

REFINING THE GRADING OF IRREDUCIBLE LIE COLOUR ALGEBRA REPRESENTATIONS

MITCHELL RYAN

ABSTRACT. We define the refining extension of representations for Lie colour algebras which simultaneously extends the representations and refines the grading to a larger grading group. We show that the refining extension provides a general method for deriving all finite-dimensional irreducible Lie colour algebra representations from those for Lie superalgebras. This method yields a bijection between equivalence classes but, despite this, Lie colour algebras maintain a non-trivial representation theory distinct from that of Lie superalgebras. We expect this result to be useful for the many applications of Lie colour algebras that make use of irreducible representations. In addition, we show that the refining extension provides a natural way to construct the Hilbert space realisation for colour algebra quantum-mechanical models by giving an example that has previously appeared in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lie colour algebras are a generalisation of Lie superalgebras to grading by any abelian group Γ (rather than just \mathbb{Z}_2) and were introduced by Rittenberg and Wyler [1, 2] in 1978 (however, see also [3]). Lie colour algebras initially found applications in (for example): de Sitter spaces [4–6], quasispin [7], strings [8] and extensions of Poincaré algebras [9, 10]. There has been a recent increase in activity surrounding applications of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebras. This recent activity has mainly been focussed on parastatistics [11–19] and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded quantum mechanics [20–30].

Many Lie colour algebra applications make use of irreducible representations to construct various models. A classification of such representations would therefore be useful. Indeed, in [31], the authors conclude their paper with a desire for a classification of all irreducible representations for the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -supersymmetry algebra with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$. Such a classification motivates the results of this paper.

Various classifications of the algebras themselves under different assumptions have already been performed [32–35]. Moreover, the representation theories of the colour versions of the following algebras have been examined: \mathfrak{gl} , \mathfrak{sl} , \mathfrak{osp} [36, 37], the Lie algebra for the group of plane motions [38], the Heisenberg Lie algebra [39], super Schrödinger algebras [40] and a supersymmetry algebra [31].

One of the most powerful techniques in the study of Lie colour algebras is that of discolouration, which provides a bijection between Lie colour algebras and Γ -graded Lie superalgebras. This bijection preserves much of the important structure, such as subalgebras, ideals and subrepresentations. Discolouration, in the specific case of \mathbb{Z}_2^p -gradings, was introduced concurrently with the algebras themselves [1] and was soon generalised [41] to grading by any finitely generated abelian group.

Discolouration was reformulated in [42], using so-called Klein operators lying outside the universal enveloping algebra. It has since been shown that these Klein operators can be expressed in an algebraic extension of the universal enveloping algebras for a colour version of \mathfrak{gl} [43] or for the non-coloured supersymmetric $\mathfrak{gl}(m/n)$ [44] and that Klein operators appear in the $q \rightarrow -1$ limit of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ [45]. This version of discolouration has already been applied to the study of the

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, ST. LUCIA, QLD 4072, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: research@mitchell-ryan.com.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B75, 17B70, 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Color Lie (super)algebras, Graded Lie (super)algebras, irreducible representations.

representation theory. For example, in [44] it is shown how the covariant representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ can be lifted to the colour version of \mathfrak{gl} .

Despite widespread awareness of the results of [41], discolouration has rarely been utilised in the recent literature surrounding applications of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebras. Perhaps a partial explanation lies with the following nuance: the discolouration of a Lie colour algebra representation is a Γ -graded representation, whose representation theory can be quite different from the more familiar \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation theory of Lie superalgebras. For example, an irreducible Γ -graded representation may become reducible when considering only the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grade.

To address this nuance, we introduce the notion of a refining extension which creates a Γ -graded representation as a vector space direct sum of multiple copies of a Γ/H -graded representation (for some finite simple subgroup $H \leq \Gamma$). The refining extension provides a natural correspondence between Γ/H - and Γ -graded representations.

The refining extension is a natural structure to consider in the study of Lie colour algebras. For example, it can be used to construct the Hilbert space realisation for a Γ -graded supersymmetric quantum mechanical model. As an example, we show how the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space realisation in [22] can be constructed from a modified version of Witten's model [46] using the refining extension.

In some sense, the refining extension also preserves irreducibility: for a finite-dimensional irreducible Γ/H -graded module V , either V or its refining extension is an irreducible Γ -graded module. This provides a method to derive every irreducible finite-dimensional Γ -graded representation from the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations. This derivation is more complicated than discolouration, and allows for interesting differences in the representation theories. In particular, we obtain bijections \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 that map between equivalence classes (with equivalence relations stronger than isomorphism) of irreducible representations, as per the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-graded superalgebra irreps} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}_1} & \Gamma\text{-graded superalgebra irreps} \\
 & & \uparrow \text{discolouration} \\
 & & \downarrow \text{recolouration} \\
 \text{ungraded colour algebra irreps} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}_2} & \Gamma\text{-graded colour algebra irreps}
 \end{array}$$

These bijections are provided in Theorem 5.14. The irreducible \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded superalgebra representations have been well-studied, and these bijections will allow us to carry across these results to Lie colour algebras.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we review some known results for Lie colour algebras, and results analogous to those for Lie (super)algebras. We then introduce the notion of a refining extension in Section 3. As an example, Section 4 uses the refining extension to construct the Hilbert space of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetric system of [22]. In Section 5, we show how the refining extension can be used to derive the finite-dimensional irreducible Γ -graded modules from the Γ/H -graded modules. We end with an example of deriving the finite-dimensional irreducible modules of a colour version of \mathfrak{sl}_2 (Section 6) and compare with [47].

2. LIE COLOUR ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

A Lie colour algebra generalises a Lie superalgebra to grading by some (additive) abelian group Γ (instead of just \mathbb{Z}_2). For the formal definition of a Lie colour algebra, we refer the reader to [41]. As an illustrative example: if $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$ is an associative algebra such that $A_\alpha A_\beta \subseteq A_{\alpha+\beta}$ then

A can be given the structure of a Lie colour algebra with bracket

$$[[x, y]] = xy - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)yx, \quad x \in A_\alpha, y \in A_\beta.$$

Here, $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (where \mathbb{F} is the field of scalars) is called a commutation factor [41] (or antisymmetric bicharacter [47], or phase function [43]). That this generalizes a Lie superalgebra is apparent: a Lie superalgebra is simply a Lie colour algebra graded by the group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ with commutation factor $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{\alpha\beta}$. Note that, in general, a commutation factor must satisfy certain conditions (see [41]).

We can define notions such as homomorphisms, subalgebras and representations similarly to superalgebras. However, to retain information about the Γ -grading, we require some maps (such as homomorphisms, intertwiners, and inclusion maps of subalgebras and Γ -graded submodules) to be homogeneous of degree 0, as per the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $W = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . A linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ is *homogeneous of degree $\gamma \in \Gamma$* if $f(V_\alpha) \subseteq W_{\alpha+\gamma}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. (In particular, f is a homogeneous element of the colour algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V, \varepsilon)$, see [41].)

2.1. Representations. Although representations can be defined similarly to those for superalgebras, we make an important distinction between graded and ungraded representations.

Definition 2.2. An *ungraded representation* of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} on a vector space V is a linear map $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ satisfying $\rho([[x, y]]) = \rho(x)\rho(y) - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\rho(y)\rho(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$.

A *Γ -graded representation* of \mathfrak{g} on a Γ -graded vector space V is an ungraded representation that also satisfies $\rho(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)V_\gamma \subseteq V_{\alpha+\gamma}$.

In either case, we say that V is a *\mathfrak{g} -module given by ρ* (or simply a *\mathfrak{g} -module*).

Obviously, we do not require maps related to ungraded representations (such as intertwiners and submodules) to be homogeneous of degree 0. We only require this condition of the corresponding maps for graded representations.

Recall that a \mathfrak{g} -module is called completely reducible if it can be written as a direct sum of irreducible submodules. Weyl's Theorem will not hold for a general Lie colour algebra (indeed, Weyl's Theorem does not hold for Lie superalgebras [48]). As such, we need to manually determine when a \mathfrak{g} -module is completely reducible. The following propositions will be of great help.

Proposition 2.3. Consider a (Γ -graded or ungraded) \mathfrak{g} -module V that can be written as $V = \sum_{i \in I} V_i$ for irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules V_i ($i \in I$). Then, there exists some subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $V = \bigoplus_{i \in J} V_i$.

Proposition 2.4. Submodules and quotient modules of a (Γ -graded or ungraded) completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module are completely reducible.

These propositions are well-known facts from the module theory of rings (for the proofs in the case of rings, see e.g. [49, Chapter XVII, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2]). The proofs of these propositions for Lie colour algebra modules are exactly the same as for modules over rings.

2.2. Graded quotient modules. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ be a Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by the representation ρ and $U = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_\gamma$ a Γ -graded submodule of V (so that $U_\gamma \subseteq V_\gamma$).

Definition 2.5. The *graded quotient module of V by U* , denoted V/U , is a direct sum of quotient vector spaces $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma/U_\gamma$ with \mathfrak{g} -module structure given by $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V/U)$ defined by

$$\pi(x)(v + U_\gamma) = \rho(x)v + U_{\alpha+\gamma} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V_\gamma.$$

The proof that the above representation is well defined is similar to that for Lie superalgebras. The only additional property to check is whether π is homogeneous of degree 0, which is easily verified.

The three isomorphism theorems hold for \mathfrak{g} -modules. Again, the proofs are similar to superalgebras, needing only to verify that all maps are homogeneous of degree 0.

2.3. Discolouration. Scheunert [41] gave a bijection between Lie colour algebras and graded Lie superalgebras and between their representations. This bijection has many nice properties; for example, it preserves subalgebras, ideals and subrepresentations. To compute the image of a colour algebra under this bijection, we deform the bracket using a multiplier.

Given a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} and a multiplier $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ (for the definition, see [41]; also called a multiplicative 2-cocycle [34]), we can define a new bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot]]^\sigma$ by

$$[[x, y]]^\sigma = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)[x, y], \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta.$$

Assuming that Γ is finitely generated, we can choose this multiplier σ so that \mathfrak{g} equipped with $[[\cdot, \cdot]]^\sigma$ forms a Lie superalgebra [41]. We denote this Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}^σ . The map $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is a bijection between the Lie colour algebras with commutation factor ε and the Γ -graded Lie superalgebras [41]. As such, we call \mathfrak{g}^σ a *discolouration* of \mathfrak{g} . Discolouration is an invertible procedure, and we will call $(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^{1/\sigma} = \mathfrak{g}$ the *recolouration* of \mathfrak{g}^σ .

Note that there is an alternative method of discolouration which works by adding new elements, called Klein operators, to the universal enveloping algebra (see [42–45]). However, for the remainder of this paper we will use the original discolouration process of [41] described in this section.

It is also possible to discolour representations. Given a graded representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V, \varepsilon)$ and a discolouring multiplier $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$, we can define the discolouration of ρ to be the graded representation ρ^σ of \mathfrak{g}^σ given by

$$\rho^\sigma(x)v = \sigma(\alpha, \gamma)\rho(x)v, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V_\gamma.$$

Similarly, the map $\rho \mapsto \rho^\sigma$ is a bijection between the graded representations of \mathfrak{g} and the graded representations of the corresponding discoloured Γ -graded Lie superalgebra [41]. Thus, the representation theory of Lie colour algebras can be completely derived from that of Γ -graded Lie superalgebras.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations of Lie superalgebras have been well studied. However, these results cannot be immediately applied to find irreducible Γ -graded representations. For instance, it might not be possible to find a Γ -grading for a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation. On the other hand, there might be an irreducible Γ -graded representation that becomes reducible when considered as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation.

3. REFINING EXTENSION

Consider a module graded by some group. If we wish to increase the size of the group, we may not be able to find a grading for the module with this new group. To find a module graded by this larger group, we will allow ourselves to increase the size of the module itself as well. With this idea in mind, we introduce the notion of a refining extension.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Γ -graded Lie colour algebra with commutation factor ε and let H be a subgroup of the (additive) abelian group Γ . Notice that \mathfrak{g} has a natural Γ/H grading, given by $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Recall [41] that \mathfrak{g} also has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{g}^1 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma.$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon(\gamma, \gamma) = 1\}$ and $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$. If we choose $H \leq \Gamma_0$, then Γ/H -grading respects the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma_0/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^1 = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma_1/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}.$$

This is useful if we want to prevent H from interfering with discolouration.

Definition 3.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by ρ . Construct a vector space $V^H = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma^H$, where V_γ^H is isomorphic (as a vector space) to $V_{\gamma+H}$. Let $\varphi_\gamma: V_\gamma^H \rightarrow V_{\gamma+H}$ be such a vector space isomorphism. The *refining extension of V by H* is the \mathfrak{g} -module V^H given by the representation ρ^H defined by

$$\rho^H(x)v = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1}(\rho(x)\varphi_\gamma(v)), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V_\gamma^H.$$

Intuitively, given a sector V_Λ of V , we add a copy of V_Λ to V^H for every element of the coset Λ . Since Γ is simply the union of all cosets, this gives V^H a natural Γ grading. We then define the action of ρ^H on each copy of V_Λ to be the same as ρ , being careful to respect the new Γ -grading.

Remark 3.2. If $\Gamma = K \times H$ for some group K , then we could equivalently define the refining extension to be $V \otimes \mathbb{F}[H]$ (where $\mathbb{F}[H]$ is the group algebra of H), with representation given by $\rho^H(x)(v \otimes h) = \rho(x)v \otimes (h + \eta)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\kappa, \eta)}$ and $v \otimes h \in V \otimes \mathbb{F}[H]$. This is similar to the approach used in [34] to classify the simple $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded colour Lie superalgebras. However, with Definition 3.1, we do not need to make any additional assumptions on the structure of the group Γ .

As well as a Γ -grading, V^H has a natural Γ/H -grading given by

$$V^H = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} V_\gamma^H \right).$$

In this sense, the Γ -grading of V^H is a refinement of the Γ/H -grading.

Proposition 3.3. *The \mathfrak{g} -module V is a Γ/H -graded submodule of V^H (equivalently, V^H is an extension of V).*

Proof. Define a map $\Phi: V \rightarrow V^H$ by

$$\Phi(w) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w) \quad \text{for } w \in V_\Lambda,$$

extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. Linearity of Φ for homogeneous elements follows from linearity of φ_γ^{-1} . For injectivity, if $\Phi(w) = 0$ then $\varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$ (because each $\varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w)$ is in a different sector of the Γ -grade), so $w = 0$ by injectivity of φ_γ^{-1} . Thus, $\ker \Phi = 0$ and Φ is injective. The map Φ is homogeneous of degree $0 + H$ by definition. Finally, Φ is an intertwiner: for $w \in V_\Lambda$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\rho(x)w) &= \sum_{\alpha+\gamma \in \alpha+\Lambda} \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1}(\rho(x)w) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha+\gamma \in \alpha+\Lambda} \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1}(\rho(x)\varphi_\gamma\varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w)) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \rho^H(x)\varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w) \\ &= \rho^H(x)\Phi(w). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

If V can be given a Γ -grading, then we can strengthen the above result:

Proposition 3.4. *If V can be given a Γ -grading that respects the Γ/H -grading, then V is a Γ -graded submodule of V^H .*

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be the Γ -grading, with $V_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ (i.e. the Γ -grading respects the Γ/H grading). Define a map $\Phi: V \rightarrow V^H$ by $\Phi(w) = \varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w)$ for $w \in W_\gamma$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. Linearity and injectivity of Φ follow immediately

from linearity and injectivity of φ_γ^{-1} . The map Φ is homogeneous of degree 0 by definition. And Φ is an intertwiner: for $w \in W_\gamma$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,

$$\Phi(\rho(x)w) = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1}(\rho(x)w) = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1}(\rho(x)\varphi_\gamma\varphi_\gamma^{-1}(w)) = \rho^H(x)\Phi(w). \quad \square$$

The above results show that a refining extension is indeed an extension of the original module and has a refined grading (i.e. grading by a larger group). As we will soon see, refining extensions appear naturally in the applications and representation theory of Lie colour algebras.

4. REFINING EXTENSION AND QUANTUM MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

A common way to obtain a Γ -graded colour supersymmetric quantum mechanical system is by modifying an existing supersymmetric system. This is the approach taken in (for example) [22], where the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetric system presented in [22, Section III] can be obtained by introducing an additional central charge to Witten's model [46, Section 6]. In [22], the Hilbert space realisation for this $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded system was constructed independently from that of Witten's model. In this section, we will demonstrate that this $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space realisation can be constructed from Witten's realisation using a refining extension. In this way, the refining extension has already appeared in the literature and is a natural structure to consider in the study of Lie colour algebras.

Witten considered [46, Section 6] a superalgebra with even sector spanned by a Hamiltonian H and odd sector spanned by two supercharges Q_1 and Q_2 satisfying

$$[Q_i, H] = 0 \quad \{Q_i, Q_j\} = \delta_{ij}H$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$. This algebra was realized as operators acting on two component Pauli spinors in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^W = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ via

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(p^2 + W^2(x) + \hbar \sigma_3 \frac{dW}{dx} \right), \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 p + \sigma_2 W(x)), \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2 p - \sigma_1 W(x)).$$

where σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices, $W \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $p = -i\hbar d/dx$ is the momentum operator. This Hilbert space representation is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation of the superalgebra, with grading given by $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}_1$ (that is, the first component of the spinor has an even grade and the second component has an odd grade).

By adding a central charge,

$$Z = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\sigma_3(p^2 + W^2(x)) + \hbar \frac{dW}{dx} \right)$$

the original superalgebra becomes a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded colour Lie superalgebra (without requiring recolouration) with sectors spanned by

$$00\text{-sector: } H \quad 01\text{-sector: } Q_1 \quad 11\text{-sector: } Z \quad 10\text{-sector: } Q_2$$

and satisfying the following relations

$$[Q_2, Q_1] = Z \quad \{Q_i, Q_i\} = H$$

while all other Lie colour brackets vanish. We can easily verify that \mathcal{H}^W becomes an ungraded module of the Lie colour algebra.

Taking the refining extension $(\mathcal{H}^W)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ turns \mathcal{H}^W into a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded module. Here, we use $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \{00, 11\} \leq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Take vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_{00}, \mathcal{H}_{11}$ isomorphic to the even sector \mathcal{H}_0^W and vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_{01}, \mathcal{H}_{10}$ isomorphic to the odd sector \mathcal{H}_1^W with isomorphisms $\varphi_\gamma: \mathcal{H}_\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\{00,11\}}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, the refining extension is $(\mathcal{H}^W)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{H}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{01} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{10} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ (note the order of the sectors) with representation

$$\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(x)v = \varphi_{\alpha+\xi}^{-1}(\rho(x)\varphi_\xi(v)), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in \mathcal{H}_\xi.$$

Using the above equality, we can explicitly compute the representation on four-component spinors:

$$\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_1) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_2 \\ Q_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $H_{00} = 2\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H)$, $Q_{01} = \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_1)$, $Q_{10} = \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_2)$ and $Z_{11} = 2\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Z)$, we obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -supersymmetry model in [22, Section III] (with $m = 1/2$).

The above example demonstrates that the refining extension provides a natural method for constructing the Hilbert space of a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetry model from a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded supersymmetry model. The refining extension can be applied to other colour supersymmetric models constructed in a similar way.

5. REFINING EXTENSION AND PRESERVING IRREDUCIBILITY

In this section, we prove that every finite-dimensional irreducible Γ -graded module can be constructed from the Γ/H -graded modules using the refining extension. In combination with discolouration, this will allow us to derive all such irreducible Γ -graded colour algebra representations from the irreducible \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded superalgebra representations.

The proof proceeds as follows. We first prove that every finite-dimensional irreducible Γ -graded module appears as a quotient of a refining extension (Theorem 5.2). The refining extension is completely reducible both as a Γ/H - and Γ -graded module (Theorems 5.9 and 5.11 respectively) and we explicitly provide the direct sum decomposition by defining notions of Γ/H -representative twists and H -parity shifts (respectively). Comparing these direct sum decompositions limits the possible irreducible Γ -graded modules to either Γ/H -graded modules or their refining extensions. We use these results to construct a bijection between Γ/H - and Γ -graded modules (Theorem 5.14) up to equivalence defined by the irreducible direct summands.

5.1. Quotient modules of the refining extension. Suppose we are given a finite-dimensional irreducible Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module V . To construct an irreducible Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module, we can simply take the refining extension V^H and quotient out by a maximal Γ -graded submodule. Since V^H may have many maximal submodules, this procedure may yield many nonisomorphic Γ -graded modules for each Γ/H -graded module. Regardless, we will show that every irreducible Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module can be constructed in this way.

But first, we prove the following lemma which is a restatement of the First Isomorphism Theorem in a form that is useful for refining extensions.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by ρ and $W = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be a Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by π . Suppose there are linear maps $f_\gamma: V_{\gamma+H} \rightarrow W_\gamma$ that satisfy $f_{\alpha+\gamma}(\rho(x)v) = \pi(x)f_\gamma(v)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $v \in V_{\gamma+H}$. Let V^H be the refining extension of V by H and define $f_\gamma^H = f_\gamma \varphi_\gamma$ where $\varphi_\gamma: V_\gamma^H \rightarrow V_{\gamma+H}$ are the isomorphisms in the construction of V^H . Then,*

- (i) $K = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ker f_\gamma^H$ is a submodule of V^H ;
- (ii) $I = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{im } f_\gamma^H$ is a submodule of W ; and
- (iii) $V^H/K \cong I$.

Proof. Define $f: V^H \rightarrow W$ by

$$f(v) = f_\gamma^H(v)$$

for $v \in V_\gamma^H$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. It is easy to see that f is an intertwiner, $\ker f = K$ and $\text{im } f = I$. The result follows immediately by applying the First Isomorphism Theorem to f . \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Lie colour algebra. Let $W = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module. Then, for $H \leq \Gamma$, there exists an irreducible Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module V such that W is a graded quotient of the refining extension of V by H .*

Proof. Note that W has a natural Γ/H -grading given by

$$\bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma \right).$$

Let V be an irreducible Γ/H -graded submodule of W . Such a V exists by strong induction on the dimension of W .

Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ be the representation corresponding to W (ρ also provides a submodule structure for V).

Take $v \in V_\Lambda$ and write

$$(1) \quad v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} w_\gamma$$

for $w_\gamma \in W_\gamma$. For $\gamma \in \Lambda$, let $f_\gamma: V_\Lambda \rightarrow W_\gamma$ be the projection of V_Λ onto W_γ ,

$$f_\gamma(v) = w_\gamma.$$

Note that f_γ is well-defined because the linear combination in (1) is unique due to the direct sum $V_\Lambda \subseteq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$. Additionally, it is easy to see that f_γ is linear.

For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, we have $\rho(x)v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \rho(x)f_\gamma(v)$. Since $\rho(x)f_\gamma(v) \in W_{\alpha+\gamma}$ and such a linear combination of homogeneous elements is unique, we have that $f_{\alpha+\gamma}(\rho(x)v) = \rho(x)f_\gamma(v)$ by the definition of $f_{\alpha+\gamma}$.

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, $I \cong V^H/K$ for $I = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{im } f_\gamma^H$ and $K = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ker f_\gamma^H$. But I is a Γ -graded is a submodule of W , so $I = 0$ or $I = W$ by irreducibility of W . However, since $V \neq 0$, there is some nonzero sector V_Λ of V (with $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$). Any non-zero element $v \in V_\Lambda$ can be written as $v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} f_\gamma(v)$, so $f_\gamma(v) \neq 0$ for at least one $\gamma \in \Gamma$. That is, $\text{im } f_\gamma \neq 0$, so $I \neq 0$. We conclude that $I = W$; hence $W \cong V^H/K$ as claimed. \square

Theorem 5.2 provides us with a general method of obtaining the irreducible Γ -graded modules from the Γ/H -graded ones; namely find all maximal submodules and take quotients. However, this theorem does not provide much information about the actual structure of the Γ -graded modules.

5.2. Γ/H -grading: Refining extension is completely reducible. To aid with the structural analysis of the refining extension, from now on we will assume that H is finite. Without loss of generality, we will also assume that H is a simple group. If not, we can take a maximal subgroup $M \leq H$ (so H/M simple) and work with $(\Gamma/M)/(H/M)$ instead of Γ/H (note that these two quotient groups are isomorphic). Theorem 5.2 will yield Γ/M -graded modules. We can then iterate until the maximal submodule is also simple. Since H is abelian (because Γ is), finite and simple, we must have that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p .

We will show that the refining extension is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module and determine the structure of the direct sum decomposition. The direct summands of the refining extension will restrict which quotients are possible, and hence which irreducible Γ -graded representations are possible by Theorem 5.2. Given that V^H was constructed from $p = |H|$ copies of V , we might expect that the composition factors are merely p copies of V . This is not necessarily the case; the composition factors are (what we will call) the Γ/H -representative twists of V . The structure of a Γ/H -representative twist is almost identical to that of V , with the representation on each sector simply multiplied by a root of unity. To ensure that all roots of unity exist and have nice properties, we will assume for the rest of this paper that we are working over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

5.2.1. Γ/H -representative twist. Let G be a set containing exactly one representative from each coset in Γ/H . Note that G does not necessarily form a group. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by the representation ρ and let ζ be a p -th root of unity. Define the Γ/H -representative twist to be a new module V^ζ with representation ρ^ζ via the following: set $V^\zeta = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda^\zeta$ where $V_\Lambda^\zeta = V_\Lambda$ (as vector spaces) and, for arbitrary $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $v \in V_\Lambda$, define ρ^ζ by

$$\rho^\zeta(x)v = \zeta^h \rho(x)v$$

where $h \in H$ is an element such that $\alpha + g - h \in G$ for $g \in \Lambda \cap G$. Here, we interpret h as an integer in $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong H$. Note that g and h are both unique because there is exactly one representative in G from each coset. We will now prove that V^ζ is indeed a representation and is independent of the choice of G .

Proposition 5.3. V^ζ is a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module.

Proof. Both linearity properties of ρ^ζ follow immediately from that of ρ . Obviously V^ζ has the same grading as V .

Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $v \in V_\Lambda$ be arbitrary. Let g be the unique representative of Λ that is also in G . We claim that $\rho^\zeta(x)\rho^\zeta(y)v = \zeta^h \rho(x)\rho(y)v$ where $h \in H$, $\alpha + \beta + g - h \in G$. Indeed, take $\eta \in H$ such that $\beta + g - \eta \in G$. Then $\rho^\zeta(y)v = \zeta^\eta \rho(y)v$. Noting that $\alpha + \beta + g - \eta = (\alpha + \beta + g - h) + (h - \eta)$ and $\alpha + \beta + g - h \in G$,

$$\rho^\zeta(x)\rho^\zeta(y)v = \rho^\zeta(x)\zeta^\eta \rho(y)v = \zeta^{h-\eta} \zeta^\eta \rho(x)\rho(y)v = \zeta^h \rho(x)\rho(y)v$$

as claimed.

Now, ρ^ζ is a representation:

$$\rho^\zeta(\llbracket x, y \rrbracket)v = \zeta^h (\rho(x)\rho(y) - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\rho(y)\rho(x))v = (\rho^\zeta(x)\rho^\zeta(y) - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\rho^\zeta(y)\rho^\zeta(x))v$$

by the above claim. □

Proposition 5.4. The Γ/H -representative twist is independent of the choice of representatives. More precisely, let G, \tilde{G} be two sets which each contain exactly one representative from each coset of Γ/H . Let V^ζ, \tilde{V}^ζ be the corresponding Γ/H -representative twists of V . Then V^ζ and \tilde{V}^ζ are isomorphic as Γ/H -graded modules.

Proof. Define a map $\Phi: V^\zeta \rightarrow \tilde{V}^\zeta$ on each sector V_Λ^ζ by

$$\Phi(v) = \zeta^{g-\tilde{g}}v$$

for $v \in V_\Lambda^\zeta$, $g \in G \cap \Lambda$ and $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G} \cap \Lambda$. This map is clearly linear, bijective and homogeneous of degree 0. Moreover, this map is an intertwiner: let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and take $h, \tilde{h} \in H$ such that $\alpha + g - h \in G$ and $\alpha + \tilde{g} - \tilde{h} \in \tilde{G}$. Then,

$$\Phi(\rho^\zeta(x)v) = \zeta^{\alpha+g-h-\alpha-\tilde{g}+\tilde{h}} \zeta^h \rho(x)v = \zeta^{\tilde{h}} \rho(x)\zeta^{g-\tilde{g}}v = \tilde{\rho}^\zeta(x)\Phi(v). \quad \square$$

The Γ/H -representative twist has many important properties. For example, we can easily see that $(V^\zeta)^\xi = V^{\zeta\xi}$. We now prove three more such important properties.

Proposition 5.5. If V is irreducible then V^ζ is irreducible.

Proof. If U is a submodule of V^ζ then $\rho^\zeta(\mathfrak{g})U \subseteq U$. Since the action of ρ only differs from ρ^ζ by a scalar (at most), we have that $\rho(\mathfrak{g})U \subseteq U$. Hence, U is also submodule of V . If V is irreducible then, as a vector space, $U = 0$ or $U = V = V^\zeta$. □

Proposition 5.6. There is an equality of \mathfrak{g} -modules: $(V^H)^\zeta = (V^\zeta)^H$.

Proof. Clearly $(V^H)^\zeta$ and $(V^\zeta)^H$ are equal as vector spaces. We just need to check the module structure. Let $\rho^{H\zeta}$ be the representation for $(V^H)^\zeta$ and $\rho^{\zeta H}$ be the representation for $(V^\zeta)^H$. Let $v \in V_\gamma^H$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Choose g to be the unique representative of $\gamma + H$ that is also in G and choose $h \in H$ such that $\alpha + g - h \in G$. Then,

$$\rho^{H\zeta}(x)v = \zeta^h \rho^H(x)v = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1} \zeta^h \rho(x) \varphi_\gamma v = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1} \rho^\zeta(x) \varphi_\gamma v = \rho^{\zeta H}(x)v. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.7. *If V can be given a Γ -grading that respects the Γ/H -grading, then $V^\zeta \cong V$.*

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be the Γ -grading, with $V_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ (i.e. the Γ -grading respects the Γ/H grading). Take $v \in W_\gamma$ and define $\Phi: V \rightarrow V^\zeta$ by

$$\Phi(v) = \zeta^\eta v$$

for $\eta \in H$ and $\gamma - \eta \in G$. Obviously, Φ is linear, bijective and homogeneous of degree 0. Additionally, Φ is an intertwiner: let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and take $h \in H$ such that $\alpha + (\gamma - \eta) - h \in G$. Then, noting that $\rho(x)v \in W_{\alpha+\gamma}$,

$$\Phi(\rho(x)v) = \zeta^{\eta+h} \rho(x)v = \zeta^h \rho(x) \zeta^\eta v = \rho^\zeta(x) \Phi(v) \quad \square$$

It is clear from the definition and the above propositions that the Γ/H -representative twist has a very similar module structure to V . This will be useful when examining the structure of V^H .

5.2.2. Direct sum decomposition.

Lemma 5.8. *$(V^\zeta)^H \cong V^H$ and so V^ζ is a Γ/H -graded submodule of V^H .*

Proof. By Proposition 5.6, $(V^\zeta)^H \cong (V^H)^\zeta$. Since $(V^H)^\zeta$ has a Γ -grade, we have that $(V^H)^\zeta \cong V^H$ by Proposition 5.7. By Proposition 3.3, we then have that V^ζ is a Γ/H -graded submodule of $(V^\zeta)^H \cong V^H$. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a finite simple group and $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded module of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} . As a Γ/H -graded module, the refining extension V^H is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} V^{(\zeta^k)},$$

where $\zeta \neq 1$ is a p -th root of unity

Proof. By Lemma 5.8, we have that V^{ζ^k} is a Γ/H -graded submodule of V^H . By following the proofs of Lemma 5.8 and related results, we find the embedding $\Phi_k: V^{\zeta^k} \hookrightarrow V^H$ is given by

$$\Phi_k(v) = \sum_{h \in H} (\zeta^k)^{-h} \varphi_{g+h}^{-1}(v)$$

for $v \in V_\Lambda$ and $g \in \Lambda \cap G$.

Obviously, $\sum_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V^{\zeta^k}) \subseteq V^H$. We claim that this sum is direct: $\bigoplus_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V^{\zeta^k}) \subseteq V^H$. We will show that for any nonzero $v_k \in V^{\zeta^k}$ the set $\{\Phi_k(v_k) \mid k \in H\}$ is linearly independent. This will prove the claim. Take arbitrary nonzero vectors $v_k \in V_\Lambda^{\zeta^k}$ and consider the equation

$$\sum_{k \in H} c_k \Phi_k(v_k) = 0$$

for constants $c_k \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. By decomposing $\Phi_k(v_k)$ into each graded sector of V^H , we find that

$$\sum_{k \in H} c_k (\zeta^k)^{-h} \varphi_{g+h}^{-1}(v_k) = 0$$

for each $h \in H$. And applying φ_{g+h} to both sides, we have

$$\sum_{k \in H} c_k (\zeta^k)^{-h} v_k = 0$$

for each $h \in H$. Let $\{e_k \mid k = 0, \dots, p-1\}$ be the standard basis vectors for \mathbb{C}^p and consider the element

$$\sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in H} \zeta^{-kh} e_h \otimes c_k v_k \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes V$$

which is equal to 0. Define a matrix $A = (A_{hk})_{h,k=0}^{p-1}$ over \mathbb{C} with entries $A_{hk} = \zeta^{-hk}$. We find that

$$(A \otimes \text{id}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e_k \otimes c_k v_k \right) = \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in H} \zeta^{-kh} e_h \otimes c_k v_k = 0$$

We can easily verify that A is invertible (with $(A^{-1})_{hk} = (1/p)\zeta^{hk}$). So, applying $(A^{-1} \otimes \text{id})$ to both sides of the above equality, we find that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e_k \otimes c_k v_k = 0.$$

Since $\{e_k\}$ is linearly independent and $v_k \neq 0$, we must have that $c_k = 0$ for all $k \in H$. Therefore, we find that $\{\Phi_k(v_k) \mid k \in H\}$ is linearly independent for any $v_k \in V_{\Lambda}^{\zeta^k}$. It is not difficult to extend this argument to any $v_k \in V^{\zeta^k}$ by first projecting onto the sectors of V^{ζ^k} . We have thus proven the claim and $\bigoplus_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V^{\zeta^k}) \subseteq V^H$.

Finally, we will show that $\bigoplus_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V^{\zeta^k}) = V^H$. Take arbitrary $w \in V_{\gamma}^H$ and set $v = \varphi_{\gamma}(w) \in V_{\gamma+H}$. Choose $\eta \in H$ so that $\gamma - \eta \in G$. Then,

$$w = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in H} (\zeta^k)^{\eta} \Phi_k(v).$$

That is, any element of V_{γ}^H can be written as an element of $\bigoplus_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V_{\gamma+H}^{\zeta^k})$. Extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity proves $\bigoplus_{k \in H} \Phi_k(V^{\zeta^k}) = V^H$. \square

Note that the above result tells us that we could have equivalently defined the refining extension as a direct sum of Γ/H -representative twists and then provided a Γ -grading.

Moreover, if we assume that V is irreducible, then Theorem 5.9 shows that V^H is completely reducible as a Γ/H -graded module and gives a direct sum decomposition. In combination with Theorem 5.2, this restricts the possible structures of the irreducible Γ -graded modules.

5.3. Γ -grading: refining extension is completely reducible. We will now show that the refining extension is completely reducible as a Γ -graded module. Similar to Γ/H -graded case, the Γ -graded irreducible direct summands all have a similar structure: they are all (what we will call) H -parity shifts of the same irreducible Γ -graded module. The structure of a H -parity shift is nearly identical to the original module: a H -parity shift is obtained by simply applying a circular shift to each of the sectors.

Comparing the Γ - and Γ/H -graded irreducible direct summands gives us more information about the structure of the refining extension. This will allow us to conclude that the only possible Γ -graded direct summands of V^H are V with a Γ -grading or V^H itself.

5.3.1. *Direct sum decomposition.* Take some $h \in H$ and a Γ -graded module W with representation ρ . We can define the *H -parity shift of W by h* as a new Γ -graded module $W^{+h} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_{\gamma}^{+h}$, where $W_{\gamma}^{+h} = W_{\gamma+h}$ with representation $\rho^{+h} = \rho$. Obviously, a H -parity shift of W is a \mathfrak{g} -module. We can easily check that the Γ -grading is still respected: $\rho^{+h}(x)W_{\gamma}^{+h} \subseteq W_{\alpha+\gamma}^{+h}$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$.

Following this definition, we make three immediate observations: A H -parity shift might yield a module isomorphic to the original — indeed, any H -parity shift of V^H is isomorphic to V^H . If W is irreducible then W^{+h} is irreducible. And finally, W and W^{+h} are isomorphic as Γ/H -graded modules.

Lemma 5.10. *Let V be a Γ/H -graded module and W be a Γ -graded submodule of V^H . Then W^{+h} can be embedded in V^H .*

Proof. Define a map $\Phi: W^{+h} \rightarrow V^H$ by

$$\Phi(w) = \varphi_{\gamma}^{-1} \varphi_{\gamma+h} w$$

for $w \in W_{\gamma}^{+h}$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. We claim that this map is a monomorphism. The map Φ is obviously injective, linear and homogeneous of degree 0. Now, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, $w \in W_{\gamma}^{+h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^H(x)w &= \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma+h}^{-1} \rho(x) \varphi_{\gamma+h}(w) \\ &= \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma+h}^{-1} \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma} \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1} \rho(x) \varphi_{\gamma} \varphi_{\gamma}^{-1} \varphi_{\gamma+h}(w) \\ &= \Phi^{-1} \rho^H(x) \Phi(w). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

In what follows, we will identify W^{+h} with its embedding in V^H .

Theorem 5.11. *Let $H \leq \Gamma$ be a finite simple group and $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_{\Lambda}$ be a finite-dimensional irreducible Γ/H -graded module of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{C} . Then, the refining extension V^H is completely reducible as a Γ -graded module with*

$$V^H = \bigoplus_{h \in K} W^{+h}$$

for any irreducible Γ -graded module $W \subseteq V^H$ and some subset $K \subseteq H$.

Proof. Take any irreducible Γ -graded module $W \subseteq V^H$. Such a module exists because V^H is finite-dimensional.

Define a map $\Phi: W \rightarrow V$ by

$$\Phi(w) = \varphi_{\gamma}(w)$$

for $w \in W_{\gamma} \subseteq V_{\gamma}^H$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. We claim that Φ is Γ/H -graded intertwiner. That Φ is linear and homogeneous of degree 0 is obvious. For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, we have

$$\rho(x)\Phi(w) = \rho(x)\varphi_{\gamma}(w) = \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma} \varphi_{\alpha+\gamma}^{-1} \rho(x) \varphi_{\gamma}(w) = \Phi(\rho^H(x)w)$$

which proves the claim.

We now know that $\Phi(W)$ is a submodule of V . But since $W \neq 0$, one of the sectors $W_{\gamma'}$ of W must be nonzero. And because $\varphi_{\gamma'}$ is a vector space isomorphism, $\Phi(W_{\gamma'}) \neq 0$. Since V is irreducible, we must have that $\Phi(W) = V$.

Now, consider the module $\sum_{h \in H} W^{+h} \subseteq V^H$. In particular, consider the γ sector $\sum_{h \in H} W_{\gamma}^{+h}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Applying φ_{γ} , we find that

$$\varphi_{\gamma} \left(\sum_{h \in H} W_{\gamma}^{+h} \right) = \sum_{h \in H} \varphi_{\gamma+h}(W_{\gamma+h}) = \Phi \left(\bigoplus_{h \in H} W_{\gamma+h} \right) = V_{\gamma+H} = \varphi_{\gamma}(V_{\gamma}^H)$$

(recalling the embedding in Lemma 5.10). Therefore, $\sum_{h \in H} W^{+h} = V^H$.

All that remains to show is that we can take a subset of H to obtain a direct sum. But this follows from Proposition 2.3. \square

Corollary 5.12. *Let V be irreducible. Every irreducible Γ -graded submodule of V^H is isomorphic as a Γ/H -graded submodule to either V^H or V .*

Proof. Take W an irreducible Γ -graded submodule of V^H . By Theorem 5.11,

$$V^H = \bigoplus_{h \in K} W^{+h}.$$

Since V^H is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module, we know that W is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module with direct summands contained in those of V^H . By Theorem 5.9, W is a direct sum of some Γ/H -representative twists of V . Let n be the number of Γ/H -graded direct summands in W .

Then, the number of direct summands in V^H as a Γ/H -graded module is equal to $n|K|$. But, from Theorem 5.9, the number of direct summands is equal to p . Therefore, $n = 1$ or $n = p$. If $n = p$ then $W \cong V^H$. If $n = 1$ then W is isomorphic to V^ζ for some p -th root of unity ζ . But then W gives V^ζ a Γ -grading, so $W \cong V^\zeta \cong V$ by Proposition 5.7. \square

In combination with Theorem 5.2, the above corollary tells us that every Γ -graded module appears as either an irreducible Γ/H -graded module or the refining extension of one. This result will greatly aid in the search for irreducible Lie colour algebra representations. One difficulty remains: in the former case, finding a Γ -grade for a Γ/H -graded module can be difficult in general. To aid with this, we prove a uniqueness result for the Γ -grade.

5.3.2. *Uniqueness of the Γ -grade.* It is possible for two modules to be non-isomorphic as Γ -graded modules but isomorphic as Γ/H -graded modules. This is important to keep in mind when trying to find a Γ -grading for an irreducible Γ/H -graded module; there might be multiple *different* Γ -gradings, all of which must be considered. However, the following proposition gives a uniqueness result for the Γ -grade.

Proposition 5.13. *If V is a finite-dimensional irreducible Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module, then any two Γ -gradings for V are equivalent up to H -parity shift.*

More precisely, this proposition tells us that if $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{V}_\gamma$ are two Γ -gradings for V which both respect the Γ/H grading (i.e. for each $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ the original Λ -sector is $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} V_\gamma = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \tilde{V}_\gamma$) then there exists $\eta \in H$ such that $V_\gamma^{+\eta} = \tilde{V}_\gamma$.

Proof. Both $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{V}_\gamma$ appear as irreducible Γ -graded submodules of V^H by Proposition 3.4. Since all irreducible direct summands of V^H are H -parity shifts of V by Theorem 5.11, the result follows. \square

5.4. **The bijection.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Lie colour algebra and $H \leq \Gamma$ be a finite simple group. It is easily verified that Γ/H -representative twists and H -parity shifts both define equivalence relations. Let $\text{lrr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the collection of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -modules, where two Γ/H -graded modules are equivalent up to Γ/H -representative twist. Let $\text{lrr}_{\Gamma}^{H\text{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the collection of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -modules, where two Γ -graded modules are equivalent up to H -parity shift.

Theorem 5.14. *Define a function $\mathcal{F}: \text{lrr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}} \rightarrow \text{lrr}_{\Gamma}^{H\text{p}}$ by*

$$\mathcal{F}(V) = \begin{cases} V & \text{if } V \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-gradable} \\ V^H & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where V and V^H are representatives of the equivalence classes. Then \mathcal{F} is well-defined (does not depend on the choice of representative V) and bijective.

Proof. The Γ -gradability remains unchanged after applying a Γ/H -representative twist or an isomorphism. And any Γ -grading of V is unique up to H -parity shift (Proposition 5.13). Observe that $\mathcal{F}(V^\zeta) = (\mathcal{F}(V))^\zeta = \mathcal{F}(V)$, since Γ/H -representative twists are isomorphic for Γ -graded modules (Proposition 5.7). We can hence deduce that \mathcal{F} is well defined.

For injectivity, assume that $\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$. Using Theorem 5.9, both $\mathcal{F}(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$ are completely reducible as Γ/H -graded modules with direct summands contained among the Γ/H -representative twists. In particular, V appears as a direct summand of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$, so we must have $V \cong \tilde{V}^\zeta$ for some p -th root of unity ζ . That is, V and \tilde{V} are equivalent in $\text{Irr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}}$ and thus \mathcal{F} is injective.

For surjectivity, take an arbitrary finite dimensional irreducible Γ -graded module W . By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.11, this module appears as a submodule of the refining extension of some irreducible Γ/H -graded module V . By Corollary 5.12, the only Γ -graded submodules of V^H are either V^H itself or V with a suitable Γ -grading. If V can be given a Γ -grading then it appears as a Γ -graded submodule of V^H (by Proposition 3.4) making V^H reducible. Thus, there is only one option (up to H -parity shift) for what W could have been. This only option is $\mathcal{F}(V)$ and so \mathcal{F} is surjective. \square

In general, it may be difficult to determine which case of \mathcal{F} applies. However, there are multiple options we can test:

- Try to find a Γ -grading for V .
- Try to find Γ -graded proper submodules of V^H (all of which will provide a Γ -grading for V) or else prove that V^H is irreducible (and hence V will not be Γ -gradable).
- Check whether the Γ/H -representative twists of V are isomorphic. If not, then V cannot be Γ -graded (by Proposition 5.7).

Taking $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H = \{00, 11\}$, the above theorem (in combination with discolouration/recolouration) gives a way to construct the irreducible modules of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded colour Lie superalgebras from the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie superalgebras. More generally, we can use iterated applications of the theorem to produce all of the irreducible Γ -graded modules for any finite abelian group Γ . Theorem 5.14 also gives us a bijection between the graded and ungraded modules of the colour algebra.

6. EXAMPLE: COLOUR \mathfrak{sl}_2

As an example, we will determine the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ over \mathbb{C} . The algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebra with commutation factor $\varepsilon(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}$ and sectors spanned by the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 00\text{-sector: } 0 & 10\text{-sector: } a_1 & 01\text{-sector: } a_2 & 11\text{-sector: } a_3 \end{array}$$

and equipped with a bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ which satisfies

$$\llbracket a_1, a_2 \rrbracket = a_3 \qquad \llbracket a_2, a_3 \rrbracket = a_1 \qquad \llbracket a_3, a_1 \rrbracket = a_2.$$

Using the multiplier $\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_2\beta_1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ discolours to a Lie algebra with Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket^\sigma = [\cdot, \cdot]$ given by

$$[a_1, a_2] = a_3 \qquad [a_2, a_3] = -a_1 \qquad [a_3, a_1] = -a_2.$$

These commutation relations are satisfied by the following realisation

$$(2) \qquad a_1 = \frac{i}{2}(e - f) \qquad a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(e + f) \qquad a_3 = -\frac{i}{2}h.$$

in terms of a standard basis $\{h, e, f\}$ for \mathfrak{sl}_2 , ($[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$). Consequently, the discolouration $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^c)^\sigma$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 (hence the notation).

The Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2^c has previously appeared in [47], in which the authors found all the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c by embedding the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2^c)$ in $M_2(U(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ (the space of 2×2 matrices with entries in $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$). The approach that we will take via refining extensions is very similar, though we will not work at the level of universal enveloping algebras. Additionally, our approach is undertaken in the context of the more general preceding sections.

Our strategy is as follows:

- (1) find all of the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;
- (2) use the techniques from the preceding sections to find the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;
- (3) similarly, find the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;
- (4) recolour to obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c .
- (5) Find the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c as subrepresentations

6.1. Irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Recall that \mathfrak{sl}_2 has a unique irreducible representation V_λ of dimension $\lambda + 1$ for every highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We will choose a basis $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\lambda\}$ for V_λ such that

$$hv_j = (\lambda - 2j)v_j \quad ev_j = (\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} \quad fv_j = (j + 1)v_{j+1}$$

with the convention $v_{-1} = v_{\lambda+1} = 0$. In particular, note that v_0 is the highest weight vector. (In the above line and what follows, we have omitted the explicit representation map $\rho: \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\lambda)$.) Using (2), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 v_j &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} - (j + 1)v_{j+1}) \\ a_2 v_j &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} + (j + 1)v_{j+1}) \\ a_3 v_j &= -\frac{i}{2}(\lambda - 2j)v_j. \end{aligned}$$

6.2. \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations. We wish to find the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations. So, we choose $\Gamma_1 = H_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 / \{00, 11\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Under this group and the original \mathfrak{sl}_2^c grading, the sectors for \mathfrak{sl}_2 are spanned by the following elements:

$$\text{0-sector: } a_3 \quad \text{1-sector: } a_1, a_2.$$

The ungraded module V_λ can be given a Γ_1 -grading: $V_{\lambda,0}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,1}^E$ where

$$V_{\lambda,0}^E = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ even}\} \quad V_{\lambda,1}^E = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ odd}\}.$$

We can easily check that $a_1 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+1}^E$, $a_2 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+1}^E$ and $a_3 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Call this Γ_1 -graded module V_λ^E .

Since V_λ is an irreducible ungraded module, V_λ^E is an irreducible Γ_1 -graded module. By shifting the H_1 -parity of V_λ^E , we get another Γ_1 -graded irreducible module $V_\lambda^O = V_{\lambda,0}^O \oplus V_{\lambda,1}^O$ where

$$V_{\lambda,0}^O = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ odd}\} \quad V_{\lambda,1}^O = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ even}\}.$$

By Proposition 5.13, we do not need to search for any other gradings. And by Proposition 3.4, we do not need to check the refining extension at all. Thus, the finite-dimensional irreducible Γ_1 -graded \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules are V_λ^E and V_λ^O for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

6.3. $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations. Now we wish to find the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded representations. So, we choose $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_2 = \{00, 11\}$. We will now find the Γ_2 -gradings, with the aid of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} W_\gamma$ be a Γ_2 -grading for V_λ . Then $v_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{0,\gamma}$ where $v_{0,\gamma} \in W_\gamma$ is some linear combination of v_0 and v_λ (possibly equal to zero).*

Proof. Since $h = 2ia_3$ is homogeneous of degree 11, we find that $h^2W_\gamma \subseteq W_\gamma$. If we project v_0 onto each sector and write $v_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{0,\gamma}$, for $v_{0,\gamma} \in W_\gamma$ then we find that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} h^2v_{0,\gamma} = h^2(v_0) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} \lambda^2v_{0,\gamma}.$$

In particular, $h^2v_{0,\gamma} = \lambda^2v_{0,\gamma}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$. Using our knowledge of the eigenvectors of h , we find that $v_{0,\gamma}$ must be a linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . \square

Remark 6.2. A similar result holds for $v_\lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{\lambda,\gamma}$.

Lemma 6.1 tells us that at least one sector of any Γ_2 -grading must contain a nonzero linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . Our strategy is to then apply a_1 and a_2 to this linear combination to help deduce the structure of a Γ_2 -grading or prove that one cannot exist.

6.3.1. The λ even case. If λ is even, then both v_0 and v_λ are in $V_{\lambda,0}^E$. Therefore, using Lemma 6.1, we find that the 00-sector or 11-sector must contain a nonzero linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . We choose (after some trial and error) $v_0 + v_\lambda$ to be in the 00-sector and $v_0 - v_\lambda$ to be in the 11-sector. With this choice, we can find a Γ_2 -grading for V_λ^E :

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{\lambda,00}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j + v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, & V_{\lambda,10}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j - v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, \\ V_{\lambda,01}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j + v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, & V_{\lambda,11}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j - v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ even}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote this Γ_2 -graded module by V_λ^{E+} . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) - (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_2(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) + (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_3(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= -\frac{i}{2}((\lambda - 2j)(v_j \mp v_{\lambda-j})) \end{aligned}$$

from which we can easily verify that $a_1V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+10}^{E+}$, $a_2V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+01}^{E+}$ and $a_3V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+11}^{E+}$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$. Since V_λ^E is irreducible, so is V_λ^{E+} . By shifting the H_2 -parity of V_λ^{E+} , we get another Γ_2 -graded irreducible module V_λ^{E-} , which can be obtained from V_λ^{E+} by swapping the 00- with the 11-sector and the 10- with the 01-sector. Note that V_λ^{E+} and V_λ^{E-} are not isomorphic, because $v_{\lambda/2} = (1/2)(v_{\lambda/2} + v_{\lambda-\lambda/2})$ (the unique vector with weight 0) will be in different sectors.

Performing similar computations with V_λ^O , we find two more irreducible Γ_2 -graded modules V_λ^{O+} and V_λ^{O-} (these can be obtained from V_λ^{E+} by swapping the 00- with the 01-sector and the 10- with the 11-sector to get V_λ^{O+} ; and by swapping the 00- with the 10-sector and the 01- with the 11-sector to get V_λ^{O-}). By Proposition 5.13 and Theorem 5.14 we do not need to search for any more irreducible Γ_2 -graded modules in the case where λ is even.

6.3.2. The λ odd case.

Lemma 6.3. *If λ is odd, then V_λ^E cannot be given a Γ_2 -grading.*

Proof. For contradiction, assume that we can give V_λ^E a Γ_2 -grading, $V_\lambda^E = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E$. From the structure of V_λ^E , we know that $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,0}^E = V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,11}^E$. Therefore, we can write $v_0 = v_{0,00} + v_{0,11}$ for $v_{0,00} \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$, $v_{0,11} \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$. By Lemma 6.1, both $v_{0,00}$ and $v_{0,11}$ are linear combinations of v_0 and v_λ . But since $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,1}^E = V_{\lambda,10}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,01}^E$, we must have that both $v_{0,00}$ and $v_{0,11}$ are scalar multiples of v_0 . And since they sum to $v_0 \neq 0$, at least one of them must be nonzero. That is, $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ or $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$. An analogous argument shows that $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,10}^E$ or $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$.

If $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$ then applying a_1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 2ia_1v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E \\ v_2 &= ia_1v_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E \\ &\vdots \\ v_{j+1} &= \frac{2i}{j+1}a_1v_j + \frac{\lambda-j+1}{j+1}v_{j-1} \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding inductively, we find that $V_\lambda^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E$. But, by applying a_1 to v_λ in a similar way, we find that $V_\lambda^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,01}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,11}^E$, a contradiction! We similarly arrive at a contradiction if we assume $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,10}^E$; $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$; or $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$. (Note that in some of these cases we need to use a_2 instead of a_1 .) \square

We have just shown that V_λ^E cannot be given a Γ_2 -grading if λ is odd. By Corollary 5.12, the refining extension $V_\lambda^{EH_2}$ must therefore be an irreducible Γ_2 -graded representation. If we take a basis $\{v_{\alpha,j} \mid \alpha \in H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, j = 0, \dots, \lambda\}$ for $V_\lambda^{EH_2}$, where

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{\lambda,00}^{EH_2} &= \text{span}\{v_{0,j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, & V_{\lambda,10}^{EH_2} &= \text{span}\{v_{1,j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, \\ V_{\lambda,01}^{EH_2} &= \text{span}\{v_{0,j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, & V_{\lambda,11}^{EH_2} &= \text{span}\{v_{1,j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can compute the action as

$$\begin{aligned} a_1v_{\alpha,j} &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda-j+1)v_{\alpha+1,j-1} - (j+1)v_{\alpha+1,j+1}) \\ a_2v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda-j+1)v_{\alpha,j-1} + (j+1)v_{\alpha,j+1}) \\ a_3v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{i}{2}(\lambda-2j)v_{\alpha+1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

By similar reasoning, we find that $V_\lambda^{OH_2}$ is an irreducible Γ_2 -graded representation. We can obtain $V_\lambda^{OH_2}$ from $V_\lambda^{EH_2}$ by swapping the 00- with the 01-sector and the 10- with the 11-sector. Note that if we shift the H_2 -parity of either of these modules, we get the same module. By Theorem 5.14, the only irreducible Γ_2 -graded modules for the case where λ is odd are $V_\lambda^{EH_2}$ and $V_\lambda^{OH_2}$.

6.4. Recolouring. To recolour \mathfrak{sl}_2 to \mathfrak{sl}_2^c we use the multiplier $\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_2\beta_1}$. To obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c , we use this same multiplier for the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Theorem 6.4. *The only finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c are:*

(i) For each even number λ , the four modules which are equivalent to $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ up to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -parity shift. The sectors of $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ are given as in (3) and the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) - (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_2(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \mp \frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) + (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_3(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \mp \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - 2j)(v_j \mp v_{\lambda-j})). \end{aligned}$$

The dimension of these modules is $\lambda + 1$.

(ii) For each odd number λ , the two modules which are equivalent to $(V_\lambda^{EH_2})^\sigma$ up to $\{00, 01\}$ -parity shift. The sectors of $(V_\lambda^{EH_2})^\sigma$ are given as in (4) and the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 v_{\alpha,j} &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j-1} - (j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j+1}) \\ a_2 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{(-1)^\alpha}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha,j-1} + (j + 1)v_{\alpha,j+1}) \\ a_3 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{i(-1)^\alpha}{2}(\lambda - 2j)v_{\alpha+1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

The dimension of these modules is $2(\lambda + 1)$.

Proof. Use the results from the previous sections and the facts that $\sigma(10, \beta) = 1$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and

$$\sigma(01, \beta) = \sigma(11, \beta) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta = 10, 11 \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta = 00, 01. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Note that, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is a unique equivalence class of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2^c -modules. This corresponds exactly to the unique irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules, demonstrating the existence of the bijection in Theorem 5.14.

6.5. Ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c .

Lemma 6.5. *The ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c all appear as ungraded subrepresentations of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations in Theorem 6.4.*

Proof. If we knew the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c , then we could use the same process in the preceding sections to derive the same $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules. By applying Theorem 5.14 twice, these irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded modules are either irreducible as ungraded modules, a refining extension or a refining extension of a refining extension. In any case, the original ungraded irreducible modules must appear as ungraded submodules of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded modules. \square

Note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded modules which are equivalent up to H -parity shift (for some $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$) are isomorphic as ungraded modules.

Lemma 6.6. *If λ is even, then $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ is irreducible as an ungraded module.*

Proof. Choose a new basis $\{u_j \mid j = 0, \dots, \lambda\}$ where $u_j = (v_j + v_{\lambda-j}) + i(-1)^j(v_j - v_{\lambda-j})$. Note that this is not linearly dependent since $u_{\lambda-j} = (v_j + v_{\lambda-j}) - i(-1)^j(v_j - v_{\lambda-j})$. The action of \mathfrak{sl}_2^c

is then

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 u_j &= \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} - (j + 1)u_{j+1}) \\ a_2 u_j &= -\frac{1}{2} ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} + (j + 1)u_{j+1}) \\ a_3 u_j &= \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} (\lambda - 2j)u_j \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $u_{-1} = u_{\lambda+1} = 0$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_2 + a_1)u_j &= \begin{cases} -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} & \text{if } j \text{ even} \\ -(j + 1)u_{j+1} & \text{if } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \\ (a_2 - a_1)u_j &= \begin{cases} -(j + 1)u_{j+1} & \text{if } j \text{ even} \\ -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} & \text{if } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So, if we take an arbitrary element $\sum_j c_j u_j$ of $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$, then we can alternate applying $(a_2 + a_1)$ and $(a_2 - a_1)$ (i.e. we apply $((a_2 - a_1)(a_2 + a_1))^k$ or $(a_2 - a_1)((a_2 + a_1)(a_2 - a_1))^k$ for some non-negative integer k) to raise/lower the basis elements of $\sum_j c_j u_j$. Eventually, this procedure will yield a scalar multiple of either u_0 or u_λ , from which we can generate the entire module. Since the entire module can be generated from a single vector, $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ is irreducible. \square

Now we consider the case when λ is odd. Then $(V_\lambda^{EH_2})^\sigma = U_\lambda^{++} \oplus U_\lambda^{+-} \oplus U_\lambda^{-+} \oplus U_\lambda^{--}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi} &= \text{span}\{u_j^{\zeta\xi} \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, (\lambda - 1)/2\} \\ u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= v_{0,j} + \zeta i(-1)^j v_{1,j} + \xi v_{0,\lambda-j} - \zeta \xi i(-1)^j v_{1,\lambda-j} \end{aligned}$$

for $\zeta, \xi \in \{-1, +1\}$. The action of \mathfrak{sl}_2^ζ is given by

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2} (-1)^j ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} - (j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi}) & \left(j < \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}\right) \\ a_1 u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2} (-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} \left(\frac{\lambda + 3}{2} u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} - \xi \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi}\right) \\ a_2 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{1}{2} ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} + (j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi}) & \left(j < \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}\right) \\ a_2 u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda + 3}{2} u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} + \xi \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi}\right) \\ a_3 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2} (-1)^j (\lambda - 2j)u_j^{\zeta\xi} \end{aligned}$$

with the convention $u_{-1} = 1$. (Note that $u_j^{\zeta\xi}$ was chosen so that it was an eigenvector of a_3 .) Clearly $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ gives rise to four non-isomorphic modules, since the action of a_1 , a_2 and a_3 on $u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi}$ (the unique eigenvector for a_3 which has eigenvalue with absolute value $1/2$) is different for different values of ζ, ξ .

Lemma 6.7. $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ is irreducible as an ungraded module.

Proof. Observe that, for $j < (\lambda - 1)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_2 + a_1)u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = 1 \\ -(j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 + a_1)u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda+3}{2}u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = 1 \\ -\xi\frac{\lambda+1}{2}u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 - a_1)u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -(j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = 1 \\ -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 - a_1)u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -\xi\frac{\lambda+1}{2}u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = 1 \\ -\frac{\lambda+3}{2}u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = -1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Take an arbitrary element $\sum_j c_j u_j$ of $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.6 we alternate applying $a_2 + a_1$ and $a_2 - a_1$. In this case, we are able to obtain a scalar multiple of u_0 from $\sum_j c_j u_j$, from which we can generate the entire module. \square

In summary, we have proven the following theorem:

Theorem 6.8. *The only finite-dimensional irreducible ungraded modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ are*

- (i) *For each even number λ , the module $(V_\lambda^{\mathbb{E}+})^\sigma$ with action given in (5). The dimension of these modules is $\lambda + 1$.*
- (ii) *For each odd number λ and each $\zeta, \xi \in \{-1, +1\}$, the module $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ with action given in (6). The dimension of these modules is $(\lambda + 1)/2$.*

Remark 6.9. It is clear that the modules $U_\lambda^{+\xi}$ and $U_\lambda^{-\xi}$ are Γ/H -representative twists of each other, where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2/\{00, 01\}$ and $H = \Gamma$. If we instead choose $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2/\{00, 11\}$, $H = \Gamma$, then we find that the Γ/H -representative twist of $U_\lambda^{\zeta+}$ is isomorphic to $U_\lambda^{\zeta-}$, with isomorphism given by $u_j^{\zeta+} \mapsto (-1)^j u_j^{\zeta-}$.

The above remark shows that $U^{\zeta\xi}$ are all equivalent up to representative twist for different values of ζ, ξ . Consequently, there is a unique equivalence class in Theorem 6.8 for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This corresponds exactly to the equivalence classes for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules in Theorem 6.4, again demonstrating the existence of the bijection in Theorem 5.14.

Remark 6.10. Although the ungraded $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ representations were derived from the ungraded \mathfrak{sl}_2 representations, they have a remarkably different structure. This shows that, despite the procedure outlined in this paper, colour algebras still have an interesting representation theory.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Phil Isaac for his invaluable guidance, and for taking the time to suggest improvements to the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Rittenberg, D. Wyler, Generalized superalgebras, Nuclear Phys. B 139 (3) (1978) 189–202. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(78\)90186-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90186-4).
- [2] V. Rittenberg, D. Wyler, Sequences of $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ graded Lie algebras and superalgebras, J. Math. Phys. 19 (10) (1978) 2193–2200. [doi:10.1063/1.523552](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.523552).
- [3] R. Ree, Generalized Lie elements, Canadian J. Math. 12 (1960) 493–502. [doi:10.4153/CJM-1960-044-x](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1960-044-x).
- [4] J. Lukierski, V. Rittenberg, Color-de Sitter and color-conformal superalgebras, Phys. Rev. D (3) 18 (2) (1978) 385–389. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.385](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.18.385).
- [5] M. A. Vasil'iev, de Sitter supergravity and generalized Lie superalgebras, in: Proceedings of the third seminar on quantum gravity (Moscow, 1984), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1985, pp. 340–362.

- [6] V. N. Tolstoy, Super-de Sitter and alternative super-Poincaré symmetries, in: Lie theory and its applications in physics, Vol. 111 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., Springer, Tokyo, 2014, pp. 357–367. doi:10.1007/978-4-431-55285-7_26.
- [7] P. D. Jarvis, M. Yang, B. G. Wybourne, Generalized quasispin for supergroups, J. Math. Phys. 28 (5) (1987) 1192–1197. doi:10.1063/1.527566.
- [8] A. A. Zheltukhin, On a para-Grassmann extension of the Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond algebra, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 71 (2) (1987) 218–225.
- [9] L. A. Wills Toro, (I, q) -graded Lie algebraic extensions of the Poincaré algebra, constraints on I and q , J. Math. Phys. 36 (4) (1995) 2085–2112. doi:10.1063/1.531102.
- [10] L. A. Wills-Toro, Trefoil symmetries. I. Clover extensions beyond Coleman-Mandula theorem, J. Math. Phys. 42 (8) (2001) 3915–3934. doi:10.1063/1.1383561.
- [11] W. Yang, S. Jing, A new kind of graded Lie algebra and parastatistical supersymmetry, Sci. China Ser. A 44 (9) (2001) 1167–1173. doi:10.1007/BF02877435.
- [12] S.-C. Jing, W.-M. Yang, P. Li, Graded Lie algebra generating of parastatistical algebraic relations, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing) 36 (6) (2001) 647–650. doi:10.1088/0253-6102/36/6/647.
- [13] K. Kanakoglou, C. Daskaloyannis, A. Herrera-Aguilar, Mixed paraparticles, colors, braidings and a new class of realizations for lie superalgebras (2009). doi:10.48550/ARXIV.0912.1070.
- [14] K. Kanakoglou, A. Herrera-Aguilar, Ladder operators, Fock-spaces, irreducibility and group gradings for the relative parabose set algebra, Int. J. Algebra 5 (9-12) (2011) 413–427.
- [15] K. Kanakoglou, A. Herrera-Aguilar, Graded fock-like representations for a system of algebraically interacting paraparticles, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 287 (1) (2011) 012037. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/287/1/012037.
- [16] V. N. Tolstoy, Once more on parastatistics, Phys. Part. Nuclei Lett. 11 (2014) 933–937. doi:10.1134/S1547477114070449.
- [17] N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie superalgebra $pso(2m + 1|2n)$ and new parastatistics representations, J. Phys. A 51 (13) (2018) 135201, 17. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aaae9a.
- [18] F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded parastatistics in multiparticle quantum Hamiltonians, J. Phys. A 54 (11) (2021) Paper No. 115203, 35. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/abe2f2.
- [19] F. Toppan, Inequivalent quantizations from gradings and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ parabosons, J. Phys. A 54 (35) (2021) Paper No. 355202, 21. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ac17a5.
- [20] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie symmetries of the Lévy-Leblond equations, PTEP. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (12) (2016) 123A01, 26. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptw176.
- [21] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, Generalized supersymmetry and the lévy-leblond equation, in: S. Duarte, J.-P. Gazeau, S. Faci, T. Micklitz, R. Scherer, F. Toppan (Eds.), Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017, pp. 79–84.
- [22] A. J. Bruce, S. Duplij, Double-graded supersymmetric quantum mechanics, J. Math. Phys. 61 (6) (2020) 063503, 13. doi:10.1063/1.5118302.
- [23] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded mechanics: the classical theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020). doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8242-x.
- [24] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded mechanics: The quantization, Nuclear Phys. B 967 (2021) 115426. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115426.
- [25] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, \mathcal{N} -extension of double-graded supersymmetric and superconformal quantum mechanics, J. Phys. A 53 (6) (2020) 065205, 14. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ab661c.
- [26] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, \mathbb{Z}_2^n -graded extensions of supersymmetric quantum mechanics via Clifford algebras, J. Math. Phys. 61 (5) (2020) 052105, 13. doi:10.1063/1.5144325.
- [27] S. Doi, N. Aizawa, \mathbb{Z}_2^3 -graded extensions of Lie superalgebras and superconformal quantum mechanics, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 17 (2021) Paper No. 071, 14. doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2021.071.
- [28] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, Color algebraic extension of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, in: Quantum theory and symmetries, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 199–207. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-55777-5_18.
- [29] A. J. Bruce, Is the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded sine-Gordon equation integrable?, Nuclear Phys. B 971 (2021) Paper No. 115514, 10. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115514.
- [30] C. Quesne, Minimal bosonization of double-graded quantum mechanics, Modern Phys. Lett. A 36 (33) (2021) Paper No. 2150238, 8. doi:10.1142/S0217732321502382.
- [31] N. Aizawa, S. Doi, Irreducible representations of \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry algebra and \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded supermechanics, J. Math. Phys. 63 (9) (2022) Paper No. 091704, 12. doi:10.1063/5.0100182.
- [32] S. D. Silvestrov, On the classification of 3-dimensional coloured Lie algebras, in: Quantum groups and quantum spaces (Warsaw, 1995), Vol. 40 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1997, pp. 159–170.

- [33] Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, Classification of minimal $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie (super)algebras and some applications, *J. Math. Phys.* 62 (6) (2021) Paper No. 063512, 22. [doi:10.1063/5.0050200](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0050200).
- [34] Y. Bahturin, D. Pagon, Classifying simple color Lie superalgebras, in: *Algebras, representations and applications*, Vol. 483 of *Contemp. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 37–54. [doi:10.1090/comm/483/09433](https://doi.org/10.1090/comm/483/09433).
- [35] N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, *On classical $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie algebras*, *J. Math. Phys.* 64 (6) (2023) Paper No. 061702, 8. [doi:10.1063/5.0149175](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0149175).
URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0149175>
- [36] H. S. Green, P. D. Jarvis, Casimir invariants, characteristic identities, and Young diagrams for color algebras and superalgebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 24 (7) (1983) 1681–1687. [doi:10.1063/1.525911](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.525911).
- [37] K. Amakawa, N. Aizawa, A classification of lowest weight irreducible modules over \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded extension of $osp(1|2)$, *J. Math. Phys.* 62 (4) (2021) Paper No. 043502, 18. [doi:10.1063/5.0037493](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0037493).
- [38] S. D. Silvestrov, Hilbert space representations of the graded analogue of the Lie algebra of the group of plane motions, *Studia Math.* 117 (2) (1996) 195–203. [doi:10.4064/sm-117-2-195-203](https://doi.org/10.4064/sm-117-2-195-203).
- [39] G. Sigurdsson, S. D. Silvestrov, Bosonic realizations of the colour Heisenberg Lie algebra, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 13 (suppl. 1) (2006) 110–128. [doi:10.2991/jnmp.2006.13.s.13](https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2006.13.s.13).
- [40] N. Aizawa, J. Segar, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ generalizations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Schrödinger algebras and their representations, *J. Math. Phys.* 58 (11) (2017) 113501, 14. [doi:10.1063/1.4986570](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4986570).
- [41] M. Scheunert, Generalized Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 20 (4) (1979) 712–720. [doi:10.1063/1.524113](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.524113).
- [42] R. Kleeman, Generalized quantization and colour algebras, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Adelaide (1985).
- [43] D. S. McAnally, A. J. Brakken, Uncolouring of Lie colour algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 55 (3) (1997) 425–452. [doi:10.1017/S0004972700034080](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700034080).
- [44] P. S. Isaac, N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded general linear Lie superalgebra, *J. Math. Phys.* 61 (1) (2020) 011702, 7. [doi:10.1063/1.5138597](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5138597).
- [45] A. M. Almutairi, P. S. Isaac, *A connection between $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded special linear Lie colour algebras via Klein operators*, *J. Math. Phys.* 65 (1) (2024) Paper no. 013503, 6. [doi:10.1063/5.0163904](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0163904).
URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0163904>
- [46] E. Witten, Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry, *Nuclear Phys. B* 188 (3) (1981) 513–554. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(81\)90006-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90006-7).
- [47] X.-W. Chen, S. D. Silvestrov, F. Van Oystaeyen, Representations and cocycle twists of color Lie algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 9 (6) (2006) 633–650. [doi:10.1007/s10468-006-9027-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-006-9027-0).
- [48] V. G. Kac, *A sketch of Lie superalgebra theory*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 53 (1) (1977) 31–64.
URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103900590>
- [49] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd Edition, Vol. 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0).
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0>