SIC-POVMs from Stark Units: Dimensions $n^2 + 3 = 4p$, p prime

Ingemar Bengtsson¹, Markus Grassl^{2,3}, Gary McConnell⁴

¹ Stockholms Universitet, AlbaNova, Fysikum, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

2 International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdansk, 80-309 Gdansk, Poland

³ Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

⁴ Controlled Quantum Dynamics Theory Group, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

March 5, 2024

Abstract

The existence problem for maximal sets of equiangular lines (or SICs) in complex Hilbert space of dimension d remains largely open. In a previous publication we gave a conjectural algorithm for how to construct a SIC if $d = n^2 + 3 = p$, a prime number. Perhaps the most surprising number-theoretical aspect of that algorithm is the appearance of Stark units in a key role: a single Stark unit from a ray class field extension of a real quadratic field serves as a seed from which the SIC is constructed. The algorithm can be modified to apply to all dimensions $d = n^2 + 3$. Here we focus on the case when $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$, p prime, for two reasons. First, special measures have to be taken on the Hilbert space side of the problem when the dimension is even. Second, the degrees of the relevant ray class fields are 'smooth' in a sense that facilitates exact calculations. As a result the algorithm becomes easier to explain. We give solutions for seventeen different dimensions of this form, reaching $d = 39604$. Several improvements relative to our previous publication are reported, but we cannot offer a proof that the algorithm works for any dimensions where it has not been tested.

Contents

E-mail addresses: ingemar@fysik.su.se, markus.grassl@ug.edu.pl, g.mcconnell@imperial.ac.uk

1 Introduction

We define a SIC, in a complex vector space of dimension d, as an orbit under the Weyl–Heisenberg group that forms a maximal set of equiangular lines. The name is short for the acronym SIC-POVM, spelt out as symmetric informationally complete positive operator valued measure [\[1,](#page-46-0) [3\]](#page-46-1). If SICs exist, they can be put to use in classical signal processing, quantum information theory and quantum foundations. Geometrically the definition is as simple as it can be: a SIC is a regular simplex of maximal size in complex projective space. But the existence problem has turned out to be very hard. Perhaps the most surprising number-theoretical aspect of the quest to solve it, thus far, is the appearance of Stark units in a key role. To see why number theory enters, recall that a single primitive dth root of unity—an arithmetic object—gives the geometry of a regular d -gon. The SIC existence problem seemingly has a similar flavour, with Stark units in certain ray class field extensions of real quadratic fields playing the role of the roots of unity. We believe that our construction brings something new to the original Stark conjectures, by connecting them to a geometrical problem.

We begin with a summary of known results and conjectures about SICs. The Weyl–Heisenberg group [\[4\]](#page-46-2) is precisely defined in equations $(8)-(12)$ $(8)-(12)$ below. It has generators X and Z obeying $X^d = Z^d = \mathbb{I}$, and an additional generator of its centre. A (projective) orbit of length d^2 is obtained by choosing a *fiducial vector* $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and then acting with the group to obtain the d^2 vectors

$$
|\Psi_{i,j}\rangle = X^i Z^j |\Psi_0\rangle, \qquad 0 \le i, j < d,\tag{1}
$$

where we ignore possible overall phase factors. By definition these d^2 vectors form a SIC if they define complex equiangular lines in the sense that

$$
|\langle \Psi_0 | X^i Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle|^2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i, j) = (0, 0); \\ \frac{1}{d+1}, & \text{if } (i, j) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

Numerical searches have found SICs for all $d \leq 193$. Those numerical solutions have given rise to precise conjectures about the symmetries enjoyed by SICs [\[5,](#page-46-3) [6\]](#page-46-4). The unitary automorphism group of the Weyl–Heisenberg group is known as the Clifford group, and every SIC found so far is invariant under an element of order 3 of the latter. This is known as *Zauner symmetry*. Exact solutions are known for all $d \leq 53$, and for some higher dimensions.

It is important to notice that the Weyl–Heisenberg group admits a canonical unitary matrix representation, so that one can meaningfully talk about the number theoretical properties of the components of the SIC vectors when expressed in the corresponding basis. It is also important that the canonical representation uses only roots of unity: more precisely the entries of the matrices are numbers from the cyclotomic ('circle-dividing') number field generated by $(2d)$ th roots of unity. The same is true for the Clifford group. The number field holding the SIC must therefore contain this cyclotomic field as a subfield. A further remarkable observation was made through an examination of exact solutions: for every case examined it was found [\[7\]](#page-46-5) that the SIC vectors in dimension d can be constructed using some abelian extension of the real quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where D is square-free and satisfies

$$
f^{2}D = (d+1)(d-3) = (d-1)^{2} - 4
$$
\n(3)

for some $f \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since all abelian extensions of a number field are known through class field theory, this then led to a very precise conjecture $[8]$: in every dimension d there exists a ray class SIC that is constructed using the ray class field over the base field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ with finite part \overline{d} of the modulus defined as d if d is odd, and 2d if d is even. Typically there exist other, unitarily inequivalent SICs as well, which live in some larger abelian extension of the base field. They will not concern us here (but see Ref. [\[9\]](#page-47-0) for more).

We should mention that if we fix the quadratic base field by fixing the square-free integer D we will find [\[8\]](#page-46-6) an infinite sequence of integers d_{ℓ} that obey equation [\(3\)](#page-2-0). We refer to them as dimension towers. An example for $D = 5$ is given by the sequence

$$
\{d_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty} = \{4, 8, 19, 48, 124, 323, 844, 2208, 5779, 15128, 39604, \ldots\}.
$$
 (4)

It is conjectured that in every dimension d_{ℓ} there exists a SIC with unitary symmetry of order 3ℓ . A large symmetry makes it comparatively easy to find solutions, and for this particular sequence exact solutions up to $d = 323$ have been known for some time [\[10\]](#page-47-1). The dimension towers are of considerable interest in themselves, and we will devote Appendix [A](#page-33-0) of this paper to outlining some of their features.

Concerning the ray class fields we first observe that there are powerful algorithms, implemented in computer algebra packages such as Magma [\[11\]](#page-47-2), which allow us to construct them with given D and d, at least provided that the cyclic factors of the Galois group over K are not too large. Let us write \mathbb{Z}_K for the ring of integers of K. The starting point for the construction is the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}_K/\overline{d\mathbb{Z}_K}$, just as the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}/\overline{d\mathbb{Z}}$ is the starting point for the construction of the cyclotomic field; see Section [4.1](#page-12-1) for how to continue. A key fact is that if we have two moduli such that one divides the other, then the ray class field whose modulus is a divisor will be a subfield of the ray class field whose modulus it divides.

There are, however, open number theoretical questions lurking here. For the cyclotomic number fields we are in possession of an elegant description: a cyclotomic field with modulus d is generated by a primitive dth root of unity, and the dth roots of unity can be obtained by evaluating the analytic function $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ at rational points. Finding an equally satisfactory description of the ray class fields that we are interested in here is part of Hilbert's 12th problem [\[12\]](#page-47-3), which has remained open for more than a century. However, around fifty years ago Stark proposed that a set of algebraic units can be calculated numerically from the value at $s = 0$ of the first derivatives of an analytic L-function that is associated to the number fields we are interested in [\[13\]](#page-47-4). These

units, whose existence is one subject of the famous Stark conjectures, are known as *Stark units*. In favourable circumstances they generate the corresponding number fields.

How can Stark units be used to construct SICs? The first proposal was made by Kopp [\[14\]](#page-47-5), who constructed SICs from Stark units in dimensions 5, 11, 17, and 23. An extension to cover arbitrary dimensions seems possible. Our proposal is quite different, and is applicable only to dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3$ [\[15\]](#page-47-6). On the other hand we exploit some special features of this choice of dimensions, or equivalently of this choice of modulus for the ray class fields, which will enable us to reach dimensions much too large for numerical searches to be feasible.

Let us be clear about what is achieved here: SICs are *constructed* from Stark units, but both proposals rely on a version of the unproven Stark conjectures. There is no proof that they always yield SICs, and at the end it has to be checked that the collections of vectors that have been constructed do in fact solve the equations that define a SIC. Hence SIC existence has been proven only in those dimensions where they have been explicitly constructed (and this will remain true at the end of this paper also).

What is special about $d = n^2 + 3$? Clearly $d - 3 = n^2$, so equation [\(3\)](#page-2-0) tells us that D is the square free part of $d+1$, and

$$
d+1 = f^2 D \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d = (f\sqrt{D}+1)(f\sqrt{D}-1) = 4 \times \frac{f\sqrt{D}+1}{2} \times \frac{f\sqrt{D}-1}{2}.
$$
 (5)

When $d = 4p$, it is easily checked that all three factors are algebraic integers in the quadratic field. When $d = p$ we get only two prime factors. But in both cases the calculation shows that the rational prime p does not remain prime in the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. The key idea in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6), which focused on the case $d = p$, was to form the ideal $(f\sqrt{D} + 1)$ and use this as the modulus for a ray class field over K. The result is a ray class field with degree $(p-1)/3\ell$ over the Hilbert class field H_K , where ℓ is the position of d in the dimension tower. The ray class field with modulus p is the compositum of that subfield with the cyclotomic field, and has degree $(p-1)^2/3\ell$ over H_K .

Provided that d is odd this resonates with the conjectured symmetries of the SICs in these dimensions. They are special because the Clifford group contains operators of order 3ℓ that are represented as permutation matrices. The conjectures say that there exist SIC fiducial vectors that are left invariant by such a permutation matrix, and have an anti-unitary symmetry in addition to this. Going through the details one finds that such a vector is formed from $(p-1)/3\ell$ distinct numbers, cyclically ordered by the Clifford group. The temptation is to identify these numbers with the orbit of a Stark unit in the field with modulus $(f\sqrt{D}+1)$ under its cyclic Galois group over H_K . Closer inspection shows that one has to start from the square root of a Stark unit. Then the construction can be made to work—at least, it works for the thirteen choices of $d = n^2 + 3 = p$ that were tested in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6).

The construction generalises to all odd dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3$, although then we have to deal with an entire lattice of ray class fields with different moduli. But if the dimension is even there is an immediate obstacle on the Hilbert space side of the problem. In the standard representation of the Clifford group there is no operator of order 3 that is represented as a permutation matrix. It would therefore seem that a fiducial vector invariant under such an operator necessarily involves cyclotomic numbers, and then the above construction cannot work. This obstacle is completely removed in Section [2](#page-4-0) below. There, a slightly non-standard representation of the Clifford group is shown to give operators of order 3ℓ represented as permutation matrices. If $d = n^2 + 3$ is even then d is divisible by 4 but not by 8, and this is one reason why the present paper is focused on the case $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$. It is the conceptually simplest case among the even dimensions.

When $d = 4p$ we have more than one ray class subfield to choose from. We can use the ray class field whose modulus is the ideal $\mathfrak{p} = ((f\sqrt{D} + 1)/2)$, but we can also use the slightly larger ray class field with modulus 2p. Which of these subfields should we use to write down the SIC fiducial vector? The answer will turn out to be that we need both. In fact, before we are done, we will need the modulus $4p$ as well. The degrees of the relevant ray class fields over K are

$$
\deg(K^{\mathfrak{p}j}) = h_K \frac{p-1}{3\ell}, \qquad \deg(K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}) = h_K \frac{p-1}{\ell}, \tag{6}
$$

where h_K is the class number of K (the degree of the Hilbert class field H_K over K). This brings us to another reason why we focus on $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ here. For the purpose of doing explicit calculations in a number field it is convenient to have it expressed as a tower of field extensions, and then the prime decomposition of the degree matters. It helps, computationally, if the degree is smooth, in the sense that its prime factors are small relative to the degree. This motivates a closer look at the factor $p-1$ in the degrees. We find (for odd n) that

$$
d = n^2 + 3 = 4p \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p - 1 = \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} = \frac{n - 1}{2} \times \frac{n + 1}{2}.\tag{7}
$$

Hence the upper bound for the largest prime factor in $p-1$ grows like \sqrt{p} , while in the $d = p$ case it grows linearly with p. This helpful fact has the computational consequence that we can rely on exact arithmetic when discussing, for example, the action of the Galois group. We hope that this will have the effect of making it easier to follow the logic of this paper, compared to that of Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6).

We break off this introduction here, and invite the reader to read the rest of the paper. Section [2](#page-4-0) gives an account of the representation theory of the Clifford group on which we rely. We give more details than usual because we handle the dimension-four factor of the Hilbert space in an unusual way. Section [3](#page-9-0) gives a first version of an Ansatz for a SIC fiducial vector in dimension $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$. Section 4 gives the number theoretical results that enable us to make this Ansatz precise. What is new in relation to [\[15\]](#page-47-6) is that more than one ray class field is involved, and that their moduli involve powers of 2. Section [5](#page-18-0) contains our main result: a precise version of our algorithm for constructing SICs in these dimensions. It also gives some details about the dimensions where we have successfully applied it. Section [6](#page-25-0) gives a worked example for $d = 52$, which is small enough that we can give all the calculations in detail. Section [7](#page-28-0) explains why dimension 12 (a dimension divisible by 3) is special, and Section [8](#page-29-0) contains some useful observations concerning overlap phases and Stark units. Finally, Section [9](#page-32-0) consists of our conclusions as well as an outlook. Appendix [A](#page-33-0) gives some new results about dimension towers which apply irrespective of the dimension; Appendix [B](#page-39-0) places the behaviour of the primes above 2 into the context of the somewhat striking properties of the geometric scaling factor $\xi = \sqrt{-2 - \sqrt{d+1}}$; Appendix [C](#page-42-0) gives some additional details concerning the representation of the groups; and Appendix [D](#page-45-0) discusses alternative strategies for exact verification of the SIC property.

We have not been able to prove that the algorithm that we propose works in all dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3$, but it does work in every case that we have tested. This includes all $n \leq 53$ as well as some higher dimensional cases. In this paper we will prove that the construction works for seventeen different dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$, including $d = 39604$. It relies on the paradigm of the Stark conjectures in order to give us the units which go into the fiducial vectors, but the truth of the Stark conjectures as such is not directly relevant to it.

2 How to represent the Clifford group

To every finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^d we can associate a discrete Heisenberg group $H(d)$ known as a Weyl–Heisenberg group, as well as its automorphism group with minimal centre within the unitary group $U(d)$. The latter is known as its Clifford group. These groups are tied to dimension d in the sense that the Weyl–Heisenberg group admits faithful unitary irreducible representations only in dimension d , and the Clifford group has a (projective) representation in dimension d as well. An interesting fact is that when the dimension is a composite number both groups can be treated as direct products of the corresponding groups in the factors, provided the factors are of relatively prime dimensions. This means that we can confine our discussion to prime power dimensions. We will restrict ourselves further here, because the example we are interested in is $H(4p) = H(4) \times H(p)$ where p is an odd prime equal to 1 modulo 3.

Our goal is to construct SICs that are (projective) orbits under the Weyl–Heisenberg group, and our focus is on the number theoretical properties of the lines that form the SIC. When representing a group by unitary matrices one is forced to make a number of arbitrary choices; notably one has to choose an orthonormal basis and make a decision concerning the phase factors of the vectors in that basis. Unfortunate choices will completely obscure the number theoretical properties of the lines. In most of the literature the choices originally made by Weyl are followed. We will indeed use this standard representation when representing $H(p)$, but not when representing $H(4)$. To explain why, we first remind the reader about Weyl's choices [\[4\]](#page-46-2).

The group $H(d)$ can be presented using three generators X, Z, and ω . We impose the condition that ω commutes with X and Z, and that

$$
X^d = Z^d = \omega^d = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ZX = \omega XZ. \tag{8}
$$

If the dimension d is even, it turns out to be a good idea to extend the centre of the group [\[16\]](#page-47-7) by defining a generator τ such that

$$
\tau^2 = \omega. \tag{9}
$$

For an irreducible unitary representation, Schur's lemma implies that ω is represented by a primitive root of unity times the unit matrix. Our first (innocuous) choice is to set

$$
\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} 1, \qquad \tau = -e^{\frac{\pi i}{d}} 1,
$$
\n(10)

where throughout the following the notation \mathbb{I}_n will denote the identity matrix operator on dimension n , omitting the n where it is clear from the context. The sign is introduced so that we obtain the extra relation $\tau = \omega^{(d+1)/2}$ if d is odd. (In the following we often use the notation $\tau = -e^{\pi i/d}$, and similarly for ω . This should not cause confusion). If we introduce

$$
\bar{d} = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd;} \\ 2d, & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \end{cases}
$$
 (11)

we can state that τ is represented by a primitive \bar{d} th root of unity. We remind the reader that it is usual to define the displacement operators

$$
D_{i,j} = \tau^{ij} X^i Z^j. \tag{12}
$$

Up to signs there are d^2 displacement operators, and they form a unitary operator basis in \mathbb{C}^d .

It follows that the matrices representing the group necessarily include entries lying in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{O}(\tau)$. The aim is to show that no further extension of the rational numbers is needed in order to represent the entire group. Note that a cyclotomic number field generated by an nth root of unity ω_n necessarily contains the number $-\omega_n$, which is a $(2n)$ th root of unity when n is odd. Hence every cyclotomic field is of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2d})$ for some d. Precisely because we decided to extend the centre of the Weyl–Heisenberg groups when d is even, we can state that we use $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2d})$ when representing the Weyl–Heisenberg group in a Hilbert space of dimension d.

Having chosen a primitive root of unity the next step is to choose an orthonormal basis. The standard choice is to use the eigenbasis of the unitary matrix representing Z for this purpose. The defining relations [\(8\)](#page-5-0) imply that its eigenvalues are dth roots of unity, and Weyl went on to show that no repeated eigenvalues occur. We still have to order the eigenvectors somehow, but this is an innocuous choice. Thus we have determined that

$$
Z = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^3 \end{array}\right).
$$
 (13)

Here we assumed $d = 4$, but the generalisation to arbitrary d should be obvious.

There is one more choice to be made, which is non-trivial in principle. With the choices thus far, the defining relations [\(8\)](#page-5-0) imply

$$
X = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & 0 \end{array}\right),
$$
 (14)

where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are phase factors obeying $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = 1$. Weyl chose phase factors in front of the basis vectors ensuring that $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$. This is the standard representation of the Weyl–Heisenberg group. It is canonical when $d = p$ is prime, but not when $d = 4$ as we will see.

We now move on to the Clifford group, which is represented by unitary matrices U that permute the Weyl–Heisenberg group under conjugation, i. e.,

$$
UH(d)U^{-1} = H(d). \t\t(15)
$$

We can make the restriction that the unitary matrices have determinant equal to ± 1 . If the dimension is composite with relatively prime factors, and if we ignore the matrix −1, it follows that the Clifford group splits as a direct product. The quotient of the Clifford group by the Weyl–Heisenberg group is isomorphic to the *symplectic group* $Sp(2, \mathbb{Z}_d)$. We recall that in this two-dimensional setting, in fact

$$
Sp(2,\mathbb{Z}_d)\cong SL(2,\mathbb{Z}_d),
$$

the special linear group, and we shall use this identification, as well as the notation $SL(2)$, without comment from now onwards. A projective representation of this symplectic group is determined by the representation of $H(d)$ up to overall phase factors. This means that to every $SL(2)$ -matrix F with entries that are integers modulo d we can associate a unitary matrix U_F in the Clifford group: here we choose \bar{d} defined in eq. [\(11\)](#page-5-2) instead of d to keep track of phase factors. To be precise, their action on the displacement operators is

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{d}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad U_F D_{i,j} U_F^{-1} = D_{\alpha i + \beta j, \gamma i + \delta j}, \tag{16}
$$

where we used a subscript to indicate that the matrix elements are integers modulo \bar{d} . The unitary matrices U_F are determined by this requirement, up to overall phase factors. When the integer β admits an inverse modulo \bar{d} the explicit formula for the matrix elements $(U_F)_{r,s}$ of U_F is

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{d}} \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad (U_F)_{r,s} = \frac{e^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{d}} \tau^{\beta^{-1}(\delta r^2 - \gamma rs + \alpha s^2)}, \tag{17}
$$

where r, s run from 0 to $d-1$. At the other extreme, when the symplectic matrix F is diagonal, we obtain a permutation matrix with matrix elements given by

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}_{\bar{d}} \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad (U_F)_{r,s} = \delta_{\delta r,s}, \tag{18}
$$

where the bold δ denotes the Kronecker delta. Full details are given in [\[16\]](#page-47-7).

Here we wish to stress two points. First, the phase factor $e^{i\phi}$ can be chosen so that the entries in the representational matrices belong to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$. Second, we represented the Weyl–Heisenberg group by monomial matrices, that is to say by matrices that contain only one non-zero element in each row and each column. The matrices representing the symplectic group are not monomial in general; but some of them are. Indeed operators that permute the operators in the cyclic subgroup generated by Z will permute their joint eigenvectors as well, possibly up to adding phase factors. Hence, relative to a basis spanned by these eigenvectors, such Clifford group elements will be given by monomial matrices, $i.e.,$ by matrices that are permutation matrices possibly with their non-zero elements being replaced by phase factors.

In the SIC problem we are interested in Clifford unitaries U_z such that $U_z^3 = 1$, because it seems that every SIC vector has a symmetry of order three [\[1\]](#page-46-0), [\[5\]](#page-46-3), [\[16\]](#page-47-7). Here $\mathcal Z$ is a symplectic matrix of order three and trace -1 . There are many such matrices. A choice that has become standard [\[5,](#page-46-3) [16\]](#page-47-7) is

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array}\right)_{\bar{d}}.\tag{19}
$$

Such Clifford unitaries are known as Zauner unitaries, because Zauner was the first to realise their importance [\[1\]](#page-46-0). Indeed, according to Zauner's conjecture, for every dimension there is a fiducial SIC vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$ such that with a suitable choice of the phase factor in U_z it is the case that

$$
U_{\mathcal{Z}}|\Psi_0\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle, \qquad U_{\mathcal{Z}}^3 = \mathbb{1}.
$$
\n(20)

This relation will be simplified considerably if we can choose a matrix U_z that is a permutation matrix of order 3. If so, we can hope to write down a fiducial vector using a number field that does not contain complex roots of unity at all.

If the dimension d is prime, one can show that the symplectic group $SL(2)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of order 3 elements [\[17\]](#page-47-8). The question is whether this conjugacy class contains a representative that is represented by a permutation matrix. What we need is a diagonal symplectic matrix of order 3, as in equation [\(18\)](#page-6-0), but now with the added requirement that $\delta^3 = 1$ modulo d. This has solutions if $d = p \equiv 1 \mod 3$, but not if $d = p \equiv 2 \mod 3$ [\[16\]](#page-47-7). There is another way to understand this. An operator will be represented by a permutation matrix if it permutes the vectors that form the basis. In the standard representation this means that it must permute elements of the cyclic subgroup generated by Z among themselves. If $d = p$ the Weyl–Heisenberg group contains $p+1$ cyclic subgroups of order p, generated by $Z, X, XZ, \ldots, XZ^{p-1}$ and (pairwise) having only the unit element in common. A Clifford group element of order 3 will collect some of these subgroups into triplets, but if $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ there must be a pair of subgroups "left over", and the order 3 operator will indeed permute their elements among themselves. For $d = 4$, modulo the centre, there are six partially intersecting cyclic subgroups of order 4, and we cannot obtain a monomial $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ if we stay in the standard representation.

Fortunately an alternative representation is available whenever d is a square [\[18\]](#page-47-9). We give the details for $d = 4$. When choosing a representation it is natural to select a maximal commuting set of operators and let their joint eigenvectors serve as the basis. Hence the commuting operators are represented by diagonal matrices. By inspection of Figure [1](#page-8-0) we see that $H(4)$ contains a distinguished abelian subgroup consisting of order-two elements, namely $\{\mathbb{1}, X^2, Z^2, X^2Z^2\}$. It is easy to see that the defining relations [\(8\)](#page-5-0) imply that they mutually commute. How is their joint eigenbasis related to the standard basis? To see this we exhibit the Hilbert space as a tensor product $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ by introducing a product basis

$$
|0_4\rangle = |0_2\rangle \otimes |0_2\rangle, |1_4\rangle = |0_2\rangle \otimes |1_2\rangle, |2_4\rangle = |1_2\rangle \otimes |0_2\rangle, |3_4\rangle = |1_2\rangle \otimes |1_2\rangle. \tag{21}
$$

It is then seen that in the standard representation with respect to this product basis:

$$
D_{2,0} = X^2 = \sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{1}_2, \qquad D_{0,2} = Z^2 = \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_z, \qquad D_{2,2} = -X^2 Z^2 = -\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_z, \tag{22}
$$

where σ_x , σ_z are the Pauli matrices. Thus we wish to diagonalise σ_x in the first factor without changing the already diagonal σ_z in the second. For this purpose we introduce the two dimensional discrete Fourier matrix $F_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 2 $(1 \ 1$ $1 -1$ and note that

$$
F_2 \sigma_x F_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_z.
$$
 (23)

Hence we can go from the standard representation to a representation where X^2, Z^2, X^2Z^2 are diagonal by applying the unitary operator $F_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_2$. Since our point of view requires us to carefully notice any number theoretical complication that may arise it is comforting to note that here there are none—equation [\(23\)](#page-7-0) involves only rational numbers.

The effect this basis change has on the Clifford group is dramatic. Since the basis is defined using a maximal abelian subgroup containing all the order-two elements in $H(4)$, and since the

Figure 1: The Weyl–Heisenberg and Clifford groups for $d = 4$. We show the sixteen displacement operators, the six cyclic subgroups generated by $D_{0,1}$, $D_{2,1}$, $D_{3,3}$, $D_{3,1}$, $D_{1,0}$, and $D_{3,2}$, as well as the action of two different symplectic unitaries, namely the Fourier matrix and the Zauner matrix U_z .

Clifford group must permute the order-two elements among themselves, the effect of the Clifford group on the new basis vectors is simply to permute them and possibly to multiply them with phase factors. Hence the entire Clifford group is now given by monomial matrices, which is why the representation we have arrived at is known as the monomial representation [\[18\]](#page-47-9).

We still have to deal with possible phase factors in front of the basis vectors. Recall that Weyl chose them with a view to make X a real matrix. This choice is no longer natural. But the phase factors are (almost) determined by the problem we are interested in. Our aim is to choose the basis in such a way that a symplectic unitary U_z of order 3, appearing in equation [\(20\)](#page-7-1), becomes a permutation matrix. We begin by making the standard choice given in eq. [\(19\)](#page-7-2) with $\bar{d} = 2d = 8$, express the symplectic unitary U_z in the standard representation [\[16\]](#page-47-7), and then perform the transformation to the monomial basis. As a result

$$
U_{\mathcal{Z}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^5 & \tau^5 & \tau^5 & \tau^5 \\ \tau^6 & 1 & \tau^2 & \tau^4 \\ \tau & \tau^5 & \tau & \tau^5 \\ \tau^6 & \tau^4 & \tau^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow U_{\mathcal{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau^6 & 0 \\ \tau^5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (24)

We will insist that U_z be represented by a permutation matrix. We therefore change the phase factors of the basis vectors by applying a unitary transformation effected by the diagonal matrix

$$
T_1 = \text{diag}(1, \tau^5, \tau^3, 1). \tag{25}
$$

The overall transformation is

$$
T = T_1 \cdot (F_2 \otimes 1_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^5 & 0 & \tau^5 \\ \tau^3 & 0 & \tau^7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{26}
$$

The diagonal matrices representing X^2 , Z^2 , X^2Z^2 are unaffected by this, but U_z takes the form

$$
U_{\mathcal{Z}} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right). \tag{27}
$$

In this basis, the generators of the Weyl–Heisenberg group are represented as

$$
X = D_{1,0}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \tau^5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau^3 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
(28)

and

$$
Z = D_{0,1}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tau^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tau^7 \\ \tau^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau^5 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix},
$$
(29)

where $i = \tau^2$, $i^2 = -1$. Note that the eighth root of unity $\tau = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{-i}{2}$ is a common scalar factor; so up to this phase factor, the matrices can be written using only fourth roots of unity.

We give the representation of two other extended Clifford group elements that will figure in our constructions, namely those corresponding to

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_8 \quad \text{and} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}_8. \tag{30}
$$

Recall that the subscript 8 denotes arithmetic modulo 8. The matrix J is anti-symplectic (has determinant −1) and is represented by an anti-unitary operator [\[16\]](#page-47-7). In the standard representation J is represented by pure complex conjugation on each entry of the target vector, with respect to a fixed embedding of our coefficient field. In the version of the monomial representation that we use here this is going to be slightly more complicated due to the phase factors we introduced. We find

$$
U_P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_J |\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} |\Psi\rangle^* \tag{31}
$$

The unitary operator U_P is known as the parity operator. For the anti-unitary operator A_J [\[19\]](#page-47-10) we give its action on the fiducial vector $|\Psi\rangle$, where $|\Psi\rangle^*$ denotes the vector obtained by complex conjugation of its components.

We have now specified the representation of the $d = 4p$ Clifford group that we will use in this paper. We first apply the Chinese remainder theorem to rewrite the Weyl–Heisenberg group as $H(4) \times H(p)$. In Hilbert space this transformation involves only a permutation matrix. In the dimension-p factor we use the standard representation, and choose a representative $U_z \in U(p)$ that is a permutation matrix. In the dimension-four factor we use the monomial representation with its basis vectors enphased according to the above. The full Clifford group is represented accordingly.

Unfortunately the requirement that we have an order-three permutation matrix in the Clifford group does not determine the enphasing of the basis vectors uniquely. This is related to the fact that the $d = 4$ Clifford group actually contains two isomorphic copies of the Weyl–Heisenberg group [\[20\]](#page-47-11). This also affects which of the two order-three permutation matrices in dimension $4p$ we construct in equations [\(33\)](#page-10-0) and [\(34\)](#page-10-1) below (see Appendix [C](#page-42-0) for more details).

3 An Ansatz for a SIC fiducial vector

Having decided on a representation of the Weyl–Heisenberg group, we are in a position to write down an Ansatz (working presupposition) for a SIC fiducial vector that has the symmetries that we expect from the numerical evidence in low dimensions [\[6\]](#page-46-4). Naturally we will make use of the freedom to choose suitable representatives from the conjugacy classes of Clifford unitaries. Moreover we will regard the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ as a direct sum of four copies of \mathbb{C}^p .

Let the dimension be $d_{\ell} = n^2 + 3 = 4p$, where ℓ is the position in the dimension tower. We will write the fiducial vector as

$$
|\Psi_0\rangle = N \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_3}{\mathbf{v}_4}\right) = N \sum_{i=1}^4 |i\rangle |\mathbf{v}_i\rangle, \tag{32}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_4$ are vectors in \mathbb{C}^p and N is a normalisation factor at our disposal. This vector should have a unitary symmetry of order 3ℓ , and we want to represent this by a permutation matrix. For this purpose we introduce a generator θ for the multiplicative group of the integers modulo p (so that $\theta^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ and this is the least such power), and set $\delta = \theta^{(p-1)/3\ell}$ in equation [\(18\)](#page-6-0). This results in a permutation matrix U_F such that $U_F^{3\ell} = \mathbb{1}$. Consequently there are two possibilities for the Zauner symmetry in dimension 4p, namely $U_z \otimes U_F$ or $U_z \otimes U_F^{-1}$, where $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the permutation matrix from eq. [\(27\)](#page-8-1), and U_F is the permutation matrix in dimension p. This symmetry gives us one of the two conditions

$$
(U_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes U_{F}) | \Psi_{0} \rangle = | \Psi_{0} \rangle \tag{33}
$$

or

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

$$
\left(U_{\mathcal{Z}}\otimes U_{F}^{-1}\right)|\Psi_{0}\rangle=|\Psi_{0}\rangle,\tag{34}
$$

and we discuss the first possibility in what follows. The two possibilities are related by a Clifford transformation, but given the precise way in which we decided to enphase the basis vectors only one of them will afford a fiducial vector in a number field with the smallest possible degree, see Appendix [C.](#page-42-0) In expanded form, the symmetry [\(33\)](#page-10-0) reads

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}\n0 & U_F & 0 & 0 \\
\hline\n0 & 0 & U_F & 0 \\
\hline\nU_F & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline\n0 & 0 & 0 & U_F\n\end{array}\right)\n\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{v}_1 \\
\mathbf{v}_2 \\
\hline\n\mathbf{v}_3 \\
\hline\n\mathbf{v}_4\n\end{array}\right) =\n\left(\begin{array}{c}\nU_F\mathbf{v}_2 \\
\hline\nU_F\mathbf{v}_3 \\
\hline\nU_F\mathbf{v}_4\n\end{array}\right) =\n\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{v}_1 \\
\hline\n\mathbf{v}_2 \\
\hline\n\mathbf{v}_3 \\
\hline\n\mathbf{v}_4\n\end{array}\right).
$$
\n(35)

This requires

$$
\mathbf{v}_2 = U_F^{-1} \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = U_F \mathbf{v}_1,
$$

$$
U_F^3 \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_F \mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{v}_4.
$$
 (36)

as well as

Thus the vector
$$
\mathbf{v}_4
$$
 has a permutation symmetry of order 3ℓ , while for the remaining vectors the symmetry is of order ℓ . For the second option (34), the position of the vectors \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 in the Ansatz (32) is interchanged. The independent vectors \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_4 can be written as

$$
\mathbf{v}_1 = (1, y_1, \dots, y_{p-1})^{\mathrm{T}}, \qquad \mathbf{v}_4 = (\sqrt{x_0}, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1})^{\mathrm{T}}.
$$
 (37)

The normalisation factor N was chosen to make one component equal to 1. The numbers $\sqrt{x_0}$, x_i, y_i are to be determined.

Conditions [\(36\)](#page-10-3), together with the form [\(18\)](#page-6-0) of U_F , imply that there are $(p-1)/3\ell$ independent numbers x_i and $(p-1)/\ell$ independent numbers y_i . To make this explicit, we introduce a multiplicative ordering of the $p-1$ vector-indexing integers $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$ by re-indexing them according to a new variable r with the range $0 \le r \le p-2$, viz.

$$
j = \theta^r \bmod p,\tag{38}
$$

the relevance of which becomes clear in eqs. [\(42\)](#page-11-0) below. We then introduce two cyclically indexed sets of complex numbers,

$$
\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{(p-1)/3\ell-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{(p-1)/\ell-1}\}.
$$
 (39)

(Looking ahead: These are the numbers that will eventually turn out to be square roots of Stark phase units). We extend these cycles to cycles of length $p-1$ by defining

$$
\alpha_{r+j(p-1)/3\ell} = \alpha_r, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, 3\ell - 1 \tag{40}
$$

$$
\beta_{r+k(p-1)/\ell} = \beta_r, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1.
$$
\n(41)

The relation between the components x_j and y_j of the vectors \mathbf{v}_4 and \mathbf{v}_1 , resp., and the numbers α_r and β_r , resp., is given by

$$
x_{\theta^r} = \alpha_r \qquad \text{and} \qquad y_{\theta^r} = \beta_r. \tag{42}
$$

Using equation [\(18\)](#page-6-0) with $\delta = \theta^{(p-1)/3\ell}$ we can now check that conditions [\(36\)](#page-10-3) hold.

We also build an anti-unitary symmetry in. Again guided by Scott's conjectures [\[6\]](#page-46-4) we take it to be \sqrt{p} **College**

$$
(U_P^{(4)} \otimes U_P^{(p)}) |\Psi_0\rangle^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{U_P^{(p)}}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{0}{0} & U_P^{(p)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{0}{0} & 0 & U_P^{(p)} & 0\\ \frac{0}{0} & 0 & 0 & -U_P^{(p)} \end{pmatrix} |\Psi_0\rangle^* = |\Psi_0\rangle, \tag{43}
$$

where $U_P^{(4)}$ ⁽⁴⁾ is the parity operator in dimension four, $|\Psi_0\rangle^*$ denotes component-wise complex conjugation on the entries of the target vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$, as in eq. [\(31\)](#page-9-1), and $U_P^{(p)}$ $P_P^{(p)}$ is the parity operator in dimension p, a permutation matrix obtained by setting $\delta = -1$ in equation [\(18\)](#page-6-0). This requires

$$
y_{-j} = y_j^*, \qquad \text{which is equivalent to } \beta_{r+(p-1)/2} = \beta_r^*, \tag{44}
$$

$$
x_{-j} = -x_j^*, \qquad \text{which is equivalent to } \alpha_{r+(p-1)/2} = -\alpha_r^*, \tag{45}
$$

and
$$
\sqrt{x_0} = -(\sqrt{x_0})^*
$$
. (46)

Hence $x_0 < 0$.

Finally we make the more dramatic assumption that

$$
1 = |x_1|^2 = \dots = |x_{p-1}|^2 \qquad \qquad = |y_1|^2 = \dots = |y_{p-1}|^2 \tag{47}
$$

$$
= |\alpha_0|^2 = \ldots = |\alpha_{(p-1)/3\ell - 1}|^2 = |\beta_0|^2 = \ldots = |\beta_{(p-1)/\ell - 1}|^2.
$$
 (48)

Thus all these numbers lie on the unit circle, and the vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is consequently referred to as being almost flat. Another way to state this assumption is to require that a real SIC fiducial vector exists, from which the almost flat vector is reached by means of a suitable Clifford transformation. Because we use a non-standard representation of the Clifford group the standard argument to this effect [\[15,](#page-47-6) [21,](#page-47-12) [22\]](#page-47-13) has to be modified, and we give the details in Appendix [C.](#page-42-0)

For an almost flat vector some of the overlaps are real. The SIC condition requires them to be phase factors divided by $\sqrt{d+1}$. Taken together this means that we must (tentatively) impose

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | \mathbb{1}_4 \otimes Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}, & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{49}
$$

We assume that the left-hand side forms a Galois orbit when $j \neq 0$, so the sign must be independent of j. Written out, this leads to the conditions

$$
N^{2}(|x_{0}| + d - 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N^{2}(|x_{0}| - 1) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}.
$$
 (50)

If we choose the positive sign, and recall that we have already established that x_0 is negative, we find that

$$
x_0 = -2 - \sqrt{d+1}
$$
 and $N^2 = \frac{1}{d+1 + \sqrt{d+1}}$. (51)

For the negative sign there is no solution (with $|x_0| \ge 0$ and $d > 3$). Hence we add equations [\(51\)](#page-11-1) to the Ansatz. This means that the SIC conditions

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | \mathbb{1}_4 \otimes Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}, & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{52}
$$

are built into the Ansatz. It also follows that

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | D_{0,2} \otimes Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | D_{2,0} \otimes Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | D_{2,2} \otimes Z^j | \Psi_0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}},\tag{53}
$$

where the displacement operators $D_{i,j}$ are with respect to our chosen representation in dimension four, and the operator Z is again in the standard representation in dimension p .

To go further we need to know more about the phase factors α_r and β_r . This is where we turn to number theory.

4 The number fields used for the SIC construction

The position now is that we need two sets of calculable and cyclically-indexed numbers on the unit circle, one set with $(p-1)/3\ell$ members and one with $(p-1)/\ell$ members, to place in the Ansatz for the SIC fiducial vector. We will discuss those numbers now, subject to some hypotheses from the Stark conjectures. We will partly rely on the background provided in [\[15,](#page-47-6) §III (A)], and then focus on special features arising when $d = 4p$. In particular, we are interested in the lattice of ray class fields that arises when we successively add factors of 2 to their modulus (Propositions [4.1,](#page-14-3) [4.2,](#page-16-1) [4.4,](#page-16-2) [4.5](#page-17-1) and [4.7\)](#page-17-2). For any number field F, the notation \mathbb{Z}_F will denote its ring of integers. If p is a prime ideal of F lying above the rational prime p, with ramification index $e \geq 1$, then F_p will denote the local field obtained by completion at the place corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its ring of integers, and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ the valuation at $\mathfrak p$ normalised so that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) = e$.

Given any dimension $d \geq 4$, we start with the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where D is the square-free part of $(d + 1)(d - 3) = (d - 1)^2 - 4$ as specified in eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0). The field has a single non-trivial automorphism τ mapping \sqrt{D} to $-\sqrt{D}$. By j we denote the embedding of K into the complex numbers with $j(\sqrt{D}) > 0$; hence j^{τ} is the embedding mapping \sqrt{D} to a negative number.

For the construction of the fiducial vector, we need the fields shown in Figure [2.](#page-13-0) The lines and the numbers next to the lines indicate a field extension and its degree. The field $K^{(1)}$, denoted below by H_K , is the *(wide)* Hilbert class field of K, the maximal everywhere unramified abelian extension of K. The notation $(1) = 1\mathbb{Z}_K$ signifies the ideal \mathbb{Z}_K itself, so this is consistent with the notation for ray class fields which is introduced immediately below. The Galois group $Gal_{H_K/K}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C}_K , the ideal class group of \mathbb{Z}_K . The degree of the extension, which is the order of \mathcal{C}_K , is the class number of K and is denoted by h_K .

As noted in the introduction, every real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is connected to a dimension tower $\{d_{\ell}(D)\}_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$. In [\[8\]](#page-46-6) and [\[15\]](#page-47-6) it is explained that the dimensions $d_{\ell}(D)$ above a given fixed value of D take values given by adding 1 to the traces of the integer powers u_D^r of the first totally positive power u_D of a fundamental unit u_K for \mathbb{Z}_K . That is, denoting the ℓ th dimension above $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ by $d_{\ell}(D)$:

$$
d_{\ell}(D) = u_D^{\ell} + u_D^{-\ell} + 1.
$$
\n(54)

An example with $D = 5$, so $d_1 = 4$, was given in eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-1). It is expected (and confirmed in every case we have studied) that the unitary symmetry of a ray class SIC in dimension d_{ℓ} is of order 3ℓ ; hence SICs that occur at position $\ell > 1$ in a dimension tower are easier to construct than the mere size of the dimension would indicate. However, for all but one choice of the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, dimensions of the form $d = 4p$ can occur only when $\ell = 1$. The unique exception is the dimension tower for $D = 5$, given in eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-1); see Appendix [A.1.](#page-33-1) And indeed, the highest dimension that will be reached in this paper $(d = 39604)$ is for $D = 5$ and $\ell = 11$.

4.1 The exact sequence of global class field theory

We need to introduce a small amount of notation and tools from global class field theory. Let \mathfrak{m}_0 be any integral ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z}_K , and let \mathfrak{m}_{∞} denote some—possibly empty—subset of $\{\mathfrak{j},\mathfrak{j}^{\tau}\}$.

Figure 2: Lattice of ray class fields for dimension $d = 4p$. Here i and $\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} = e^{i\pi/4}$ denote primitive fourth and eighth roots of unity, respectively. The relations between the fields are discussed in Section [4.3.](#page-14-1) We compute Stark units for fields isomorphic to $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}$.

The formal product $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ is a *modulus*. In view of the absence of any standardised notation in the literature, we shall just write $K^{\mathfrak{m}} = K^{\mathfrak{m}_0 \mathfrak{m}_\infty}$ for the ray class field of K for the modulus \mathfrak{m} , and $F(\alpha)$ for the extension of any field F by an algebraic number or indeterminate α .

We state the exact sequence of global class field theory (see eq. (2.7) in Ref. [\[23\]](#page-47-14), Theorem 1.7 in Ref. [\[24\]](#page-47-15), or §0 in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-47-16)). With any number field K as base field, and any modulus $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$, the following sequence (defining the map ψ) is exact:

$$
1 \to U_1^{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathbb{Z}_K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\psi} \left(\mathbb{Z}_K / (\mathfrak{m}_0) \right)^{\times} \times \{ \pm 1 \}^{\# \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}} \to \mathrm{Gal}_{K^{\mathfrak{m}}/K} \to \mathcal{C}_K \to 1,
$$
 (55)

where $\#\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ is the number of real infinite places in \mathfrak{m} . Note that \mathfrak{m}_{∞} is a strict set (*i. e.*, not a multiset) so that any infinite place may only appear once or not at all. The term ker $\psi = U_1^{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the subgroup of the global units \mathbb{Z}_K^{\times} which are simultaneously congruent to 1 modulo \mathfrak{m}_0 and positive at the real places in \mathfrak{m}_{∞} .

In the case of real quadratic fields, by Dirichlet's unit theorem [\[26,](#page-47-17) Theorem 5.1] the \mathbb{Z} -rank of the unit group is 1 and so this kernel has \mathbb{Z} -rank one. Moreover, it is torsion-free; except possibly when the residue class ring $\mathbb{Z}_K/(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ has characteristic 2. As explained in [\[15\]](#page-47-6), the unit group \mathbb{Z}_K^{\times} of K is generated by -1 and a fundamental unit $u_K = (n_1 + \sqrt{n_1^2 + 4})/2$, where n_1 once more is the minimal positive integer such that the expression $n_1^2 + 4 (= d_1 + 1)$ under the square root

sign has square-free part D. Taking the positive square root, we have $j(u_K) > 1$ (and therefore $j^{\tau}(u_K) < 1$ in our negative norm cases where our dimensions d are always of the form $n^2 + 3$).

Specialising to the cases considered in this paper and its predecessor [\[15\]](#page-47-6), where d is of the form $n^2 + 3$, the first totally positive power u_D of the fundamental unit $u_K = (n_1 + \sqrt{n_1^2 + 4})/2$ is always $u_D = u_K^2$. When moreover $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$, the rational prime p splits over K, *i.e.*, the ideal (p) factors into prime ideals \mathfrak{p} and $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^{\tau}$, as already noticed in eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-0) above.

For ease of notation later on we extract a pair of short exact sequences from the middle of [\(55\)](#page-13-1) as follows:

$$
1 \to \mathbb{Z}_K^{\times}/U_1^{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \left(\mathbb{Z}_K/(\mathfrak{m}_0) \right)^{\times} \times \{ \pm 1 \}^{\# \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}} \to \text{coker} \, \psi \to 1, \tag{56}
$$

where the tail fits back into [\(55\)](#page-13-1) via

$$
1 \to \operatorname{coker} \psi \to \operatorname{Gal}_{K^{\mathfrak{m}}/K} \to \mathcal{C}_K \to 1. \tag{57}
$$

So $Gal_{K^m/K}$ is an abelian group extension of the ideal class group \mathcal{C}_K by coker ψ , the image of the multiplicative group of the ray residue ring modulo the global units.

4.2 Required hypotheses from the Stark conjectures

We need to clarify the aspects of Stark's programme of conjectures [\[13\]](#page-47-4) which we shall exploit in our construction. For more detailed explanations surrounding their application to the $n^2 + 3$ subset of the SIC problem, as well as linking our notation to the literature, we point to Section IV (C) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6). For this paper, we need to assume the following three hypotheses, which are just Hypotheses 2, 3, 4 from [\[15\]](#page-47-6). As above, the notation \mathfrak{m}_0 refers to a generic finite modulus, and j is the specific embedding defined at the beginning of Section [4.](#page-12-0) We need to designate an involution $\sigma_T \in \text{Gal}_{K^{\mathfrak{m}_0}/H_K}$, following the notation in [\[15\]](#page-47-6), which acts as complex conjugation for every complex embedding of $K^{\mathfrak{m}_0 j}$.

Hypothesis 1 (§4, p. 74 in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-47-4)). The Galois element σ_T induces complex conjugation in the complex embeddings of $K^{\mathfrak{m}_0}$. Since it is also algebraic inversion, it forces the Stark units in $K^{\mathfrak{m}_0}$ to lie on the unit circle in their complex embeddings.

We will refer to these complex numbers as *Stark phase units*.

Hypothesis 2 (Theorem 1 (i) in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-48-0)). In their real embeddings, the Stark units ϵ_{σ} are all positive.

Hypothesis 3 (Stark/Tate 'over-Z': Conjecture in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-48-1)). The extension $K^{\mathfrak{m}_{0}j}(\sqrt{\epsilon_{\sigma}})$ of $K^{\mathfrak{m}_{0}j}$ obtained by adjoining the square root of any one of the ϵ_{σ} is itself an abelian extension of K.

4.3 Structure of the tower of fields $K^{4pj}/K^{2pj}/K^{pj}/H_K/K$

The following ancillary results provide the class field-theoretic backbone of the algorithms to be described in the succeeding sections of the paper. Unless stated otherwise, we assume everywhere in this section that we are working with a dimension d of the form $n^2 + 3$ which is 4 times a prime number p. As noted in [\[29\]](#page-48-2) and equation (3) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6), any prime $p > 3$ which divides into such a dimension must satisfy $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$.

When writing conductors or moduli as principal ideals of \mathbb{Z}_K , the ideal generated by an element $e \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ will be denoted by any one of $e\mathbb{Z}_K = (e) = e$, depending on the context.

4.3.1 The extensions K^{2j}/H_K , L/K and LH_K/H_K

Proposition 4.1. All four ray class fields K^2 , K^{2j} , K^{2j^*} , K^{2j^*} of K with finite part of the modulus equal to $(2) = 2\mathbb{Z}_K$ are isomorphic to the Hilbert class field H_K .

Note the difference between our $n^2 + 3$ cases, where the fundamental units have norm -1 , and all other cases, where the fundamental units have norm $+1$. In the latter situation the order of exactly two of the four ray class groups in the proposition will always be a factor of two bigger than the class number (the remaining two will be isomorphic to the class group, as in the proposition).

Proof. Note first of all that this result makes no use of the value of $d = d_k(D)$; merely of D. A glance at the exact sequence [\(55\)](#page-13-1) shows that the statement of the proposition is equivalent—when $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty} \in \{\phi, \{j\}, \{j^{\tau}\}, \{j, j^{\tau}\}\}\$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{0} = (2)$ —to ψ being surjective. Now since $D \equiv 5 \mod 8$ we know by standard arguments (see for example Lemma 3 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6)) that the prime 2 is inert in the extension K/Q, and so $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times} \cong C_3$; C_N being the symbol for a cyclic group of order N.

Moreover, we now show that this group is generated by the image of $u_K = \frac{n_1 + \sqrt{n_1^2 + 4}}{2}$. The minimal polynomial for u_K over \mathbb{Q} is $X^2 - n_1X - 1$, where n_1 is as above. In our case n_1 is odd, so modulo 2 this becomes just $X^2 + X + 1$. If u_K itself were $\equiv 1 \mod 2\mathbb{Z}_K$ then $u_K^2 + u_K + 1$ would not be zero modulo $2\mathbb{Z}_K$; so the image of u_K must generate the cubic cyclic component, as asserted.

It remains to show that the 2-primary part of the image of ψ maps onto the component $\{\pm 1\}^{\#m_{\infty}}$ in eq. [\(55\)](#page-13-1). But we have assumed that the norm of the fundamental unit is -1 ; hence the fundamental unit is of mixed signature. Hence all four possible combinations of signs $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$ occur (depending on the real places in the conductor) for the odd powers of $\pm u_K$. occur (depending on the real places in the conductor) for the odd powers of $\pm u_K$.

As in [\[15\]](#page-47-6), we write $L = K(\sqrt{-u_K})$. The extension L/K is properly quadratic because it is *inert* over j, in Gras' terminology [\[28,](#page-48-1) [30\]](#page-48-3): meaning that it becomes complex. For reference, we explain briefly this terminology and its more customary alternative. In [\[30\]](#page-48-3) a real place which remains real in the infinite places above it is said to 'split completely'. On the other hand, in Hasse's more standard language wherein the latter 'split' extension would be said to be 'unramified', our extension is 'ramified'.

Since the Hilbert class field H_K of K is totally real, it follows that the compositum LH_K is equal to $H_K(\sqrt{-u_K})$ and is also a proper quadratic extension of H_K . Also following [\[15\]](#page-47-6) we define, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$
\xi_k = \sqrt{x_0} = \sqrt{-2 - \sqrt{d_k + 1}},\tag{58}
$$

reserving again the notation $n_k = \text{tr } u_K^k$ for the positive integer such that $u_D^k + u_D^{-k} + 1 = d_k =$ $n_k^2 + 3.$

It was observed in [\[15\]](#page-47-6) that the extension of K generated by the ξ_k is independent of k, so that for a fixed D, we may simply focus on ξ_1 . For completeness, we provide an argument here. For every odd $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we recall that

$$
u_K^{\ell} = \frac{n_{\ell} + \sqrt{n_{\ell}^2 + 4}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad u_K^{-\ell} = -\frac{n_{\ell} - \sqrt{n_{\ell}^2 + 4}}{2},\tag{59}
$$

and so in particular,

$$
\sqrt{d_{\ell} + 1} = \sqrt{n_{\ell}^2 + 4} = u_K^{\ell} + u_K^{-\ell}.
$$
\n(60)

By Kummer theory we need only show that the ratio ξ_{ℓ}^2/ξ_1^2 is a square in K:

$$
\frac{\xi_{\ell}^{2}}{\xi_{1}^{2}} = \frac{-2 - \sqrt{d_{\ell} + 1}}{-2 - \sqrt{d_{1} + 1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2 + u_{K}^{\ell} + u_{K}^{-\ell}}{2 + u_{K} + u_{K}^{-1}}, \qquad \text{from above,}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{u_{K}^{\ell-1}} \times \frac{u_{K}^{2\ell} + 2u_{K}^{\ell} + 1}{u_{K}^{2} + 2u_{K} + 1}, \qquad \text{by multiplying top and bottom by } u_{K}^{\ell},
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{1}{u_{K}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}} \times \frac{u_{K}^{\ell} + 1}{u_{K} + 1}\right)^{2}, \qquad \text{since } \ell \text{ is odd,}
$$
\n
$$
\in (K^{\times})^{2}, \qquad \text{as required.}
$$

Moreover, $L = K(\xi_1) = K(\sqrt{-u_K})$, the last equality holding because $\xi = \sqrt{-u_K} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-u_K}}$; and conversely: $\sqrt{-u_K} = \frac{u_K-1}{n}\xi$. In particular, the discriminant of the extension L/K must divide into $4\mathbb{Z}_K$.

Proposition 4.2. The quadratic extension $LH_K = H_K(\sqrt{-u_K})$ of the Hilbert class field H_K equals the ray class field K^{4j} of K of modulus 4j.

Proof. This is case (A) (III) of Proposition 8 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) (see also Remark 9 (i) there). We could also prove it directly by writing the respective versions of equation [\(56\)](#page-14-4) for the conductors 4j and 2j, linking them by the natural homomorphisms induced by the divisibility relation between the conductors, and using the snake lemma [\[31,](#page-48-4) (II.28), p.120] together with Proposition [4.1;](#page-14-3) see also Proposition [B.1](#page-40-0) in the Appendix. П

4.3.2 The extensions $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}/H_K$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}/H_K$

We note that $\mathfrak{p} = ((\sqrt{d+1} + 1)/2)$, by direct calculation: its $Gal_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ -conjugate $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a distinct ideal ($p = d/4$ cannot be ramified in K/\mathbb{Q} , since the discriminant D of K/\mathbb{Q} is coprime to p) which multiplies with it to give the ideal $\mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = p\mathbb{Z}_K$.

Proposition 4.3. The extension $K^{\mathfrak{p}^j}/H_K$ is cyclic of degree $(p-1)/3\ell$.

Proof. Both assertions will follow from Proposition [4.4,](#page-16-2) upon comparison of the exact sequences [\(56\)](#page-14-4) for the two respective conductors, since the only term introduced by the extra factor of 2 in the conductor is a copy of $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times} \cong C_3$, and the Galois group here is a quotient of the (cyclic) one below and consequently must itself be cyclic. \Box

Proposition 4.4. The extension K^{2pj}/H_K is cyclic of degree $(p-1)/\ell$.

Proof. The degree is given by Proposition 10 (II) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6). Suppose first of all that $D \neq 5$, which by Proposition [A.1](#page-33-2) means that we may assume $\ell = 1$. To prove that the Galois group in question is cyclic, we must produce an element of order $\frac{p-1}{\ell} = p-1$ inside the cokernel of ψ in [\(55\)](#page-13-1) (the exact sequence being expressed with conductor $\mathfrak{m} = 2\mathfrak{p}$). The codomain of ψ is of the form ${\{\pm 1\} \times (\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times} \times (\mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{\times} \cong C_2 \times C_3 \times C_{p-1}$; see also the discussion after the statement of Proposition [A.3.](#page-34-0)

Let $\lambda = \lambda + \mathfrak{p}$ be a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p})^{\times} \cong C_{p-1}$. There are of course $\phi(p-1)$ choices for a generator, where ϕ is the ordinary Euler totient function; but without loss of generality we may choose it to satisfy $\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv u_K \mod p$, since $\text{ord}_{p}(u_K) = 3\ell = 3$ by applying the argument in the proof of the same Proposition 10 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) cited above but using (in that paper's notation) $\partial_{\ell}/2$ in place of ∂_{ℓ} . Notice further that independently of the choice of the generator λ we will have $\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -1 + \mathfrak{p}.$

Similarly, in the proof of Proposition [4.1](#page-14-3) above (or see Proposition 8 (A) (III) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6)) it is shown that the restriction of the image of u_K generates the group $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times}$; so from now on we shall use the image $u_K + 2\mathbb{Z}_K$ of u_K as a choice of generator for $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times} \cong C_3$. Where it causes no confusion we shall simply write the elements of \mathbb{Z}_K to represent their images under the respective reduction maps.

Putting all of this together, bearing in mind the choice of the real infinite place j which sends u_K to a positive number as well as the fact that the ring $\mathbb{Z}_K/(2)$ has characteristic 2, the image of ψ therefore contains the diagonal embedding $\langle \psi(-1) \rangle = \langle (-1, 1, -1) \rangle$ of the second roots of unity $\{\pm 1\}$ as well as a $C_{3\ell} = C_3$ term generated by $\psi(u_K) = (1, u_K, u_K)$, since $U_1^{2\mathfrak{p}j} = \langle u_K^{3\ell} \rangle = \langle u_K^3 \rangle$. So the elements of the group im ψ inside the codomain as expressed above may be denumerated as

$$
\operatorname{im}\psi=\{(1,1,1),(-1,1,\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{2}}),(1,u_K,\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{3}}),(-1,u_K,\lambda^{\frac{5(p-1)}{6}}),(1,u_K^2,\lambda^{\frac{2(p-1)}{3}}),(-1,u_K^2,\lambda^{\frac{(p-1)}{6}})\};
$$

that is, a cyclic group of order 6 generated by either of $(-1, u_K, \lambda^{\frac{5(p-1)}{6}})$ or $(-1, u_K^2, \lambda^{\frac{(p-1)}{6}})$. Hence for example raising the element $(1, 1, \lambda)$ to a power r will land in the image of ψ if and only if r is divisible by $p-1$. This provides us with a cyclic subgroup of coker ψ of order $p-1$, as required.

For the remaining case where $D = 5$ we first observe that ℓ must be odd and not divisible by 3, by [\(113\)](#page-36-0) in Appendix [A.](#page-33-0) So the argument above goes through virtually unchanged, since raising to the power ℓ is an automorphism of C_6 . \Box

4.3.3 The extensions $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(\alpha_r)/K$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}(\beta_r)/K$

Recall that we still work under the hypothesis that $d = n^2 + 3$ is of the form 4p for some (odd) prime p. We are now ready to prove the main result of this section. Once again the results of §3A of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) pretty much suffice to prove it; however because this will be important in other contexts and it is a relatively self-contained sub-case, we shall prove it more directly. We recall in passing that $p\mathbb{Z}_K$ splits as $\mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$, and in the extension L/K , \mathfrak{p} splits and $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ remains inert if $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, and vice-versa if $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ (see Lemma 13 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6)).

Proposition 4.5. With notation as above, the square roots α_r of the Stark phase units ϵ_{σ} of K^{pj} are contained in the field $LK^{\mathfrak{p}j} = K^{4\mathfrak{p}j}$.

Proof. The fact that $LK^{\mathfrak{p}j} = K^{4\mathfrak{p}j}$ follows from Proposition [4.2](#page-16-1) and the fact that the conductor of a compositum of fields is the lowest common multiple of the conductors of the fields; see [\[30,](#page-48-3) Proposition II.4.1.1. So for the containment of the α_r , it will be enough to show that the conductor of $K^{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_r)/K$ divides into 4pj. We know by Hypothesis [3](#page-14-5) and class field theory, that this conductor must divide into 2^t pj for some minimal $t \geq 0$.

Let α be any of the square roots of the Stark phase units mentioned in the statement of the proposition. By Kummer theory with $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ as base field, the argument below will be independent of this initial choice. If $\alpha \in K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ then there is nothing further to prove; so we suppose that $K^{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}(\alpha)/K^{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}$ is a proper quadratic extension.

Here we need to invoke Hypothesis [2,](#page-14-6) since we want all of the real places of $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ (that is, those above j^{τ}) to remain real in the field $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(\alpha)$, so that in particular the conductor of $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(\alpha)/K$ still only contains the one real place j of K. (As remarked in Section [4.3.1,](#page-14-2) in Gras' terminology $[28,30]$ $[28,30]$ these real places 'split completely' in the extension $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(\alpha)/K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$, and therefore in $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(\alpha)/K$; in most older references they would be said to be 'unramified').

Since $p = p\overline{p}$ is odd there is no ramification above the prime ideal $2\mathbb{Z}_K$ in the extension K^{pj}/K . Hence, using Lemma 7 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) with $F = K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ and $u = \alpha$, in our case the absolute ramification index e equals 1 and we deduce by putting the local results together (as per the proof of that lemma) that the power of 2 appearing in the conductor of $K^{pj}(\alpha)/K$, referred to above as t, must be 1 or 2. This proves that the conductor of $K^{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_r)/K$ divides into 4pj, as asserted. \Box

Proposition 4.6. The numbers $\alpha_r \sqrt{x_0}$ lie in the field $K^{\mathfrak{p}_j}$.

Proof. This Kummer theory argument was observed in Theorem 14 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6), under Hypothesis [3](#page-14-5) of this paper. \Box

Proposition 4.7. The square roots β_r of the Stark phase units of K^{2p} are contained in the field $LK^{2\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}} = K^{4\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}}.$

Proof. By class field theory and Proposition [4.2,](#page-16-1)

$$
K^{\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}} \leq K^{2\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}} \leq K^{4\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}} = L K^{2\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}}.
$$

Forming exact commutative diagrams from the exact sequences [\(56\)](#page-14-4) and [\(57\)](#page-14-7) for the conductors 2pj and 4pj and using the functoriality of class field theory for the respective connecting maps, we obtain by two applications of the snake lemma [\[31,](#page-48-4) (II.28), p.120] a short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow U_1^{2\mathfrak{p}j}/U_1^{4\mathfrak{p}j} \longrightarrow \ker\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_K/(4)\right)^{\times} \longrightarrow \left(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2)\right)^{\times}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}_{K^{4\mathfrak{p}j}/K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}} \longrightarrow 1,
$$

where we know (proof of Proposition 8 (A) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6)) the central term is a Klein 4-group and (by the argument concerning the orders of u_K in the proof of Proposition [4.4\)](#page-16-2) the left term is a $C₂$ group, so the right hand side must also be isomorphic to C_2 . So K^{4p} is a proper quadratic extension of K^{2pj} , ramified above 2 by the characterisation of K^{4pj} as containing LK^{pj} in Proposition [4.5.](#page-17-1)

On the other hand, by Hypothesis [3](#page-14-5) (with $m_0 = 2p$), the 2-part of the conductor of the ray class field of K containing the square root β_r of any Stark unit from K^{2p} must divide into $2^t\mathbb{Z}_K$ for some $t \geq 0$. (It is independent of the choice of β_r , by Hypothesis [2\)](#page-14-6). But then a fortiori by Lemma 7 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) applied as in Proposition [4.5,](#page-17-1) it follows that the field formed by extending K^{2p} by this square root, is indeed contained in K^{4p} . п

5 Main results

It is time to pull the threads together. We start this section with a compact description of the algorithm that we have successfully used to compute exact fiducial vectors in the sixteen dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ appearing in Table [1](#page-20-0) below. After that we will provide explanations and discuss several aspects, including some possible variations of some of the steps. The reader may find it helpful to refer to Figure [2](#page-13-0) for some of the notation, and to look at Section [6](#page-25-0) where an example is worked out in complete detail. A list of dimensions where we have successfully computed an exact SIC is given in Table [1.](#page-20-0) Data for the solutions can be found online [\[32\]](#page-48-5).

5.1 Our algorithm

As introduced above, the field K is the real quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ where D is the squarefree part of $(d+1)(d-3)$, which equals the square-free part of $d+1$ in the case considered here. Choosing the factor $\mathfrak{p} = (\sqrt{d+1}+1)/2$ of (p) over the integers of K yields the ray class field $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$, which is of degree $(p-1)/3\ell$ over the Hilbert class field, by Proposition [4.3.](#page-16-3)

The main steps are as follows:

- 1. Compute numerical real Stark units for the fields $K^{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}^{\tau}^{\tau}}$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}^{\tau}^{\tau}}$ to sufficient precision and determine their exact—i. e., algebraic—minimal polynomials $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ over K.
- 2. Apply the automorphism τ to obtain the polynomials $p_1(t) = r_1^{\tau}(t)$ and $p_2(t) = r_2^{\tau}(t)$. Compute the factorisation of $p_1(t^2/x_0)$ and $p_2(t^2)$ in $K[t]$ and pick factors $\tilde{p}_1(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_2(t)$.
- 3. Compute exact defining polynomials for the ray class field K^{2p} , yielding a tower of number fields that includes the Hilbert class field H_K as well as the field $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$. The field $K^{\mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{p}j}$ is obtained as a quadratic extension (at most) $\widehat{K}^{4pj} = K^{2pj}(\sqrt{-u_K}) = K^{2pj}(\sqrt{x_0})$ of K^{2pj} .
- 4. Compute the Galois group of the extension K^{2pj}/K , which by class field theory will be abelian. Then pick an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_{K^{2p}/K}$ that fixes H_K and has order $(p-1)/\ell$.
- 5. Compute the exact roots $\tilde{\alpha}_r$ and β_r in K^{2p} of the polynomials $\tilde{p}_1(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_2(t)$. The square roots α_r of the Stark phase units of the field $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ are obtained as $\alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}_r / \sqrt{\tilde{x}_0}$.

6. In order to construct a fiducial vector using the Ansatz of Section [3](#page-9-0) from this data, we have to make suitable choices for the remaining free parameters.

We have already fixed an automorphism σ and an element β_0 , defining the cyclic orbit $\beta_r = \sigma^r(\beta_0)$. First, we have to find a suitable generator θ of the multiplicative group of the integers modulo p. We do not need to test all generators θ of the cyclic group of order $p-1$. It is sufficient to consider them modulo the permutation symmetry of order ℓ . Moreover, we have to determine which of the two factors of each of the polynomials $p_1(t^2/x_0)$ and $p_2(t^2)$ gives the correct sign for the square roots of the Stark phase units. We can choose an arbitrary fixed first element β_0 in the large cycle of conjugates, but we have to find a correlated first element α_0 of the small cycle. Finally, we have to test which of the two possibilities [\(33\)](#page-10-0) and [\(34\)](#page-10-1) for the symmetry leads to a fiducial vector. The total number of choices are $\varphi((p-1)/\ell)$, $2^2 = 4$, deg $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}/K = hK(p-1)/3\ell$, and two, respectively. In brief:

- (a) pick a $\theta \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ modulo the ℓ -fold symmetry group
- (b) pick signs $s_0, s_1 \in \{1, -1\}$
- (c) pick one of the square roots of the Stark phase units in $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ as α_0
- (d) for each choice of θ , α_0 , and s_0 , s_1 , using

$$
x_{\theta^r} = s_0 \alpha_r = s_0 \sigma^r(\alpha_0) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{\theta^r} = s_1 \beta_r = s_1 \sigma^r(\beta_0). \tag{61}
$$

(cf. eq. [\(42\)](#page-11-0)) we obtain the vectors v_1 and v_4 of [\(37\)](#page-10-4), which in combination with [\(36\)](#page-10-3) yields a candidate for the fiducial vector $|\Psi\rangle$ for which we test the overlap $\langle \Psi | I_4\otimes X^{(p)}|\Psi\rangle$

(e) test whether $(\mathbf{v}_1^T, \mathbf{v}_2^T, \mathbf{v}_3^T, \mathbf{v}_4^T)^T$ or $(\mathbf{v}_1^T, \mathbf{v}_3^T, \mathbf{v}_2^T, \mathbf{v}_4^T)^T$ corresponding to [\(33\)](#page-10-0) and [\(34\)](#page-10-1) yields a fiducial vector

In Table [1,](#page-20-0) we provide the main data for the dimensions $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ for which we have computed an exact fiducial vector. Table [2](#page-21-1) provides information on the time for some of the computational steps.

5.2 Comments on individual steps

5.2.1 Computing numerical real Stark units

Stark conjectured [\[13,](#page-47-4) [27\]](#page-48-0) that for certain ray class fields $K^{\mathfrak{m}j}$ with totally real base field K, there are algebraic units ϵ which can be obtained from the derivative of zeta functions at zero via

$$
\epsilon_{\mathfrak{c}} = \exp(2\zeta'(0,\mathfrak{c}))\tag{62}
$$

(we include a factor 2 to match our conventions below). Here $\mathfrak c$ is a representative ideal of an ideal class in the ray class group for K with modulus mj. Since by class field theory this group is isomorphic to the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}_{K^{\mathfrak{m}}/K}$, we may equally well use the elements $\sigma \in G$ of the Galois group to label the Stark units. Computing numerical approximations $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\sigma}$ of those Stark units for all elements of the ray class group, we obtain a complete set of conjugates. Then the coefficients \tilde{c}_i of the polynomial

$$
\tilde{f}(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} (t - \tilde{\epsilon}_{\sigma}) = \sum_{i} \tilde{c}_{i} t^{i}
$$
\n(63)

are numerical approximations of integers c_i in K. Defining the size of the polynomial $\tilde{f}(t)$ as

$$
\text{size}(\tilde{f}(t)) = \max_{i} \log_{10} |\tilde{c}_i|,\tag{64}
$$

we observe that a numerical precision of about twice the size (in number of digits) is sufficient to obtain the exact values of the coefficients c_i using an integer relation algorithm.

Table 1: Dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3 = 4p$ for which we have computed a fiducial vector. With the exception of $d = 12$ treated in Section [7,](#page-28-0) the list is complete up to $n = 67$. The factorisation of the degree of the ray class field K^{2p} over K corresponds to the cyclic factors of prime-power order of the Galois group $Gal_{K^{2p})/K}$. We need a precision to approximately twice as many digits as the value given in the column 'size' defined in eq. [\(64\)](#page-19-2). The number of combinations we have to test is $4 \times (\deg K^{2pj}/K)/3 \times \varphi((p-1)/\ell)$.

\boldsymbol{n}	$d=4\times p$	D	ł.	$\deg K^{2\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}}/K$	h_K	size	$\varphi((p-1)/\ell)$
$\overline{5}$	$28 = 4 \times 7$	29	$\mathbf{1}$	$6 = 2 \times 3$	1	3	$\overline{2}$
$\overline{7}$	$52 = 4 \times 13$	53	1	$12 = 2^2 \times 3$	1	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{4}$
11	$124 = 4 \times 31$	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{5}$	$6 = 2 \times 3$	1	3	$\overline{2}$
13	$172 = 4 \times 43$	173	1	$42 = 2 \times 3 \times 7$	$\mathbf{1}$	42	12
17	$292 = 4 \times 73$	293	1	$72 = 2^3 \times 3^2$	1	94	24
25	$628 = 4 \times 157$	629	1	$312 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 13$	$\overline{2}$	402	48
29	$844 = 4 \times 211$	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{7}$	$30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$	1	16	8
31	$964 = 4 \times 241$	965	1	$480 = 2 \times 2^4 \times 3 \times 5$	$\overline{2}$	734	64
35	$1228 = 4 \times 307$	1229	1	$918 = 2 \times 3^3 \times 17$	3	1288	96
41	$1684 = 4 \times 421$	1685	$\mathbf{1}$	$840 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7$	$\overline{2}$	1667	96
43	$1852 = 4 \times 463$	1853	1	$924 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 7 \times 11$	\mathfrak{D}	1829	120
49	$2404 = 4 \times 601$	2405	1	$2400 = 2 \times 2 \times 2^3 \times 3 \times 5^2$	$\overline{4}$	3686	160
55	$3028 = 4 \times 757$	3029	1	$3024 = 2^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 7$	4	5217	216
67	$4492 = 4 \times 1123$	4493	$\mathbf{1}$	$3366 = 2 \times 3^2 \times 11 \times 17$	3	7465	320
139	$19324 = 4 \times 4831$	773	$\mathbf{1}$	$4830 = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 23$	1	10815	1056
199	$39604 = 4 \times 9901$	$\overline{5}$	11	$900 = 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2$	1	577	240

Stark relates the zeta function in eq. [\(62\)](#page-19-3) to Hecke L-series attached to Hecke characters ψ via

$$
L(s, \psi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \psi(\mathfrak{c}) \zeta(s, \mathfrak{c}), \tag{65}
$$

where we have dropped a factor $1/2$ in relation to the expression given on p. 66 of [\[13\]](#page-47-4). We can obtain the values of the zeta function from the values of the L-series inverting eq. [\(65\)](#page-20-1) using the orthogonality relations for characters. For any Hecke character ψ , there is an associated primitive character [\[33,](#page-48-6) ch. 16, §4, Definition 4.4]. Following [\[13\]](#page-47-4), denoting the primitive character by ψ^* , we have

$$
L'(0, \psi) = L'(0, \psi^*) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{m}} \left(1 - \psi^*(\mathfrak{p})\right),\tag{66}
$$

where the inverse Euler factor product is over all finite primes $\mathfrak p$ dividing into the modulus $\mathfrak m$. If $K^{\mathfrak{m}_1} \leq K^{\mathfrak{m}_1}$ is a subfield with the finite part \mathfrak{m}_1 of the modulus dividing \mathfrak{m} , then the primitive characters corresponding to the Hecke characters of K^{m_1} are a subset of those of K^{m_1} . Therefore, it suffices to compute the derivative of the L-series at zero for all primitive characters of the largest field. Then, for each field we can use eq. [\(66\)](#page-20-2) to compute the corresponding values $L'(0, \psi)$. From those, we eventually obtain the numerical approximations of the Stark units for both $K^{2p^{\tau_j \tau}}$ and $K^{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau_{j}^{\tau}}}$ using eq. [\(66\)](#page-20-2), inverting eq. [\(65\)](#page-20-1), and using eq. [\(62\)](#page-19-3).

In our situation, we compute the values $L'(0, \psi^*)$ for all associated primitive Hecke characters of the field $K^{2p^{\tau}j^{\tau}}$. Algorithms for this are available, e.g., in the computer algebra systems Magma and Pari/GP [\[34\]](#page-48-7), with the latter providing a more advanced parallel implementation. Timings for these calculations are shown in the last column of Table [2.](#page-21-1)

Table 2: Run-time for the calculation of the defining polynomial for the number field K^{2p} and for the numerical Stark units. Most of the timings are for Magma. Timings for the number field using Hecke and timings for numerical Stark units using Pari/GP are marked with [∗] . Note that we have not always used the very same algorithm nor the same computer.

\boldsymbol{n}	\boldsymbol{d}	$\deg K^{2\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{j}}/K$	number field	precision	Stark units
5	28	$6 = 2 \times 3$	0.050 s	100	0.920 s
$\overline{7}$	52	$12 = 2^2 \times 3$	0.110 s	100	2.110 s
11	124	$6 = 2 \times 3$	0.050 s	100	0.860 s
13	172	$42 = 2 \times 3 \times 7$	1.250 s	150	27.660 s
17	292	$72 = 2^3 \times 3^2$	1.770 s	200	118.580 s
25	628	$312 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 13$	110.660 s	900	72 min^*
29	844	$30 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$	0.080 s	100	7.390 s
31	964	$480 = 2 \times 2^4 \times 3 \times 5$	10.700 s	1500	26 days
35	1228	$918 = 2 \times 3^3 \times 17$	$654.100 s^*$	2600	26 days
41	1684	$840 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7$	7.250 s	3500	194 hours [*]
43	1852	$924 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 7 \times 11$	49.700 s	4000	406 hours [*]
49	2404	$2400 = 2 \times 2 \times 2^3 \times 3 \times 5^2$	440.670 s [*]	7500	881 days^*
55	3028	$3024 = 2^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 7$	$298.680 s*$	10700	19.1 years^*
67	4492	$3366 = 2 \times 3^2 \times 11 \times 17$	274.378 s [*]	15200	70.5 years^*
139	19324	$4830 = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 23$	$762.586 s*$	22000	328 years [*]
199	39604	$900 = 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2$	11.560 s	2000	57 days

5.2.2 Minimal polynomials of the square roots

For the square roots of Stark phase units, we consider the following factorisation of the minimal polynomials $p_i(t)$ of the Stark phase units after some quadratic substitution, *i.e.*,

$$
p_1(t^2/x_0) = \tilde{p}_1(t)\tilde{p}_1(-t)
$$
\n(67)

and

$$
p_2(t^2) = \tilde{p}_2(t)\tilde{p}_2(-t).
$$
\n(68)

Note that a priori, we cannot distinguish between $\tilde{p}_i(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_i(-t)$. This requires that we determine the signs s_i in our final step.

The first factorisation [\(67\)](#page-21-2) for the square roots α_r is related to the result of Proposition [4.6](#page-17-3) that all products $\alpha_r \sqrt{x_0}$ lie in the ray class field K^{pj} , which implies that one of the factors $\tilde{p}_i(t)$ is their minimal polynomial over K . For the second factorisation (68) we have observed in all cases thus far that such a factorisation always exists over the field K , which implies that the square roots β_r actually lie in the same field K^{2p} as the Stark phase units.

There are some possible variations here. As stated, the algorithm uses a rescaling of the Stark phase units in K^{pj} by a factor $\sqrt{x_0}$ before the minimal polynomial of their square roots is calculated. For the Stark phase units in K^{2p} no such rescaling is necessary since we find their square roots to lie in K^{2p} . We can do without the rescaling also for the smaller field if we factor the polynomials $p_i(t^2)$ over $K(\sqrt{x_0})$, at the price of having to perform the calculations below in the larger field K^{4p} . Using rescaling, there are a few natural scale factors to choose from, such as $\sqrt{x_0}$ and $\sqrt{-u_K}$. The latter choice leads to somewhat smaller coefficients in the minimal polynomials for the square roots of the rescaled Stark phase units in the subfield K^{p} . However, we decided to use $\sqrt{x_0}$ in the discussion here, as this is directly related to the zeroth component of the vector $\mathbf{v}_4.$

5.2.3 Computing defining polynomials for K^{2pj}

The computer algebra system Magma supports the calculation of defining polynomials for ray class fields. It computes a defining polynomial corresponding to each cyclic factor of the Galois group (cf. the factorisation of the degree of K^{2p})/K in Table [2\)](#page-21-1). The run-time depends mainly on the largest cyclic factor. For the cases where the largest factor was at least 17, we used the computer algebra system Hecke [\[35\]](#page-48-8) instead, as it provides a more advanced algorithm for this task. As the degree of the fields in our examples is relatively smooth—with the largest cyclic factor being 27—the run-time for this step is less than 15 minutes.

Where the degree of the ray class field contains prime-power cyclic factors, we computed successive extensions wherein each was of prime degree over the previous level, yielding an overall tower of number fields with successive extensions having prime degree. In some cases, we used various additional methods to find defining polynomials with smaller coefficients. Heuristically, this results in faster arithmetic in the number fields.

5.2.4 Computing the Galois group

Computer algebra systems like Magma have built-in algorithms to compute the group of automorphisms of number fields. In our case, we can make use of the tower of number fields with successive relative extensions of prime degree referred to above. Assume we have an extension $F_{i+1} = F_i(q_i)$, with say $f_i(x)$ being the minimal polynomial of q_i over K_i . An automorphism of F_{i+1} that fixes F_i maps q_i to some other root of $f_i(x)$: *i. e.*, we have to find all roots of the polynomial $f_i(x)$, which is of small prime degree in our case, and all roots lie in F_{i+1} . If π is a non-trivial automorphism of F_i , then q_i can be mapped to any root of the polynomial $f_i^{\pi}(x)$, which is obtained by applying π to the components of $f_i(x)$. We also note that the defining polynomials for each cyclic factor have coefficients in the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, by the fundamental theorem of abelian groups. Hence we are able to restrict ourselves to finding roots in fields of relatively small degree.

When we use this approach to compute the Galois group, we get all automorphisms, but their group structure is only implicit. In order to find an automorphism σ of order $(p-1)/\ell$, we make a random choice and compute the order. Note that such an automorphism σ is guaranteed to exist in Gal_{K^{2pj}/H_K by Proposition [4.4.](#page-16-2) We can lift σ to an automorphism of K^{4pj}/H_K which satisfies} $\sigma(\sqrt{x_0}) = \sqrt{x_0}$, because as illustrated in Figure [2,](#page-13-0) $LK^{\mathfrak{pi}}$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{pi}}$ are linearly disjoint over $K^{\mathfrak{pi}}$, and their compositum is K^{4p} , so $Gal_{K^{4p}/K}$ is a direct product of the C_2 -group $Gal_{K^{4p}/K^{2p}}$ with $Gal_{K^{2p}}/K$.

We fix an embedding of our tower of number fields into the complex numbers that maps \sqrt{D} to a positive number. Then we can identify an element of the Galois group of order two that acts like complex conjugation; see the definition of σ_T in Section [4.2.](#page-14-0) For the Stark phase units, we know that this automorphism maps them to their inverse. So we do not need that automorphism when working with Stark phase units. But when we transform the fiducial vector to the standard basis, it is more convenient to know how complex conjugation acts on general elements of our number fields.

5.2.5 Computing exact roots α_0 and β_0

A more complex step is the determination of the roots of the minimal polynomials $\tilde{p}_i(t)$. The degree of those polynomials is $h_K(p-1)/3\ell$ and $h_K(p-1)/\ell$, respectively. By Propositions [4.5](#page-17-1) and [4.7,](#page-17-2) those roots lie in the number field K^{4p} . While for small dimension we can make use of standard algorithms, for larger examples we use an approach that is adjusted to our situation. First, since we have computed the Galois group and we expect all roots to lie in the corresponding ray class field, it suffices to compute a single root. We compute the factorisation in steps aligned with the tower of number fields. In each step, the degree of the factors is reduced by a prime number. It is sufficient to continue with only one of the factors in each step. For those who are interested in more details, we use a Trager-like algorithm and use modular techniques to compute the required greatest common divisors of polynomials.

5.2.6 Search for the remaining parameters

As already stated in Step 6 of our algorithm in Section [5.1,](#page-18-1) the Ansatz of Section [3](#page-9-0) for the fiducial vector leaves a few choices.

Eq. [\(42\)](#page-11-0) that relates the sequences of square roots α_r and β_r to the components x_{θ^r} and y_{θ^r} of the vectors \mathbf{v}_4 and \mathbf{v}_1 , respectively, requires that we choose an element θ of order $p-1$ in the integers modulo p. For $\ell > 1$, there will be an additional permutation symmetry of order ℓ among those elements, and it suffices to test the candidates for θ modulo that symmetry.

For each of the sequences α_r and β_r , we have to pick a first element α_0 and β_0 in the orbit with respect to the automorphism σ . We can fix an arbitrary element β_0 for the larger of the two orbits, since any other choice will result in a fiducial vector as well, provided α_0 was chosen accordingly. For α_0 , we test all possible choices. The same is true for the choice of signs s_0 and s_1 (but see the next subsection).

For each choice of θ , we get the permutation matrix U_F acting on \mathbb{C}^p using $\delta = \theta^{(p-1)/3}$ in eq. [\(18\)](#page-6-0). We compute the vectors \mathbf{v}_4 and \mathbf{v}_1 given in eq. [\(37\)](#page-10-4), as well as $\mathbf{v}_2 = U_F^{-1} \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_3 =$ U_F **v**₁. Those vectors are combined to yield a non-normalised candidate vector $(\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_3^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_4^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}$.

We compute the overlap with respect to $1_4 \otimes X^{(p)}$ which (ignoring normalisation) equals

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3} \langle \mathbf{v}_i | X^{(p)} | \mathbf{v}_i \rangle.
$$
 (69)

(Note that in order to compute this inner product, we need the automorphism that acts as complex conjugation.) This is nothing but the sum of the inner product of the vectors v_i with their shifted versions which can be easily computed in the corresponding number field. The drawback is that eq. [\(69\)](#page-23-2) does not allow us to discriminate between the two possibilities U_F and U_F^{-1} for the symmetry in eqs. [\(33\)](#page-10-0) and [\(34\)](#page-10-1). Recall that replacing U_F by U_F^{-1} results in swapping \mathbf{v}_2 and v3. In order to discriminate between these two options, we have to test additional overlaps. As indicated in Section [6,](#page-25-0) using $D_{i,j}^{(4)} \otimes X^{(p)}$ for any displacement operator $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$ in dimension 4 is inconclusive. We would have to consider more general displacement operators in dimension p , which would then in turn require us to use pth roots of unity and hence perform calculations in a field of much larger degree. Instead, we transform the two candidates for the fiducial vector to the basis of the standard representation of the Weyl–Heisenberg group (inverting the transformation [\(26\)](#page-8-2)) and test the conditions there. The transformation requires calculations in the field K^8 ^{pjj}, adjoining an eighth root of unity. Then we test the quantities $G(i, k)$ defined in eq. [\(70\)](#page-24-1) in Section [5.4](#page-24-0) below. We observe that testing just one $G(i, k)$ with i, k co-prime to d, say $G(1, 1)$, is usually sufficient for us to determine which of U_F or U_F^{-1} we need.

5.3 Some improvements

The fact that the final step of our algorithm involves a search over a finite number of undetermined choices is clearly a weakness. However, if one is willing to make further assumptions, it is possible to reduce the search. In particular we can determine the generator $\theta \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ of the non-zero integers modulo p , as well as the signs s_0 and s_1 , without checking the SIC property. Notice that when the dimension is prime these are the only choices one has to make [\[15\]](#page-47-6). The algorithm as described in Section [5.1](#page-18-1) does not depend on these additional assumptions; but we have observed them to hold in all of the cases which we have examined.

To determine θ , first recall that we can use elements of the Galois group to label the numerical real Stark units. What is more, we have identified a mapping from the non-zero integers modulo d to numerical Stark units that is compatible with the action of the Galois group as well as with multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, based upon the natural identification of ideals modulo d in \mathbb{Z} with those modulo 2p in \mathbb{Z}_K . This allows us to associate each non-zero index in the fiducial vector with a numerical real Stark unit. Given the exact expression for the square roots of the Stark phase units from Section [5.2.5,](#page-22-2) we can choose a real embedding of the ray class field and match the exact square roots with the numerical Stark units. From the action of the Galois transformation σ on

the exact square roots, we can derive the corresponding permutation of the indices in the fiducial vector. Matching the exact and the numerical values allows us not only to determine the generator θ, but also the first elements α_0 and β_0 in the orbits. We hope to return to this issue and discuss it in detail elsewhere.

The signs can be fixed very simply, if one relies on an observation made in Section [8.](#page-29-0) We give the details there. Using that method, we can reduce the overall run-time of our search by what amounts essentially to a factor of four.

5.4 Complete verification

So far, we have not been able to prove that our algorithm always works. Hence we have to rely on the complete verification of the SIC conditions for the exact SIC fiducial vectors that we have calculated. This is clearly a major task since the number of overlaps grows quadratically with the dimension. Fortunately simplifications are possible. Let us first recall how the use of the standard representation of the Weyl–Heisenberg group allows us to do the entire calculation in the number field generated by the components of the fiducial vector [\[21,](#page-47-12) [22,](#page-47-13) [36\]](#page-48-9). The idea is to take a discrete Fourier transform of the sequences of SIC conditions, and calculate

$$
G(i,k) := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j} \omega^{kj} |\langle \Psi | X^{i} Z^{j} | \Psi \rangle|^{2} = \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \bar{a}_{r+i} \bar{a}_{r+k} a_{r} a_{r+i+k}, \qquad (70)
$$

where a_r denotes a component of $|\Psi\rangle$ in the chosen basis, and $\omega = e^{2\pi i/d}$ is a primitive complex dth root of unity. This works because the matrix representation of the operator that occurs here is

$$
(X^i Z^j)_{r,s} = \omega^{js} \delta_{r,s+i},\tag{71}
$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta. The invertibility of the discrete Fourier transform then implies that we can rephrase the SIC conditions [\(2\)](#page-2-2) in terms of $G(i, k)$:

$$
|\langle \Psi | X^i Z^j | \Psi \rangle|^2 = \frac{d \delta_{i,0} \delta_{j,0} + 1}{d+1} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad G(i,k) = \frac{\delta_{i,0} + \delta_{k,0}}{d+1}.
$$
 (72)

Since $G(i,k)$ can be expressed in terms of the components of the fiducial vector in the standard basis, we only need eighth roots of unity, but not pth roots of unity when checking that this condition holds. This is the approach which we applied in [\[15\]](#page-47-6), where we do not need complex roots of unity at all. In Appendix [D](#page-45-0) we describe a significant improvement to this approach.

A critical question is: what is the minimum number of sufficient conditions? Once this question is answered we find, somewhat counterintuitively, that we can reduce the overall complexity of the verification when we consider the overlap phases in eq. (2) , which requires calculations in a number field that contains dth roots of unity (with $d = 4p$) and which has an even larger degree. We have to adjoin both a fourth and a pth root of unity to the field K^{4p} in which the fiducial vector in the adapted basis can be expressed. We consider the overlap phases of the fiducial vector in our adapted basis

$$
\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{i,j}^{(4)}\otimes X^a Z^b|\Psi_0\rangle,\tag{73}
$$

where $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$ is an element of the Weyl–Heisenberg group in our representation in dimension four, and $X^a Z^b$ is in the Weyl–Heisenberg group in the standard representation in dimension p. For simplicity we have not included the cyclotomic phase factors in the displacement operators acting on the dimension p factor in eq. (73) . They do become relevant in Section [8,](#page-29-0) where we discuss the actual numbers that constitute the overlaps.

We can use the action of the Galois group on eq. [\(73\)](#page-24-2) to reduce the number of overlap phases that we have to check. Since the cyclotomic field generated by the pth root of unity is disjoint to the field $K^{4pjj^{\tau}}$ containing the fiducial vector and the displacement operators $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$, for every

 $b \neq 0$ there is a Galois automorphism that maps $X^a Z^b$ to $X^a Z$ and changes neither the fiducial vector nor $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$. The modulus of the overlap in eq. [\(73\)](#page-24-2) will be the same. Hence it is sufficient to consider the exponents $b = 0$ and $b = 1$ in [\(73\)](#page-24-2). Moreover, applying the automorphism σ to the fiducial vector multiplies the indices in the dimension-p component by θ (see eq. [\(61\)](#page-19-4)). This implies

$$
\sigma\left(\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{i,j}^{(4)}\otimes X^a Z^b|\Psi_0\rangle\right) = \sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{i,j}^{(4)}\otimes X^{a\theta^{-1}}Z^{b\theta^{-1}}|\Psi_0\rangle. \tag{74}
$$

As θ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to consider the exponents $a = 0$ and $a = 1$ in eq. [\(73\)](#page-24-2).

To reduce the number of displacement operators that we have to consider in the dimension four component, we use the action of the pre-ascribed Zauner symmetry U_z (see eq. [\(27\)](#page-8-1)) of our fiducial vector on the operators $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$ given in eqs. [\(28\)](#page-9-2) and [\(29\)](#page-9-3). By definition, the additional symmetry does not change the fiducial vector, but we can use it to transform the displacement operator. Additionally, we note that replacing an operator $D_{i,j}^{(4)} \otimes X^a Z^b$ in eq. [\(73\)](#page-24-2) by its adjoint-up-to-phase $D_{-i,-j}^{(4)} \otimes X^{-a}Z^{-b}$ does not change the modulus. The same is true for complex conjugation. It turns out that it is sufficient to consider the operators $D_{i,j}^{(4)}$ for $(i, j) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2)$. Note that $D_{0,0}^{(4)} = \mathbb{1}_4$, $D_{0,2}^{(4)} = \mathbb{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_z$ (see eq. [\(22\)](#page-7-3)), and $D_{0,1}^{(4)}$ is given in eq. [\(29\)](#page-9-3).

In total, we have to check only only 12 out of the d^2 conditions for our candidate fiducial vectors. Four of the overlaps, namely those for the diagonal operators $D_{0,0}^{(4)} \otimes X^0 Z^0$, $D_{0,0}^{(4)} \otimes X^0 Z^1$, $D_{0,2}^{(4)} \otimes X^0 Z^0$, and $D_{0,2}^{(4)} \otimes X^0 Z^1$ are already implied by our Ansatz (see eqs. [\(52\)](#page-12-2) and [\(53\)](#page-12-3)). Hence we only have to check eight overlap phases, but in number fields of very large degree. More details on these overlap phases can be found in Section [8](#page-29-0) and Table [4.](#page-30-0)

We have verified the solutions for dimensions up to $d = 1852$ using exact arithmetic. For larger dimensions, for which the degree of the required number field is also much larger, we have checked those eight conditions numerically. Timings are given in Table [3.](#page-26-0) For the exact verification, we state the time it took to compute the squared modulus of the eight overlaps. We note that the most time-consuming operation is not to compute the overlap phase, but to multiply it with its conjugate value. Recall that the degree of the number field including the dth root of unity scales as $O(d^2)$. For the numerical verification, we first have to compute a numerical fiducial vector from the exact one. Mapping the elements of the high-degree number field to high-precision floating point values takes considerable time. Hence, for these cases, we state the total time to compute a numerical fiducial vector from the exact one and to compute the numerical overlaps. The time for computing the overlaps and their absolute value is given in parenthesis. For comparison, we also give the run-time to compute a single term $G(i, k)$ for fixed i, k using exact arithmetic in the last column. For the last three dimensions we only provide estimates.

6 A detailed example in dimension 52

We illustrate our algorithm by giving one example in full detail, and we choose $d = 52 = 4 \times 13$ for this purpose. The quadratic base field is $K = \mathbb{Q}(a)$, where $a = \sqrt{53}$. Other than the somewhat simplifying fact that the class number $h_K = 1$, this dimension provides a good illustration of the algorithm outlined in Section [5.1.](#page-18-1) The element x_0 is $x_0 = -2 - \sqrt{d+1} = -2 - a$. The ray class fields $K^{\mathfrak{p}}$, $K^{2\mathfrak{p}}$ and $K^{4\mathfrak{p}}$ that we need in order to construct the fiducial vector have degrees 4, 12 and 24, respectively. This should be compared with the degree of the full SIC field including all the relevant roots of unity, which has degree 1152. So the saving is considerable.

Step 1: We compute real Stark units for the fields $K^{\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}^{\tau}}$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}^{\tau}^{\tau}}$. The precision we need in order to determine their minimal polynomials, $r_1(t)$ respectively $r_2(t)$, is very modest in this case (cf. Table [1\)](#page-20-0). We find

$$
r_1(t) = t^4 - \frac{1}{2}(5a + 39)t^3 + (21a + 154)t^2 - \frac{1}{2}(5a + 39)t + 1.
$$
 (75)

Table 3: Run-time for the verification of the solutions. The column $G(i,k)$ provides information on the time required to compute one value $G(i, k)$ using exact arithmetic. For $d = 4492$, $d = 19324$, and $d = 39604$, the times for $G(i, k)$ are estimates. Note that we did not always use the same computer.

\boldsymbol{n}	\boldsymbol{d}	$\deg K^{\text{4pi}}/K$	precision	CPU time	G(i,k)
5	28	$12 = 2 \times 2 \times 3$	exact	$<$ 1 s	$<$ 1 s
$\overline{7}$	52	$24 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3$	exact	$<$ 1 s	$<$ 1 s
11	124	$12 = 2 \times 2 \times 3$	exact	$<$ 1 s	$<$ 1 s
13	172	$84 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 7$	exact	31.5 s	$5.4~\mathrm{s}$
17	292	$144 = 2 \times 2^3 \times 3^2$	exact	264.3 s	37.8 s
25	628	$624 = 2 \times 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 13$	exact	207.8 min	19 min
29	844	$60 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 5$	exact	205.0 s	12.7 s
31	964	$960 = 2 \times 2 \times 2^4 \times 3 \times 5$	exact	24.8 _h	101 min
35	1228	$1836 = 2 \times 2 \times 3^3 \times 17$	exact	4.3 days	9.0 h
41	1684	$1680 = 2 \times 2 \times 2^2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7$	exact	5.5 days	6.8h
43	1852	$1848 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 7 \times 11$	exact	7.7 days	8.7 h
49	2404	$4800 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2^3 \times 3 \times 5^2$	100000 digits	(154.8 s) 61.2 min	89.5 h
55	3028	$6048 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 7$	100000 digits	97.7 min (206.6 s)	204.4 h
67	4492	$6732 = 2 \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 11 \times 17$	100000 digits	4.9h (403.1 s)	\approx 15.8 days
139	19324	$9660 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 23$	100000 digits	(20.2 min) 30.4 h	≈ 570 days
199	39604	$1800 = 2 \times 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 5^2$	100000 digits	$109.3 \text{ min } (39.8 \text{ min})$	$\approx 30~\rm{days}$

The polynomial $r_2(t)$ is of degree 12, and we wait until the next step—where the coefficients shrink somewhat—before we express it explicitly.

Step 2: We apply the automorphism τ , that is $a \mapsto -a$, to the coefficients of the minimal polynomials. The roots of the transformed polynomials $p_1(t) = r_1^{\tau}(t)$ and $p_2(t) = r_2^{\tau}(t)$ are phase factors. We want their square roots. For p_2 we obtain them by factoring the polynomial $p_2(t^2)$ over the quadratic field. It will factor because the square roots of the Stark phase units in K^{2pj} lie in K^{2p} . One of the factors is

$$
\tilde{p}_2(t) = t^{12} - \frac{a-1}{2}t^{11} + \frac{7a-43}{2}t^{10} + \frac{15a-113}{2}t^9 - \frac{79a-573}{2}t^8 - \frac{53a-391}{2}t^7 + (122a-891)t^6 - \frac{53a-391}{2}t^5 - \frac{79a-573}{2}t^4 + \frac{15a-113}{2}t^3 + \frac{7a-43}{2}t^2 - \frac{a-1}{2}t + 1.
$$
\n(76)

So this is the minimal polynomial whose roots β_r are the square roots of the Stark phase units in K^{2p} . Because we picked one out of the two factors of the degree 24 polynomial $p_2(t^2)$, we have introduced one global sign ambiguity.

For $p_1(t)$ we have to deal with the fact that the square roots of the Stark phase units in $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ do not lie in $K^{\mathfrak{p}}$. If we rescale them with the factor $\sqrt{x_0}$ they do (see Proposition [4.6\)](#page-17-3), so we can factor the polynomial $p_1(t^2/x_0)$ over K. One of the factors is

$$
\tilde{p}_1(t) = t^4 + \frac{3a - 15}{2}t^3 - (4a - 41)t^2 - \frac{9a - 129}{2}t + 4a + 57.
$$
\n(77)

Its roots $\tilde{\alpha}_r$ are square roots of the rescaled Stark phase units in $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$. Again there is a global sign ambiguity depending on which factor we pick.

As mentioned in Section [5.3,](#page-23-1) and discussed in detail in Section [8](#page-29-0) below, we can determine the correct signs already in this step, if we are willing to take equations [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2) from Section [8](#page-29-0) on trust. In fact the above choices of $\tilde{p}_1(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_2(t)$ were determined in this way, and we will take this into account when we come to Step 6 below.

Step 3: Here we build the number fields $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$, $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}$, and $K^{4\mathfrak{p}j}$, by means of a tower of field extensions of degrees equal to the prime factors of the degree of K^{4p} . Magma provides good guidance for this, but many slight variations and improvements are possible in this step. One possibility is

$$
K(t_1), \t t_1 \text{ being a root of } x^2 + \frac{3a - 23}{2};
$$

\n
$$
K^{\mathfrak{p}j} = K(t_1, t_2), \t t_2 \text{ being a root of } x^2 + a + 1 - \frac{10a + 68}{13}t_1;
$$

\n
$$
K^{2\mathfrak{p}j} = K^{\mathfrak{p}j}(t_3), \t t_3 \text{ being a root of } x^3 - 78x + 25a - 27;
$$

\n
$$
K^{4\mathfrak{p}j} = K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}(\xi), \t \xi \text{ being a root of } x^2 - x_0.
$$
\n(78)

The field K^{4p} will not be needed until we come to Step 6 of our algorithm.

Step 4: The Galois group of the extension K^{2pj}/K permutes the roots of the minimal polynomials that appear in the tower leading up to K^{2pj} . Notice that we want the minimal polynomials over the fixed field K. For the first extension, clearly the roots are t_1 and $-t_1$. For t_2 , the minimal polynomial is a degree four polynomial with coefficients in K . However, it comes to us in an already factored form, namely

$$
p_{t_2}(x) = \left(x^2 + a + 1 - \frac{10a + 68}{13}t_1\right)\left(x^2 + a + 1 + \frac{10a + 68}{13}t_1\right). \tag{79}
$$

The first factor is the polynomial that actually appeared in the tower, the second factor arises when we take the Galois conjugates of its coefficients, in this case letting $t_1 \mapsto -t_1$. Hence there are four roots, and they are the Galois conjugates of t_2 . Clearly there are three conjugates of t_3 . This means that the Galois group is a cyclic group of order 12, containing four generators of order 12. We pick one and call it σ . It effects

$$
\sigma(t_1) = -t_1,
$$

\n
$$
\sigma(t_2) = -\frac{1}{4}((4a+30)t_1 + 3a+23)t_2,
$$

\n
$$
\sigma(t_3) = -\frac{1}{30}((a-1)t_3^2 - (a-41)t_3 - 52a+52).
$$
\n(80)

It can be worked out by hand that if t_2 (say) is a root of $p_{t_2}(x)$ then so is $\sigma(t_2)$, and that $\sigma^4(t_2) = t_2$ while $\sigma^3(t_3) = t_3$. In higher dimensions the computer algebra package has to do the work.

For complex conjugation, we pick the automorphism that changes the signs of t_2 and ξ , and fixes the other generators.

Step 5: It is unclear whether we could calculate the roots $\tilde{\alpha}_r$ and β_r of the polynomials $\tilde{p}_1(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_2(t)$ by hand, but the computer algebra package does it without apparent delay. We need only one root from each, because the rest can be generated using the Galois transformation σ from the previous step. We pick one root from each polynomial, say

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_0 = -\frac{1}{16} \Big(\big((8a + 58)t_1 + 3a + 55 \big) t_2 + (2a + 18)t_1 + 6a - 30 \Big) \tag{81}
$$

$$
\beta_0 = \frac{1}{720} \Big(\big((-2t_1 + a - 11)t_2 - \frac{1}{13} (10a + 42)t_1 + 2a - 10 \big) t_3^2 - \big(\big((5a + 33)t_1 + 11a - 11 \big) t_2 - 4t_1 + 22a - 130 \big) t_3 - \big((120a + 826)t_1 + 127a + 283 \big) t_2 + (70a + 318)t_1 - 74a + 490 \Big).
$$
\n
$$
(82)
$$

We now rely on the Galois group to provide us with two ordered sequences of roots. Since $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ is a subfield of K^{2p} a single Galois group element suffices for both sets of roots. We obtain

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_r = \sigma^r(\tilde{\alpha}_0), \qquad \beta_r = \sigma^r(\beta). \tag{83}
$$

It is convenient to let r range from 0 to $p-1$ in both cases, even though this means that the sequence $\{\tilde{\alpha}_r\}_{r=0}^{11}$ repeats itself three times.

Notice that the choice of the automorphism σ , out of the four generators of the group, was arbitrary. So were the choices of starting points for the two sequences.

Step 6: We now have two cycles of numbers $\tilde{\alpha}_r$ and β_r to place in the Ansatz for a SIC fiducial vector that we described in Section [3.](#page-9-0) The $\tilde{\alpha}$ –cycle is to give the components x_i made from $12/3 = 4$ distinct numbers, while the β -cycle is to give the twelve distinct components y_i . The precise relation is given by

$$
j = \theta^r \mod p \qquad \implies \qquad x_j = \tilde{\alpha}_r, \ y_j = \beta_r. \tag{84}
$$

Here θ is a generator of the multiplicative group of non-zero integers modulo p. When $p = 13$ this is again a cyclic group of order 12, with four distinct possible choices 2, 6, 7, 11 for its generator.

We have arrived at the search part of our algorithm. We used a short-cut in Step 2, hence all signs are determined. But we do not know which of the four possible choices of θ corresponds to the arbitrary choice σ that we made for the generator of the Galois group. The arbitrary choice that we made for the starting point of the β -cycle does not matter, since the 12 different choices will lead to 12 Clifford equivalent SIC vectors. But given a choice of β_0 together with the choice of $\tilde{\alpha}_0$, the starting point of the shorter $\tilde{\alpha}$ –cycle, does matter. Finally, two possible Zauner unitaries were displayed in equations [\(33\)](#page-10-0) and [\(34\)](#page-10-1). They correspond to two ways of ordering the vectors \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 in the Ansatz, and the choice matters. Hence we have $2 \times 4 \times 4$ candidate SIC fiducial vectors to investigate.

The search can be done in two stages. First we test the overlap $\langle \Psi_0 | 1_4 \otimes X^{(p)} | \Psi_0 \rangle$. This calculation can be done within the number field K^{4p} holding the vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and it is insensitive to the ordering of the vectors \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 . Hence there are only 4×4 candidates to look through, and for $d = 52$ the search can be made in a fraction of a second. With the choice of σ that we made in Step 4 and the choice of β_0 that we made in equation [\(82\)](#page-27-0), it turns out that this overlap has absolute value squared $1/(d+1)$ if and only if $\theta = 7$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ is the root that was written down in eq. [\(81\)](#page-27-1). (Not by accident since we adjusted the latter equation after the fact).

To find the correct ordering of \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 we can, for instance, test the overlap $\Psi|Z^{(4)} \otimes Z^{(p)}|\Psi\rangle$. It has the right absolute value only if we place the vectors in the order $(\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_3^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_4^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}$. However, such a calculation requires us to bring in the pth roots of unity. A faster way is to test one of the $G(i, k)$ from Section [5.4.](#page-24-0) Such a calculation can be performed in the field $K^{\text{8pijj}^{\tau}}$, obtained by extending the field K^{4p} by an eighth root of unity.

In this case a complete verification that we really do have a SIC vector can be done in a few seconds.

7 Dimensions 4 and 12

For completeness, let us give a fiducial SIC vector for dimension four. There the Stark units drop out of our Ansatz, so we obtain [\[18\]](#page-47-9):

$$
|\Psi_0\rangle = N \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_0}} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (85)

where the normalising factor $N = N(d)$ was defined in eq.[\(51\)](#page-11-1).

The other dimension that we have so far avoided is dimension $12 = 4 \times 3$. Let us first recall that

$$
d = n2 + 3 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad d = 2e2 \times 3e3 \times p1ep1 \times \ldots \times pseps,
$$
\n(86)

where $e_2 \in \{0, 2\}$, $e_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, and all the primes $p_j \equiv 1 \mod 3$ [\[15\]](#page-47-6). In this paper we have been concerned with the special features that arise if there is a factor of 4 in the dimension. It turns out that a factor of 3 also introduces some special features. For one thing the symmetry of the ray class SIC is then of type F_a in the terminology of reference [\[5\]](#page-46-3). This means that the order 3 symmetry group acts trivially in the dimension 3 factor, so that our Ansatz has to be slightly modified. To see what the next special feature is we simply write down a solution for dimension 12 in almost flat form, using the enphased monomial representation of the Weyl–Heisenberg group in the dimension-four factor. The prime $p = 3$ does indeed still split over the quadratic field, so that the ideal (3) splits into prime ideals that we again denote by $\mathfrak p$ and $\mathfrak p^{\tau}$. Using

$$
a = \sqrt{13}
$$
 and $t_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{a+1}{2}}$, (87)

the Stark phase units in the field $K^{\mathfrak{p}j} = K(t_1)$ are

$$
\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a - 2t_1)
$$
 and $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a + 2t_1).$ (88)

Then we find the SIC fiducial vector

$$
|\Psi_0\rangle = N \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\epsilon_0 \\ -\epsilon_1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_4 = \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{x_0} \\ -(\epsilon_1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ -(\epsilon_0)^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{array} \right). \tag{89}
$$

In this case it turns out that the ray class fields $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ and $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}$ are identical as number fields, and the Stark phase units in the former are in fact square roots of the Stark phase units in the latter. Hence the form of the vector \mathbf{v}_1 is the expected one. The new feature, which as far as we know always occurs when the dimension is divisible by three, is that square roots of odd powers of Stark phase units (in this case, cubes) also appear in the fiducial vector. As a matter of fact this phenomenon is not exclusive to dimensions divisible by three, it occurs also (this time in fifth powers) when $d = 82^2 + 3 = 6727 = 7 \times 31^2$ and $\ell = 5$. Since this dimension is not of the form $4p$ we do not discuss it further here.

8 Overlap phases and determination of signs

The SIC condition requires the overlaps $\sqrt{d+1} \langle \Psi_0 | D_{i,j} | \Psi_0 \rangle$, where $D_{i,j}$ is any displacement operator in dimension d, to sit on the unit circle in the complex plane. Hence they are referred to as overlap phases. They are not obviously algebraic units, but in every case investigated (dimension $d = 3$ excepted) they are indeed such. They are important because any SIC can be constructed from its overlap phases.

It is perhaps worth observing that given our Ansatz, where the complex conjugate of a fiducial vector is given by eq. [\(43\)](#page-11-2), the SIC overlap phases can be rewritten as a bilinear quadratic form

$$
\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{i,j}|\Psi_0\rangle = \sqrt{d+1}(\Psi_0^*, D_{i,j}\Psi_0) = \sqrt{d+1}(\Psi_0, (U_P^{(4)} \otimes U_P^{(p)})D_{i,j}\Psi_0),\tag{90}
$$

where the parity operator $U_P^{(4)} \otimes U_P^{(p)}$ $P_P^{(p)}$ is an involution of order 2, and where we avoided the use of Dirac's notation since it does not work well for anti-unitary transformations.

The number fields in which the various overlap phases (or their squares) sit are listed in Table [4.](#page-30-0) As an illustrative example of how this comes about, consider the third row. Using the explicit form [\(29\)](#page-9-3) of the displacement operator $D_{0,1}$ together with our Ansatz we find

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | D_{0,1} \otimes D_{0,0} | \Psi_0 \rangle = N^2 \tau_4 \big(-\langle \mathbf{v}_1 | \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle - i \langle \mathbf{v}_2 | \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle + i \langle \mathbf{v}_3 | \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v}_4 | \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \big),\tag{91}
$$

where τ_4 is a primitive eighth root of unity. But it is built into our Ansatz that

$$
\langle \mathbf{v}_3 | \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_1 | \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle, \qquad \langle \mathbf{v}_2 | \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{v}_4 | \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle.
$$
 (92)

Moreover $\tau_4(1-i) = \sqrt{2}$, so

$$
\langle \Psi_0 | D_{0,1} \otimes D_{0,0} | \Psi_0 \rangle = N^2 \sqrt{2} \big(- \langle \mathbf{v}_1 | \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{v}_4 | \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \big). \tag{93}
$$

The fourth root of unity has disappeared. The two terms are each invariant under the simultaneous permutations $\beta_r \to \beta_{r+1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_r \to \tilde{\alpha}_{r+1}$, which means that they sit in the base field except for the presence of $\sqrt{x_0}$ in \mathbf{v}_4 . Hence these overlap phases sit in K^{8j} , and their squares in K^{4j} . The remaining entries in the third column of Table [4](#page-30-0) can be dealt with similarly.

According to a by-now-standard conjecture, for the cases we consider the SIC overlap phases are square roots of Stark phase units, but this time Stark units in the ray class field with modulus d or 2d have to be included. This expectation was the starting point for Kopp's construction of SICs in prime dimensions equal to 2 modulo 3, for which the overlap phases form a single Galois orbit [\[14\]](#page-47-5). For the case when $d = 4p$ where $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ the situation is more complicated, but for a few examples we have checked that the connection between overlap phases and Stark phase units still holds, although it is not just square roots that appear; see Table [4,](#page-30-0) which has been fully verified for some cases only, owing to the time it takes to compute Stark units in large ray class fields.

Table 4: Non-trivial overlap phases for $d = 4p$. In the tensor product the first operator is a $d = 4$ displacement operator, the second ditto for dimension p. When $d = 4$ the operators $D_{0,0}$ and $D_{0,2}$ are diagonal, while $D_{0,1} = X$ is 'generic'. When $d = p$ the operators $D_{0,1} = X$ and $D_{0,1} = Z$ are special, while $D_{1,1}$ is 'generic'. The table gives one representative for each Galois orbit, up to possible signs. In the fourth column all entries were checked for $d = 28, 52, 124$. For $d = 172, 292, 844$ the first five entries were checked.

representative	degree	number field	remark	
$\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi D_{0,0}\otimes D_{1,0} \Psi\rangle$	$(p-1)/3\ell$	$K^{\mathfrak{p}}$	Stark phase unit	
$\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi D_{0,2}\otimes D_{1,0} \Psi\rangle$	$(p-1)/\ell$	K^{2p}	$-$ Stark phase unit	
$(d+1)\langle\Psi D_{0,1}\otimes D_{0,0} \Psi\rangle^2$	\mathcal{D}	K^{4}	$(\text{Stark phase unit})^{\ell}$	
$(d+1)\langle \Psi D_{0,1}\otimes D_{0,1} \Psi\rangle^2$	$2(p-1)/\ell$	$K^{4p^{\tau}}$	Stark phase unit	
$(d+1)\langle\Psi D_{0,1}\otimes D_{1,0} \Psi\rangle^2$	$2(p-1)/\ell$	K^{4p}	Stark phase unit	
$(d+1)\langle\Psi D_{0,0}\otimes D_{1,1} \Psi\rangle^2$	$(p-1)^2/6\ell$	K^{pj}	Stark phase unit	
$(d+1)\langle\Psi D_{0,2}\otimes D_{1,1} \Psi\rangle^2$	$(p-1)^2/2\ell$	K^{2p}	Stark phase unit	
$(d+1)\langle\Psi D_{0,1}\otimes D_{1,1} \Psi\rangle^2$	$2(p-1)^2/\ell$	$K^{dj} = K^{4pj}$	Stark phase unit	

We now focus on the first two rows of Table [4.](#page-30-0) Recall that the dimension p displacement operator $D_{1,0} = X$ is a permutation matrix. We have observed the appealing formulas

$$
\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{0,0}\otimes X^{-2j}|\Psi_0\rangle = x_j^2\tag{94}
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{d+1}\langle\Psi_0|D_{0,2}\otimes X^{-2j}|\Psi_0\rangle = -y_j^2.
$$
\n(95)

Here the numbers x_i and y_i are the components of the vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$, as introduced in equations [\(37\)](#page-10-4). The components are square roots of Stark units in $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ and in $K^{2\mathfrak{p}j}$ respectively, hence these particular overlap phases are Stark phase units in themselves. In effect then equations [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2) are identities for Stark units that, we conjecture, hold for all $d = 4p$. We have made a similar observation when the dimension is a prime of the form $n^2 + 3$ [\[15\]](#page-47-6), and indeed in all cases investigated. As a consequence, the results reported in Table [4](#page-30-0) may also be interpreted as identities connecting Stark units in different subfields.

If we assume that equations [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2) hold we can reduce the search in the final step of our algorithm, because they will determine the signs that appear there. Equivalently, they can

be used to distinguish between the factors $\tilde{p}_i(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_i(-t)$ in eqs. [\(67\)](#page-21-2) and [\(68\)](#page-21-3) of the minimal polynomials of the Stark phase units over the quadratic field K.

For both equations [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2), we consider the sum from $j = 1$ to $j = p - 1$. For the right-hand sides, we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} x_j^2 = 3\ell \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{3\ell}-1} \alpha_j^2 = \frac{3\ell}{x_0} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{3\ell}-1} \tilde{\alpha}_j^2 = \frac{3\ell}{x_0} \text{Tr}_{K^{pj}/H_K}(\tilde{\alpha}_0^2) = \frac{3\ell}{x_0} \text{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_0^2)
$$
(96)

and −

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} y_j^2 = -\ell \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{\ell}-1} \beta_j^2 = -\ell \text{Tr}_{K^{2\mathfrak{p}_j}/H_K}(\beta_0^2) = -\ell \text{tr}(\beta_0^2). \tag{97}
$$

We are summing all units α_j^2 and β_j^2 which form an orbit with respect to the cyclic group generated by the automorphism σ which fixes the Hilbert class field H_K . Hence, the sum equals the trace for the extension over the Hilbert class field, which we abbreviate by $tr(_)$. Those values are the negative of the coefficient of second-highest degree, of the minimal polynomial of $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ and β_0 over the Hilbert class field. Hence, for class number $h_K > 1$, we have to compute the factorisation of the minimal polynomials over the Hilbert class field.

For the left-hand sides, first observe that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} X^{-2j} = J - \mathbb{1} = |1\rangle\langle 1| - \mathbb{1},\tag{98}
$$

where J is the van der Waerden matrix, a $p \times p$ matrix with all entries being equal to 1, and $|1\rangle$ is the vector with all components equal to 1. Hence, the left-hand sides of eqs. [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2) are symmetric functions in the components of the fiducial vector as well. More precisely, we get

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \langle \Psi_0 | D_{0,0} \otimes X^{-2j} | \Psi_0 \rangle = N^2 (3|\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle|^2) - 1 \tag{99}
$$

and
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \langle \Psi_0 | D_{0,2} \otimes X^{-2j} | \Psi_0 \rangle = N^2 \big(|\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle|^2 - |\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle|^2 \big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}},
$$
 (100)

where N is the normalisation factor defined in eq. [\(51\)](#page-11-1). Additionally, we compute

$$
\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x_j = \sqrt{x_0} + 3\ell \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{3\ell}-1} \alpha_j = \sqrt{x_0} + \frac{3\ell}{\sqrt{x_0}} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_0)
$$
(101)

and
$$
\langle \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{p=1} y_j = 1 + \ell \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_j = 1 + \ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_0),
$$
 (102)

where the trace is relative to the extension of the Hilbert class field.

Combining all of this, we find that

$$
N^{2}\left(3|1+\ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_{0})|^{2}+\left|\sqrt{x_{0}}+\frac{3\ell}{\sqrt{x_{0}}}\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_{0})\right|^{2}\right)-1=\frac{3\ell \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_{0}^{2})}{\sqrt{x_{0}}\sqrt{d+1}}\tag{103}
$$

and
$$
N^{2}\left(|1+\ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_{0})|^{2}-\left|\sqrt{x_{0}}+\frac{3\ell}{\sqrt{x_{0}}}\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_{0})\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}=-\frac{\ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_{0}^{2})}{\sqrt{d+1}}.
$$
 (104)

From this, taking complex conjugates using equations (44) – (46) , we derive

$$
-4\left(x_0 + 6\ell \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_0) + \frac{9\ell^2}{x_0} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\alpha}_0)^2\right) = \left(1 + \sqrt{d+1}\right) \left(3\ell \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_0^2) + 3\ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_0^2) + 4\right) + d
$$
(105)

and

$$
4(1 + \ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_0))^2 = (1 + \sqrt{d+1}) \left(3\ell \operatorname{tr}(\alpha_0^2) - \ell \operatorname{tr}(\beta_0^2)\right) + d. \tag{106}
$$

The right-hand side of these equations can be computed using the second-highest degree monomial in the minimal polynomials over the Hilbert class field of the Stark phase units α_0^2 and β_0^2 , which equals the negative of their trace. The left-hand sides depend on the trace of the square roots $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ and β_0 , and hence they are sensitive to the choice of the signs (respectively, the choice of the factors) in eqs. [\(67\)](#page-21-2) and [\(68\)](#page-21-3). Note that for class number $h_K > 1$, this yields partial information about the choice of the element α_0 as well.

Hence, assuming that our observation in eqs. [\(94\)](#page-30-1) and [\(95\)](#page-30-2) was true in general, we can determine the correct signs s_0 and s_1 independently of the choice of the generator θ and the element α_0 . Moreover, the number of possible choices for α_0 is reduced from $h_K(p-1)/3\ell$ to $(p-1)/3\ell$, *i. e.*, the degree of $K^{\mathfrak{p}j}$ over the Hilbert class field.

9 Conclusions and Outlook

We have collected a substantial amount of evidence for our claim that we have formulated an algorithm that in principle allows us to convert Stark units in appropriate ray class fields to SICs, in all dimensions of the form $d = n^2 + 3$. Here it is important to guard against various special features that occur in low (say, double digit) dimensions. In so far partially unpublished work we have in fact computed fiducial vectors in dimensions $d = n^2 + 3$ for all $n \le 53$, as well as in some higher dimensional cases (namely dimensions 3028, 3252, 3484, 3603, 3724, 3972, 4099, 4492, 4627, 5779, 6727, 7399, 7924, 12324, 19324, 19603, 39604, and 45372). Hence we feel that we are safe against low dimensional accidents, and with the various improvements reported here we believe that we can talk about an "algorithm", rather than just a "recipe", as we did in [\[15\]](#page-47-6)

If $d = n^2 + 3$ the prime decomposition of d is

$$
d = 4^{e_4} \times 3^{e_3} \times p_1^{r_1} \times \ldots \times p_s^{r_s},
$$
\n(107)

where $e_3, e_4 \in \{0, 1\}$, and all the primes $p_j \equiv 1$ modulo 3. In [\[15\]](#page-47-6) we treated the conceptually simplest case of $d = n^2 + 3 = p$ being a prime. As we have seen a factor of four in the dimension requires some special measures on the Hilbert space side in order to 'decouple' the fiducial vector from the cyclotomic field. We have therefore focused this paper on dimensions of the form $d =$ $n^2 + 3 = 4p$, where p is an odd prime. Moreover, the $d = 4p$ case has the advantage that the degrees of the relevant fields are comparatively smooth, and this facilitates the calculations. The highest dimension reached is $d = 39604 = 199^2 + 3$. The degree of the number field needed to write down all the d^2 SIC vectors in this case is $71\,280\,000$. We can handle this dimension because the Stark units from which a suitably chosen fiducial vector is constructed belong to a number field of a degree of just 1800 over the rationals. Along the way we pointed out several improvements of our algorithm, as compared to [\[15\]](#page-47-6).

One reason why $d = 39604$ is manageable is that this dimension corresponds to $\ell = 11$ in the dimension tower given in eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-1), starting at $d = 4$. It is possible that this sequence of dimensions contains an infinite sequence of dimensions of the form $d = 4p$. However, the next candidate occurs for $\ell = 211$, and d_{211} already has 89 digits. Explicitly constructing a fiducial vector in such a dimension is out of reach, as the number of its components exceeds the estimated number of atoms in the known universe. Hence we have reached a point where a proof that the algorithm always works is urgently needed.

We believe that the restriction to dimensions d where the quadratic base field admits a fundamental unit of negative norm, and where SICs with anti-unitary symmetry appear, namely $d = n^2 + 3$, may simplify an existence proof.

Acknowledgements

We thank Marcus Appleby for many valuable discussions. We also thank the Mathematics Department at Stockholm University as well as the Max Planck Society via the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light in Erlangen for access to their computers.

I. B. acknowledges support by the Digital Horizon Europe project FoQaCiA, Foundations of quantum computational advantage, GA No. 101070558, funded by the European Union, NSERC (Canada), and UKRI (UK).

M. G. acknowledges support by the Foundation for Polish Science (IRAP project, ICTQT, contracts no. 2018/MAB/5 and 2018/MAB/5/AS-1, co-financed by EU within the Smart Growth Operational Programme).

G. M. thanks Myungshik Kim and the QOLS group at Imperial College London for their generous ongoing hospitality and support.

Appendices

In the following four appendices we prove some results used or mentioned in the main text, as well as some general results which shed light on the basic number-theoretic context of these constructions. One of the enduring mysteries of this subject is the ubiquitous appearance of the so-called *Zauner symmetry* of order three in every known SIC. Many of the number-theoretic observations below are concerned with internal 3-symmetries; though it remains to be shown if there is a link.

A General results about the towers $d_{\ell}(D)$

This first appendix is concerned with the way intrinsic properties of a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ determine the primes dividing the values of $d_{\ell}(D)$, defined in eq. [\(54\)](#page-12-4), occurring in the tower of dimensions $\{d_\ell(D): \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ that lie above it.

The appearance or otherwise of a particular prime in the tower of dimensions above D—and more particularly the power to which it first appears if it does—is a deep problem analogous to topics in classical number theory like Wieferich primes [\[37\]](#page-48-10). In particular it has a direct connection to so-called Wall-Sun-Sun primes and late twentieth-century attempts to prove Fermat's Last Theorem [\[38,](#page-48-11) [39\]](#page-48-12); see the remark following Proposition [A.3.](#page-34-0)

Unless explicitly stated, there is no stipulation here that dimensions be of the form $d = n^2 + 3$, nor $d = 4p$. Note that where it is clear from the context, we shall simply write d_{ℓ} for $d_{\ell}(D)$.

A.1 The dimension $d_{\ell}(D)$ at position ℓ in the tower above $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$

We set out the key structural results and then prove them below. Let s, t be rational integers. The notation $s \mid t$ will mean that t is divisible by s. When s is of the form p^r for a prime p and a positive integer r, $p^r \parallel t$ will mean that p^r is the highest power of p dividing into t. If t is an algebraic integer then s | t will mean that the ideal (t) is contained within the ideal (s) .

The 4p case

The main result of this appendix, from the perspective of applications to the rest of the paper, is the following. Note there is no assumption about $n^2 + 3$.

Proposition A.1. Let D be any square-free positive integer, and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that the dimension $d_{\ell}(D)$ is equal to 4p for some odd prime p. Then either $D = 5$ or $\ell = 1$.

New primes appearing at level ℓ

Going up the dimension towers introduces new prime divisors into the $d_{\ell}(D)$ at each successive value of ℓ , except in some slightly pathological cases which we have excluded from the next result.

Proposition A.2. Fix D square-free as above, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that the following holds:

If
$$
\ell = 2
$$
 then $d_1(D)$ is not of the form $2^N + 2$ for some $N \ge 2$. (108)

Then there exists a rational prime P which appears for the first time at level ℓ in the tower above $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. In other words, $P \mid d_{\ell}(D)$ but $P \nmid d_{\ell'}(D)$ for any $1 \leq \ell' < \ell$.

The technical restriction required on the pair D , ℓ in the statement is easily seen to be irrelevant to cases of the form $d_{\ell}(D) = n^2 + 3$, since by Lemma 1 of [\[15\]](#page-47-6) this would require solving an equation of the form $m^2 = (n+1)(n-3)$ in integers, which is not possible. Hence imposing this condition does not affect any application to the main part of the paper.

The growth of the $d_{\ell}(D)$ in a \mathbb{Z}_q -tower

Now we get to the heart of the behaviour at a fixed prime $q \geq 5$, which we simply describe for reasons of brevity. Let ℓ_0 denote the first value of k for which $q | d_k(D)$. The ray class fields of K with conductors $d_{q^r\ell_0}$, for $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, which are those naturally attached to the filament of the dimension towers with strictly increasing powers of q in the conductor, contain the (totally real) cyclotomic q^n -power extensions of K, and indeed the compositum of all of the fields $K^{(d_q r_{\ell_0})}$ contains the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_q -extension of K.

The following result is true for all primes, but in a 'shifted' form for $q = 3$ which is not relevant for this paper, so we do not state it.

Proposition A.3. Let D be as above and let $q \neq 3$ be a prime such that for some integers $\ell, r \geq 1$, $q^r \parallel d_\ell(D)$. Suppose further that ℓ is minimal for this property, and assume [\(108\)](#page-34-1). Then:

 $q^{r+1} \parallel d_{q\ell}(D)$ and $q\ell$ is the minimal such index.

We also mention that it is shown in $[14, §4]$ that for a fixed D , the asymptotic probability of a randomly-chosen prime $q \geq 5$ dividing into $d_{\ell}(D)$ for some $\ell \geq 5$ is $\frac{3}{8}$. The basic ingredient is the result that a prime $p \neq 3$ divides into some $d_{\ell}(D)$ if and only if the order of u_K modulo $p\mathbb{Z}_K$ is divisible by 3.

Remark. Proposition [A.3](#page-34-0) is a variant of a standard result in the theory of p-adic regulators, see for example $[40, 55.5]$. As such, it is also the starting point for the connection with Wall-Sun-Sun primes and Fermat's Last Theorem. Define $\ell_0 = \ell_0(q, D)$ to be the minimum ℓ for which q divides into $d_{\ell}(D)$. Essentially, the literature is replete with conjectures—usually in very different language—which assert both the truth, e. g., [\[39\]](#page-48-12), and the falsity, e. g., [\[38\]](#page-48-11), of the following statement:

Fix D. There exist only finitely many primes p for which $v_p(d_{\ell_0(p,D)}) > 1$.

The growth of the $d_{\ell}(D)$ in $\mathbb R$

The next statement is a corollary of a classical result (Lemma [A.6\)](#page-39-1) and it is in turn needed for the proof of Proposition [A.2.](#page-34-2) There is an easy minor modification for $D = 5$, which is only needed for the first few dimensions of that tower anyway; but we do not go into this here.

Proposition A.4. Let $D \neq 5$ be any square-free positive integer. For all $\ell \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$:

$$
d_{\ell}^n > d_{\ell n} > \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n d_{\ell}^n.
$$

Finally, we mention another interesting structural result on the dimension towers, which can be proven by modifying results of [\[41\]](#page-48-14) on congruences among coefficients of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_n(x)$, to apply them to the shifted polynomials $T_n^*(x)$ defined in eq. [\(109\)](#page-35-1).

Proposition A.5. Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $gcd(k/l, 3) = 1$. Then $gcd(d_k, d_l) = d_{gcd(k,l)}$. \Box

The hypothesis says that the power of 3 dividing into k is the same as that dividing into l . Whenever the 3-adic valuation of the rational number k/l is different from zero, the corresponding gcd of the dimensions is just 1 or 3, as may be seen from the assertions [\(113\)](#page-36-0) and [\(114\)](#page-36-1) below.

Example: $D = 5$

When $D = 5$, the asssertions [\(113\)](#page-36-0) and [\(114\)](#page-36-1) show that 4 must divide $d_{\ell}(5)$ for every odd ℓ coprime to 3. So all things being equal, one would expect an infinite sub-sequence of the dimensions above $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ to be of the form 4p for p prime. We know that prime dimensions (when $D = 5$) can occur only for ℓ a power of 3, and the entries are known to be primes $d_{\ell} = p$ for $\ell = 3, 9$. We have used probabilistic primality tests to look for more examples, and found none up to $\ell = 3^{12}$. From eq. [\(114\)](#page-36-1) and Proposition [A.2](#page-34-2) below one would expect, given that $d_1(D) = 4$, that dimensions of the form $d_\ell = 4p$ would be easier to find. This is indeed the case: they occur for $\ell = 5, 7, 11, 211$, 419, 557, 769, 991, 1259, 1669, 2927, 3607, 4391, 5857, 7727, 14591, 16127, 22453, with five more cases ($\ell = 27827, 51427, 60103, 61657, 93251$) for $\ell \leq 94000$ being probably of this form.

We mention the first few of these: $d_1(5) = 4$, $d_5(5) = 4 \times 31$, $d_7(5) = 4 \times 211$, $d_{11}(5) = 4 \times 9901$, $d_{211}(5)=4\times 3896944262364468984431989653605889395802956455451592871589240445325974800179030855254151;$ higher levels in the tower are too large to print here. For the growth rates, see Proposition [A.4.](#page-34-3)

Using Pari/GP, it took about four weeks of CPU time to verify that $d_{\ell}/4$ is prime for d_{22453} with 9385 digits. The next candidate d_{27827} has 11631 digits. As a new result in this paper, we have shown SIC existence for $\ell = 11$ in this series, with 5 digits.

A.2 Proofs of the propositions

We first set out the notation and some general straightforward deductions from the definitions, then we go on to prove each proposition in turn.

Modified Chebyshev polynomials to navigate the tower

Although they are clearly monotonically increasing with ℓ , the dimensions in the same 'tower complex' above a fixed $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ nevertheless only form a partial order in terms of divisibility, not a total order. Hence in order to navigate the towers arithmetically, we introduced in [\[8\]](#page-46-6) a family of functions which enable us to calculate any dimension $d_{n\ell}(D)$ given the value of $d_{\ell}(D)$, akin to the role played by the usual Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$, $U_m(x)$ for the hyperbolic trigonometric functions. These modified Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined by:

$$
T_n^*(x) = 1 + 2T_n\left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right),\tag{109}
$$

where $T_n(x)$ is the usual Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree n. The $T_n^*(x)$ also satisfy the fundamental relation

$$
T_{m}^{*}\left(T_{n}^{*}\left(x\right)\right) = T_{mn}^{*}\left(x\right) \text{ for every } m, n \ge 0. \tag{110}
$$

The T_n^* are independent of D. So once we know $d_0(D) = 3$ (which is true trivially for all D: see eq. [\(122\)](#page-36-2)) and $d_1(D)$ (which requires that we know the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$), we know all $d_{\ell}(D) = T_{\ell}^*(d_1)$. The first few polynomials are $T_0^*(x) = 3$, $T_1^*(x) = x$, $T_2^*(x) = x^2 - 2x$, $T_3^*(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 + 3$. The defining recursion $T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x)$ for the T_n yields for the T_n^* :

$$
T_n^*(x) = xT_{n-1}^*(x) - xT_{n-2}^*(x) + T_{n-3}^*(x).
$$
 (111)

We mention some straightforward general consequences of these definitions for later use; see also Proposition 6 of [\[8\]](#page-46-6). Fix D and $d_{\ell} = d_{\ell}(D)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$ and let $\lambda \geq 1$ be an integer. These next facts, immediate from eqs. [\(110\)](#page-35-2), [\(111\)](#page-35-3), apply to all D and all ℓ . Firstly, we may re-write eq. [\(111\)](#page-35-3) setting the variable x to be some given $d_{\ell} = d_{\ell}(D)$, viz.

$$
d_{n\ell} = d_{\ell}d_{(n-1)\ell} - d_{\ell}d_{(n-2)\ell} + d_{(n-3)\ell}.
$$
\n(112)

The following facts are immediate:

If
$$
\lambda \equiv 0 \mod 3
$$
: $d_{\lambda \ell} - 3$ is a multiple of d_{ℓ} . (113)

If
$$
\lambda \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3
$$
: $d_{\lambda \ell}$ is a multiple of d_{ℓ} . (114)

So in particular,

If
$$
gcd(\ell, 3) = 1
$$
, this implies that d_{ℓ} is divisible by d_1 . (115)

Moreover,

$$
d_1(D) \text{ is odd if and only if } d_{\ell}(D) \text{ is odd for every } \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{116}
$$

In other words, if 2 divides into $d_{\ell}(D)$ for some ℓ then it already divides into $d_1(D)$.

$$
d_{3\ell}(D) \text{ is odd for every } \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{117}
$$

The prime 3 always divides into one of
$$
d_1(D)
$$
, $d_2(D)$ or $d_4(D)$. (118)

This last assertion follows because the map $d_j \mapsto d_{2j} = d_j (d_j - 2)$ considered in a general variable t modulo 3 goes $1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 0 \mapsto 0 \mapsto \ldots$, and so 3 must divide into at least one of d_1 , d_2 or d_4 no matter what D is.

Recall from Section [A.1](#page-34-4) that if a prime $q \ge 5$ divides into some $d_{\ell}(D)$, we write $\ell_0 = \ell_0(q, D)$ for the minimum such ℓ . Further, let us write $\Omega = \Omega(q, D)$ for the order of the image of u_D in $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(q))^{\times}$, the multiplicative group of the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_K/(q)$. Finally, $(\frac{q}{p}) \in {\pm 1}$ denotes the Legendre symbol. As alluded to in that section above as well, the next result may be deduced from the first section of the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [\[14\]](#page-47-5); or alternatively by using the techniques in Lemma 12 of $[8]$.

For a given D and q as above:
$$
\ell_0 = \Omega/3
$$
. (119)

In particular, therefore, $1 \leq \ell_0 \leq \frac{q - \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)}{3}$ $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Indeed it is further implicitly proven there that given some fixed D, then:

A prime
$$
p \neq 3
$$
 occurs in $d_{\ell}(D)$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $3 | \Omega(p, D)$. (120)

Finally, let $\ell' \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
q \mid d_{\ell'} \text{ if and only if } \ell' = \lambda \ell_0 \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 3\mathbb{N}. \tag{121}
$$

The sufficiency is just [\(114\)](#page-36-1), again since $q \neq 3$; the necessity follows by deriving a contradiction to the minimality of ℓ_0 using the same techniques as in the previous paragraph, together with the observation that since λ must be co-prime to 3, raising to the power λ is just an automorphism on the cube roots of unity.

The final simple observation we make—which again is one of the natural symmetries around 3 which occur throughout the SIC problem—is that by *defining* the $T_{\ell}^*(x)$ for negative integers ℓ via the relation [\(111\)](#page-35-3) we recover the obvious symmetry in eq. [\(54\)](#page-12-4) itself whereby we could easily define $d_{-\ell} = d_{\ell}$ in the same formula for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives as a by-product, as observed before, that for every D,

$$
d_0(D) = 3. \t\t(122)
$$

This is one way of viewing the ineluctable 3-symmetry at the heart of every SIC.

Proof of Proposition [A.1](#page-33-2) in the 4p case: $D = 5$ or $\ell = 1$

Proof. First of all, we may discard any values of ℓ which are divisible by 3 thanks to [\(117\)](#page-36-3). Next, by [\(115\)](#page-36-4), for d_{ℓ} to have the form $d_{\ell} = 4p$ for some odd prime p (recalling that all dimensions arising via traces as in eq. [\(54\)](#page-12-4) must be greater than 3) if $\ell > 1$ then d_1 must either be 4 or p, forcing respectively either $D = 5$, or else (by the lower bound in Proposition [A.4](#page-34-3) which we shall prove independently below) d_1 is a prime less than 9, meaning $d_1 = 2, 3, 5$ or 7. We exclude $d_1 < 4$ by construction (see eq. [\(54\)](#page-12-4)); $d_1 = 5$ or 7 precludes any $d_{\ell}(D)$ being even by [\(116\)](#page-36-5). This completes the proof of the proposition. П

Proof of Proposition [A.2:](#page-34-2) new primes appear in the tower at every level

Proof. Let $\ell \geq 2$ be the minimal index for which no new prime appears in the tower $d_{\ell}(D)$. Write $\rho \geq 2$ for the largest rational prime divisor of the index ℓ itself. Suppose first that $\rho \neq 3$, so that we fall within the ambit of Proposition [A.3.](#page-34-0) Now d_i is always a strictly increasing sequence for $j \geq 1$: since no new primes appear at level ℓ , Proposition [A.3](#page-34-0) says that in fact $d_{\ell} = \rho d_{\ell/\rho}$. Proposition [A.4,](#page-34-3) with $m = \ell/\rho$ and $n = \rho$, then implies that $d_{\ell} = \rho d_{\ell/\rho} > (\frac{2}{3})^{\rho} d_{\ell/\rho}^{\rho}$, that is: $d_{\ell/\rho}^{\rho-1} < \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\rho} \rho$. But $d_{\ell/\rho} \geq d_1 \geq 2^2$ so $2^{2\rho-2} < \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\rho} \rho$ or in other words $\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{\rho} < 4\rho$, forcing $\rho < 3$, i. e., $\rho = 2$.

So this only leaves the cases $\rho = 2$ and $\rho = 3$. If $\rho = 3$ then the prime 3 itself cannot be 'new' by [\(118\)](#page-36-6). Moreover 2 cannot be new either as $d_{\ell} = d_{3\frac{\ell}{3}}$ is odd by [\(117\)](#page-36-3). Recall $d_{\ell} = T_{3}^{*}(d_{\ell/3}) =$ $d_{\ell/3}^3 - 3d_{\ell/3}^2 + 3$. We have the following two cases. If $3 \nmid d_{\ell/3}$ then d_{ℓ} is odd and coprime to $3d_{\ell/3}$, hence it contains one or more 'new' odd primes different from 3, contradicting our assumption. On the other hand if $3 \mid d_{\ell/3}$ then $gcd(d_{\ell}, d_{\ell/3}) = 3$, but by Proposition [A.4,](#page-34-3) $d_{\ell} > \frac{8}{27} d_{\ell/3}^3 \ge 18$, as $d_{\ell/3} \geq 4$. Again since d_{ℓ} is odd, there must be one or more 'new' odd primes different from 3 which cannot divide into $d_{\ell/3}$.

So $\rho = 2$: that is to say, $\ell = 2^s$ for some $s \ge 1$. The explicit expression for $T_2^*(X)$ yields $d_{2^{s}}/d_{2^{s-1}} = d_{2^{s-1}} - 2$. In particular therefore $gcd(d_{2^{s-1}}, d_{2^{s}}/d_{2^{s-1}}) = 1$ or 2. Suppose for a moment that $s \geq 2$. By Proposition [A.3](#page-34-0) for the case $p = 2$, recalling that we are under assump-tion [\(108\)](#page-34-1), $v_2(d_{2^s}/d_{2^{s-1}}) \leq 1$; whereas direct calculations based upon relation [\(125\)](#page-39-2) below show that $d_{2^s}/d_{2^{s-1}} > 2$. So $d_{2^s}/d_{2^{s-1}}$ must contain a divisor which is coprime to $2d_{2^{s-1}}$, a contradiction. Hence $s = 1$, *i.e.*, $\ell = 2$, and we now seek examples where any prime divisor q of d_2/d_1 is already a divisor of d_1 . Applying Proposition [A.3](#page-34-0) once more then shows that such a situation can only occur for the prime = 2, since $\ell_0(2, D) = 1$. So $d_1 - 2 = d_2/d_1 = 2^t$ for some $t \ge 1$; or in other words $d_1 = 2^t + 2$.

It only remains to show that $l = 1$ whenever some d_l has the form $2^N + 2$. But we have just shown that $\ell = 2$ is a necessary condition for no new primes to appear in d_{ℓ} , and from the form of T_2^* we know that $d_{2l} = 2^N d_l$ with d_l even; so l must be 1, so we are in the situation excluded by [\(108\)](#page-34-1) and hence the proposition is proved. \Box

Proof of Proposition [A.3:](#page-34-0) q-primary growth in the dimension towers

We use the standard notation |t| for the greatest integer $\leq t$.

Proof. The statement amounts to saying that $v_q \left(\frac{d_q \ell}{d_q} \right)$ $\frac{d^2q\ell}{d_\ell}$ = 1, and that for any $\ell', \ell < \ell' < q\ell$, $v_q(d_{\ell'}) \leq r$. Notice that by induction the latter would then follow for all $1 \leq \ell' \leq \ell$ as well. While this is true for the prime $p = 2$ under the hypothesis [\(108\)](#page-34-1), it requires a tedious modification of the argument and so for this proof we assume $p \geq 5$.

The proof below is purely algebraic in nature and does not require any arithmetic specifically related to D; hence for ease of exposition we make the following notational simplification. Since all of these statements are relative to a 'base dimension' d_{ℓ} , without loss of generality we may, thanks to relation [\(110\)](#page-35-2), re-base everything to d_1 and so d_n will be understood as what was denoted by $d_{n\ell}$ prior to the re-basing, et cetera. Another way to think of this is to 're-base' the definition of u_D to u_D^{ℓ} .

Our starting point is to continue the decomposition of d_q given in eq. [\(112\)](#page-36-7) into terms of lower degree by iteratively substituting for each term of the form $d_{q-3\lambda}$ the corresponding recursion relation from eq. [\(112\)](#page-36-7), for $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{3} \rfloor - 1$. The result is a sum of the form

$$
d_q = d_1 d_{q-1} - d_1 d_{q-2} + d_1 d_{q-4} - d_1 d_{q-5} + \dots
$$

+
$$
d_1 d_{q-1-3\lambda} - d_1 d_{q-2-3\lambda} + \dots + \begin{cases} d_1, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3; \\ d_2 = d_1(d_1 - 2), & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 3. \end{cases}
$$
(123)

This we in turn split into two sums:

$$
d_q = d_1 \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{q}{3} \right\rfloor - 1} d_{q-1-3\lambda} - d_1 \sum_{\lambda=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{q}{3} \right\rfloor - 2} d_{q-2-3\lambda} + \begin{cases} d_1, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3; \\ d_1(d_1 - 2), & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 3. \end{cases} \tag{124}
$$

Now, when $q \equiv 1 \mod 3$ we know by [\(113\)](#page-36-0) that the sequence of terms $d_{q-1}, d_{q-4}, \ldots, d_{q-1-3\lambda}$, \ldots , d_3 all lie in the coset $3 + d_1\mathbb{Z}$; similarly when $q \equiv 2 \mod 3$ the same is true of the terms in the sequence $d_{q-2}, d_{q-5}, \ldots, d_{q-2-3\lambda}, \ldots, d_3$: both sequences taken as λ runs from 0 to $\lfloor \frac{q}{3} \rfloor - 1$. In either case the remaining half of the terms just lie in $d_1\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, we get something divisible by d_1^2 plus a term which is d_1 times the sum of $3(\frac{q}{3})$, counted $\lfloor \frac{q}{3} \rfloor$ times. Dividing these expressions by d_1 on both sides, we are left modulo d_1 with

$$
\frac{d_q}{d_1} \equiv 3\left(\frac{q}{3}\right)\left\lfloor \frac{q}{3} \right\rfloor + \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 3 \\ -2, & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \text{ mod } 3 \end{cases} \mod d_1
$$

which in each case gives us $\frac{d_q}{d_1} \equiv \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)q \mod d_1 \mathbb{Z}$. So indeed $q \mid \frac{d_q}{d_1}$ $\frac{d_q}{d_1}$. If $r \geq 2$, that is if $q^2 \mid d_1$, then this proves what we need, since then $q \parallel \frac{d_q}{d_1}$ $\frac{a_q}{d_1}$.

It remains to show this holds for the case $r = 1$. That is, when $q \parallel d_{\ell}$ we must show that $q^2 \nmid \frac{d_q\ell}{d_q}$ $\frac{d^2q\ell}{d\ell}$. By [\(121\)](#page-36-8) and the minimality of ℓ_0 it suffices to show this for $\ell = \ell_0$, which we now re-base as before to $\ell_0 = 1$. So it suffices now to show that $q^2 || d_q$.

Let q be a prime of K above q and \mathbb{Z}_q the ring of integers of the completion K_q of K at the place q. The discriminant of the extension K/\mathbb{Q} is either D or 4D, and $gcd(d_k(D), D) \in \{1, 3\}$ for every k, D by the definition of $d_k(D)$: hence as a divisor of $d_k(D)$, q is not ramified in K/\mathbb{Q} , by the assumption that $q \neq 2, 3$. Indeed q may be split or inert in K/\mathbb{Q} ; if it is split then the following argument can be made at either of the primes q or \overline{q} above q. In particular $v_q(q) = v_q(q) = 1$ and so we may write $q = \pi \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$ and some choice π of uniformiser for q.

By the Chinese remainder theorem and [\(119\)](#page-36-9), the images under reduction modulo $d_1\mathbb{Z}_K$ of u_D and u_D^{-1} have to be primitive cube roots of unity in every component of $\mathbb{Z}_K/(d_1)$, and, in particular, in $\mathbb{Z}_K/(q)$; otherwise the image of $u_D^2 + u_D + 1$ cannot be zero, as $q \neq 3$. Moreover by our assumption that $q^2 \nmid d_1$, we know that $u_D^3 \not\equiv 1 \mod q^2 \mathbb{Z}_K$: for assume the contrary. Then $(u_D - 1)u_D d_1 = (u_D - 1)(u_D^2 + u_D + 1) \equiv 0 \mod q^2$, meaning that $q | (u_D - 1)$, a contradiction to the minimality of ℓ_0 (which we recall was rebased to 1, meaning that the lowest power of u_D congruent to 1 modulo d_1 is u_D^3).

Write ω for the Teichmüller representative of u_D , which is one of the two primitive cube roots of unity in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times} . From the foregoing, then, we know that the image of u_D inside \mathbb{Z}_q is of the form $\omega + \pi \nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times}$. We develop the first few terms of the power series in π for u_D^{-q} by inverting that for u_D^q and obtain, substituting $\pi \epsilon$ for q where appropriate:

$$
d_q = 1 + u_D^q + u_D^{-q}
$$

= 1 + (\omega + \pi \nu)^q + (\omega + \pi \nu)^{-q}

$$
\equiv 1 + \omega^q + \omega^{-q} +
$$

$$
\frac{\nu}{\omega} (\omega^q - \omega^{-q}) \epsilon \pi^2 +
$$

$$
\frac{\nu^2}{2\omega^2} ((q - 1)\omega^q + (q + 1)\omega^{-q}) \epsilon \pi^3 +
$$

$$
\frac{\nu^3}{6\omega^3} ((q - 1)(q - 2)\omega^q - (q + 2)(q + 1)\omega^{-q}) \epsilon \pi^4 + O(\pi^4).
$$

So we are reduced to showing that

$$
1 + \omega^q + \omega^{-q} + \frac{\nu \epsilon}{\omega} (\omega^q - \omega^{-q}) \pi^2 \not\equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{q}^3.
$$

But since $gcd(q, 3) = 1$, ω^q and ω^{-q} are distinct primitive cube roots of unity of order co-prime to q, hence $1 + \omega^q + \omega^{-q} = 0$. Moreover by Theorem 4.3.2 of [\[42\]](#page-48-15) their difference is a q-adic unit, completing the proof, since ν , ω , ϵ are also. \Box

Proof of Proposition [A.4:](#page-34-3) growth band for the dimensions

General bounds on the size of the fundamental unit The proof of Proposition [A.2](#page-34-2) relies on Proposition [A.4,](#page-34-3) which in its turn relies upon the following 'classical' result, for which a convenient reference is Theorem 13.4 on page 329 of the English edition of [\[43\]](#page-48-16). Also see [\[44\]](#page-49-0) for some more recent work. This still essentially sums up the current state of knowledge of the size of u_K for general D, even though Hua's original result for the upper bound [\[45\]](#page-49-1) was published in 1942. We leave the case $D = 5$ out of this general limit since it makes things less sharp. Moreover we have approximated the lower bound in order to simplify the expression; but there is a slightly better, sharp lower bound which is realised infinitely often and which in the notation of the statement of the lemma would be $\frac{\mathcal{D} + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}^2 - 4}}{2}$.

Lemma A.6. Let $D > 1, D \neq 5$ be a square-free integer and let $\Delta_D := t^2 D$, for $t \in \{1, 2\}$, be the ('fundamental') discriminant of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. That is, $t = 1$ if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $t = 2$ if $D \equiv 2$ or 3 mod 4. Write D for the positive real number $\sqrt{\Delta_D} = t \sqrt{D}$. Let e be the base of the natural logarithm. Then with the usual notation for the integer part of a real number,

$$
\lfloor \mathcal{D} \rfloor < u_K < (e\mathcal{D})^{\mathcal{D}}.
$$

Proof of proposition [A.4](#page-34-3) Recall from [\[8\]](#page-46-6) the definition of u_D as the first totally positive power of the fundamental unit u_K (so u_D is either u_K^2 or u_K according to whether \mathbb{Z}_K respectively has a unit of norm -1 or does not). Equation (11) of [\[8\]](#page-46-6) then gives the definition of the ℓ th dimension in the tower above $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ as $d_{\ell}(D) = 1 + u_D^{\ell} + u_D^{-\ell}$.

Now fix ℓ : then the upper bound is immediate from the definition (for $n \geq 2$). For the lower bound, let $\varepsilon_{\ell} = 1 + u_D^{-\ell} + u_D^{-2\ell}$, so that $u_D^{\ell} = d_{\ell}/\varepsilon_{\ell}$ and so

$$
d_{\ell n} = u_D^{\ell n} + 1 + u_D^{-\ell n} > u_D^{\ell n} = d_\ell^n / \varepsilon_\ell^n.
$$
\n(125)

By direct calculation using Lemma [A.6,](#page-39-1) the maximal value of ε_ℓ over all D and all ℓ occurs when $D = 5$ and $\ell = 1$, namely $6 - 2\sqrt{5} \approx 1.527864$. Everything else lies in the real interval $(1, \frac{3}{2})$. In particular therefore since we have omitted $D = 5$, we recover the stated lower bound. \Box

B Behaviour of the prime above 2 in L/\mathbb{Q}

For this appendix, unless stated otherwise, we relax the assumption that $d = n^2 + 3$ be of the form 4p and merely require that n be odd. Where we refer to $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, u_K , D , $L = K(\sqrt{-u_K})$ et cetera, we are referring to some fixed value of D under our usual hypotheses. Once more, we know that $D \equiv 5 \mod 8$ and so the prime 2 is inert in the extension K/\mathbb{Q} . However for convenience we do always assume that $\ell = 1$, so that in particular ξ , defined in eq. [\(58\)](#page-15-0), means ξ_1 in the notation of [\[15\]](#page-47-6). This assumption in no way restricts the applicability of the results stated.

$\xi - 1$ is a uniformiser for the quadratic ramified local field extension $L_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$ of $K_{(2)}$

We first show directly that $2\mathbb{Z}_K$ is ramified in \mathbb{Z}_L (hence the discriminant is exactly $4\mathbb{Z}_K$, as per Remark 9 (i) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6)). Let $\mathfrak{J}_2 = (2, \xi - 1)$, where as usual we write (a, b, c, \ldots) for the ideal of \mathbb{Z}_L generated by a, b, c, \ldots

Proposition B.1. With notation as above, $\mathfrak{J}_2^2 = 2\mathbb{Z}_L$.

In the event that \mathfrak{J}_2 is principal—including the class number one case—we just have $\mathfrak{J}_2 = (\xi - 1)$. *Proof.* Let χ be the primitive Dirichlet character on Z of conductor 4. That is, $\chi(n) = \pm 1$ according as $n \equiv \pm 1 \mod 4$. Now, as ideals of \mathbb{Z}_L :

$$
\mathfrak{J}_2{}^2 = (4, 2\xi - 2, \xi^2 - 2\xi + 1) = (4, 2\xi - 2, \xi^2 - 1) = (4, 2\xi - 2, 3 + \sqrt{d+1}).
$$
 (126)

But we know [\[15,](#page-47-6) Corollary 2] that $\pm 2u_K^{\pm 1} = n \pm \sqrt{d+1}$ and so since n is odd, we may write $n = 4m + \chi(n)$ which defines $m = \frac{n - \chi(n)}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence since u_K and its conjugate are a fortiori units in \mathbb{Z}_L , we see that

$$
2 \times (a \text{ unit in } \mathbb{Z}_L) = n \pm \sqrt{d+1}
$$

= $4m + \chi(n) \pm \sqrt{d+1}$
= $4(m + \chi(n)) - 3\chi(n) \pm \sqrt{d+1}$
= $\begin{cases} 4(m + \chi(n)) + 3 \pm \sqrt{d+1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \text{ mod } 4; \\ 4(m + \chi(n)) - (3 \mp \sqrt{d+1}), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4, \end{cases}$

showing that in either case we may express 2 as a unit times a \mathbb{Z}_K -linear combination of the generators in eq. [\(126\)](#page-40-1). So $2 \in \mathfrak{J}_2^2$, which is to say $\mathfrak{J}_2^2 \supseteq 2\mathbb{Z}_L$.

On the other hand by our stipulation that d in particular be even, it follows from the fact that $(\mathbb{Z}_K/(2))^{\times} \cong C_3$ that $\sqrt{d+1} \equiv 1 \mod 2\mathbb{Z}_K$, since that is true of its square, and squaring is an automorphism of this group. Hence, finally, $3 + \sqrt{d+1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}_K \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}_L$. Since the other two generators in eq. [\(126\)](#page-40-1) are also in $2\mathbb{Z}_L$, we see that $\mathfrak{J}_2^2 \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}_L$ and the proposition is proven.

Corollary B.2. $\xi - 1$ is a uniformiser for the \mathfrak{J}_2 -adic completion $L_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$ of L.

 \Box

Let us write \mathcal{K}_2 for the unique quadratic unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_2 , which—recalling once again that $D \equiv 5 \mod 8$ and so 2 is inert in K/\mathbb{Q} —is isomorphic in all of our $n^2 + 3$, n odd, cases to the completion $K_{(2)}$ of the quadratic base field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ at the unique prime above 2. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ denote its ring of integers. We may regard \mathcal{K}_2 as being generated over \mathbb{Q}_2 by adjoining a primitive cube root ω of unity with minimal polynomial $X^2 + X + 1$. For convenience we may think of K_2 as $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ (or indeed $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-3})$).

We know already that, considering \mathcal{K}_2 now as an abstract local field, $\mathcal{K}_2 \subseteq L_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$ in every case, so it is easiest to proceed by treating $L_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$ as a quadratic extension of \mathcal{K}_2 . Let $\mathbb L$ be the collection of totally ramified extension fields of K_2 generated by the elements $\sqrt{-u_K}$ as K runs over the set of all square-free D such that $d_1(D)$ is of the form $n^2 + 3$ for some odd n. L is necessarily a finite set by general results of Krasner et al: see for example [\[46\]](#page-49-2), [\[47\]](#page-49-3) or [\[48\]](#page-49-4).

Interestingly, as we now prove, the isomorphism class of the 2-adic extension field $L_{\tilde{J}_2}$ of \mathcal{K}_2 is always the same for this $n^2 + 3 \equiv 0 \mod 4$ case, despite the existence of a total of 10 possible such fields [\[47,](#page-49-3) Table 1.1] (and indeed 59 possible quartic extensions of \mathbb{Q}_2).

Proposition B.3. The set \mathbb{L} contains exactly one field, up to isomorphism, which we shall write as L_2 . A standardised [\[47\]](#page-49-3) generating polynomial for L_2 over \mathbb{Q}_2 is

$$
X^4 + 2X^2 + 4X + 4.
$$

In other words, taking any d of the form n^2+3 for odd n with its associated D and completing the extension $K(\sqrt{-u_K})$ of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ at the unique prime above 2 always results in a field isomorphic to exactly the same quartic extension L_2 of \mathbb{Q}_2 .

 $\sqrt{-2-\sqrt{d_\ell+1}}$ is associated to a given $d_\ell(D)$, valid for all d_ℓ of the form n_ℓ^2+3 . We omit the ℓ *Proof.* We briefly re-establish the somewhat more specific notation of [\[15\]](#page-47-6): the invariant ξ_{ℓ} = subscripts from now on. When we write $\xi(d)$ below it shall refer to $\xi_{\ell}(D) = \sqrt{-(2 - \sqrt{d+1})}$ where $d = d_{\ell}(D)$.

Let $d = m^2 + 3$ and $e = n^2 + 3$ be two dimensions in our sequence, with m, n odd. Since \mathcal{K}_2 is the unique unramified quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_2 , it follows by Kummer theory that $(d+1)/(e+1)$ is a square in \mathbb{Q}_2 . Moreover it is a 2-adic unit as the numerator and denominator are both congruent to 5 mod 8 \mathbb{Z}_2 and so their ratio is congruent to 1 mod 8 \mathbb{Z}_2 . So up to a factor of $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}$, we may define a unit $\eta = \eta_{d,e} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}$ by setting

$$
\sqrt{e+1} = \eta_{d,e} \sqrt{d+1}.
$$

We shall henceforth choose $\eta_{d,e}$ such that $\eta_{d,e} \equiv 1 \mod 4$; the other choice $\eta_{d,e} \equiv -1 \mod 4$ would merely mean interchanging the roles of $(\eta_{d,e} \pm 1)$ below.

Hence if we fix some appropriate d, then all of the quartic extensions of \mathbb{Q}_2 in \mathbb{L} may be obtained by extending \mathcal{K}_2 by the (Eisenstein) minimal polynomial of the uniformiser $\xi(e)-1$ from Proposition [B.1,](#page-40-0) which is

$$
m_e(X) = X^2 + 2X + 3 + \sqrt{e+1} = X^2 + 2X + 3 + \eta_{d,e}\sqrt{d+1},\tag{127}
$$

as e ranges over the even dimensions of the form $n^2 + 3$.

To complete the picture somewhat, we mention that the minimal polynomial $X^4 + 4X^2 - n^2$ of $\xi(e)$ over \mathbb{Q}_2 for one $e = n^2 + 3$ generates a non-normal quartic extension whose normal closure is octic with Galois group D_4 . A generating polynomial for the whole extension could be taken to be $X^8 - (n^2 + 2)X^4 + 1$: see equations (14) and (41) of [\[15\]](#page-47-6). In this form we could solve this as an instance of Krasner's lemma for polynomials; but finding the appropriate value of Krasner's constant boils down to the same exercise which we do explicitly below.

So fix d and let L_2 be the extension of \mathcal{K}_2 obtained from eq. [\(127\)](#page-41-0), with $e = d$. Let \mathfrak{J}_2 be the maximal ideal of its ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$. We write the corresponding discrete valuation as $v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}$, normalised so that $v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(2) = 2$, following Proposition [B.1.](#page-40-0) If we have a distinct dimension $e \neq d$, therefore, if we show that the quadratic $m_e(X) = X^2 + 2X + 3 + \sqrt{e+1}$ has two distinct roots $1 \pm \sqrt{-2 - \sqrt{e+1}}$ in L_2 then we shall have finished the proof, since d, e were arbitrary.

By Hensel's lemma [\[48,](#page-49-4) I, §5] if we can find some $\alpha \in L_2$ such that

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m_e(\alpha)) > 2v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m'_e(\alpha)),\tag{128}
$$

where $m'_e(X) = 2X + 2$ is the derivative with respect to X, then we shall be able to lift α to a solution in L_2 . We show that this holds if we choose $\alpha = \xi(d) - 1$. Firstly,

$$
m_e(\xi(d)-1) = \sqrt{e+1} - \sqrt{d+1} = (\eta_{d,e} - 1)\sqrt{d+1}.
$$

Now $\sqrt{d+1}$ is a 2-adic unit, since its square is 1 mod 4. Hence

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m_e(\xi(d)-1)) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}-1). \tag{129}
$$

On the other hand, once again since $\xi(d)$ is a 2-adic unit:

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m'_e(\xi(d)-1)) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(2\xi(d)) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(2) = 2.
$$
 (130)

So we are reduced to considering the possible valuations of the numbers $\eta_{d,e}$ – 1. But noting by the choice we made above for $\eta_{d,e}, v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e} + 1) = 2$:

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}-1) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}^2-1) - v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}+1) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}^2-1) - 2. \tag{131}
$$

Writing $d+1 = 5+8r, e+1 = 5+8s$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, (so $r = \frac{m^2-1}{8}$ and $s = \frac{n^2-1}{8}$) by definition:

$$
\eta_{d,e}^2 - 1 = \frac{5+8s}{5+8r} - 1 = 1 + \frac{8(s-r)}{5+8r} - 1 = 2^3 \frac{(s-r)}{5+8r} = 2^3 \frac{(n^2 - m^2)}{8(5+8r)} = \frac{(n^2 - m^2)}{(5+8r)},
$$

where the denominator is a 2-adic unit, and so finally, by eq. (131) :

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}-1) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e}^2-1) - 2 = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(n^2 - m^2) - 2. \tag{132}
$$

Writing this out in the terms from inequality [\(128\)](#page-41-1) with $\alpha = \xi(d) - 1$ and using eqs. [\(129\)](#page-41-2), [\(130\)](#page-41-3) and [\(131\)](#page-42-1):

$$
v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m_e(\alpha)) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(\eta_{d,e} - 1) = v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(n^2 - m^2) - 6 + 2v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(m'_e(\alpha))
$$

So by inequality [\(128\)](#page-41-1), we just need to show that for any given $e = n^2 + 4$, there exists a $d = m^2 + 4$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{J}_2}(n^2-m^2) > 6$, which is to say, back in ordinary rational integers, that $v_2(n^2-m^2) > 3$.

There are two 'orbits', depending upon the relative residue classes of $m, n \mod 4$. First, set $d = 4$, so $D = 5$, $m = 1$ and $r = 0$. Then we see that whenever s is even, which translates to $n^2 + 4 = e + 1 \equiv 5 \mod 16$, our condition is satisfied. On the other hand, set $d = 12$, so $D = 13$, $m = 3$ and $r = 1$: whenever s is odd, we have $v_{J_2}(s - r) > 0$ and so again if $n^2 + 4 = e + 1 \equiv 13 \mod 16$ then we have satisfied our condition. So provided that we can show that the two cases $D = 5$ and $D = 13$ themselves lead to the same extension, then we shall have proven it for every $D \equiv 5 \mod 8$ arising in this way. But this is easily calculated by hand. \Box

C Two remarks on the monomial representation

In this Appendix we will show that the form of the Ansatz, as spelt out in Section [3,](#page-9-0) can be derived by assuming that a real SIC fiducial vector exists. However, first we will deal with an issue that arose in Section [2.](#page-4-0) There we came across a list of three two-fold ambiguities that arise when representing the Weyl–Heisenberg group (the WH-group) and the Clifford group in $d = 4$:

- The Clifford group contains two distinct copies of the WH-group.
- There are two ways to enphase the basis vectors of the monomial representation so that a given Zauner unitary is a permutation matrix.
- Given Zauner unitaries in the factors we can form two Zauner unitaries $U_z^{(4)} \otimes U_z^{(p)}$ $\mathcal{Z}^{(p)}$ and $(U^{(4)}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} (4) \ \mathcal{Z} \end{smallmatrix}\right)^2 \otimes U_{\mathcal{Z}}^{(p)}$ $z^{(p)}$ creating two distinct Zauner subspaces.

Purely for the purpose of this Appendix we define a minimal fiducial as a SIC vector of the form $(\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_2^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_3^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{v}_4^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^4$ are vectors in \mathbb{C}^p constructed using the field K^{4p} . We also define a *non-minimal fiducial* as a SIC vector of the form $(\mathbf{v}_1^T, \mathbf{v}_2^T, \mathbf{v}_3^T, i\mathbf{v}_4^T)^T$, where *i* is a fourth root of unity. We claim:

If, with a definite choice of enphasing for the basis vectors, there is a minimal fiducial in one of the Zauner subspaces for one of the WH-groups, then the other subspace contains a non-minimal fiducial for the same WH-group, and a minimal fiducial for the other WH-group. If we choose the other enphasing the roles of the two subspaces are switched.

Thus, making two definite choices in the above list will force the third if we insist on having a SIC fiducial vector that is completely free of roots of unity.

We start with the second item on the list. Restricting ourselves to using fourth roots of unity only we can change the representation used in Section [2](#page-4-0) by applying the diagonal matrix

$$
D_{\rm H} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, i). \tag{133}
$$

Making this change of basis will not affect the Zauner permutation matrix, but it will turn a minimal fiducial into a non-minimal one. Replacing $i \rightarrow -i$ is irrelevant because it can be undone by means of a Clifford transformation.

Consider the basis as fixed. There is a Clifford unitary transforming $U_Z^{(4)}$ $z^{(4)}$ into $(U_z^{(4)}$ $(\frac{4}{z})^2$, namely

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}_8 \implies U_M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \implies U_M U_Z U_M^{-1} = U_Z^2.
$$
 (134)

Notice the position of the *i*. If we apply the transformation $U_M^{(4)} \otimes 1_p$ in dimension $d = 4p$ it will transform a minimal fiducial in one of the two Zauner subspaces into a non-minimal fiducial in the other. If we change the enphasing using D_H the roles of the two Zauner subspaces are switched because minimal fiducials are changed into non-minimal fiducials, and conversely.

Now we come to the first item on the list. We reinterpret the matrix D_H as providing a transformation in a fixed basis. It turns out to be an element of the third level of the Clifford hierarchy, defined as the collection of unitary operators that transforms the Weyl–Heisenberg group into the Clifford group. To be precise about it, it can be shown that

$$
\tilde{X} \equiv D_{\rm H} X D_{\rm H}^{-1} = D_{1,0} U_{G_1}, \qquad \tilde{Z} = D_{\rm H} Z D_{\rm H}^{-1} = D_{0,3} U_{G_2}, \tag{135}
$$

where

$$
G_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array}\right)_8 \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array}\right)_8. \tag{136}
$$

Clearly

$$
\tilde{Z}\tilde{X} = \omega \tilde{X}\tilde{Z},\tag{137}
$$

so this is another copy of the Weyl–Heisenberg group sitting inside the Clifford group. Notice that $\tilde{X}^2 = X^2$ and $\tilde{Z}^2 = Z^2$, so the two WH-groups intersect. Notice also that \tilde{X} is a Hadamard matrix in the standard representation of the original WH-group, so at this point the monomial representation is helpful.

The two WH-groups are behind the regrouping phenomena observed for SICs in $d = 4$ [\[20\]](#page-47-11). For us it is relevant that if there is a minimal fiducial with respect to one of the WH-groups in one of the Zauner subspaces, then one can remove the i from the non-minimal fiducial in the other subspace so that a minimal fiducial with respect to the other WH-group is obtained.

Finally, let us see why the form of our Ansatz in Section [3](#page-9-0) is equivalent to the assumption that real SIC fiducial vector exists. In the standard representation (which we do use in the dimension p factor of the Hilbert space) we have built in the anti-unitary symmetry

$$
U_P^{(p)} \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1^*, \quad U_P^{(p)} \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2^*, \quad U_P^{(p)} \mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3^*, \quad U_P^{(p)} \mathbf{v}_4 = -\mathbf{v}_4^*, \tag{138}
$$

see eq. [\(43\)](#page-11-2). In the standard representation of the Clifford group (in any dimension, but let us set the dimension equal to p) we find

$$
\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_p \qquad \leftrightarrow \quad (U_{\mathcal{F}})_{r,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \omega^{rs}, \quad U_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = U_P, \quad U_{\mathcal{F}}^4 = \mathbb{1}_p. \tag{139}
$$

The unitary matrix $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the Fourier matrix, effecting the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and obeying $U_{\mathcal{F}}^* = U_{\mathcal{F}}^3$. Suppose we have two vectors related by $\mathbf{v}_R = U_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{v}_C$. Then

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{R}}^* = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad U_{\mathcal{F}}^* \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}^* = U_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad U_{\mathcal{F}}^3 \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}^* = U_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad U_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}^* = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}.\tag{140}
$$

This implies that applying the DFT to $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ results in real vectors, while applying the DFT to \mathbf{v}_4 results in an imaginary vector. This is where the dimension four Clifford unitary U_M , defined in eq. [\(134\)](#page-43-0), comes in. We see that for a vector $|\Psi_0\rangle$ having the symmetries postulated in our Ansatz the vector

$$
|\Psi_{\mathcal{R}}\rangle = (U_M^{(4)} \otimes U_{\mathcal{F}}^{(p)})|\Psi_0\rangle \tag{141}
$$

is indeed real.

Now suppose that $|\Psi_R\rangle$ is a SIC vector. For the sake of transparency we first give the argument as it applies in prime dimensions, with the added bonus that we can correct an oversight in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6). Also there we have a real vector connected to a complex vector by the DFT, so that the complex vector enjoys an anti-unitary symmetry. For the real vector to be a SIC vector it must hold, for $i \neq 0$, that

$$
\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} | X^j | \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}.
$$
\n(142)

In Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6) it was stated that the sign on the right hand side is a free choice, but that is not the case. First note that in our Ansatz, the Galois group and the pre-ascribed Zauner symmetry act transitively on the overlaps on the left-hand side of eq. [\(142\)](#page-44-0), and hence the right-hand side is independent of $j \neq 0$. Second, the averaged auto-correlation $\langle \Psi_R | X^j | \Psi_R \rangle$ of a real unit vector is bounded from below by $-1/(d-1)$, since the sum over the left-hand side of eq. [\(142\)](#page-44-0), including $j = 0$, equals the square of the sum of the coefficients of the unit vector and is hence non-negative. We then observe that

$$
|\Psi_{\rm C}\rangle = U_{\mathcal{F}}|\Psi_{\rm R}\rangle \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle \Psi_{\rm C}|Z^j|\Psi_{\rm C}\rangle = \langle \Psi_{\rm R}|X^j|\Psi_{\rm R}\rangle. \tag{143}
$$

Denoting the components of the complex vector by c_r this means that

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{d-1} |c_r|^2 \omega^{rj} = \langle \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} | X^j | \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle, \quad \text{which is equivalent to } |c_k|^2 = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-kj} \langle \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} | X^j | \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle. \tag{144}
$$

The equivalence follows from the invertibility of the DFT, this time applied to the absolute values squared of the components. We can compute the latter because we know the values of $\langle \Psi_R | X^j | \Psi_R \rangle$, and we find that $|\Psi_{\rm C}\rangle$ is almost flat.

The argument in the $d = 4p$ case is similar, but more involved. The real SIC vector must obey

$$
\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} | \mathbb{1}_4 \otimes X^j | \Psi_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}} & \text{if } j \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{145}
$$

$$
\langle \Psi_{\rm R}|D_{0,2}\otimes X^j|\Psi_{\rm R}\rangle = \langle \Psi_{\rm R}|D_{2,0}\otimes X^j|\Psi_{\rm R}\rangle = \langle \Psi_{\rm R}|D_{2,2}\otimes X^j|\Psi_{\rm R}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}.\tag{146}
$$

Using the relation [\(141\)](#page-44-1) we deduce that $|\Psi_0\rangle$ obeys the same equations, but with X replaced by Z. Since all the displacement operators in eqs. [\(145\)](#page-44-2) and [\(146\)](#page-44-3) are diagonal in the dimension four factor we can write $|\Psi_0\rangle$ as a direct sum,

$$
|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 |i\rangle |\mathbf{u}_i\rangle,\tag{147}
$$

as in eq. [\(32\)](#page-10-2), and then solve the resulting system of equations for

$$
\langle \mathbf{u}_1 | Z^j | \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_2 | Z^j | \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_3 | Z^j | \mathbf{u}_3 \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{d+1}-1}{4\sqrt{d+1}}, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq 0, \end{cases}
$$
(148)

$$
\langle \mathbf{u}_4 | Z^j | \mathbf{u}_4 \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{d+1} + 3}{4\sqrt{d+1}}, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}, & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases}
$$
 (149)

These equations can again be solved for the absolute values squared of the components by inverting a DFT, and we recover precisely the form of our Ansatz from the assumption that a real SIC vector exists.

D Two remarks on verification

In Ref. [\[15\]](#page-47-6) we constructed SICs in dimensions $d = n^2 + 3 = p$, and verified the SIC property by checking the equations for the $G(i, k)$, as defined in eqs. [\(70\)](#page-24-1)–[\(72\)](#page-24-3) above. For the higher dimensions we had to resort to numerical checks due to the time it takes to calculate a single $G(i, k)$ exactly. However, it has been conjectured that it is enough to calculate only 3d out of the d^2 conditions [\[36,](#page-48-9) [49\]](#page-49-5). Here we will prove a somewhat sharper result for the special case that the fiducial vector obeys our Ansatz, which in the prime dimensional case means that the vector is built from a Galois orbit of $(p-1)/3\ell$ rescaled Stark units in a number field of degree $h_K(d-1)/3\ell$ over K.

First, assume $d = p$. Since some of the conditions are built into the Ansatz, and because the Ansatz implies that for the components a_r of the the fiducial vector $a_r^* = a_{-r}$, it is enough to verify that

$$
G(i,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} a_{-r-i} a_{-r-k} a_r a_{r+i+k} = 0, \qquad 1 \le i, k \le d-1.
$$
 (150)

By inspection we see that

$$
G(i,k) = G(i,-k)^* = G(-i,k)^* = G(-i,-k)
$$
\n(151)

$$
G(i,k) = G(k,i). \tag{152}
$$

Moreover, due to the Ansatz, all the $G(i, k)$ are in fact real, $G(i, k)^* = G(i, k)$. The Ansatz further implies that there is a Galois transformation, cyclic of order $(p-1)/3\ell$, such that

$$
\sigma(a_r) = a_{\theta r},\tag{153}
$$

where θ is a generator of the integers modulo p. Again by inspection we see that this implies

$$
G(i,k)^{\sigma} = G(\theta i, \theta k). \tag{154}
$$

To check that eqs. [\(150\)](#page-45-1) hold it is enough to check one representative from each Galois orbit. To count the number of conditions that need checking we first note that the diagonal elements $G(i, i)$ form a Galois orbit of their own. Because of eqs. [\(151\)](#page-45-2) checking one representative will actually account for $2(p-1)$ conditions. For the off-diagonal elements, eq. [\(152\)](#page-45-3) also becomes operative, so checking one representative will account for $4(p-1)$ conditions. The total number of conditions that need checking is easily calculated from this information. It is

$$
\frac{d+1}{4}.\tag{155}
$$

This is a significant improvement. Taking $d = 5779$ as an example, the exact calculation of a single $G(i, k)$ took 88 minutes. With the new result in hand the estimated total time needed for exact verification drops from computing all d^2 values of $G(i, k)$ in about 5500 years to about 3 months for the $(d+1)/2$ overlaps stated in [\(155\)](#page-45-4). If instead we consider the overlaps as they stand the number field is much larger, but so is the Galois group. The number of conditions that need checking then drops to two, for any prime d. For $d = 5779$ we have done the exact verification in this way. That calculation took about six days, most of which was spent computing the absolute value squared of one of the two overlaps.

When the dimension is even, a non-standard basis in Hilbert space is needed in order to ensure that the SIC vector sits in a small number field. This complicates the derivation of the $G(i, k)$. In the main text we avoided this by transforming to the standard basis, thus increasing the degree of the number field by a factor of four. There is an alternative way to proceed, which we will now sketch.

Assume that $d = 4p$, and that the fiducial vector is constructed according to the Ansatz given in the main text. Let D be any displacement operator in the dimension four factor, and define

$$
G(D;i,k) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \omega^{jk} |\langle \psi | D \otimes X^i Z^j | \psi \rangle|^2, \qquad (156)
$$

where ω is a primitive complex pth root of unity. The pth roots of unity drop out from the formula, and so do the eighth roots of unity from D , but factors of i are still present inside D . The SIC conditions read

$$
G(\mathbb{1};i,k) = \frac{4\delta_{i,0} + \delta_{k,0}}{d+1}
$$
\n(157)

and
$$
G(D;i,k) = \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{d+1}
$$
, for $D \neq \mathbb{1}$. (158)

In this way a complete verification of the SIC property can be carried out in a number field of degree twice that in which the fiducial vector sits. Using the unitary symmetries in the dimension four factor, and dividing the conditions into Galois orbits, one can show that it is sufficient to check $(3p+5)/2 = (3d+20)/8$ conditions. But the approach using overlap phases directly, taken in Section [5.4,](#page-24-0) is much faster. Still, in Step 6 of the algorithm in Section [5,](#page-18-0) we can distinguish between the two possibilities for the symmetry by calculating $G(Z; 1, 1)$. Because the number field is slightly smaller this gives a slight speed-up compared to the approach in Section [5.](#page-18-0)

References

- [1] Gerhard Zauner. Quantendesigns. Grundz¨uge einer nichtkommutativen Designtheorie. PhD thesis, Universität Wien, 1999. English translation in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-46-7).
- [2] Gerhard Zauner. Quantum designs: Foundations of a non-commutative design theory. International Journal of Quantum Information, 9(1):445–507, February 2011. [doi:10.1142/S0219749911006776](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219749911006776).
- [3] Joseph M. Renes, Robin Blume-Kohout, Andrew J. Scott, and Carlton M. Caves. Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 45(6):2171–2180, June 2004. [doi:10.1063/1.1737053](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1737053).
- [4] Hermann Weyl. Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. E. P. Dutton, New York, 1932.
- [5] Andrew J. Scott and Markus Grassl. Symmetric informationally complete positive-operatorvalued measures: A new computer study. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 51(4):042203, April 2010. [doi:10.1063/1.3374022](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3374022).
- [6] Andrew J. Scott. SICs: Extending the list of solutions. arXiv:1703.03993, 2017. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03993>.
- [7] D. Marcus Appleby, Hulya Yadsan-Appleby, and Gerhard Zauner. Galois automorphisms of a symmetric measurement. Quantum Information and Computation, 13(7–8):672–720, July 2013. [doi:10.26421/QIC13.7-8-8](https://doi.org/10.26421/QIC13.7-8-8).
- [8] Marcus Appleby, Steven Flammia, Gary McConnell, and Jon Yard. Generating ray class fields of real quadratic fields via complex equiangular lines. Acta Arithmetica, 192(3):211–233, 2020. [doi:10.4064/aa180508-21-6](https://doi.org/10.4064/aa180508-21-6).
- [9] Gene S. Kopp and Jeffrey C. Lagarias. Class field theory for orders of number fields. arXiv:2212.09177 [math.NT], December 2022.
- [10] Markus Grassl and Andrew J. Scott. Fibonacci-Lucas SIC-POVMs. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 58(12):122201, December 2017. [doi:10.1063/1.4995444](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4995444).
- [11] Wieb Bosma, John J. Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 24(3–4):235–265, 1997. [doi:10.1006/jsco.1996.0125](https://doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1996.0125).
- [12] David Hilbert. Mathematical problems. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 8(10):437–479, July 1902. [doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1902-00923-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1902-00923-3).
- [13] Harold M. Stark. L-functions at $s = 1$. III. Totally real fields and Hilbert's twelfth problem. Advances in Mathematics, 22(1):64–84, October 1976. [doi:10.1016/0001-8708\(76\)90138-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(76)90138-9).
- [14] Gene S. Kopp. SIC-POVMs and the Stark conjectures. International Mathematics Research Notices, 2021(18):13812–13838, September 2021. [doi:10.1093/imrn/rnz153](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz153).
- [15] Marcus Appleby, Ingemar Bengtsson, Markus Grassl, Michael Harrison, and Gary McConnell. SIC-POVMs from Stark units: Prime dimensions $n^2 + 3$. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 63(11):112205, November 2022. [doi:10.1063/5.0083520](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0083520).
- [16] D. Marcus Appleby. Symmetric informationally complete–positive operator valued measures and the extended Clifford group. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 46(5):052107, May 2005. [doi:10.1063/1.1896384](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1896384).
- [17] Steven T. Flammia. On SIC-POVMs in prime dimensions. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 39(43):13483, October 2006. [doi:10.1088/0305-4470/39/43/007](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/43/007).
- [18] D. M. Appleby, Ingemar Bengtsson, Stephen Brierley, Markus Grassl, David Gross, and Jan- Å ke Larsson. The monomial representations of the Clifford group. Quantum Information and Computation, 12(5&6):0404–043, May 2012. [doi:10.26421/QIC12.5-6-3](https://doi.org/10.26421/QIC12.5-6-3).
- [19] Eugene P. Wigner. Normal form of antiunitary operators. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 1(5):409–413, September 1960. [doi:10.1063/1.1703672](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703672).
- [20] Huangjun Zhu. Twin Heisenberg-Weyl groups and the Clifford hierarchy. Unpublished, 2015.
- [21] Aidan Roy. Complex Lines with Restricted Angles. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2005.
- [22] Mahdad Khatirinejad. On Weyl-Heisenberg orbits of equiangular lines. Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 28(3):333–349, 2008. [doi:10.1007/s10801-007-0104-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-007-0104-1).
- [23] Henri Cohen and Peter Stevenhagen. Computational class field theory. In Joseph P. Buhler and Peter Stevenhagen, editors, Algorithmic Number Theory: Lattices, Number Fields, Curves and Cryptography, volume 44 of MSRI Publications, pages 497–534. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [24] James S. Milne. Class field theory (v4.01). Online available at <www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/>, 2011. Course notes. URL: <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/CFT.pdf>.
- [25] John C. Tate. Les Conjectures de Stark sur les Fonctions L d'Artin en s = 0, volume 47 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.
- [26] James S. Milne. Algebraic number theory (v3.08). Online available at <www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/>, 2020. Course notes. URL: <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/ANT.pdf>.
- [27] Harold M. Stark. L-functions at $s = 1$. IV. First derivatives at $s = 0$. Advances in Mathe $matics, 35(3):197-235, 1980.$ [doi:10.1016/0001-8708\(80\)90049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(80)90049-3).
- [28] Xavier-François Roblot. Index formulae for Stark units and their solutions. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 266(2):391–422, December 2013. [doi:10.2140/pjm.2013.266.391](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2013.266.391).
- [29] Ingemar Bengtsson. Algebraic units, anti-unitary symmetries, and a small catalogue of SICs. Quantum Information and Computation, 20(5–6):400–417, May 2020. [doi:10.26421/QIC20.5-6-3](https://doi.org/10.26421/QIC20.5-6-3).
- [30] Georges Gras. Class field theory. From theory to practice. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2003. Corrected second printing. [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-11323-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-11323-3).
- [31] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin. Methods of Homological Algebra. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2nd edition, 2003. [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-12492-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-12492-5).
- [32] Markus Grassl. SIC-POVMs. Online available at <http://sicpovm.markus-grassl.de/>.
- [33] Dale Husemöller. Elliptic Curves, volume 111 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 2004. [doi:10.1007/b97292](https://doi.org/10.1007/b97292).
- [34] The PARI Group. PARI/GP version 2.15.2. Available from <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>, 2023. Université de Bordeaux.
- [35] Claus Fieker, William Hart, Tommy Hofmann, and Frederik Johansson. Nemo/Hecke: Computer algebra and number theory packages for the Julia programming language. In ISSAC '17: Proceedings of the 2017 ACM International Symposium on Symbolic Algebraic Computation, pages 157–164. ACM, July 2017. [doi:10.1145/3087604.3087611](https://doi.org/10.1145/3087604.3087611).
- [36] D. Marcus Appleby, Hoan Bui Dang, and Christopher A. Fuchs. Symmetric informationallycomplete quantum states as analogues to orthonormal bases and minimum-uncertainty states. Entropy, 16(3):1484–1492, March 2014. [doi:10.3390/e16031484](https://doi.org/10.3390/e16031484).
- [37] Arthur Wieferich. Zum letzten Fermatschen Theorem. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 136:293–302, 1909.
- [38] Zhi-Hong Sun and Zhi-Wei Sun. Fibonacci numbers and Fermat's last theorem. Acta Artihmetica, $60(4):371-388$, 1992. [doi:10.4064/aa-60-4-371-388](https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-60-4-371-388).
- [39] Georges Gras. Les θ -régulateurs locaux d'un nombre algébrique : Conjectures p-adiques. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 68(3):571–624, June 2016. [doi:doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2015-026-3](https://doi.org/doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2015-026-3).
- [40] Lawrence C. Washington. Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, volume 83 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd edition, 1997. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-1934-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1934-7).
- [41] Thøger Bang. Congruence properties of Tchebycheff polynomials. Mathematica Scandinavica, $2(2):327-333, 1954.$ [doi:10.7146/math.scand.a-10418](https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-10418).
- [42] Henri Cohen. Number Theory, Volume I: Tools and Diophantine Equations, volume 239 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007. [doi:10.1007/978-0-387-49923-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-49923-9).
- [43] Loo Keng Hua. Introduction to Number Theory. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1982. [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-68130-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-68130-1).
- [44] Michael J. Jacobson, Jr., Richard F. Lukes, and Hugh C. Williams. An investigation of bounds for the regulator of quadratic fields. Experimental Mathematics, 4(3):211–225, 1995. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/222883>.
- [45] Loo-Keng Hua. On the least solution of Pell's equation. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 48(10):731–735, October 1942.
- [46] Jean-Pierre Serre. Local Fields, volume 67 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1979. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-5673-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5673-9).
- [47] John W. Jones and David P. Roberts. A database of local fields. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 41(1):80-97, January 2006. [doi:10.1016/j.jsc.2005.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2005.09.003).
- [48] Neal Koblitz. p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions, volume 58 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 1984. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-1112-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1112-9).
- [49] Christopher A. Fuchs, Michael C. Hoang, and Blake C. Stacey. The SIC question: History and state of play. Axioms, 6(3):21, 2017. [doi:10.3390/axioms6030021](https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms6030021).