

REFINING THE GRADING OF IRREDUCIBLE LIE COLOUR ALGEBRA REPRESENTATIONS

MITCHELL RYAN

ABSTRACT. We apply the loop module construction of Mazorchuk–Zhao in the context of Lie colour algebras. We construct a bijection between the equivalence classes of all finite-dimensional graded irreducible Lie colour algebra representations from the irreducible representations for Lie superalgebras. This bijection is obtained by applying the loop module construction iteratively to simple groups in the Jordan–Hölder decomposition of the grading group. Restricting to simple groups in this way greatly simplifies the construction. Despite the bijection between Lie colour algebra representations and Lie superalgebra representations, Lie colour algebras maintain a non-trivial representation theory distinct from that of Lie superalgebras. We demonstrate the applicability of the loop module construction to Lie colour algebras in two examples: a Hilbert space for a quantum mechanical model and representations of a colour version of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Lie colour algebras are a generalisation of Lie superalgebras to grading by any abelian group Γ (rather than just \mathbb{Z}_2) and were introduced by Rittenberg and Wyler [1, 2] in 1978 (however, see also [3]). Lie colour algebras initially found applications in (for example): de Sitter spaces [4–6], quasispin [7], strings [8] and extensions of Poincaré algebras [9, 10]. There has been a recent increase in activity surrounding applications of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebras. This recent activity has mainly been focussed on parastatistics [11–20] and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded quantum mechanics [21–32].

Many Lie colour algebra applications make use of irreducible representations to construct various models. A classification of such representations would therefore be useful. Indeed, in [33], the authors conclude their paper with a desire for a classification of all irreducible representations for the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -supersymmetry algebra with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$. Such a classification motivates the results of this paper.

Various classifications of the algebras themselves under different assumptions have already been performed [34–38]. Moreover, the representation theories of the colour versions of the following algebras have been examined: \mathfrak{gl} , \mathfrak{sl} , \mathfrak{osp} [39, 40], the Lie algebra for the group of plane motions [41], the Heisenberg Lie algebra [42], super Schrödinger algebras [43] and a supersymmetry algebra [33].

One of the most powerful techniques in the study of Lie colour algebras is that of discolouration, which provides a bijection between Lie colour algebras and Γ -graded Lie superalgebras. This bijection preserves much of the important structure, such as subalgebras, ideals and subrepresentations. Discolouration, in the specific case of \mathbb{Z}_2^n -gradings, was introduced concurrently with the algebras themselves [1] and was soon generalised [44] to grading by any finitely generated abelian group.

Discolouration was reformulated in [45], using so-called Klein operators lying outside the universal enveloping algebra. It has since been shown that these Klein operators can be expressed in an algebraic extension of the universal enveloping algebras for a colour version of \mathfrak{gl} [46] or for the non-coloured supersymmetric $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ [47] and that Klein operators appear in the $q \rightarrow -1$

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, ST. LUCIA, QLD 4072, AUSTRALIA, ORCID: 0009-0006-2038-4410

E-mail address: mitchell.ryan@uq.edu.au.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B75, 17B70, 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Color Lie (super)algebras, Graded Lie (super)algebras, irreducible representations.

limit of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ [48]. This version of discolouration has already been applied to the study of the representation theory. For example, in [47] it is shown how the covariant representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ can be lifted to the colour version of \mathfrak{gl} .

Despite widespread awareness of the results of [44], discolouration has rarely been utilised in the recent literature surrounding applications of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebras. Perhaps a partial explanation lies with the following nuance: the discolouration of a Lie colour algebra representation is a Γ -graded representation, whose representation theory can be quite different from the more familiar \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation theory of Lie superalgebras. For example, a Γ -graded irreducible representation may become reducible when considering only the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grade.

Concurrently (yet largely independently) gradings on (non-colour) Lie algebras have been systematically studied, beginning with [49]. The classification of fine gradings on finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field with characteristic zero has been completed—see the monograph [50] and references therein, together with [51–53]. Gradings over fields with nonzero characteristic (see e.g. [50]) and over non-algebraically closed fields (see e.g. [54]) are also under study.

Given that some Lie colour algebras discolour to give graded Lie algebras (i.e. graded Lie superalgebras with trivial odd sector), the classification results of [50–53] for gradings on Lie algebras are also useful for classifying Lie colour algebras. Similarly, a classification of gradings on Lie superalgebras would aid in classifying Lie colour algebras (see [55] and references therein for work in the direction of classifying Lie superalgebra gradings). Application of the grading classification results to the problem of classifying Lie colour algebras has been studied in [36].

Although the research into graded algebras has mainly focussed on classifying the fine gradings, the representation theory of graded algebras has also received attention. Various works have shown how one can construct the graded irreducible modules from ungraded modules via different methods: induced modules [56], thin coverings [57] or loop modules [58].

In this paper, we will focus on the loop module construction, since loop modules are a natural structure to consider in the study of Lie colour algebras. Indeed, loop constructions have already appeared in the Lie colour algebra literature, often under a different name or left unnamed. For example, the ‘enhancement’ of a Lie colour algebra defined in [59] is a twisted version of the loop algebra studied in [60]. Additionally, we will show (Section 3.1) that the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded quantum mechanical Hilbert space realisation in [23] can be constructed as a loop module.

The initial motivation for introducing loop modules was to study graded irreducible representations: every Γ -graded irreducible representation is the loop module of some ungraded irreducible representation [58]. Moreover, the loop module can be used to construct an equivalence between two categories (see [61, Theorem 4.16] for details) and a bijection between equivalence classes of Γ -graded irreducible and Γ/H -graded irreducible modules [61, Remark 7.2]. Although these results were initially proved in the context graded (non-colour) Lie algebras, the results still hold for Lie colour algebras. We make extensive use of these results throughout this paper.

The goal of this paper is twofold: (1) to apply the loop module construction to Lie colour algebras and demonstrate its utility in this context; and (2) to present a more constructive loop module procedure to simplify computations.

For goal (1), we have already mentioned that the loop module already appears in the Lie colour algebra literature through enhancements [59] and a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded quantum mechanical Hilbert space [23]. In addition, we apply the loop module construction (from goal (2)) to a colour version of \mathfrak{sl}_2 to derive all of its irreducible modules. We compare these irreducible colour \mathfrak{sl}_2 modules with those that have already appeared in the literature [62, 63].

The key idea for goal 2 is to take iterated loop modules, only increasing the size of the grading group by a finite simple group H at each step. This simplifies the construction due to the following result: for a finite-dimensional Γ/H -graded irreducible module V , either V or its loop module (but not both) is a Γ -graded irreducible module. If the desired grading group is finite (which is often

the case in applications of Lie colour algebras) we can use the Jordan–Hölder decomposition of the grading group to build up to the full grading group.

This iterated loop module process provides a method for deriving every finite-dimensional Γ -graded irreducible representation from the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations. In particular, we obtain bijections \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 that map between equivalence classes (with equivalence relations stronger than isomorphism) of irreducible representations, as per the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-graded superalgebra irreps} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}_1} & \Gamma\text{-graded superalgebra irreps} \\
 & & \uparrow \text{discolouration} \\
 & & \downarrow \text{recolouration} \\
 \text{ungraded colour algebra irreps} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{F}_2} & \Gamma\text{-graded colour algebra irreps}
 \end{array}$$

These bijections are provided in Theorem 4.8 (the existence of similar bijections were noted in [61, Remark 7.2]). The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible superalgebra representations have been well-studied, and these bijections will allow us to carry across these results to Lie colour algebras. It is important to note that these bijections are more complicated than discolouration and allow for interesting differences in the representation theories.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we review some known results for Lie colour algebras, and results analogous to those for Lie (super)algebras. We then summarise the loop module construction in Section 3. As an example, Section 3.1 uses the loop module to construct the Hilbert space of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetric system of [23]. In Section 4, we show how an iterated loop module construction can be used to derive the finite-dimensional Γ -graded irreducible modules from the Γ/H -graded modules. We give an example of deriving the finite-dimensional irreducible modules of a colour version of \mathfrak{sl}_2 (Section 5) and compare with [62, 63]. We end with some concluding remarks in Section 6.

2. LIE COLOUR ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

A Lie colour algebra generalises a Lie superalgebra to grading by some (additive) abelian group Γ (instead of just \mathbb{Z}_2). For the formal definition of a Lie colour algebra, we refer the reader to [44]. As an illustrative example: if $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$ is an associative algebra such that $A_\alpha A_\beta \subseteq A_{\alpha+\beta}$ then A can be given the structure of a Lie colour algebra with bracket

$$[[x, y]] = xy - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)yx, \quad x \in A_\alpha, y \in A_\beta.$$

Here, $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (where \mathbb{F} is the field of scalars) is called a commutation factor [44] (or antisymmetric bicharacter [62], or phase function [46]). That this generalizes a Lie superalgebra is apparent: a Lie superalgebra is simply a Lie colour algebra graded by the group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ with commutation factor $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^{\alpha \cdot \beta}$. Note that, in general, a commutation factor must satisfy certain conditions (see [44]).

We can define notions such as homomorphisms, subalgebras and representations similarly to superalgebras. However, to retain information about the Γ -grading, we require some maps (such as homomorphisms, intertwiners, and inclusion maps of subalgebras and Γ -graded submodules) to be homogeneous of degree 0, as per the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $W = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . A linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ is *homogeneous of degree $\gamma \in \Gamma$* if $f(V_\alpha) \subseteq W_{\alpha+\gamma}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. (In particular, f is a homogeneous element of the colour algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V, \varepsilon)$, see [44].)

2.1. Representations. Although representations can be defined similarly to those for superalgebras, we make an important distinction between graded and ungraded representations.

Definition 2.2. An *ungraded representation* of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} on a vector space V is a linear map $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ satisfying $\rho(\llbracket x, y \rrbracket) = \rho(x)\rho(y) - \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\rho(y)\rho(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$.

A *Γ -graded representation* of \mathfrak{g} on a Γ -graded vector space V is an ungraded representation that also satisfies $\rho(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)V_\gamma \subseteq V_{\alpha+\gamma}$.

In either case, we say that V is a *\mathfrak{g} -module given by ρ* (or simply a *\mathfrak{g} -module*). We will often omit the representation map ρ .

Obviously, we do not require maps related to ungraded representations (such as intertwiners and submodules) to be homogeneous of degree 0. But we do require this condition of the corresponding maps for graded representations.

We call a (Γ -graded) \mathfrak{g} -module (*Γ -graded irreducible*) if it has no proper non-trivial (Γ -graded) submodules. Note that there is an important distinction between the definitions of (ungraded) irreducible and Γ -graded irreducible: a module may be Γ -graded irreducible but not ungraded irreducible!

Recall that a \mathfrak{g} -module is called completely reducible if it can be written as a direct sum of irreducible submodules. Weyl's Theorem on complete reducibility will not hold for a general Lie colour algebra (indeed, Weyl's Theorem does not hold for Lie superalgebras [64]). As such, we need to manually determine when a \mathfrak{g} -module is completely reducible. The following propositions will be of great help.

Proposition 2.3. *Consider a (Γ -graded or ungraded) \mathfrak{g} -module V that can be written as $V = \sum_{i \in I} V_i$ for irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules V_i ($i \in I$). Then, there exists some subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $V = \bigoplus_{i \in J} V_i$.*

Proposition 2.4. *Submodules and quotient modules of a (Γ -graded or ungraded) completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module are completely reducible.*

These propositions are well-known facts from the module theory of rings (for the proofs in the case of rings, see e.g. [65, Chapter XVII, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2]). The proofs of these propositions for Lie colour algebra modules are exactly the same as for modules over rings.

2.2. Graded quotient modules. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ be a Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by the representation ρ and $U = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} U_\gamma$ a Γ -graded submodule of V (so that $U_\gamma \subseteq V_\gamma$).

Definition 2.5. The *graded quotient module of V by U* , denoted V/U , is a direct sum of quotient vector spaces $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma/U_\gamma$ with \mathfrak{g} -module structure given by $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V/U)$ defined by

$$\pi(x)(v + U_\gamma) = \rho(x)v + U_{\alpha+\gamma} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V_\gamma.$$

The proof that the above representation is well defined is similar to that for Lie superalgebras. The only additional property to check is whether π is homogeneous of degree 0, which is easily verified.

The three isomorphism theorems hold for \mathfrak{g} -modules. Again, the proofs are similar to superalgebras, needing only to verify that all maps are homogeneous of degree 0.

2.3. Discolouration. Scheunert [44] gave a bijection between Lie colour algebras and graded Lie superalgebras and between their representations. This bijection has many nice properties; for example, it preserves subalgebras, ideals and subrepresentations. To compute the image of a colour algebra under this bijection, we deform the bracket using a multiplier.

Given a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} and a multiplier $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ (for the definition, see [44]; also called a multiplicative 2-cocycle [36]), we can define a new bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket^\sigma$ by

$$\llbracket x, y \rrbracket^\sigma = \sigma(\alpha, \beta)\llbracket x, y \rrbracket, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta.$$

Assuming that Γ is finitely generated, we can choose this multiplier σ so that \mathfrak{g} equipped with $[\cdot, \cdot]^\sigma$ forms a Lie superalgebra [44]. We denote this Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}^σ . The map $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is a bijection between the Lie colour algebras with commutation factor ε and the Γ -graded Lie superalgebras [44]. As such, we call \mathfrak{g}^σ a *discolouration* of \mathfrak{g} . Discolouration is an invertible procedure, and we will call $(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)^{1/\sigma} = \mathfrak{g}$ the *recolouration* of \mathfrak{g}^σ .

Note that there is an alternative method of discolouration which works by adding new elements, called Klein operators, to the universal enveloping algebra (see [45–48]). However, for the remainder of this paper we will use the original discolouration process of [44] described in this section.

It is also possible to discolour representations. Given a graded representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V, \varepsilon)$ and a discolouring multiplier $\sigma: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$, we can define the discolouration of ρ to be the graded representation ρ^σ of \mathfrak{g}^σ given by

$$\rho^\sigma(x)v = \sigma(\alpha, \gamma)\rho(x)v, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V_\gamma.$$

Similarly, the map $\rho \mapsto \rho^\sigma$ is a bijection between the graded representations of \mathfrak{g} and the graded representations of the corresponding discoloured Γ -graded Lie superalgebra [44]. Thus, the representation theory of Lie colour algebras can be completely derived from that of Γ -graded Lie superalgebras.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations of Lie superalgebras have been well studied. However, these results cannot be immediately applied to find Γ -graded irreducible representations. For instance, it might not be possible to find a Γ -grading for a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation. On the other hand, there might be a Γ -graded irreducible representation that becomes reducible when considered as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation.

3. LOOP MODULE

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will assume that Γ is a finite additive abelian group and that the ambient field \mathbb{F} contains a primitive root of unity of order $|\Gamma|$ (for instance, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ will do).

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Γ -graded Lie colour algebra with commutation factor ε and let H be a subgroup of the (additive) abelian group Γ . Notice that \mathfrak{g} has a natural Γ/H grading, given by $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Recall [44] that \mathfrak{g} also has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma, \quad \mathfrak{g}^1 = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma.$$

where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \varepsilon(\gamma, \gamma) = 1\}$ and $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$. If we choose $H \leq \Gamma_0$, then the Γ/H -grading refines the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma_0/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^1 = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma_1/H} \mathfrak{g}_\Lambda^{\Gamma/H}.$$

This is useful if we want to prevent H from interfering with discolouration.

Definition 3.1 (Mazorchuk–Zhao [58]; see also [60]). Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module given by ρ . Recall that the group algebra $\mathbb{F}\Gamma$ is the algebra with basis $\{e_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and algebra product defined by $e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta = e_{\alpha+\beta}$. The vector space $V \otimes \mathbb{F}\Gamma$ can be given a \mathfrak{g} -module structure by

$$x(v \otimes e_\beta) = xv \otimes e_{\alpha+\beta}$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $v \otimes e_\beta \in V \otimes \mathbb{F}\Gamma$. The *Loop module of V by H* is the Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module

$$L_H(V) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_{\gamma+H} \otimes e_\gamma \subset V \otimes \mathbb{F}\Gamma.$$

The γ -sector of $L_H(V)$ is $L_H(V)_\gamma = V_{\gamma+H} \otimes e_\gamma$.

Not every Γ/H -graded module V can be given a Γ -grading. Intuitively, the loop module adds in multiple copies of V to ensure that there is enough ‘space’ for a refined Γ -grading. If V can be given a Γ -grading, then the loop module contains V :

Proposition 3.2. *If V can be given a Γ -grading that refines the Γ/H -grading, then V is a Γ -graded submodule of $L_H(V)$.*

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be the Γ -grading, with $V_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ (i.e. the Γ -grading refines the Γ/H grading). Define a map $\Phi: V \rightarrow L_H(V)$ by $\Phi(w) = w \otimes e_\gamma$ for $w \in W_\gamma$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. Linearity and injectivity of Φ follow immediately. The map Φ is homogeneous of degree 0 by definition. And Φ is an intertwiner: for $w \in W_\gamma$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$,

$$\Phi(xw) = xw \otimes e_{\alpha+\gamma} = x(w \otimes e_\gamma) = x(\Phi(w)) \quad \square$$

As well as a Γ -grading, $L_H(V)$ has a natural Γ/H -grading given by

$$L_H(V) = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} V_\Lambda \otimes e_\gamma \right).$$

Remark 3.3. If $\Gamma = K \times H$ for some group K , then we could equivalently define the loop module to be $V \otimes \mathbb{F}[H]$ with representation given by $x(v \otimes e_h) = xv \otimes (e_{h+\eta})$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\kappa, \eta)}$ and $v \otimes e_h \in V \otimes \mathbb{F}[H]$. Compare with [59], where this construction, together with a twist by a multiplier σ on $\mathbb{F}[H]$, is called an *enhancement*. The enhancement approach is used in [36] and [59] to study the structure of simple Lie colour algebras themselves (rather than their modules). An enhancement of a Lie colour algebra is similar to the loop algebra construction studied elsewhere [58, 60].

3.1. loop module and quantum mechanical systems. A common way to obtain a Γ -graded colour supersymmetric quantum mechanical system is by modifying an existing supersymmetric system. This is the approach taken in (for example) [23], where the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetric system presented in [23, Section III] can be obtained by introducing an additional central charge to Witten’s model [66, Section 6]. In [23], the Hilbert space realisation for this $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded system was constructed independently from that of Witten’s model. In this section, we will demonstrate that this $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space realisation can be constructed from Witten’s realisation using a loop module. In this way, the loop module has already appeared in the Lie colour algebra literature and is a natural structure to consider in the study of Lie colour algebras.

Witten considered [66, Section 6] a superalgebra with even sector spanned by a Hamiltonian H and odd sector spanned by two supercharges Q_1 and Q_2 satisfying

$$[Q_i, H] = 0 \quad \{Q_i, Q_j\} = \delta_{ij} H$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$. This algebra was realised as operators acting on two component Pauli spinors in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^W = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ via

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(p^2 + W^2(x) + \hbar \sigma_3 \frac{dW}{dx} \right), \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_1 p + \sigma_2 W(x)), \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_2 p - \sigma_1 W(x)).$$

where σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices, $W \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $p = -i\hbar d/dx$ is the momentum operator. This Hilbert space representation is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representation of the superalgebra, with grading given by $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}_1$ (that is, the first component of the spinor has an even grade and the second component has an odd grade).

By adding a central charge,

$$Z = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\sigma_3 (p^2 + W^2(x)) + \hbar \frac{dW}{dx} \right)$$

the original superalgebra becomes a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded colour Lie superalgebra (without requiring recolouration) with sectors spanned by

$$00\text{-sector: } H \quad 01\text{-sector: } Q_1 \quad 11\text{-sector: } Z \quad 10\text{-sector: } Q_2$$

and satisfying the following additional relations

$$[Q_2, Q_1] = Z \quad \{Q_i, Q_i\} = H$$

while all other Lie colour brackets vanish. We can easily verify that \mathcal{H}^W becomes an ungraded module of the Lie colour algebra.

Taking the loop module $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{H}^W)$ turns \mathcal{H}^W into a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded module. Here, we use $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \{00, 11\} \leq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Set

$$\mathcal{H}_{00} = \mathcal{H}_0^W \otimes e_{00} \quad \mathcal{H}_{11} = \mathcal{H}_0^W \otimes e_{11} \quad \mathcal{H}_{01} = \mathcal{H}_1^W \otimes e_{01} \quad \mathcal{H}_{10} = \mathcal{H}_1^W \otimes e_{10}.$$

Then, the loop module is $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{H}^W) = \mathcal{H}_{00} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{01} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{10} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ (note the order of the sectors). We can then explicitly compute the representation on four-component spinors:

$$\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_1) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_2 \\ Q_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z \\ Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the representation for $L_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathcal{H}^W)$. Setting $H_{00} = 2\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(H)$, $Q_{01} = \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_1)$, $Q_{10} = \rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Q_2)$ and $Z_{11} = 2\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(Z)$, we obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -supersymmetry model in [23, Section III] (with $m = 1/2$).

The above example demonstrates that the loop module provides a natural method for constructing the Hilbert space of a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded supersymmetry model from a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded supersymmetry model. The loop module can be applied to other colour supersymmetric models constructed in a similar way.

3.2. Loop module and irreducibility. Under the assumptions outlined at the beginning of this section, and for \mathfrak{g} a Γ -graded Lie algebra (i.e. with trivial commutation factor $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$), [58] showed that every Γ -graded irreducible module can be obtained from the non-graded ones via the loop module:

Theorem 3.4 (Mazorchuk–Zhao [58, Theorem 24]). *Let W be a Γ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. There exists a subgroup $H \leq \Gamma$ and an ungraded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module V such that W is isomorphic to $L_H(V)$ as a Γ -graded module.*

Note that this theorem still holds if \mathfrak{g} is instead a Lie colour algebra with non-trivial commutation factor (e.g. if \mathfrak{g} is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie superalgebra). Indeed, the proof works for modules over any associative algebra; in particular, over the universal enveloping algebra for \mathfrak{g} . A similar result [58, Theorem 31] is available if Γ is an infinite group, but we will restrict our attention to the finite case in this paper.

The above theorem reduces the study of Γ -graded irreducible modules to the study of ungraded modules. In combination with discolouration, this allows us to derive all such Γ -graded irreducible colour algebra representations from the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible superalgebra representations.

However, one difficulty with applying Theorem 3.4 in practice is that the choice of H in the theorem is not necessarily unique i.e. potentially $W \cong L_{H_1}(V) \cong L_{H_2}(V)$ for non-isomorphic subgroups $H_1, H_2 \leq G$. Determining when two loop modules are isomorphic is an open problem [58, Problem 36].

Building on the work of [58], it was shown that the loop module construction defines a functor and can be extended to an equivalence between two categories (see [61] for details). Moreover, using Brauer invariants, it was shown that the loop module defines a bijection between equivalence classes of Γ/H -graded irreducible and Γ -graded irreducible modules [61, Remark 7.2].

4. ITERATED LOOP MODULE BIJECTION

In this section, we will present a bijection between equivalence classes of Γ/H - and Γ -graded irreducible representations, similar to that of [61]. However, instead of using Brauer invariants, we will provide an alternate iterative approach. Given a finite grading group Γ , we suggest using the Jordan–Hölder decomposition

$$(1) \quad \Gamma \triangleright N_\ell \triangleright N_{\ell-1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright N_1 \triangleright \{0\}$$

and looking at submodules of the following iterated loop module

$$(2) \quad L_{N_1/\{0\}}(\cdots L_{N_\ell/N_{\ell-1}}(L_{\Gamma/N_\ell}(V)) \cdots).$$

(Note that we are making use of the isomorphism $\Gamma/N_\ell \cong (\Gamma/N_{\ell-1})/(N_\ell/N_{\ell-1})$ (for instance)). Note that this iterative approach uses only simple modules, which greatly simplifies the possible options.

Our strategy is as follows: we first prove that every finite-dimensional Γ -graded irreducible module appears as a quotient of a loop module (Lemma 4.1). The loop module is completely reducible both as a Γ/H - and Γ -graded module (Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 respectively) and we explicitly provide the direct sum decompositions. Comparing these direct sum decompositions limits the possible Γ -graded irreducible modules to either Γ/H -graded irreducible modules or their loop modules. We use these results to construct the bijection between Γ/H - and Γ -graded modules (Theorem 4.8) up to equivalence defined by the irreducible direct summands.

4.1. Quotient modules of the loop module. As in Section 3, let \mathfrak{g} be a Γ -graded Lie colour algebra and V be a finite-dimensional Γ/H -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. With the iterated loop module construction of (2) in mind, we will assume that H is simple. Note that, since H is abelian, finite and simple, we must have that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p .

In general, the loop module $L_H(V)$ will not be Γ -graded irreducible. However, to construct such a Γ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module, we can simply quotient out by a Γ -graded maximal submodule. Since $L_H(V)$ may have many Γ -graded maximal submodules, this procedure may yield many non-isomorphic Γ -graded modules for each Γ/H -graded maximal submodule. Regardless, we will show that every Γ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module can be constructed in this way.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Lie colour algebra. Let $W = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be a finite-dimensional Γ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. Then, for $H \leq \Gamma$, there exists a Γ/H -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module V such that W is a graded quotient of $L_H(V)$.*

Proof. Note that W has a natural Γ/H -grading given by

$$\bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma \right).$$

Let V be a Γ/H -graded irreducible submodule of W . Such a V exists by strong induction on the dimension of W . (See also [58, Lemma 23].)

Take $v \in V_\Lambda$ and write

$$(3) \quad v = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} w_\gamma$$

for $w_\gamma \in W_\gamma$. For $\gamma \in \Lambda$, let $\pi_\gamma: V_\Lambda \rightarrow W_\gamma$ be the projection of V_Λ onto W_γ ,

$$\pi_\gamma(v) = w_\gamma.$$

Note that π_γ is well-defined because the linear combination in (3) is unique due to the direct sum $V_\Lambda \subseteq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$. Additionally, it is easy to see that π_γ is linear.

Note that, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, we have $xv = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} x\pi_\gamma(v)$. Since $x\pi_\gamma(v) \in W_{\alpha+\gamma}$ and such a linear combination of homogeneous elements is unique, we have that $\pi_{\alpha+\gamma}(xv) = x\pi_\gamma(v)$ by the definition of $\pi_{\alpha+\gamma}$.

Define a map $\Phi: L_H(V) \rightarrow W$ by

$$\Phi(v \otimes e_\gamma) = \pi_\gamma(v).$$

Then

$$x\Phi(v \otimes e_\gamma) = x\pi_\gamma(v) = \pi_{\alpha+\gamma}(xv) = \Phi(x(v \otimes e_\gamma))$$

so Φ is in intertwiner.

Therefore, by the First Isomorphism Theorem, $\text{im } \Phi \cong L_H(V)/\ker \Phi$. But $\text{im } \Phi$ is a Γ -graded submodule of W , so $\text{im } \Phi = 0$ or $\text{im } \Phi = W$ by irreducibility of W . However, since $V \neq 0$, and Φ is defined using projections, we clearly have $\text{im } \Phi \neq 0$. We conclude that $\text{im } \Phi = W$; hence $W \cong L_H(V)/\ker \Phi$ as claimed. \square

Lemma 4.1 provides us with a general method of obtaining the Γ -graded irreducible modules from the Γ/H -graded ones; namely find all Γ -graded maximal submodules of $L_H(V)$ and take quotients. Note that we can first fix H and then find all Γ -graded irreducible modules. Compare this with Theorem 3.4, where we must choose a different H for each Γ -graded module.

4.2. Γ/H -grading: loop module is completely reducible. The loop module is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module. It is shown in [58] that the irreducible direct summands are isomorphic to V as vector spaces, but the \mathfrak{g} -action is twisted by an element of the character group $\widehat{\Gamma}$. These direct summands will restrict which quotients are possible, and hence which Γ -graded irreducible representations are possible in Lemma 4.1. We will now briefly recount these results.

Let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be the character group: the group of all homomorphisms $\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ with pointwise multiplication the group operation. Our earlier assumption that \mathbb{F} contains a primitive root of unity of order $|\Gamma|$ ensures that $\widehat{\Gamma} \cong \Gamma$. Let $H^\perp = \{f \in \widehat{\Gamma} \mid f(\eta) = 1 \text{ for all } \eta \in H\}$. Note that since Γ is finite, $|\widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp| = |H|$.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded \mathfrak{g} -module with representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. For each $f \in \widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$, we define the twisted module V^f to be equal to V as a vector space, but with the following twisted representation $\rho^f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V^f)$

$$\rho^f(x)v = f(\alpha)\rho(x)v \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, v \in V.$$

Note that $f(\alpha)$ will be a root of unity.

As a Γ/H -module, the loop module is a direct sum of all such twisted modules V^f :

Lemma 4.2 (Mazorchuk–Zhao [58, Lemma 20]). *Let H be a finite group and $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a Γ/H -graded module of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{F} . Assume that \mathbb{F} has a primitive root of unity of order $|\Gamma|$. As a Γ/H -graded module, the loop module $L_H(V)$ is isomorphic to*

$$\bigoplus_{f \in \widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp} V^f.$$

If we assume that V is irreducible, then Lemma 4.2 (together with Proposition 4.3 (i) below) shows that $L_H(V)$ is completely reducible as a Γ/H -graded module and gives a direct sum decomposition. In combination with Lemma 4.1, this restricts the possible structures of the Γ -graded irreducible modules.

For use in later proofs, we now prove three important properties of V^f .

Proposition 4.3. *The following three properties hold:*

- (i) *If V is Γ/H -graded irreducible then V^f is Γ/H -graded irreducible.*
- (ii) *There is an equality of \mathfrak{g} -modules: $(L_H(V))^f = L_H(V^f)$.*

(iii) If V can be given a Γ -grading that refines the Γ/H -grading, then $V^f \cong V$.

Proof. Since the action of ρ only differs from ρ^f by a scalar (at most), $\rho(\mathfrak{g})U \subseteq U$ if and only if $\rho^f(\mathfrak{g})U \subseteq U$ for any submodule U of V or V^f . Property (i) follows.

For (ii), $(L_H(V))^f$ equals $L_H(V^f)$ as a vector space and both of these modules have the same representation: for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $v \in V_{\gamma+H}$,

$$x \cdot (v \otimes e_\gamma) = f(\alpha)\rho(x)v \otimes e_\gamma.$$

To show (iii), let $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma$ be the Γ -grading, with $V_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} W_\gamma$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ (i.e. the Γ -grading refines the Γ/H grading). Take $v \in W_\gamma$ and define $\Phi: V \rightarrow V^f$ by

$$\Phi(v) = f(\gamma)v.$$

Obviously, Φ is a linear isomorphism and homogeneous of degree 0. Additionally, Φ is an intertwiner:

$$\Phi(\rho(x)v) = f(\alpha + \gamma)\rho(x)v = f(\alpha)\rho(x)f(\gamma)v = \rho^f(x)\Phi(v)$$

completing the proof. \square

It is clear from the definition and the above propositions that V^f has a very similar module structure to V . This will be useful when examining the structure of $L_H(V)$.

4.3. Γ -grading: loop module is completely reducible. We will now show that the loop module is completely reducible as a Γ -graded module. Similar to Γ/H -graded case, the Γ -graded irreducible direct summands all have a similar structure: they are all (what we will call) H -parity shifts of the same Γ -graded irreducible module. A H -parity shift is obtained by simply applying a circular shift to each of the sectors.

Comparing the Γ - and Γ/H -graded irreducible direct summands will give us more information about the structure of the loop module. This will allow us to conclude that the only possible Γ -graded direct summands of $L_H(V)$ are V with a Γ -grading or $L_H(V)$ itself.

4.3.1. Direct sum decomposition. Take some $h \in H$ and a Γ -graded module W . We can define the *H -parity shift of W by h* as a new Γ -graded module $W^{+h} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} W_\gamma^{+h}$, where $W_\gamma^{+h} = W_{\gamma+h}$. Obviously, a H -parity shift of W is still a Γ -graded \mathfrak{g} -module.

Following this definition, we make three immediate observations:

- (1) A H -parity shift might yield a module isomorphic to the original—for example, any H -parity shift of the loop module $L_H(V)$ is isomorphic to $L_H(V)$.
- (2) If W is irreducible then W^{+h} is irreducible.
- (3) The \mathfrak{g} -modules W and W^{+h} are isomorphic as Γ/H -graded modules.

Proposition 4.4. *Let V be a Γ/H -graded module and W be a Γ -graded submodule of $L_H(V)$. Then W^{+h} can be embedded in $L_H(V)$.*

Proof. Define a map $\Psi: W^{+h} \rightarrow L_H(V)$ by

$$\Psi(w \otimes e_{\gamma+h}) = w \otimes e_\gamma$$

for $w \otimes e_{\gamma+h} \in W_\gamma^{+h}$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. This map is obviously an embedding of W^{+h} into $L_H(V)$. \square

From now on, we will identify W^{+h} with its embedding in $L_H(V)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $H \leq \Gamma$ be a finite simple group and $V = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \Gamma/H} V_\Lambda$ be a finite-dimensional Γ/H -graded irreducible module of a Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{F} . Then, the loop module $L_H(V)$ is completely reducible as a Γ -graded module with*

$$L_H(V) = \bigoplus_{h \in K} W^{+h}$$

for any Γ -graded irreducible module $W \subseteq L_H(V)$ and some subset $K \subseteq H$.

Proof. Take any Γ -graded irreducible module $W \subseteq L_H(V)$. Such a module exists because $L_H(V)$ is finite-dimensional.

Define a map $\Phi: W \rightarrow V$ by

$$\Phi(w \otimes e_\gamma) = w$$

for $w \otimes e_\gamma \in W_\gamma \subseteq L_H(V)_\gamma$ and extending to inhomogeneous elements by linearity. We claim that Φ is a Γ/H -graded intertwiner. That Φ is linear and homogeneous of degree 0 is obvious. For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, we have

$$\Phi(x(w \otimes e_\gamma)) = \Phi(xw \otimes e_{\alpha+\gamma}) = xw = x\Phi(w \otimes e_\gamma)$$

which proves the claim.

We now know that $\Phi(W)$ is a Γ/H -graded submodule of V . But since $W \neq 0$, we must have that $\Phi(W) \neq 0$. Since V is Γ/H -graded irreducible, we must have that $\Phi(W) = V$.

Now, consider the module $\sum_{h \in H} W^{+h} \subseteq L_H(V)$. In particular, consider the γ -sector $\sum_{h \in H} W_\gamma^{+h}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Applying the map Φ ,

$$\Phi \left(\sum_{h \in H} W_\gamma^{+h} \right) = \sum_{h \in H} \Phi \left(W_\gamma^{+h} \right) = \sum_{h \in H} \Phi \left(W_{\gamma+h} \right) = \Phi \left(\bigoplus_{h \in H} W_{\gamma+h} \right) = V_{\gamma+H}$$

using the fact $\Phi(W) = V$ (and recalling the embedding in Proposition 4.4). By examining the definition of Φ , we find that $\sum_{h \in H} W_\gamma^{+h} = V_{\gamma+H} \otimes e_\gamma = L_H(V)_\gamma$. Therefore, $\sum_{h \in H} W^{+h} = L_H(V)$.

All that remains to show is that we can take a subset of H to obtain a direct sum. But this follows from Proposition 2.3. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let V be Γ/H -graded irreducible. Every Γ -graded irreducible submodule of $L_H(V)$ is isomorphic as a Γ/H -graded submodule to either $L_H(V)$ or V .*

Proof. Take W a Γ -graded irreducible submodule of $L_H(V)$. By Lemma 4.5,

$$L_H(V) = \bigoplus_{h \in K} W^{+h}.$$

Since $L_H(V)$ is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module, we know that W is a completely reducible Γ/H -graded module with direct summands contained in those of $L_H(V)$. By Lemma 4.2, W is a direct sum of character twists V^f ($f \in \widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$). Let n be the number of Γ/H -graded direct summands in W .

Then, the number of direct summands in $L_H(V)$ as a Γ/H -graded module is equal to $n|K|$. But, from Lemma 4.2, the number of direct summands is equal to $|\widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp| = |H| = p$. Therefore, $n = 1$ or $n = p$. If $n = p$ then $W \cong L_H(V)$. If $n = 1$ then W is isomorphic to V^f for some $f \in \widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$. But then W gives V^f a Γ -grading, so $W \cong V^f \cong V$ by Proposition 4.3 (iii). \square

In combination with Lemma 4.1, the above theorem tells us that every Γ -graded module appears as either a Γ/H -graded irreducible module or the loop module of one. This result will greatly aid in the search for graded irreducible Lie colour algebra representations. Noting that V is isomorphic to $L_{\{0\}}(V)$, Theorem 4.6 is a similar result as [58, Theorem 24] (restated in Theorem 3.4): every Γ -graded module is a loop module. However, there are two important distinctions between Theorem 4.6 and [58, Theorem 24]: restricting H to a simple group greatly restricts the possible loop modules, and H does not rely on the choice of V .

One difficulty remains: in the former case, finding a Γ -grade for a Γ/H -graded module can be difficult in general. For some results about the gradability of modules see [56]. To aid with this, we prove a uniqueness result for the Γ -grade.

4.3.2. *Uniqueness of the Γ -grade.* It is possible for two modules to be non-isomorphic as Γ -graded modules but isomorphic as Γ/H -graded modules. This is important to keep in mind when trying to find a Γ -grading for a Γ/H -graded irreducible module; there might be multiple *different* Γ -gradings, all of which must be considered. However, the following proposition gives a uniqueness result for the Γ -grade.

Proposition 4.7. *If V is a finite-dimensional Γ/H -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module, then any two Γ -gradings for V are equivalent up to H -parity shift.*

More precisely, this proposition tells us that if $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{V}_\gamma$ are two Γ -gradings for V which both refine the Γ/H grading (i.e. for each $\Lambda \in \Gamma/H$ the original Λ -sector is $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} V_\gamma = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \tilde{V}_\gamma$) then there exists $\eta \in H$ such that $V_\gamma^{+\eta} = \tilde{V}_\gamma$.

Proof. Both $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$ and $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{V}_\gamma$ appear as Γ -graded irreducible submodules of $L_H(V)$ by Proposition 3.2. Since all graded Γ -graded irreducible direct summands of $L_H(V)$ are H -parity shifts of V by Lemma 4.5, the result follows. \square

4.4. **The bijection.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ be a Lie colour algebra and $H \leq \Gamma$ be a finite simple group. It is easily verified that twists by an element of $\hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$ and H -parity shifts both define equivalence relations. Let $\text{lrr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the collection of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional Γ/H -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules, where two Γ/H -graded modules are equivalent up to a twist by a character in $\hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$. Let $\text{lrr}_\Gamma^{\text{Hp}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the collection of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional Γ -graded irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules, where two Γ -graded modules are equivalent up to H -parity shift.

Theorem 4.8. *Define a function $\mathcal{F}: \text{lrr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}} \rightarrow \text{lrr}_\Gamma^{\text{Hp}}$ by*

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{F}(V) = \begin{cases} V & \text{if } V \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-gradable} \\ L_H(V) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where V and $L_H(V)$ are representatives of the equivalence classes. Then \mathcal{F} is well-defined (does not depend on the choice of representative V) and bijective.

Proof. The Γ -gradability remains unchanged after applying an isomorphism or after twisting by $f \in \hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$. And any Γ -grading of V is unique up to H -parity shift (Proposition 4.7). Observe that $\mathcal{F}(V^f) = (\mathcal{F}(V))^f \cong \mathcal{F}(V)$ (using Proposition 4.3 (ii)), since the image of \mathcal{F} is Γ -graded in either case and all twists by $\hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$ of a Γ -graded module are isomorphic (Proposition 4.3 (iii)). We can hence deduce that \mathcal{F} is well defined.

For injectivity, assume that $\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$. Using Lemma 4.2, we know that V is not Γ/H -graded isomorphic to $L_H(\tilde{V})$, so V cannot be equivalent to $L_H(\tilde{V})$ in $\text{lrr}_\Gamma^{\text{Hp}}$ (since a H -parity shift is a Γ/H -graded isomorphism). Thus, if $\mathcal{F}(V) = V$ then $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}) = \tilde{V}$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}) = \tilde{V}$ then $\mathcal{F}(V) = V$. But if $\mathcal{F}(V) = V$ and $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}) = \tilde{V}$ are equivalent in $\text{lrr}_\Gamma^{\text{Hp}}$, then we must have $V \cong \tilde{V}$ as Γ/H -modules. That is, \mathcal{F} is injective in this case.

There is one remaining case to check for injectivity: $\mathcal{F}(V) = L_H(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}) = L_H(\tilde{V})$. In this case we appeal to Lemma 4.2 to find that both $\mathcal{F}(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$ are completely reducible as Γ/H -graded modules with each direct summand isomorphic to a twist by some $f \in \hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$. In particular, V appears as a direct summand of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{V})$, so we must have $V \cong \tilde{V}^f$ for some $f \in \hat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$. That is, V and \tilde{V} are equivalent in $\text{lrr}_{\Gamma/H}^{\text{tw}}$ and thus \mathcal{F} is injective.

For surjectivity, take an arbitrary finite dimensional Γ -graded irreducible module W . By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.5, this module appears as a submodule of the loop module of some Γ/H -graded irreducible module V . By Theorem 4.6, the only Γ -graded submodules of $L_H(V)$ are either $L_H(V)$ itself or V with a suitable Γ -grading. If V can be given a Γ -grading then it appears as a Γ -graded

submodule of $L_H(V)$ (by Proposition 3.2) making $L_H(V)$ reducible. Thus, there is only one option (up to H -parity shift) for what W could have been. This only option is $\mathcal{F}(V)$ and so \mathcal{F} is surjective. \square

Recall that a similar bijection was noted in [61, Remark 7.2] via the use of Brauer invariants. We did not make use of Brauer invariants, but instead assumed that H is a simple group. This assumption gave the bijection \mathcal{F} the very simple form (4).

However, in general, it may be difficult to determine which case of \mathcal{F} in (4) applies. There are multiple options we can test:

- Try to find a Γ -grading for V (see [56] for some results about Γ -gradability).
- Try to find Γ -graded proper submodules of $L_H(V)$ (all of which will provide a Γ -grading for V) or else prove that $L_H(V)$ is Γ -graded irreducible (and hence V will not be Γ -gradable).
- Check whether the twists of V by elements of $\widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$ are isomorphic. If not, then V cannot be Γ -graded (by Proposition 4.3 (iii)).

Taking $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H = \{00, 11\}$, the above theorem (in combination with discolouration/recolouration) gives a way to construct the graded irreducible modules of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded colour Lie superalgebras from the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie superalgebras. More generally, we can use iterated applications of the theorem to produce all of the Γ -graded irreducible modules for any finite abelian group Γ . Theorem 4.8 also gives us a bijection between the graded and ungraded modules of the colour algebra.

5. EXAMPLE: COLOUR \mathfrak{sl}_2

As an example of applying Theorem 4.8, we will determine the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2^c over \mathbb{C} . The algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2^c is a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebra with commutation factor $\varepsilon(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}$ and sectors spanned by the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{00-sector: } 0 & \text{10-sector: } a_1 & \text{01-sector: } a_2 & \text{11-sector: } a_3 \end{array}$$

and equipped with a bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ which satisfies

$$\llbracket a_1, a_2 \rrbracket = a_3 \qquad \llbracket a_2, a_3 \rrbracket = a_1 \qquad \llbracket a_3, a_1 \rrbracket = a_2.$$

Using the multiplier $\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_2\beta_1}$, \mathfrak{sl}_2^c discolours to a Lie algebra with Lie bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket^\sigma = [\cdot, \cdot]$ given by

$$[a_1, a_2] = a_3 \qquad [a_2, a_3] = -a_1 \qquad [a_3, a_1] = -a_2.$$

These commutation relations are satisfied by the following realisation

$$(5) \quad a_1 = \frac{i}{2}(e - f) \qquad a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(e + f) \qquad a_3 = -\frac{i}{2}h.$$

in terms of a standard basis $\{h, e, f\}$ for \mathfrak{sl}_2 , ($[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$). Consequently, the discolouration $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^c)^\sigma$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 (hence the notation).

Both the ungraded [62] and graded [63] irreducible representations have appeared in the literature. In [62], the authors found all the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c by embedding the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2^c)$ in $M_2(U(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ (the space of 2×2 matrices with entries in $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$). In [63], the corresponding graded irreducible representations for the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded (non-colour) \mathfrak{sl}_2 were obtained using ideals generated by central elements of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The approach that we will take is presented in the more general context of the loop module construction.

Our strategy is as follows:

- (1) find all of the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;
- (2) use the techniques from the preceding sections to find the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;

- (3) similarly, find the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 ;
- (4) recolour to obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^ζ ;
- (5) find the ungraded irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2^ζ as subrepresentations.

5.1. Irreducible representations for \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Recall that \mathfrak{sl}_2 has a unique irreducible representation V_λ of dimension $\lambda + 1$ for every highest weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We will choose a basis $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\lambda\}$ for V_λ such that

$$hv_j = (\lambda - 2j)v_j \quad ev_j = (\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} \quad fv_j = (j + 1)v_{j+1}$$

with the convention $v_{-1} = v_{\lambda+1} = 0$. In particular, note that v_0 is the highest weight vector. Using (5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1v_j &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} - (j + 1)v_{j+1}) \\ a_2v_j &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{j-1} + (j + 1)v_{j+1}) \\ a_3v_j &= -\frac{i}{2}(\lambda - 2j)v_j. \end{aligned}$$

5.2. \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded irreducible representations. We wish to find the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations. So, we choose $\Gamma_1 = H_1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 / \{00, 11\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Under this group and the original \mathfrak{sl}_2^ζ grading, the sectors for \mathfrak{sl}_2 are spanned by the following elements:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{0-sector: } a_3 & \text{1-sector: } a_1, a_2. \end{array}$$

The ungraded module V_λ can be given a Γ_1 -grading: $V_{\lambda,0}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,1}^E$ where

$$V_{\lambda,0}^E = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ even}\} \quad V_{\lambda,1}^E = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ odd}\}.$$

We can easily check that $a_1V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+1}^E$, $a_2V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+1}^E$ and $a_3V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Call this Γ_1 -graded module V_λ^E .

Since V_λ is ungraded irreducible, V_λ^E is Γ_1 -graded irreducible. By shifting the H_1 -parity of V_λ^E , we get another Γ_1 -graded irreducible module $V_\lambda^O = V_{\lambda,0}^O \oplus V_{\lambda,1}^O$ where

$$V_{\lambda,0}^O = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ odd}\} \quad V_{\lambda,1}^O = \text{span}\{v_j \mid j \text{ even}\}.$$

By Proposition 4.7, we do not need to search for any other gradings. And by Proposition 3.2, we do not need to check the loop module at all. Thus, the finite-dimensional Γ_1 -graded irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules are V_λ^E and V_λ^O for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

5.3. $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations. Now we wish to find the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded representations. So, we choose $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H_2 = \{00, 11\}$. We will now find the Γ_2 -gradings, with the aid of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} W_\gamma$ be a Γ_2 -grading for V_λ . Then $v_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{0,\gamma}$ where $v_{0,\gamma} \in W_\gamma$ is some linear combination of v_0 and v_λ (possibly equal to zero).*

Proof. Since $h = 2ia_3$ is homogeneous of degree 11, we find that $h^2W_\gamma \subseteq W_\gamma$. If we project v_0 onto each sector and write $v_0 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{0,\gamma}$, for $v_{0,\gamma} \in W_\gamma$ then we find that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} h^2v_{0,\gamma} = h^2(v_0) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} \lambda^2v_{0,\gamma}.$$

In particular, $h^2v_{0,\gamma} = \lambda^2v_{0,\gamma}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$. Using our knowledge of the eigenvectors of h , we find that $v_{0,\gamma}$ must be a linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . \square

Remark 5.2. A similar result holds for $v_\lambda = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} v_{\lambda,\gamma}$.

Lemma 5.1 tells us that at least one sector of any Γ_2 -grading must contain a nonzero linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . Our strategy is to then apply a_1 and a_2 to this linear combination to help deduce the structure of a Γ_2 -grading or prove that one cannot exist.

5.3.1. *The λ even case.* If λ is even, then both v_0 and v_λ are in $V_{\lambda,0}^E$. Therefore, using Lemma 5.1, we find that the 00-sector or 11-sector must contain a nonzero linear combination of v_0 and v_λ . We choose (after some trial and error) $v_0 + v_\lambda$ to be in the 00-sector and $v_0 - v_\lambda$ to be in the 11-sector. With this choice, we can find a Γ_2 -grading for V_λ^E :

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{\lambda,00}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j + v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, & V_{\lambda,10}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j - v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, \\ V_{\lambda,01}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j + v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, & V_{\lambda,11}^{E+} &= \text{span}\{v_j - v_{\lambda-j} \mid j \text{ even}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote this Γ_2 -graded module by V_λ^{E+} . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) - (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_2(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) + (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_3(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= -\frac{i}{2}((\lambda - 2j)(v_j \mp v_{\lambda-j})) \end{aligned}$$

from which we can easily verify that $a_1 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+10}^{E+}$, $a_2 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+01}^{E+}$ and $a_3 V_{\lambda,\gamma}^{E+} \subseteq V_{\lambda,\gamma+11}^{E+}$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$. Since V_λ^E is Γ_2 -graded irreducible, so is V_λ^{E+} . By shifting the H_2 -parity of V_λ^{E+} , we get another Γ_2 -graded irreducible module V_λ^{E-} , which can be obtained from V_λ^{E+} by swapping the 00- with the 11-sector and the 10- with the 01-sector. Note that V_λ^{E+} and V_λ^{E-} are not isomorphic, because $v_{\lambda/2} = (1/2)(v_{\lambda/2} + v_{\lambda-\lambda/2})$ (the unique vector with weight 0) will be in different sectors.

Performing similar computations with V_λ^O , we find two more Γ_2 -graded irreducible modules V_λ^{O+} and V_λ^{O-} (these can be obtained from V_λ^{E+} by swapping the 00- with the 01-sector and the 10- with the 11-sector to get V_λ^{O+} ; and by swapping the 00- with the 10-sector and the 01- with the 11-sector to get V_λ^{O-}). By Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.8 we do not need to search for any more Γ_2 -graded irreducible modules in the case where λ is even.

5.3.2. *The λ odd case.*

Lemma 5.3. *If λ is odd, then V_λ^E cannot be given a Γ_2 -grading.*

Proof. For contradiction, assume that we can give V_λ^E a Γ_2 -grading, $V_\lambda^E = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_2} V_{\lambda,\gamma}^E$. From the structure of V_λ^E , we know that $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,0}^E = V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,11}^E$. Therefore, we can write $v_0 = v_{0,00} + v_{0,11}$ for $v_{0,00} \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$, $v_{0,11} \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$. By Lemma 5.1, both $v_{0,00}$ and $v_{0,11}$ are linear combinations of v_0 and v_λ . But since $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,1}^E = V_{\lambda,10}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,01}^E$, we must have that both $v_{0,00}$ and $v_{0,11}$ are scalar multiples of v_0 . And since they sum to $v_0 \neq 0$, at least one of them must be nonzero. That is, $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ or $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$. An analogous argument shows that $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,10}^E$ or $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$.

If $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$ then applying a_1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 2ia_1 v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E \\ v_2 &= ia_1 v_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E \\ &\vdots \\ v_{j+1} &= \frac{2i}{j+1} a_1 v_j + \frac{\lambda-j+1}{j+1} v_{j-1} \in V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding inductively, we find that $V_\lambda^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,00}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,10}^E$. But, by applying a_1 to v_λ in a similar way, we find that $V_\lambda^E \subseteq V_{\lambda,01}^E \oplus V_{\lambda,11}^E$, a contradiction! We similarly arrive at a contradiction if we assume $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,10}^E$; $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,00}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,10}^E$; or $v_0 \in V_{\lambda,11}^E$ and $v_\lambda \in V_{\lambda,01}^E$. (Note that in some of these cases we need to use a_2 instead of a_1 .) \square

We have just shown that V_λ^E cannot be given a Γ_2 -grading if λ is odd. By Theorem 4.6, the loop module $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)$ must therefore be a Γ_2 -graded irreducible representation. If we take a basis $\{v_{\alpha,j} \mid \alpha \in H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, j = 0, \dots, \lambda\}$ for $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)$, where

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)_{00} &= \text{span}\{v_{0,j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, & L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)_{10} &= \text{span}\{v_{1,j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, \\ L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)_{01} &= \text{span}\{v_{0,j} \mid j \text{ odd}\}, & L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)_{11} &= \text{span}\{v_{1,j} \mid j \text{ even}\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can compute the action as

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 v_{\alpha,j} &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j-1} - (j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j+1}) \\ a_2 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha,j-1} + (j + 1)v_{\alpha,j+1}) \\ a_3 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{i}{2}(\lambda - 2j)v_{\alpha+1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

By similar reasoning, we find that $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^O)$ is a Γ_2 -graded irreducible representation. We can obtain $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^O)$ from $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)$ by swapping the 00- with the 01-sector and the 10- with the 11-sector. Note that if we shift the H_2 -parity of either of these modules, we get the same module. By Theorem 4.8, the only Γ_2 -graded irreducible modules for the case where λ is odd are $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E)$ and $L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^O)$.

5.4. Recolouring. To recolour \mathfrak{sl}_2 to \mathfrak{sl}_2^c we use the multiplier $\sigma(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = (-1)^{\alpha_2\beta_1}$. To obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c , we use this same multiplier for the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Theorem 5.4. *The only finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules for \mathfrak{sl}_2^c are:*

(i) *For each even number λ , the four modules which are equivalent to $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ up to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -parity shift. The sectors of $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ are given as in (6) and the action is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) - (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \mp v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_2(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \mp \frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)(v_{j-1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j-1)}) + (j + 1)(v_{j+1} \pm v_{\lambda-(j+1)})) \\ a_3(v_j \pm v_{\lambda-j}) &= \mp \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - 2j)(v_j \mp v_{\lambda-j})). \end{aligned}$$

The dimension of these modules is $\lambda + 1$.

(ii) *For each odd number λ , the two modules which are equivalent to $(L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E))^\sigma$ up to $\{00, 01\}$ -parity shift. The sectors of $(L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E))^\sigma$ are given as in (7) and the action is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 v_{\alpha,j} &= \frac{i}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j-1} - (j + 1)v_{\alpha+1,j+1}) \\ a_2 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{(-1)^\alpha}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)v_{\alpha,j-1} + (j + 1)v_{\alpha,j+1}) \\ a_3 v_{\alpha,j} &= -\frac{i(-1)^\alpha}{2}(\lambda - 2j)v_{\alpha+1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

The dimension of these modules is $2(\lambda + 1)$.

Proof. Use the results from the previous sections and the facts that $\sigma(10, \beta) = 1$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and

$$\sigma(01, \beta) = \sigma(11, \beta) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta = 10, 11 \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta = 00, 01. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Note that the irreducible modules in the above theorem are recoloured versions of the torsion-free modules previously appearing in [63]. The above theorem proves, in addition, that these modules are the *only* $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ modules.

Note that, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there is a unique equivalence class of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules. This corresponds exactly to the unique irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules, demonstrating the bijection in Theorem 4.8.

5.5. Ungraded irreducible representations for $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 5.5. *The ungraded irreducible representations for $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ all appear as ungraded subrepresentations of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible representations in Theorem 5.4.*

Proof. If we knew the ungraded irreducible representations for $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$, then we could use the same process in the preceding sections to derive the same $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules. By applying Theorem 4.8 twice, these $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded irreducible modules are either irreducible as ungraded modules, a loop module or a loop module of a loop module. In any case, the original ungraded irreducible modules must appear as ungraded submodules of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded modules. \square

Note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded modules which are equivalent up to H -parity shift (for some $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$) are isomorphic as ungraded modules.

Lemma 5.6. *If λ is even, then $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ is irreducible as an ungraded module.*

Proof. Choose a new basis $\{u_j \mid j = 0, \dots, \lambda\}$ where $u_j = (v_j + v_{\lambda-j}) + i(-1)^j(v_j - v_{\lambda-j})$. Note that this is not linearly dependent since $u_{\lambda-j} = (v_j + v_{\lambda-j}) - i(-1)^j(v_j - v_{\lambda-j})$. The action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ is then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 u_j &= \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} - (j + 1)u_{j+1}) \\ a_2 u_j &= -\frac{1}{2} ((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} + (j + 1)u_{j+1}) \\ a_3 u_j &= \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} (\lambda - 2j)u_j \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $u_{-1} = u_{\lambda+1} = 0$. In particular,

$$(a_2 + a_1)u_j = \begin{cases} -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} & \text{if } j \text{ even} \\ -(j + 1)u_{j+1} & \text{if } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$(a_2 - a_1)u_j = \begin{cases} -(j + 1)u_{j+1} & \text{if } j \text{ even} \\ -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1} & \text{if } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

So, if we take an arbitrary element $\sum_j c_j u_j$ of $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$, then we can alternate applying $(a_2 + a_1)$ and $(a_2 - a_1)$ (i.e. we apply $((a_2 - a_1)(a_2 + a_1))^k$ or $(a_2 - a_1)((a_2 + a_1)(a_2 - a_1))^k$ for some non-negative integer k) to raise/lower the basis elements of $\sum_j c_j u_j$. Eventually, this procedure will yield a scalar multiple of either u_0 or u_λ , from which we can generate the entire module. Since the entire module can be generated from a single vector, $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ is irreducible. \square

Now we consider the case when λ is odd. Then $(L_{H_2}(V_\lambda^E))^\sigma = U_\lambda^{++} \oplus U_\lambda^{+-} \oplus U_\lambda^{-+} \oplus U_\lambda^{--}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi} &= \text{span}\{u_j^{\zeta\xi} \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, (\lambda - 1)/2\} \\ u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= v_{0,j} + \zeta i(-1)^j v_{1,j} + \xi v_{0,\lambda-j} - \zeta \xi i(-1)^j v_{1,\lambda-j} \end{aligned}$$

for $\zeta, \xi \in \{-1, +1\}$. The action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad a_1 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2}(-1)^j((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} - (j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi}) & \left(j < \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}\right) \\ a_1 u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2}(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} \left(\frac{\lambda + 3}{2} u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} - \xi \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} \right) \\ a_2 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{1}{2}((\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} + (j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi}) & \left(j < \frac{\lambda - 1}{2}\right) \\ a_2 u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda + 3}{2} u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} + \xi \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} \right) \\ a_3 u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= -\frac{\zeta}{2}(-1)^j(\lambda - 2j)u_j^{\zeta\xi} \end{aligned}$$

with the convention $u_{-1} = 1$. (Note that $u_j^{\zeta\xi}$ was chosen so that it was an eigenvector of a_3 .) Clearly $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ gives rise to four non-isomorphic modules, since the action of a_1 , a_2 and a_3 on $u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi}$ (the unique eigenvector for a_3 which has eigenvalue with absolute value $1/2$) is different for different values of ζ, ξ .

Lemma 5.7. $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ is irreducible as an ungraded module.

Proof. Observe that, for $j < (\lambda - 1)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_2 + a_1)u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = 1 \\ -(j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 + a_1)u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda+3}{2}u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = 1 \\ -\xi\frac{\lambda+1}{2}u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 - a_1)u_j^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -(j + 1)u_{j+1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = 1 \\ -(\lambda - j + 1)u_{j-1}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^j = -1 \end{cases} \\ (a_2 - a_1)u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} &= \begin{cases} -\xi\frac{\lambda+1}{2}u_{(\lambda-1)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = 1 \\ -\frac{\lambda+3}{2}u_{(\lambda-3)/2}^{\zeta\xi} & \text{if } \zeta(-1)^{(\lambda-1)/2} = -1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Take an arbitrary element $\sum_j c_j u_j$ of $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.6 we alternate applying $a_2 + a_1$ and $a_2 - a_1$. In this case, we are able to obtain a scalar multiple of u_0 from $\sum_j c_j u_j$, from which we can generate the entire module. \square

In summary, we have proven the following theorem:

Theorem 5.8. The only finite-dimensional ungraded irreducible modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ are

- (i) For each even number λ , the module $(V_\lambda^{E+})^\sigma$ with action given in (8). The dimension of these modules is $\lambda + 1$.
- (ii) For each odd number λ and each $\zeta, \xi \in \{-1, +1\}$, the module $U_\lambda^{\zeta\xi}$ with action given in (9). The dimension of these modules is $(\lambda + 1)/2$.

This theorem agrees with the previous result of [62, Theorem 5.2], but is presented in the context of the theory in this paper.

Remark 5.9. It is clear that the modules $U_\lambda^{+\xi}$ and $U_\lambda^{-\xi}$ are twists of each other, with twisting character $f \in \widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$ given by $f(00) = f(01) = 1$ and $f(10) = f(11) = -1$ (here we can choose $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2/\{00, 01\}$ and $H = \Gamma$). If we instead choose $f(00) = f(11) = 1$ and $f(01) = f(10) = -1$ (with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2/\{00, 11\}$, $H = \Gamma$), then we find that the twist of $U_\lambda^{\zeta^+}$ by f is isomorphic to $U_\lambda^{\zeta^-}$.

The above remark shows that $U^{\zeta\xi}$ are all equivalent up to twist by $\widehat{\Gamma}/H^\perp$ for different values of ζ, ξ . Consequently, there is a unique equivalence class in Theorem 5.8 for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This corresponds exactly to the equivalence classes for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded \mathfrak{sl}_2^c -modules in Theorem 5.4, again demonstrating the bijection in Theorem 4.8.

Remark 5.10. Although the ungraded \mathfrak{sl}_2^c representations were derived from the ungraded \mathfrak{sl}_2 representations, they have a remarkably different structure. This shows that, despite the procedure outlined in this paper, colour algebras still have an interesting representation theory.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The loop module is a natural structure to consider in the study of Lie colour algebras, including their applications to quantum mechanical systems. In this direction, we showed that the Hilbert space of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded quantum mechanical system of [23] can be obtained via a loop module. The loop module could potentially be a useful tool for constructing quantum mechanical systems in future work.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 4.8) is the existence of a bijection between finite-dimensional Γ - and Γ/H -graded irreducible representations (up to equivalence relations stronger than isomorphism). This bijection makes use of iterated loop modules following the simple groups in the Jordan–Hölder decomposition. A similar bijection had previously been noted in [61, Remark 7.2], but the iterated loop module by simple groups simplifies the construction. In combination with discolouration/recolouration, this bijection provides a general procedure for generating all of the Γ -graded Lie colour algebra representations from the well-studied \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie superalgebra representations.

As an example of applying the bijection, we constructed all of the irreducible representations for the Lie colour algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2^c . These irreducible representations agree with those previously derived in [62, 63] using a different construction. Despite the bijection of Theorem 4.8, these $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie colour algebra representations have a remarkably different structure from those of the ungraded discoloured Lie algebra.

The bijection (Theorem 4.8) also has applications to the study of Lie colour algebra quantum mechanical systems. For example, we could potentially apply this procedure to construct the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -supersymmetry algebras with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$, whose classification is desired for the quantum mechanical systems studied in [33]. Future research should work to obtain a general classification of irreducible Lie colour algebra representations, possibly by applying the bijection of this paper to existing classifications.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Kenneth Price for making him aware of [59], and an anonymous reviewer for directing him to the loop module construction of [58]. The author is especially grateful to Phil Isaac for his invaluable guidance, and for taking the time to suggest improvements to the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Rittenberg, D. Wyler, Generalized superalgebras, Nuclear Phys. B 139 (3) (1978) 189–202. [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(78\)90186-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(78)90186-4).
- [2] V. Rittenberg, D. Wyler, Sequences of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ graded Lie algebras and superalgebras, J. Math. Phys. 19 (10) (1978) 2193–2200. [doi:10.1063/1.523552](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.523552).
- [3] R. Ree, Generalized Lie elements, Canadian J. Math. 12 (1960) 493–502. [doi:10.4153/CJM-1960-044-x](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1960-044-x).

- [4] J. Lukierski, V. Rittenberg, Color-de Sitter and color-conformal superalgebras, *Phys. Rev. D* (3) 18 (2) (1978) 385–389. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.18.385](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.18.385).
- [5] M. A. Vasil'iev, de Sitter supergravity and generalized Lie superalgebras, in: *Proceedings of the third seminar on quantum gravity (Moscow, 1984)*, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1985, pp. 340–362.
- [6] V. N. Tolstoy, Super-de Sitter and alternative super-Poincaré symmetries, in: *Lie theory and its applications in physics*, Vol. 111 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, Springer, Tokyo, 2014, pp. 357–367. [doi:10.1007/978-4-431-55285-7_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-55285-7_26).
- [7] P. D. Jarvis, M. Yang, B. G. Wybourne, Generalized quasispin for supergroups, *J. Math. Phys.* 28 (5) (1987) 1192–1197. [doi:10.1063/1.527566](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527566).
- [8] A. A. Zheltukhin, On a para-Grassmann extension of the Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond algebra, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 71 (2) (1987) 218–225.
- [9] L. A. Wills Toro, (I, q) -graded Lie algebraic extensions of the Poincaré algebra, constraints on I and q , *J. Math. Phys.* 36 (4) (1995) 2085–2112. [doi:10.1063/1.531102](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531102).
- [10] L. A. Wills-Toro, Trefoil symmetries. I. Clover extensions beyond Coleman-Mandula theorem, *J. Math. Phys.* 42 (8) (2001) 3915–3934. [doi:10.1063/1.1383561](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1383561).
- [11] W. Yang, S. Jing, A new kind of graded Lie algebra and parastatistical supersymmetry, *Sci. China Ser. A* 44 (9) (2001) 1167–1173. [doi:10.1007/BF02877435](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02877435).
- [12] S.-C. Jing, W.-M. Yang, P. Li, Graded Lie algebra generating of parastatistical algebraic relations, *Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing)* 36 (6) (2001) 647–650. [doi:10.1088/0253-6102/36/6/647](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/36/6/647).
- [13] K. Kanakoglou, A. Herrera-Aguilar, Ladder operators, Fock-spaces, irreducibility and group gradings for the relative parabose set algebra, *Int. J. Algebra* 5 (9-12) (2011) 413–427.
- [14] K. Kanakoglou, A. Herrera-Aguilar, Graded fock-like representations for a system of algebraically interacting paraparticles, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 287 (1) (2011) 012037. [doi:10.1088/1742-6596/287/1/012037](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/287/1/012037).
- [15] V. N. Tolstoy, Once more on parastatistics, *Phys. Part. Nuclei Lett.* 11 (2014) 933–937. [doi:10.1134/S1547477114070449](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1547477114070449).
- [16] N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie superalgebra $psl(2m+1|2n)$ and new parastatistics representations, *J. Phys. A* 51 (13) (2018) 135201, 17. [doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aaae9a](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aaae9a).
- [17] F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded parastatistics in multiparticle quantum Hamiltonians, *J. Phys. A* 54 (11) (2021) Paper No. 115203, 35. [doi:10.1088/1751-8121/abe2f2](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abe2f2).
- [18] F. Toppan, Inequivalent quantizations from gradings and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ parabosons, *J. Phys. A* 54 (35) (2021) Paper No. 355202, 21. [doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ac17a5](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac17a5).
- [19] R. Zhang, Para-spaces, their differential analysis and an application to green's quantisation (2023). [arXiv:2312.04250](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.04250).
- [20] N. I. Stoilova, J. V. d. Jeugt, Orthosymplectic $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie superalgebras and parastatistics, *J. Phys. A* 57 (9) (2024) Paper No. 095202, 13.
- [21] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie symmetries of the Lévy-Leblond equations, *PTEP. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* (12) (2016) 123A01, 26. [doi:10.1093/ptep/ptw176](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw176).
- [22] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, Generalized supersymmetry and the lévy-leblond equation, in: S. Duarte, J.-P. Gazeau, S. Faci, T. Micklitz, R. Scherer, F. Toppan (Eds.), *Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017, pp. 79–84.
- [23] A. J. Bruce, S. Duplij, Double-graded supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* 61 (6) (2020) 063503, 13. [doi:10.1063/1.5118302](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5118302).
- [24] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded mechanics: the classical theory, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 (2020). [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8242-x](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8242-x).
- [25] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded mechanics: The quantization, *Nuclear Phys. B* 967 (2021) 115426. [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115426](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115426).
- [26] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, \mathcal{N} -extension of double-graded supersymmetric and superconformal quantum mechanics, *J. Phys. A* 53 (6) (2020) 065205, 14. [doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ab661c](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab661c).
- [27] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, \mathbb{Z}_2^n -graded extensions of supersymmetric quantum mechanics via Clifford algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 61 (5) (2020) 052105, 13. [doi:10.1063/1.5144325](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5144325).
- [28] S. Doi, N. Aizawa, \mathbb{Z}_2^3 -graded extensions of Lie superalgebras and superconformal quantum mechanics, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 17 (2021) Paper No. 071, 14. [doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2021.071](https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2021.071).
- [29] N. Aizawa, K. Amakawa, S. Doi, Color algebraic extension of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, in: *Quantum theory and symmetries*, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 199–207. [doi:10.1007/978-3-030-55777-5_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55777-5_18).
- [30] A. J. Bruce, Is the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded sine-Gordon equation integrable?, *Nuclear Phys. B* 971 (2021) Paper No. 115514, 10. [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115514](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115514).
- [31] C. Quesne, Minimal bosonization of double-graded quantum mechanics, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* 36 (33) (2021) Paper No. 2150238, 8. [doi:10.1142/S0217732321502382](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732321502382).

- [32] N. Aizawa, R. Ito, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, [New aspects of the \$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\$ -graded 1D superspace: induced strings and 2D relativistic strings](#), Nuclear Phys. B 991 (2023) Paper No. 116202, 27. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116202.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116202>
- [33] N. Aizawa, S. Doi, Irreducible representations of \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry algebra and \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded supermechanics, J. Math. Phys. 63 (9) (2022) Paper No. 091704, 12. doi:10.1063/5.0100182.
- [34] S. D. Silvestrov, On the classification of 3-dimensional coloured Lie algebras, in: Quantum groups and quantum spaces (Warsaw, 1995), Vol. 40 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1997, pp. 159–170.
- [35] Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, Classification of minimal $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie (super)algebras and some applications, J. Math. Phys. 62 (6) (2021) Paper No. 063512, 22. doi:10.1063/5.0050200.
- [36] Y. Bahturin, D. Pagon, Classifying simple color Lie superalgebras, in: Algebras, representations and applications, Vol. 483 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 37–54. doi:10.1090/conm/483/09433.
- [37] R. Lu, Y. Tan, [Construction of color Lie algebras from homomorphisms of modules of Lie algebras](#), J. Algebra 620 (2023) 1–49. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2022.12.028.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2022.12.028>
- [38] N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, [On classical \$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\$ -graded Lie algebras](#), J. Math. Phys. 64 (6) (2023) Paper No. 061702, 8. doi:10.1063/5.0149175.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0149175>
- [39] H. S. Green, P. D. Jarvis, Casimir invariants, characteristic identities, and Young diagrams for color algebras and superalgebras, J. Math. Phys. 24 (7) (1983) 1681–1687. doi:10.1063/1.525911.
- [40] K. Amakawa, N. Aizawa, A classification of lowest weight irreducible modules over \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -graded extension of $osp(1|2)$, J. Math. Phys. 62 (4) (2021) Paper No. 043502, 18. doi:10.1063/5.0037493.
- [41] S. D. Silvestrov, Hilbert space representations of the graded analogue of the Lie algebra of the group of plane motions, Studia Math. 117 (2) (1996) 195–203. doi:10.4064/sm-117-2-195-203.
- [42] G. Sigurdsson, S. D. Silvestrov, Bosonic realizations of the colour Heisenberg Lie algebra, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 13 (suppl. 1) (2006) 110–128. doi:10.2991/jnmp.2006.13.s.13.
- [43] N. Aizawa, J. Segar, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ generalizations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Schrödinger algebras and their representations, J. Math. Phys. 58 (11) (2017) 113501, 14. doi:10.1063/1.4986570.
- [44] M. Scheunert, Generalized Lie algebras, J. Math. Phys. 20 (4) (1979) 712–720. doi:10.1063/1.524113.
- [45] R. Kleeman, Generalized quantization and colour algebras, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Adelaide (1985).
- [46] D. S. McAnally, A. J. Brakcen, Uncolouring of Lie colour algebras, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 55 (3) (1997) 425–452. doi:10.1017/S0004972700034080.
- [47] P. S. Isaac, N. I. Stoilova, J. Van der Jeugt, The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded general linear Lie superalgebra, J. Math. Phys. 61 (1) (2020) 011702, 7. doi:10.1063/1.5138597.
- [48] A. M. Almutairi, P. S. Isaac, [A connection between \$U_q\(\mathfrak{sl}\(3\)\)\$ and \$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\$ -graded special linear Lie colour algebras via Klein operators](#), J. Math. Phys. 65 (1) (2024) Paper no. 013503, 6. doi:10.1063/5.0163904.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0163904>
- [49] J. Patera, H. Zassenhaus, [On Lie gradings. I](#), Linear Algebra Appl. 112 (1989) 87–159. doi:10.1016/0024-3795(89)90591-0.
URL [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(89\)90591-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(89)90591-0)
- [50] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov, [Gradings on simple Lie algebras](#), Vol. 189 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Atlantic Association for Research in the Mathematical Sciences (AARMS), Halifax, NS, 2013. doi:10.1090/surv/189.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/189>
- [51] C. Draper, A. Viruel, [Fine gradings on \$e_6\$](#) , Publ. Mat. 60 (1) (2016) 113–170.
URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pm/1450818485>
- [52] J. Yu, [Maximal abelian subgroups of compact simple Lie groups of type E](#), Geom. Dedicata 185 (2016) 205–269. doi:10.1007/s10711-016-0175-z.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-016-0175-z>
- [53] A. Elduque, [Gradings on algebras over algebraically closed fields](#), in: Non-associative and non-commutative algebra and operator theory, Vol. 160 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 113–121. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-32902-4_7.
URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32902-4_7
- [54] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov, A. Rodrigo-Escudero, [Gradings on associative algebras with involution and real forms of classical simple Lie algebras](#), J. Algebra 590 (2022) 61–138. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.10.006.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.10.006>
- [55] C. D. N. Hornhardt, H. S. Dos Santos, M. Kochetov, [Group gradings on the superalgebras \$M\(m, n\)\$, \$A\(m, n\)\$ and \$P\(n\)\$](#) , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223 (4) (2019) 1590–1616. doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2018.06.019.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2018.06.019>

- [56] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov, *Graded modules over classical simple Lie algebras with a grading*, Israel J. Math. 207 (1) (2015) 229–280. doi:10.1007/s11856-015-1174-8.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-015-1174-8>
- [57] Y. Billig, M. Lau, *Thin coverings of modules*, J. Algebra 316 (1) (2007) 147–173. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.01.002.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.01.002>
- [58] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, *Graded simple Lie algebras and graded simple representations*, Manuscripta Math. 156 (1-2) (2018) 215–240. doi:10.1007/s00229-017-0960-5.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-017-0960-5>
- [59] K. L. Price, *Enhancing lie color algebras*, Communications in Algebra 52 (5) (2024) 1956–1964. doi:10.1080/00927872.2023.2278667.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2023.2278667>
- [60] B. Allison, S. Berman, J. Faulkner, A. Pianzola, *Realization of graded-simple algebras as loop algebras*, Forum Math. 20 (3) (2008) 395–432. doi:10.1515/FORUM.2008.020.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1515/FORUM.2008.020>
- [61] A. Elduque, M. Kochetov, *Graded simple modules and loop modules*, in: Groups, rings, group rings, and Hopf algebras, Vol. 688 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 53–85. doi:10.1090/comm/688.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/comm/688>
- [62] X.-W. Chen, S. D. Silvestrov, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Representations and cocycle twists of color Lie algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory 9 (6) (2006) 633–650. doi:10.1007/s10468-006-9027-0.
- [63] Y. Bahturin, A. Shihadeh, *Graded torsion-free $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -modules of rank 2*, J. Algebra Appl. 21 (11) (2022) Paper No. 2250229, 24. doi:10.1142/S0219498822502292.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498822502292>
- [64] V. G. Kac, *A sketch of Lie superalgebra theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 53 (1) (1977) 31–64.
URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103900590>
- [65] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd Edition, Vol. 211 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0041-0>
- [66] E. Witten, *Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry*, Nuclear Phys. B 188 (3) (1981) 513–554. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90006-7.