

Some solutions to the eigenstate equation of free scalar quantum field theory in the Schrödinger representation

T. A. Bolokhov

St. Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute
Russian Academy of Sciences
27 Fontanka, St. Petersburg, Russia 191023

Abstract

Using extensions of the quadratic form in the potential term we construct Gaussian eigenstates of the free scalar quantum Hamiltonian operator acting in nontrivial functional space. Admissible positive closed extensions are generated at least by two external sources, the distance between them being limited by the extension parameter. The constructed functionals, in contrast to the ground state of the free theory, correspond to different boundary conditions and can be interpreted as eigenstates of some renormalized asymptotically free quantum Hamiltonian.

Keywords: extensions of closed semi-bounded quadratic forms, square root of operator, quantum field theory in the Schrödinger representation, ground state functional.

Introduction

The Hamilton operator of the free scalar quantum field in the Schrödinger representation is defined by the following expression [1]

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})^2} + (\partial_m A)^2 \right). \quad (1)$$

This operator acts on the set of two times differentiable functionals defined on the domain of its potential term – the quadratic form of the Laplace operator in the 3-dimensional space

$$\mathfrak{l}(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_m A(\mathbf{x}))^2 d^3x. \quad (2)$$

In order to construct the functional of the ground state of \mathcal{H} one need to defined the Laplacian as a self-adjoint operator

$$L : A(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2 A(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m^2}$$

with respect to the scalar product

$$(f(\mathbf{x}), g(\mathbf{x})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \overline{f(\mathbf{x})} g(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3)$$

Then the functional of the ground state of (1) is given by the Gaussian of the quadratic form $\omega(A)$ corresponding to the square root of L

$$\Omega(A) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(A)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(A, L^{1/2}A)\right\}.$$

Due to the equation

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})}\omega(A)\right)^2 = (L^{1/2}A, L^{1/2}A) = \mathfrak{l}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}), \quad (4)$$

the action of \mathcal{H} on the functional $\Omega(A)$ is reduced to multiplication by the scalar $\text{Tr } L^{1/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}\Omega(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})^2} + (\partial_m A)^2\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(A)\right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})^2}\omega(A)\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(A)\right\} = (\text{Tr } L^{1/2}) \Omega(A). \end{aligned}$$

One may note that the flat integral of the product of variational derivatives in the first term of \mathcal{H} leads to the flat scalar product in the quadratic form $\omega(A)$.

Outside of the domain of the quadratic form $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$, that is in the region where potential term is infinite, the Hamiltonian has singularities. The nearest in some sense functions to $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ are the ones with behaviour

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{C_n}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n|^{1/2}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_n \quad (5)$$

in a number of points $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Indeed, for any $\epsilon > 0$, if $A(\mathbf{x})$ is expanded in \mathbf{x}_n as

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{C_n}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n|^{1/2-\epsilon}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_n,$$

then the integrand in (2) has singularity of the order

$$(\partial_m A(\mathbf{x}))^2 = \frac{C_n^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n|^{3-2\epsilon}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_n,$$

and so is locally integrable in \mathbb{R}^3 . Meanwhile in the case $\epsilon = 0$ the integral in the quadratic form diverges logarithmically around the points \mathbf{x}_n and the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} has singularities in the vicinities of functions with behaviour (5). As long as the domain of ω is wider than $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ the functional $\Omega(A)$ in the vicinities of (5) is well defined and has finite boundary values.

Now let us assume that in the scalar product (3) there exists a closed positive extension $\mathfrak{l}_\kappa(A)$ of the quadratic form $\mathfrak{l}(A)$ to some function with the behaviour (5)

$$\mathfrak{l}_\kappa(A) = \mathfrak{l}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}), \quad (6)$$

$$\mathfrak{l}_\kappa(B) < \infty, \quad B \stackrel{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_n}{\approx} \frac{C_n}{|x - x_n|^{1/2}} \quad (7)$$

(on the extensions of closed semi-bounded quadratic forms see [2]). Then one may substitute the quadratic form $\omega(A)$ with the form of the square root of L_κ corresponding to $\mathfrak{l}_\kappa(A)$ and observe that the Gaussian

$$\Omega_\kappa(A) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega_\kappa(A)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(A, L_\kappa^{1/2}A)\right\}, \quad (8)$$

also satisfies the eigenstate equation of \mathcal{H}

$$\mathcal{H}\Omega_\kappa(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})^2} \omega_\kappa(A) \right) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega_\kappa(A)\right\} = (\text{Tr } L_\kappa^{1/2}) \Omega_\kappa(A), \quad (9)$$

provided that the following equation holds

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A(\mathbf{x})} \omega_\kappa(A) \right)^2 = (L_\kappa^{1/2}A, L_\kappa^{1/2}A) = \mathfrak{l}_\kappa(A) = \mathfrak{l}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}). \quad (10)$$

In order to verify equations (9) and (10) one need to fix the set w. r. to which the variational derivative is operating. The form $\omega(A)$ in (4) is defined by means of Fourier transform and can be reconstructed by variation w. r. to the functions from the domain of the quadratic form $\mathfrak{l}(A)$. This condition can be written as

$$\overline{\omega|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})}} = \omega,$$

where LHS is the closure of restriction of the form $\omega(A)$ to the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$. That is for any $A \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ there exists a sequence $A_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ such that

$$\|A_n - A\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad \omega(A_n - A) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus the same set $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ should be used for the variation in eigenstate equation (9), which means that we need to verify that the following equation holds

$$\overline{\omega_\kappa|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})}} = \omega_\kappa \tag{11}$$

for the quadratic form $\omega_\kappa(A)$. As we will see in what follows the proof of this equation requires some efforts and is not possible for any extension \mathfrak{l}_κ of the quadratic form of Laplace operator.

Another essential requirement of the presented construction is the positivity of the form \mathfrak{l}_κ . Otherwise the operator L_κ possesses negative eigenspace and the quadratic form ω_κ goes imaginary thereon. This in turn contradicts the self-adjointness of \mathcal{H} and does not allow to introduce a scalar product on the set of functionals with finite normalization for Ω_κ .

The construction of solutions for equation of the ground state of the free scalar quantum field by means of the extensions of quadratic form in the potential term of the Hamiltonian was proposed in [3]. There were used the extensions generated by δ -potential, that is extensions to the functions with behavior

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{C_n}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n|}, \quad \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_n.$$

However the proof of the possibility to reconstruct the quadratic form of the square root by its action on the domain of the quadratic form of Laplacian in that preprint was not provided.

In this work we develop the scheme of construction of eigenfunctionals of the free Hamiltonian by extending the quadratic form of Laplacian to the functions with behaviour (5). We will show that positive extensions require that behaviour at least in 2 points and will derive the admissible distance between the latter as the function of the extension parameter. Then we will verify that the extensions in question satisfy equation (11) and discuss the problem arising for the extensions generated by δ -potential.

Eigen-functionals $\Omega_\kappa(A)$ in contrast to the functional of the ground state of the free theory $\Omega(A)$ correspond to other boundary conditions but to the same action of Hamiltonian and can describe renormalized to zero interaction with external antisymmetric (Fermi) sources.

1 Extensions of the quadratic form

Let us turn to the detailed description of extensions of quadratic form of the Laplace operator (6), (7). We restrict to the case of the scalar field $A(\mathbf{x})$, but will be keeping in mind that, f.e. extensions of the quadratic form of Laplace operator in the space of functions in the Coulomb gauge (solenoidal functions), might have their own peculiarities. We will work in the momentum representation (in the space of Fourier transforms) of the field A

$$\hat{A}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x$$

and for simplicity will omit the Fourier hat in the notation of $A(\mathbf{p})$. Action of \mathcal{H} in this representation is as follows

$$\mathcal{H} \Omega(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3p \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta A(\mathbf{p})^2} + p^2 A^2(\mathbf{p}) \right) \Omega(A).$$

Quadratic forms $\mathfrak{l}(A)$ and $\omega(A)$ are now diagonalized

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p^2 |A(\mathbf{p})|^2 d^3p, & L : A(\mathbf{p}) &\rightarrow p^2 A(\mathbf{p}), \\ \omega(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p |A(\mathbf{p})|^2 d^3p, & L^{1/2} : A(\mathbf{p}) &\rightarrow p A(\mathbf{p}), \end{aligned}$$

while the scalar product connecting quadratic forms with their operators is still flat

$$(f(\mathbf{p}), g(\mathbf{p})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3p \overline{f(\mathbf{p})} g(\mathbf{p}). \quad (12)$$

The key objects for constructing extensions of the quadratic form $\mathfrak{l}(A)$ are the resolvent of the self-adjoint operator L

$$R_\mu = (L - \mu)^{-1} : A(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2 - \mu} A(\mathbf{p}), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0$$

and the singular potential $v(\mathbf{p})$ – some generalized function obeying the following conditions

$$R_\mu v \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ or } (R_\mu v, R_\nu v) < \infty \quad (13)$$

$$(v, R(\mu)v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v(p)|^2}{p^2 - \mu} d^3p = \infty. \quad (14)$$

As candidates for $v(\mathbf{p})$ we will consider powers of modulus of \mathbf{p}

$$v_\alpha(\mathbf{p}) = p^\alpha.$$

These potentials are somewhat localized in the coordinate representation

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_\alpha(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3p = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{3/2} \delta(\mathbf{x}), & \alpha = 0, \\ \frac{2^{3/2+\alpha} \Gamma(\frac{3+\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})} x^{-\alpha-3}, & \alpha \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

so it makes sense to include into consideration their shifts in coordinate space

$$v_{\alpha,\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p}) = e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} v_\alpha(\mathbf{p}).$$

The Birman-Krein-Vishik theory [4] describes the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}_\kappa)$ of extensions of quadratic form \mathfrak{l} as the sum of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ and linear span of the vector $R_\mu v$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}_\kappa) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}) \dot{+} \{\xi R_\mu v\}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}.$$

As shown in the work [5] if the potential $v(\mathbf{p})$ fails to satisfy (14) then the vector $R_\mu v$ belongs to the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$, that is extension changes the action of \mathfrak{l} and so changes the action of \mathcal{H} which we intend to avoid. In the term of α the divergence of integral in (14) results in the inequality

$$-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha.$$

It is not hard to see that condition (13) imposes restriction on α from the other side, namely the strict inequality

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Domain of the self-adjoint operator L_κ corresponding to the quadratic form \mathfrak{l}_κ includes linear combinations of vectors $R_\rho v$, $R_\rho^2 v$ of the following type

$$R_\rho v + \xi(\kappa, \rho) R_\rho^2 v, \quad \rho < 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C},$$

with some ξ defined by the boundary conditions of L_κ . But we will not study this, neither we will not study the action of \mathfrak{l}_κ , the only useful object for the construction of powers of L_κ is its resolvent given by the Krein formula

$$R_\mu^\kappa = (L_\kappa - \mu)^{-1} = R_\mu + \frac{R_\mu v(R_{\bar{\mu}} v, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\mu)}. \quad (15)$$

Here κ is the real extension parameter and $\sigma(\mu)$ is the Nevanlinna (Herglotz) function [6], defined by the equation

$$\sigma(\mu) - \sigma(\nu) = (\mu - \nu)(R_{\bar{\mu}}v, R_{\nu}v) = (v, (R_{\mu} - R_{\nu})v).$$

Note that in the case $\kappa = \infty$ the second term in the RHS of (15) vanishes and we arrive at the resolvent R_{μ} of L

$$R_{\mu}^{\infty} = R_{\mu}.$$

In what follows we will restrict ourselves to potentials $v(\mathbf{p})$ of the kind $p^{-1/2}$, such that vectors $R_{\mu}v$ reside in the region described by (5). Indeed, $R_{\mu}v$ in coordinate representation is given by the integral

$$(R_{\mu}v)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3p}{(p^2 - \mu)p^{1/2}} = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^{3/2}x} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin px}{p^2 - \mu} p^{1/2} dp,$$

which after the change of variable turns into

$$(R_{\mu}v)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^{3/2}x^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin s}{s^2 - \mu x^2} s^{1/2} ds. \quad (16)$$

The integration by s converges absolutely, is bounded for $x \rightarrow 0$ and is not equal to zero in this limit. Then one may conclude that singularity of $(R_{\mu}v)(\mathbf{x})$ is defined by the function in front of the integral (16) and so is described by (5).

The Nevanlinna function for $v(\mathbf{p}) = p^{-1/2}$ is given by the following equation

$$\sigma(\mu) - \sigma(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - \mu} - \frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda} \right) \frac{d^3p}{p} = 2\pi(\ln(-\lambda) - \ln(-\mu)). \quad (17)$$

Here we choose the main branch of the logarithm with the cut along the negative semi-axis in such a way that RHS is defined for all complex μ and λ outside of the positive semi-axis plus zero. This yields that denominator in (15) can be chosen as

$$\kappa - \sigma(\mu) = 2\pi \ln\left(-\frac{\mu}{\tilde{\kappa}}\right), \quad 0 < \tilde{\kappa}, \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the positive value combining dimensional constant to correctly define the logarithm and the extension parameter κ .

It is evident that function (18) decreases when μ goes from $-\infty$ to zero and changes its sign for any value of κ . Thus resolvent (15) has a pole in the point $\mu = -\tilde{\kappa}$ and corresponding self-adjoint operator and quadratic form possess negative eigenspace. This contradicts the requirement of positivity of the extension \mathfrak{L}_κ and shows that nontrivial solutions of the eigenstate equation of \mathcal{H} produced by a single localized external source are absent.

It is worth to note that logarithmic Nevanlinna function (17) and analysis of the extensions of the quadratic form coincide with the corresponding objects in the theory of singular perturbations of 2-dimensional Laplace operator by the δ -potential [7].

2 Interaction with two sources

In order to correct the result of the previous section let us turn to a more complex singular potential. In the original work [8] Krein equation was derived for the interaction with multiple sources $v_m(\mathbf{p})$

$$R_\mu^\kappa = R_\mu + R_\mu v_m (\kappa - \sigma(\mu))_{ml}^{-1} (R_{\bar{\mu}} v_l, \cdot),$$

where κ_{jk} is a real symmetric matrix and the matrix σ_{jk} is defined by the equation

$$\sigma_{jk}(\mu) - \sigma_{jk}(\nu) = (v_j, (R_\mu - R_\nu) v_k).$$

In our case it is natural to add to the resolvent the potential

$$v_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p}) = e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} p^{-1/2}$$

shifted by the vector \mathbf{x} in the coordinate space. In order to avoid the treatment of zeros of the matrix $\kappa_{jk} - \sigma_{jk}(\lambda)$ one may turn to the basis

$$\begin{aligned} v_-(\mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2}(v(\mathbf{p}) - v_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p})) = \frac{1}{2}p^{-1/2}(1 - e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}), \\ v_+(\mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2}(v(\mathbf{p}) + v_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p})) = \frac{1}{2}p^{-1/2}(1 + e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}) \end{aligned}$$

and restrict to Krein resolvents with nontrivial second term generated by the antisymmetric potential $v_-(\mathbf{p})$

$$R_\mu^\kappa = R_\mu + \frac{R_\mu v_-(R_{\bar{\mu}} v_-, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\mu)}. \quad (19)$$

In this case we have the following equation for $\sigma(\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\mu) - \sigma(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1 - \cos(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - \mu} - \frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda} \right) d^3p = \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(p - \frac{\sin px}{x} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - \mu} - \frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda} \right) dp. \quad (20)\end{aligned}$$

As in (18) let us introduce the dimensional parameter $\tilde{\kappa}$ incorporating the extension parameter κ and define $\sigma(\mu)$ as the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\mu) - \kappa &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{p}{p^2 - \mu} - \frac{\sin px}{x(p^2 - \mu)} - \frac{p}{p^2 + \tilde{\kappa}} \right) dp = \\ &= 2\pi \ln \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{-\mu} - 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin px}{x} \frac{dp}{p^2 - \mu}. \quad (21)\end{aligned}$$

This expression is valid for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0$ and satisfies the equation (20).

Let us consider the function $\sigma(\mu) - \kappa$ on the negative semi-axis. For $\mu \rightarrow -\infty$ the second term in (21) has the following expansion

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin px}{x} \frac{dp}{p^2 - \mu} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu x^2} - \frac{8\pi}{\mu^2 x^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mu^3 x^6}\right), \quad (22)$$

and thus the whole sum (21) goes logarithmically to $-\infty$

$$\sigma(\mu) - \kappa = 2\pi \ln \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{-\mu} - \frac{4\pi}{\mu x^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-2}) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \mu \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Derivative of the function $\sigma(\mu)$ for $\mu < 0$ is strictly positive

$$\sigma'_-(\mu) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(p - \frac{\sin px}{x} \right) \frac{dp}{(p^2 - \mu)^2} > 0, \quad (23)$$

which means that $\sigma(\mu)$ monotonously increases with the increase of μ . In order to calculate the value $\sigma(0)$ let us write the oscillating integral for finite μ, x in the terms of the integral sine and cosine

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin px}{x} \frac{dp}{p^2 - \mu} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\mu}x} (\cos \sqrt{\mu}x \operatorname{Si} \sqrt{\mu}x - \sin \sqrt{\mu}x \operatorname{Ci} \sqrt{\mu}x) = \quad (24)$$

$$\stackrel{\mu x^2 \rightarrow 0}{=} 4\pi \left(1 - \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \ln(-\mu x^2) + \mathcal{O}(\mu x^2) \right). \quad (25)$$




Figure 1: Functions $\sigma(\mu, x_1)$, $\sigma(\mu, x_2)$ compared to $2\pi \ln \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{-\mu}$

Here $\text{Si}(\sqrt{\mu}x)$ and $\text{Ci}(\sqrt{\mu}x)$ are defined as

$$\text{Si}(\sqrt{\mu}x) = \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu}x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt, \quad (26)$$

$$\text{Ci}(\sqrt{\mu}x) = \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \ln(-\mu x^2) - \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu}x} \frac{1 - \cos t}{t} dt, \quad (27)$$

and γ is the Euler constant. One may note that RHS in (24) is the difference of products of Taylor-expandable even functions and the logarithm. That is the branching by μ in (24) is defined solely by the logarithm from the integral cosine (27). Substituting (25) into (21) one derive the following expansion of $\sigma(\mu) - \kappa$

$$\sigma(\mu) - \kappa = 2\pi \ln \tilde{\kappa} x^2 + 4\pi(\gamma - 1) + \mathcal{O}(\mu x^2), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0. \quad (28)$$

This equation shows that one can always choose $\tilde{\kappa}$ in such a way that RHS of (28) is negative as μ tends to zero. The latter means, due to the positivity of derivative (23), that $\sigma(\mu) - \kappa$ does not turn to zero on the negative semi-axis and that for such $\tilde{\kappa}$ resolvent (19) defines positive operator L_κ and real-valued quadratic form $\omega_\kappa(A)$.

Let us look at (28) from the other side. Suppose that for chosen values of $\tilde{\kappa}$ and $x = x_1$ the limit of RHS of (28) is negative and we can correctly define

the functional $\Omega_\kappa(A)$. When increasing the distance x the limit of RHS of (28) is increasing as well and for some $x = x_2$ it turns to zero (see Fig. 1)

$$\sigma(\mu, x_2)|_{\mu=0} - \kappa = 0.$$

For $x > x_2$ the function $\sigma(\mu, x) - \kappa$ has zero in the interval $-\infty < \mu < 0$ and the corresponding self-adjoint operator L_κ possesses negative eigenvalue. That is for any chosen extension parameter $\tilde{\kappa}$ admissible relative positions of the sources always exist and are always limited by the sphere with radius proportional to inverse square root of $\tilde{\kappa}$.

3 The operator $L_\kappa^{1/2}$

The square root of some self-adjointed operator can be written in terms of its resolvent by means of the spectral representation in the following way

$$L_\kappa^{1/2} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty (R_{\lambda+i\epsilon}^\kappa - R_{\lambda-i\epsilon}^\kappa) \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda, \quad \lambda^{1/2} > 0.$$

Substituting the expression for R_λ^κ and using the notation $\pm i0$ for the limit of ϵ we can write the square root as

$$\begin{aligned} L_\kappa^{1/2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty (R_{\lambda+i0} - R_{\lambda-i0} + \\ &\quad + \frac{R_{\lambda+i0} v_-(R_{\lambda-i0} v_-, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)} - \frac{R_{\lambda-i0} v_-(R_{\lambda+i0} v_-, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0)}) \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda = \\ &= p + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{R_{\lambda+i0} v_-(R_{\lambda-i0} v_-, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)} - \frac{R_{\lambda-i0} v_-(R_{\lambda+i0} v_-, \cdot)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0)} \right) \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where p is the operation of multiplication by the modulus of momentum variable \mathbf{p} . The function $R_{\lambda \pm i0}$ is singular in the variable p for $p^2 = \lambda$, meanwhile functions $\sigma(\lambda \pm i0)$ differ by the gap

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\lambda + i0) - \sigma(\lambda - i0) &= 2\pi \ln \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{-\lambda - i0} - 2\pi \ln \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{-\lambda + i0} - \\ &- 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin px}{x} \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda - i0} - \frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda + i0} \right) dp = 4\pi^2 i - 4\pi^2 i \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda} x}{\sqrt{\lambda} x}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We will use expressions (29) and (30) in what follows as the tools to calculate the quadratic form of $L_\kappa^{1/2}$.

4 Reconstruction of the quadratic form of $L_\kappa^{1/2}$

As was stated in the Introduction in order to construct eigenfunctionals of operation \mathcal{H} one need to prove that the quadratic form of $L_\kappa^{1/2}$ can be reconstructed by its action on the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ everywhere dense in the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let the function $A(\mathbf{p})$ to be from $\mathcal{D}(\omega_\kappa)$, then there exists a sequence $A_n \in \mathcal{D}(L_\kappa^{1/2})$ converging to A in the norm induced by the form ω_κ

$$\|A - A_n\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \omega_\kappa(A - A_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove that any function $A_n(p)$ from $\mathcal{D}(L_\kappa^{1/2})$ can be approximated in the norm of ω_κ by functions from $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$. If A_n belongs to $\mathcal{D}(L_\kappa^{1/2})$ then it belongs to the domain of quadratic form \mathfrak{l}_κ of the operator L_κ

$$\mathfrak{l}_\kappa(A_n) = (L_\kappa^{1/2} A_n, L_\kappa^{1/2} A_n) < \infty.$$

As long as $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}_\kappa)$ is the direct sum of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ and the linear set generated by some vector $R_\rho v_-$, $\rho < 0$, then this A_n is uniquely decomposing into the sum

$$A_n = a_n + \chi_n R_\rho v_-, \quad a_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}), \quad \chi_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \rho < 0.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that function $R_\rho v_-$ for some $\rho < 0$ can be approximated by functions from $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ in the norm of quadratic form ω_κ .

In order to verify the above proposition let us use expression (29) for the operator $L_\kappa^{1/2}$ and write down its quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\kappa(R_\rho v_-) = \omega(R_\rho v_-) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty & \left(\frac{(R_\rho v_-, R_{\lambda+i0} v_-)(R_{\lambda-i0} v_-, R_\rho v_-)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)} - \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(R_\rho v_-, R_{\lambda-i0} v_-)(R_{\lambda+i0} v_-, R_\rho v_-)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0)} \right) \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Here each fraction, up to some vanishing values, can be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(R_\rho v_-, R_{\lambda \pm i0} v_-)(R_{\lambda \mp i0} v_-, R_\rho v_-)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda \pm i0)} &= \frac{(\sigma(\lambda \pm i0) - \sigma(\rho))^2}{(\lambda - \rho)^2 (\kappa - \sigma(\lambda \pm i0))} = \\ &= \frac{2\sigma(\rho) - \kappa - \sigma(\lambda \pm i0)}{(\lambda - \rho)^2} + \frac{(\kappa - \sigma(\rho))^2}{(\lambda - \rho)^2 (\kappa - \sigma(\lambda \pm i0))}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and as the result

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\kappa(R_\rho v_-) &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{(p^2 - \rho)^2} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma(\lambda + i0) - \sigma(\lambda - i0)}{(\lambda - \rho)^2} \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\kappa - \sigma(\rho))^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma(\lambda + i0) - \sigma(\lambda - i0)}{(\lambda - \rho)^2 (\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)) (\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0))} \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The gap of $\sigma(\lambda)$ calculated in (30) is limited in modulus, thus all three integrals in (33) converge absolutely. Then in order to approximate $R_\rho v_-$ by the function $(\Lambda + \rho)R_{-\Lambda}R_\rho v_- \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ one may use the limit in the norm $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$R_\rho v_- - (\Lambda + \rho)R_{-\Lambda}R_\rho v_- = R_{-\Lambda}v_- = \frac{1}{(p^2 + \Lambda)p^{1/2}} \xrightarrow{L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)} 0, \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

The same limit is valid in the norm of the form ω

$$\omega(R_{-\Lambda}v_-) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_3} \frac{d^3p}{(p^2 + \Lambda)^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

thus in order to prove that

$$\omega_\kappa(R_{-\Lambda}v_-) \rightarrow 0, \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

one needs to show that the second and the third integrals in (33) after the substitution of ρ with $-\Lambda$ tend to zero for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. By the change of variable $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda/\Lambda$ second and third terms in (33) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2\pi i \Lambda^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda + i0) - \sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda - i0)}{(\tilde{\lambda} + 1)^2} \tilde{\lambda}^{1/2} d\tilde{\lambda} \\ & \frac{(\kappa - \sigma(-\Lambda))^2}{2\pi i \Lambda^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda + i0) - \sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda - i0)}{(\tilde{\lambda} + 1)^2 (\kappa - \sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda + i0)) (\kappa - \sigma(\tilde{\lambda}\Lambda - i0))} \tilde{\lambda}^{1/2} d\tilde{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

correspondingly. Now both of the integrals converge absolutely and due to the limited gap of $\sigma(\lambda)$, their values are bounded from above for any Λ . Taking into account the vanishing coefficients $\Lambda^{-1/2}$ one may conclude that quadratic form $\omega_\kappa(R_{-\Lambda}v_-)$ vanishes for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Summarizing the above calculation we have shown that the quadratic form of the operator $L_\kappa^{1/2}$ can be reconstructed by its action on the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$.

5 Reconstruction of the quadratic form for interaction with δ -potential

Let us explore how the quadratic form ω_κ is reconstructed by its action on the set $\mathcal{D}(l)$ for the extensions of the quadratic form of Laplace operator generated by δ -function [9]. This singular potential in the momentum representation is a constant

$$v_c(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \delta(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}.$$

Corresponding analytical deficiency vector has the form

$$R_\mu v_c = \frac{(2\pi)^{-3/2}}{k^2 - \mu},$$

and the Nevanlinna function is proportional to square root of the spectral parameter with values in the upper half-plane

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_c(\mu) - \sigma_c(\lambda) &= (v, (R_\mu - R_\lambda)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{p^2 - \mu} - \frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda} \right) d^3p = \\ &= \frac{i\sqrt{\mu}}{4\pi} - \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}, \quad 0 < \arg \sqrt{\mu}, \arg \sqrt{\lambda} < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Self-adjoint operators corresponding to extensions of quadratic form of Laplacian are defined by resolvents of the following type

$$R_\mu^\kappa = R_\mu + 4\pi \frac{R_\mu v_c (R_{\bar{\mu}} v_c, \cdot)}{4\pi\kappa - i\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

In order for the denominator of the second term to be positive for $\mu < 0$ the following condition should hold

$$\kappa \geq 0.$$

Action of the form ω on the vector $R_{-\Lambda} v_c$ diverges logarithmically

$$\omega(R_{-\Lambda} v_c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p d^3p}{(p^2 + \Lambda)^2} = \infty, \quad \Lambda > 0, \quad (34)$$

while the action of the form ω_κ on the same vector is finite. In order to see the latter one needs to introduce a regularization. In the case of extension

generated by the δ -potential the expression for ω_κ has the form of sum (33) with first term substituted with (34). And the gap of $\sigma_c(\lambda)$ through the positive semi-axis is equal to

$$\sigma_c(\lambda + i0) - \sigma_c(\lambda - i0) = \frac{2i}{4\pi} \lambda^{1/2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

To regularize the resulting expression in (33) one may change the upper limit of (34) to P , and the upper limit of the second integral in (33) to P^2 , in correspondence to their dimensions, and then write

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\kappa(R_{-\Lambda}v_c) &= \lim_{P \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^P \frac{p^3 dp}{(p^2 + \Lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)} \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_0^{P^2} \frac{2\lambda d\lambda}{(\lambda + \Lambda)^2} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} (4\pi\kappa - i\sqrt{-\Lambda})^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{2i\lambda d\lambda}{(\lambda + \Lambda)^2 (4\pi\kappa - i\sqrt{\lambda})(4\pi\kappa + i\sqrt{\lambda})}. \end{aligned}$$

The first two integrals cancel each other, dependence on P disappears and the remaining equation can be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\kappa(R_{-\Lambda}v_c) &= \frac{1}{\pi} (4\pi\kappa - i\sqrt{-\Lambda})^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{(\lambda + \Lambda)^2 (16\pi^2\kappa^2 + \lambda)} = \\ &= \frac{(4\pi\kappa - i\sqrt{-\Lambda})^2}{\pi\Lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{\lambda} d\tilde{\lambda}}{(\tilde{\lambda} + 1)^2 (16\pi^2\kappa^2/\Lambda + \tilde{\lambda})}, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Here RHS tends to $\frac{1}{\pi}$ for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ but not to zero as we expect for the value of ω_κ on the vectors of the type of

$$R_\rho v_c - (\rho + \Lambda)R_\rho R_{-\Lambda}v_c = R_{-\Lambda}v_c, \quad (\rho + \Lambda)R_\rho R_{-\Lambda}v_c \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l}).$$

Moreover one can show that the value of the limit in (35) depend on the sequence of vanishing vectors. However the author still has no proof of the fact that there is no vanishing limit of the form ω_κ on any sequence of difference of $R_\rho v_c$ and vectors from $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$.

Thus we assume that the closure of the quadratic form ω_κ acting on the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})$ represents a restriction of the form ω_κ

$$\overline{\omega_\kappa|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{l})}} = \tilde{\omega}_\kappa \subset \omega_\kappa \quad \tilde{\omega}_\kappa \neq \omega_\kappa.$$

This restriction corresponds to a self-adjoint operator different from $L_\kappa^{1/2}$ such that quadratic form of its square is not an extension of the quadratic form of Laplacian. And this means that equation (10) does not hold.

Conclusion and discussion

We have shown that in order to construct solutions for the eigenstate equation of the free scalar quantum theory the singular potential $(1 - e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}})p^{-1/2}$ incorporating at least two connected singularities has to be used. The distance between the singularities is proved to be limited by the extension parameter. We demonstrate for the potential in question that the quadratic form of the square root is reconstructed by its action on the domain of quadratic form of Laplacian. This requirement is essential to ensure that the equation (9) holds for eigenfunctionals (8).

Further development of Gaussians Ω_κ involves introduction of the scalar product and in particular investigation of the possibility to normalize the functionals with respect to the ground state of the free theory Ω . Such a normalization can be performed by means of functional integration, the result is proportional to

$$\frac{\int \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega_\kappa(A)\} \prod_{\mathbf{x}} \delta A(\mathbf{x})}{\int \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(A)\} \prod_{\mathbf{x}} \delta A(\mathbf{x})} = \exp\{\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\ln L - \ln L_\kappa)\}.$$

Using the equation

$$(R_\mu v, R_\mu v) = \sigma'(\mu),$$

it is not hard to see that the trace of the difference of logarithms can be transformed to the integral

$$\text{Tr}(\ln L_\kappa - \ln L) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sigma'(\lambda + i0)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)} - \frac{\sigma'(\lambda - i0)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0)} \right) \ln \lambda d\lambda, \quad (36)$$

which diverges as dilogarithm of the upper limit. This means that constructed eigenstates of \mathcal{H} reside in their own functional space not connected to the one of the free theory. Thus the action of \mathcal{H} on Ω_κ cannot be interpreted as a perturbation of the free quantum field Hamiltonian in the sense of the theory of singular perturbations of differential operators [10]. It is a separate object acting in its own space by the laws of the free theory but leading to a different dynamics.

Another important subject of study is the eigenvalue of \mathcal{H} on the state Ω_κ , which is the trace $\text{Tr} L_\kappa^{1/2}$. Taking into account the divergence of weaker integral (36), one may conclude that normalization w. r. to the eigenvalue of

the free theory also does not hold

$$\mathrm{Tr} L_{\kappa}^{1/2} - \mathrm{Tr} L^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma'(\lambda + i0)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda + i0)} - \frac{\sigma'(\lambda - i0)}{\kappa - \sigma(\lambda - i0)} \right) \lambda^{1/2} d\lambda = \infty. \quad (37)$$

But we would like to have the possibility to compare eigenvalues of functionals Ω_{κ} for fixed extension parameter and different distances between the sources $v(\mathbf{p})$ and $v_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p})$. It turns out that the scalar theory does not allow such comparison, the derivative of integral (37) with respect to x diverges as well. The way out of this is the transition to a more natural object of the interaction with the Fermi-sources, namely to the electromagnetic or gauge field. It can be shown that for the quantum field in the Coulomb gauge there exist extensions of the quadratic form of Laplacian whose derivative of $\sigma(\mu)$ with respect to the distance x decreases fast enough in the spectral parameter for the derivative of (37) to be finite.

References

- [1] B. Hatfield, *Quantum Field Theory of Point Particles and Strings.*, Addison Wesley Longman, 1992. Chapt. 10 “Free Fields in the Schrödinger Representation”.
- [2] V. Koshmanenko, *Singular Quadratic Forms in Perturbation Theory*, Springer Dordrecht, 1999. Chapt. 2 “Quadratic Forms and Linear Operators”.
- [3] T. A. Bolokhov, “Singular perturbations of a free quantum field Hamiltonian”, arXiv:1912.01458 (math-ph).
- [4] A. Alonso, B. Simon, “The Birman - Krein - Vishik theory of selfadjoint extensions of semibounded operators”, *J. Operator Theory*, **4** (1980), 251–270.
- [5] A. Kiselev, B. Simon, “Rank one perturbations with infinitesimal coupling”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **130** (1995), 345–356.
- [6] F. Gesztesy, E. Tsekanovskii, “On Matrix-Valued Herglotz Functions”, arXiv:funct-an/9712004.

- [7] L. D. Faddeev, “Notes on divergences and dimensional transmutation in Yang-Mills theory,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **148** (2006) 986 [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **148** (2006) 133].
- [8] M. G. Krein, “The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications.”, *Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S.*, **20** (62), 1947, 431–495.
- [9] F. A. Berezin, L. D. Faddeev, “A Remark on Schrodinger’s equation with a singular potential,” *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **2** (1961) 372 [*Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz.* **137** (1961) 1011].
- [10] S. Albeverio, P. Kurasov, *Singular Perturbation of Differential Operators. Solvable Schrödinger type Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.