Weighted floating functions and weighted functional affine surface areas

Carsten Schütt^a, Christoph Thäle^b, Nicola Turchi^c, Elisabeth M. Werner^d

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the new concept of weighted floating functions associated with log concave or s-concave functions. This leads to new notions of weighted functional affine surface areas. Their relation to more traditional versions of functional affine surface areas as well as to the classical affine surface areas for convex bodies is discussed in detail.

Keywords. Affine surface area, floating function, log concave function, s-concave function

MSC. 52A20, 53A15

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2
2	Cor	aventions on notation	4
3	Wei	ghted floating sets and functions	4
	3.1	Weighted floating sets	4
	3.2	Convex and log concave functions and their floating functions	5
	3.3	$s\text{-}\mathrm{concave}$ functions and their floating functions \hdots	7
4	Main Theorems and their consequences		
	4.1	The case of log concave functions	8
	4.2	The case of <i>s</i> -concave functions	12
5	Pro	ofs	14
	5.1	Proof of Theorems 1 and 2, and Propositions 2 and 3	14
	5.2	Proof of Theorem 3	25
R	efere	nces	27

^aUniversität Kiel. Email: schuett@math.uni-kiel.de

^bRuhr University Bochum, Germany. Email: christoph.thaele@rub.de

^cUniversity of Milano-Bicocca. Email: nicola.turchi@unimib.it

^dCase Western Reserve University. Email: elisabeth.werner@case.edu

1 Introduction

The affine surface area plays a central role in the affine geometry of convex bodies. For convex bodies in the plane or in 3-dimensional space, this geometric functional has been introduced by Blaschke [10]. For general space dimensions, if $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex body with boundary of differentiability class C^2 , its affine surface area is defined as

$$\operatorname{as}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \kappa_K(z)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} d\mu_K(z),$$

where μ_K stands for the surface measure on the boundary ∂K of K and $\kappa_K(z)$ for the Gaussian curvature at a boundary point z. We remark that the definition can be extended to all convex bodies, see, e.g., [17, 25, 30]. The affine surface area has a number of important properties:

- (i) it is equiaffine invariant in the sense that $\operatorname{as}(\varrho(K)) = \operatorname{as}(K)$ for any volume preserving affine transformation $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) it is upper semi-continuous, which means that $\operatorname{as}(K) \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{as}(K_n)$ for any sequence of convex bodies K_n , which converge in Hausdorff distance to a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (iii) it is a valuation, that is, $\operatorname{as}(K \cup L) = \operatorname{as}(K) + \operatorname{as}(L) \operatorname{as}(K \cap L)$ for any two convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for which also the union $K \cup L$ is a convex body.

The point (i) goes back to Blaschke, (ii) was proved by Lutwak [20] and (iii) by Schütt [23]. It was shown in [19] that – aside from the Euler characteristic and the volume – the affine surface area is up to constants the only real-valued functional on the space of convex bodies satisfying these three properties. Its affine invariance also explains the central role of the affine surface area in the theory of affine inequalities. For example, the affine isoperimetric inequality says that

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{as}(K)}{\operatorname{as}(B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \le \left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K)}{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

for all convex bodies $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with centroid at the origin and where B_2^n is the *n*-dimensional Euclidean unit ball. Equality holds in this inequality if and only if K is an ellipsoid.

During the last decades there has been a growing interest in extending geometric inequalities for convex bodies to inequalities for functions, which typically reduce to their geometric counterparts if appropriate indicator or gauge functions are chosen. A prominent example in this direction is the Prékópa-Leindler inequality, which can be regarded as the functional version of the classical Brunn-Minkowski inequality for convex bodies. Also the affine isoperimetric inequality has a functional counterpart, which has been developed in [3]. Namely, if $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x e^{-\psi(x)} dx = 0$ one defines its affine surface area by

as
$$(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(\psi(x) + \langle x, \nabla \psi(x) \rangle)} \det \nabla^2 \psi(x) dx$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n and $\nabla^2 \psi$ the Hessian of ψ . Then the

functional affine isoperimetric inequality says that

$$\frac{\operatorname{as}(\psi)}{\operatorname{as}(\psi_{\|\cdot\|})} \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\psi_{\|\cdot\|}(x)} \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\psi(x)} \, dx} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \psi_{\|\cdot\|}(x) = \frac{\|x\|^2}{2}$$

and where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n . We remark that equality holds if and only if $\psi(x) = \langle Ax, x \rangle + b$ for some positive definite matrix A and a scalar $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

The affine surface area of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closely related to what is known as the floating body K_{δ} . For sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ the latter is the intersection of all halfspaces which cut off caps of volume at most δ from K, see (3) below. It was shown in [25] that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K) - \operatorname{vol}_n(K_{\delta})}{\delta^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \operatorname{as}(K).$$
(1)

It is this result together with the observation that random polytopes are well approximated by suitable floating bodies that makes the affine surface area appear in problems of stochastic geometry and the theory of approximation of convex bodies by polytopes. More precisely, for a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $N \ge n+1$ and a sequence of independent and uniformly distributed random points X_1, X_2, \ldots in K one considers the random convex hull $[K]_N = \operatorname{conv}\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}$. Then it turns out that $[K]_N$ roughly behaves like the floating body $K_{1/N}$ and that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K) - \mathbb{E}\operatorname{vol}_n([K]_N)}{\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K)}{N}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \operatorname{as}(K), see$$
(2)

[4, 24]. This connection has also been the starting point of some more recent developments in the theory of random polytopes in spherical and hyperbolic space, see [7, 8]. However, to deal with such situations, an additional weight function is required. This has motivated the study of weighted floating bodies in [6, 31]. The purpose of the present paper is to extend the notion of weighted floating bodies to a functional level, extending thereby the earlier work [18] on (unweighted) floating functions. We do this in two closely related set-ups by considering

- (i) convex or log concave functions;
- (ii) s-concave functions.

The concept of weighted floating functions in the first case is introduced by considering what we call weighted floating sets of the (unbounded) epigraphs of these functions. This leads to the new notion of weighted functional affine surface areas for convex or log concave functions. In contrast, we use the by now classical concept of weighted floating bodies from [6, 31] to associate floating functions to *s*-concave functions by means of an auxiliary convex body that is connected with such a function. The latter has been introduced in [16] for the study of geometric inequalities. This approach leads to the new notion of weighted functional affine surface areas for *s*-concave functions. The main motivation for calling the new quantities affine surface areas is that we are able to prove analogues to (1) for each of the functionals we consider. This is the content of our main results, Theorems 1, 2 and 3 below.

The remaining parts of this text are structured as follows. After setting up some conven-

tions and notation in Section 2 we introduce weighted floating sets and weighted floating functions in Section 3. We do this separately for (i) convex or log concave function as well as for (ii) *s*-concave functions. The main theorems of this paper are the content of Section 4, where we again separate the cases (i) and (ii). All proofs are collected in the final Section 5.

2 Conventions on notation

Throughout the paper we will use the following notation. We will be working in *n*dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. The *n*dimensional Lebesgue measure will be indicated by $\operatorname{vol}_n(\cdot)$. We denote by $B_2^n(x,r)$ the *n*-dimensional closed Euclidean ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with radius r > 0. We write in short $B_2^n = B_2^n(0, 1)$ for the Euclidean unit ball centered at the origin 0 and $S^{n-1} = \partial B_2^n$ for the (n-1)-dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . A convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior $\operatorname{int}(K)$. We denote by ∂K the boundary of K and by $\operatorname{int}(K)$ its interior. The hyperplane passing through a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and which is orthogonal to a vector $u \in S^{n-1}$ is denoted by H(x, u). We write H in short if there is no confusion and then H^+ and H^- stand for the two closed halfspaces determined by H. Finally, $c, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots \in (0, \infty)$ denote absolute constants that may change from line to line.

3 Weighted floating sets and functions

3.1 Weighted floating sets

The concept of the so-called floating body is very classical in the affine geometry of convex bodies and goes back to Dupin and Blaschke in dimension n = 2 and n = 3, see [10]. We start by recalling the general definition, which was given independently by Bárány and Larman [5] and Schütt and Werner [25]. For a unit vector $u \in S^{n-1}$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by H(x, u), or simply by H, the hyperplane through x and orthogonal to u, that is

$$H = H(x, u) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, u \rangle = \langle x, u \rangle \}.$$

Then, setting $\langle x, u \rangle = a$, $H^+ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, u \rangle \ge a\}$ and $H^- = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, u \rangle \le a\}$ are the two closed half spaces determined by H. Now, let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and $\delta \ge 0$. The *(convex)* floating body K_{δ} of K is the intersection of all halfspaces H^+ whose bounding hyperplanes H cut off sets of volume at most δ from K. Formally,

$$K_{\delta} = \bigcap \left\{ H^{+} : \operatorname{vol}_{n}(H^{-} \cap K) \leq \delta \right\},$$
(3)

see [5, 25]. The floating body exists, i.e., is non-empty, if δ is small enough. Moreover, $K_0 = K$ and $K_{\delta} \subseteq K$, for all $\delta \ge 0$.

We mention that floating bodies have tight connections to random polytopes. In fact, it turns out that the convex hull $[K]_N$ of $N \ge n+1$ independent and uniformly distributed random points in K is 'close' to the floating body $K_{1/N}$ for large N in a sense explained

in detail in the survey article [22]. More recently, in [6, 7, 8] it was observed that random polytopes in spherical or hyperbolic space are also connected to the theory of floating bodies. In this case, however, one needs to replace the *n*-dimensional volume in (3) by a weighted volume. This leads to the definition of weighted floating bodies, a concept that has been introduced in [31] (see also [9]). Again, we let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body and $\delta \geq 0$. In addition, we let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be an integrable function. Then the weighted floating body K_{δ}^{Φ} (with respect to the weight function Φ) is defined as

$$K^{\Phi}_{\delta} = \bigcap \left\{ H^+ : \int_{H^- \cap K} \Phi(x) \, dx \le \delta \right\}.$$
(4)

To extend the concept of weighted floating bodies from convex bodies to a functional level, it is necessary to extend the definition of weighted floating bodies to unbounded closed convex sets $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ having non-empty interior. For $x \in \partial C$ we denote by $N_C(x)$ the set of all outer unit normal vectors at x. We remark that by a theorem of Rademacher [11] that $N_C(x)$ consists of a single vector at μ_C -a.e. points x on C, where μ_C stands for the surface measure on ∂C . Then, for a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\delta \geq 0$ we put

$$C_{\delta}^{\Phi} = \bigcap \left\{ H\left(x - tN_{C}(x), N_{C}(x)\right)^{+} : \int_{H(x - tN_{C}(x), N_{C}(x))^{-} \cap C} \Phi(x) \, dx \le \delta \right\}.$$

It is clear that C^{Φ}_{δ} is a closed convex subset of C. If $\Phi \equiv 1$, the definition coincides with the one introduced in [18]. In that case we write simply write C_{δ} instead of $C^{\Phi \equiv 1}_{\delta}$. While for a convex body K, K_{δ} is a proper subset of K if $\delta > 0$, it is now possible that $C_{\delta} = C$ for $\delta > 0$. This happens, for example, if C is a halfspace.

Remark 1. As anticipated above, we will consider the definition of weighted floating sets in a functional setting, and therefore we want to obtain an unbounded floating set. Thus, defining the floating set as $C^{\Phi}_{\delta} = \bigcap \{H^+ : \int_{H^- \cap K} \Phi(x) \, dx \leq \delta\}$ for a integrable function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ may lead to a bounded C^{Φ}_{δ} , which is not desirable in our context.

3.2 Convex and log concave functions and their floating functions

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. We always consider in this paper convex functions ψ satisfying the assumption that $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\psi(x)} dx < \infty$. We denote the set of such functions by $\operatorname{Con}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also recall that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is log concave, if it is of the form $f = \exp(-\psi)$ for some convex function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

In the general case, when ψ is neither smooth nor strictly convex, the gradient of ψ , denoted by $\nabla \psi$, exists almost everywhere by Rademacher's theorem [11]. Furthermore, a theorem of Alexandrov [1] and Busemann and Feller [12] guarantees the existence of the (generalized) Hessian, denoted by $\nabla^2 \psi$, almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . The Hessian is a quadratic form on \mathbb{R}^n , and if ψ is a convex function, a Taylor formula as in the C^2 case holds for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [29]). Formally, we have that

$$\psi(x+y) = \psi(x) + \langle \nabla \psi(x), y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 \psi(x)(y), y \rangle + o(||y||^2),$$

when $y \to 0$.

Let ψ be in Con(\mathbb{R}^n) and denote by

$$epi(\psi) = \{ z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : y \ge \psi(x) \}$$

the epigraph of ψ . Then $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)$ is a closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We denote for short $N_{\psi}(z) = N_{\operatorname{epi}(\psi)}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. By the discussion of the previous section, for sufficiently small δ and a continuous weight function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, the floating sets $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ are given by

$$\operatorname{epi}(\psi)_{\delta}^{\Phi} = \bigcap \Big\{ H \left(x - t \, N_{\psi}(z), N_{\psi}(z) \right)^{+} : \int_{H(x - t \, N_{\psi}(z), N_{\psi}(z))^{-} \, \cap \, \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi(z) \, dz \le \delta \Big\}.$$

It is easy to see that there exists a unique convex function $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))_{\delta}^{\Phi} = \operatorname{epi}(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi})$. This leads to the definitions of weighted floating functions for convex and log concave functions.

Definition 1. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\delta > 0$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function.

(i) The weighted floating function of ψ is defined to be the function ψ^{Φ}_{δ} satisfying

$$(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))^{\Phi}_{\delta} = \operatorname{epi}\left(\psi^{\Phi}_{\delta}\right).$$
(5)

(ii) Let $f(x) = \exp(-\psi(x))$ be a log concave function. The weighted floating function f_{δ}^{Φ} of f is defined as

$$f^{\Phi}_{\delta}(x) = \exp\left(-\psi^{\Phi}_{\delta}(x)\right). \tag{6}$$

For a log concave function f, a natural weight function is the exponential weight function $\Phi(z) = \Phi_e(z) = \Phi((x, s)) = e^{-s}$. We then write in short ψ^e_{δ} and f^e_{δ} , where we identify a point $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with a pair $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, representing a spatial and a height coordinate of z. When $\Phi \equiv 1$, we write ψ_{δ} and f_{δ} . Note also that when ψ is affine, $\psi_{\delta} = \psi$ and for $f = e^{-\psi}$ we have that $f_{\delta} = f$.

The next proposition collects properties of the floating set $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ which we will need later. The proofs follow from the ones given for the floating body in [26] and [29] by truncating the unbounded convex set $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)$ appropriately, applying the results for convex bodies and then and by a limiting argument. To present the result, for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we call the point

$$g_A^{\Phi} = \frac{\int_A x \, \Phi(x) \, dx}{\int_A \Phi(x) \, dx}$$

the Φ -barycenter of A.

Proposition 1. Let $\psi \in Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function.

- (i) For all δ such that $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta} \neq \emptyset$ and all $z_{\delta} \in \partial(\operatorname{epi}(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))$ there exists a support hyperplane H at z_{δ} to $\operatorname{epi}(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ such that $\delta = \int_{\operatorname{epi}(\psi)\cap H^{-}} \Phi(z) dz$.
- (ii) A supporting hyperplane H of $epi(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ that cuts of a set of Φ -volume δ from $epi(\psi)$ touches $epi(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ in exactly one point, the Φ -barycenter of $epi(\psi) \cap H$.
- (iii) The set $epi(\psi)^{\Phi}_{\delta}$ is strictly convex.

Remark 2. The proposition also holds for a bounded strictly convex subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.3 s-concave functions and their floating functions

The purpose of this section is to introduce another notion of weighted floating functions, which is essentially based on the classical notion of a weighted floating body. It works for functions which satisfy stronger concavity assumptions in comparison to the log concavity property we assumed in the previous section. To introduce the necessary concepts, let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called *s-concave* if its support $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior and if $f^{1/s}$ is concave on its support. Following [16], to such a function f we associate the set

$$K_f^s \coloneqq \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^s : x \in \operatorname{supp}(f), \|y\| \le f^{1/s}(x)\},\tag{7}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^s . Note that K_f^s is a convex body of revolution and its (n+s)-dimensional volume $\operatorname{vol}(K_f^s)$ is related to the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx$ via the identity

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(K_f^s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s) (f^{1/s}(x))^s dx = \operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx.$$

Let $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{n+s} \supset K_f^s \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function such that $\Phi(x, y) = \phi(x, ||y||)$ for some $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

For $\delta > 0$ we denote by $K_f^s(\Phi, \delta)$ the (Φ, δ) -weighted floating body of K_f^s , which is indeed a convex body if δ is sufficiently small, see [6, 31]. Moreover, since K_f^s is a body of revolution and thanks to the rotational symmetry of Φ in the last s coordinates, the set of all hyperplanes that cut a cap C off from K_f^s , such that $\int_C \Phi(x) dx = \delta$, is invariant under transformations of the form $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^s \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x, Ry)$ with $R \in \mathbb{O}(s)$, the group of orthogonal transformations acting in the \mathbb{R}^s -coordinate. As a consequence, $K_f^s(\Phi, \delta)$, being the intersection of half-spaces determined by such hyperplanes, is a convex body of revolution itself.

By Brunn's concavity principle there exists a concave function $g^{\Phi}_{\delta} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$K_f^s(\Phi,\delta) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^s : x \in \operatorname{supp}(g_{\delta}^{\Phi}), \|y\| \le g_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)\},\$$

where $\operatorname{supp}(g_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \coloneqq \operatorname{supp}(f) \cap K_{f}^{s}(\phi, \delta)$. Indeed, let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+s}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{s}$ and $L_{s} \coloneqq \operatorname{span}\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+s}\}$ be the s-dimensional linear subspace generated by the last s unit vectors. Then the function $r_{\delta}^{\Phi} \colon \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}_{+}$ defined by

$$r^{\Phi}_{\delta}(x) \coloneqq \operatorname{vol}_{s}(K^{s}_{f}(\Phi, \delta) \cap (x + L_{s}))^{1/s}$$

is concave on its support according to [2, Theorem 1.2.1] and we can choose

$$g_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) \coloneqq \operatorname{vol}_{s}(B_{2}^{s})^{-1/s} r_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x).$$

As a consequence, the function $f^{\Phi}_{\delta}(x) \coloneqq g^{\Phi}_{\delta}(x)^s$ is s-concave.

Definition 2. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{n+s} \supset K_f^s \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function such that $\Phi(x,y) = \phi(x, \|y\|)$ for some $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. For an s-concave function $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ we call f_{δ}^{Φ} the s-concave Φ -weighted floating function of f.

4 Main Theorems and their consequences

4.1 The case of log concave functions

We start by presenting our main results for log concave functions. Throughout the paper we put

$$c_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n+2}{\text{vol}_n(B_2^n)} \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}$$
(8)

and can now formulate our first result. It deals with weight functions which are uniformly bounded away from zero.

Theorem 1. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(x) = e^{-\psi(x)}$. Let $\eta > 0$ and let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [\eta, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Then,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi})(x) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = c_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \, e^{-\psi(x)} \, \Phi((x,\psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} \, dx.$$

The next result concerns the case of the exponential weight function $\Phi(z) = \Phi_e(z) = e^{-s}$, $z = (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We cannot obtain it from the previous theorem as the assumption $\eta > 0$ there is not satisfied. A separate proof is needed to handle this case.

Theorem 2. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(x) = e^{-\psi(x)}$. Then,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f_{\delta}^e(x)) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = c_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}\psi(x)} \, dx.$$

Remark 3. If the determinant of the Hessian of ψ is zero almost everywhere, the right hand terms in the two theorems above are zero as well. This is in particular the case when ψ is an affine or piecewise affine function. When ψ is affine this follows immediately because, as noted above, $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi} = \psi$ and $f_{\delta}^{\Phi} = f$. When ψ is piecewise affine, then the difference $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}) dx$ is of order $\frac{\delta}{(\log \delta)^n}$ and thus the left hand sides of the theorems are also 0. It is therefore enough to consider functions that are not (piecewise) affine.

We now show that under the assumptions of the theorems, the right hand side integrals are finite. To do so, we use the notion of a rolling function which was introduced for convex bodies in [25] and extended to not necessarily bounded convex sets in [18]. Let C be a closed convex set in \mathbb{R}^n and let $z \in \partial C$ be such that $N_C(z)$, the outer normal vector of C at z, is unique. We put $r_C(z)$ to be the radius of the largest Euclidean ball contained in C that touches C in z,

$$r_C(z) = \max\{\rho : B_2^n(z - \rho N_C(z), \rho) \subset C\}.$$
(9)

If $N_C(z)$ is not unique, we put $r_C(z) = 0$. The function r_C is called the *rolling function* of C. If $C = epi(\psi)$, we will use from now on the notation

$$r_{\psi}(x) = r_{\text{epi}(\psi)}((x,\psi(x))).$$
 (10)

Since ψ is lower semi continuous, the epigraph of ψ is a closed set. For functions ψ such that $e^{-\psi}$ is integrable, $r_{\psi}(z)$ is bounded and for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(x, \psi(x))$ is an element of a Euclidean ball contained in the epigraph of ψ .

For a convex function $\psi \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the following formulas hold for the (generalized) Gaussian curvature $\kappa_{\psi}(z)$ and the outer unit normal $N_{\psi}(z)$ in $z = (x, \psi(x)) \in \partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)$, see, e.g., [13]:

$$\kappa_{\psi}(z) = \frac{\det(\nabla^2 \psi(x))}{(1 + \|\nabla \psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}$$
(11)

and

$$\langle N_{\psi}(z), e_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{(1 + \|\nabla \psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$
 (12)

As $\kappa_{\psi}(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\rho_{i}^{\psi}(z)}$, where $\rho_{i}^{\psi}(z)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are the principal radii of curvature, we have for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that $r_{\psi}(x) \leq \frac{1}{(\kappa_{\psi}(z))^{\frac{1}{n}}}$. With (11) we thus get

$$r_{\psi}(x) \le \frac{1}{(\kappa_{\psi}(z))^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \frac{\left(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}}{\left(\det\nabla^2\psi(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}\psi(x)} \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left(1 + \|\nabla \psi(x)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}\psi(x)} \, dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2(\psi(x)) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi(x,\psi(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} f(x) \, dx$$
$$\leq \eta^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} f(x) \, dx.$$

Now, Lemmas 5 and 6 below show that the last two integrals are indeed finite.

Next, we record two propositions, which follow from the lemmas needed for the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

Proposition 2. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\eta > 0$ and let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [\eta, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Then

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right| \, e^{-\psi(x)} \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = c_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \, \Phi((x,\psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} e^{-\psi(x)} \, dx.$$

The case of the exponential weight function $\Phi = \Phi_e$ needs a separate treatment and leads to the following result.

Proposition 3. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_{\delta}^e(x) - \psi(x)| \ e^{-\psi(x)} \ dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = c_{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \ e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}\psi(x)} \ dx.$$

Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 as well as Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 motivate the following definition.

Definition 3. Let ψ be in $Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\eta > 0$ and let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [\eta, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Then

$$as_{\Phi}(f) = as_{\Phi}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi((x,\psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} f(x) \, dx.$$
(13)

is called the Φ -(weighted) affine surface area of the log concave function $f = e^{-\psi}$ or the convex function ψ , respectively.

For the exponential weight function $\Phi = \Phi_e$ we call

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi_e}(f) = \operatorname{as}_{\Phi_e}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}\psi(x)} \, dx. \tag{14}$$

the Φ_e -(weighted) affine surface area of the log concave function $f = e^{-\psi}$ or the convex function ψ , respectively.

Our results demonstrate that these Φ -affine surface areas can be obtained as the limits of weighted (with weight $\Phi((x, \psi(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}})$ "volume" differences of the log concave function $f = e^{-\psi}$ and its floating function f_{δ}^{Φ} or the convex function ψ and its floating function ψ_{δ}^{Φ} (with weight $\Phi((x, \psi(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}f(x))$). This resembles the behavior of the classical affine surface area for convex bodies of [25], mentioned in (1).

Another definition of affine surface area for log-concave f or convex functions ψ , respectively, was given in [13]. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, it has been defined as

$$\operatorname{as}_{\lambda}(f) = \operatorname{as}_{\lambda}(\psi) = \int e^{(2\lambda - 1)\psi(x) - \lambda \langle x, \nabla \psi(x) \rangle} \left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(x) \right)^{\lambda} dx.$$

If we choose Φ in (13) accordingly, we recover as_{λ} . Thus, as_{Φ} is a generalization of the previously introduced notion.

Now, we give reasons why we call (13) an *affine surface area*. We first recall from [15, 21, 28] the definition of the L_p -affine surface areas $\operatorname{as}_p(K)$ for convex bodies $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $-\infty \leq p \leq \infty, p \neq -n$, they are defined as

$$\operatorname{as}_{p}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{\kappa_{K}(z)^{\frac{p}{n+p}}}{\langle z, N_{K}(z) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} d\mu_{K}(z).$$
(15)

In particular, if we choose p = 1 we get back the (usual) affine surface area of K, that is,

$$as(K) = as_1(K) = \int_{\partial K} \kappa_K(z)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} d\mu_K(z).$$
 (16)

Next, let us pass from integration over \mathbb{R}^n in (13) to integration over $\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)$ with the change of variable formula $(1 + \|\nabla \psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = d\mu_{\operatorname{epi}(\psi)}$. With (11) we get

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi}(f) = \int_{\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \left(\kappa_{\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} e^{-\langle z, e_{n+1} \rangle} d\mu_{\operatorname{epi}(\psi)}(z)$$

and for $\Phi = \Phi_e$,

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi_e}(f) = \int_{\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \left(\kappa_{\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)}(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\frac{n}{n+2}z_{n+1}} d\mu_{\operatorname{epi}(\psi)}(z).$$
(17)

Thus the expression (13) coincides for the unbounded convex set $epi(\psi)$ with the one for the affine surface area of a convex body in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , as given in (16), modulo an additional weight function. This is one reason to call the quantity the affine surface area of f.

Another reason is the observation that $as_{\Phi}(f)$ shares several properties with $as_p(K)$. Firstly, an affine invariance property holds with the same degree of homogeneity as for the affine surface area for convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Formally, for all affine transformations $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that det $A \neq 0$, one has that

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi}(f \circ A) = |\det A|^{-\frac{n}{n+2}} \operatorname{as}_{\Phi}(f).$$

This identity is easily checked using the fact that $\nabla^2_x(\psi \circ A) = A^T \nabla^2_{Ax} \psi A$. Moreover, as for convex bodies, a valuation property holds for $as_{\Phi}(f)$. Namely, for log concave functions $f_1 = e^{-\psi_1}$ and $f_2 = e^{-\psi_2}$ one has that that

$$as_{\Phi}(f_1) + as_{\Phi}(f_2) = as_{\Phi}(max(f_1, f_2)) + as_{\Phi}(min(f_1, f_2)),$$

provided the function $\min(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ is convex as well.

Yet another reason comes from the next observation, which shows that the definition for affine surface area for a function agrees with the definition for convex bodies if we choose as a function the gauge function $\|\cdot\|_K$ of a convex body K containing the origin in its interior. More explicitly,

$$||x||_{K} = \min\{\alpha \ge 0: x \in \alpha K\} = \max_{y \in K^{\circ}} \langle x, y \rangle = h_{K^{\circ}}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

where $h_{K^{\circ}}$ stands for the support function of the polar body K° of K. If we choose $\psi(x) = \frac{\|x\|_{K}^{2}}{2}$, then

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi_e}\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_K^2}{2}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n \operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)} \operatorname{as}_{\frac{n}{n+1}}(K).$$
(18)

Although a similar observation was already made in [13], we include the argument leading to (18) for completeness. We integrate in spherical coordinates with respect to the normalized cone measure σ_K associated with K. Thus, if we write $x = r\theta$, with $\theta \in \partial K$, then $dx = n \operatorname{vol}_n(K)r^{n-1}drd\sigma_K(\theta)$ and we get

$$as_{\Phi_e}\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_K^2}{2}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det\left(\nabla^2(\psi(x))\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{-\psi(x)} dx$$
$$= n \operatorname{vol}_n(K) \int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1} e^{\frac{-nr^2}{2(n+2)}} dr \int_{\partial K} \left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(\theta)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} d\sigma_K(\theta)$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K)}{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)} \int_{\partial K} \left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(\theta)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} d\sigma_K(\theta).$$

The normalized cone measure σ_K is related to the surface measure μ_K on ∂K by

$$d\sigma_K(x) = \frac{\langle \theta, N_K(\theta) \rangle d\mu_K(\theta)}{n \operatorname{vol}_n(K)}$$

Moreover, Lemma 1 of [13] and its proof in show that det $\nabla^2 \psi(\theta) = \frac{\kappa_K(\theta)}{\langle \theta, N_K(\theta) \rangle^{n+1}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{as}_{\Phi_{e}} \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{K}^{2}}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n \operatorname{vol}_{n}(B_{2}^{n})} \int_{\partial K} \left(\frac{\kappa_{K}(x)}{\langle x, N_{K}(x) \rangle^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \langle x, N_{K}(x) \rangle \, d\mu_{K}(x) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n \operatorname{vol}_{n}(B_{2}^{n})} \, \operatorname{as}_{\frac{n}{n+1}}(K). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the most compelling reason to call the quantity $as_{\Phi}(f) = as_{\Phi}(\psi)$ a weighted affine surface area is the following theorem proved in [31] in the case of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . It says that with the weighted floating body K^{Φ}_{δ} ,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(K) - \operatorname{vol}_n(K_{\delta}^{\Phi})}{\delta^{2/(n+1)}} = c_n \int_{\partial K} (\kappa_K(z))^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} d_{\mu_K}(z),$$

where $c_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n+1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1})} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$, see also (1) in the introduction, and [14, 27, 28] for a weighted random analogue to (2). Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 are their analogues for log concave functions. Thus these theorems provide a geometric description of weighted affine surface area for such functions.

4.2 The case of *s*-concave functions

In this section we present our main result for s-concave functions. Throughout this section we denote

$$c_{n,s} = \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{n+s+1}{(n+s) \operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(B_2^{n+s})} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+s+1}}.$$

Theorem 3. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ an s-concave function. Further, let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+s} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function satisfying $\Phi(x, y) = \phi(x, ||y||)$ for some $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Then,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+s+1)}} = c_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\det \nabla^2 f(x)^{1/s}|^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} f(x)^{\frac{(s-1)(n+s)}{s(n+s+1)}} \phi(x, f^{1/s}(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \, dx$$

Let us consider the unweighted case in which $\phi \equiv 1$, or equivalently $\psi \equiv 1$. In this

situation let us write f_{δ} instead of f_{δ}^{Φ} . Then Theorem 3 implies that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) - f_{\delta}(x) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+s+1)}} = \frac{s}{2} \Big(\frac{n+s+1}{(n+s) \operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(B_2^{n+s})} \Big)^{\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\det \nabla^2 f(x)^{1/s}|^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} f(x)^{\frac{(s-1)(n+s)}{s(n+s+1)}} \, dx.$$
(19)

If f is s-concave we can associate with it the convex function

$$\psi_f(x) := s(1 - f^{1/s}(x)), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (20)

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the λ -affine surface area of the *s*-concave function f has been introduced in [13, Definition 2] as

$$as_{\lambda}(f) = \frac{1}{1+ns} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1-\frac{1}{s}\psi_f(x))^{(s-1)(1-\lambda)} (\det \nabla^2 \psi_f(x))^{\lambda}}{(1+\frac{1}{s}(\langle x, \nabla \psi_f(x) \rangle - \psi_f(x)))^{\lambda(n+s+1)-1}} \, dx,$$
(21)

noting that the parameter s in [13] plays the role of 1/s in our set-up. In particular, choosing $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+2}$ we can take the limit in (21) as $s \to \infty$ to see that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} (1+ns) \operatorname{as}_{\frac{1}{n+2}}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-\psi_f(x)(1-\frac{1}{n+2})} (\det \nabla^2 \psi_f(x))^{\frac{1}{n+2}}}{e^{\lambda(\langle x, \nabla \psi_f(x) \rangle - \psi_f(x))}} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(\psi_f(x) + \frac{1}{n+2} \langle x, \nabla \psi_f(x) \rangle)} (\det \nabla^2 \psi_f(x))^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\det \nabla^2 \psi_f(x))^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi_f(x, \psi_f(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} e^{-\psi_f(x)} \, dx$$
$$= \operatorname{as}_{\Phi_f}(\psi_f)$$

with the weight function

$$\Phi_f(x,\psi_f(x)) = e^{2\langle x,\psi_f(x)\rangle}.$$

In other words, in the limit we arrive at a particular weighted surface area of the convex function ψ_f associated with f as introduced in Section 4.1.

Moreover, using (20) and the fact that $\nabla \psi_f = -s \nabla f^{1/s}$ and $\det \nabla^2 \psi_f(x) = \det(-s \nabla^2 f^{1/s}) = s^n \det(-\nabla^2 f^{1/s})$, we see that

$$as_{\lambda}(f) = \frac{s^{n\lambda+1}}{n+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)^{(s-1)(1-\lambda)} (\det(-\nabla^2(f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x))))^{\lambda}}{(f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x) - \langle x, \nabla(f^{\frac{1}{s}})(x) \rangle)^{\lambda(n+s+1)-1}} \, dx.$$

In particular, choosing $\lambda = \lambda_{n,s} = \frac{1}{n+s+1}$, this reduces to

$$as_{\lambda_{n,s}}(f) = \frac{s^{\frac{2n+s+1}{n+s+1}}}{n+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)^{\frac{(s-1)(n+s)}{n+s+1}} (\det(-\nabla^2(f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x))))^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} dx$$
$$= \frac{s^{\frac{2n+s+1}{n+s+1}}}{n+s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)^{\frac{(s-1)(n+s)}{n+s+1}} |\det\nabla^2 f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)|^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} dx$$

which in turn coincides with (19). Moreover, according to [13, Equation (31)] we have

$$\operatorname{as}_{\lambda}(f) = \frac{s^{n/2+1}}{(n+s)\operatorname{vol}_{s-1}(S^{s-1})}\operatorname{as}_p(K_f^s),$$

where on the right hand side we have the L^p -affine surface area of the auxiliary convex body K_f^s with $p = (n+s)\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$. Using this identity with $\lambda = \lambda_{n,s}$ and noting that in this case p = 1, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{as}_{\lambda_{n,s}}(f) = \frac{s^{n/2+1}}{(n+s)\operatorname{vol}_{s-1}(S^{s-1})}\operatorname{as}(K_f^s),$$

with $\operatorname{as}(K_f^s) = \operatorname{as}_1(K_f^s)$ being the usual affine surface area of K_f^s as defined by (16) and S^{s-1} denoting the (s-1)-dimensional unit sphere.

The previous discussion motivates the following definition.

Definition 4. Let $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ an s-concave function. Further, let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+s} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function satisfying $\Phi(x, y) = \phi(x, ||y||)$ for some $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+$. The expression

$$\operatorname{as}_{\Phi}^{s}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\det \nabla^{2} f(x)^{1/s}|^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} f(x)^{\frac{(s-1)(n+s)}{s(n+s+1)}} \phi(x, f^{1/s}(x))^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} dx$$
(22)

is called the ϕ -weighted affine surface area of the s-concave function.

5 Proofs

5.1 Proof of Theorems 1 and 2, and Propositions 2 and 3

We follow the proof of the main theorem of [18], where the results have been obtained for the unweighted case $\Phi \equiv 1$. As a preparation, we need several lemmas. These lemmas and their proofs are the analogous ones of [18]. The first lemma is well known, see, e.g., [27].

Lemma 1. Let $a_1, ..., a_n > 0$,

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{x_i}{a_i} \right|^2 \le 1 \right\}.$$

and let $H_h = H((a_n - h)e_n, e_n)$. Then for all $h \leq a_n$,

$$h^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{h}{2a_n}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq \frac{(n+1) a_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_n(\mathcal{E} \cap H_h^-)}{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i} \leq h^{\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{E} = rB_2^n$ is a Euclidean ball with radius r in \mathbb{R}^n , then for all $u \in S^{n-1}$, for $h \leq r$ and $H_h = H((r-h)u, u)$,

$$h^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\left(1-\frac{h}{2r}\right) \leq \frac{(n+1) \operatorname{vol}_n\left(rB_2^n \cap H_h^-\right)}{2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(B_2^{n-1}\right) r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leq h^{\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2. Let $\psi \in Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ be a continuous, strictly positive function on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

that

(i) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that the Hessian $\nabla^2 \psi$ at x is positive definite. Then there are constants β_1 and β_2 such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_0 = \delta_0(x, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$(1 - \beta_2 \varepsilon) c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi((x, \psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} \leq \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$$
$$\leq (1 + \beta_1 \varepsilon) c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi((x, \psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}.$$

Consequently, for $f = e^{-\psi}$ we get with (new) constants β_1 and β_2

$$(1 - \beta_2 \varepsilon) f(x) \ c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi((x, \psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} \le \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \\ \le (1 + \beta_1 \varepsilon) f(x) \ c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \Phi((x, \psi(x)))^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}.$$

In particular, when $\Phi = \Phi_e$, we get that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_0 = \delta_0(x, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$(1 - \beta_2 \varepsilon) c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}\psi(x)} \leq \frac{\psi_{\delta}^e(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$$
$$\leq (1 + \beta_1 \varepsilon) c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}\psi(x)}.$$

and for $f = e^{-\psi}$ we get with (new) constants β_1 and β_2

$$(1 - \beta_2 \varepsilon) f(x) \ c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \ e^{\frac{2}{n+2}\psi(x)} \le \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^e(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \\ \le (1 + \beta_1 \varepsilon) f(x) \ c_{n+1} \left(\det \left(\nabla^2 \psi(x) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \ e^{\frac{2}{n+2}\psi(x)}.$$

(ii) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that det $(\nabla^2 \psi(x)) = 0$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_0 = \delta_0(x, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$0 \le \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le \varepsilon$$

Consequently, for $f = e^{-\psi}$ we get for all $\varepsilon > 0$ that there is $\delta_0 = \delta_0(x, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$0 \le \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le \varepsilon.$$

In particular, when $\Phi = \Phi_e$, we get that

$$0 \leq \frac{\psi_{\delta}^e(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \leq \varepsilon \quad and \quad 0 \leq \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^e(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We put $z_{x_0} = (x_0, \psi(x_0))$ and denote by $N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$ the outer unit normal in z_{x_0} to the surface described by ψ . As recalled above, $N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$ exists uniquely for almost all x_0 .

To prove part (i) of the lemma, let x_0 be such that the Hessian $\nabla^2 \psi(x_0)$ is positive definite. Then, locally around z_{x_0} , the graph of ψ can be approximated by an ellipsoid \mathcal{E} . We make this precise. Let \mathcal{E} be such that the lengths of its principal axes are

 a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1} and such that its center is at $z_{x_0} - a_{n+1}N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$. Let $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^-)$ be the ellipsoid centered at $z_{x_0} - a_{n+1}N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$ whose principal axes coincide with the ones of \mathcal{E} , but have lengths $(1 - \varepsilon)a_1, \ldots, (1 - \varepsilon)a_n, a_{n+1}$. Similarly, let $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^+)$ be the ellipsoid centered at $z_{x_0} - a_{n+1}N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$, with the same principal axes as \mathcal{E} , but with lengths $(1 + \varepsilon)a_1, \ldots, (1 + \varepsilon)a_n, a_{n+1}$. Then,

$$z_{x_0} \in \partial \mathcal{E}$$
 and $N_{\mathcal{E}}(z_{x_0}) = N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$,

and (see, e.g., [27]) there exists a $\Delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$H^{-}(z_{x_{0}} - \Delta_{\varepsilon}N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}})) \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-})$$

$$\subseteq H^{-}(z_{x_{0}} - \Delta_{\varepsilon}N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}})) \cap \{(x, y) : y \ge \psi(x)\}$$

$$\subseteq H^{-}(z_{x_{0}} - \Delta_{\varepsilon}N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}})) \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{+}).$$
(23)

For $\delta \geq 0$, let $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} = (x_0, \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0))$. We choose δ so small that for all support hyperplanes $H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$ to $(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))_{\delta}^{\Phi}$ through z_{δ}^{Φ} we have

$$H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-}) \subseteq H^{-}(z_{x_{0}} - \Delta_{\varepsilon} N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}})) \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-}).$$

Let Δ_{δ} be such that

$$H(z_{x_0} - \Delta_{\delta} N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}))$$
(24)

is a supporting hyperplane to $\operatorname{epi}(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi})$. We choose δ so small that $\Delta_{\delta} \leq \Delta_{\varepsilon}$ of (23). As Φ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , there is a neighborhood U of z_{x_0} such that for all $z \in U$,

$$(1-\varepsilon)\Phi(z_{x_0}) \le \Phi(z) \le (1+\varepsilon)\Phi(z_{x_0}).$$
(25)

We choose δ so small that for all support hyperplanes $H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$ to $(epi(\psi))_{\delta}^{\Phi}$ through z_{δ}^{Φ} we have

$$H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-}) \subseteq U$$

As $\partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)$ is approximated by the boundary of an ellipsoid in z_{x_0} , we have that $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))$. Thus we get by definition of $(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))_{\delta}^{\Phi}$, respectively ψ_{δ}^{Φ} , by Proposition 1 and Lemma 1,

$$\delta \leq \int_{H(z_{x_0} - \Delta_{\delta} N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}))^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi(z) dz$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \Phi(z_{x_0}) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H\left(z_{x_0} - \Delta_{\delta} N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})\right)^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi) \right)$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \Phi(z_{x_0}) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H\left(z_{x_0} - \Delta_{\delta} N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})\right)^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^+) \right)$$

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{n+1} \Phi(z_{x_0}) \frac{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}{n+2} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}}} \Delta_{\delta}^{\frac{n+2}{2}}.$$

As $\kappa_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_i^2}$ (see, e.g., [27]), (11) yields

$$\Delta_{\delta} \ge \frac{c_{n+1}}{(1+\varepsilon)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}} \frac{\left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(x_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}}}{(1+\|\nabla \psi(x_0)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}{\Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}}},\tag{26}$$

where c_{n+1} is as given by (8). By (24)

$$\Delta_{\delta} \le \langle N_{\psi}(z), e_{n+1} \rangle \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \right)$$

Therefore, with (12),

$$\Delta_{\delta} \le \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0)}{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x_0)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and thus with (26),

$$\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \ge \frac{c_{n+1}}{(1+\varepsilon)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}} \left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(x_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \frac{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}{\Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}}}.$$
 (27)

Now we estimate δ from below. As $\nabla^2 \psi(x_0)$ is positive definite, we have that for sufficiently small δ , $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} \in int(epi(\psi))$. By Proposition 1, (25) and (23) there exists a hyperplane H_{δ} such that

$$\delta = \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi(z) dz \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(z_{x_{0}}) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi) \right)$$

$$\ge (1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(z_{x_{0}}) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-}) \right).$$
(28)

The expression $\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-}))$ is invariant under affine transformations with determinant 1. We apply an affine transformation that maps $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-})$ into a Euclidean ball with radius

$$r = (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{\psi}(z_{x_0})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$
(29)

Now we use Lemma 11 of [25] and note that z_{x_0} corresponds to 0 of Lemma 11, that z_{δ} corresponds to z and that $N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})$ corresponds to $N(0) = (0, \ldots, 0, -1)$. We choose $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is given by Lemma 11, and we choose δ so small that $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) = ||z_{\delta}^{\phi} - z(x_0)|| \le \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. By Lemma 11 (iii) of [25],

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}\left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon^{-})\right) = \operatorname{vol}_{n+1}\left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}\left(z_{x_{0}} - r \ N_{\psi}(z_{x_{0}}), r \right)\right)$$
$$\geq \eta(\gamma)^{-n} \operatorname{vol}_{n+1}\left(C(r, d_{0}(1 - c(\eta(\gamma) - 1)))\right),$$

where c is an absolute constant, $C(r, d_0(1 - c(\eta(\gamma) - 1)))$ is the cap of the (n + 1)dimensional Euclidean ball $B_2^{n+1}(z_{x_0} - r N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), r)$ of height $d_0(1 - c(\eta(\gamma) - 1)))$ and d_0 is the distance from z_{δ} to the boundary of $B_2^{n+1}(z_{x_0} - r N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), r)$. $\gamma = 4\sqrt{2rd_0}$ and η is a monotone function on \mathbb{R}^+ such that $\lim_{t\to 0} \eta(t) = 1$. Thus, by (36) and Lemma 1,

$$\delta \ge (1-\varepsilon)\Phi(z_{x_0}) \frac{2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}{(n+2) \eta(\gamma)^n} r^{\frac{n}{2}} \times (d_0(1-c(\eta(\gamma)-1))))^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{d_0(1-c(\eta(\gamma)-1))}{2r}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$
(30)

We apply Lemma 11 (ii) of [25] next and note that z_n of Lemma 11 corresponds to $z_n = \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \right)$ in our case and $\frac{\xi}{\|\xi\|} = e_{n+1}$. Then by Lemma 11 (ii),

$$d_0 \le \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle \ \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \right) \le d_0 + \frac{2d_0^2}{r \ \left| \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle \right|^2}.$$
(31)

Thus we get for sufficiently small δ , with an absolute constant β_1 , that

$$\eta(\gamma) = \eta(4\sqrt{2rd_0}) \le 1 + \beta_1 \varepsilon \tag{32}$$

and hence

$$1 - c(\eta(\gamma) - 1) \ge 1 - \beta_2 \varepsilon, \tag{33}$$

with an absolute constant β_2 . It follows from (31) that

$$d_0 \ge \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \right) \left(1 - \frac{2 \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \right)}{r \left(\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle \right)} \right)$$

We conclude with (12), (30), (31), (32) and (33) that with (new) absolute constants β_1, β_2 ,

$$\begin{split} &\delta^{2/(n+2)} \geq \\ &\frac{1-\beta_2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+\beta_1 \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}} \Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \frac{r^{\frac{n}{n+2}}}{c_{n+1}} \frac{\left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0)\right)}{\left(1+\|\nabla\psi(x_0)\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(1-2\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0)}{r(1+\|\nabla\psi(x_0)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}. \end{split}$$

For δ small enough, (11) and (29) give, with (new) absolute constants β_1, β_2 ,

$$\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0) \le \frac{(1+\beta_1 \varepsilon)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}}{(1-\beta_2 \varepsilon)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}} c_{n+1} \left(\det \nabla^2 \psi(x_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \frac{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}{\Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}}}.$$
 (34)

This completes the proof of part (i).

For (ii), we assume that det $(\nabla^2 \psi(x_0)) = 0$. Suppose first that there is δ_0 such that $z_{\delta_0}^{\Phi} \in \partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)$. Then $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} \in \partial \operatorname{epi}(\psi)$ for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$. As $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} = (x_0, \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0))$ and $z_{x_0} = (x_0, \psi(x_0))$, we thus get that $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) = \psi(x_0)$ for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$, and hence $\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = 0$.

Suppose next that for all $\delta > 0$, $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} \in \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))$. As det $(\nabla^2 \psi(x_0)) = 0$, the indicatrix of Dupin at z_{x_0} is an elliptic cylinder and we may assume that the first k axes have infinite lengths and the others do not. Then, (see e.g., the proof of Lemma 23 in [28]), for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an ellipsoid \mathcal{E} and $\Delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $\Delta \leq \Delta_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\mathcal{E} \cap H^{-}(z_{x_0} - \Delta N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})) \subset \operatorname{epi}(\psi) \cap H^{-}(z_{x_0} - \Delta N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}))$$
(35)

and such that the lengths of the k first principal axes of \mathcal{E} are larger than $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. By Proposition 1 and continuity of Φ , there exists a hyperplane H_{δ} such that

$$\delta = \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi(z) dz \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \Phi(z_{x_{0}}) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi) \right).$$

We choose δ so small that

$$\mathcal{E} \cap H^-_{\delta} \subset \mathcal{E} \cap H^-(z_{x_0} - \Delta N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}), N_{\psi}(z_{x_0})).$$

Then we have with (35),

$$\delta \ge (1-\varepsilon)\Phi(z_{x_0})\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}\left(H_{\delta}^- \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)\right) \ge (1-\varepsilon)\Phi(z_{x_0})\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}(\mathcal{E}\cap H_{\delta}^-).$$

Now we continue as in (36) and thereafter, and conclude that

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x_0) - \psi(x_0)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \leq \frac{(1+\beta_1 \varepsilon)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}}{(1-\beta_2 \varepsilon)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}} \frac{c_{n+1}}{\Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}}}\right)^{-\frac{x}{n+1}} \\ \leq \frac{(1+\beta_1 \varepsilon)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}}{(1-\beta_2 \varepsilon)^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}}} \frac{c_{n+1}}{\Phi(z_{x_0})^{\frac{2}{n+2}}} \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^n \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} \varepsilon^{\frac{2k}{n+2}}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that for all $1 \le i \le k$, $a_i = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. This completes the proof.

We require a uniform bound in δ for the quantities $\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x)-\psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$ and $\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)-\psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$ so that we can eventually apply the dominated convergence theorem. This is achieved in the next lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\psi \in Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\eta > 0$ and let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [\eta, \infty)$ be a continuous function. There exists δ_0 such that for all $\delta < \delta_0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$0 \le \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta^{\frac{2}{n+2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}},$$

where $r_{\psi}(x)$ is as in (10). In particular, for all $\delta < \delta_0$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that

$$0 \le \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta^{\frac{2}{n+2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} f(x).$$

Proof. Let $z_x = (x, \psi(x)) \in \partial (\operatorname{epi}(\psi))$ and let $z_{\delta}^{\Phi} = (x, \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x))$. Let $r_{\psi}(x)$ be as in (10). If $N_{\psi}(z_x)$ is not unique, then $r_{\psi}(z_x) = 0$ and the inequality holds trivially. Moreover, if $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) = \psi(x)$, then $\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = 0$ and again, the inequality holds trivially.

Thus we can assume that $N_{\psi}(z_x)$ is unique and $\psi^{\phi}_{\delta}(x) > \psi(x)$. By Proposition 1, there is a hyperplane H_{δ} such that $z^{\phi}_{\delta} \in H_{\delta}$ and

$$\delta = \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi(z) dz \ge \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x)))} \Phi(z) dz$$

$$\ge \eta \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x)) \right).$$
(36)

The proof now continues as the of of Lemma 5 in [18]. We include it for completeness. We will estimate $\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_2^{n+1}(z_x - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x)))$. For this, we choose δ_0 so small that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0, z_x \in H_{\delta}^{-}$.

We start by dealing with the case

$$\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \ge r_{\psi}(x) \ \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle. \tag{37}$$

In this case we have for all hyperplanes $H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$ through z_{δ}^{ϕ} and such that $z_x \in H^{-}(z_{\delta}^{\phi})$,

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H^{-}(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x)) \right) \geq \\ \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{0}^{-}(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x)) \right),$$

where $H_0(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$ is this hyperplane orthogonal to x and such that both, z_x and z_{δ}^{ϕ} are in $H_0(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$. We can estimate the latter from below by the cone with base $\frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right) B_2^n$ and height $h \ge \left(\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle \right)^2 \frac{r_{\psi}(x)}{2}$. Hence, by (37),

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_0^-(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \cap B_2^{n+1} \left(z_x - r_{\psi}(x) N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n \left(B_2^n \right)}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \left(\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle \right)^2 \ r_{\psi}(x) \ \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right)^n \\ &\geq \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n \left(B_2^n \right)}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \left(\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle \right)^2 \ r_{\psi}(x) \ \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n \left(B_2^n \right)}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \left(\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \ r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{2}} \ \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x_0}) \rangle = \frac{1}{(1+\|\nabla\psi\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we get with (40),

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le \left(\frac{2^{n+1}(n+1)}{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta^{\frac{2}{n+2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}}.$$

Next, we treat the case

$$0 < \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) < r_{\psi}(x) \ \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle.$$
(38)

For all hyperplanes $H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$ through z_{δ}^{Φ} such that $z_x \in H^-(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$,

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}\left(H^{-}(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})\cap B_{2}^{n+1}\left(z_{x}-r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}),r_{\psi}(x)\right)\right)$$

is minimal if the line segment $[z_{\delta}^{\Phi}, z_x - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_x)]$ is orthogonal to the hyperplane $H(z_{\delta}^{\Phi})$. Then $H^-(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \cap B_2^{n+1}(z_x - r(x)N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x))$ is a cap of $B_2^{n+1}(z_x - r(x)N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x))$ of height d, where $d = \text{dist}(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}, \partial B_2^{n+1}(z_x - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x)))$. Let h be the height of the cap $H_0^-(z_{\delta}^{\Phi}) \cap B_2^{n+1}(z_x - r(x)N_{\psi}(z_x), r_{\psi}(x))$ and let β be the angle between the normal to H_0 and the line segment $[z_{\delta}^{\Phi}, z_x - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_x)]$. If $\beta = 0$, then $d = h = \psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)$ and we get, as above,

$$\delta \ge \eta \frac{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}(n+2)} \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

and thus

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le 2^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \left(\frac{n+2}{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \eta^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{-\frac{n}{n+2}}.$$

Assume now that $\beta > 0$. We first consider the case $h < r_{\psi}(x)$. Then

$$\cos\beta = \frac{r_{\psi}(x) - h}{r_{\psi}(x) - d} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin\beta = \frac{r_{\psi}(x) \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle - (\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x))}{r_{\psi}(x) - d}$$

From this we get

$$d = r_{\psi}(x) - \left((r_{\psi}(x) - h)^{2} + (r_{\psi}(x) \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle - (\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x)))^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\geq r_{\psi}(x) \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{(\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x))^{2}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{2}} - 2 \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle \frac{(\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x))}{r_{\psi}(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$\geq \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle (\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x)) \left(1 - \frac{\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x)}{2 r(x) \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle (\psi_{\delta}(x) - \psi(x)) .$$

The latter inequality holds as $\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \langle r_{\psi}(x) | \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_x) \rangle$. Thus we get with Lemma 1,

$$\delta \geq \eta \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n}(B_{2}^{n})}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}(n+2)} d^{\frac{n+2}{2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq \eta \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n}(B_{2}^{n})}{2^{n+1}(n+2)} \langle e_{n+1}, N_{\psi}(z_{x}) \rangle^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \le 2^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}} \left(\frac{n+2}{\operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta^{\frac{2}{n+2}} r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}}.$$

If $h > r_{\psi}(x)$, then $\sin \beta$ is as above and $\cos \beta = \frac{h - r_{\psi}(x)}{r_{\psi}(x) - d}$. Continuing from here on as above, completes the proof of the lemma.

We cannot apply the previous lemma to the exponential weight function Φ_e , since this function is not uniformly bounded away from zero. We prove the corresponding lemma for Φ_e separately. We also need a modified rolling function

$$\rho_{\psi}(x) = \begin{cases}
r_{\psi}(x), & \text{if} & 0 \le r_{\psi}(x) \le \psi(x) \\
\psi(x), & \text{if} & r_{\psi}(x) > \psi(x) \ge 0 \\
r_{\psi}(x), & \text{if} & 0 \le r_{\psi}(x) \le -\psi(x) \\
-\psi(x), & \text{if} & r_{\psi}(x) > -\psi(x) > 0.
\end{cases}$$
(39)

We recall that the set where $r_{\psi}(x) = 0$ has measure 0.

Lemma 4. Let $\psi \in Con(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There exists δ_0 such that for all $\delta < \delta_0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$0 \leq \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} e^{-\psi(x)}$$

$$\leq 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \begin{cases} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4r_{\psi}(x))}, & \text{if } |\psi(x)| \leq 1\\ \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))}, & \text{if } |\psi(x)| > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{e}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \leq 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \begin{cases} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{-n}{n+2}} (\psi(x) - \frac{4}{n} r_{\psi}(x)), & \text{if } |\psi(x)| \leq 1\\ \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{-n}{n+2}} (\psi(x) - \frac{4}{n} \rho_{\psi}(x)), & \text{if } |\psi(x)| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start out as in the proof of Lemma 3. Let $z_x = (x, \psi(x)) \in \partial(\operatorname{epi}(\psi))$ and $z_{\delta}^e = (x, \psi_{\delta}^e(x))$. Let first x be such that $|\psi(x)| \leq 1$. Then, as above,

$$\delta = \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi_{e}(z) dz \ge \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) dz$$

$$\geq \min_{z \in H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x)) \right).$$

Now we observe that

$$\min_{z \in H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - r_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), r_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) \ge e^{-(\psi(x) + 2r_{\psi}(x))}$$

and the proof then continues as in Lemma 3. This way, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} &\leq 2^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}} \left(\frac{n+2}{\operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(B_{2}^{n}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}(\psi(x) + 2r_{\psi}(x))} \\ &= 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}(\psi(x) + 2r_{\psi}(x))} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} e^{-\psi(x)} \le 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x) - 4r_{\psi}(x))}.$$

The term $\frac{f(x)-f_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$ can be handled in the same way. Let now x be such that $|\psi(x)| > 1$. Then we use $\rho_{\psi}(x)$ and get that

$$\delta = \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap \operatorname{epi}(\psi)} \Phi_{e}(z) dz \ge \int_{H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x}-\rho_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}),\rho_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) dz$$

$$\geq \min_{z \in H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x}-\rho_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}),\rho_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) \operatorname{vol}_{n+1} \left(H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x}-\rho_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}),\rho_{\psi}(x))\right)$$

and

$$\min_{z \in H_{\delta}^{-} \cap B_{2}^{n+1}(z_{x} - \rho_{\psi}(x)N_{\psi}(z_{x}), \rho_{\psi}(x))} \Phi_{e}(z) \ge e^{-(\psi(x) + 2\rho_{\psi}(x))}$$

22

and

Again, the proof then continues as in Lemma 3 and we obtain

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} \leq 2^{2\frac{n+1}{n+2}} \left(\frac{n+2}{\operatorname{vol}_{n}(B_{2}^{n})}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}(\psi(x)+2\rho_{\psi}(x))}$$
$$= 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{2}{n+2}(\psi(x)+2\rho_{\psi}(x))}.$$
(40)

Again, the term $\frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{e}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$ can be dealt with in the same way. Thus,

$$\frac{\psi_{\delta}^{e}(x) - \psi(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} e^{-\psi(x)} \le 2^{\frac{3n+4}{n+2}} c_{n+1} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\frac{n}{n+2}}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x) - 4\rho_{\psi}(x))}$$

arly for $\frac{f(x) - f_{\delta}^{e}(x)}{s^{2/(n+2)}}$.

and similarly for $\frac{f(x)-f_{\delta}^{e}(x)}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$.

We also need the following lemma which was proved in [18].

Lemma 5. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function such that $e^{-\psi}$ is integrable. Then we have for all $0 \le \alpha < 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} \ e^{-\psi(x)} \ dx < \infty.$$
(41)

In particular, this holds for $\alpha = \frac{n}{n+2}$.

Remark 4. If we analyze the proof of the lemma given in [18], we see that in fact we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1 + \|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} \ e^{-\beta\psi(x)} \ dx < \infty$$
(42)

for all $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$.

For the proof of Theorem 2 and Proposition 3, we need a refinement of the previous lemma which we present next.

Lemma 6. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function such that $e^{-\psi}$ is integrable. Then we have for all $0 \le \alpha < 1$,

$$\int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|>1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} \, dx < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4r_{\psi}(x))} \, dx < \infty$$

In particular, this holds for $\alpha = \frac{n}{n+2}$.

Proof. We start by writing

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|>1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx \\ &= \int_{\{x:\psi>1 \text{ and } 0 < r_{\psi} \le \psi\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx \\ &+ \int_{\{x:\psi>1 \text{ and } 1 < \psi < r_{\psi}\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx \\ &+ \int_{\{x:\psi<-1 \text{ and } 0 < r_{\psi} \le -\psi\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx \\ &+ \int_{\{x:\psi<-1 \text{ and } 1 < -\psi < r_{\psi}\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx. \end{split}$$

Now, we estimate these integrals further according to the different cases indicated in (39) and get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|>1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4\rho_{\psi}(x))} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{x:\psi>1 \text{ and } 0 < r_{\psi} \le \psi\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n-4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x:\psi>1 \text{ and } 1 < \psi < r_{\psi}\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\psi(x)^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n-4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x:\psi<-1 \text{ and } 0 < r_{\psi} \le -\psi\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n+4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x:\psi<-1 \text{ and } 1 < -\psi < r_{\psi}\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(-\psi(x))^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n+4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{x:\psi<-1 \text{ and } 1 < -\psi < r_{\psi}\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(-\psi(x))^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n+4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n-4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{n+4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{n-4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{n+4}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

The first two integrals in the last inequality are finite by Lemma 5 and the subsequent remark. It was proved in Lemma 3 of [18] that the last two integrals are finite as well. Now we consider the second integral

$$\int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4r_{\psi}(x))} dx.$$

The set $\{x : |\psi(x)| \leq 1\}$ is compact. Indeed, since ψ is continuous it is closed and since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\psi(x)} dx < \infty$, the set is also bounded. It is shown in [29] that the rolling function r_{ψ} is upper semicontinuous. Therefore the upper semicontinuous function $e^{\frac{4}{n+2}r_{\psi}(x)}$ attains

its maximum on $\{x : |\psi(x)| \le 1\}$. Thus,

$$\int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-1}{n+2}(n\psi(x)-4r_{\psi}(x))} dx$$

$$\leq \max_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} e^{\frac{4}{n+2}r_{\psi}(x)} \int_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-n}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx$$

$$\leq \max_{\{x:|\psi(x)|\leq 1\}} e^{\frac{4}{n+2}r_{\psi}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1+\|\nabla\psi(x)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r_{\psi}(x)^{\alpha}} e^{\frac{-n}{n+2}\psi(x)} dx,$$

and the latter integral is finite by Lemma 5 and again the remark made thereafter. \Box

Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 as well as Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 follow immediately from the lemmas just presented.

Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. By the assumptions of the theorems, Lemmas 3 respectively 4, Lemmas 5 respectively 6, and the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)) dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{(f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x)) dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f(x) - f_{\delta}^e(x)) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{(f(x) - f_{\delta}^e(x)) \, dx}{\delta^{2/(n+2)}}$$

Finally, Lemma 2 finishes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 2 and Proposition 3. The proofs are done in the same way as for the theorems. $\hfill \Box$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 3

Recall the definition of the convex body K_f^s and the fact that

$$\operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(K_f^s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s) (f^{1/s}(x))^s dx = \operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx.$$

Then we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) - f_{\delta}^{\Phi}(x) \, dx = \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(K_f^s) - \operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(K_f^s(\Phi, \delta))}{\operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s)}.$$

Now we apply Theorem 5 of [31] for the volume difference involving the weighted floating body. It follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(K_f^s) - \operatorname{vol}(K_f^s(\Phi, \delta))}{\delta^{2/(n+s+1)}} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{n+s+1}{(n+s)\operatorname{vol}_{n+s}(B_2^{n+s})} \Big)^{\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \int_{\partial K_f^s} \kappa(z)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_f^s}(dz).$$

For the next lemma recall the definition of $as_{\Phi}^{s}(f)$ from (22).

Lemma 7. One has that

$$\int_{\partial K_f^s} \kappa(z)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_f^s}(dz) = s \operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s) \operatorname{as}_{\Phi}^s(f)$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{\partial K_f^s} \kappa(z)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_f^s}(dz) = \int_{\tilde{\partial} K_f^s} \kappa(z)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_f^s}(dz),$$

where $\tilde{\partial}K_f^s = \{(x,y) \in \partial K_f^s : x \in int(supp(f))\}$ is the set of points on the boundary whose projection onto the \mathbb{R}^n -coordinate belongs to the interior of the support of f. Now, applying [3, Lemma 8] and writing $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n, z_{n+1}, \ldots, z_{n+s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+s}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \int_{\tilde{\partial}K_{f}^{s}} \kappa(z)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_{f}^{s}}(dz) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\partial}K_{f}^{s}} \frac{|\det \nabla^{2} f(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n})^{1/s}|^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}}}{\sqrt{1+\|\nabla f(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n})^{1/s}\|^{2}}} f(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n})^{-\frac{s-1}{s(n+s+1)}} \Phi(z)^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \mu_{K_{f}^{s}}(dz) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{s-1}} f(x)^{\frac{1}{s}} \Big(\frac{|\det \nabla^{2} f(x)^{1/s}|}{f(x)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n+s+1}} \phi(x, f(x)^{1/s})^{-\frac{2}{n+s+1}} \frac{dy}{|y_{s}|} dx, \end{split}$$

where $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{s-1})$ and $y_s := \sqrt{f(x)^{2/s} - \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} y_i^2}$. The integral with respect to y is the same as in [3] and equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{s-1}} \frac{dy}{|y_s|} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(x)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}}{f(x)^{\frac{1}{s}}} (s-1) \operatorname{vol}_{s-1}(B_2^{s-1}) B\left(\frac{s-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

with Euler's beta function $B(\cdot, \cdot)$. As a consequence,

The result now follows from the fact that

$$(s-1)\operatorname{vol}_{s-1}(B_2^{s-1})B\left(\frac{s-1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right) = (s-1)\frac{\pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2}+1)}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}$$
$$= (s-1)\frac{\pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{\frac{s-1}{2}\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} = \frac{2\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}$$
$$= s\operatorname{vol}_s(B_2^s).$$

The proof is thus complete.

_

We are now prepared for the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. We have

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Acknowledgement

CT has been supported by the DFG priority program SPP 2265 Random Geometric Systems. NT has been supported by the INdAM-GNAMPA Project CUP E55F22000270001. EMW is supported by NSF grant DMS-2103482 and by the Caroline Herschel Guest Professorship of the Ruhr University Bochum.

References

- A.D. Alexandroff, Almost everywhere existence of the second differential of a convex function and some properties of convex surfaces connected with it (in Russian), Leningrad State Univ. Annals [Uchenye Zapiski] Math. Ser. 6, 3–35 (1939).
- [2] S. Artstein-Avidan, A. Giannopoulos and V.D. Milman: Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Part I. American Mathematical Society (2015).
- [3] S. Artstein-Avidan, B. Klartag, C. Schütt and E.M. Werner, Functional affineisoperimetry and an inverse logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Functional Anal. 262, 4181–4202 (2012).
- [4] I. Bárány, Random polytopes in smooth convex bodies, Mathematika 39, 81–92 (1992); Corrigendum, Mathematika 51, 31 (2004).
- [5] I. Bárány and D.G. Larman, Convex bodies, economic cap coverings, random polytopes, Mathematika 35, 274–291 (1988).

- [6] F. Besau, M. Ludwig and E. M. Werner, Weighted floating bodies and polytopal approximation, Transactions of the AMS 370, 7129–7148 (2018).
- [7] F. Besau, D. Rosen and C. Thäle, Random inscribed polytopes in projective geometries, Math. Ann. 381, 1345–1372 (2021).
- [8] F. Besau and C. Thäle, Asymptotic normality for random polytopes in non-Euclidean geometries, Transactions of the AMS, 373, 8911–8941 (2020).
- [9] F. Besau and E. M. Werner, *The floating body in real space forms*, J. Differential Geom. 110, 187–220 (2018).
- [10] W. Blaschke, Vorlesung Über Differentialgeometrie II: Affine Differentialgeometrie, Springer-Verlag (1923).
- [11] J. M. Borwein and J.D. Vanderwerff, Convex Functions: Constructions, Characterizations and Counterexamples, Cambridge University Press (2010).
- [12] H. Busemann and W. Feller, Krümmungseigenschaften konvexer Flächen, Acta Math. 66, 1–47 (1935).
- [13] U. Caglar, M. Fradelizi, O. Guédon, J. Lehec, C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, Functional versions of L_p-affine surface area and entropy inequalities, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 4, 1223–1250 (2016).
- [14] H. Huang, B.A. Slomka and E.M. Werner, *Ulam floating bodies*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 100, 425–446 (2019).
- [15] D. Hug, Contributions to affine surface area, Manuscripta Mathematica 91, 283–301 (1996).
- [16] B. Klartag, Marginals of geometric inequalities. In: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Math. 1910, 133–166, Springer (2007).
- [17] K. Leichtweiß, Affine Geometry of Convex Bodies, Hüthig Verlag Johann Ambrosius Barth (1998).
- [18] Ben Li, C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, *Floating functions*, Israel J. Math. 231, 181– 210 (2019).
- [19] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, A characterization of affine surface area, Adv. Math. 147, 138–172 (1999).
- [20] E. Lutwak, Extended affine surface area, Adv. Math. 85, 39–68 (1991).
- [21] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas, Adv. Math. 118, 244–294 (1996).
- [22] M. Reitzner, Random polytopes, In New Perspectives in Stochastic Geometry (ed. W.S. Kendall and I. Molchanov), Oxford University Press (2009).
- [23] C. Schütt, On the affine surface area, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118, 1213–1218 (1993).
- [24] C. Schütt, Random polytopes and affine surface area, Math. Nachr. 170, 227–249 (1994).

- [25] C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, The convex floating body, Math. Scand. 66, 275–290 (1990).
- [26] C. Schütt and E.M. Werner, Homothetic floating bodies, Geom. Dedicata 49, 335– 348 (1994).
- [27] C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, Polytopes with vertices chosen randomly from the boundary of a convex body, GAFA Seminar Notes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1807, Springer-Verlag, 241–422 (2003).
- [28] C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, Surface bodies and p-affine surface area, Adv. Math. 187, 98–145 (2004).
- [29] C. Schütt and E. M. Werner, *Floating Bodies*, forthcoming monograph (2024+).
- [30] E. M. Werner, Illumination bodies and affine surface area, Stud. Math. 110, 257–269 (1994).
- [31] E. M. Werner, The p-affine surface area and geometric interpretations, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. no. 70, part II, 367–382 (2002).

Carsten Schütt Mathematisches Seminar Christian-Albrechts Universität 24098 Kiel, Germany schuett@math.uni-kiel.de

Christoph Thäle Faculty of Mathematics Ruhr University Bochum Bochum, Germany christoph.thaele@rub.de

Nicola Turchi Department of Mathematics and Applications University of Milano-Bicocca 20125 Milano, Italy nicola.turchi@unimib.it

Elisabeth M. Werner Department of Mathematics Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106, U. S. A. elisabeth.werner@case.edu

Université de Lille 1 UFR de Mathématique 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France