

SUPERCONGRUENCES USING MODULAR FORMS

FRITS BEUKERS

ABSTRACT. Many generating series of combinatorially interesting numbers have the property that the sum of the terms of order $< p$ at some suitable point is congruent to a zero of a zeta-function modulo almost all primes p . Surprisingly, very often these congruences turn out to hold modulo p^2 or even p^3 . We call such congruences supercongruences and in the past 15 years an abundance of them have been discovered. In this paper we show that a large proportion of them can be explained by the use of modular functions and forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

A motivating example for this paper is the so-called *Legendre example*. Let p be an odd prime and let \mathbb{Z}_p be the ring of p -adic integers. Define the *Hasse polynomial*

$$H_p(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 t^k.$$

It is well-known, see [12], that for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have the congruence $H_p(t_0) \equiv a_p \pmod{p}$ where $a_p = p - N_p$ and N_p is the number of solutions $x, y \pmod{p}$ to the congruence

$$y^2 \equiv x(x-1)(x-t_0) \pmod{p}.$$

The family of elliptic curves $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$ parametrized by t is known as the *Legendre family*. It is the toy example of many books on algebraic geometry. When $t_0 = 0, 1$ we have $a_p = 1$ and $a_p = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$ respectively. Let us assume $t_0 \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}$. A famous result of Hasse states that $|a_p| < 2\sqrt{p}$, which implies that the local ζ -function $X^2 - a_p X + p$ has two complex zeros of absolute value \sqrt{p} . Suppose $a_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $X^2 - a_p X + p$ has a unique zero $\vartheta_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|\vartheta_p|_p = 1$, which is called the *unit root* of $X^2 - a_p X + p$. In this case our congruence can be rephrased as $H_p(t_0) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p}$. To avoid cluttering of the notation we have suppressed the dependence of a_p and ϑ_p on t_0 in our notation.

It turns out that for many values of t_0 and p we get $H_p(t_0) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^2}$, a congruence modulo p^2 instead of p . For example,

Theorem 1.1. *For all odd primes p we have $H_p(1) \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2}$.*

For all primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $H_p(-1) \equiv a + bi \pmod{p^2}$, where $p = a^2 + b^2$ with $a \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/4} \pmod{4}$.

The first statement was proven by E. Mortensen, [26], the second essentially by L. van Hamme, [17]. Note that in the latter result $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ because $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We call such congruences *supercongruences*, a name that was coined in [36, p293]. Another example of a supercongruence is the following result, [19].

Theorem 1.2 ((Kilbourn, 2006)). *For any prime odd p let*

$$F_p(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^4 t^k.$$

Then $F_p(1) \equiv a_p \pmod{p^3}$, where a_p is the coefficient of q^p in the product

$$q \prod_{n>0} (1 - q^{2n})^4 (1 - q^{4n})^4.$$

The latter product gives the q -expansion of the unique $\Gamma_0(8)$ -modular cusp form of weight 4.

Note that this is a statement modulo p^3 ! This theorem proved the first case of the famous list of 14, formulated as a conjecture by F.Rodriguez-Villegas, [28]. Each entry of this list is a congruence modulo p^3 of an evaluation at $t = 1$ of a p -truncation of a ${}_4F_3$ hypergeometric function. This conjecture has inspired many papers on the subject and was recently proven entirely in the beautiful paper [22] by Long, Tu, Yui and Zudilin.

It should be remarked here that the a_p in the last theorem comes from the local ζ -function $X^2 - a_p X + p^3$ at p of an associated rigid Calabi-Yau threefold. When p does not divide a_p there is a corresponding unit root $\vartheta_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and we note that $a_p \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^3}$. So in this case a_p and ϑ_p are interchangeable in the congruence modulo p^3 .

From about 2009 on, Zhi-Wei Sun presented an amazing list of a 100 or more conjectures for supercongruences supported by extensive computer computations. See [32], an updated version of an arxiv preprint from 2009 (arXiv:0911.5665), [33] and many other papers. More recently, Zhi-Wei Sun's twin brother, Zhi-Hong Sun, joined in and published many more conjectures and proofs of conjectures. See for example [31] and [29]. The above citations are certainly not complete, much more can be found by searching through their papers on arxiv.org. Also it is practically impossible to give a comprehensive overview of these conjectures (proven or not) here. But I recommend the interested reader to browse through these papers to get an impression of the many forms of supercongruences that exist. The conjectures and results of the Sun-brothers has unleashed a flood of papers, each proving one or more of the conjectures. When browsing through the above papers it becomes clear that supercongruences are a persistent phenomenon and the question arises whether there exists a common mechanism by which they arise. The present paper is an attempt to describe such a mechanism. We restrict ourselves to congruences of the form $F_p(t_0) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^s}$ with $s = 2, 3$. Here $F_p(t)$ is a p -truncation of a powerseries solution $F(t)$ of a nice linear differential equation. In Sun's list one will find that many items are of this type but equally, many others are not.

We propose an approach which we sketch globally, leaving the details and proofs to the remaining sections of this paper. Let us start with a powerseries $F(t) \in 1 + t\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$. We assume that it is almost integral in the sense that there exists an integer $M > 0$ such that $F(Mt) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. We choose M minimal. Suppose also that $F(t)$ satisfies a linear differential equation of order ≥ 2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ and such that it is of MUM-type (maximal unipotent monodromy). In practice this means that $F(t)$ satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$A_n(t)\theta^n y + A_{n-1}(t)\theta^{n-1}y + \cdots + A_1(t)\theta y + A_0(t)y = 0, \quad \theta = t \frac{d}{dt},$$

where $A_i(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ for all i and $A_i(0) = 0$ for all $i < n$. One class of examples is given by hypergeometric series such as

$${}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 1|t), \quad {}_4F_3(1/5, 2/5, 3/5, 4/5; 1, 1, 1|t).$$

The values of M are 16 and 5^5 respectively. The first one occurs in our starting example, the second features as one instance of the conjectures of Rodriguez-Villegas.

Another construction is to take a Laurent polynomial $g(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n , whose gcd of the coefficients is 1. Suppose that the Newton polytope Δ has $\mathbf{0}$ as the unique lattice point in its interior. Then consider

$$F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_k t^k, \quad g_k = \text{constant term of } g(\mathbf{x})^k.$$

Examples are $g(x, y) = (1+x)(1+y)(1+xy)/x/y$ with

$$g_k = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m}^3 \quad (\text{Franel numbers})$$

or $g(x, y) = (1 + x)(1 + y)(x + y)/x/y$ with

$$g_k = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{m}{k}^2 \binom{m+k}{k} \quad (\text{Apéry numbers for } \zeta(2)).$$

Since the differential equation is of MUM-type there exists a unique second solution of the form $F(t) \log t + G(t)$ with $G(t) \in t\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$. Our starting example has

$$F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 t^k$$

which satisfies $\theta^2 y = t(\theta + 1/2)^2 y$. One can compute that

$$G(t) = \sum_{k > 0} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{2k} \frac{4}{j} \right) t^k.$$

Define the so-called *canonical coordinate* by

$$q(t) := \frac{t}{M} \exp \left(\frac{G(t)}{F(t)} \right).$$

The inverse powerseries $t(q)$ is called the *mirror map*. In many cases of interest we have $t(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. Choose a prime p which does not divide M . Define $t^\sigma(q) := t(q^p)$. This series can be written as a powerseries in $\mathbb{Z}[1/M][[t]]$ and we call it the *excellent p -Frobenius lift* of t . This concept was introduced by B. Dwork in his famous paper [13, §7], known as 'P-adic Cycles'. This paper sets up the p -adic cohomology for the Legendre family of elliptic curves, but it would in principle be applicable to much more general situations. Excellent Frobenius lifts also play an important role in [6] (see Thm 7.14 where we used $q/\gamma, \gamma = M$ as canonical coordinate (with apologies for the confusion). We speak of a Frobenius lift because $t^\sigma \in t^p \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ and $t^\sigma(q) = t(q^p) \equiv t(q)^p = t^p \pmod{p}$. The substitution $A(t) \mapsto A(t^\sigma)$ gives an endomorphism of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ and modulo p it comes down to $A(t) \mapsto A(t^\sigma) \equiv A(t^p) \equiv A(t)^p \pmod{p}$. Denote by $F_N(t)$ the N -truncation of $F(t)$. This is the unique polynomial of degree $< N$ such that $F(t) - F_N(t) = O(t)^N$. Then, in many cases of interest we have the supercongruence

$$(1) \quad \frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv F_p(t) \pmod{p^s}$$

as powerseries in t , for some $s \geq 2$ (usually $s = 2, 3$). The first goal of this paper is to describe as many $F(t)$ as possible which have this property.

The next step is to specialize t in (1) to $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ to get supercongruences modulo p^s for $F_p(t_0)$. Since t^σ is a power series in t that converges only when $|t_0|_p < 1$ we cannot set $t = t_0$ in this power series. However, it turns out that in fact t^σ is a p -adic limit of rational functions in t . Dwork states that this is a consequence of 'Deligne's theorem' (without giving a reference) applied to the Legendre case. For the case of constant term sequences with symmetric $g(\mathbf{x})$ it is proven in [6, Thm 7.15]. Consequently we can compute the specialization of t^σ at t_0 (denoted by t_0^σ) by specializing the approximating rational functions at t_0 and then take the limit. A condition is that t_0 is not a pole modulo p of the approximating rational functions which, in many cases, comes down to the condition $F_p(t_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The second evaluation problem is to compute $F(t_0)/F(t_0^\sigma)$ which, again, we cannot do by direct substitution into the powerseries. However it was Dwork's insight that $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ can be approximated p -adically by the rational functions $F_{p^r}(t)/F_{p^r-1}(t^p)$, see Theorem 1.26. By specializing these rational functions at $t = t_0$ and taking the limit one can compute $F(t_0)/F(t_0^\sigma)$ in principle. Another insight of Dwork was that the limit $F(t_0)/F(t_0^\sigma)$ equals the unit root ϑ_p of a certain ζ -function if $t_0^\sigma = t_0$. It must be said that the latter two statements are not contained in [13] in full generality. We refer to [5, Thm 3.2] for a proof that holds for constant term sequences.

So we have argued that one may expect supercongruences at arguments t_0 that are fixed under the excellent p -Frobenius lift. Preferably one would like to have rational or algebraic numbers t_0 that are fixed for infinitely many primes p .

The bulk of the examples given by the Sun-brothers concerns $F(t)$ that satisfy a differential equation of order $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. In those cases it turns out that the mirror map $t(q)$ with $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ is a modular function with respect to a group Γ and $F(t(q))$ is a modular form of weight one (when $n = 2$) or two (when $n = 3$) with respect to Γ (with possible character on Γ).

Let us start with $n = 2$ and illustrate this case via our initial Legendre example. Using classical theory of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1|t)$ we get that the mirror map equals

$$t(q) = 16(q - 8q^2 + 44q^3 - 192q^4 + \dots) = 16q \prod_{n>0} \frac{(1 + q^{2n})^{16}}{(1 + q^n)^8}.$$

The series $t(q)$ is connected with Felix Klein's λ -invariant via the relation $t(e^{2\pi i\tau}) = \lambda(2\tau)$. It was also known to Klein that

$${}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1|t(q)) = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2+y^2},$$

which is a modular form of weight one with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$. Let us denote this form by $\theta_4(q)$. Then we have

$$\frac{{}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1|t(q))}{{}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1|t(q^p))} = \frac{\theta_4(q)}{\theta_4(q^p)}.$$

Thus the quotient $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ is related to a quotient of modular forms. Having this modular interpretation one can then proceed to prove supercongruences for the Hasse polynomial.

The connection between modular functions and forms with second order differential equations is a generalization of this example. This is explained in Don Zagier's papers [39, §5] and [38, §5]. The paper [38] is devoted to second order differential equation having solutions in $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. For us the most interesting ones are the hypergeometric cases and the equations labeled **A, B, C, D, E, F**. They are displayed in Appendix B of this paper. The coefficients of $F(t)$ in these cases form infinite sequences of combinatorial interest. For example, in case **D** the coefficients of $F(t)$ are the Apéry numbers corresponding to Apéry's irrationality proof of $\zeta(2)$. In Appendix B we give a precise description of the mirror map $t(q)$ as Hauptmodul of a certain modular group $\Gamma_0(N)$ and the modular form of weight one given by $\Theta(q) := F(t(q))$. Theorem 4.1 applies this modular interpretation to show that for the cases hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t)$, $F(1/3, 2/3, 1|t)$ and Zagier **A, B, C, E, F** the supercongruence (1) holds with $s = 2$. Computer experiment suggests that this congruence holds for all examples in Appendix B, but one needs an extension of Theorem 4.1 to prove this. We shall not pursue this here.

The next step is to specialize (1) to $t = t_0$ where t_0 is a fixed point of the excellent Frobenius lift. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Let p be a prime not dividing N . Note that $t^\sigma(q) = t(q^p)$. It is a classical fact that there exists a polynomial $\Phi_p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ of degree $p + 1$ in x , such that $\Phi_p(t(q^p), t(q)) = 0$. The polynomial $\Phi_p(x, y)$ is called the modular polynomial of level p . It implies that t^σ is an algebraic function of t . Of course this modular relation also holds for any specialization $\Phi_p(t_0^\sigma, t_0) = 0$. So, given $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, this leaves $p + 1$ possibilities for the value of t_0^σ . It turns out that it is uniquely determined by the additional fact that t_0^σ is unramified over p . We shall not need this fact in the paper. It also follows that the fixed points t_0 of the excellent Frobenius lift are determined by $\Phi_p(t_0, t_0) = 0$. The solutions t_0 arise in two different ways.

First, for any p the finite values t_0 of $t(\tau)$ at rational points $\tau = r/s$ are a solution of $\Phi_p(t_0, t_0) = 0$. Their number is at most the number of $\Gamma_0(N)$ -orbits (cusps) of \mathbb{Q} . These values correspond to the singularities of the differential equation with local exponents $0, 0$ and we call them *cusp values*. In that case it turns out that we have $F_p(t_0) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Here is a more precise statement which focuses on rational cusp values.

Theorem 1.3. *Let notations and assumptions be as in Theorem 4.1. Let p be a prime not dividing N . Then we have the congruence $F_p(t_0) \equiv \epsilon_p \pmod{p^2}$ for the entries listed in the following table. The cases refer to Appendix B. We use the notation $\chi_{-N}(p) = \left(\frac{-N}{p}\right)$ (Kronecker symbol).*

case	t_0	ϵ_p
$F(1/2, 1/2, 1 t)$	1	$\chi_{-4}(p)$
$F(1/3, 2/3, 1 t)$	1	$\chi_{-3}(p)$
Zagier A	$1/8, -1$	$\chi_{-3}(p), \chi_{-3}(p)$
Zagier C	$1/9, 1$	$\chi_{-3}(p), 1$
Zagier E	$1/8, 1/4$	$\chi_{-4}(p), 1$
Zagier F	$1/9, 1/8$	$\chi_{-3}(p), 1$

The first entry of this table is the following classical result from [26].

Corollary 1.4 (Mortensen (2003)). *For any odd prime p we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2}.$$

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.

The second class of solutions of $\Phi_p(t_0, t_0) = 0$ is given by the following construction. Let α be an imaginary quadratic number with positive imaginary part. Suppose $(\alpha, 1)^t$ is an eigenvector of a 2×2 -matrix M with integer coefficients, determinant p , a trace not divisible by p , and lower left entry divisible by N . Since α is imaginary quadratic, $\det(M - x\text{Id}_2)$ has complex zeros, and thus the trace of M is less than $2\sqrt{p}$. It turns out that $t(\alpha)$ is an algebraic number that can be embedded in \mathbb{Q}_p . We call these numbers *CM-values*. Moreover, we have the supercongruence $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^2}$ where ϑ_p is plus or minus the p -adic unit root solution of the eigenvalue equation $\det(M - x\text{Id}_2) = 0$. We make this more explicit in the following theorem which is proven in Section 4.

Theorem 1.5. *Let notation and assumptions be as in Theorem 4.1. Let α be an imaginary quadratic number with positive imaginary part. Let p be a prime not dividing N which splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Suppose there exist integers c, d such that*

- (1) $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ and $N|c$ and $c|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (2) $c\alpha$ is an algebraic integer and $p = |c\alpha + d|^2$.
- (3) $c\alpha + d$ is a p -adic unit.

Then $t(\alpha)$, if not ∞ , is an algebraic number that can be embedded in \mathbb{Q}_p . If $t(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have the supercongruence $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv \chi(d)(c\alpha + d) \pmod{p^2}$, where χ is an odd character on $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Remark 1.6.

- (1) One can easily see that the assumptions imply that the matrix M mentioned above reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + d & -c|\alpha|^2 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that α itself need not be an algebraic integer.

- (2) The odd character is uniquely determined for the small N that we work with. It comes from the transformation formula of the weight one modular form in Theorem 4.1.
- (3) Strictly speaking, by the notation $t(\alpha)$ we actually mean $t(e^{2\pi i\alpha})$. Throughout the paper we use this context dependent notation, which we found to be very convenient. We hope it will not lead to confusion.
- (4) Unfortunately we do not see how the method of this paper could give congruences modulo p^2 if p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

In the examples in Appendix B we have listed all occurrences of $t(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$. In the entry $F(1/2, 1/2, 1|t)$ in Appendix B we see that $t(\tau)$ is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(4)$ and $t(i+1)/2 = -1$ (Note the context dependent notation here).

Corollary 1.7 (Van Hamme (1986)). *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Write $p = u^2 + v^2$ with v even and choose the signs of u, v such that $u + vi$ is a p -adic unit. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 (-1)^k \equiv (-1)^{(u-v-1)/2} (u + vi) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = (1 + i)/2$. So $p = |u + vi|^2 = |u - v + 2v(1 + i)/2|^2$. The corollary follows by application of Theorem 1.5 with $c = 2v$ and $d = u - v$. \square

As another application we consider the case Zagier **F** in Appendix B. There we see that $t(\tau)$ is a Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(6)$ and we have the rational CM-values $t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = 1/12$ and $t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = 1/6$.

Corollary 1.8. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Write $p = u^2 + 3v^2$ and chose the signs of u, v such that $u + v\sqrt{-3}$ is a p -adic unit. Then, letting $F(t)$ be the power series solution in the case Zagier **F**, we find that*

$$F_p(1/12) \equiv F_p(1/6) \equiv \chi_{-3}(u)(u + v\sqrt{-3}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. Let us take $\alpha = (3 + \sqrt{-3})/6$. Rewrite $p = |u + v\sqrt{-3}|^2 = |u - 3v + 6v\alpha|^2$. We can now apply Theorem 1.5 with $c = 6v$ and $d = u - 3v$. Note that $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ because u, v cannot have the same parity.

Similarly, when $\alpha = (3 + \sqrt{-3})/12$ we can apply Theorem 1.5 with $c = 12v$ and $d = u - 3v$. \square

This proves Conjecture 3.2(i) in [29] once one realizes that our coefficients g_n equal $(-1)^n Q_n$ in Zhi-Hong Sun's notation.

There is a small extension of Theorem 1.5 which yields a new type of congruences.

Theorem 1.9. *Let assumptions and notations be as in Theorem 1.5 except for the following. We consider the case hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t)$ from Appendix B, so $N = 4$, and in assumption (1) $4|c$ is replaced by $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Then we get $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv \pm(c\alpha + d)t(\alpha)^{-1/2} \pmod{p^2}$.

We did not fight for the determination of the \pm sign. Also note the extra factor $t(\alpha)^{-1/2}$ on the right. A proof is given in the "Extras" section 6. For an application see part two of Corollary 1.10.

Finally we consider some quadratic CM-values in the hypergeometric example $F(1/2, 1/2, 1|t)$. Usually there is an abundance of such examples. In the present case we found 24 CM-values that arise by starting with the 8 numbers

$$(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^2, \quad (1 \pm \sqrt{2})^4, \quad 4(2 \pm \sqrt{3}), \quad \frac{1 \pm 3\sqrt{-7}}{2}$$

and then apply the substitution $x \rightarrow 1 - 1/x$ to each of these numbers repeatedly. We shall content ourselves by an illustration with $t(\sqrt{-2}/2) = (1 - \sqrt{2})^2$ and $t((2 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = (1 + \sqrt{2})^2$.

Corollary 1.10. *Suppose that p is a prime of the form $p = u^2 + 2v^2$ with u, v integers. Choose the signs of u, v such that $u + v\sqrt{-2}$ is a p -adic unit. Suppose v is even, i.e. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 (1 - \sqrt{2})^{2k} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 (1 + \sqrt{2})^{2k} \equiv (-1)^{(u-1)/2} (u + v\sqrt{-2}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Suppose v is odd, i.e. $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/2}{k}^2 (1 - \sqrt{2})^{2k} \equiv \pm(u + v\sqrt{-2})(1 + \sqrt{2}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. Recall that $t(q)$ (or $t(\tau)$) is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(4)$ in this case. Suppose v is even. Let $\alpha = \sqrt{-2}/2$. Then $p = |u + v\sqrt{-2}|^2 = |u + 2v\alpha|^2$. We can apply Theorem 1.5 with $c = 2v, d = u$.

Let $\alpha = (2 + \sqrt{-2})/6$. Then $p = |u + v\sqrt{-2}|^2 = |u - 2v + 6v\alpha|^2$. We can apply Theorem 1.5 with $c = 6v, d = u$.

Suppose v is odd. Let $\alpha = \sqrt{-2}/2$. Then $p = |u + v\sqrt{-2}|^2 = |u - 2v + 6v\alpha|^2$. We can apply Theorem 1.9 with $c = 2v, d = u$. Note that $t(\sqrt{-2}/2) = (1 - \sqrt{2})^2$, hence $t(\alpha)^{-1/2} = \pm(1 + \sqrt{2})$. \square

When $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ the argument $\alpha = (1 - \sqrt{2})^2$ is quadratic over \mathbb{Q}_p . We shall not pursue the subject of arguments t_0 algebraic any further and restrict ourselves to arguments $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let us proceed with the case $n = 3$. There exist many differential equations of order 3 having a powerseries solution in t with (almost) integer coefficients. In Appendix C one finds a list containing hypergeometric examples and a number of sporadic examples found by various authors. The most famous one is the equation having the generating series of the Apéry numbers for $\zeta(3)$ as solution. Computer experiment suggests that congruence (1) holds with $s = 3$ for all examples in Appendix C, except the last five ones (Cooper s_7, s_{10}, s_{18} and the Hadamard products), which seem to hold with $s = 2$.

In Appendix C we see that in almost all cases the mirror map $t(\tau)$ is a modular function and $F(t(\tau))$ is a modular form of weight 2. Theorem 5.1 proves congruence (1) with $s = 3$ for the cases hypergeometric $F(1/d, 1/2, 1 - 1/d; 1, 1|t)$ with $d = 2, 3, 4$, the Apéry sequence, and Almquist $(\eta), (\epsilon), (\zeta)$. Here is the analogue of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.11. *Let notation and assumptions be as in Theorem 5.1. Let α be an imaginary quadratic number with positive imaginary part. Let p be a prime not dividing N which splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Suppose there exist integers c, d such that*

- (1) $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ and $N|c$ and $c|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (2) $c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p = |c\alpha + d|^2$.
- (3) $c\alpha + d$ is a p -adic unit.

Then $t(\alpha)$, if not ∞ , is an algebraic number that can be embedded in \mathbb{Q}_p . If $t(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have the supercongruence $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv (c\alpha + d)^2 \pmod{p^3}$.

We also present a small variation of Theorem 1.11 for cases that would not be accessible otherwise.

Theorem 1.12. *Let notations and assumption be as in Theorem 1.11 except for the following two changes. Replace assumption (1) by $\gcd(c, dN) = 1$ and $Nc|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Replace assumption (2) by $c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p = N|c\alpha + d|^2$.*

Then we have $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv -N(c\alpha + d)^2 \pmod{p^3}$.

These theorems will be proven in Section 5. Many of the steps are similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 1.13. *In Appendix C one also finds listings of the rational CM-values that we found. In some cases there can be many of them. For hypergeometric $F(1/4, 1/2, 3/4; 1, 1|t)$ we found 15 rational values $t(\alpha)$. On the other hand, in the case of Apéry numbers we found only $t((2 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = 1$ and $t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = -1$.*

Again, if p does not split in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ we do not know if our modular form approach is possible.

Here are some corollaries of Theorem 1.11 and 1.12. The first corollary concerns the hypergeometric example $F(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|t)$. In Appendix C we see that the group is $\Gamma_0(4)^*$ and we have $t(i/2) = 1$ and $t(1/2 + i) = -1/8$. These CM-values occur in the paper [21, Thm 3, Thm 29] of Long and Ramakrishna, where they prove the following.

Theorem 1.14 (Ling, Ramakrishna (2016)). *Let p be a prime of the form $p = u^2 + v^2$ with v even. Then we have the congruence*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/2)_k^3}{k!^3} t_0^k \equiv \begin{cases} (u + vi)^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{when } t_0 = 1 \\ \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) (u + vi)^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{when } t_0 = -1/8 \end{cases}$$

This is not a literal citation because Long and Ramakrishna use $-\Gamma_p(1/4)^4$ instead of $(u+vi)^2$. In [21] the authors also deal with the primes $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, i.e. the primes that do not split in the CM-field. Unfortunately we do not see how our modular approach would give results for such primes. For the case $t_0 = 1$ we reprove the above theorem with the modular method.

Proof. Let us take $t_0 = t(i/2) = 1$ and $\alpha = i/2$. Write $p = |u + vi|^2 = |u + 2v(i/2)|^2$. Apply Theorem 1.11 with $c = 2v, d = u$. \square

For $t_0 = -1/8$ we need both Theorem 1.11 and Theorem 1.12.

Proof. Let us take $t_0 = t(1/2 + i) = -1/8$ and $\alpha = 1/2 + i$. Write $p = |u + vi|^2 = |u - v/2 + v(i + 1/2)|^2$.

Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Then $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $u - v/2$ is odd. Let $c = v$ and $d = u - v/2$. Then the conditions of Theorem 1.11 are satisfied and our congruence follows.

Suppose $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Then $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and both v and $u - v/2$ are even. Let $c = v/2$ and $d = (u - v/2)/2$. Then $p = 4|c\alpha + d|^2$. Then the conditions of Theorem 1.12 are satisfied and our congruence follows. \square

The second corollary concerns the hypergeometric example $F(1/4, 1/2, 3/4; 1, 1|t)$. In Appendix C we see that the modular group is $\Gamma_0(2)^*$ and we have $t((1 + \sqrt{-5})/2) = -1/4$.

Corollary 1.15. *Let p be a prime of the form $1, 9 \pmod{20}$. Write it in the form $p = u^2 + 5v^2, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose the sign of u, v such that $u + v\sqrt{-5}$ is a p -adic unit. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k (1/2)_k (3/4)_k}{k!^3} \left(\frac{-1}{4} \right)^k \equiv (u + v\sqrt{-5})^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Let p be a prime of the form $3, 7 \pmod{20}$. Write it in the form $p = 2u^2 + 2uv + 3v^2, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose u, v such that $2u + v(1 + \sqrt{-5})$ is a p -adic unit. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k (1/2)_k (3/4)_k}{k!^3} \left(\frac{-1}{4} \right)^k \equiv -\frac{1}{2} (2u + v(1 + \sqrt{-5}))^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. When $p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{20}$ rewrite $u + v\sqrt{-5}$ as $u - 2v + 2v\alpha$ with $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-5})/2$. Apply Theorem 1.11 with $c = 2v, d = u - 2v$ to find our statement.

When $p \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{20}$ rewrite $p = 2u^2 + 2uv + 3v^2$ as $p = 2|u + v\alpha|^2$ with $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-5})/2$. Apply Theorem 1.12 with $c = v, d = u$ to find our statement. \square

Similarly one can write down supercongruences for the 14 other rational CM-values listed in the case $F(1/4, 1/2, 3/4; 1, 1|t)$ in Appendix C.

Our third corollary concerns the Apéry numbers $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{n}^2$. Let $F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} A_k t^k$. Then we find in Appendix C that the group is $\Gamma_0(6)^*$ and we have $t(2 + \sqrt{-2})/6 = 1$.

Corollary 1.16. *Let p be a prime which can be written in the form $p = u^2 + 2v^2, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose the sign of u, v such that $u + v\sqrt{-2}$ is a p -adic unit. Then, using Theorem 1.11,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_k \equiv (u + v\sqrt{-2})^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. Rewrite $u + v\sqrt{-2}$ as $u - 2v + 6v\alpha$ with $\alpha = (2 + \sqrt{-2})/6$. Apply Theorem 1.11 with $c = 6v, d = u - 2v$ to find our statement. \square

In Z.W.Sun's list one finds many examples of the above type in the form of conjectures, many of them only modulo p^2 .

In the literature on supercongruences a prominent role is played by the so-called Van Hamme-congruences. They are named after Lucien van Hamme (1939 - 2014), a Belgian mathematician who did some pioneering work in this subject, see [17] and [18]. For an updated account of the Van Hamme-congruences see Swisher's paper [37]. The corresponding differential equations

have (mostly) order 3 and in the congruence also the derivative of $F_p(t)$ occurs. A prototype is given by the following.

Theorem 1.17. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (4k+1) \frac{(1/2)_k^3}{k!^3} (-1)^k \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p \pmod{p^3}.$$

This was a conjecture by Van Hamme, proven independently by Mortensen [27], Long [20] and Zudilin [40]. This corresponds to the case hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|t)$ in Appendix C. There we see that $t(\alpha) = -1$ with $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-2})/2$.

Suppose $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$. Then p can be written in the form $p = u^2 + 2v^2$ for some integers u, v . Rewrite this as $p = |u - v + 2v\alpha|^2$.

Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then v is even and u odd. Application of Theorem 1.18 with $c = 2v, d = u - 2v$ yields the congruence.

Suppose $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then u, v are both odd and we can write $p = 4|(u - v)/2 + v\alpha|^2$. Application of Theorem 1.20 with $c = v, d = (u - v)/2$ yields the congruence.

As usual we do not know if a modular approach works for the cases where p is inert in the CM-field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, i.e. $p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

Theorem 1.18. *Suppose the conditions in Theorem 5.1 hold. Let α be an imaginary quadratic number with positive imaginary part. Suppose α is not a pole of t and not a zero of t' . Let p be a prime not dividing N which splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Suppose there exist integers c, d such that*

- (1) $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ and $N|c$ and $c|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (2) $c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p = |c\alpha + d|^2$.

Define

$$\delta(\alpha) = \frac{t(\alpha)}{t'(\alpha)} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} + \frac{\Theta'(\alpha)}{\Theta(\alpha)} \right),$$

where $'$ denotes differentiation with respect to τ . Then $\delta(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, t(\alpha))$. Suppose that $\delta(\alpha)$ is a p -adic unit and $t(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then

$$(2) \quad F_p(t(\alpha)) - \frac{1}{\delta(\alpha)} (\theta F_p)(t(\alpha)) \equiv p \pmod{p^3}.$$

Explicitly, if $F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_k t^k$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{\delta(\alpha)} \right) g_k t(\alpha)^k \equiv p \pmod{p^3}.$$

The condition that $t'(\alpha) \neq 0$ is important, otherwise $\delta(\alpha)$ cannot be computed. Vanishing of $t'(\alpha)$ happens for example when $F(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|t)$ and $\alpha = i/2$. In that case $t(i/2) = 1$ and we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 1.19. *For all primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 + 4k) \frac{(1/2)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

One can probably prove this by a refinement of the proof of Theorem 1.18 but have not pursued this. Surprisingly the congruence does not hold when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Just like Theorem 1.12 is a companion to Theorem 1.11, Theorem 1.18 has the following companion.

Theorem 1.20. *Suppose the assumptions in Theorem 1.18 hold with the following modifications. Assumption (1) is replaced by $\gcd(c, Nd) = 1$ and $Nc|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. In assumption (2)*

$p = |c\alpha + d|^2$ is replaced by $p = N|c\alpha + d|^2$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{\delta(\alpha)}\right) g_k t(\alpha)^k \equiv -p \pmod{p^3}.$$

Note the minus sign in the right hand side $-p \pmod{p^3}$. The proof is the same as Theorem 1.18 except that we use some of the computations from the proof of Theorem 1.12. As applications of Theorems 1.18 and 1.20 we show the Van Hamme congruences corresponding to Corollaries 1.15 and 1.16.

Corollary 1.21. *Let notations and assumptions be as in Corollary 1.15. Then $\delta((1+\sqrt{-5})/2) = -3/20$ and we get*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{20}{3}k\right) \frac{(1/4)_k (1/2)_k (3/4)_k}{k!^3} \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^k \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p \pmod{p^3} \text{ if } p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}, p > 3.$$

Let notations and assumptions be as in Corollary 1.16. Then $\delta((2+\sqrt{-2})/6) = -1/2$ and we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (1+2k) A_k \equiv p \pmod{p^3}.$$

The first statement was first proven by Zudilin [40, (9)], including the primes p that do not split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$.

It has been observed many times that the Van Hamme-congruences are very closely linked to the famous Ramanujan series for $1/\pi$. Having the modular background at hand the connection is quite straightforward.

Theorem 1.22. *Choose a differential equation from Appendix C. Suppose that the mirror map t is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(N)^*$. Suppose that $F(t(\tau))^r = \Theta(\tau)^r$ is a modular form for some integer $r \geq 1$. Then, with the notation from Theorem 1.18, we have*

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(1 - \frac{k}{\delta(\tau)}\right) g_k t(\tau)^k = t(\tau) \frac{2\pi i \Theta(\tau)}{t'(\tau)} \frac{1}{\delta(\tau)} \frac{1}{\pi i(\tau - \bar{\tau})}.$$

In particular $(2\pi i \Theta t/t')^{\text{lcm}(2,r)} \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ and δ is a real analytic function invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)^$.*

If we choose the argument τ in a quadratic imaginary number field, then $t(\tau)$ and $\delta(\tau)$ will have algebraic values and we obtain fancy looking series expansions for $1/\pi$.

The proof of Theorem 1.22 is quite direct and given in Section 5. An important application is the famous formula for $1/\pi$ given by the Chudnovsky brothers. Take the case hypergeometric $F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)$. Then we see from Appendix C that $t(\tau) = 1/J(\tau)$, where J is the classical J -invariant and we have $\Theta(\tau)^2 = E_4(\tau)$, the classical Eisenstein series of weight 4. We can also check that $2\pi i \Theta t/t' = 1/\sqrt{1-t}$. Let us take $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{-163})/2$. Then

$$t(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{53360^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\alpha) = -\frac{545140134}{13591409},$$

which yields the Chudnovsky formula. We present it in a slightly unconventional way.

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(1 + \frac{545140134}{13591409}k\right) \frac{(1/6)_k (1/2)_k (5/6)_k}{k!^3} \frac{(-1)^k}{53360^{3k}} = \frac{426880\sqrt{10005}}{13591409\pi}.$$

There are endless further applications of Theorem 1.22 in the form of Ramanujan-Sato series and beyond, see [7].

Naturally, Theorem 1.18 gives analogues in the form of supercongruences in many cases and many of them are conjectures in Sun's list. Unfortunately, the example hypergeometric $F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)$ is just outside the reach of Theorem 5.1 and its consequences, the reason being that Θ itself is not a modular form. This is not a problem for Theorem 1.22, but for our supercongruences it is. Fortunately, as we remarked above, $\Theta^2 = E_4$, which is a modular form. In Section 6 we shall tweak our methods to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.23. *Let D be a positive integer which is 0 or 3 modulo 4. Write $\omega_D = \sqrt{-D}/2$ if $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $\omega_D = (1 + \sqrt{-D})/2$ if $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let π be an algebraic integer in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ such that $\pi\bar{\pi} = p$, where p is a prime in \mathbb{Z} . Suppose, after embedding $\sqrt{-D}$ in \mathbb{Q}_p , that π is a p -adic unit. Then,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/6)_k (1/2)_k (5/6)_k}{k!^3} \frac{1}{J(\omega_D)^k} \equiv \pm \pi^2 \pmod{p^3}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{\delta(\omega_D)}\right) \frac{(1/6)_k (1/2)_k (5/6)_k}{k!^3} \frac{1}{J(\omega_D)^k} \equiv \pm p \pmod{p^3}.$$

We were unable to give an expression for the \pm sign. Note also that in the second congruence the prime π does not occur. But we are able to prove it only for primes p that split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$.

In the cases $n \geq 4$ the nature of the mirror map is much more mysterious and we do not have the benefit of modular functions any more. Thus the exact computation of Frobenius fixed points becomes much more difficult. We conjecture that the singularities of the differential equation are among them, as long as they lie in \mathbb{Z}_p . The point 1 is a singularity of the hypergeometric equations and the (former) conjectures by Rodriguez-Villegas are examples of supercongruences that correspond to them. In [3] we find an extensive list of fourth order equations of Calabi-Yau type, precisely the kind we are considering. It would be interesting to see if Rodriguez-Villegas's list extends to at least some of them. Recently there has been some interest in special points called attractor points. These are special values of t that are related to the existence of black hole solutions of certain supergravity theories in theoretical physics. Regrettably we lack the expertise to go into this subject more deeply. What is relevant for us is that there are arithmetic methods to search for such points. For example, consider the family of Calabi-Yau threefolds given by $1 - tg(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ with

$$g = (1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)(1 + 1/x_1 + 1/x_2 + 1/x_3 + 1/x_4).$$

This is called the *Hulek-Verrill* family. The corresponding constant terms read

$$\text{hv}_k := \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4+m_5=k} \left(\frac{k!}{m_1!m_2!m_3!m_4!m_5!} \right)^2.$$

Candelas-De la Ossa-Elmi-Van Straten [8] discovered experimentally that at $t_0 = -1/7$ the local zeta-function of $1 - t_0g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ factors as $(X^2 - a_pX + p^3)(X^2 - pb_pX + p^3)$ with $a_p, b_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ for almost all $p \neq 7$. Supposedly this identifies $-1/7$ as an attractor point (of rank 2). Computer experiment suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.24. *For all primes $p \neq 7$ we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \text{hv}_k (-1/7)^k \equiv a_p \pmod{p^2},$$

where a_p is coefficient of q^p in the weight 4 cusp form of level 14 starting with $q - 2q^2 + 8q^3 + \dots$

The differential equation associated to this case is #34 in the list of [?]. It reads $Ly = 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \theta^4 - t(35\theta^4 + 70\theta^3 + 63\theta^2 + 28\theta + 5) \\ &\quad + t^2(\theta + 1)^2(259\theta^2 + 518\theta + 285) - 225t^3(\theta + 1)^2(\theta + 2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The finite singularities are $t_0 = 1, 1/9, 1/25$. For these arguments there is an analogue for Conjecture 1.24 with the level 6 form

$$q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{2n})^2 (1 - q^{3n})^2 (1 - q^{6n})^2$$

when $t_0 = 1, 1/9$ and the level 30 form $q - 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 4q^4 + 5q^5 - 6q^6 + \dots$ when $t_0 = 1/25$. Another example of an attractor point is $t_0 = -1/8$ for the hypergeometric function ${}_4F_3(1/3, 1/3, 2/3, 2/3; 1, 1, 1|t)$. This point was found in [4, Table 1]. A supercongruence modulo p^3 at $t_0 = 1$ occurs in the list of Rodriguez-Villegas, but experiment suggests the following.

Conjecture 1.25. *For all primes $p \neq 3$ we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-1/3}{k}^2 \binom{-2/3}{k}^2 (-1/8)^k \equiv a_p \pmod{p^3},$$

where a_p is coefficient of q^p in the weight 4 cusp form of level 54 starting with $q + 2q^2 + 4q^4 + 3q^5 + \dots$

Note that we seem to have a congruence modulo p^3 .

Finally we like to mention a much more general congruence which is a super version of the following.

Theorem 1.26 (Dwork-congruence). *Suppose $F(t)$ is the generating function of a constant term sequence. Then, for any prime p and any $r \geq 1$ we have*

$$(3) \quad \frac{F(t)}{F(t^p)} \equiv \frac{F_{p^r}(t)}{F_{p^{r-1}}(t^p)} \pmod{p^r}$$

as power series in t .

This was shown by Mellit-Vlasenko [25]. Another proof occurs after [5, Thm 3.2]. However, it seems that whenever (1) holds, we also have the modulo p^{2r} -congruence

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv \frac{F_{p^r}(t)}{F_{p^{r-1}}(t^\sigma)} \pmod{p^{2r}}$$

for all $r \geq 1$. The paper [6] was an attempt to prove this in at least one case, but unfortunately we failed. Among the known results is an indication of an approach given in the Guo-Zudilin paper [15] and the references therein. Remarkably enough one gets Van Hamme type results modulo p^{3r} via an approach based on so-called q -supercongruences.

2. CENTRAL BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

We start with a very simple instance of our program and consider the series

$$F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{2k}{k} t^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4t}}.$$

Clearly it satisfies the differential equation $(1-4t)\theta y = 2ty$, but we like to consider the extended equation

$$\theta((1-4t)\theta - 2t)y = 0.$$

A basis of solutions of this differential equation is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4t}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4t}} \times \log \left(\frac{2t}{1-2t+\sqrt{1-4t}} \right).$$

The q -coordinate is simply

$$q = \frac{2t}{1-2t+\sqrt{1-4t}}$$

and the mirror map

$$t = \frac{q}{(1+q)^2}.$$

Hence $t^\sigma = \frac{q^p}{(1+q^p)^2}$, from which we see that $1/t^\sigma$ is a polynomial of degree p in $1/t$. Furthermore, $F(t) = \frac{1+q}{1-q}$ and hence, for any odd prime p ,

$$\frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} = \frac{(1+q)(1-q^p)}{(1-q)(1+q^p)}.$$

Let $pG(t) = (1+q)^p - 1 - q^p$ and notice that by expansion in a p -adic geometrical series modulo p^2 ,

$$\frac{1}{1+q^p} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^p - pG(t)} \equiv \frac{1}{(1+q)^p} + \frac{pG(t)}{(1+q)^{2p}} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{(1+q)(1-q^p)}{(1-q)(1+q^p)} \equiv \frac{1-q^p}{1-q} \left(\frac{1}{(1+q)^{p-1}} + \frac{pG(t)}{(1+q)^{2p-1}} \right) \pmod{p^2}.$$

One easily verifies that the rational function on the right is invariant under $q \mapsto 1/q$ and that the only pole is in -1 with pole order $< 2p$. Hence the right hand side is a polynomial $A_p(t)$ in t of degree $< p$. Hence $F(t)/F(t^\sigma) \equiv A_p(t) \pmod{p^2}$. Since $F(t^\sigma)$ has the form $1 + O(t)^p$ we conclude that $A_p(t) \equiv F_p(t) \pmod{p^2}$. So congruence (1) holds in this case and in particular we see that

$$F_p(t) \equiv \frac{(1+q)(1-q^p)}{(1-q)(1+q^p)} \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{with } t = \frac{q}{(1+q)^2}.$$

We can specialize this at will. Some examples,

– $q = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Then $t = 1$ and we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \equiv \epsilon \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \pm 1 \text{ and } p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}.$$

– $q = i$. Then $t = 1/2$ and we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{2^k} \equiv \epsilon \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \pm 1 \text{ and } p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{4}$$

– $q = e^{\pi i/3}$. Then $t = 1/3$ and we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{3^k} \equiv \epsilon \pmod{p^2} \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \pm 1 \text{ and } p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{6}$$

– $q = 1$. Then $t = 1/4$ and we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \frac{1}{4^k} \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$$

– $q = e^{4\pi i/5}$. Then $t = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^k \equiv \begin{cases} \epsilon \pmod{p^2} & \text{when } p \equiv \epsilon \pmod{5} \\ \epsilon(2-\sqrt{5}) \pmod{p^2} & \text{when } p \equiv 2\epsilon \pmod{5} \end{cases}$$

In the literature we find an abundance of supercongruences involving many different truncations of the series $F(t)$. Two more or less random references are [23] and [34].

3. MODULAR PREPARATIONS

In the two sections following this one we deal with differential equations of order $n = 2, 3$. These are the cases where almost always the mirror map $t(q)$ is a modular function. From now on we often abuse our notation by using the notations $t(q)$ and $t(\tau)$ interchangeably, where τ is the parameter of the complex upper half plane. By the latter we actually mean $t(e^{2\pi i\tau})$, but we trust that this context dependent notation will not present too many difficulties. We start with a few simple lemmas

Lemma 3.1. *Let $h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$. Then, for any prime p we have*

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 + h(q^{1/p} \zeta_p^k) x) \equiv 1 + h(q) x^p \pmod{p},$$

where $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$. In particular, when $0 < s < p$, the s -th elementary function in $h(q^{1/p}\zeta^k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ is a power series in $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ whose coefficients are divisible by p . The p -th elementary function equals $h(q)$ modulo p .

Proof. We prove our equality modulo $\zeta_p - 1$. Since the coefficients of both sides of the equality are in \mathbb{Z} the congruence modulo p follows immediately. We see that the product equals

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 + h(q^{1/p}\zeta_p^k)x) \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 + h(q^{1/p})x) \equiv (1 + h(q^{1/p})x)^p \equiv 1 + h(q)x^p \pmod{\zeta_p - 1}.$$

This proves our lemma. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let m be a positive integer and p a prime that does not divide m . Define $\eta_m(\tau) := \eta(m\tau)$ where $\eta(\tau) = e(\tau/24) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(n\tau))$ and $e(x) = \exp(2\pi i x)$. Then*

$$\eta_m(p\tau) \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \eta_m((\tau + k)/p) = e(m(p-1)/48) \eta_m(\tau)^{p+1}.$$

Remark 3.3. *A fortiori this lemma holds for any product of η_m with different m , all of them not divisible by p . We call a product of the form $\prod_{m|N} \eta_m^{e_m}$ with $e_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ an η -product.*

Proof. We prove our statement for $m = 1$, the case $m > 1$ being completely similar. Observe that

$$e(p\tau/24) \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} e((\tau + k)/(24p)) = e((p-1)/48) e((p+1)\tau/24)$$

and

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 - e(n(\tau + k)/p)) = \begin{cases} 1 - e(n\tau) & \text{if } p \text{ does not divide } n \\ (1 - e(n/p))^p & \text{if } p \text{ divides } n \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (1 - e((\tau + k)/p)) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(n\tau)) \prod_{p|n} \frac{(1 - e(n\tau/p))^p}{1 - e(n\tau)}.$$

Replace n by np in the second product to get

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(n\tau)) \prod_{p|n} \frac{(1 - e(n\tau/p))^p}{1 - e(n\tau)} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - e(n\tau))^{p+1}}{1 - e(np\tau)}.$$

This finishes the proof of our lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Consider the η -product $E(\tau) = \prod_{m|N} \eta_m(\tau)^{e_m}$. Let r, s be relatively prime integers. Then r/s is a zero of $E(\tau)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{m|M} e_m \frac{\gcd(s, m)^2}{m} > 0.$$

Proof. Substitute $\tau = r/s + i\epsilon$ in $E(\tau)$ and let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. For each factor we have $\eta_m(\tau) = \eta(mr/s + i\epsilon)$. Let $m' = m/\gcd(s, m)$ and $s' = s/\gcd(s, m)$. Choose $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m'rv - us' = 1$. Then by modularity of η we get

$$\eta \left(\frac{v\tau - u}{-s'\tau + m'r} \right) \sim (-s'\tau + m'r)^{1/2} \eta(\tau),$$

where \sim denotes equality up to a non-zero factor independent of ϵ . Set $\tau = mr/s + im\epsilon = m'r/s' + mi\epsilon$. Then after some rearranging,

$$\eta(mr/s + im\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-1/2} \eta \left(\frac{i}{m(s')^2\epsilon} - \frac{v}{s'} \right) \sim \epsilon^{-1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{\gcd(s, m)^2}{24ms^2\epsilon} \right).$$

Hence $E(\tau)$ behaves like

$$E(r/s + i\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-\sum_m e_m/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{24s^2\epsilon} \sum_{m \in M} e_m \frac{\gcd(s, m)^2}{m}\right)$$

and our lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let f be a modular form of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$. Let $r/s \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ and suppose f has no zero in r/s . Then there exists a non-zero c such that $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon^k f(r/s + i\epsilon) = c$.*

4. SECOND ORDER EQUATIONS

This section will be mainly devoted to the proof of the following main theorem and its consequences.

Theorem 4.1. *Let notations be as in the introduction and consider the case $n = 2$. Suppose that*

- (1) $t(q)$ is a Hauptmodul for the modular group $\Gamma_0(N)$ for some integer N .
- (2) $\Theta(q) := F(t(q))$ is a modular form of weight 1 (with odd quadratic character χ) with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.
- (3) The unique pole (modulo the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$) of $t(q)$ is located at the unique zero of $\Theta(q)$ which is of order $1/r$ for some integer $r \geq 1$.
- (4) $\Theta(q)$ can be written as an η -product or $r \geq 3$.

Then

$$F(t) \equiv F_p(t)F(t^\sigma) \pmod{p^2}$$

as power series in t .

Remark 4.2.

- (1) We say that $t(q)$ is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(N)$ if $\mathbb{Q}(t(q))$ is the field of all modular functions with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ having a q -expansion with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} .
- (2) In Appendix A we have collected some data on the modular subgroups $\Gamma_0(N)$ for small N together with our standard choice for the Hauptmodul h_N and description of the modular forms of weight 1 and 2.
- (3) The number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) of a weight 1 form in a fundamental domain of $\Gamma_0(N)$ is $|SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)|/12$. Since Θ has a single zero the group $\Gamma_0(N)$ is restricted to $N < 10$.
- (4) Theorem 4.1 applies to the cases hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2, 1, t)$, $F(1/3, 2/3, 1|t)$ and the cases **A, B, C, E, F** from Zagier's list in Appendix B. The case **D** corresponds to the Apéry numbers for $\zeta(2)$. In that case $t(q)$ is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_1(5)$. The case $F(1/4, 3/4, 1|t)$ also requires a special treatment which runs parallel to the proof of Theorem 1.23.

Let notations be as in the introduction and Theorem 4.1. For any prime p not dividing N the function $t(p\tau)$ is modular with respect to the group $\Gamma_0(pN)$, which has index $p + 1$ in $\Gamma_0(N)$. Therefore $t(p\tau)$ is algebraic of degree $\leq p + 1$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t(\tau))$.

The weight 1 form $\Theta(\tau)$ has the transformation property

$$\Theta\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \chi(d)(c\tau + d)\Theta(\tau)$$

for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ and an odd quadratic character χ . From this we can deduce that $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ is a modular function with respect to $\Gamma_0(pN)$, hence it is a rational function of $t(\tau)$ and $t(p\tau)$. A fortiori this means that the analytic element $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ is algebraic of degree $\leq p + 1$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. In Proposition 4.4 we make this more explicit. But first we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Theta(\tau)$ be a weight 1 modular form with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ and odd quadratic character χ . Let p be a prime not dividing N . Then the $\Gamma_0(N)$ -orbit of $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ consists of $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ itself and the functions*

$$\chi(p)p\Theta(\tau) \Big/ \Theta\left(\frac{\tau+k}{p}\right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Proof. For any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ we compute

$$\Theta\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) \Big/ \Theta\left(p\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right)$$

Clearly we have $\Theta\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = \chi(d)(c\tau+d)\Theta(\tau)$. Suppose first that p divides c . Then

$$\Theta\left(p\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{ap\tau+pb}{c/p(p\tau)+d}\right) = \chi(d)(c/p(p\tau)+d)\Theta(p\tau) = \chi(d)(c\tau+d)\Theta(p\tau).$$

Hence we get $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$.

Now suppose that p does not divide c . Then we can write

$$p\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} = \frac{ap\frac{\tau+k}{p} + b'}{c\frac{\tau+k}{p} + d'},$$

where k is such that $d \equiv kc \pmod{p}$ with $0 \leq k < p$ and $b' = b - ak, d' = (d - ck)/p$. Note that $apd' - b'c = 1$. We can conclude that

$$\Theta\left(p\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = \chi(d')\left(c\frac{\tau+k}{p} + d'\right)\Theta\left(\frac{\tau+k}{p}\right) = \frac{\chi(d')}{p}(c\tau+d)\Theta\left(\frac{\tau+k}{p}\right).$$

Note that $pd' \equiv d \pmod{N}$, hence $\chi(d') = \chi(d)\chi(p)$. Our assertion now follows. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Assume the conditions and notation of Theorem 4.1. For every prime p not dividing N there exists a polynomial $T_p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ of degree $p+1$ in x such that $T_p(F(t)/F(t^\sigma), t) = 0$. Letting $T_{p,s}$ be the coefficient of x^s in $T_p(x, y)$, it can be normalized as follows.*

- (i) $T_{p,0} = p^p$.
- (ii) $T_{p,s}$ is a polynomial in y of degree $\leq s/r$ for all s , with r defined in Theorem 4.1.
- (iii) $T_{p,s}$ is divisible by p^2 when $s < p$.
- (iv) $T_{p,p+1} \equiv \chi(p) \pmod{p}$.
- (v) If Θ has an η -product representation then $T_{p,p+1} = \chi(p)$ and the degree of $T_{p,p}$ is at most $p-1$.

Example 4.5. We display T_p for $p = 5, 7$ in the hypergeometric case $F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t)$.

$$T_5 = 5^5 - 2 \cdot 5^5 x + 7 \cdot 5^4 x^2 - 12 \cdot 5^3 x^3 + 11 \cdot 5^2 x^4 - (26 - 256y + 256y^2)x^5 + x^6$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 = & 7^7 - 4 \cdot 7^6 x^2 - 16 \cdot 7^5 (1 - 2y)x^3 - 30 \cdot 7^4 x^4 - 32 \cdot 7^3 (1 - 2y)x^5 \\ & - 4 \cdot 7^2 (5 + 192y - 192y^2)x^6 - 16(1 - 2y)(3 - 128y + 128y^2)x^7 - x^8 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Lemma 4.3. Let us denote $\rho_p = p\Theta(p\tau)$ and $\rho_k = \chi(p)\Theta((\tau+k)/p)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. So the $\Gamma_0(N)$ -orbit of $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ consists of $p\Theta(\tau)/\rho_k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Notice that ρ_p has a q -expansion in $p(1+q\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$. When $k < p$ the function ρ_k has a q -expansion in $1+q\mathbb{Z}[[\zeta_p]][[q]]^{1/p}$ with $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$.

Consider the following polynomial in x having the zeros $p\Theta(\tau)/\rho_k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p$,

$$(4) \quad p^{-1} \prod_{i=0}^p \left(p - \frac{\rho_k}{\Theta(\tau)} x \right) = p^p + \sum_{s=1}^{p+1} (-1)^s p^{p-s} \frac{\sigma_s(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_p)}{\Theta(\tau)^s} x^s,$$

where $\sigma_s(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_p)$ is the s -th elementary symmetric polynomial of ρ_0, \dots, ρ_p . Note that the constant term equals p^p , as asserted in (i). For reasons of symmetry each of the symmetric polynomials has a q -expansion with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Furthermore

$$T_{p,s} = (-1)^s p^{p-s} \sigma_s(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_p) / \Theta(\tau)^s$$

for $s = 1, \dots, p+1$ and $T_{p,s}$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$. Since the zeros of $\Theta(\tau)$ are located in the poles of $t(\tau)$ we find that $T_{p,s}$ is a polynomial in $t(\tau)$. The degree of this polynomial is bounded by the zero order of $\Theta(\tau)^s$, which is s/r . This proves part (ii).

From (4) we easily see that the coefficients of x^s with $s < p-1$ are divisible by p^2 . We also see that $T_{p,p-1}\Theta(\tau)^{p-1}$ is p times $\sigma_{p-1}(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_p)$. Since p times ρ_p is $0 \pmod{p^2}$, we find that $p\sigma_{p-1}(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_p) \equiv p\sigma_{p-1}(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{p-1}) \pmod{p^2}$. From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $\sigma_{p-1}(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{p-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus we conclude that part (iii) holds.

We have

$$T_{p,p+1} = \frac{\Theta(q^p)}{\Theta(q)} \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\chi(p)\Theta(q^{1/p}\zeta_p^k)}{\Theta(q)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have $\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \Theta(q^{1/p}\zeta_p^k) \equiv \Theta(q) \pmod{p}$ and $\Theta(q^p) \equiv \Theta(q)^p \pmod{p}$. Using this we find that $T_{p,p+1} \equiv \chi(p) \pmod{p}$ as q -expansions. Hence the same holds for this coefficient as polynomial in t . This proves part (iv).

Finally, the first part of (v) follows from Lemma 3.2. For the second part we observe that the zero of Θ must be a cusp, say $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\gcd(p, N) = 1$ Lemma 3.4 implies that all functions $\rho_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, p$ vanish at a . Hence the degree of $T_{p,p}$ is strictly less than p/r , hence $\leq p-1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the polynomial T_p found in Proposition 4.4. Let us first suppose that $\Theta(q)$ has an η -product representation. Then, modulo p^2 we have $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + \chi(p)x^{p+1}$ where $A(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$. We know that, as powerseries in q , we have

$$A(t(q)) \left(\frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \right)^p + \chi(p) \left(\frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \right)^{p+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence

$$\chi(p)A(t(q)) + \frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Rewritten as powerseries equality in t we get

$$\chi(p)A(t) + \frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

So modulo p^2 the quotient $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ equals a polynomial in t of degree $\leq p-1$. Since $F(t^\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{t^p}$ it follows that this polynomial must be $F_p(t)$.

Let us now suppose that $\Theta(q)$ is not an η -product and assume $r \geq 3$. Then $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + B(y)x^{p+1} \pmod{p^2}$, where A is a polynomial of degree $\leq p/3$ and B a polynomial of degree $\leq (p+1)/3$. The sum of these degrees is $\leq p-1$. It also follows from Proposition 4.4(iv) that $B(y) = \chi(p)(1 + pB_1(y)) \pmod{p}$ for some polynomial B_1 . Substitute $y = t(q)$ and $x = \Theta(q)/\Theta(q^p)$ and multiply on both sides by $\chi(p)(1 - pB_1(t(q)))$. We then obtain

$$\chi(p)A(t(q))(1 - pB_1(t(q))) + \frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

We can now finish the argument as before. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let assumptions and notations be as in Theorem 4.1. Let p be a prime not dividing N . Fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let α be a quadretic imaginary number with positive imaginary part. Then $t(\alpha)$ is an algebraic number. Suppose that one of the algebraic numbers $\frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta(p\alpha)}$ or $\chi(p)p\frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)}$ with $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ is a p -adic unit. Call this number ϑ_p . Then*

$$F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. Recall the polynomial $T_p(x, y)$ from Proposition 4.4. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we know that after division by the coefficient of x^{p+1} it has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(y)$ whose denominators have the form $\pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Modulo p^2 it reads $x^{p+1} - F_p(y)x^p \pmod{p^2}$. The zeros of $T_p(x, t(\tau))$ are $x_p := \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta(p\tau)}$ and $x_k := \chi(p)p \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)}$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. The specializations of these zeros at α are of course the zeros of $T_p(x, t(\alpha))$. Let i be such that $x_i(\tau)$ is a p -adic unit. Then $x_i(\alpha)^{p+1} - F_p(t(\alpha))x_i(\alpha)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. It immediately follows that $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv x_i(\alpha) \pmod{p^2}$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We apply Corollary 4.6 in the case when $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a cusp whose denominator divides N . It turns out that $T_p(x, t(\tau_0)) = (x - \epsilon_p)(x - p\epsilon'_p)^p$ for some $\epsilon_p, \epsilon'_p \in \{\pm 1\}$. But we will not prove this. Instead we concentrate on finding ϵ_p .

We summarize the data from Appendix B for the cases at hand.

case	Level N	χ	t_0
$F(1/2, 1/2, 1 t)$	4	χ_{-4}	$t(0) = 1$
$F(1/3, 2/3, 1 t)$	3	χ_{-3}	$t(0) = 1$
Zagier A	6	χ_{-3}	$t(0) = 1/8, t(1/2) = -1$
Zagier C	6	χ_{-3}	$t(0) = 1/9, t(1/3) = 1$
Zagier E	8	χ_{-4}	$t(0) = 1/8, t(1/4) = 1/4$
Zagier F	6	χ_{-3}	$t(1/2) = 1/9, t(1/3) = 1/8$

Notice that τ_0 equals $0, 1/2$ or $1/(N/2) = 1/3, 1/4$ in these cases. We can choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\tau_0 + k)/p = \pm \tau_0$. When $\tau_0 = 0$ we simply take $k = 0$, when $\tau_0 = 1/2$ we take $k = (p-1)/2$. When $\tau_0 = 1/(N/2)$ we take $k = (p-1)/(N/2)$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N/2}$ and $k = (-p+1)/(N/2)$ if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{N/2}$.

Consider the quotient

$$(5) \quad \chi(p)p \frac{\Theta(\tau_0 + i\epsilon)}{\Theta((\tau_0 + i\epsilon + k)/p)} = \chi(p)p \frac{\Theta(\tau_0 + i\epsilon)}{\Theta(\pm \tau_0 + i\epsilon/p)}$$

and let $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. Suppose that $\pm \tau_0 = \tau_0$. Then Lemma 3.5 implies that we get the value $\vartheta_0 = \chi(p)$. This proves the cases $\tau_0 = 0, 1/2$ and $p = 1/(N/2)$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N/2}$. Now suppose $\tau_0 = 1/(N/2)$ and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{N/2}$. Notice that

$$\frac{-2/N + i\epsilon}{N(-2/N + i\epsilon) + 1} = 2/N + i\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2).$$

Apply Θ on both sides and use the modularity of Θ . We get

$$(-1 + iN\epsilon)\Theta(-2/N + i\epsilon) = \Theta(2/N + i\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\Theta(-2/N + i\epsilon)}{\Theta(2/N + i\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2))} = -1.$$

Combined with (5) this gives us our statement in the case $\tau_0 = 1/(N/2)$ and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{N/2}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $c' = -c$ and $d' = d + c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})$. Note that $c'\alpha + d' = c\bar{\alpha} + d$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad' - bc' = 1$. We now apply Corollary 4.6 with $\tau_0 = \alpha$. Notice that

$$\frac{a\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'} = \frac{1}{p}(a\alpha + b)(c'\bar{\alpha} + d') = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha + ac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd').$$

Let $k = ac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd'$ and compute $\chi(p)p\Theta(\alpha)/\Theta((\alpha + k)/p)$. By modularity we have

$$\Theta\left(\frac{\alpha + k}{p}\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{a\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'}\right) = \chi(d')(c'\alpha + d')\Theta(\alpha) = \chi(d')(c\bar{\alpha} + d)\Theta(\alpha).$$

Note also that $p = c \cdot c|\alpha|^2 + dd'$, hence $p \equiv dd' \pmod{N}$ and $\chi(p) = \chi(d)\chi(d')$. So we find that

$$\chi(p)p \frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha + k)/p)} = \chi(d)(c\alpha + d),$$

which is a p -adic unit. The desired supercongruence now follows. The fact that $t(\alpha)$ can be embedded in \mathbb{Q}_p is a consequence of Shimura reciprocity, [11, §15]. \square

5. THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Much of this section runs parallel to Section 4 and we shall mainly indicate the differences. The first main result is an analogue of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Let notations be as above and consider the case $n = 3$. Let $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ be the modular group $\Gamma_0(N)$ extended with the Atkin-Lehner involution $\tau \rightarrow -1/N\tau$. Suppose that*

- (1) $t(\tau)$ is a Hauptmodul for the modular group $\Gamma_0(N)^*$.
- (2) $\Theta(q) := F(t(q))$ is modular form of weight 2 with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)^*$.
- (3) The unique pole (modulo the action of $\Gamma_0(N)^*$) of $t(\tau)$ is located at the unique zero of $\Theta(\tau)$ and has order $1/r$ for some integer $r \geq 1$.
- (4) One of the following holds
 - (a) $\Theta(q)$ can be written as an η -product
 - (b) $r \geq 4$
 - (c) $r = 3$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

Then

$$F(t) \equiv F_p(t)F(t^\sigma) \pmod{p^3}$$

as power series in t .

Remark 5.2.

- (1) Observe that we have a congruence modulo p^3 rather than p^2 .
- (2) explicitly mention that modularity of Θ entails $\Theta(-1/N\tau) = -N\tau^2\Theta(\tau)$.
- (3) The number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) of a weight 2 form in a fundamental domain of $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ is the hyperbolic area of that fundamental domain divided by 6. Since Θ has a single zero the group $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ is restricted to $N < 10$. For groups that are an extension of $\Gamma_0(N)$ by more than one involution we can apply a similar argument. In that case N can be ≥ 10 , as one sees from the examples in Appendix C.
- (4) The conditions of the theorem are fulfilled for the cases $F(1/d, 1/2, 1 - 1/d; 1, 1|t)$ with $d = 2, 3, 4$, Apéry sequence, and Almqvist (η) , (ϵ) , (ζ) in Appendix C. In the cases Domb, Almqvist-Zudilin the modular group is an extension of $\Gamma_0(N)$ with several involutions, and we believe our theorem also holds, but leave the details of a proof to the reader.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 runs very much parallel to that of Theorem 4.1. Here is the analogue of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Theta(\tau)$ be a weight 2 modular form with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)^*$. Let p be a prime not dividing N . Then the $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ -orbit of $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ consists of $\Theta(\tau)/\Theta(p\tau)$ itself and the functions*

$$p^2\Theta(\tau) \Big/ \Theta\left(\frac{\tau + k}{p}\right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Note the presence of the factor p^2 rather than p . In the end this enables us to obtain supercongruences modulo p^3 . The proof is very similar.

Here is the analogue of Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 5.4. *Assume the conditions and notation of Theorem 5.1. For every prime p not dividing N there exists a polynomial $T_p(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x, y]$ of degree $p+1$ in x such that $T_p(F(t)/F(t^\sigma), t) = 0$. Letting $T_{p,s}$ be the coefficient of x^s in $T_p(x, y)$, it can be normalized as follows.*

- (i) $T_{p,0} = p^{2p}$.

- (ii) $T_{p,s}$ is a polynomial in y of degree $\leq s/r$ for all s , with r defined in Theorem 5.1.
- (iii) $T_{p,s}$ is divisible by p^3 when $s < p$.
- (iv) $T_{p,p+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- (v) If Θ has an η -product representation then $T_{p,p+1} = 1$ and the degree of $T_{p,p}$ is at most $p - 1$.

Example 5.5. We display T_p for $p = 5, 7$ in the hypergeometric case $F(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|t)$.

$$T_5 = 5^{10} - 6 \cdot 5^9 x + 3^3 \cdot 5^7 x^2 + 5^5(-52 + 8y)x^3 + 5^3(51 + 192y)x^4 + (-126 + 3328y)x^5 + x^6$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_7 = & 7^{14} - 8 \cdot 7^{13}x + 52 \cdot 7^{11}x^2 + 7^9(-152 + 1408y)x^3 + 10 \cdot 7^7(25 + 1792y)x^4 \\ & + 8 \cdot 7^5(-31 + 13216y)x^5 + 7^3(148 + 89600y + 225280y^2)x^6 \\ & + 8(-43 + 8784y - 38912y^2 + 32768y^3)x^7 + x^8 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 5.4 again runs parallel to that of Proposition 4.4, except that we consider the polynomial

$$p^{-2} \prod_{i=0}^p \left(p^2 - \frac{\rho_k}{\Theta(\tau)} x \right)$$

with $\rho_p = p^2\Theta(p\tau)$ and $\rho_k = \Theta((\tau + k)/p)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Consider the polynomial T_p found in Proposition 5.4. Let us first suppose that $\Theta(q)$ has an η -product representation. Then, modulo p^3 we have $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + x^{p+1}$ where $A(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq p - 1$. We know that, as powerseries in q , we have

$$A(t(q)) \left(\frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \right)^p + \left(\frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \right)^{p+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Hence

$$A(t(q)) + \frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Rewritten as powerseries equality in t we get

$$A(t) + \frac{F(t)}{F(t^\sigma)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

So modulo p^3 the quotient $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ equals a polynomial in t of degree $\leq p - 1$. Since $F(t^\sigma) \equiv 1 \pmod{t^p}$ it follows that this polynomial must be $F_p(t)$.

Let us now suppose that $\Theta(q)$ is not an η -product and assume $r \geq 3$. Then $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + B(y)x^{p+1} \pmod{p^2}$, where A is a polynomial of degree $< p/r$ and B a polynomial of degree $\leq (p + 1)/r$. The degree of $A(y)B(y)^2$ is $\leq p - 1$ if $r \geq 4$ and if $r = 3, p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. It also follows from Proposition 5.4(iv) that $B(y) = 1 + pB_1(y) \pmod{p}$ for some polynomial B_1 . Substitute $y = t(q)$ and $x = \Theta(q)/\Theta(q^p)$ and multiply on both sides by $1 - pB_1(t) + p^2B_1(t)^2$. We then obtain

$$A(t)(1 - pB_1(t) + p^2B_1(t)^2) + \frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

We can now finish the argument as before. □

Remark 5.6. We conjecture that Theorem 5.1 also holds when $r \geq 3$. Theorem 6.1 gives a proof modulo some ad-hoc congruences.

Corollary 5.7. Let assumptions and notations be as in Theorem 5.1. Let p be a prime not dividing N . Fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Let α be an imaginary quadratic number with positive imaginary part. Then $t(\alpha)$ is an algebraic number. Suppose that one of the algebraic numbers $\frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta(p\alpha)}$ or $p^2 \frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)}$ with $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ is a p -adic unit. Call this number ϑ_p . Then

$$F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. Recall the polynomial $T_p(x, y)$ from Proposition 5.4. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we know that after division by the coefficient of x^{p+1} it has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(y)$ whose denominators have the form $\pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Modulo p^3 it reads $x^{p+1} - F_p(y)x^p \pmod{p^3}$. The zeros of $T_p(x, t(\tau))$ are $x_p := \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta(p\tau)}$ and $x_k := p^2 \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)}$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. The specializations of these zeros at α are of course the zeros of $T_p(x, t(\alpha))$. Let i be such that $x_i(\tau)$ is a p -adic unit. Then $x_i(\alpha)^{p+1} - F_p(t(\alpha))x_i(\alpha)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$. It immediately follows that $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv x_i(\alpha) \pmod{p^3}$. \square

Proof of Theorems 1.11 and 1.11. Let $c' = -c$ and $d' = d + c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})$. Note that $c'\alpha + d' = c\bar{\alpha} + d$. First we prove Theorem 1.11. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad' - bc' = 1$. We now apply Corollary 5.7 with $\tau_0 = \alpha$. Notice that

$$\frac{a\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'} = \frac{1}{p}(a\alpha + b)(c'\bar{\alpha} + d') = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha + ac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd').$$

Let $k = ac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd'$, notice that this is an integer, and compute $p^2\Theta(\alpha)/\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)$. By modularity we have

$$\Theta\left(\frac{\alpha + k}{p}\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{a\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'}\right) = (c'\alpha + d')^2\Theta(\alpha) = (c\bar{\alpha} + d)^2\Theta(\alpha).$$

So we find that

$$p^2 \frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)} = (c\alpha + d)^2,$$

which is a p -adic unit. The desired supercongruence now follows.

Next we prove Theorem 1.12. We use the Atkin-Lehner involution $\tau \mapsto -1/N\tau$. Notice that $\gcd(c, Nd) = 1$ if and only if $\gcd(c', Nd') = 1$. Choose integers a, b such that $Nad' - bc' = 1$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{Na\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'} = \frac{1}{p}(Na\alpha + b)(c'\bar{\alpha} + d') = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha + Nac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd').$$

Let $k = Nac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd'$, notice that this is an integer, and compute $p^2\Theta(\alpha)/\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)$. By modularity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta\left(\frac{\alpha + k}{p}\right) &= \Theta\left(-\frac{1}{N} \frac{Na\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'}\right) = -N \left(\frac{c'\alpha + d'}{-Na\alpha - b}\right)^2 \Theta\left(\frac{c'\alpha + d'}{-Na\alpha - b}\right) \\ &= -N \left(\frac{c'\alpha + d'}{-Na\alpha - b}\right)^2 (-Na\alpha - b)^2 \Theta(\alpha) = -N(c'\alpha + d')^2 \Theta(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So we find that

$$p^2 \frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha+k)/p)} = \frac{p^2}{-N(c\bar{\alpha} + d)^2} = \frac{N^2|c\alpha + d|^4}{-N(c\bar{\alpha} + d)^2} = -N(c\alpha + d)^2$$

which is a p -adic unit. The desired supercongruence now follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.18. Recall the polynomial $T_p(x, y)$ from Proposition 5.4. From the proof of Theorem 5.1 we know that after division by the coefficient of x^{p+1} it has coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(y)$ whose denominators have the form $\pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Modulo p^3 it reads $x^{p+1} - F_p(y)x^p \pmod{p^3}$. The zeros of $T_p(x, t(\tau))$ are $x_p := \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta(p\tau)}$ and $x_k := p^2 \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)}$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. Consider x as an algebraic function of t and take the derivative of $T_p(x, t) = 0$ with respect to t . We obtain $(p+1)x^p \frac{dx}{dt} - \frac{F_p}{dt}(t)x^p - pF_p(t)x^{p-1} \frac{dx}{dt} \pmod{p^3}$. We now specialize at $\tau = \alpha$ and recall the zero x_i from the proof of Corollary 5.7 for which $x_i(\alpha)$ is a p -adic unit. Specialize at α and use the fact that $F_p(\alpha) \equiv x_i(\alpha) \pmod{p^3}$, which is proven in Corollary 5.7. Then $(p+1)x^p \frac{dx_i}{dt} - F'_p(t)x^p - pF_p(t)x^{p-1} \frac{dx_i}{dt} \pmod{p^3}$ becomes $\frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha) - \frac{dF_p}{dt}(t(\alpha)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$ after division by $x_i(\alpha)^p$.

So to compute $\frac{dF_p}{dt}(t(\alpha))$ we need to compute $\frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha)$, where $x_i(\tau) = p^2\Theta(\tau)/\Theta((\tau+k)/p)$ for some k . Note that

$$(6) \quad \frac{dx_i}{dt}(\tau) = \frac{p^2}{t'(\tau)} \frac{\Theta(\tau)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)} \left(\frac{\Theta'(\tau)}{\Theta(\tau)} - \frac{1}{p} \frac{\Theta'((\tau+k)/p)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)} \right),$$

where $'$ denotes differentiation with respect to τ . In order to compute specializations we derive the functional relations

$$\Theta' \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) / \Theta \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = 2c(c\tau + d) + (c\tau + d)^2 \frac{\Theta'(\tau)}{\Theta(\tau)}$$

from the modular property of Θ . Now substitute $\tau = \alpha$ in (6). Then from the proof of Theorem 1.11 it turns out that $p^2\Theta(\alpha)/\Theta((\alpha+k)/p) = (c\alpha + d)^2$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.11 we write $(\tau + k)/p = \frac{a\alpha+b}{c'\alpha+d'}$ and obtain, using the functional equation for Θ'/Θ ,

$$\frac{\Theta'((\tau+k)/p)}{\Theta((\tau+k)/p)} = 2c'(c'\alpha + d') + (c'\alpha + d')^2 \frac{\Theta'(\alpha)}{\Theta(\alpha)}.$$

Putting everything together we obtain

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha) = (c\alpha + d)^2 \frac{1}{t'(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\Theta'(\alpha)}{\Theta(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{p} 2c'(c'\alpha + d') - \frac{1}{p} (c'\alpha + d')^2 \frac{\Theta'(\alpha)}{\Theta(\alpha)} \right).$$

Simplification using $c'\alpha + d' = c\bar{\alpha} + d$ and $p = (c\alpha + d)(c\bar{\alpha} + d)$ yields

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{t'(\alpha)} c(c\alpha + d) \left(2 + (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \frac{\Theta'(\alpha)}{\Theta(\alpha)} \right).$$

Multiply by $t(\alpha)$ to get

$$t(\alpha) \frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha) = c(c\alpha + d)(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})\delta(\alpha).$$

From $\theta F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv t(\alpha) \frac{dx_i}{dt}(\alpha) \pmod{p^3}$ we obtain, after division by $-\delta(\alpha)$,

$$-\frac{1}{\delta(\alpha)} \theta F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv c(\bar{\alpha} - \alpha)(c\alpha + d) \pmod{p^3}.$$

If we add the congruence $F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv (c\alpha + d)^2 \pmod{p^3}$ from Theorem 1.11 to it we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta(\alpha)} \theta \right) F_p(t(\alpha)) \equiv (c\bar{\alpha} + d)(c\alpha + d) \equiv p \pmod{p^3}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.22. Let us start with the identity $F(t(\tau)) = \Theta(\tau)$. Take the derivation $\theta = t \frac{d}{dt}$ to get

$$\theta F(t(\tau)) = \frac{t(\tau)}{t'(\tau)} \Theta'(\tau) = \Theta(\tau) \left(\delta(\tau) - \frac{2\pi i t(\tau)}{t'(\tau)} \frac{1}{\pi i(\tau - \bar{\tau})} \right).$$

Multiply this equality by $1/\delta(\tau)$ and subtract from $F(t(\tau)) = \Theta(\tau)$. We obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta(\tau)} \theta \right) F(t(\tau)) = t(\tau) \frac{2\pi i \Theta(\tau)}{t'(\tau)} \frac{1}{\delta(\tau)} \frac{1}{\pi i(\tau - \bar{\tau})},$$

which gives Theorem 1.22. It is a straightforward computation to check that $\delta(\tau)$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)^*$. Similarly it is straightforward to check that $(2\pi i \Theta(\tau)/t'(\tau))^r$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$. Under $\tau \mapsto -1/N\tau$ it is multiplied by $(-1)^r$. Hence $(2\pi i \Theta(\tau)/t'(\tau))^{\text{lcm}(2,r)}$ is a rational function of $t(\tau)$. □

6. SOME EXTRAS

In this section we deal with some examples that go beyond the general theorems we have stated. The first example is Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. We are in the case hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2; 1|t)$ from Appendix B. In that case the modular group is $\Gamma_0(4)$ but we extend it to $\Gamma_0(2)$, which normalizes $\Gamma_0(4)$ with index 2. In particular we need the identity $\Theta(1/(2\tau+1)) = \pm(2\tau+1)\sqrt{t(\tau)}\Theta(\tau)$. Consequently we have $\Theta(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}) = \pm(c\tau+d)\sqrt{t(\tau)}\Theta(\tau)$ for any integers a, b, c, d with $ad-bc = 1$ and $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We will not fight for the determination of the \pm -sign.

We copy the proof Theorem 1.5. Write $c\bar{\alpha} + d = c'\alpha + d'$, where $c' = -c, d' = d + c(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad' - bc' = 1$. Then, after some computation,

$$\frac{a\alpha + b}{c'\alpha + d'} = \frac{1}{p}(\alpha + k) \quad \text{with} \quad k = ac'|\alpha|^2 + bc'(\alpha + \bar{\alpha}) + bd'.$$

Since $c' \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we get

$$\chi(p)p \frac{\Theta(\alpha)}{\Theta((\alpha + k)/p)} = \frac{\chi(p)p}{\chi(d')(c'\alpha + d')\sqrt{t(\alpha)}}.$$

Using $p/(c'\alpha + d') = c\alpha + d$ our theorem follows as a consequence of Corollary 4.6. \square

The second set of examples concern an extension of Theorem 5.1 and its consequences.

Theorem 6.1. *Let notations and assumptions be as in Theorem 5.1, except for part (4) which we replace by: $r = 3, p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Then we have*

$$F(t) \equiv F(t^\sigma)(F_p(t) + (g_p - M^{1-p}g_1)t^p) \pmod{p^3},$$

where $g_k, k \geq 0$ are the coefficients of the power series $F(t)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 5.1, except at the end, where we get $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + (1 + pB_1(y))x^{p+1} \pmod{p^3}$, where A is a polynomial of degree $< p/3$ and B_1 a polynomial of degree $\leq (p+1)/3$. The degree of $A(y)B_1(y)^2$ is $\leq p$. Substitute $y = t(q)$ and $x = \Theta(q)/\Theta(q^p)$ and multiply on both sides by $1 - pB_1(t) + p^2B_1(t)^2$. We then obtain

$$A(t)(1 - pB_1(t) + p^2B_1(t)^2) + \frac{\Theta(q)}{\Theta(q^p)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

So modulo p^3 the quotient $F(t)/F(t^\sigma)$ equals a polynomial in t of degree $\leq p$. Recall that $t^\sigma = M^{1-p}t^p + O(t^{p+1})$. Hence $F(t^\sigma) \equiv 1 + g_1M^{1-p}t^p \pmod{t^{p+1}}$ and $F(t)/F(t^\sigma) \equiv F_p(t) + (g_p - M^{1-p}g_1)t^p$. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Consider the case hypergeometric $F(1/3, 1/2, 2/3; 1, 1|t)$. Then for all primes $p > 3$,*

$$F(t) \equiv F(t^\sigma)F_p(t) \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 6.1. We need to verify that $g_p \equiv 108^{1-p}g_1 \pmod{p^3}$ with $g_k = (1/3)_k(1/2)_k(2/3)_k/k!^3$. This congruence follows from Wolstenholme's congruence $\binom{3p}{2p}\binom{2p}{p}^2 \equiv \binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{1}^2 \pmod{p^3}$. \square

We finally like to extend Theorem 5.1 to the case hypergeometric $F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)$. In that case Θ is not a modular form, but $\Theta^2 = E_4$ is the weight 4 modular form with respect to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We apply our ideas to the series $\tilde{F} = F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)^2$, which satisfies a differential equation of order 6. Then, clearly, $\tilde{\Theta} = E_4$ and $t(\tau)$ is still $1/J(\tau)$. Notice also that $1/J$ has a pole at $\tau = i$ and E_4 has a zero of order $1/3$ at $(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$. So $r = 3$.

Lemma 6.3. *Denote the coefficients of $\tilde{F}(t)$ by \tilde{g}_k and the p -truncation of $\tilde{F}(t)$ by $\tilde{F}_p(t)$. Then $\tilde{g}_p = 2g_p$ and $\tilde{g}_1 = 2g_1$. Moreover, $g_p \equiv 1728^{1-p}g_1 \pmod{p^3}$. Finally,*

$$\tilde{F}_p(t) \equiv F_p(t)^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. Let p be a prime > 3 . By examination of the coefficients $g_k = (1/6)_k(1/2)_k(5/6)_k/k!^3$ one finds that g_k is divisible by p when $p/2 > k > p/6$, divisible by p^2 when $5p/6 > k > p/2$ and divisible by p^3 when $p > k > 5p/6$. Consequently $F_p(t)^2$ equals modulo p^3 a polynomial of degree $< p$. So this must be $\tilde{F}_p(t)$.

Secondly, $\tilde{g}_p = \sum_{k=0}^p g_k g_{p-k}$. By our remark on divisibility of g_k by powers of p , we find that all terms of this summation are 0 modulo p^3 , except when $k = 0, p$. This gives us $\tilde{g}_p \equiv 2g_p$. The equality $\tilde{g}_1 = 2g_1$ is immediate.

Thirdly note that $1728^k g_k = \binom{6k}{3k} \binom{3k}{k} \binom{2k}{k}$. Then the congruence $g_p \equiv 1728^{1-p}g_1 \pmod{p^3}$ follows from Wolstenholme's theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.23. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 5.1 we construct a polynomial $T_p(x, y)$ such that $T_p(E_4(\tau)/E_4(p\tau), t(\tau)) = 0$ and $T_p(x, y) \equiv A(y)x^p + B(y)x^{p+1} \pmod{p^3}$ with $\deg(A) \leq p/3$ and $\deg(B) \leq (p+1)/3$. Hence, repeating the proof of Theorem 6.1, we find that

$$\tilde{F}(t) \equiv \tilde{F}(t^\sigma)(\tilde{F}_p(t) + (\tilde{g}_p - 1728^{1-p}\tilde{g}_1)t^p) \pmod{p^3},$$

where \tilde{g}_k is the k -th coefficient of $\tilde{F}(t)$. Using Lemma 6.3 we see that the coefficient of t^p is $0 \pmod{p^3}$. By the same lemma we also replace $\tilde{F}_p(t)$ by $F_p(t)^2$ modulo p^3 . Hence we find

$$F(t)^2 \equiv F(t^\sigma)^2 F_p(t)^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

As power series in t we can conclude that $F(t) \equiv F(t^\sigma)F_p(t) \pmod{p^3}$. For the specialization step like in Corollary 5.7 we need to retain the squared version. The analogue of this corollary yields a statement of the form $F_p(t(\tau_0))^2 \equiv \vartheta_p \pmod{p^3}$, where ϑ_p is the unit root value among $E_4(\tau_0)/E_4(p\tau_0)$ and $p^4 E_4(\tau_0)/E_4((\tau_0 + k)/p)$ with $k = 0, \dots, p-1$.

For any p that splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ we write $p = |c\omega_D + d|^2$. Note $\pi = c\omega_D + d$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = 1$ and set $\tau_0 = \frac{a\omega_D + b}{c\omega_D + d}$. Clearly $t(\tau_0) = t(\omega_D)$ and $E_4(\tau_0) = (c\omega_D + d)^4 E_4(\omega_D)$. But also $p\tau_0 = \omega_D + k$ for some integer k , hence $E_4(p\tau_0) = E_4(\omega_D)$. Thus we conclude that $F(t(\omega_D))^2 \equiv \pi^4 \pmod{p^3}$. The first congruence statement now follows. The Van Hamme-type congruence follows in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.18. \square

7. APPENDIX A

Modular subgroups and their functions and forms. We use the standard notations

$$\eta_N = \eta(q^N) \quad \text{where} \quad \eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{k>0} (1 - q^k)$$

$$E_{2,N} = E_2(q) - NE_2(q^N) \quad \text{where} \quad E_2(q) = 1 - 24 \sum_{k>0} \frac{kq^k}{1 - q^k}.$$

When h is a modular function (or form), we denote by $h(q)$ its q -expansion and by the notation $h(\tau)$ we consider h as a function on the complex upper half plane with parameter τ . So the notation is a bit context dependent. We trust that this will not lead to confusion. The relation between these arguments is always $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$.

In the following listing we choose the Hauptmoduln h_N for each level N in such a way that $h_N(q) = q + O(q^2)$ and $w_N h_N(\tau) h_N(-1/N\tau) = 1$ for some integer w_N .

7.1. The group $\Gamma_0(2)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_2 := \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_1} \right)^{24}, \quad w_2 = 4096$$

The space $M_2(\Gamma_0(2))$ is spanned by $E_{2,2}$

7.2. The group $\Gamma_0(3)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_3 := \left(\frac{\eta_3}{\eta_1} \right)^{12}, \quad w_3 = 729$$

The space $M_1(\Gamma_0(3), \chi_{-3})$ is spanned by

$$\theta_3 := 1 + 6 \sum_{k>0} \chi_{-3}(k) \frac{1}{1 - q^k} = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2 + xy + y^2}$$

The space $M_2(\Gamma_0(3))$ is spanned by $E_{2,3}$.

7.3. The group $\Gamma_0(4)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_4 := \left(\frac{\eta_4}{\eta_1} \right)^8, \quad w_4 = 256$$

The space $M_1(\Gamma_0(4), \chi_{-4})$ is spanned by

$$\theta_4 := 1 + 4 \sum_{k>0} \chi_{-4}(k) \frac{1}{1-q^k} = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2+y^2} = \frac{\eta_2^{10}}{\eta_1^4 \eta_4^4}$$

The space $M_2(\Gamma_0(4))$ is spanned by $E_{2,4} = -3\theta_4^2$ and $E_{2,2}$.

7.4. The group $\Gamma_0(5)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_5 := \left(\frac{\eta_5}{\eta_1} \right)^6, \quad w_5 = 125$$

Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_1(5)$ is

$$t_5 := q \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - q^k)^{5\chi_5(k)}$$

We have

$$h_5 = \frac{t_5}{1 - 11t_5 - t_5^2}$$

The space $M_1(\Gamma_1(5))$ is spanned by

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k>0} ((3-i)\chi(k) + (3+i)\overline{\chi}(k)) \frac{q^k}{1-q^k}, \quad \chi(2) = i$$

The space $M_2(\Gamma_0(5))$ is spanned by $E_{2,5}$. The space $M_2(\Gamma_0(5), \chi_5)$ is spanned by

$$\frac{\eta_5^5}{\eta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\eta_1^5}{\eta_5}$$

7.5. The group $\Gamma_0(6)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_6 := \frac{\eta_6^5 \eta_2}{\eta_1^5 \eta_3}, \quad w_6 = 72$$

Space $M_1(\Gamma_0(6), \chi_{-3})$ is spanned by $\theta_3(q)$ and $\theta_3(q^2)$. Alternative basis,

$$\frac{1}{3}\theta_3(q) + \frac{2}{3}\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\eta_2 \eta_3^6}{\eta_1^2 \eta_6^3}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\theta_3(q) + \frac{1}{2}\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\eta_3 \eta_2^6}{\eta_6^2 \eta_1^3}$$

We also have

$$-\theta_3(q) + 2\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\eta_1^6 \eta_6}{\eta_2^3 \eta_3^2}, \quad \frac{1}{6}\theta_3(q) - \frac{1}{6}\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\eta_6^6 \eta_1}{\eta_3^3 \eta_2^2}$$

Space $M_2(\Gamma_0(6))$ spanned by $E_{2,2}, E_{2,3}$ and $E_{2,6}$.

7.6. The group $\Gamma_0(7)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_7 := \frac{\eta_7^4}{\eta_1^4}, \quad w_7 = 49$$

7.7. The group $\Gamma_0(8)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_8 := \frac{\eta_2^2 \eta_8^4}{\eta_1^4 \eta_4^2}, \quad w_8 = 32$$

The space $M_1(8, 1, \chi_{-4})$ is spanned by $\theta_4(q), \theta_4(q^2)$. The space $M_1(8, 1, \chi_{-8})$ has dimension 1.

7.8. The group $\Gamma_0(9)$. Hauptmodul

$$h_9 := \frac{\eta_9^3}{\eta_1^3}, \quad w_9 = 27$$

The space $M_1(9, \chi_{-3})$ is spanned by $\theta_3(q), \theta_3(q^3)$.

7.9. **The group $\Gamma_0(10)$. Hauptmodul**

$$h_{10} := \frac{\eta_2 \eta_{10}^3}{\eta_5 \eta_1^3}, \quad w_{10} = 20$$

7.10. **The group $\Gamma_0(12)$. Hauptmodul**

$$h_{12} := \frac{\eta_2^2 \eta_3 \eta_{12}^3}{\eta_1^3 \eta_4 \eta_6^2}, \quad w_{12} = 12$$

7.11. **The group $\Gamma_0(18)$. Hauptmodul**

$$h_{18} := \frac{\eta_2 \eta_3 \eta_{18}^2}{\eta_1^2 \eta_6 \eta_9}, \quad w_{18} = 6$$

8. APPENDIX B: SECOND ORDER EQUATIONS

In this section we list second order differential equation that were taken from some hypergeometric equations, whose monodromy group is defined over \mathbb{Z} , and Apéry-like equations whose numbering is taken from Zagier's paper [38]. We also list the mirror map and the associated form Θ . Furthermore we list (hopefully complete) rational CM-values, for us as argument in the supercongruence applications. Note that in the case hypergeometric $F(1/4, 3/4; 1|t)$ the function Θ is not a modular form (its square is). In that case one still finds many supercongruences, which can be shown in a manner parallel to the proof of Theorem 1.23. Note that for hypergeometric $F(1/6, 5/6; 1|t)$ the function t is not modular.

8.1. **Hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2, 1, t)$. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(\theta_t + 1/2)^2$**

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \left(\frac{(1/2)_n}{n!} \right)^2 = \binom{-1/2}{n}^2$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 16 \frac{\eta_4^{16} \eta_1^8}{\eta_2^{24}} = 16 \frac{h_4}{1 + 16h_4} = -16h_4(-q), \quad \text{pole: } 1/2$$

$$\Theta(q) = \theta_4 = \frac{\eta_2^{10}}{\eta_1^4 \eta_4^4}$$

$$t(q)\Theta(q)^2 = 16 \frac{\eta_4^8}{\eta_2^4} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n(n+1)/2} \right)^4$$

$$\text{Cusp values: } t(0) = 1, \quad t(1/2) = \infty$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t(i/2) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad t((i+1)/2) = -1, \quad t((1+i)/4) = 2$$

$$\text{Some quadratic CM-values: } t(i) = (1 - \sqrt{2})^4, \quad t((2+i)/5) = (1 + \sqrt{2})^4$$

$$t(\sqrt{-2}/2) = (1 - \sqrt{2})^2, \quad t((2 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = (1 + \sqrt{2})^2$$

8.2. **Hypergeometric $F(1/3, 2/3, 1, t)$. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(\theta_t + 1/3)(\theta_t + 2/3)$**

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/3)_n (2/3)_n}{n!^2} = \binom{-1/3}{n} \binom{-2/3}{n}$$

$$t(q) = 27 \frac{h_3}{1 + 27h_3}, \quad \text{pole: } (3 + \sqrt{-3})/6$$

$$\Theta(q) = \theta_3 = 1 + 6 \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi_{-3}(n) \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}$$

$$t(q)\Theta(q)^3 = 27 \frac{\eta_3^9}{\eta_1^3} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^2 \chi_{-3}(n/d) q^n$$

$$\text{Rational cusp values and CM-values: } t(0) = 1, \quad t(\sqrt{-3}/3) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = -\frac{1}{8}, \quad t((-1 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = \frac{9}{8}$$

8.3. **Hypergeometric** $F(1/4, 3/4, 1, t)$. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(\theta_t + 1/4)(\theta_t + 3/4)$

$$t(q) = 64 \frac{h_2}{1 + 64h_2} \quad \text{pole: } (1 + i)/2$$

$$\Theta(q)^2 = -E_{2,2}$$

$$t(q)\Theta(q)^4 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^3 \chi_2(n/d) q^n, \quad \text{where } \chi_2(m) = 1 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd, and } 0 \text{ if } m \text{ is even}$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t((1 + \sqrt{-7})/2) = -\frac{1}{63}, \quad t((-1 + \sqrt{-7})/8) = \frac{64}{63},$$

$$t(i) = \frac{1}{9}, \quad t(i/2) = \frac{8}{9}, \quad t(\sqrt{-2}/2) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad t((-1 + \sqrt{-3})/4) = \frac{4}{3}$$

8.4. **Hypergeometric** $F(1/6, 5/6, 1, t)$. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(\theta_t + 1/6)(\theta_t + 5/6)$

$$t(q) = \frac{864\Delta}{E_4^{3/2}(E_4^{3/2} + E_6)}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{4(t(q) - t(q)^2)}$$

$$\Theta(q)^4 = E_4$$

$$2t(q)\Theta(q)^6 = \Theta(q)^6 - E_6$$

8.5. **Zagier A (Franel numbers)**. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(7\theta_t^2 + 7\theta_t + 2) - 8t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \frac{\eta_1^3 \eta_6^9}{\eta_2^3 \eta_3^9} = \frac{h_6}{1 + 8h_6}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{1}{3}\theta_3(q) + \frac{2}{3}\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\eta_2 \eta_3^6}{\eta_1^2 \eta_6^3}$$

$$\text{Cusp values: } t(0) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad t(1/2) = -1$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = \frac{1}{2}$$

8.6. **Zagier B**. Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(9\theta_t^2 + 9\theta_t + 3) + 27t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k 3^{n-3k} \frac{n!}{(n-3k)!k!^3}$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = -\frac{\eta_9^3}{\eta_1^3} = -h_9 \quad M = -1$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_1^3}{\eta_3} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta_3(q) + \frac{3}{2}\theta_3(q^3)$$

$$\text{Rational CM-value: } t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = \frac{1}{9} \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/18) = \frac{1}{3}$$

8.7. **Zagier C.** Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(10\theta_t^2 + 10\theta_t + 3) + 9t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k}$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_6^2 \eta_1}{\eta_2^2 \eta_3} \right)^4 = \frac{h_6}{1 + 9h_6}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_3 \eta_2^6}{\eta_1^3 \eta_6^2}$$

$$\text{Cusp values: } t(0) = 1/9, \quad t(1/3) = 1$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = \frac{1}{3}$$

8.8. **Zagier D (Apéry numbers).** Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(11\theta_t^2 + 11\theta_t + 3) - t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = q \prod_{n>0} (1 - q^n)^{5(\frac{n}{5})}$$

$$\Theta(q) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k>0} ((3-i)\chi(k) + (3+i)\overline{\chi}(k)) \frac{q^k}{1-q^k}, \quad \chi(2) = i$$

$$t(q)\Theta(q)^2 = \frac{\eta_5^5}{\eta_1}$$

8.9. **Zagier E.** Differential operator $\theta_t^2 - t(12\theta_t^2 + 12\theta_t + 4) + 32t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} 4^{n-2k} \binom{n}{2k} \binom{2k}{k}^2$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_1^2 \eta_4 \eta_8^2}{\eta_2^5} \right)^2 = \frac{h_8}{1 + 8h_8}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \theta_4 = \frac{\eta_2^{10}}{\eta_1^4 \eta_4^4}$$

$$\text{Cusp values: } t(0) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad t(1/4) = \frac{1}{4}$$

8.10. **Zagier F.** Differential operator: $\theta^2 - t(17\theta_t^2 + 17\theta_t + 6) + 72t^2(\theta_t + 1)^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k 8^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^3$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = -\frac{\eta_6^5 \eta_2}{\eta_1^5 \eta_3} = -h_6, \quad M = -1$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_1^6 \eta_6}{\eta_2^3 \eta_3^2} = -\theta_3(q) + 2\theta_3(q^2)$$

$$\text{Cusp values: } t(1/2) = \frac{1}{9}, \quad t(1/3) = \frac{1}{8}$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = \frac{1}{6}$$

9. APPENDIX C: THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

This section lists third order equations and their corresponding mirror maps, modular forms and rational CM-values taken from the following sources. First of all the hypergeometric equations, whose monodromy group is defined over \mathbb{Z} . Then a list of Apéry like equations which are described in Almqvist and Zudilin's paper [1]. Their numbering by Greek letters can be traced back to this paper. In that paper there is also an extensive description of their relation with second order equations. Furthermore we listed the sporadic sequences Cooper s_7, s_{10}, s_{18} found by Cooper in [9]. The last two examples are Hadamard products of the central binomial coefficient $\frac{1}{4^n} \binom{2n}{n}$ with the coefficients of the solution of a second order equation. We take the Apéry numbers and Franel numbers for the latter. The corresponding supercongruences are quite popular in Z.W.Sun's list [32] and the papers [30] and [29].

Many of the formulas in this section can also be found in Cooper's book [10]. We remark that in the case hypergeometric $F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)$ the function Θ is not modular (but its square is). Nevertheless there are some very interesting supercongruences associated to it, see Theorem 1.23.

9.1. Hypergeometric $F(1/2, 1/2, 1/2; 1, 1|t)$. Differential operator: $\theta^3 - t(\theta + 1/2)^3$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/2)_n^3}{n!^3} = \frac{1}{64^n} \binom{2n}{n}^3.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 64 \left(\frac{\eta_1 \eta_4}{\eta_2^2} \right)^{24} = \frac{64h_4}{(1 + 16h_4)^2}, \quad \text{pole: } 1/2$$

$$\Theta(q) = \theta_4^2, \quad t\Theta^4 = 64 \left(\frac{\eta_1 \eta_4}{\eta_2} \right)^8$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t(i/2) = 1, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-2})/2) = -1, \quad t(\sqrt{-3}/2) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/4) = 4, \quad t((1 + i)/2) = -8, \quad t(1/2 + i) = -\frac{1}{8},$$

$$t((3 + \sqrt{-7})/8) = 64, \quad t(\sqrt{-7}/2) = \frac{1}{64}$$

9.2. Hypergeometric $F(1/4, 1/2, 3/4; 1, 1|t)$.

Differential operator: $\theta^3 - t(\theta + 1/4)(\theta + 1/2)(\theta + 3/4)$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/4)_n (1/2)_n (3/4)_n}{n!^3} = \frac{1}{256^n} \frac{(4n)!}{n!^4}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 256 \frac{h_2}{(1 + 64h_2)^2} \quad \text{pole: } (1 + i)/2$$

$$-\Theta(q) = E_{2,2} \quad t\Theta^4 = 256(\eta_1 \eta_2)^8$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t(\sqrt{-1/2}) = 1, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-5})/2) = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad t(\sqrt{-3/2}) = \frac{1}{9},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = -\frac{16}{9}, \quad t((1 + 3i)/2) = -\frac{1}{48}, \quad t(1 + i)/4 = \frac{32}{81},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-5/2}) = \frac{1}{81}, \quad t(1 + \sqrt{-7})/4 = \frac{256}{81}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-13})/2) = -\frac{1}{324},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-7})/2) = -\frac{256}{3969}, \quad t(3\sqrt{-2}/2) = \frac{1}{7^4}, \quad t(\sqrt{-11/2}) = \frac{1}{9801},$$

$$t((1 + 5i)/2) = -\frac{1}{25920}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-37})/2) = -\frac{1}{777924}, \quad t(\sqrt{-29/2}) = \frac{1}{96059601}$$

9.3. Hypergeometric $F(1/3, 1/2, 2/3; 1, 1|t)$.

Differential operator: $\theta^3 - t(\theta + 1/3)(\theta + 1/2)(\theta + 3/4)$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/3)_n (1/2)_n (2/3)_n}{n!^3} = \frac{1}{108^n} \frac{(3n)!(2n)!}{n!^5}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 108 \frac{h_3}{(1 + 27h_3)^2} \quad \text{pole: } (3 + \sqrt{-3})/6$$

$$-2\Theta(q) = E_{2,3} \quad t\Theta^3 = 108(\eta_1\eta_3)^6$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t(\sqrt{-3}/3) = 1, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-15})/6) = -4, \quad t(\sqrt{-6}/3) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-2})/3) = \frac{3^3}{2}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-17/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{2^4}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/2) = -\frac{3^2}{2^4},$$

$$t(1 + \sqrt{-11})/6 = \frac{3^3}{2^4}, \quad t(1 + 5\sqrt{-1/3})/2 = -\frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^4}, \quad t(\sqrt{-5}/3) = \frac{2^2}{5^3},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-41/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{2^{10}}, \quad t((1 + 7\sqrt{-1/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 2^4}$$

9.4. Hypergeometric $F(1/6, 1/2, 5/6; 1, 1|t)$.

Differential operator: $\theta^3 - t(\theta + 1/6)(\theta + 1/2)(\theta + 5/6)$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/6)_n (1/2)_n (5/6)_n}{n!^3} = \frac{1}{1728^n} \frac{(6n)!}{(3n)!n!^3}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \frac{1}{J}$$

$$\Theta(q)^2 = E_4, \quad t\Theta^6 = 12^3 \Delta$$

9.5. Apéry₃, Almquist (γ).

Differential operator: $(1 - 34t + t^2)\theta^3 + (-51t + 3t^2)\theta^2 + (-27t + 3t^2)\theta - 5t + t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_1\eta_6}{\eta_2\eta_3} \right)^{12} = \frac{h_6}{(1 + 8h_6)(1 + 9h_6)}, \quad \text{pole: } 1/2$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{(\eta_2\eta_3)^7}{(\eta_1\eta_6)^5}$$

$$\text{Rational CM-values: } t((2 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = 1, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = -1$$

9.6. Case (η).

Differential operator: $(1 - 22t + 125t^2)\theta^3 + (-33t + 375t^2)\theta^2 + (-21t + 375t^2)\theta - 5t + 125t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}^3 \left(\binom{4n-5k-1}{3n} + \binom{4n-5k}{3n} \right).$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = -\left(\frac{\eta_5}{\eta_1} \right)^6 \quad M = -1$$

No rational CM-values found.

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_1^5}{\eta_5}$$

9.7. Domb, Almqvist (α).

 Differential operator: $(1 - 20t + 64t^2)\theta^3 + (-30t + 192t^2)\theta^2 + (-18t + 192t^2)\theta - 4t + 64t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \frac{(\eta_1\eta_3\eta_4\eta_{12})^6}{(\eta_2\eta_6)^{12}} = \frac{h_{12}(1+3h_{12})(1+4h_{12})}{(1+2h_{12})^2(1+6h_{12})^2}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \sum_{x,y,z,u \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2+y^2+3z^2+3u^2} = \frac{\eta_2^{10}\eta_6^{10}}{\eta_1^4\eta_4^4\eta_3^4\eta_{12}^4}$$

 Rational CM-values: $t((9 + \sqrt{-15})/24) = 1$, $t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $t(3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = \frac{1}{4}$,

$$t(1 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-2/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{8}, \quad t(\sqrt{-3}/6) = \frac{1}{16},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-4/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{32}, \quad t(\sqrt{-15}/30) = \frac{1}{64}$$

9.8. Almqvist-Zudilin, Almqvist (δ).

 Differential operator: $(1 - 14t + 81t^2)\theta^3 + (-21t + 243t^2)\theta^2 + (-13t + 243t^2)\theta - 3t + 81t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k 3^{n-3k} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!^4(n-3k)!}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_3\eta_6}{\eta_1\eta_2} \right)^4 = \frac{h_{12}(1+2h_{12})^2(1+4h_{12})}{(1+3h_{12})(1+6h_{12})^2}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_1^3\eta_2^3}{\eta_3\eta_6}$$

 rational CM-values: $t((3 + \sqrt{-2})/6) = -1$, $t((2 + i)/6) = \frac{1}{3}$, $t((3 + \sqrt{-3})/12) = \frac{1}{9}$,

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-2/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{9}, \quad t(i/2) = \frac{1}{27}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-2})/2) = -\frac{1}{81}$$

9.9. Almqvist (ϵ).

 Differential operator: $(1 - 24t + 16t^2)\theta^3 + (-36t + 48t^2)\theta^2 + (-20t + 48t^2)\theta - 4t + 16t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{n}^2.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_1\eta_8}{\eta_2\eta_4} \right)^8 = \frac{h_8}{(1+4h_8)(1+8h_8)}, \quad \text{pole: } 1/2$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_2^6\eta_4^6}{\eta_1^4\eta_8^4}$$

 Rational CM-values: $t((5 + \sqrt{-7})/16) = 1$, $t((2 + \sqrt{-2})/4) = -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$t((1 + i)/4) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-7})/8) = \frac{1}{16}$$

9.10. Almqvist (ζ).

Differential operator: $(1 - 18t - 27t^2)\theta^3 + (-27t - 81t^2)\theta^2 + (-15t - 81t^2)\theta - 3t - 27t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k,\ell} \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{n}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \left(\frac{\eta_1 \eta_9}{\eta_3^2} \right)^6 = \frac{h_9}{1 + 9h_9 + 27h_9^2}, \quad \text{pole: } 1/3$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{\eta_3^{10}}{\eta_1^3 \eta_9^3}$$

Rational CM-values: $t((5 + \sqrt{-11})/18) = 1$, $t((4 + \sqrt{-2})/9) = -1$, $t((1 + \sqrt{-1/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{3}$,

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-3})/6) = \frac{1}{9}, \quad t(\sqrt{-2}/3) = \frac{1}{27}, \quad t((3 + \sqrt{-11})/6) = -\frac{1}{27}$$

9.11. Cooper s_7 .

Differential operator: $(1 - 26t - 27t^2)\theta^3 + (-39t - 81t^2)\theta^2 + (-21t - 78t^2)\theta - 4t - 24t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{2k}{n}.$$

$$t(q) = \frac{h_7}{1 + 13h_7 + 49h_7^2}, \quad \text{pole: } (5 + \sqrt{-3})/14$$

$$-6\Theta(q) = E_{2,7} \quad t\Theta^{3/2} = (\eta_1 \eta_7)^3$$

Rational CM-values: $t((1 + \sqrt{-1/7})/2) = -1$, $t((2 + \sqrt{-3})/7) = \frac{1}{2}$, $t((3 + \sqrt{-19})/14) = \frac{1}{8}$,

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-5/7})/2) = -\frac{1}{8}, \quad t((1 + 3\sqrt{-3})/14) = \frac{1}{24}, \quad t(\sqrt{-1/7}) = \frac{1}{27},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-13/7})/2) = -\frac{1}{64}, \quad t(\sqrt{-4/7}) = \frac{1}{125}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-61/7})/2) = -\frac{1}{10648}$$

9.12. Yang-Zudilin, Cooper s_{10} .

Differential operator: $(1 - 12t - 64t^2)\theta^3 + (-18t - 192t^2)\theta^2 + (-10t - 188t^2)\theta - 2t - 60t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^4.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = h_{10} \frac{(1 + 4h_{10})(1 + 5h_{10})}{(1 + 8h_{10} + 20h_{10}^2)^2}$$

We also have

$$h_{10}^* := \frac{1}{h_{10}} + 9 + 20h_{10} = \left(\frac{\eta_2 \eta_5}{\eta_1 \eta_{10}} \right)^6$$

So

$$t(q) = \frac{h_{10}^*}{(1 - h_{10}^*)^2}$$

$$4\Theta(q) = E_{2,2} + E_{2,5} - E_{2,10}, \quad t\Theta^2 = \eta_1^4 \eta_5^4 + 4\eta_2^4 \eta_{10}^4$$

Rational CM-values: $t((5 + \sqrt{-15})/20) = 1$, $t((2 + i)/5) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $t((2 + \sqrt{-6})/10) = \frac{1}{4}$,

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-1/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-3/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{9}, \quad t(1 + 3i)/10 = \frac{1}{12},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-1/10}) = \frac{1}{16}, \quad t((1 + i)/2) = -\frac{1}{20}, \quad t(\sqrt{-3/10}) = \frac{1}{36},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-9/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{64}, \quad t(\sqrt{-7/10}) = \frac{1}{196}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-17/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{324},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-13/10}) = \frac{1}{1296}, \quad t(\sqrt{-19/10}) = \frac{1}{5776}$$

9.13. Cooper s_{18} .

 Differential operator: $(1 - 28t + 192t^2)\theta^3 + (-42t + 576t^2)\theta^2 + (-26t + 564t^2)\theta - 6t + 180t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \left(\binom{2n-3k-1}{n} + \binom{2n-3k}{n} \right).$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = \frac{h_{18}(1+2h_{18})(1+3h_{18})(1+3h_{18}+3h_{18}^2)(1+6h_{18}+12h_{18}^2)}{(1+6h_{18}+6h_{18}^2)^4}$$

$$4\Theta(q) = E_{2,2} + 2E_{2,3} - 2E_{2,6} - E_{2,9} + E_{2,18}, \quad t\Theta^2 = \eta_3^8 + 4\eta_6^8$$

 Rational CM-values: $t((3+\sqrt{-5})/6) = -\frac{1}{4}$, $t((3+\sqrt{-7})/12) = \frac{1}{9}$, $t((3+\sqrt{-7})/6) = -\frac{1}{9}$,

$$t((1+i)/6) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad t(\sqrt{-2}/6) = \frac{1}{16}, \quad t(i/3) = \frac{1}{18},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-10}/6) = \frac{1}{36}, \quad t((3+\sqrt{-13})/6) = -\frac{1}{36}, \quad t(\sqrt{-22}/6) = \frac{1}{144},$$

$$t(3+5i)/6) = -\frac{1}{180}, \quad t((3+\sqrt{-37})/6) = -\frac{1}{576}$$

9.14. Apéry₂ \odot central.

 Differential operator: $(1 - 11t - t^2)\theta^3 + (-33t/2 - 3t^2)\theta^2 + (-17t/2 - 11t^2/4) - 3t/2 - 3t^2/4$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/2)_n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 4 \frac{h_5}{1 + 22h_5 + 125h_5^2}, \quad \text{pole: } (2+i)/5$$

$$-4\Theta(q) = E_{2,5} \quad t\Theta^2 = (\eta_1\eta_5)^4$$

 Rational CM-values: $t((3+\sqrt{-11})/10) = 1$, $t((1+\sqrt{-3/5})/2) = -\frac{4}{3}$, $t((1+\sqrt{-7/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{7}$,

$$t((1+\sqrt{-19})/10) = \frac{1}{9}, \quad t((-1+2i)/5) = \frac{2}{9}, \quad t(\sqrt{-2/5}) = \frac{1}{18},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-3/5}) = \frac{4}{147}, \quad t((1+\sqrt{-23/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{207}, \quad t((1+\sqrt{-47/5})/2) = -\frac{1}{3807}$$

9.15. Franel \odot central.

 Differential operator: $(1 - 7t - 8t^2)\theta^3 + (-21t/2 - 24t^2)\theta^2 + (-11t/2 - 22t^2)\theta - t - 6t^2$

$$\text{Coefficients: } g_n = \frac{(1/2)_n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^3.$$

$$\text{Mirror map: } t(q) = 4 \frac{h_6 + 17h_6^2 + 72h_6^3}{(1 + 16h_6 + 72h_6^2)^2}$$

We also have

$$h_6^* := \frac{1}{h_6} + 17 + 72h_6 = \left(\frac{\eta_2\eta_3}{\eta_1\eta_6} \right)^{12}$$

and

$$t(q) = \frac{h_6^*}{(1 - h_6^*)^2}$$

$$\Theta(q) = \frac{(\eta_2\eta_3)^7}{(\eta_1\eta_6)^5} - \frac{(\eta_1\eta_6)^7}{(\eta_2\eta_3)^5}, \quad t\Theta^2 = (\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3\eta_6)^2$$

 Rational CM-values: $t((1+\sqrt{-1/3})/2) = -1$, $t((1+\sqrt{-5})/6) = \frac{1}{4}$, $t((1+i)/2) = -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$t((3+\sqrt{-15})/12) = \frac{4}{5}, \quad t(\sqrt{-1/6}) = \frac{1}{8}, \quad t(\sqrt{-1/2}) = \frac{1}{24},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-1/3}) = \frac{2}{25}, \quad t(1+\sqrt{-7/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{28}, \quad t((1+\sqrt{-5/3})/2) = -\frac{4}{49},$$

$$t(\sqrt{-5/6}) = \frac{1}{80}, \quad t((1 + \sqrt{-11/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{100}, \quad t(\sqrt{-7/6}) = \frac{1}{224},$$

$$t((1 + \sqrt{-19/3})/2) = -\frac{1}{676}, \quad t(\sqrt{-13/6}) = \frac{1}{2600}, \quad t(\sqrt{-17/6}) = \frac{1}{9800}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Almkvist, W. Zudilin, *Differential equations, mirror maps and zeta values*. In Mirror symmetry. V, volume 38 of AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., pages 481–515. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [2] G. Almkvist, D. van Straten, W. Zudilin, *Generalizations of Clausen’s formula and algebraic transformations of Calabi-Yau differential equations*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 54 (2011), 273–295.
- [3] G. Almkvist, C. van Enkevort, D. van Straten, W. Zudilin, *Tables of Calabi-Yau equations*, arXiv:math/0507430.
- [4] K. Bönisch, M. Elmi, A.K. Kashani-Poor, A. Klemm, *Time reversal and CP invariance in Calabi-Yau compactifications*, JHEP 09 (2022) 019, also available at arXiv:2204.06506
- [5] F. Beukers, M. Vlasenko, *Dwork crystals II*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2021 (2021), 4427–4444
- [6] F. Beukers, M. Vlasenko, *Dwork crystals III: from excellent Frobenius lifts towards supercongruences*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2023 (2023), 20433–20483.
- [7] H.H. Chan, S. Cooper, *Rational analogues of Ramanujan’s series for $1/\pi$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 153 (2012), no.2, 361–383.
- [8] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, M. Elmi, D. van Straten, *A one parameter family of Calabi-Yau manifolds with attractor points of rank two*, JHEP 10 (2020) 202, also available at arxiv:math/1912.06146
- [9] S. Cooper, *Sporadic sequences, modular forms and new series for $1/\pi$* , Ramanujan J. 29 (2012), no.1-3, 163–183.
- [10] S. Cooper, *Ramanujan’s Theta Functions*, Springer Verlag, 2017.
- [11] D.A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* , Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, 2nd edition, 2013.
- [12] M. Deuring, *Die typen der multiplikatorenringe elliptischer funktionenkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 14 (1941) 197–272.
- [13] B. Dwork, *p-adic cycles*, Publications Mathématiques de l’I.H.É.S. 37 (1969), 27–115.
- [14] O. Gorodetsky, *New Representations for all Sporadic Apéry-like sequences, with applications to congruences*, Experimental Math. 32 (2023), 641–656, DOI: 10.1080/10586458.2021.1982080.
- [15] V.J.W. Guo, W. Zudilin, *Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative q-microscope*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 178 (2021), Art. 105362.
- [16] R. Osburn, A. Straub, W. Zudilin *A modular supercongruence for ${}_6F_5$: an Apéry-like story*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier 68:5 (2018), 1987–2004
- [17] L. van Hamme, *Proof of a conjecture of Beukers on Apéry numbers*, Proceedings of the conference on p-adic analysis held in Hengelhoef (Houthalen 1986), 189–195.
- [18] L. van Hamme, *Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 192 (1997), 223–236.
- [19] T. Kilbourn, *An extension of the Apéry number supercongruence*, Acta Arith. 123 (2006), 335–348.
- [20] L. Long, *Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences*, Pacific J. Math. 249(2), 405–418 (2011)
- [21] L. Long, R. Ramakrishna, *Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series*, Adv. Math. 290 (2016), 773–808.
- [22] L. Long, F-T. Tu, N. Yui, W. Zudilin, *Supercongruences for rigid hypergeometric type Calabi-Yau threefolds*. Adv. in Math. 393 (2021), Art. 108058.
- [23] G.S. Mao, R. Tauraso, *Two pairs of congruences concerning sums of central binomial coefficients*, arXiv:2004.09155v2
- [24] G.S. Mao, H. Pan, *Congruences corresponding to hypergeometric identities ${}_1{}_2F_1$ transformations*, J. Math. Analysis and Applications 505(2) (2022), 125527
- [25] A. Mellit, M. Vlasenko, *Dwork’s congruences for the constant terms of powers of a Laurent polynomial*, Int. J. Number Theory 12 (2016), no. 2, 313–321
- [26] E. Mortensen, *Supercongruences between truncated ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric functions and their gaussian analogues*, Transactions AMS 355 (2003), 987–1007.
- [27] E. Mortenson, *A p-adic supercongruence conjecture of Van Hamme*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136(12), 4321–4328
- [28] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, *Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, in: Calabi-Yau varieties and mirror symmetry (Toronto, ON, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun. 38 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003), 223–231.
- [29] Z.H. Sun, *Supercongruences involving Apéry numbers and binomial coefficients*, AIMS mathematics 7 (2022), 2729–2781, DOI: 10.3934/math.2022153
- [30] Z.H. Sun, *Congruences for sums involving Franel numbers*, Int. J. Number Theory 14(2018), no.1, 123–142.
- [31] Z.H. Sun, *Congruences concerning Legendre polynomials*, Proceedings of the AMS 139 (2011), 1915–1929.
- [32] Z.W. Sun, *Open conjectures on congruences*, Nanjing Univ. J. Math. Biquarterly 36 (2019), no. 1, 1–99. This is an update of arXiv:0911.5665 from 2011.

- [33] Z.W. Sun, *Super congruences and Euler numbers*, Sci. China Math.54(2011), no.12, 2509—2535.
- [34] Z.H. Sun, R. Tauraso, *New congruences for central binomial coefficients*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 45(2010), no. 1, 125–148.
- [35] W. Xia, Z.W. Sun, *On congruences involving Apéry numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.151(2023), no.8, 3305–3315.
- [36] J. Stienstra, F. Beukers, *On the Picard-Fuchs equation and the formal Brauer group of certain elliptic K3-surfaces*, Math. Ann. 271 (1985), 269–304.
- [37] H. Swisher, *On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme*, Res. Math. Sci 2:18 (2015), DOI 10.1186/s40687-015-0037-6.
- [38] D. Zagier, *Elliptic modular forms and their applications*. In The 1–2–3 of Modular Forms : Lectures at a Summer School in Nordfjordeid, Norway, K. Ranestad (ed.), Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2007), 1–103.
- [39] D. Zagier, *Integral solutions of Apéry-like recurrence equations* In Groups and Symmetries: From the Neolithic Scots to John McKay, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Vol. 47 (2009), Amer. Math. Society, 349–366. Also to be found in
<https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/zagier/files/tex/AperylikeRecEqs/fulltext.pdf>
- [40] W. Zudilin, *Ramanujan-type supercongruences*, J. Number Theor. 129(8), 1848–1857 (2009)

UTRECHT UNIVERSITY

Email address: f.beukers@uu.nl