

Extension Theory and Fermionic Strongly Fusion 2-Categories

Thibault D. Décoppet

March 2024

Abstract

We study group graded extensions of fusion 2-categories. As an application, we obtain a homotopy theoretic classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories. We examine various examples in detail.

Introduction

In the theory of fusion 1-categories over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, one of the main tools for constructing new fusion 1-categories out of the ones that are already known is the concept of a group graded extension as introduced in [ENO09]. Fusion 2-categories over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero were introduced in [DR18], and categorify the notion of a fusion 1-category. It is then only natural to investigate the notion of group graded extension for fusion 2-categories. More specifically, setting up group graded extension theory for fusion 2-categories is motivated by the problem of classifying fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories. In more detail, recall that a bosonic, resp. fermionic, strongly fusion 2-category is a fusion 2-category whose braided fusion 1-category of endomorphisms of the monoidal unit is \mathbf{Vect} , resp. \mathbf{SVect} . It was proven in [Déc22a] that, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, every fusion 2-category is Morita equivalent to the 2-Deligne tensor product of a strongly fusion 2-category and an invertible fusion 2-category, the latter corresponding to the data of a non-degenerate braided fusion 1-category. This motivates the problem of classifying strongly fusion 2-categories. Now, it was shown in [JFY21] that every simple object of such a fusion 2-category is invertible. In particular, strongly fusion 2-categories are group graded extensions of either $\mathbf{2Vect}$ or $\mathbf{2SVect}$. On the one hand, the classification of bosonic strongly fusion 2-categories is then routine: It consists of a finite group together with a 4-cocycle [DR18, section 2.1]. On the other hand, the classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories is more subtle, and does require the full strength of extension theory for fusion 2-categories. We ought to mention that, at a Physical level of rigor and in the context of the classification of topological

orders in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions, related results were already discussed in [LW19] and [JF22].

Extension Theory for Fusion 1-Categories

Let us fix a fusion 1-category \mathcal{C} . We can then consider the associated space of invertible (finite semisimple) \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule 1-categories, invertible bimodule functor, and bimodule natural isomorphisms. We denote this space by $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathcal{C})$, and refer to it as the Brauer-Picard space of \mathcal{C} . This space admits a canonical product structure given by the relative Deligne tensor product over \mathcal{C} . In fact, extension theory was the original motivation for the introduction of the relative Deligne tensor product of finite semisimple module 1-categories over a fusion 1-category [ENO09]. Thanks to this product, we may consider the delooping $B\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathcal{C})$. The main result of [ENO09] is that, given any finite group G , faithfully G -graded extensions of \mathcal{C} are parameterised by homotopy classes of maps from BG to $B\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathcal{C})$.

Results

Over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero, the existence of the relative 2-Deligne tensor product for finite semisimple module 2-categories over a fusion 2-category was established in [D  c23b]. In particular, for any fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} , one can consider the associated space $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$ of invertible \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{C} -bimodule 2-categories. We refer to this space as the Brauer-Picard space of \mathfrak{C} , and note that, by construction, it can be delooped. We obtain a categorification of the main theorem of [ENO09] to fusion 2-categories, noting that a more general result was announced in [JFR23b].

Theorem A. *For any finite group G , faithfully G -graded extensions of the fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} are classified by homotopy classes of maps from BG to $B\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$, the delooping of the Brauer-Picard space of \mathfrak{C} .*

We wish to remark that Tambara-Yamagami fusion 2-categories, which are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded fusion 2-categories whose non-trivially graded piece is $\mathbf{2Vect}$ were studied in [DY23] via different methods. In fact, unlike in the decategorified setting, it seems difficult to use extension theory to classify Tambara-Yamagami fusion 2-categories, as a the group $H^5(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{k}^\times)$, in which a certain obstruction lies, is non-zero.

Using results from [GJF19b] and [JFR23a], we perform a careful analysis of the structure of the Brauer-Picard space associated to the fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}$. When combined with the above theorem, it yields the following result.

Theorem B. *Fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories equipped with a faithful grading are classified by a finite group G together with a class ϖ in $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and a class π in $SH^{4+\varpi}(BG)$.*

In the Physics literature, versions of this classification have already appeared in [LW19], and [JF22, section V.D]. In particular, the notation $SH^{4+\varpi}(BG)$ refers

to ϖ -twisted super-cohomology, the twisted generalized cohomology theory associated to the space $\mathbf{2SVect}^\times$ of invertible objects and morphisms in $\mathbf{2SVect}$. It is instructive to briefly discuss how the above data can be recovered from a given a fermionic strongly fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} . The corresponding group G is the group of connected components of \mathfrak{C} . Further, the group of invertible objects of \mathfrak{C} is a central extension of G by $\mathbb{Z}/2$. However, it is not necessarily the extension corresponding to ϖ , rather it depends on the class π . We end by examining many examples of the above classification. In particular, we find that there are exactly three fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories whose group of connected components is $\mathbb{Z}/2^n$ for any positive integer n . We also study in detail the fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories whose group of connected components is $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$. Finally, it was asked in [JFY21] whether there exists a finite group G and a faithfully G -graded fermionic strongly fusion 2-category with trivial twist ϖ whose group of invertible objects is a non-trivial central extension of G . We show that this behaviour does occur when $G = Q_8$, the group of quaternions.

Acknowledgments

I am particularly indebted towards Theo Johnson-Freyd and David Reutter for sharing some of the ideas of their proof of the completely general version of group graded extension theory, which have inspired our proof of theorem 2.11, and towards Matthew Yu for help with the cohomology computations of section 3.1. This work was supported in part by the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Fusion 2-Categories

Over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero, the notions of finite semisimple 2-category and fusion 2-category were introduced in [DR18]. We succinctly review these definitions here, and refer the reader to the aforementioned reference and also [D  c22c] for details.

A (\mathbb{k} -linear) 2-category \mathfrak{C} is locally finite semisimple if its Hom -1-categories are finite semisimple. An object C of \mathfrak{C} is called simple if Id_C is a simple object of the finite semisimple 1-category $End_{\mathfrak{C}}(C)$. Then, a *finite semisimple* 2-category is a locally finite semisimple 2-category that is Cauchy complete in the sense of [GJF19a] (see also [D  c22b]), has right and left adjoints for 1-morphisms, and has finitely many equivalence classes of simple objects. In a finite semisimple 2-category \mathfrak{C} , any two simple objects are called connected if there exists a non-zero 1-morphism between them. This defines an equivalence relation, and we write $\pi_0(\mathfrak{C})$ for the corresponding set of equivalence classes; This is the set of *connected components* of \mathfrak{C} .

Now, the definition of a monoidal 2-category is well-known. In particular,

using the notations of [SP11], a monoidal structure on the 2-category \mathfrak{C} involves a 2-functor $\square : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, the monoidal product and a distinguished object I , the monoidal unit, together with various other coherence data. Given any object C of \mathfrak{C} , we can ask for the existence of its left dual ${}^{\sharp}C$. This notion was studied in [Pst22]. Categorifying the concept of a fusion 1-category is therefore straightforward, and we obtain the following definition.

Definition 1.1. A fusion 2-category is a finite semisimple monoidal 2-category \mathfrak{C} equipped such that every object C admits a right dual C^{\sharp} and a left dual ${}^{\sharp}C$, and whose monoidal unit I is a simple object.

To every fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} , there is an associated braided fusion 1-category $\Omega\mathfrak{C} := \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(I)$, the 1-category of endomorphisms of the monoidal unit I of \mathfrak{C} . Conversely, given any braided fusion 1-category \mathcal{B} , we can consider the fusion 2-category $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{B})$ of finite semisimple left \mathcal{B} -module 1-categories with monoidal structure given by $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}}$, the relative Deligne tensor product. Below, we give a few additional examples that will be relevant for our purposes. Many more may be found in [DR18] and [DY23], and others will be discussed subsequently.

Example 1.2. Given G a finite group, and π a 4-cocycle for G with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^{\times} , we can then consider the fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2Vect}^{\pi}(G)$ of G -graded finite semisimple 1-categories, also known as 2-vector spaces, with pentagonator twisted by π . Such fusion 2-categories were completely characterized in [JFY21] as those fusion 2-categories \mathfrak{C} for which $\Omega\mathfrak{C} \simeq \mathbf{Vect}$. We call these bosonic strongly fusion 2-categories.

1.2 Module 2-Categories and the Relative 2-Deligne Tensor Product

Let \mathfrak{C} be a monoidal 2-category with monoidal product \square , and monoidal unit I . A right \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category is a 2-category \mathfrak{M} equipped with an action 2-functor

$$\square : \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M},$$

and coherence data satisfying various axioms. Likewise, there is a notion of left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category. Additionally, there are notions of \mathfrak{C} -module 2-functors, \mathfrak{C} -module 2-natural transformations, and \mathfrak{C} -module modifications. There are also notions of bimodule 2-categories, and maps between them. We refer the reader to [Déc21, section 2] for the precise definitions.

Let us now assume that \mathfrak{C} is a fusion 2-category. If \mathfrak{M} is a left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category, then we can consider $\mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{M})$, the monoidal 2-category of \mathfrak{C} -module 2-endofunctors on \mathfrak{M} . The next result combines theorem 5.3.2 of [Déc23a] with corollary 5.1.3 of [Déc22a].

Theorem 1.3. *The monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is a multifusion 2-category.*

Moreover, the multifusion 2-category $\mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is fusion if and only if \mathfrak{M} is indecomposable as a finite semisimple left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category.

For our purposes, we need to consider another operation on finite semisimple module 2-categories. Let \mathfrak{M} be a finite semisimple right \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category and \mathfrak{N} be a finite semisimple left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category. There are notions of \mathfrak{C} -balanced 2-functors, \mathfrak{C} -balanced 2-natural transformations, and \mathfrak{C} -balanced modifications out of $\mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{N}$. We refer the reader to [Déc23b, section 2.2] for the details. The next result is a combination of theorem 2.2.4 of [Déc23b] with corollary 5.1.3 of [Déc22a].

Theorem 1.4. *There is a 3-universal \mathfrak{C} -balanced 2-functor $\boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}$ to a finite semisimple 2-category.*

In fact, the proof of theorem 2.2.4 of [Déc23b] gives an explicit description of $\mathfrak{M} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}$. More precisely, if A is a (necessarily separable) algebra in \mathfrak{C} such that \mathfrak{M} is equivalent to $\mathbf{LMod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A)$, the right \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category of left A -modules in \mathfrak{C} , and B is a (necessarily separable) algebra in \mathfrak{C} such that \mathfrak{N} is equivalent to $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A)$, the left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-category of right B -modules in \mathfrak{C} , then $\mathfrak{M} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N} \simeq \mathbf{Bimod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, B)$, the 2-category of A - B -bimodules in \mathfrak{C} .

Finally, let us recall that, as was explained in [Déc23b, section 3.2], the existence of the relative 2-Deligne tensor product allows us to consider the symmetric monoidal Morita 4-category $\mathbf{F2C}$ of (multi)fusion 2-categories, finite semisimple bimodule 2-categories, and bimodule morphisms.

2 Extension Theory

For fusion 1-categories over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, extension theory was developed in [ENO09]. The key concept is that of an invertible bimodule 1-category, or, more precisely, the space formed by such objects together with their invertible morphisms. Proceeding in a similar fashion, we will discuss extension theory for fusion 2-categories, i.e. we will study group graded fusion 2-categories in the sense of the definition below.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{C} be a fusion 2-category and G a finite group. A G -grading on \mathfrak{C} is a decomposition $\boxplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{C}_g$ of \mathfrak{C} into a direct sum such that for every C in \mathfrak{C}_g and D in \mathfrak{C}_h , $C \square D$ lies in \mathfrak{C}_{gh} . A G -grading on \mathfrak{C} is faithful if \mathfrak{C}_g is non-zero for every g in G .

2.1 Invertible Bimodule 2-Categories

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We begin by recalling a definition from [DY23]. Let \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{D} be two fusion 2-categories.

Definition 2.2. A finite semisimple \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{D} -bimodule 2-category \mathfrak{M} is invertible if the canonical monoidal 2-functor $\mathfrak{D}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{M})$ is an equivalence.

At the decategorified level, i.e. for fusion 1-categories, invertibility of a finite semisimple bimodule 1-category admits various equivalent characterizations as is explained in proposition 4.2 of [ENO09]. A categorified version of this result was given in [Déc23b], which we partially recall below.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{M} be a finite semisimple \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{D} -bimodule 2-category. The following are equivalent:*

1. *The \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{D} -bimodule 2-category \mathfrak{M} is invertible.*
2. *The \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{D} -bimodule 2-category \mathfrak{M} defines an invertible 1-morphism from \mathfrak{C} to \mathfrak{D} in $\mathbf{F2C}$.*

The next proposition gives preliminary insight into the relation between group-graded fusion 2-categories and invertible bimodule 2-categories. We note that the first part of the result below has already appeared as proposition 3.1.7 of [DY23]. We also refer the reader to theorem 6.1 of [ENO09] for the decategorified version of this proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a faithfully G -graded fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} with simple monoidal unit. For any $g \in G$, the finite semisimple \mathfrak{C}_e - \mathfrak{C}_e -bimodule 2-category \mathfrak{C}_g is invertible. Furthermore, for any $g, h \in G$, the 2-functor $\square : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathfrak{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}_e} \mathfrak{C}_h \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{C}_{gh}$$

of \mathfrak{C}_e - \mathfrak{C}_e -bimodule 2-categories.

Proof. The first part follows from the second, so we only prove the latter. For the second part, note that it is enough to show that the canonical 2-functor is an equivalence. To this end, pick any non-zero objects X in $\mathfrak{C}_{g^{-1}}$, and Y in \mathfrak{C}_h . It follows from the proof of theorem 5.4.3 of [Déc23a] that $Y \square^{\sharp} Y$ is a separable algebra in \mathfrak{C} , and that the left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-functor $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y \square^{\sharp} Y)$ given by $C \mapsto C \square^{\sharp} Y$ is an equivalence. Likewise, $X \square^{\sharp} X$ is a separable algebra in \mathfrak{C} , and the right \mathfrak{C} -module 2-functor $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X \square^{\sharp} X)$ given by $C \mapsto X \square C$ is an equivalence. In particular, we also find that the 2-functor $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X \square^{\sharp} X, Y \square^{\sharp} Y)$ given by $C \mapsto X \square C \square^{\sharp} Y$ is an equivalence. Under these identifications, as was recalled above, it follows from the proof of theorem 2.2.4 of [Déc23b] that the canonical 2-functor $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$ is identified with $\square : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, which is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X \square^{\sharp} X) \times \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(Y \square^{\sharp} Y) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Bimod}_{\mathfrak{C}}(X \square^{\sharp} X, Y \square^{\sharp} Y). \\ (M, N) & \mapsto & M \square N \end{array}$$

But, by considering the restriction $\mathfrak{C}_e \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, there are equivalences $\mathfrak{C}_h \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathfrak{C}_e}(Y \square^{\sharp} Y)$, $\mathfrak{C}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{LMod}_{\mathfrak{C}_e}(X \square^{\sharp} X)$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{gh} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bimod}_{\mathfrak{C}_e}(X \square^{\sharp} X, Y \square^{\sharp} Y)$ as above. In particular, the canonical 2-functor $\mathfrak{C}_g \times \mathfrak{C}_h \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{C}_e} \mathfrak{C}_h$ is identified with $\square : \mathfrak{C}_g \times \mathfrak{C}_h \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{gh}$ as claimed. \square

A similar argument as the one used in the proof of the above proposition yields the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *The canonical \mathfrak{C}_e - \mathfrak{C}_e -bimodule 2-functor $\mathfrak{C}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathfrak{C}_e}(\mathfrak{C}_g, \mathfrak{C}_e)$ given by $D \mapsto \{C \mapsto D \square C\}$ is an equivalence.*

2.2 Brauer-Picard Spaces and Extensions

Group-graded extensions of fusion 1-categories are parameterised by maps into the space of invertible bimodule 1-categories and their (invertible) higher morphisms [ENO09]. Thanks to the existence of the 4-category $\mathbf{F2C}$ obtained in [Déc23b], the corresponding spaces in our 2-categorical setup are easy to define.

Definition 2.6. Let \mathfrak{C} be a fusion 2-category. The Brauer-Picard space of \mathfrak{C} is the core of the monoidal 3-category of finite semisimple \mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{C} -bimodule 2-categories, that is

$$\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C}) := (\mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{F2C}}(\mathfrak{C}))^\times.$$

Remark 2.7. We will write $BrPic(\mathfrak{C}) := \pi_0(\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C}))$, the Brauer-Picard group of \mathfrak{C} . It follows from lemma 2.2.1 of [Déc22a] that $\Omega\mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{F2C}}(\mathfrak{C}) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$. Thus, the homotopy groups of the space $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$ are given as follows:

π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3
$BrPic(\mathfrak{C})$	$Inv(\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}))$	$Inv(\Omega\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}))$	\mathbb{k}^\times

Example 2.8. Taking $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{2Vect}$, we have that $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$ is the core of the symmetric monoidal 3-category of multifusion 1-categories, whose homotopy groups are well-known:

π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3
1	0	0	\mathbb{k}^\times

Example 2.9. More generally, we may also take $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{2Vect}_G^\pi$, in which case we have:

π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3
$H^3(G; \mathbb{k}^\times) \rtimes Out(G)$	$Z(G) \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{k}^\times)$	\widehat{G}	\mathbb{k}^\times

We have used proposition 2.4.1 of [DY23] for the description of π_0 and [KTZ20] for π_1 and π_2 together with the identification $Pic(\mathbf{Rep}(G)) \cong H^2(G; \mathbb{k}^\times)$, which is given, for instance, in proposition 6.1 of [DN21].

The following example will be relevant in the next section.

Example 2.10. Let \mathcal{E} be a symmetric fusion 1-category, and take $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{E})$. In this case we have:

π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3
$\widetilde{Mext}(\mathcal{E}) \rtimes Aut^{br}(\mathcal{E})$	$Z(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{E})) \oplus Pic(\mathcal{E})$	$Inv(\mathcal{E})$	\mathbb{k}^\times

We have used $\widetilde{Mext}(\mathcal{E})$ to denote the group of Witt-trivial minimal non-degenerate extensions of \mathcal{E} ; The description of π_0 then follows from corollary 3.1.7 of [Déc22a]. The statement for π_2 follows from lemma 2.16 of [JFR23a]. Finally, the description of π_1 follows from corollary 6.11 of [DN21]. Let us also point out that the group $Pic(\mathcal{E})$ with \mathcal{E} super-Tannakian was computed in theorem 6.5 of [DN21].

A more general version of the next result has been announced [JFR23b]. We are very grateful to them for outlining their proof to us, which has inspired the proof that we give below.

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be a finite group, and \mathfrak{C} be a fusion 2-category. Then, faithfully G -graded extensions of \mathfrak{C} are classified by homotopy classes of maps*

$$BG \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Proof. For the purpose of this proof, it will be convenient to think of maps of space $BG \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$ as monoidal maps $G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$ of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. We will also consider the $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{C} obtained from $\mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{F}2\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{C})$ by only considering the invertible n -morphisms when $n \geq 2$. Then, by definition we have $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C}) = \mathcal{C}^\times$ as monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

We begin the proof by some general nonsense. Recall that algebras A in the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{C} correspond precisely to lax monoidal functors $* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (see example 2.2.6.10 of [Lur]). We want a similar description for the notion of a (faithfully) G -graded algebra. In order to do so, consider the monoidal 1-category G^{\amalg} , which is the coproduct completion of G . Now, there is a canonical algebra $A[G]$ in G^{\amalg} given by

$$A[G] := \coprod_{g \in G} g,$$

or equivalently a lax monoidal functor $* \rightarrow G^{\amalg}$. Then, giving a G -grading on an algebra A in \mathcal{C} is equivalent to providing a factorization of lax monoidal functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow^{A[G]} & \nearrow \\ & G^{\amalg} & \end{array}$$

But, given that \mathcal{C} has direct sums, lax monoidal functors $G^{\amalg} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ correspond exactly to lax monoidal functors $G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Now, given a map of spaces $BG \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C})$, or equivalently a strongly monoidal functor $F : G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we obtain a G -graded algebra $\mathfrak{D} := F(A[G])$ in \mathfrak{C} . We also write

$$\mathfrak{D} = \boxplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{D}_g = \boxplus_{g \in G} F(g).$$

In fact, as $F(e) = \mathfrak{C}$ by definition, the monoidal 2-category \mathfrak{D} is a faithfully G -graded extension of \mathfrak{C} . It is therefore enough to prove that \mathfrak{D} is a fusion 2-category. The only property that is not obvious is rigidity. We have that $\mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{D})$ is a fusion 2-category thanks to theorem 1.3 above. Let us fix $g \in G$. For any simple object X in \mathfrak{D}_g , we can consider the left \mathfrak{C} -module 2-functor $R_X : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ given by $D \mapsto D \square X$ on $\mathfrak{D}_e \simeq \mathfrak{C}$, and zero on \mathfrak{D}_h for any $e \neq h \in G$. As the objects of the monoidal 2-category $\mathbf{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{D})$ have duals, we can consider the right adjoint R_X^* of R_X . But, we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathfrak{D}_e}(\mathfrak{D}_g, \mathfrak{D}_e)$ is an equivalence of \mathfrak{D}_e - \mathfrak{D}_e -bimodule 2-categories as F is strongly monoidal, and

therefore preserves duals. Thus, we find that $R_X^* \simeq Y \square(-) : \mathfrak{D}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_e = \mathfrak{C}$ for some Y in $\mathfrak{D}_{g^{-1}}$. This proves that X has a left dual Y in \mathfrak{D} . One shows analogously that X has a right dual.

Conversely, given \mathfrak{D} a G -graded extension of \mathfrak{C} , we can consider the corresponding lax monoidal functor $F : G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We want to show that F is strongly monoidal and factors through $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathfrak{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$. It follows from the definition of an extension that F is strongly unital. Proposition 2.4 above establishes that F has the remaining desired properties. \square

Remark 2.12. The classification of Tambara-Yamagami 1-categories may be recovered from extension theory for fusion 1-categories as explained in section 9.2 of [ENO09]. It would similarly be interesting to understand the classification of Tambara-Yamagami 2-categories obtained in proposition 5.2.3 of [DY23] in terms of the extension theory of fusion 2-categories. Namely, Tambara-Yamagami 2-categories are by definition $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded extensions of $\mathbf{2Vect}(A[1] \times A[0])$ by $\mathbf{2Vect}$ for some finite abelian group A . However, there is a complication that arises with the case of Tambara-Yamagami 2-categories: The group $H^5(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{k}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is non-zero. But, in order to construct a map of spaces $B\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow B\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathbf{2Vect}(A[1] \times A[0]))$ one has to check that a certain obstruction class living in $H^5(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{k}^\times)$ vanishes. We do not know how to do this directly. In fact, the vanishing of such obstructions is a well-known difficulty in the extension theory of fusion 1-categories. However, for Tambara-Yamagami 1-categories the relevant group $H^4(\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{k}^\times) = 0$ is trivial. Relatedly, general results guaranteeing the vanishing of this obstruction for fusion 1-categories are known such as theorem 8.16 of [ENO09]. We wonder whether such a criterion may be established for extensions of higher fusion categories.

Remark 2.13. Over an arbitrary field, some results on extension theory for finite semisimple tensor 1-categories were obtained in [San22]. Going up one categorical level, one can setup extension theory for *locally separable* compact semisimple tensor 2-categories over an arbitrary field. Namely, the reference [D ec23b] does work at this level of generality, and the proofs given above continue to hold up to the obvious modifications.

2.3 Extensions from Crossed Braided Categories

We now review [DR18, construction 2.1.23], which will later allow us to give very concrete models for some fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories. A related construction appeared in [Cui19, section 6]. In a slightly different direction, we also refer the reader to [JPR23] for a detailed discussion of the relation between G -crossed braided 1-categories and higher categories.

Construction 2.14. Fix G a finite group, and let \mathcal{C} be a (not necessarily faithfully graded) G -crossed braided fusion 1-category. Following the notations of [EGNO15, section 8.24], \mathcal{C} is a (not necessarily faithfully) G -graded fusion 1-category $\mathcal{C} = \boxplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ equipped with a G -action $g \mapsto T_g$ such that $T_g(\mathcal{C}_h) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{ghg^{-1}}$ together with suitably coherent natural isomorphisms $c_{W,X} : W \otimes X \cong$

$T_g(X) \otimes W$ whenever W is in \mathcal{C}_g . For simplicity, and without loss of generality, we will assume that the underlying monoidal 1-category of \mathcal{C} is strict.

We can then consider the monoidal 2-category $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}$ whose set of objects is the finite group G , and with Hom -1-categories given by

$$Hom_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}}(g, h) := \mathcal{C}_{hg^{-1}}.$$

Composition of 1-morphisms is given by the tensor product \otimes of \mathcal{C} . Then, the monoidal 2-functor \square is defined by

$$\square : \begin{array}{ccc} Hom_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}}(g_1, h_1) \times Hom_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}}(g_2, h_2) & \rightarrow & Hom_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}}(g_1 g_2, h_1 h_2), \\ (W, X) & \mapsto & W \otimes T_{g_1}(X) \end{array}$$

and its naturality constraints are given by the G -crossed braided structure of \mathcal{C} . More precisely, for any 1-morphisms $W : g_1 \rightarrow h_1, X : g_2 \rightarrow h_2, Y : h_1 \rightarrow f_1, Z : h_2 \rightarrow f_2$, the interchanger ϕ^\square is given by

$$\phi_{(W, X), (Y, Z)}^\square : (YT_{h_1}(Z))(WT_{g_1}(X)) \xrightarrow{c_{W, Z}^{-1}} YWT_{g_1}(Z)T_{g_1}(X) \xrightarrow{(\mu_{g_1})_{Z, X}} (YW)T_{g_1}(ZX).$$

Then, the associator 2-natural equivalence α is given on objects by $\alpha_{g_1, g_2, g_2} = Id_{g_1 g_2 g_3}$, and on 1-morphisms $W : g_1 \rightarrow h_1, X : g_2 \rightarrow h_2, Y : g_3 \rightarrow h_3$ by

$$\alpha_{W, X, Y} : WT_{g_1}(X)T_{g_1 g_2}(Y) \xrightarrow{(\gamma_{g_1, g_2}^{-1})_Y} WT_{g_1}(X)T_{g_1}(T_{g_2}(Y)) \xrightarrow{(\mu_{g_1})_{X, Y}} WT_{g_1}(XT_{g_2}(Y)).$$

Likewise, the 2-natural equivalences witnessing unitality are the obvious one. The pentagonator as well as the other invertible modifications that have to be specified are all taken to be the identity ones. That these assignments yield a monoidal 2-category follow at once from the axioms of a G -crossed braided 1-category. Finally, we obtain a fusion 2-category \mathfrak{D} by taking the Cauchy completion of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}$ in the sense of [GJF19a] (see also [DR18, Déc22b]).

Remark 2.15. Let us write $\text{supp}_G(\mathcal{C})$ for the support of \mathcal{C} in G , that is the subset of elements $g \in G$ such that \mathcal{C}_g is non-zero. As \mathcal{C} is G -crossed braided, $\text{supp}_G(\mathcal{C})$ is a normal subgroup of G . It follows from the above construction that $\pi_0(\mathfrak{D}) = G/\text{supp}_G(\mathcal{C})$ as finite groups, and that \mathfrak{D} is faithfully $G/\text{supp}_G(\mathcal{C})$ -graded.

3 Fermionic Strongly Fusion 2-Categories

Recall that \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. It is well-known that bosonic strongly fusion 2-categories are classified by a finite group G and a 4-cocycle for G with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^\times . In fact, once we know that every simple object of such a fusion 2-category is invertible, as follows from theorem A of [JFY21], the problem becomes a straightforward application of theorem 2.11 with example 2.8.

Below, we will establish a similar classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories. Before doing so, we need to recall a few facts about such fusion

2-categories. Let \mathfrak{C} be a *fermionic strongly fusion* 2-category, that is a fusion 2-category such that $\Omega\mathfrak{C} = \mathbf{SVect}$. Then, it follows from theorem B of [JFY21] that every simple object of \mathfrak{C} is invertible. In particular, $\pi_0(\mathfrak{C})$ is a finite group, and there is a central extension of finite groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{Inv}(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow 1.$$

Namely, we have $\text{Inv}(\mathbf{2SVect}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Examples are known for which the associated short exact sequence is not split (see example 2.1.27 of [DR18] or figure 1 below).

3.1 The Brauer-Picard Space of $\mathbf{2SVect}$

It follows from example 2.10 above that the homotopy groups of the space $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathbf{2SVect})$ are as follows:

π_0	π_1	π_2	π_3
1	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	\mathbb{k}^\times

In order to completely characterize the space $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathbf{2SVect})$, we also have to understand its Postnikov k -invariants. They are precisely those of the braided monoidal 2-category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$, that is of the space $\mathcal{B}^2\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$, and these k -invariants can be determined using [JF24, GJF19b]. In particular, this space has non-zero homotopy groups exactly in degrees 2, 3, and 4. So as to do this, we review some notation; For the most part we follow those used in [JFR23a, section 3.1].

- Given an abelian group A and a non-negative integer n , we use $A[n]$ to denote the n -th Eilenberg-MacLane space associated to A .
- The cohomology ring $H^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}/2[n]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is generated by an element in degree n under cup products and the action of the Steenrod operations Sq^i .
- We will also consider the cohomology groups $H^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}/2[n]; \mathbb{k}^\times)$. Via the map $t \mapsto (-1)^t$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$, we can give names to all of the cohomology classes that we will consider in these groups.


Lemma 3.1. *Let us write c_2 for the generator of $H^2((\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus 0)[2]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and m_2 for the generator of $H^2((0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. The first k -invariant of $\mathcal{B}^2\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$ is $c_2^2 + c_2m_2$ in $H^4((\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Let us write*

$$Y := \text{Fib}((\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2] \xrightarrow{c_2^2 + c_2m_2} \mathbb{Z}/2[4]),$$

for the (homotopy) fiber, and t_3 for the generator of $H^3(\mathbb{Z}/2[3]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, the second k -invariant of $\mathcal{B}^2\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$, which lives in $H^5(Y; \mathbb{k}^\times)$, is

$$\sigma := (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2}.$$

Proof. The underlying 2-category of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ is depicted below (see for instance [JFR23a]).



We now want to describe the space $B^2 \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$. As a first step, we want to compute its first k -invariant. But, we have $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^0 \simeq \mathbf{2SVect}$ as symmetric fusion 2-categories, so the restriction of the first k -invariant to the braided monoidal sub-2-category spanned by C has to be c_2^2 by [GJF19b]. Furthermore, its restriction to both M and $C \square M$ has to be trivial by theorem 3.2 of [JFR23a] and its proof. This uniquely determines the first k -invariant as $c_2^2 + c_2 m_2$ in $H^4((\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Now, let us write Y for the space which is the extension of $(\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2[3]$ with k -invariant $c_2^2 + c_2 m_2$. We want to determine the group $H^5(Y; \mathbb{k}^\times)$ and its generators. In order to do so, we use the Serre spectral sequence for Y :

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i((\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2], H^j(\mathbb{Z}/2[3]; \mathbb{k}^\times)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(Y; \mathbb{k}^\times).$$

The first entries of the E_2 page for this spectral sequence are given by:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccccc}
j & & & & & & & & \\
5 & \mathbb{Z}/2 & 0 & & & & & & \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\
3 & \mathbb{Z}/2 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & & & & \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\
0 & \mathbb{k}^\times & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3} & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 4} & \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & i.
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

We will abstain from giving generators for all the non-zero entries. Let us nonetheless point out that the $(6, 0)$ entry is generated by

$$(-1)^{c_2^3}, (-1)^{c_2^2 m_2} = (-1)^{c_2 m_2^2}, (-1)^{m_2^3}, (-1)^{\text{Sq}^1 c_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2}.$$

Now, the $(5, 0)$ entry automatically survive to E_∞ as no differential can hit it. Then, the d_2 differential is trivial, and the d_3 differential is given by $d_3((-1)^{t_3}) = (-1)^{c_2^2 + c_2 m_2}$ due to the k -invariant of Y . In particular, we find that

$$d_3((-1)^{t_3 c_2}) = (-1)^{c_2^3 + c_2^2 m_2}, d_3((-1)^{t_3 m_2}) = (-1)^{c_2^2 m_2 + c_2 m_2^2} = 0,$$

so that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ summand of the $(2, 3)$ entry that is generated by $(-1)^{t_3 m_2}$ does survive to the E_∞ page. It remains to understand what happens to the entry in degree $(0, 5)$. We will argue that this entry survives. Namely, we have that the space Y is a truncation of $B^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$. But, we know that $\Omega \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times = \mathbf{SVect}^\times$, and it is well-known that the k -invariant of the space $B^3 \mathbf{SVect}^\times$ is the non-trivial class $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3}$ in $H^5(\mathbb{Z}/2[3]; \mathbb{k}^\times)$. Thus, the edge map $H^5(Y; \mathbb{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^5(\mathbb{Z}/2[3]; \mathbb{k}^\times)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/2[3] \hookrightarrow Y$ is non-zero, and the claim follows. Therefore, we find that $H^5(Y; \mathbb{k}^\times)$ is generated by $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3}$, $(-1)^{t_3 m_2}$, $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 c_2}$, $(-1)^{c_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2} = (-1)^{m_2 \text{Sq}^1 c_2}$, and $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2} = (-1)^{m_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2}$.

We now turn our attention towards describing the second k -invariant σ of $B^2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$. To this end, let us write

$$X := \text{Fib}(\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2[4]).$$

Then, there is an inclusion $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ induced by the inclusion of the second summand $\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]$. (This corresponds to picking out the object M of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$.) Let us note that this map is compatible with the spectral sequence (1) above. It was computed in theorem 3.2 of [JFR23a] that the pullback $f^*(\sigma) = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2}$, so that σ must contain at least the factors $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3}$ and $(-1)^{t_3 m_2}$, and cannot contain $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2}$. In addition, there is another map $g : X \hookrightarrow Y$ induced by the diagonal inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/2[2] \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)[2]$. (This corresponds to picking out the object $C \square M$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$.) Again, it follows from theorem 3.2 of [JFR23a] that the pullback $g^*(\sigma) = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2}$. But, by naturality of the Serre spectral sequence, we find that $g^*((-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 c_2}) = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 t_2} = g^*((-1)^{c_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2})$ with t_2 the generator of $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2[2]; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, so that

$$\sigma = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2} \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 c_2 + c_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2}.$$

It turns out that these two possibilities are equivalent. More precisely, consider the homotopy autoequivalence $\phi : Y \simeq Y$ with $\phi^*(t_3) = t_3 + \text{Sq}^1 c_2$. Then, we have

$$\phi^*((-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2}) = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2 t_3 + t_3 m_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 c_2 + c_2 \text{Sq}^1 m_2}$$

as claimed, which concludes the proof. \square

3.2 Twisted Supercohomology

In order to be able to carry out computations, as well as to make contact with the existing literature, it is useful to unpack the data of the space $\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathbf{2SVect})$ in a different way and express the classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories using *supercohomology*, the generalized cohomology theory associated to (the double delooping of) the spectrum $\mathbf{2SVect}^\times$, which is discussed, for instance, in [GJF19b, section 5.4]. As we have already recalled in the proof of the last lemma above, the homotopy groups of $\mathbf{2SVect}^\times$ are given by $\mathbb{Z}/2$,

$\mathbb{Z}/2$, \mathbb{k}^\times , and supercohomology is shifted so that $SH^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{k}^\times$. Now, for the purposes of classifying fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories, we will also need to consider *twisted supercohomology*. More precisely, the spectrum $\mathbf{2SVect}^\times$ has a non-trivial space of automorphisms. We will only be interested in the subspace given by

$$\mathcal{A}ut^{tens}(\mathbf{2SVect}) \simeq \mathcal{A}ut^{br}(\mathbf{SVect}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2[1].$$

Concretely, this corresponds to the symmetric monoidal natural autoequivalence φ of the identity functor on \mathbf{SVect} which takes the value -1 on purely odd vector spaces. Then, as is explained in [NSS01, section 4.1], the action of the higher group $\mathbb{Z}/2[1]$ on the spectrum $\mathbf{2SVect}^\times$ is encoded by the fiber sequence of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}^n \mathbf{2SVect}^\times & \rightarrow & \mathbf{B}^n \mathbf{2SVect}^\times // (\mathbb{Z}/2[1]) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/2[1] = \mathbb{Z}/2[2] \end{array} \quad (2)$$

for large enough n . Given a space X equipped with an action $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2[2]$, we can consider the bundle $P \rightarrow X$ with fiber $\mathbf{B}^n \mathbf{2SVect}^\times$ obtained by pulling back (2) along τ . The τ -twisted n -th supercohomology of X is by definition the group

$$SH^{n+\tau}(X) := \Gamma_X(P)$$

of section of the bundle $P \rightarrow X$. We now identify the space $\mathbf{B}^2 \mathbf{2SVect}^\times // (\mathbb{Z}/2[1])$.

Lemma 3.2. *The canonical fibration $\mathbf{B}^2 \mathbf{2SVect}^\times // (\mathbb{Z}/2[1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2[2]$ is isomorphic to the map $\mathbf{B}^2 \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2[2]$ collapsing the connected component of the identity of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$.*

Proof. As above, let M denote an invertible object of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ that is not in the connected component of the identity. In order to identify the spaces $\mathbf{B}^2 \mathbf{2SVect}^\times // (\mathbb{Z}/2[1])$ and $\mathbf{B}^2 \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times$, it is enough to show that the induced action of the invertible object M in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^0 \simeq \mathbf{2SVect}$ is the canonical one.

The invertible object M induces a braided monoidal 2-natural equivalence of $\mathbf{2SVect}$. More precisely, let us use b to denote the braiding of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$, which is an adjoint 2-natural equivalence equipped with a pseudo-inverse b^\bullet . Let us also write $u : I \simeq M \square M$ for an adjoint equivalence witnessing that M is invertible. Then, the 2-natural autoequivalence t of the identity 2-functor on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ that is given on an object X in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ by

$$t_X : X \xrightarrow{X \square u} X \square M \square M \xrightarrow{b_{X,M} \square M} M \square X \square M \xrightarrow{M \square b_{X,M}^\bullet} M \square M \square X \xrightarrow{u^\bullet \square X} X,$$

can be canonically upgraded to a braided monoidal 2-natural equivalence. In particular, we can restrict t to a braided monoidal 2-natural equivalence of the identity 2-functor on $\mathbf{2SVect}$.

Now, as $\mathcal{A}ut^{br}(\mathbf{2SVect}) \simeq \mathcal{A}ut^{tens}(\mathbf{2SVect}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2[1]$, it is enough to check that this action is non-trivial. In order to see this, let e denote the non-identity invertible 1-morphism in $\Omega\mathbf{2SVect} = \mathbf{SVect}$. Then, it was explained in [JFR23a, section 3.1] that the double braiding of the object M and the 1-morphism e is given by

$$b_{M,e} \cdot b_{e,M} = (-1)Id_{M \square e}.$$

This shows that Ωt is the non-trivial braided monoidal autoequivalence of \mathbf{SVect} , and therefore concludes the proof. \square

Combining the last lemma together with theorem 2.11 yields the following result. We wish to point out that, relying on the as-of-yet incomplete theory of higher condensations [GJF19a], a version of the classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories has already been given in [JF22, section V.D]. In the Physics literature, an even earlier, albeit slightly incorrect, version appeared in [LW19].

Theorem 3.3. *Fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories equipped with a faithful grading are classified by a finite group G together with a class ϖ in $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and a class π in $SH^{4+\varpi}(G)$.*

Proof. It follows from theorem 2.11 that (faithfully) G -graded extensions of $\mathbf{2SVect}$ are classified by homotopy classes of maps


$$BG \rightarrow B\mathcal{B}r\mathcal{P}ic(\mathbf{2SVect}) \simeq B^2\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{2SVect})^\times.$$

The result then follows from the last lemma above. \square

Remark 3.4. Without taking into account the faithful grading, fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories are classified by a finite group G together with a class in $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)/Out(G)$ and a class in $SH^{4+\varpi}(G)/Out(G)$.

Remark 3.5. As is clear from the proof, the finite group G corresponds to the group of connected components of the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} . We emphasize that the class ϖ in $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is not the extension class determining the group of invertible objects $Inv(\mathfrak{C})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ extension of G ! This was first observed by Theo Johnson-Freyd [JF24, JF], and transpires from examples 3.11 and 3.13 below. Rather, the extension class is given by the bottom layer α of the class $\pi = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in $SH^{4+\varpi}(G)$. The class β supplies the 1-morphisms witnessing associativity, and the class π gives the pentagonator. As for the class ϖ , it encodes the data of both the interchanger and the 2-naturality of the associator. These give rise to an action of G on $\mathfrak{C}^0 = \mathbf{2SVect}$ by conjugation. We expect that this action can be detected at the level of the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} as defined in [Cra98]. More precisely, let us write (\tilde{G}, z) for the central extension of G by $\mathbb{Z}/2$ parameterised by ϖ , then let $\mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$ is the subcategory of super-representations of \tilde{G} on which z acts as the parity automorphism. We expect that $\Omega\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{C}) \simeq \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$ as symmetric fusion 1-categories. We have checked this property for the fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories of examples 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 below.

Remark 3.6. Let us momentarily work over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} . It is interesting to ask whether the classification of strongly fusion 2-categories remains valid at this level of generality. This turns out to be wrong, even in the bosonic case: The most general version of theorem A of [JFY21] does not hold over fields that are not algebraically closed. More precisely, it is not true that every fusion 2-category \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{R} with $\Omega\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a group graded extension of $\mathbf{2Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The following counterexample was pointed out to us by Theo Johnson-Freyd. The underlying 2-category and fusion rules of the fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}/3[2])$ of real 2-representations of the 2-group $\mathbb{Z}/3[2]$ are as depicted below:



In particular, this shows that, unlike in the case of algebraically closed fields [DR18, Example 1.4.22], the fusion 2-categories $\mathbf{2Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}/3[2])$ and $\mathbf{2Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ are not monoidally equivalent. Nevertheless, they become equivalent upon base extension to \mathbb{C} . This suggests that it is possible to classify real strongly fusion 2-categories by combining the known classifications of strongly fusion 2-categories over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero together with a 2-categorical version of the descent techniques of [EG12].

3.3 Examples

We examine various special cases of the classification of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories obtained above. We begin by examining a few classes of fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories.

Example 3.7. Let us take G a finite group. Then, for any 4-cocycle γ for G with coefficients in \mathbb{k}^{\times} , we can consider the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category

$$\mathbf{2SVect} \boxtimes \mathbf{2Vect}^{\gamma}(G).$$

The Drinfeld center of such strongly fusion 2-categories are completely understood thanks to [KTZ20] and [JF24], as taking Drinfeld centers commutes with 2-Deligne tensor products by [D  c22a]. Further, in the classification of theorem 3.3 the corresponding data is $\varpi = \text{triv}$, and the class π in $SH^4(G)$ is given by $(\text{triv}, \text{triv}, \gamma)$. However, for a general group G , different 4-cocycle γ may yield equivalent fusion 2-categories (see example 3.12 below). Finally, let us note that, when G has odd order, these are all of the fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories. Namely, in this case, we have $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong 0$ and $SH^4(G) \cong H^4(BG; \mathbb{k}^{\times})$.

Example 3.8. Let (\tilde{G}, z) be a finite super-group, that is a finite group \tilde{G} equipped with a central element z of order exactly 2. Let us write \mathcal{B} for (any of) the braided Ising 1-categories. This braided fusion 1-category has a canonical $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 \boxplus \mathcal{B}_1$ with $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathbf{SVect}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathbf{Vect}$. This allows us to consider \mathcal{B} as a non-faithfully \tilde{G} -crossed braided 1-category by setting $\mathcal{B}_e = \mathcal{B}_0$, $\mathcal{B}_z = \mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_g = 0$ for any other $g \in \tilde{G}$, and taking the trivial \tilde{G} -action. Then, we can use [DR18, construction 2.1.23] recalled above in construction 2.14 so as to obtain a monoidal 2-category $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ whose group of objects is \tilde{G} , and with Hom -1-categories given by $\mathit{Hom}_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}}(g, h) := \mathcal{B}_{hg^{-1}}$. We then get a fusion 2-category \mathfrak{D} by taking the additive completion of $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$. (In the specific case under consideration, the additive completion is the Cauchy completion.) Further, it is clear that $\mathit{Inv}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \tilde{G}$ and $\pi_0(\mathfrak{C}) = \tilde{G}/z$. The fermionic strongly fusion 2-category corresponding to $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{Z}/4$ is depicted below in figure 1.

It is informative to understand how the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category \mathfrak{D} constructed above fits into the classification of theorem 3.3. As was already pointed out, the corresponding group is $G := \tilde{G}/z$. Further, it follows from the construction of \mathfrak{D} that the class ϖ in $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the class corresponding to the central extension \tilde{G} of G . More precisely, one computes that $\Omega\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{D}) \simeq \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$. Finally, the class π in $SH^{4+\varpi}(BG)$ is given by $(\varpi, \mathit{triv}, \mathit{triv})$. In particular, the construction above does not depend on the choice of braided Ising 1-category \mathcal{B} .




Figure 1: An exotic fermionic strongly fusion 2-category

Example 3.9. Again, let us fix (\tilde{G}, z) a finite super-group. Then, we may consider the symmetric fusion 1-category $\mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$ of finite dimensional super-representations of G on which z acts by the parity automorphism. We write $\mathbf{2Rep}(\tilde{G}, z) := \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z))$ for the corresponding (symmetric) fusion 2-category. Then, given that there is an essentially unique fiber functor $\mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z) \rightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$, we can view $\mathbf{2SVect}$ as a finite semisimple module 2-category for $\mathbf{2Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$. We write $\mathfrak{C} := \mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{2Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)}(\mathbf{2SVect})$ for its Morita dual fusion 2-category. By lemma 3.2.1 of [Déc22a], \mathfrak{C} is a fermionic strongly fusion

2-category.

We claim that, in the formulation of theorem 3.3 the data corresponding to the fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} is the finite group $G := \tilde{G}/z$, the class ϖ in $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the class classifying the central extension \tilde{G} of G , the class π in $SH^{4+\varpi}(G)$ is the trivial one. In particular, we have $Inv(\mathfrak{C}) \cong G \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, so that \mathfrak{C} is distinct from the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category \mathfrak{D} constructed in the previous example. In order to see this, observe that there is a monoidal 2-functor $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{2SVect}$. Namely, by construction, \mathfrak{C} acts on the finite semisimple 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}$, i.e. there is a monoidal 2-functor $\mathbf{F} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{2SVect}) \simeq \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{SVect}))$. But, this action commutes with the action of $\mathbf{2Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$, so that the image of \mathbf{F} must land in the monoidal sub-2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}$ of $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{SVect}))$ as desired. This implies that the class π is trivial. On the other hand, it follows from theorem 2.3.2 of [D  c22a] that $\Omega\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{C}) \simeq \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{G}, z)$, so that the class ϖ is as claimed above.

Example 3.10. Let G be a finite group, ϖ a 2-cocycle for G with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and $\pi = (\alpha, \beta, \pi)$ a class in $SH^{4+\varpi}(BG)$. Provided that $\alpha = \text{triv}$, then the corresponding fermionic strongly fusion 2-category is a fusion 2-category of twisted 2-group graded 2-vector spaces. More precisely, we can think of the 3-cocycle β for G with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ as the Postnikov class of a finite 2-group $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}/2[1] \cdot G[0]$. Given a 4-cocycle ω in $H^4(B\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{k}^\times)$, we can consider the fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2Vect}^\omega(\mathcal{G})$ of ω -twisted \mathcal{G} -graded 2-vector spaces. This is explained in detail in [DR18, Construction 2.1.16]. This is a fermionic strongly fusion 2-category exactly if the image of ω under the canonical maps $H^4(B\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{k}^\times) \rightarrow H^4(B^2\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{k}^\times)$ is $(-1)^{\text{Sq}^2}$. Namely, in this case, we have $\Omega\mathbf{2Vect}^\omega(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathbf{SVect}$. Furthermore, every fermionic strongly fusion 2-category with $\alpha = \text{triv}$ can be obtained via this construction. In particular, this includes all the fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories of example 3.7.

We now turn our attention to fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories whose group of connected components is a fixed 2-torsion group.

Example 3.11. Let us examine the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2^n$ with $n \geq 1$. Then, we have $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let us write ϖ for the non-zero element. On one hand, we have $SH^4(BG) = 0$ corresponding to the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}(G)$. On the other hand, we have $SH^{4+\varpi}(BG) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The two corresponding fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories are \mathfrak{C} from example 3.9 and \mathfrak{D} from example 3.8 with super-group $(\mathbb{Z}/2^{(n+1)}, z)$. It is interesting to point out that the fusion 2-categories \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{D} are expected to have the same Drinfeld center [JF]. More specifically, if \mathcal{B} is a braided Ising fusion 1-category, then it is predicted that $\mathfrak{D} \boxtimes \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{B})$ is Morita equivalent to \mathfrak{C} .

Example 3.12. We now take $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ with basis $a = (1, 0)$ and $b = (0, 1)$. In this case, we have $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$. More precisely, if c_1 , resp. d_1 , denotes the elements of $H^1(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ that restricts non-trivially to $\langle a \rangle$, resp. $\langle b \rangle$, then $H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis given by c_1^2 , d_1^2 , and $c_1 d_1$. Up to the canonical action of $Aut(G) \cong S_3$, we only need to consider the four classes in

$H^2(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ given by triv , c_1^2 , $c_1 d_1$, and $c_1^2 + c_1 d_1 + d_1^2$, corresponding to the extensions $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, D_8 , and Q_8 .

Trivial twist: Let us consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(\mathrm{BG}; SH^j(\mathrm{pt})) \Rightarrow SH^{i+j}(\mathrm{BG})$$

corresponding to the trivial class triv in $H^2(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Its E_2 page is given by:

j							
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 4}$			
1	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 4}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 5}$		
0	\mathbb{k}^\times	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 4}$	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	i .

The d_2 differentials for this spectral sequence, with any finite group G and $\varpi = \mathit{triv}$, are given by

$$d_2 = \mathrm{Sq}^2 : E_2^{i,2} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,1} \text{ and } d_2 = (-1)^{\mathrm{Sq}^2} : E_2^{i,1} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,0}, \quad (3)$$

where, as above, $t \mapsto (-1)^t$ is the homomorphism induced by $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$. Moreover, it follows from [DYY23, lemma 5.23] that the higher differential $d_3 : E_2^{i,2} \rightarrow E_2^{i+3,0}$ vanishes. In particular, the only difficulty lies in understanding the differential $d_2 = (-1)^{\mathrm{Sq}^2}$, and, more precisely, the image of $t \mapsto (-1)^t$.

In order to do so, consider the map of short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{k}^\times & \rightarrow & \mathbb{k}^\times \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

For $i \geq 2$, this induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{i-1}(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sq}^1} & H^i(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H^{i-1}(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{k}^\times) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^i(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2). \end{array}$$

But, the bottom horizontal map is injective in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ as every class in $H^i(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{k}^\times)$ is annihilated by the square map. This shows that the kernel of $t \mapsto (-1)^t$ consists exactly of those classes that are in the image of the Bockstein homomorphism Sq^1 .

In particular, we find that the group $SH^4(\mathrm{BG})$ has order 16, and a set of representative for the classes is given by $(\mathit{triv}, \beta, \gamma)$ with γ in $H^4(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{k}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ arbitrary, and β in the span of $c_1^2 d_1$, $c_1 d_1^2$ in $H^3(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. The four fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories corresponding to $(\mathit{triv}, \mathit{triv}, \gamma)$ are the ones of example 3.7. The others are different.

Nontrivial twist: We now consider the case when $\varpi \neq \text{triv}$. We therefore have to consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence with twisted coefficients

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(\text{BG}; SH^j(\text{pt})) \Rightarrow SH^{i+j+\varpi}(\text{BG}).$$

Its E_2 page is the same as above. However, as explained, for instance, in the proof of [DYY23, proposition 5.21], the d_2 differentials are now given by

$$d_2 = \text{Sq}^2 + \varpi : E_2^{i,2} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,1} \text{ and } d_2 = (-1)^{\text{Sq}^2+\varpi} : E_2^{i,1} \rightarrow E_2^{i+2,0}. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, the d_3 differential still vanishes.

Let us now take $\varpi = c_1^2$. It is not difficult to argue that $SH^{4+c_1^2}(G)$ has order 8. Further, a set of representative for the classes is given by (α, β, γ) with α a multiple of c_1^2 in $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, β a multiple of $c_1 d_1^2$ in $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and γ a multiple of $(-1)^{c_1 d_1^3}$ in $H^4(G; \mathbb{k}^\times)$. The two fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories corresponding to $(\alpha, \text{triv}, \text{triv})$ have already appeared. Namely, they are given by the fermionic strongly fusion 2-category \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{D} of examples 3.8 and 3.9. Further, the fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories $(\text{triv}, \text{triv}, \gamma)$ have been discussed in example 3.7. The other five are different.

Finally, we have $SH^{4+c_1 d_1}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by $(c_1 d_1, \text{triv}, \text{triv})$, and $SH^{4+(c_1^2+c_1 d_1+d_1^2)}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by $(c_1^2 + c_1 d_1 + d_1^2, \text{triv}, \text{triv})$. The corresponding fermionic strongly fusion 2-categories are those of examples 3.8 and 3.9.

Example 3.13. Let us take $G = Q_8$ the quaternion group, and consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(\text{B}Q_8; SH^j(\text{pt})) \Rightarrow SH^{i+j}(\text{B}Q_8).$$

The E_2 page is depicted below:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc}
j & & & & & & & \\
\hline
2 & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & & & \\
1 & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \\
0 & \mathbb{k}^\times & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/8 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \\
\hline
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & i.
\end{array} \quad (5)$$

The d_2 differentials are given by (3). It follows from the structure of the ring $H^\bullet(\text{B}Q_8; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, which may be found for instance in [Bro82, Thm. VI, 9.3], that Sq^2 is zero on the classes of the degree 4 or less. This implies that the differential d_2 is trivial on the groups of total degree 4 or less. Moreover, it follows again from lemma [DYY23, lemma 5.23] that that the differential $d_3 : E_3^{2,2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow E_3^{0,5}$ is zero. In particular, the group $\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2}$ survives to the E_∞ page, so that the canonical map $SH^4(\text{B}Q_8) \rightarrow H^2(\text{B}Q_8; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ recording the class α is surjective. Thus, there exists a supercohomology class $\pi = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in $SH^4(\text{B}Q_8)$ with α non-zero. The corresponding fermionic strongly fusion 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}^\pi(Q_8)$ has group of invertible objects given by a non-trivial

central extension of Q_8 by $\mathbb{Z}/2$. In fact, there is only one such possibility: The semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}/4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$. This example shows that there exists a fermionic strongly fusion 2-category with $\varpi = \text{triv}$ and yet $\alpha \neq \text{triv}$, answering a question raised in the introduction of [JFY21].

References

- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Number 87 in Grad. Texts in Math. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [Cra98] Sjoerd E. Crans. Generalized centers of braided and sylleptic monoidal 2-categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 136:183–223, 1998.
- [Cui19] Shawn X. Cui. Four dimensional topological quantum field theories from G -crossed braided categories. *Quantum Topology*, 4:593–676, 2019. arXiv:1610.07628.
- [Déc21] Thibault D. Décoppet. Finite semisimple module 2-categories, 2021. arXiv:2107.11037.
- [Déc22a] Thibault D. Décoppet. Drinfeld centers and Morita equivalence classes of fusion 2-categories, 2022. arXiv:2211.04917.
- [Déc22b] Thibault D. Décoppet. Multifusion categories and finite semisimple 2-categories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 226(8):107029, 2022. arXiv:2012.15774.
- [Déc22c] Thibault D. Décoppet. Weak fusion 2-categories. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques*, LXIII(1):3–24, 2022. arXiv:2103.15150.
- [Déc23a] Thibault D. Décoppet. The Morita theory of fusion 2-categories. *Higher Structures*, 7(1):234–292, 2023. arXiv:2208.08722.
- [Déc23b] Thibault D. Décoppet. On the dualizability of fusion 2-categories, 2023. arXiv:2311.16827.
- [DN21] Alexei Davydov and Dmitri Nikshych. Braided Picard groups and graded extensions of braided tensor categories. *Selecta Mathematica*, 27(65), 2021. arXiv:2006.08022.
- [DR18] Christopher L. Douglas and David J. Reutter. Fusion 2-categories and a state-sum invariant for 4-manifolds, 2018. arXiv:1812.11933.
- [DY23] Thibault D. Décoppet and Matthew Yu. Fiber 2-Functors and Tambara-Yamagami Fusion 2-Categories, 2023. arXiv:2306.08117.
- [DYY23] Arun Debray, Weicheng Ye, and Matthew Yu. Bosonization and anomaly indicators of (2+1)-D fermionic topological orders, 2023. arXiv:2312.13341.

- [EG12] Pavel Etingof and Shlomo Gelaki. Descent and forms of tensor categories. *IMRN*, 2012(13):3040–3063, 2012.
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor Categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. AMS, 2015.
- [ENO09] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. Fusion categories and homotopy theory. *Quantum Topology*, 1(3), 2009. arXiv:0909.3140.
- [GJF19a] Davide Gaiotto and Theo Johnson-Freyd. Condensations in higher categories, 2019. arXiv: 1905.09566v2.
- [GJF19b] Davide Gaiotto and Theo Johnson-Freyd. Symmetry protected topological phases and generalized cohomology. *JHEP*, 2019(7), 2019. arXiv:1712.07950.
- [JF] Theo Johnson-Freyd. A 4D TQFT which is not (quite) a higher-form gauge theory. In preparation.
- [JF22] Theo Johnson-Freyd. On the classification of topological orders. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2022. arXiv:2003.06663.
- [JF24] Theo Johnson-Freyd. (3+1)D topological orders with only a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -charged particle. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 2024. arXiv:2011.11165v1.
- [JFR23a] Theo Johnson-Freyd and David J. Reutter. Minimal non-degenerate extensions. *Journ. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2023. arXiv:2105.15167.
- [JFR23b] Theo Johnson-Freyd and David J. Reutter. Super-duper vector spaces I, 2023. <https://homepages.uni-regensburg.de/~lum63364/ConferenceFFT/Reutter.pdf>.
- [JFY21] Theo Johnson-Freyd and Matthew Yu. Fusion 2-categories with no line operators are grouplike. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 104(3):434–442, 2021. arXiv:2010.07950.
- [JPR23] Corey Jones, David Penneys, and David Reutter. A 3-categorical perspective on G -crossed braided categories. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 107(1):333–406, 2023. arXiv:2009.00405.
- [KTZ20] Liang Kong, Yin Tian, and Shan Zhou. The center of monoidal 2-categories in 3+1D Dijkgraaf-Witten theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 360(106928), 2020. arXiv:1905.04644.
- [Lur] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.

- [LW19] Tian Lan and Xiao-Gang Wen. Classification of 3 + 1D bosonic topological orders (II): The case when some pointlike excitations are fermions. *Phys. Rev. X*, 9:021005, 2019. arXiv:1704.04221.
- [NSS01] Thomas Nikolaus, Urs Schreiber, and Danny Stevenson. Principal infinity-bundles – general theory. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, 10(4):2015, 749-801. arXiv:1207.0248.
- [Pst22] Piotr Pstragowski. On dualizable objects in monoidal bicategories. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 38(9):257–310, 2022. arXiv:1411.6691.
- [San22] Sean Sanford. *Fusion categories over non-algebraically closed fields*. PhD thesis, Indiana University, 2022. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2715420938/ACDC80FE6AEA477EPQ/1>.
- [SP11] Christopher J. Schommer-Pries. *The Classification of Two-Dimensional Extended Topological Field Theories*. PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 2011. arXiv: 1112.1000.