

# $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius and path-factor covered graphs \*

Sizhong Zhou<sup>1†</sup>, Hongxia Liu<sup>2</sup>, Qiuxiang Bian<sup>1</sup>

1. School of Science, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology,  
Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212100, China

2. School of Mathematics and Information Science, Yantai University,  
Yantai, Shandong 264005, China

## Abstract

Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , where  $f(\alpha) = 14$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 17$  for  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}]$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 20$  for  $\alpha \in (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}]$  and  $f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1$  for  $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ . A path factor is a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  such that every component of  $F$  is a path with at least two vertices. Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. A  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor means a path-factor with each component being a path of order at least  $k$ . A graph  $G$  is called a  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph if  $G$  has a  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor containing  $e$  for any  $e \in E(G)$ . Let  $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$ , where  $D(G)$  denotes the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of  $G$  and  $A(G)$  denotes the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . The largest eigenvalue of  $A_\alpha(G)$  is called the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $G$ , which is denoted by  $\rho_\alpha(G)$ . In this paper, it is proved that  $G$  is a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph if  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ , where  $\eta(n)$  is the largest root of  $x^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 = 0$ . Furthermore, we provide a graph to show that the bound on  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius is optimal.

*Keywords:* graph;  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius;  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor;  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.

(2020) Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50, 05C70, 05C38

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we only consider finite and undirected graphs which have neither loops nor multiple edges. Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph, where  $V(G)$  is the vertex set of  $G$  and  $E(G)$  is the edge set of  $G$ . The order of  $G$  is denoted by  $|V(G)| = n$ . A graph  $G$  is called trivial if  $|V(G)| = 1$ . Let  $i(G)$  denote the number of isolated vertices in  $G$ . For any  $v \in V(G)$ , the neighborhood  $N_G(v)$  of  $v$  in  $G$  is defined by  $\{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$ . The cardinality of  $N_G(v)$  is called the degree of  $v$  in  $G$  and is denoted by  $d_G(v)$ . For a vertex subset  $S$  of  $G$ , the induced subgraph induced by  $S$ , denoted by  $G[S]$ , is the subgraph of  $G$  whose vertex set is  $S$  and whose edge set consists of all edges of  $G$  which have both ends in  $S$ . We write  $G - S$  for  $G[V(G) \setminus S]$ . A subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is called an independent set if  $G[S]$  has no edges. The complement of a graph  $G$  is a graph  $\overline{G}$  with the same vertex set as  $G$ , in which any two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they are nonadjacent in  $G$ . Let  $P_n$  and  $K_n$  denote the path and complete graph of order  $n$ , respectively. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two disjoint graphs. The union of  $G_1$

\*This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (ZR2023MA078)

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: zsz\_cumt@163.com (S. Zhou)

and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ . The join of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \vee G_2$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$ . Let  $k \geq 3$  be an integer. The sequential join of graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k$ , denoted by  $G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) \cup \dots \cup V(G_k)$  and edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_k) \cup \{e = x_i x_{i+1} : x_i \in V(G_i), x_{i+1} \in V(G_{i+1}), 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ .

Given a graph  $G$  with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , the adjacency matrix of  $G$  is defined as  $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Let  $D(G)$  denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of  $G$ . The signless Laplacian matrix  $Q(G)$  of  $G$  are defined by  $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ . For any  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , Nikiforov [1] defined the  $A_\alpha$ -matrix of  $G$  as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G).$$

Obviously,  $A_0(G) = A(G)$  and  $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G) = \frac{1}{2}Q(G)$ . Thus,  $A_\alpha(G)$  generalizes both the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of  $G$ . Note that  $A_\alpha(G)$  is a real symmetric nonnegative matrix. Hence, the eigenvalues of  $A_\alpha(G)$  are real. The largest eigenvalue of  $A_\alpha(G)$  is called the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of  $G$ , which is denoted by  $\rho_\alpha(G)$ . More contents on  $A_\alpha$ -matrix can be found in [2–5].

A subset  $M \subseteq E(G)$  is called a matching if any two edges of  $M$  have no common vertices.  $M$  is called a perfect matching if each vertex of  $G$  is incident to exactly one edge of  $M$ . A path factor is a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  such that every component of  $F$  is a path with at least two vertices. A  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor means a path-factor with every component having order at least  $k$ , where  $k \geq 2$  is an integer. In fact, a graph  $G$  has a perfect matching if and only if  $G$  has a  $\{P_2\}$ -factor. A graph  $G$  is called a  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph if  $G$  has a  $P_{\geq k}$ -factor containing  $e$  for any  $e \in E(G)$ .

O [6] obtained an adjacency spectral radius condition for the existence of a perfect matching in a graph. Liu, Pan and Li [7] established a relationship between a perfect matching and a signless Laplacian spectral radius of a graph. Zhang and Lin [8] proved an upper bound for the distance spectral radius to guarantee the existence of a perfect matching in a graph. Zhao, Huang and Wang [9] presented an  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius condition for a graph to contain a perfect matching. For the relationship between spectral radius and the existence of certain spanning subgraphs in graphs, one may be referred to [10–12] and the references cited in. Egawa and Furuya [13] got a sufficient condition for a graph to possess a  $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factor. Wang and Zhang [14] gave a degree condition for the existence of a  $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factor in a graph. Las Vergnas [15] showed a characterization for a graph with a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor. Kaneko [16] provided a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to contain a  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Kano, Lu and Yu [17] established a connection between the number of isolated vertices and  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors in graphs. Zhou and Sun [18] claimed a binding number condition for a graph with a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor (resp. a  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor). Wang and Zhang [19] presented a minimum degree and independence number condition for a graph to contain a  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Wang and Zhang [20] studied the relationship between isolated toughness and a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor (resp. a  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor) in a graph. Zhou, Sun and Bian [21] posed an isolated toughness condition for a graph to have a  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Zhou [22, 23], Zhou, Sun and Yang [24] obtained some results on the existence of  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors in graphs with given properties. Zhou, Sun and Liu [25], Wu [26], Liu [27] gave some sufficient conditions for graphs to possess  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factors with given properties. More results on graph factors, we refer the reader to [28–35].

Zhou, Zhang and Sun [36] provided an  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius condition for a graph with a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor, which is shown in the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (Zhou, Zhang and Sun [36]). Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $G$  be a connected graph of order

$n$  with  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , where

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 14, & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ 17, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}); \\ 20, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}); \\ \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1). \end{cases}$$

If  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \theta(n)$ , then  $G$  contains a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor, where  $\theta(n)$  is the largest root of  $x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 5)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (7\alpha^2 - 5\alpha + 3)n - 18\alpha^2 + 29\alpha - 15 = 0$ .

In this paper, we extend Theorem 1.1 to  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graphs, and obtain a result on the existence of a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph by using  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , where  $f(\alpha)$  is defined as in Theorem 1.1. If  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ , then  $G$  is a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph, where  $\eta(n)$  is the largest root of  $x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 = 0$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide several necessary preliminary results, which will be used to verify Theorem 1.2. Las Vergnas [15] posed a necessary and sufficient condition for graphs to possess  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factors.

**Lemma 2.1** (Las Vergnas [15]). A graph  $G$  has a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor if and only if

$$i(G - S) \leq 2|S|$$

for any vertex subset  $S$  of  $G$ .

Zhang and Zhou [37] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.

**Lemma 2.2** (Zhang and Zhou [37]). Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Then  $G$  is a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph if and only if the following three conditions hold for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ :

- (i)  $i(G - S) \leq 2|S|$ ;
- (ii) If  $S$  is nonempty and there exists a connected component of  $G - S$  with at least two vertices, then  $i(G - S) \leq 2|S| - 1$ ;
- (iii) If  $S$  is not an independent set, then  $i(G - S) \leq 2|S| - 2$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Nikiforov [1]). For a complete graph  $K_n$  of order  $n$ , we have

$$\rho_\alpha(K_n) = n - 1.$$

**Lemma 2.4** (Nikiforov [1]). Let  $G$  be a connected graph, and  $H$  be a proper subgraph of  $G$ . Then

$$\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(H).$$

Let  $M$  be a real symmetric matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Assume that  $M$ , with respect to the partition  $\pi : V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ , can be written as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \cdots & M_{1,r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{r,1} & \cdots & M_{r,r} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $M_{ij}$  denotes the submatrix (block) of  $M$  formed by rows in  $V_i$  and columns in  $V_j$ . Let  $q_{ij}$  denote the average row sum of  $M_{ij}$ . Then matrix  $M_\pi = (q_{ij})$  is called the quotient matrix of  $M$ . If the row sum of each block  $M_{ij}$  is a constant, then the partition is equitable.

**Lemma 2.5** ([38]). Let  $M$  be a real symmetric matrix with an equitable partition  $\pi$ , and let  $M_\pi$  be the corresponding quotient matrix. Then every eigenvalue of  $M_\pi$  is an eigenvalue of  $M$ . Furthermore, if  $M$  is nonnegative, then the largest eigenvalues of  $M$  and  $M_\pi$  are equal.

### 3 The proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose to the contrary that  $G$  is not  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered. Choose a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  such that its  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius is as large as possible.

Let  $h(x) = x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 5)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (7\alpha^2 - 5\alpha + 3)n - 18\alpha^2 + 29\alpha - 15$ . By the condition of Theorem 1.1,  $\theta(n)$  is the largest root of  $h(x) = 0$ . Let  $\varphi(x) = x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8$ . According to the condition of Theorem 1.2,  $\eta(n)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi(x) = 0$ . We verify Theorem 1.2 by the next two possible cases.

**Case 1.**  $G$  has no  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor.

In terms of Theorem 1.1, we have  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \theta(n)$  for  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , where

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 14, & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]; \\ 17, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}]; \\ 20, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}]; \\ \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1). \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show  $\theta := \theta(n) \leq \eta(n)$ . Notice that  $h(\theta) = 0$ . Plugging  $\theta$  into  $x$  of  $\varphi(x) - h(x)$  gives

$$\varphi(\theta) = \varphi(\theta) - h(\theta) = -\theta^2 + \alpha n \theta - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 7.$$

**Claim 1.**  $\theta > n - 4$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H = K_1 \vee (K_{n-4} \cup 3K_1)$ . We consider the partition  $V(H) = V(3K_1) \cup V(K_{n-4}) \cup V(K_1)$ . The corresponding quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(H)$  equals

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 1 - \alpha \\ 0 & n + \alpha - 5 & 1 - \alpha \\ 3(1 - \alpha) & (1 - \alpha)(n - 4) & \alpha n - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct computation, the characteristic polynomial of  $B_0$  is

$$h(x) = x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 5)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (7\alpha^2 - 5\alpha + 3)n - 18\alpha^2 + 29\alpha - 15.$$

Notice that the partition  $V(H) = V(3K_1) \cup V(K_{n-4}) \cup V(K_1)$  is equitable. According to Lemma 2.5, the largest root  $\theta$  of  $h(x) = 0$  equals  $\rho_\alpha(H)$ , that is,  $\rho_\alpha(H) = \theta$ .

Obviously,  $K_{n-3}$  is a proper subgraph of  $H = K_1 \vee (K_{n-4} \cup 3K_1)$ . Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we conclude

$$\theta = \rho_\alpha(H) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-4} \cup 3K_1)) > \rho_\alpha(K_{n-3}) = n - 4.$$

Claim 1 is true. □

By virtue of Claim 1, we get

$$\frac{\alpha n}{2} < n - 4 < \theta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta) &= -\theta^2 + \alpha n \theta - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 7 \\ &< -(n-4)^2 + \alpha n(n-4) - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 7 \\ &= -(1-\alpha)n^2 + (7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 9. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ , then  $\frac{7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2}{2-2\alpha} < 14 \leq f(\alpha) \leq n$ . Thus, we possess

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta) &< -(1-\alpha)n^2 + (7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 9 \\ &\leq -196(1-\alpha) + 14(7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2) + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 9 \\ &= -20\alpha^2 + 154\alpha - 107 \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\frac{7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2}{2-2\alpha} < \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 = f(\alpha) \leq n$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\theta) &< -(1-\alpha)n^2 + (7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2)n + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 9 \\ &\leq -(1-\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 \right)^2 + (7-2\alpha-2\alpha^2) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 \right) + 8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 9 \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (-6\alpha^3 + 11\alpha^2 - 12\alpha - 3) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the discussion above, we always have  $\varphi(\theta) < 0$ , which implies  $\theta(n) = \theta < \eta(n)$ . Together with  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \theta(n)$  for  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , we conclude  $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \theta(n) < \eta(n)$  for  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

**Case 2.**  $G$  has a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor.

According to Lemma 2.1, we obtain  $i(G-S) \leq 2|S|$  for any subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$ . Since  $G$  is not  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered, using Lemma 2.2, there exists a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  so that either  $S$  is nonempty and some connected component of  $G-S$  is nontrivial, or  $S$  is not an independent set. Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $G$  is  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered, a contradiction. Furthermore, at least one of the following statements holds:

- (a)  $S$  is nonempty, one connected component of  $G-S$  is nontrivial, and  $i(G-S) \geq 2|S|$ ;
- (b)  $S$  is not an independent set, and  $i(G-S) \geq 2|S| - 1$ .

**Subcase 2.1.** Statement (a) holds.

In this subcase, we have  $|S| \geq 1$  and  $i(G-S) = 2|S|$ . In view of Lemma 2.4 and the choice of  $G$ , we conclude that

- the induced subgraph  $G[S]$  and every connected component of  $G-S$  are complete graphs;
- $G = G[S] \vee (G-S)$ ;
- $G-S$  has only one nontrivial connected component, say  $G_1$ .

Let  $|S| = s$  and  $|V(G_1)| = n_1 \geq 2$ . Recall that  $i(G-S) = 2|S|$ . Then  $G = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup 2sK_1)$ , where  $n_1 = n - 3s \geq 2$ . It is obvious that the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n_1}) \cup V(2sK_1)$  is an

equitable partition of  $G$ , and the corresponding quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(G)$  is

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha n - \alpha s + s - 1 & (1 - \alpha)(n - 3s) & 2s(1 - \alpha) \\ (1 - \alpha)s & n + \alpha s - 3s - 1 & 0 \\ (1 - \alpha)s & 0 & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a simple computation, the characteristic polynomial of  $B_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x) = & x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + (\alpha - 2)s - 2)x^2 \\ & + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)sn - (\alpha + 1)n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 2)s + 1)x \\ & - \alpha^2 sn^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2 n + (\alpha^2 + \alpha)sn \\ & - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 2)s^2 - \alpha s. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.5,  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ . Let  $\eta_1 = \rho_\alpha(G) \geq \eta_2 \geq \eta_3$  be the three roots of  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$  and  $R_1 = \text{diag}(s, n - 3s, 2s)$ . One checks that  $R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} B_1 R_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is symmetric, and also contains

$$\begin{pmatrix} n + \alpha s - 3s - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}$$

as its submatrix. Since  $R_1^{\frac{1}{2}} B_1 R_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $B_1$  possess the same eigenvalues, the Cauchy interlacing theorem (cf. [39]) implies that  $\eta_2 \leq n + \alpha s - 3s - 1 < n - 3$ .

If  $s = 1$ , then  $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$  and  $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x)$ . Recall that  $\eta(n)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi(x) = 0$  and  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ . Thus, we conclude  $\rho_\alpha(G) = \eta(n)$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ . In what follows, we are to consider  $s \geq 2$ .

From the discussion above, we easily see  $\eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1))$ . Note that  $K_{n-2}$  is a proper subgraph of  $K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$ . From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have  $\rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > \rho_\alpha(K_{n-2}) = n - 3$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > \rho_\alpha(K_{n-2}) = n - 3 > \eta_2. \quad (3.2)$$

**Subcase 2.1.1.**  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ .

Write  $\eta := \eta(n)$ . Recall that  $\varphi(\eta) = 0$ . A directed calculation yields that

$$\varphi_1(\eta) = \varphi_1(\eta) - \varphi(\eta) = (s - 1)g_1(\eta), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $g_1(\eta) = (2 - \alpha)\eta^2 - ((\alpha - \alpha^2)n + 2s + 2\alpha)\eta - \alpha^2 n^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)sn + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^2 - (10\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 8)s - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8$ . Note that

$$\frac{(\alpha - \alpha^2)n + 2s + 2\alpha}{2(2 - \alpha)} < n - 3 < \eta$$

by (3.2),  $s \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3s + 2$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\eta) & > g_1(n - 3) \\ & = (2 - \alpha)(n - 3)^2 - ((\alpha - \alpha^2)n + 2s + 2\alpha)(n - 3) - \alpha^2 n^2 \\ & \quad + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)sn + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (10\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 8)s - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 \\
& = (2 - 2\alpha)n^2 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10)n - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^2 \\
& \quad - (10\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 2)s - 10\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 10.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Let  $l_1(s, n) = (2 - 2\alpha)n^2 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10)n - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^2 - (10\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 2)s - 10\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 10$ . Notice that

$$-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10}{2(2 - 2\alpha)} < 3s + 2 \leq n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1(s, n) & \geq l_1(s, 3s + 2) \\
& = (2 - 2\alpha)(3s + 2)^2 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10)(3s + 2) \\
& \quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^2 - (10\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 2)s - 10\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 10 \\
& = (4s^2 + 4s - 6)\alpha^2 - (16s^2 + 4s - 12)\alpha + 12s^2 - 8s - 2 \\
& \geq \frac{9}{16}(4s^2 + 4s - 6) - \frac{3}{4}(16s^2 + 4s - 12) + 12s^2 - 8s - 2 \\
& = \frac{1}{8}(18s^2 - 70s + 29) \\
& > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities hold from  $\frac{16s^2 + 4s - 12}{2(4s^2 + 4s - 6)} > \frac{3}{4} \geq \alpha$  and  $s \geq 4$ , respectively.

If  $s = 3$ , then  $-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10}{2(2 - 2\alpha)} = -\frac{7\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 5}{2 - 2\alpha} < 14 \leq f(\alpha) \leq n$  due to  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Consequently, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) \geq 14$ , we obtain

$$l_1(3, n) \geq l_1(3, 14) = 84\alpha^2 - 318\alpha + 202 > 0.$$

If  $s = 2$ , then  $-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 10}{2(2 - 2\alpha)} = -\frac{5\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 5}{2 - 2\alpha} < 14 \leq f(\alpha) \leq n$  due to  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Hence, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) \geq 14$ , we conclude

$$l_1(2, n) \geq l_1(2, 14) = 78\alpha^2 - 328\alpha + 234 > 0.$$

From the discussion above, we have  $l_1(s, n) > 0$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ . Together with (3.3), (3.4) and  $s \geq 2$ , we get

$$\varphi_1(\eta) = (s - 1)g_1(\eta) > (s - 1)g_1(n - 3) = (s - 1)l_1(s, n) > 0.$$

Recall that  $\eta = \eta(n)$  and  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ . As  $\eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > n - 3 > \eta_2$  (see (3.2)), we infer  $\rho_\alpha(G) < \eta(n)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

**Subcase 2.1.2.**  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$ .

According to (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1(n - 3) & = (n - 3)^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + (\alpha - 2)s - 2)(n - 3)^2 \\
& \quad + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)sn - (\alpha + 1)n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 2)s + 1)(n - 3) \\
& \quad - \alpha^2 sn^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2 n + (\alpha^2 + \alpha)sn \\
& \quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 2)s^2 - \alpha s \\
& = 2(1 - \alpha)(4\alpha - 3)s^3 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)n - 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 4)s^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + ((2-2\alpha)n^2 - (2\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 10)n - 4\alpha + 12)s \\
& + (2\alpha - 2)n^2 - (6\alpha - 10)n - 12 \\
& =: \Phi_1(s, n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi_1(s, n)}{\partial s} & = 6(1-\alpha)(4\alpha-3)s^2 + 2((4\alpha^2-4\alpha)n - 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 4)s \\
& + (2-2\alpha)n^2 - (2\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 10)n - 4\alpha + 12.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 > \frac{5}{1-\alpha}$ . By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial \Phi_1(s, n)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=2} & = (2-2\alpha)n^2 + (14\alpha^2 - 8\alpha - 10)n - 104\alpha^2 + 172\alpha - 44 \\
& > (2-2\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 + (14\alpha^2 - 8\alpha - 10) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 104\alpha^2 + 172\alpha - 44 \\
& = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (104\alpha^3 - 206\alpha^2 + 176\alpha - 44) \\
& > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial \Phi_1(s, n)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=\frac{n-2}{3}} & = \frac{1}{3} ((6\alpha^2 - 12\alpha + 2)n - 24\alpha^2 + 36\alpha - 4) \\
& < \frac{1}{3} \left( (6\alpha^2 - 12\alpha + 2) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 24\alpha^2 + 36\alpha - 4 \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)} (24\alpha^3 - 30\alpha^2 - 20\alpha + 6) \\
& < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\varphi_1(n-3) = \Phi_1(s, n) \geq \min \{ \Phi_1(2, n), \Phi_1(\frac{n-2}{3}, n) \}$  because the leading coefficient of  $\Phi_1(s, n)$  (view as a cubic polynomial of  $s$ ) is positive, and  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ . By virtue of  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 > \frac{5}{1-\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(2, n) & = (2-2\alpha)n^2 + (12\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 10)n - 72\alpha^2 + 112\alpha - 20 \\
& > (2-2\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 + (12\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 10) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 72\alpha^2 + 112\alpha - 20 \\
& = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (72\alpha^3 - 124\alpha^2 + 102\alpha - 20) \\
& > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1\left(\frac{n-2}{3}, n\right) & = \frac{1}{27} ((4\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 12)n^3 + (-24\alpha^2 + 132\alpha - 132)n^2 \\
& + (12\alpha^2 - 246\alpha + 438)n + 40\alpha^2 - 16\alpha - 444) \\
& > \frac{1}{27} ((4\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 12) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^3 + (-24\alpha^2 + 132\alpha - 132) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + (12\alpha^2 - 246\alpha + 438) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) + 40\alpha^2 - 16\alpha - 444 \\
& = \frac{1}{27(1-\alpha)^2} (40\alpha^4 - 156\alpha^3 + 318\alpha^2 + 252\alpha - 54) \\
& > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we conclude  $\varphi_1(n-3) \geq \min \{ \Phi_1(2, n), \Phi_1(\frac{n-2}{3}, n) \} > 0$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ . As  $\eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > n-3 > \eta_2$  (see (3.2)), we deduce  $\rho_\alpha(G) < \eta(n)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

**Subcase 2.2.** Statement (b) holds.

In this subcase, we infer  $|S| \geq 2$  and  $i(G-S) = 2|S| - 1$  or  $2|S|$ . Similarly, one has

- the induced subgraph  $G[S]$  and every connected component of  $G-S$  are complete graphs;
- $G = G[S] \vee (G-S)$ ;
- $G-S$  has at most one nontrivial connected component  $G_1$ .

We also let  $|S| = s \geq 2$  and  $|V(G_1)| = n_1$ . Notice that  $n_1 = 0$  or  $n_1 \geq 2$ .

**Subcase 2.2.1.**  $i(G-S) = 2s-1$ .

**Subcase 2.2.1.1.**  $n_1 \geq 2$ .

In this subcase,  $G = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup (2s-1)K_1)$  and  $n = 3s-1+n_1 \geq 3s+1$ . It is clear that the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n_1}) \cup V((2s-1)K_1)$  is an equitable partition of  $G$ , and the corresponding quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(G)$  equals

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha n - \alpha s + s - 1 & (1-\alpha)(n-3s+1) & (1-\alpha)(2s-1) \\ (1-\alpha)s & n + \alpha s - 3s & 0 \\ (1-\alpha)s & 0 & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct computation, we obtain the characteristic polynomial of  $B_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(x) &= x^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + (\alpha-2)s - 1)x^2 \\
& + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)sn - n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 3)s)x \\
& - \alpha^2 sn^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2 n - (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)sn \\
& - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 3)s^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

According to Lemma 2.5,  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_2(x) = 0$ . Let  $\beta_1 = \rho_\alpha(G) \geq \beta_2 \geq \beta_3$  be the three roots of  $\varphi_2(x) = 0$  and  $R_2 = \text{diag}(s, n-3s+1, 2s-1)$ . One checks that  $R_2^{\frac{1}{2}} B_2 R_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is symmetric, and also contains

$$\begin{pmatrix} n + \alpha s - 3s & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}$$

as its submatrix. Since  $R_2^{\frac{1}{2}} B_2 R_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $B_2$  have the same eigenvalues, the Cauchy interlacing theorem (cf. [39]) yields that  $\beta_2 \leq n + \alpha s - 3s < n - 2s \leq n - 4$ .

Recall that  $\eta = \eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1))$ . Since  $K_{n-2}$  is a proper subgraph of  $K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$ , it follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 that

$$\eta = \eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > \rho_\alpha(K_{n-2}) = n-3 > n-4 > \beta_2. \tag{3.6}$$

First we discuss  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Recall that  $\varphi(\eta) = 0$ . A simple computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2(\eta) &= \varphi_2(\eta) - \varphi(\eta) \\ &= ((2-\alpha)s + \alpha - 3)\eta^2 + (((\alpha^2 - \alpha)s - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha)n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 3)s + 2\alpha - 1)\eta \\ &\quad - \alpha^2(s-1)n^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2n - (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)sn - (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n \\ &\quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 3)s^2 + 10\alpha^2 - 15\alpha + 8.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$-\frac{((\alpha^2 - \alpha)s - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha)n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 3)s + 2\alpha - 1}{2((2-\alpha)s + \alpha - 3)} < n - 3 < \eta$$

by (3.6),  $s \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3s + 1$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2(\eta) &> ((2-\alpha)s + \alpha - 3)(n-3)^2 + (((\alpha^2 - \alpha)s - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha)n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 3)s + 2\alpha - 1)(n-3) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2(s-1)n^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2n - (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)sn - (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n \\ &\quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 3)s^2 + 10\alpha^2 - 15\alpha + 8 \\ &= ((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)n^2 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15)n \\ &\quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 9)s^2 - 3(\alpha - 3)s + 10\alpha^2 - 12\alpha - 16.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Let  $l_2(s, n) = ((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)n^2 + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15)n - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 9)s^2 - 3(\alpha - 3)s + 10\alpha^2 - 12\alpha - 16$ . Note that  $(2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3 > 0$  and

$$-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15}{2((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)} < 3s + 1 \leq n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}l_2(s, n) &\geq l_2(s, 3s + 1) \\ &= ((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)(3s + 1)^2 \\ &\quad + ((4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15)(3s + 1) \\ &\quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 9)s^2 - 3(\alpha - 3)s + 10\alpha^2 - 12\alpha - 16 \\ &= (4s^3 - 4s^2 - 10s + 8)\alpha^2 - (16s^3 - 32s^2 - 2s + 16)\alpha + 12s^3 - 36s^2 + 28s - 4 \\ &\geq \frac{9}{16}(4s^3 - 4s^2 - 10s + 8) - \frac{3}{4}(16s^3 - 32s^2 - 2s + 16) + 12s^3 - 36s^2 + 28s - 4 \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(18s^3 - 114s^2 + 191s - 92) \\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the last two inequalities hold from  $\frac{16s^2 + 4s - 12}{2(4s^2 + 4s - 6)} > \frac{3}{4} \geq \alpha$  and  $s \geq 4$ , respectively.

If  $s = 3$ , then  $-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15}{2((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)} = -\frac{22\alpha^2 - 13\alpha - 15}{6 - 6\alpha} < 14 \leq f(\alpha) \leq n$  due to  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Hence, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) \geq 14$ , we get

$$l_2(3, n) \geq l_2(3, 14) = 138\alpha^2 - 494\alpha + 308 > 0.$$

If  $s = 2$ , then  $-\frac{(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha)s^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)s - 2\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 15}{2((2-2\alpha)s + 3\alpha - 3)} = -\frac{6\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 5}{2 - 2\alpha} < 14 \leq f(\alpha) \leq n$  due to  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Hence, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) \geq 14$ , we have

$$l_2(2, n) \geq l_2(2, 14) = 46\alpha^2 - 180\alpha + 115 > 0.$$

From the discussion above, we conclude  $l_2(s, n) \geq 0$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$ . Combining this with (3.7) and  $s \geq 2$ , we obtain

$$\varphi_2(\eta) > l_2(s, n) \geq 0.$$

Recall that  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_2(x) = 0$ . As  $\eta = \eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > n-3 > \beta_2$  (see (3.6)), we deduce  $\rho_\alpha(G) < \eta(n)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ . In what follows, we consider  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$ .

In terms of (3.5), we et

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(n-3) &= (n-3)^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + (\alpha-2)s - 1)(n-3)^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)sn - n - 2s^2 - (2\alpha - 3)s)(n-3) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 sn^2 + (4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2)s^2 n - (\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)sn \\ &\quad - (8\alpha^2 - 14\alpha + 6)s^3 + (4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 3)s^2 \\ &= 2(1-\alpha)(4\alpha-3)s^3 + ((4\alpha^2-4\alpha)n + 4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 9)s^2 \\ &\quad + ((2-2\alpha)n^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)n - 3\alpha + 9)s \\ &\quad + (3\alpha - 3)n^2 - (9\alpha - 15)n - 18 \\ &=: \Phi_2(s, n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi_2(s, n)}{\partial s} &= 6(1-\alpha)(4\alpha-3)s^2 + 2((4\alpha^2-4\alpha)n + 4\alpha^2 - 9\alpha + 9)s \\ &\quad + (2-2\alpha)n^2 - (4\alpha^2 - 10\alpha + 10)n - 3\alpha + 9. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 > \frac{5}{1-\alpha}$ . By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_2(s, n)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=2} &= (2-2\alpha)n^2 + (12\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 10)n - 80\alpha^2 + 129\alpha - 27 \\ &> (2-2\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 + (12\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 10) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 80\alpha^2 + 129\alpha - 27 \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (80\alpha^3 - 149\alpha^2 + 126\alpha - 27) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \Phi_2(s, n)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=\frac{n-1}{3}} &= \frac{1}{3} ((4\alpha^2 - 8\alpha)n - 16\alpha^2 + 23\alpha + 3) \\ &< \frac{1}{3} \left( (4\alpha^2 - 8\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 16\alpha^2 + 23\alpha + 3 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3(1-\alpha)} (16\alpha^3 - 19\alpha^2 - 20\alpha + 3) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\varphi_2(n-3) = \Phi_2(s, n) \geq \min \{ \Phi_2(2, n), \Phi_2(\frac{n-1}{3}, n) \}$  because the leading coefficient of  $\Phi_2(s, n)$  (view as a cubic polynomial of  $s$ ) is positive, and  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$ . In light of  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$  and  $n \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1 > \frac{5}{1-\alpha}$ , we have

$$\Phi_2(2, n) = (1-\alpha)n^2 + (8\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 5)n - 48\alpha^2 + 70\alpha - 12$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> (1-\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 + (8\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 5) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) - 48\alpha^2 + 70\alpha - 12 \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (48\alpha^3 - 78\alpha^2 + 62\alpha - 12) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_2 \left( \frac{n-1}{3}, n \right) &= \frac{1}{27} ((4\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 12)n^3 + (-24\alpha^2 + 144\alpha - 144)n^2 \\
&\quad + (-249\alpha + 504)n + 20\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 534) \\
&> \frac{1}{27} ((4\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 12) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^3 + (-24\alpha^2 + 144\alpha - 144) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + (-249\alpha + 504) \left( \frac{5}{1-\alpha} \right) + 20\alpha^2 - 14\alpha - 534) \\
&= \frac{1}{27(1-\alpha)^2} (20\alpha^4 - 54\alpha^3 + 159\alpha^2 + 389\alpha - 114) \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $\varphi_2(n-3) \geq \min \{ \Phi_2(2, n), \Phi_2(\frac{n-1}{3}, n) \} > 0$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$ . As  $\eta = \eta(n) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \vee (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)) > n-3 > \beta_2$  (see (3.6)), we conclude  $\rho_\alpha(G) < \eta(n)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

**Subcase 2.2.1.2.**  $n_1 = 0$ .

In this subcase,  $G = K_s \vee (2s-1)K_1$  and  $n = 3s-1$ . The quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(G)$  by the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s-1)K_1)$  equals

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha s + s - \alpha - 1 & (1-\alpha)(2s-1) \\ (1-\alpha)s & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct computation, we get the characteristic polynomial of  $B_3$  as

$$\varphi_3(x) = x^2 - (3\alpha s + s - \alpha - 1)x + 5\alpha s^2 - 2s^2 - 3\alpha s + s.$$

Since the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V((2s-1)K_1)$  is equitable, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_3(x) = 0$ . Note that  $n = 3s-1$ . By a direct computation, we obtain

$$\varphi_3(n-3) = \varphi_3(3s-4) = (4-4\alpha)s^2 + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12.$$

If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $n = 3s-1 \geq f(\alpha) = 14$ . Thus, we infer  $s \geq 5$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3(n-3) &= (4-4\alpha)s^2 + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 5(4-4\alpha)s + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= (4-8\alpha)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 5(4-8\alpha) - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= 32 - 44\alpha \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $n = 3s - 1 \geq f(\alpha) = 17$ . Thus, we have  $s \geq 6$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3(n-3) &= (4-4\alpha)s^2 + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 6(4-4\alpha)s + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= (8-12\alpha)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 6(8-12\alpha) - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= 60 - 76\alpha \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{2}{3} < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ , then  $n = 3s - 1 \geq f(\alpha) = 20$ . Thus, we get  $s \geq 7$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3(n-3) &= (4-4\alpha)s^2 + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 7(4-4\alpha)s + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= (12-16\alpha)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq 7(12-16\alpha) - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= 96 - 116\alpha \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$ , then  $n = 3s - 1 \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1$ . Thus, we deduce  $s \geq \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{2}{3}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3(n-3) &= (4-4\alpha)s^2 + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&\geq (4-4\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{2}{3} \right) s + (12\alpha-16)s - 4\alpha + 12 \\
&= \frac{1}{3} ((28\alpha-20)s - 12\alpha + 36) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{3} \left( (28\alpha-20) \left( \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{2}{3} \right) - 12\alpha + 36 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{9(1-\alpha)} (-20\alpha^2 + 92\alpha - 32) \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From the discussion above, we conclude  $s \geq 5$  and  $\varphi_3(n-3) > 0$ . Next, we consider the derivative of  $\varphi_3(x)$ , we have  $\varphi_3'(x) = 2x - (3\alpha s + s - \alpha - 1)$ . It follows from  $s \geq 5$  and  $n = 3s - 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_3'(n-3) &= 2(n-3) - (3\alpha s + s - \alpha - 1) \\
&= (5-3\alpha)s + \alpha - 7 \\
&\geq 5(5-3\alpha) + \alpha - 7 \\
&= 18 - 14\alpha \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with  $\varphi_3(n-3) > 0$  and  $\eta(n) > n - 3$ , we conclude  $\rho_\alpha(G) < n - 3 < \eta(n)$  for  $s \geq 5$ , which contradicts  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

**Subcase 2.2.2.**  $i(G-S) = 2s$ .

In this subcase,  $G = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup 2sK_1)$ . If  $n_1 \geq 2$ , then  $n = 3s + n_1 \geq 3s + 2$ . By a similar proof as that of Subcase 2.1 above, we have  $\rho_\alpha(G) < \eta(n)$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{3}$ , which contradicts  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ .

If  $n_1 = 0$ , then  $G = K_s \vee 2sK_1$  and  $n = 3s$ . Consider the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V(2sK_1)$ . The corresponding quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(G)$  equals

$$B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha s + s - 1 & 2s(1 - \alpha) \\ (1 - \alpha)s & \alpha s \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $B_4$  is

$$\varphi_4(x) = x^2 - (3\alpha s + s - 1)x + 5\alpha s^2 - 2s^2 - \alpha s.$$

Since the partition  $V(G) = V(K_s) \cup V(2sK_1)$  is equitable, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $\rho_\alpha(G)$  is the largest root of  $\varphi_4(x) = 0$ . Notice that  $n = 3s$ . By a simple calculation, we get

$$\varphi_4(n - 3) = \varphi_4(3s - 3) = (4 - 4\alpha)s^2 + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6.$$

If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $n = 3s \geq f(\alpha) \geq 14$ . Thus, we have  $s \geq 5$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4(n - 3) &= (4 - 4\alpha)s^2 + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &\geq 5(4 - 4\alpha)s + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &= (8 - 12\alpha)s + 6 \\ &\geq 5(8 - 12\alpha) + 6 \\ &= 46 - 60\alpha \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{2}{3} < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{4}$ , then  $n = 3s \geq f(\alpha) = 20$ . Thus, we conclude  $s \geq 7$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4(n - 3) &= (4 - 4\alpha)s^2 + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &\geq 7(4 - 4\alpha)s + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &= (16 - 20\alpha)s + 6 \\ &\geq 7(16 - 20\alpha) + 6 \\ &= 118 - 140\alpha \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < 1$ , then  $n = 3s \geq f(\alpha) = \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1$ . Thus, we get  $s \geq \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{1}{3}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4(n - 3) &= (4 - 4\alpha)s^2 + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &\geq (4 - 4\alpha) \left( \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{1}{3} \right) s + (8\alpha - 12)s + 6 \\ &= \frac{1}{3}((20\alpha - 12)s + 18) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \left( (20\alpha - 12) \left( \frac{5}{3(1-\alpha)} + \frac{1}{3} \right) + 18 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{9(1-\alpha)}(-20\alpha^2 + 78\alpha - 18) \end{aligned}$$

>0.

From the discussion above, we obtain  $s \geq 5$  and  $\varphi_4(n-3) > 0$ . In what follows, we consider the derivative of  $\varphi_4(x)$ , we get  $\varphi_4'(x) = 2x - (3\alpha s + s - 1)$ . According to  $s \geq 5$  and  $n = 3s$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_4'(n-3) &= 2(n-3) - (3\alpha s + s - 1) \\ &= (5-3\alpha)s - 5 \\ &\geq 5(5-3\alpha) - 5 \\ &= 20 - 15\alpha \\ &>0.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with  $\varphi_4(n-3) > 0$  and  $\eta(n) > n-3$ , we deduce  $\rho_\alpha(G) < n-3 < \eta(n)$  for  $s \geq 5$ , which is a contradiction to  $\rho_\alpha(G) > \eta(n)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## 4 Extremal graphs

In this section, we create a graph to claim that the bound on  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius established in Theorem 1.1 is sharp.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $\eta(n)$  be the largest root of  $x^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 = 0$ . Then  $\rho_\alpha(K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}) = \eta(n)$  and  $K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}$  is not a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph if  $n \geq f(\alpha)$ , where

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 14, & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]; \\ 17, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}]; \\ 20, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}]; \\ \frac{5}{1-\alpha} + 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We partition the vertex set of the graph  $K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}$  as  $V(K_{n-3}) \cup V(K_1) \cup V(\overline{K_2})$ . Then the quotient matrix of  $A_\alpha(K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2})$  in terms of the partition  $V(K_{n-3}) \cup V(K_1) \cup V(\overline{K_2})$  can be written as

$$B(K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n-1) & (1-\alpha)(n-3) & 2(1-\alpha) \\ 1-\alpha & n+\alpha-4 & 0 \\ 1-\alpha & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

whose characteristic polynomial is  $x^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8$ . Since the partition is equitable, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that the largest root  $\eta(n)$  of  $x^3 - ((\alpha+1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 = 0$  is equal to the  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius of the graph  $K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}$ , that is to say,  $\rho_\alpha(K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}) = \eta(n)$ . Write  $S = V(K_1)$ . Then  $i(K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2} - S) = 2 > 1 = 2|S| - 1$ . According to Lemma 2.2 (ii), we know that the graph  $K_{n-3} \vee K_1 \vee \overline{K_2}$  is not a  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph. Theorem 4.1 is proved.  $\square$

## Data availability statement

My manuscript has no associated data.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

## References

- [1] V. Nikiforov, Merging the  $A$ - and  $Q$ -spectral theories, *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics* 11 (2017) 81–107.
- [2] V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, On the  $\alpha$ -index of graphs with pendent paths, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 550 (2018) 87–104.
- [3] A. Samanta, On bounds of  $A_\alpha$ -eigenvalue multiplicity and the rank of a complex unit gain graph, *Discrete Mathematics* 346(9)113503.
- [4] H. Lin, X. Liu, J. Xue, Graphs determined by their  $A_\alpha$ -spectra, *Discrete Mathematics* 342(2) (2019) 441–450.
- [5] A. Brondani, F. Franca, C. Oliveira, Positive semidefiniteness of  $A_\alpha(G)$  on some families of graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 323(2022)113–123.
- [6] S. O, Spectral radius and matchings in graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 614 (2021) 316–324.
- [7] C. Liu, Y. Pan, J. Li, Signless Laplacian spectral radius and matchings in graphs, arXiv: 2007.04479v2.
- [8] Y. Zhang, H. Lin, Perfect matching and distance spectral radius in graphs and bipartite graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 304 (2021) 315–322.
- [9] Y. Zhao, X. Huang, Z. Wang, The  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius and perfect matchings of graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 631 (2021) 143–155.
- [10] S. Zhou, H. Liu, Two sufficient conditions for odd  $[1, b]$ -factors in graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 661(2023)149–162.
- [11] S. Zhou, Y. Zhang, H. Liu, Some properties of  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs, *Filomat*, in press.
- [12] D. Fan, H. Lin, H. Lu, Spectral radius and  $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 345(2022)112892.
- [13] Y. Egawa, M. Furuya, The existence of a path-factor without small odd paths, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 25(1)(2018)#P1.40.
- [14] S. Wang, W. Zhang, Degree conditions for the existence of a  $\{P_2, P_5\}$ -factor in a graph, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 57(4)(2023)2231–2237.
- [15] M. Las Vergnas, An extension of Tutte’s 1-factor theorem, *Discrete Mathematics* 23 (1978) 241–255.



- [16] A. Kaneko, A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path factor every component of which is a path of length at least two, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 88(2003)195–218.
- [17] M. Kano, H. Lu, Q. Yu, Component factors with large components in graphs, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 23(2010)385–389.
- [18] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, Binding number conditions for  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 343(3)(2020)111715.
- [19] S. Wang, W. Zhang, Independence number, minimum degree and path-factors in graphs, *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A: Mathematics, Physics, Technical Sciences, Information Science* 23(3)(2022)229–234.
- [20] S. Wang, W. Zhang, Isolated toughness for path factors in networks, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 56(4)(2022)2613–2619.
- [21] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, Q. Bian, Isolated toughness and path-factor uniform graphs (II), *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 54(3)(2023)689–696.
- [22] S. Zhou, Some results on path-factor critical avoidable graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 43(1)(2023)233–244.
- [23] S. Zhou, Degree conditions and path factors with inclusion or exclusion properties, *Bulletin Mathematique de la Societe des Sciences Mathematiques de Roumanie* 66(1)(2023)3–14.
- [24] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, F. Yang, A result on  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor uniform graphs, *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A: Mathematics, Physics, Technical Sciences, Information Science* 23(1)(2022)3–8.
- [25] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, H. Liu, Some sufficient conditions for path-factor uniform graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 97(3)(2023)489–500.
- [26] J. Wu, Path-factor critical covered graphs and path-factor uniform graphs, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 56(6)(2022)4317–4325.
- [27] H. Liu, Sun toughness and path-factor uniform graphs, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 56(6)(2022)4057–4062.
- [28] S. Wang, W. Zhang, Some results on star-factor deleted graphs, *Filomat* 38(3)(2024)1101–1107.
- [29] S. Wang, W. Zhang, On  $k$ -orthogonal factorizations in networks, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 55(2)(2021)969–977.
- [30] J. Wu, S. Zhou, Degree conditions for  $k$ -Hamiltonian  $[a, b]$ -factors, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica-English Series* 37(2)(2021)232–239.
- [31] J. Wu, A sufficient condition for the existence of fractional  $(g, f, n)$ -critical covered graphs, *Filomat* 38(6)(2024)2177–2183.

- [32] S. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Sufficient conditions for fractional  $[a, b]$ -deleted graphs, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, in press.
- [33] S. Zhou, Q. Pan, Y. Xu, A new result on orthogonal factorizations in networks, *Filomat*, in press.
- [34] S. Zhou, Q. Pan, L. Xu, Isolated toughness for fractional  $(2, b, k)$ -critical covered graphs, *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series A: Mathematics, Physics, Technical Sciences, Information Science* 24(1)(2023)11–18.
- [35] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, H. Liu,  $\mathcal{D}$ -index and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -index for spanning trees with leaf degree at most  $k$  in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 347(5)(2024)113927.
- [36] S. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Z. Sun, The  $A_\alpha$ -spectral radius for path-factors in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 347(5)(2024)113940.
- [37] H. Zhang, S. Zhou, Characterizations for  $P_{\geq 2}$ -factor and  $P_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 309(2009)2067–2076.
- [38] L. You, M. Yang, W. So, W. Xi, On the spectrum of an equitable quotient matrix and its application, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 577(2019)21–40.
- [39] W. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 227(1995)593–616.