$	1		$E_4 \times S_3$	6
432	$S_3 \times ((S_3 \times S_3) : Z_2)$	1		$SL(2, 3)$	3
	$((E_4 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : Z_2) : Z_2$	1		D_{24}	3
384	$Ex_{32}^+ : D_{12}$	2		$(Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	2
360	$A_5 \times S_3$	2		$Z_4 \times S_3$	2
324	$(Z_3 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : E_4$	1	18	$Z_3 \times S_3$	50
240	$S_5 \times Z_2$	1		$E_9 : Z_2$	18
216	$(E_9 : Q_8) : Z_3$	1		D_{18}	1
162	$(E_9 : Z_3) : Z_2$	1	16	D_{16}	4
144	$S_4 \times S_3$	2		$Z_2 \times D_8$	11
	$(Z_3 \times SL(2, 3)) : Z_2$	2		$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	11
	$E_9 : ((Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		$Z_4 \times E_4$	2
108	$S_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	1	12	A_4	22
96	$D_8 \times A_4$	1		D_{12}	65
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	3		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	8
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	2	8	$Z_4 \times Z_2$	118
64	$D_8 \times D_8$	2		E_8	96
	$(E_8 : E_4) : Z_2$	1		D_8	134
54	$E_9 : Z_6$	6		Z_8	12
	$Z_9 : Z_6$	2	6	S_3	315
	$Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	1		Z_6	458
48	$Z_2 \times S_4$	3	4	Z_4	1208
	$(Z_3 \times Q_8) : Z_2$	1		E_4	4405
	$GL(2, 3)$	1	2	Z_2	163599
36	$S_3 \times S_3$	17			
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	4			

Table 3: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with four fixed points

2.3 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing six points

The existence of 135139 symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with six fixed points was proved in [5]. Our construction yields the same number of mutually non-isomorphic designs shown

in Table 4. We obtain these designs from 2150 orbit matrices constructed from three types of rows of the orbit matrices corresponding to block orbits of length one and four possible types of rows corresponding to block orbits of length two. The constructed designs contain 8325 self-dual designs.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
3888	$E_{81} : (S_4 \times Z_2)$	1	36	$S_3 \times S_3$	11
1944	$(E_{27} : S_4) \times Z_3$	1		$Z_6 \times S_3$	7
648	$Z_3 \times (E_{27} : D_8)$	1	24	$Z_3 \times D_8$	2
486	$(Z_3 \wr_{reg} Z_3) : S_3$	1		S_4	9
432	$((Z_6 \times Z_6) : Z_3) : Z_2 : Z_2$	1		$E_4 \times S_3$	4
324	$(Z_3 \times ((E_9 : Z_3) : Z_2)) : Z_2$	1		D_{24}	2
	$Z_3 \times ((Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)) : Z_2)$	1		$Z_2 \times A_4$	1
240	$S_5 \times Z_2$	1	18	$E_9 : Z_2$	50
216	$((Z_6 \times Z_6) : Z_3) : Z_2$	1		$Z_3 \times S_3$	9
162	$Z_3 \times ((Z_9 : Z_3) : Z_2)$	3		$Z_6 \times Z_3$	2
	$Z_3 \times ((E_9 : Z_3) : Z_2)$	1	16	$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	11
	$E_9 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	1		$Z_2 \times D_8$	3
144	$S_4 \times S_3$	2	12	D_{12}	41
	$(Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_4)) : Z_2$	1		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	45
108	$Z_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2	10	D_{10}	3
	$S_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	1	8	$Z_4 \times Z_2$	24
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2		D_8	53
	$Z_3 \times ((Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		E_8	16
	$(Z_3 \times A_4) : Z_2$	2	6	Z_6	120
54	$Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	4		S_3	290
	$E_9 \times S_3$	4	4	E_4	2594
48	$Z_2 \times S_4$	2	2	Z_2	131807

Table 4: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with six fixed points

2.4 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing eight points

The number of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs constructed in [5] with an automorphism of order two fixing eight points is 126817. Starting from 670 orbit matrices, we obtain 130414 mutually non-isomorphic designs for this case. As in other cases, to eliminate isomorphic copies we have used

```
BlockDesignIsomorphismClassRepresentatives();
```

in GAP. Among the constructed designs there are 16046 self-dual designs. Further information is contained in Table 5.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
51840	$O(5, 3) : Z_2$	1	24	$Z_2 \times A_4$	5
1152	$Ex_{32}^+ : (S_3 \times S_3)$	1		$E_4 \times S_3$	2
648	$(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) : Z_3$	1		$Z_3 \times D_8$	1
432	$S_3 \times ((S_3 \times S_3) : Z_2)$	1		$(Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	3
384	$Ex_{32}^+ : D_{12}$	2	20	$Z_5 : Z_4$	1
360	$A_5 \times S_3$	2	18	$Z_3 \times S_3$	18
320	$(E_{16} : Z_5) : Z_4$	1	16	E_{16}	233
108	$E_{27} : Z_4$	2		$Z_4 \times E_4$	2
96	$A_4 \times D_8$	1		$Z_4 : Z_4$	2
	$(Z_2 \times S_4) : Z_2$	1		D_{16}	2
80	$E_{16} : Z_5$	2		$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	14
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	1		$Z_2 \times D_8$	7
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	2	12	A_4	36
64	$(E_8 : Z_4) : Z_2$	5		D_{12}	28
	$D_8 \times D_8$	2		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	17
54	$Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	2		$Z_3 : Z_4$	24
48	$E_4 \times A_4$	5	10	D_{10}	3
42	$Z_2 \times (Z_7 : Z_3)$	1	8	D_8	109
36	$S_3 \times S_3$	6		$Z_4 \times Z_2$	88
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	2		E_8	75
	$Z_3 \times A_4$	2	6	Z_6	373
32	$E_{16} : Z_2$	17		S_3	14
	$E_4 \times D_8$	12	4	E_4	6107
30	$Z_3 \times D_{10}$	2		Z_4	947
			2	Z_2	122229

Table 5: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with eight fixed points

2.5 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing ten points

Our results for the number of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs that admit an action of an automorphism of order two with ten fixed points agree with those in [5]. From 56 orbit matrices constructed in [17], we obtained 64006 mutually non-isomorphic designs, including 1282 self-dual designs. Details about the automorphism groups of the constructed designs are given in Table 6.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
108	$Z_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2	12	D_{12}	2
36	$Z_6 \times S_3$	2		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	44
	$S_3 \times S_3$	2	6	Z_6	234
18	$Z_3 \times S_3$	2	4	E_4	1500
			2	Z_2	62218

Table 6: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with ten fixed points

2.6 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing 12 points

Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing 12 points have not been classified so far. Due to the large number of designs constructed from 311 corresponding orbit matrices, the process of eliminating isomorphic copies was most challenging in this case. On the other hand, the results of [17] show that only these designs and the designs for the case where an automorphism of order two fixes four points lead to self-dual ternary codes of length 36, which makes this case of particular interest. After eliminating isomorphic copies, 981693 mutually non-isomorphic designs are obtained, of which 79295 are self-dual. The results of the analysis of the corresponding automorphism groups are shown in Table 7.

2.7 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing 14 points

As mentioned above, the main obstacle in constructing orbit matrices for an automorphism of order two acting on symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with a

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
51840	$O(5, 3) : Z_2$	1	24	$Z_2 \times A_4$	4
12096	$PSU(3, 3) : Z_2$	1		D_{24}	3
1152	$Ex_{32}^+ : (S_3 \times S_3)$	1		$(Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	1
432	$((S_3 \times S_3) : Z_2) \times S_3$	1		S_4	2
384	$Ex_{32}^+ : D_{12}$	2		$E_4 \times S_3$	2
320	$(E_{16} : Z_5) : Z_4$	1		$Z_3 \times D_8$	1
144	$(Z_3 \times SL(2, 3)) : Z_2$	2	18	$Z_3 \times S_3$	7
108	$Z_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2		$Z_6 \times Z_3$	14
96	$D_8 \times A_4$	1	16	D_{16}	4
	$(Z_2 \times S_4) : Z_2$	1		$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	14
80	$E_{16} : Z_5$	2		E_{16}	233
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	1		$Z_2 \times D_8$	8
64	$(E_8 : Z_4) : Z_2$	5		$Z_4 : Z_4$	2
	$D_8 \times D_8$	2		$Z_4 \times E_4$	2
	$(Z_8 : E_4) : Z_2$	1	12	Z_{12}	38
54	$E_9 \times S_3$	2		D_{12}	11
48	$E_4 \times A_4$	5		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	57
	$Z_2 \times S_4$	1		A_4	2
	$(Z_3 \times Q_8) : Z_2$	1	8	E_8	80
	$GL(2, 3)$	1		$Z_4 \times Z_2$	98
36	$S_3 \times S_3$	3		D_8	136
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	2		Z_8	2
	$Z_6 \times S_3$	2	6	Z_6	1187
32	$E_{16} : Z_2$	17	4	E_4	8657
	$E_4 \times D_8$	12		Z_4	797
	$(Z_8 : Z_2) : Z_2$	1	2	Z_2	970260

Table 7: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with 12 fixed points

large number of fixed points is the construction of the fixed part for such orbit matrices. Therefore, we first restrict our attention to the rows corresponding to block orbits of length two. In this case, an orbit matrix should have 11 such rows, and there are only three possible types for these rows (the first 14 digits in each type correspond to the point orbits of length one):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0 \\
 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0 \\
 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1
 \end{array}$$

While trying to construct orbit matrices starting from fixed orbits takes too long and fails, this approach shows that it is possible to construct at most seven rows of an orbit matrix with row types corresponding to block orbits of length two. Since we must have 11 rows corresponding to block orbits of length two, this means that an automorphism of order two cannot act on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with 14 fixed points.

2.8 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing 16 points

From 83 orbit matrices for the action of an automorphism of order two on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with 16 fixed points constructed in [17], we obtain 67027 mutually non-isomorphic designs. Among them, there are 20467 self-dual designs. This is the first time that such designs are classified, and details about their automorphism groups are given in Table 8.

2.9 Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two fixing 18 points

There is only one type for the rows of the orbit matrix corresponding to the block orbits of length two for an action of an automorphism of order two that fixes 18 points on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design:

$$1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1$$

It is not difficult to see that it is not possible to combine two rows of this type to obtain the rows corresponding to the block orbits of length two of an orbit matrix for a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design. Therefore, an automorphism of order two cannot act on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with 18 fixed points.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
51840	$O(5, 3) : Z_2$	1	24	$(Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	2
3888	$E_{81} : (S_4 \times Z_2)$	1			
1152	$Ex_{32}^+ : (S_3 \times S_3)$	1		$E_4 \times S_3$	2
648	$(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) : Z_3$	1	16	$Z_2 \times D_8$	7
432	$S_3 \times ((S_3 \times S_3) : Z_2)$	1		$Z_4 \times E_4$	2
384	$Ex_{32}^+ : D_{12}$	2		$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	4
360	$A_5 \times S_3$	2	12	D_{12}	22
96	$D_8 \times A_4$	1		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	18
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	1	10	Z_{10}	5
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	2	8	$Z_4 \times Z_2$	54
36	$S_3 \times S_3$	6		E_8	67
64	$D_8 \times D_8$	2		D_8	22
36	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	2	6	Z_6	66
32	$E_4 \times D_8$	12	4	E_4	1334
24	$Z_2 \times A_4$	5		Z_4	114
	$Z_3 \times D_8$	1	2	Z_2	65268

Table 8: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two acting with 16 fixed points

2.10 Enumeration of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

Here we summarise the results of the analysis of all possible cases for the action of an automorphism of order two on symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs and give the main result of this paper. In the previous sections, we have eliminated isomorphic copies among the designs related to a particular action of an automorphism of order two. To obtain a classification of all symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two, we need to eliminate isomorphic designs that admit different actions of an automorphism of order two. If the full automorphism group of a design is of order two, then such a design admits only one action of an automorphism order two. However, if the full automorphism group is of composite order and contains different conjugacy classes of a subgroup of order two, it is possible that such a design admits different actions of an automorphism of order two (with respect to the number of fixed points). We have thus added the numbers of designs with the full automorphism group of order two for all possible actions considered in the previous sections and checked the remaining designs for isomorphism. Our analysis leads to a complete classification of symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two, which is summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *An automorphism of order two acts on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with f fixed points, where $f \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16\}$. Up to isomorphism, there are 1547701 symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two with the full automorphism groups as given in Table 9. Among them there are 135779 self-dual designs.*

For more detailed information on the structure of automorphism groups of orders greater than or equal to 486, we refer the reader to [9].

When classifying combinatorial structures using algorithms and programs, which have been proven to provide accurate results in many previous cases, possible errors are mainly due to the human factor and errors that occur when entering, manipulating or analyzing a large amount of obtained data. In addition, when working with a very large data set, hardware-related errors are also possible, although these are very rare. Finally, the transcription of the final results is also subject to errors.

To verify the correctness of the results presented in this paper, we applied several techniques. First of all, we compared our results with known partial results. Our results are in complete agreement with the results published in [5] for the cases where an automorphism of order two acts on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with 4, 6 or 10 fixed points, which gives us even more confidence in the procedures we have performed. Moreover, they agree with the results of [9] for the vast majority of cases where the full automorphism group contains the subgroup that is isomorphic to the cyclic group of order six. For the few cases where our results do not match those in [5, 9], we double-checked our results. These include the number of mutually non-isomorphic designs (we constructed more designs), the determinacy of the full automorphism group structure, and the pairing designs that are not self-dual. For the cases not previously discussed in the literature (an automorphism of order two acts on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design without fixed points or with more than 10 points), we proceeded in the same way as for the confirmed previously known results. We performed the isomorphism test multiple times and with different groupings of designs, paired designs that are not self-dual, and checked the presented data multiple times to avoid errors in the presentation of the results.

The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs	The order of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	The structure of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$	No. of designs
51840	$O(5, 3) : Z_2$	1	48	$E_4 \times A_4$	5
12096	$PSU(3, 3) : Z_2$	1	42	$Z_2 \times (Z_7 : Z_3)$	1
3888	$E_{81} : (S_4 \times Z_2)$	1	36	$S_3 \times S_3$	19
1944	$(E_{27} : S_4) \times Z_3$	1		$Z_3 \times A_4$	2
1152	$Ex_{32}^+ : (S_3 \times S_3)$	1		$Z_6 \times S_3$	7
648	$(S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3) : Z_3$	1		$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	4
	$Z_3 \times (E_{27} : D_8)$	1		$Z_3 \times (Z_3 : Z_4)$	1
486	$(Z_3 \wr_{reg} Z_3) : S_3$	1	32	$E_4 \times D_8$	12
432	$S_3 \times ((S_3 \times S_3) : Z_2)$	1		$E_{16} : Z_2$	17
	$((E_4 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : Z_2) : Z_2$	1		$(Z_8 : Z_2) : Z_2$	1
384	$Ex_{32}^+ : D_{12}$	2	30	$Z_3 \times D_{10}$	2
360	$S_3 \times A_5$	2	24	$Z_2 \times A_4$	10
324	$E_9 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	1		S_4	13
	$(Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_3)) : E_4$	1		$SL(2, 3)$	3
	$Z_3 \times (E_{27} : E_4)$	1		D_{24}	5
320	$(E_{16} : Z_5) : Z_4$	1		$Z_3 \times D_8$	3
240	$Z_2 \times S_5$	1		$(Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	3
216	$(E_4 : (E_9 : Z_3)) : Z_2$	1		$E_4 \times S_3$	6
	$(E_9 : Q_8) : Z_3$	1		$Z_4 \times S_3$	2
162	$(E_{27} : Z_3) : Z_2$	1	20	$Z_5 : Z_4$	1
	$Z_3 \times (Z_9 : Z_6)$	3	18	$E_9 : Z_2$	68
	$Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_6)$	1		$Z_6 \times Z_3$	16
	$E_9 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	1		D_{18}	1
144	$S_3 \times S_4$	2		$Z_3 \times S_3$	88
	$(Z_3 \times SL(2, 3)) : Z_2$	2	16	D_{16}	4
	$E_9 : ((Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		$(Z_4 \times Z_2) : Z_2$	25
108	$Z_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$	2		E_{16}	233
	$E_{27} : Z_4$	2		$Z_2 \times D_8$	11
	$(E_9 : Z_2) \times S_3$	1		$Z_4 : Z_4$	2
96	$(Z_2 \times S_4) : Z_2$	1		$Z_4 \times E_4$	2
	$D_8 \times A_4$	1	12	A_4	62
80	$E_{16} : Z_5$	2		Z_{12}	40
72	$Z_2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	3		$Z_6 \times Z_2$	70
	$Z_2 \times (E_9 : Z_4)$	2		D_{12}	79
	$Z_3 \times ((Z_6 \times Z_2) : Z_2)$	1		$Z_3 : Z_4$	24
	$(Z_3 \times A_4) : Z_2$	2	10	D_{10}	6
64	$D_8 \times D_8$	2		Z_{10}	5
	$(E_8 : Z_4) : Z_2$	5	8	D_8	235
	$(Z_8 : E_4) : Z_2$	1		$Z_4 \times Z_2$	180
54	$E_9 : Z_6$	6		E_8	108
	$Z_3 \times (E_9 : Z_2)$	7		Z_8	14
	$E_9 \times S_3$	6	6	Z_6	2444
	$Z_9 : Z_6$	2		S_3	620
48	$Z_2 \times S_4$	3	4	Z_4	3132
	$(Z_3 \times Q_8) : Z_2$	1		E_4	11693
	$GL(2, 3)$	1	2	Z_2	1528339

Table 9: Symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

3 Near-extremal ternary self-dual codes of length 36 related to the symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two

We assume familiarity with the basic facts and concepts from the error-correcting codes [3, 12, 22].

The sparsity of extremal self-dual ternary codes has recently aroused interest in near extremal ternary self-dual codes [1, 11, 18]. In this section, we consider such codes that can be obtained from the symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two. In this part of our work we use the computer algebra system MAGMA [4].

The minimum weight d of a ternary self-dual code of length n divisible by 12 satisfies the upper bound $d \leq n/4 + 3$ [12, 9.3]. A self-dual ternary code of length n divisible by 12 with a minimum weight d is called *extremal* if $d = n/4 + 3$ [12], and *near-extremal* if $d = n/4$ [1].

Recently, it was proved in [17] that there exists only one symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with an automorphism of order two whose incidence matrix generates an extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36. This design admits the action of an automorphism of order two with four fixed points and yields the only known extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36, namely the Pless symmetry code $C(17)$ [16].

The possible weight enumerators of near-extremal self-dual codes of length 36 are determined in [1] and are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &1 + \alpha y^9 + (42840 - 9\alpha)y^{12} + (1400256 + 36\alpha)y^{15} + (18452280 - 84\alpha)y^{18} \\
 &+ (90370368 + 126\alpha)y^{21} + (162663480 - 126\alpha)y^{24} + (97808480 + 84\alpha)y^{27} \quad (1) \\
 &+ (16210656 - 36\alpha)y^{30} + (471240 + 9\alpha)y^{33} + (888 - \alpha)y^{36}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the number of codewords of minimum weight in a ternary near-extremal self-dual code of length 36 is $A_9 = 8\beta$ for some β in the range $1 \leq \beta \leq 111$ [1, page 1830], and examples of near-extremal ternary self-dual codes of length 36 are known for $A_9 \in \{8\beta | \beta \in \{9, 12, 14, 16, 17, \dots, 83, 85, 90, 93\}\}$ (see [1, 11]). The question of the existence of codes for other values of A_9 is still open. Here we consider self-dual ternary codes arising from symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs admitting an automorphism of order two in order to find

examples of self-dual near-extremal ternary codes of length 36 for values of A_9 for which the existence has not yet been proven.

As indicated in [17, Table 3], an incidence matrix of a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design with an automorphism of order two can generate a self-dual ternary code of length 36 only if the corresponding automorphism of order two fixes four or twelve points. We consider all such designs from Section 2.2 and Section 2.6 and the results of our analysis are summarized in Table 10.

	designs	self-dual ternary codes	inequivalent codes	codes with $mw = 9$	different A_9
4 fixed points	170648	70774	2995	932	44
12 fixed points	981693	391720	2363	48	22

Table 10: Near-extremal self-dual ternary codes of length 36 constructed from 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order two

The number of codewords with minimum weight in the obtained codes is given in Table 11, where i represents the number of fixed points for an action of an automorphism of order two on a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design.

i	A_9
4	112, 128, 136, 152, 160, 168, 176, 184, 192, 200, 208, 216, 224, 232, 240, 248, 256, 264, 272, 280, 288, 296, 304, 312, 320, 328, 336, 344, 352, 360, 368, 376, 384, 392, 400, 408, 416, 424, 432, 440, 448, 464, 480, 544
12	104, 120, 136, 152, 168, 184, 200, 216, 232, 248, 264, 280, 296, 312, 328, 392, 424, 472, 488, 552, 584, 680

Table 11: The number of codewords with minimum weight

Since near-extremal ternary self-dual codes with $A_9 = 8 \cdot 13 = 104$ and $A_9 = 8 \cdot 15 = 120$ were not constructed in [1, 11], we have obtained first examples of such codes. Therefore, we present incidence matrices for one 2-(36, 15, 6) design that yield a self-dual near-extremal ternary code for each of these cases in the Appendix. Details on analysis of obtained self-dual ternary [36, 18, 9] codes with $A_9 = 104$ or $A_9 = 120$ are given in Table 12.

Our analysis, together with the results of [1, 11], leads to the following conclusion.

Proposition 3.1 *There is a ternary near-extremal self-dual code of length 36 with weight enumerator (1) for $A_9 = \alpha \in \{8\beta | \beta \in \{9, 12, \dots, 83, 85, 90, 93\}\}$.*

	number of designs	inequivalent [36, 18, 9]	the order of $Aut(\mathcal{C})$
$A_9 = 104$	12	2	32, 48
$A_9 = 120$	12	7	24

Table 12: Self-dual ternary [36, 18, 9] codes with $A_9 = 104$ or $A_9 = 120$

Acknowledgement

The first author is supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project 4571.

References

- [1] M. Araya, M. Harada, Some restrictions on the weight enumerators of near-extremal ternary self-dual codes and quaternary Hermitian self-dual codes, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **91** (2023), 1813–1843.
- [2] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [3] A. Betten, M. Braun, H. Fripertinger, A. Kerber, A. Kohnert, A. Wassermann, *Error-Correcting Linear Codes: Classification by Isometry and Applications*, Springer, Berlin (2006).
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, 1994. <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma>
- [5] I. Bouyukliev, V. Fack, J. Winne, 2-(31, 15, 7), 2-(35, 17, 8) and 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with automorphisms of odd prime order, and their related Hadamard matrices and codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **51** (2009), 105–122.
- [6] F. C. Bussemaker, W. H. Haemers, J. J. Seidel, E. Spence, On (v, k, λ) Graphs and Designs with Trivial Automorphism Groups, *J. Combin. Theory, Series A* 50 (1989), 33–46.
- [7] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz (eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs* 2nd Ed., Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [8] D. Crnković, On symmetric (36,15,6) designs, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 34 (54), No. 2 (1999), 105-108.

- [9] D. Crnković, S. Rukavina, M. Schmidt, A Classification of all Symmetric Block Designs of Order Nine with an Automorphism of Order Six, *J. Combin. Des.*, **14**, no. 4 (2006), 301–312.
- [10] M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell, Waltham, MA, 1967.
- [11] M. Harada, K. Ishizuka, Hadamard matrices of order 36 formed by codewords in some ternary self-dual codes *Discret. Math.* 347(1), (2024), 113661
- [12] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [13] Z. Janko, Coset enumeration in groups and constructions of symmetric designs, *Combinatorics '90* (Gaeta, 1990), *Ann. Discrete Math.* **52** (1992), 275–277.
- [14] E. Lander, *Symmetric Designs: An Algebraic Approach*, Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [15] H.B. Mann. Balanced incomplete block designs and abelian difference sets *Illinois J. Math.*, 8 (1964), 252–261.
- [16] V. Pless, On a new family of symmetry codes and related new five-designs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75**, No. 6 (1969), 1339-1342.
- [17] S. Rukavina, V. D. Tonchev, Extremal ternary self-dual codes of length 36 and symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) designs with an automorphism of order 2, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **57**, (2023), 905–913.
- [18] S. Rukavina, V. D. Tonchev, New examples of self-dual near-extremal ternary codes of length 48 derived from 2-(47, 23, 11) designs, *Examples and Counterexamples 5* (2024), 100130
- [19] L. H. Soicher, DESIGN, The Design Package for GAP, Version 1.8 (2023) (Refereed GAP package), <https://gap-packages.github.io/design>.
- [20] E. Spence, Regular two-graphs on 36 vertices, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 226-228 (1995), 459–497.
- [21] V. D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial Configurations*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1988.

- [22] V. D. Tonchev, On Pless symmetry codes, ternary QR codes, and related Hadamard matrices and designs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **90**, (2022), 2753–2762.
- [23] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2; 2022. (<https://www.gap-system.org>)

4 Appendix

The incidence matrix of a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design that yield a near-extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36 with $A_9 = 104$.

```
[ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 ]
[ 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 ]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 ]
```

The incidence matrix of a symmetric 2-(36, 15, 6) design that yield a near-extremal ternary self-dual code of length 36 with $A_9 = 120$.

```
[ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 ]
```

```

[ 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 ]
[ 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 ]
[ 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 ]
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 ]
[ 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 ]
[ 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 ]
[ 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 ]

```