e-injective coloring: injective and 2-distance colorings conjectures

Shahrzad Sadat Mirdamad^a, Doost Ali Mojdeh^{b*}

 $^{a,b}\mbox{Department}$ of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences

University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

^as.mirdamad03@umail.umz.ac.ir

^bdamojdeh@umz.ac.ir

Abstract

An injective coloring of a given graph G = (V, E) is a vertex coloring of G such that any two vertices with common neighbor receive distinct colors. An *e*-injective coloring of a graph G is a vertex coloring of G such that any two vertices with common edge neighbor receive distinct colors; in the other words, if u and v are the end of a path P_4 in a graph G, then they are assigned with different labels. With this new definition, we want to take a review at injective coloring of a graph from the new point of view. For this purpose, we will have a comparison between *e*-injective coloring with usual coloring, injective coloring, and 2-distance coloring. As well, we review the conjectures raised so far in the literature of injective coloring and 2-distance coloring, from the new approach, *e*-injective coloring. Finally, we precisely investigate the *e*-injective coloring of trees, join of two graphs, a family of standard graphs, grid graphs, cylinder graphs and tori graphs.

Keywords: Graph coloring, *e*-injective coloring, injective coloring, 2-distance coloring, conjectures, graph product.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15.

1 Introduction

Graph coloring has many applications in various fields of life, such as timetabling, scheduling daily life activities, scheduling computer processes, registering allocations to different institutions and libraries, manufacturing tools, printed circuit testing, routing and wavelength, bag rationalization for a food manufacturer, satellite range scheduling, and frequency assignment. These are some applications out of the many that already exist and many to come.

A proper k-coloring (here after, k-coloring) of a graph G is a function $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, 3, ..., k\}$ such that for all edge $xy \in E$, $f(x) \neq f(y)$. The chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that G has a k-coloring.

In 1969, Kramer and Kramer [11] introduced the notion of 2-distance coloring of a graph G or the usual proper coloring of G^2 (we can see also their survey on general distance coloring in [10]) and we see some of its applications in [6, 12]. A 2-distance k-coloring of a graph G is a function

^{*}Corresponding author

 $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$, such that no pair of vertices at distance at most 2, receive the same color, in the other words, the colors of the vertices of all P_3 paths in the graph are distinct. The 2-distance chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G^2)$, is the minimum positive integer k such that G has a P_3 -k-coloring. The 2-distance coloring of G, is a proper coloring.

For a graph G, the subset S of V(G) is said to be a dominating set if any vertex $x \in V \setminus S$ is adjacent to a vertex y in S. A dominating set of G with minimum cardinality is called the domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. A subset D of V(G) is said to be 2-distance dominating set if any vertex $d \in V \setminus D$, is in at most 2-distance of to a vertex in D. A 2-distance dominating set of G with minimum cardinality is called the 2-distance domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_2(G)$.

The injective coloring was first introduced in 2002 by Hahn et al. [7] and it was also further studied in [2, 4, 14, 17, 18]. In [1], Brešar et al. studied the concept of injective coloring from two novel perspectives (related to open packing and the two-step graph operation) and with this view, there were proved several general bounds on the injective chromatic number. An injective k-coloring of a graph G is a function $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, k\}$ such that no vertex v is adjacent to two vertices u and w with f(u) = f(w), in the other words, for any path $P_3 = xyz$, we have $f(x) \neq f(z)$. The injective chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi_i(G)$, is the minimum positive integer k such that G has an injective k-coloring. The injective chromatic number of the hypercube has important applications in the theory of error-correcting codes. As it is well known, the injective coloring of G, is not necessarily proper coloring. Injective coloring of a graph G is related to the usual coloring of the square G^2 . The inequality $\chi_i(G) \leq \chi_2(G)$ obviously holds.

There are several results related to injective coloring that review the usual coloring results in graph theory from a new point of view, in particular on the injective chromatic number of planar graphs. As well, many conjectures on planar graphs have been posed and studied by authors so far. In this regard, we bring up some of them as follows.

From the relation between the injective coloring of a graph G and the usual coloring of the square G^2 , Wegner [19] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. [19] Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree Δ . The chromatic number of G^2 is at most

1. 7, if $\Delta = 3$, 2. $\Delta + 5$, if $4 \le \Delta \le 7$, 3. $|\frac{3}{2}\Delta| + 1$, otherwise.

Lužar, R. Škrekovski in [13] showed that, **Theorem A.** ([13] Theorem 2.1) There exist planar graphs G of maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$ satisfying the following

1. $\chi_i(G) = 5$, if $\Delta = 3$, 2. $\chi_i(G) = \Delta + 5$, if $4 \le \Delta \le 7$, 3. $\chi_i(G) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}\Delta \rfloor + 1$, if $\Delta \ge 8$. Adapted from Theorem A, they proposed the following Wegner type conjecture for the injective chromatic number of planar graphs.

Conjecture 2. [13] Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree Δ . The injective chromatic number $\chi_i(G)$ of G is at most

(i) 5, if $\Delta = 3$, (ii) $\Delta + 5$, if $4 \le \Delta \le 7$, (iii) $|\frac{3}{2}\Delta| + 1$, otherwise.

By the relation between injective chromatic number and 2-distance chromatic number of a graph; showing the truth of Conjecture 1 (parts (2), (3)), will deduce the truth of Conjecture 2 (parts (ii), (iii)).

Now we introduce a new concept of vertex coloring (near to injective coloring) as an *e*injective coloring of a graph. The motivation of the alleging is to study, how it behaves against of the injective graph coloring, usual graph coloring, 2-distance graph coloring, packing set and 2-distance dominating set of graphs. As well, in particular we investigate the posed conjectures from the point of view of *e*-injective colorings. Also since the notion of *e*-injective coloring is near to injective coloring, one can predict, it has applications in various fields of life in real world and would also be useful in coding theory as so did injective coloring.

This concept is introduced as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a graph. A function $f : V(G) \to \{1, 2, 3, ..., k\}$ is an *e*-injective k-coloring function if any two vertices with common edge neighbor receive distinct colors under f; in the other words, if u and v are the end of path $P_4 = uxyv$ in G, then $f(u) \neq f(v)$.

The *e-injective chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi_{ei}(G)$, is the minimum positive integer k such that G has an *e*-injective k-coloring.

The e-injective coloring of G, is not necessarily proper coloring.

This concept can be expressed as new discourse.

Definition 1.2. For a given graph G, the three-step graph $S_3(G) = G^{(3)}$ of a graph G is the graph having the same vertex set as G with an edge joining two vertices in $S_3(G)$ if and only if there is a path of length 3 between them in G.

Taking into account, the fact that a vertex subset S is independent in $S_3(G)$ if and only if there is no path of length 3 between any two vertices corresponding of S in G, we can readily observe that:

$$\chi_{ei}(G) = \chi(S_3(G))$$

From the point of view of e-injective coloring, the type of conjectures 1 and 2 can be declared as a problem, which can be argued in next section.

Problem 1.3. Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree Δ . Then $\chi_{ei}(G)$ is at most

1. 5, if $\Delta = 3$,

2. $\Delta + 5$, if $4 \le \Delta \le 7$,

3. $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}\Delta \rfloor + 1$, otherwise.

In the sequel of this section, we introduce some notations. We use [3, 20] as a reference for terminology and notation which are not explicitly defined here. Throughout the paper, we consider G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E =E(G). The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V$ is the set $N(v) = \{u | uv \in E\}$, and its closed neighborhood is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. The cardinality of |N(v)| is called the degree of v, denoted by deg(v). The minimum degree of G is denoted by $\delta(G)$ and the maximum degree by $\Delta(G)$. A vertex v of degree 1 is called a pendant vertex or a leaf, and its neighbor is called a support vertex. A vertex of degree n-1 is called a full or universal vertex while a vertex of degree 0 is called an isolated vertex. For any two vertices u and v of G, we denote by $d_G(u, v)$ the distance between u and v, that is the length of a shortest path joining u and v. The path, cycle and complete graph with n vertices are denoted by P_n , C_n and K_n respectively. The complete bipartite graph with n and m vertices in their partite sets is denoted by $K_{n,m}$, while the wheel graph with n + 1 vertices is denoted by W_n . A star graph with n + 1 vertices, denoted by S_n , consists of n leaves and one support vertex. A double star graph is a graph consisting of the union of two star graphs S_m and S_n , with one edge joining their support vertices; the double star graph with m + n + 2 vertices is denoted by $S_{m,n}$.

The join of two graphs G and H, denoted by $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and H with vertex set $V(G \vee H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G \vee H) = E(G) \cup$ $E(H) \cup \{xy \mid x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$. A fan graph is a simple graph consisting of joining \overline{K}_m and P_n ; the fan graph with m + n vertices is denoted by $F_{m,n}$. For two sets of vertices X and Y, the set [X, Y] denotes the set of edges e = uv such that $u \in X$ and $v \in Y$.

The square graph G^2 is a graph with the same vertex set as G and with its edge set given by $E(G^k) = \{uv | dist(u, v) \leq 2\}$. The chromatic number $\chi(G^2)$ of G^2 (or 2-distance chromatic number $\chi_2(G)$ of G) has been studied extensively in planar graph [9, 16]. One of the important applications of the chromatic number of G^2 is in the field of Steganography, which is the study of hiding messages within an ordinary and non-secret message to avoid detection [5].

A subset $B \subseteq V(G)$ is a packing set in G if for every pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in B$, $N_G[u] \bigcap N_G[v] = \emptyset$. The packing number $\rho(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of a packing set in G. A subset $B \subseteq V(G)$ is an open packing set in G if for every pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in B$, $N_G(u) \bigcap N_G(v) = \emptyset$. The open packing number $\rho^{\circ}(G)$ is the maximum cardinality among all open packing sets in G.

Let G and H be simple graphs. For three standard products of graphs G and H, the vertex set of the product is $V(G) \times V(H)$ and their edge set is defined as follows.

• In the Cartesian product $G \Box H$, two vertices are adjacent if they are adjacent in one coordinate and equal in the other.

• In the direct product $G \times H$, two vertices are adjacent if they are adjacent in both coordinates.

• The edge set of the strong product $G \boxtimes H$, is the union of $E(G \square H)$ and $E(G \times H)$.

A grid graph is a graph obtain from the Cartesian product of paths P_m and P_n . A cylinder graph is a graph obtain from the Cartesian product of path P_m and cycle C_n . A tori graph is a graph obtain from the Cartesian product of C_m and C_n .

In the end of this section, we explore the purpose of the paper as follows. In Section 2, we study $\chi_{ei}(G)$ versus to the $\chi(G)$, $\chi_2(G)$ and $\chi_i(G)$, as well, we review the conjectures raised so far in the literature of injective and 2-distance colorings, from the new approach, *e*-injective coloring, and by disproving the Problem 1.3, we show that the Conjectures 1 and 2 may be

wrong. We prove that, for any tree T $(T \neq S_n)$, $\chi_{ei}(T) = \chi(T)$, for disjoint graphs G, H, with non-empty edge sets, $\chi_{ei}(G \cup H) = max\{\chi_{ei}(G), \chi_{ei}(H)\}$ and $\chi_{ei}(G \vee H) = |V(G)| + |V(H)|$. The *e*-injective chromatic number of G versus of the maximum degree and packing number of G is investigated, and denote $max\{\chi_{ei}(G), \chi_{ei}(H)\} \leq \chi_{ei}(G \Box H) \leq \chi_2(G)\chi_2(H)$. In Section 3, we obtain the exact value of *e*-injective chromatic number of some standard graphs and finally, we argue on the *e*-injective coloring of grid graphs, cylinder graphs and tori graphs and gain their *e*-injective chromatic number in Section 4. We end the paper with discussion on research problems.

2 General results

We maybe cannot compare $\chi_{ei}(G)$ with $\chi(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, $\chi_i(G)$ or $\chi_2(G)$ in general. For instance, $\chi_{ei}(K_3) = 1$ while $\chi(K_3) = 3 = \chi_i(K_3) = \chi_2(G)$; or $\chi_{ei}(K_{1,n}) = 1$ while $\chi(K_{1,n}) = 2$, $\chi_i(K_{1,n}) = n$ and $\chi_2(K_{1,n}) = n + 1$. But in the figure 1, $\chi_{ei}(G) = 12$, $\chi_i(G) \le 7$, $\chi_2(G) \le 7$, $\chi(G) = 3$.



Figure 1: The graph G with $\chi_{ei}(G) \ge max\{\chi_i(G), \chi_2(G), \chi(G)\}$

Also let $H = K_m \odot K_n$ be a graph obtain from two complete graphs K_m and K_n $(m \ge n \ge 4)$ with joining one vertex of K_m to one vertex of K_n . Then $\chi(H) = m = \chi_i(H), \chi_2(H) = m + 1$ and $\chi_{ei}(H) = m + n - 1$ (see Observation 3.3). On the other hand, for Complete graph K_n $(n \ge 4)$, odd cycle C_{3k} for odd k, we have $\chi_{ei}(K_n) = n = \chi(K_n) = \chi_i(K_n) = \chi_2(K_n)$, and $\chi_{ei}(C_{3k}) = 3 = \chi(C_{3k}) = \chi_i(C_{3k}) = \chi_2(C_{3k})$.

In the same way, we have $\Delta(K_3) = 2$, $\Delta(H) = m$, and for graph G in Figure 1, $\Delta(G) = 4$, while $\chi_{ei}(K_3) = 1$, $\chi_{ei}(H) = m + n - 1$, and $\chi_{ei}(G) = 12$. On the other hand, for graph $K_n \odot K_1$ $(n \ge 4)$ and even cycle C_{2k} , we have $\chi_{ei}(K_n \odot K_1) = n = \Delta(K_n \odot K_1)$, and $\chi_{ei}(C_{2k}) = 2 = \Delta(C_{2k})$ (see Proposition 3.2).

However we have the following.

Observation 2.1. Let G be a graph in which any two adjacent vertices be the end vertices of a path P_4 in G. Then $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, if any two end vertices of each path P_4 in G are adjacent, then $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi(G)$.

Proof. Since any two adjacent vertices of given graph G are the end vertices of a path P_4 in G, these two vertices must be colored with distinct colors by any *e*-injective coloring. Therefore, any *e*-injective coloring for this graph can be a usual coloring. Then $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, by the construction of graph G, usual coloring of G deduces that any two end vertices of each path P_4 has different colors. Thus, the usual coloring of given G is an *e*-injective coloring. Therefore, $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi(G)$.

Observation 2.2. Let G be a graph and v in V(G) be any vertex. If every two vertices in N(v) are the end vertices of a path P_4 , then $\chi_i(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, if end vertices of each path P_4 in a graph G have a common neighbor, then $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi_i(G)$.

Proof. Since any two vertices of graph G are the end vertices of a path P_4 , these vertices have distinct colors by *e*-injective coloring. Therefore, an *e*-injective coloring for this graph is an injective coloring. Then $\chi_i(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, let any two end vertices of path P_4 have a common neighbor. Then by injective coloring of G, two end vertices of any path P_4 take different colors. Thus, this will be an *e*-injective coloring of G and $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi_i(G)$.

Also, we may have.

Observation 2.3. Let G be a graph with the property that, for any three vertices on a path P_3 in G, any both of them are the end vertices of a path P_4 in G, then $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, if G is a graph and any two end vertices of each path P_4 in G are adjacent or have a common neighbor, then $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi_2(G)$.

Proof. Let v, u, w be three vertices of P_3 in G. Since both of them are the end vertices of a path P_4 in G, then *e*-injective coloring of the given graph G assign three distinct colors to v, u, w. This implies that, this coloring is a 2-distance coloring of G. Thus, $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi_{ei}(G)$.

Conversely, let any two end vertices of each path P_4 are adjacent or have a common neighbor. Then, from a 2-distance coloring of G, we deduce that, any two end vertices of the path P_4 are vertices of a P_2 or a P_3 in graph G and so their colors are distinct. Therefore this coloring is an e-injective coloring of the given graph G and $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \chi_2(G)$.

Now we discuss on the Problem 1.3. Below figures denote that the Problem 1.3 is not necessarily true. On the other hand the type of conjectures 1, 2 are not true for *e*-injective coloring. But if we use the Observations 2.2, 2.3, then maybe characterize graphs G in which, satisfy on the Conjectures 1, 2 and also characterize graphs G in which, the Conjectures 1, 2 are not true for them.

Disprove of Problem 1.3

Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree Δ . Then $\chi_{ei}(G)$ is at most

- 1. 5, if $\Delta = 3$,
- 2. $\Delta + 5$, if $4 \le \Delta \le 7$,
- 3. $\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \Delta \rfloor + 1$, otherwise.

As we observe the Figure 2, $(3 \le \Delta \le 8)$ we have.

The graph M_3 denotes a planar graph in which $\Delta(M_3) = 3$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_3) = 6 > 5$. The graph M_4 denotes a planar graph in which $\Delta(M_4) = 4$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_4) = 12 > \Delta(M_4) + 5$. The graph M_5 denotes a planar graph in which $\Delta(M_5) = 5$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_5) = 13 > \Delta(M_5) + 5$. The graph M_6 denotes a planar graph in which $\Delta(M_6) = 6$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_6) = 16 > \Delta(M_6) + 5$. The graph M_7 denotes a planar graph in which $\Delta(M_7) = 7$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_7) = 16 > \Delta(M_7) + 5$. For $\Delta(G) = 8$, consider the graph M_8 of order 16, which is seen $\Delta(G) = 8$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_n) = 16 > \lfloor \frac{24}{2} \rfloor + 1 = 13 = \lfloor \frac{3\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1$.



Figure 2: The graphs G related to Problem 1.3 for $\Delta \geq 3$.

For $\Delta(G) \geq 9$ consider, the graph M_n $(n \geq 9)$ of order 2n, Figure 2, which is seen $\Delta(G) = n$ and $\chi_{ei}(M_n) = 2n > \lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{3\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

With this regard, the Problem 1.3 is disproved. In the other words the type of Conjecture 2 for *e*-injective coloring is rejected. However, from the Observations 2.2 and 2.3, we can have.

Corollary 2.4. Let G be a graph with the property that the given data in Observation, 2.3 (Conversely part) hold. Then the Conjecture 1 is wrong.

Let G be a graph with the property that the given data in Observation, 2.2 (Conversely part) hold. Then the Conjecture 2 is wrong. For tree T we have.

Proposition 2.5. Let T be a tree. Then

1. $\chi_{ei}(T) = 1$ if and only if $diam(T) \leq 2$. 2. $\chi_{ei}(T) = 2$ if and only if $diam(T) \geq 3$.

Proof. 1. If diam(T) = 1, then $T = P_2$ and if diam(T) = 2, then T is a star and since there is no path of length 3 between any two vertices, $\chi_{ei}(T) = 1$.

Conversely, let $\chi_{ei}(T) = 1$. Then there is no path of length 3 in T. Thus $diam(T) \leq 2$.

2. Let $diam(T) \geq 3$ and v_0 be a vertex of maximum degree in T. We assign color 1 to the v_0 and to the vertex u if $d(u, v_0)$ is even, and color 2 to the vertex u if $d(u, v_0)$ is odd. Since there is only one path between any two vertices in any tree T, so if two vertices x, y are in distance 3 and two vertices x, z are in distance 3, then two vertices y, z are not in distance 3. This shows that, we can use color 1 for x and color 2 for y, z. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(T) \leq 2$. On the other hand, if $diam(T) \geq 3$, then $\chi_{ei}(T) \geq 2$. Therefore, if $diam(T) \geq 3$, then $\chi_{ei}(T) = 2$.

If $\chi_{ei}(T) = 2$, there is two vertices v, w in T so that the path vxyw is of length 3 in T. This shows that $diam(T) \ge 3$.

For graphs G and H, let $G \cup H$ be the disjoint union of G and H. Then it is easy to see that $\chi_{ei}(G \cup H) = max\{\chi_{ei}(G), \chi_{ei}(H)\}.$

For the join of two graphs, we have the following.

Proposition 2.6. Let G and H be two graphs of order m and n respectively, with the property that, E(G) and E(H) are non-empty sets. Then $\chi_{ei}(G \lor H) = m + n$

Proof. Let $e_1 = v_1 w_1 \in E(G)$ and $e_2 = v_2 w_2 \in E(H)$ be two edges. We show that any two vertices x, y in $G \vee H$, there is a path of length 3, with end vertices x, y. For observing the result, we bring up five positions.

- 1. For $x, y \in V(G)$, consider the path xv_2w_2y in $G \vee H$.
- 2. For $x, y \in V(H)$, consider the path xv_1w_1y in $G \vee H$.
- 3. For $x \in V(G) \setminus \{v_1, w_1\}$ and $y \in V(H) \setminus \{v_2, w_2\}$, consider the path xv_2v_1y in $G \vee H$.
- 4. For $x \in \{v_1, w_1\}$, say v_1 and $y \in V(H) \setminus \{v_2, w_2\}$, consider the path $v_1v_2w_1y$ in $G \vee H$.

5. For $x \in \{v_1, w_1\}$ and $y \in \{v_2, w_2\}$ and without loss of generality, say $x = v_1$ and $y = v_2$, consider the path $v_1w_1w_2v_2$ in $G \vee H$.

The other positions are similar. Therefore, for any two vertices $x, y \in G \lor H$ there is a path of length 3, with end vertices x, y. Therefore the result is observed.

Let G be a graph and B be a maximum packing set of G. If $v \in V(G) \setminus B$, then there is a vertex $u \in B$ such that $N(v) \cap N(u) \neq \emptyset$. This shows that, $d(v, u) \leq 2$. Thus B is a 2-distance dominating set. Therefore we have.

Proposition 2.7. Let G be a graph of diameter 3. Then $\chi_{ei}(G) \ge \rho(G) \ge \gamma_2(G)$. One can have the equalities.

Proof. Let B be maximum packing set of graph G. Since two vertices of B has distance 3, they are assigned with two distinct colors. Thus $\chi_{ei}(G) \ge \rho$.

For equalities, consider the cycles C_6 and C_8 , (see Propositions 3.2).

We now give an upper bound on $\chi_{ei}(G)$ that may be slightly important.

Proposition 2.8. Let G have maximum degree Δ . Then, $\chi_{ei}(G) \leq \Delta(\Delta - 1)^2 + 1$. This bound is sharp for odd cycle C_n $(n \ge 5)$.

Proof. Let G be a graph and $v \in V(S_3(G)) = V(G)$. It is well known that there are at most $\Delta(\Delta-1)^2$ vertices in G such that any of them with v form two end vertices of path P_4 . This shows that $\deg_{S_{3(G)}}(v) \leq \Delta(\Delta-1)^2$. On the other hand $\chi_{ei}(G) = \chi(S_3(G))$ and from Brooks Theorem in usual coloring of graphs, $\chi(S_3(G)) \leq \Delta(S_3(G)) + 1 \leq \Delta(\Delta - 1)^2 + 1$. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(G) < \Delta(\Delta - 1)^2 + 1.$

For seeing the sharpness observe Proposition 3.2.

Also we want to drive bounds for the *e*-injective coloring of Cartesian product of two graphs G, H in terms of 2-distance coloring of the of G and H. For this we explore a result from [15] and a lemma.

Theorem 2.9. ([15] Theorem 1) For any graphs G and H with no isolated vertices.

$$(\Delta(G) + 1)(\Delta(H) + 1) \le \chi_2(G \boxtimes H) \le \chi_2(G)\chi_2(H).$$

Lemma 2.10. Let G and H be two graphs with no isolated vertices. If two end vertices of each path P_4 in G and H are adjacent or have a common neighbor, then so does $G \boxtimes H$.

Proof. Suppose that the end vertices of each path P_4 in graphs G and H are adjacent or have a common neighbor. We would to be show any two end vertices of a path P_4 in $G \boxtimes H$ are adjacent or have a common neighbor. For this, we can bring up the possible paths P_4 in graph $G \boxtimes H$.

1.1. (a, u)(a, v)(a, w)(a, t); 1.2. (a, u)(a, v)(a, w)(b, w); 1.3. (a, u)(a, v)(a, w)(b, t). 2.1. (a, u)(a, v)(b, v)(b, w); 2.2. (a, u)(a, v)(b, v)(c, v); 2.3 (a, u)(a, v)(b, v)(c, w). 3.1. (a, u)(a, v)(b, w)(b, t); 3.2. (a, u)(a, v)(b, w)(c, w); 3.3. (a, u)(a, v)(b, w)(c, t).4.1. (a, u)(b, u)(b, v)(b, w); 4.2. (a, u)(b, u)(b, v)(c, v); 4.3. (a, u)(b, u)(b, v)(c, w).5.1. (a, u)(b, u)(c, u)(c, v); 5.2. (a, u)(b, u)(c, u)(d, u); 5.3. (a, u)(b, u)(c, u)(d, v). 6.1. (a, u)(b, u)(c, v)(c, w); 6.2. (a, u)(b, u)(c, v)(d, v); 6.3. (a, u)(b, u)(c, v)(d, w).7.1. (a, u)(b, v)(b, w)(b, t); 7.2. (a, u)(b, v)(b, w)(c, w); 7.3. (a, u)(b, v)(b, w)(c, t). 8.1. (a, u)(b, v)(c, v)(c, w); 8.2. (a, u)(b, v)(c, v)(d, v); 8.3. (a, u)(b, v)(c, v)(d, w).9.1. (a, u)(b, v)(c, w)(d, w); 9.2. (a, u)(b, v)(c, w)(c, t); 9.3. (a, u)(b, v)(c, w)(d, t).

Now we observe that, all these paths type P_4 are adjacent or have a common neighbor.

1.1. Since uvwt is a path P_4 in H, the vertices u and t are adjacent or have a common neighbor. If u and t are adjacent, then the vertices (a, u) and (a, t) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$. If u and t have a common neighbor s, then (a, s) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (a, t). 1.2. (a, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (b, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.

1.3. The uvwt is a path P_4 in H. If u and t are adjacent, then the vertices (a, u) and (b, t) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$. If u and t have a common neighbor s, then (a, s) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (b, t).

- 2.1. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (b, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 2.2. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, v) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 2.3. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
 - 3.1. Its proof is readily and similar to the proof of 1.3.
- 3.2. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 3.3. Its proof is readily, and is similar to the proof of 1.3.
 - 4.1. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (b, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 4.2. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, v) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 4.3. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 5.1. The vertex (b, u) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, v) in $G \boxtimes H$.

5.2. Since *abcd* is a path P_4 in G, the vertices a and d are adjacent or have a common neighbor. If a and d are adjacent, then the vertices (a, u) and (d, u) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$. If a and d have a common neighbor r, then (r, u) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (d, u).

- 5.3. Its proof is obvious and it is similar to the proof of 5.2.
 - 6.1. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, v) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 6.2. Its proof is obvious and it is similar to the proof of 5.2.
- 6.3. Its proof is obvious and it is similar to the proof of 5.2.
 - 7.1. Its proof is similar to the proof of 1.3.
- 7.2. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 7.3. Its proof is similar to the proof of 1.3.
- 8.1. The vertex (b, v) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (c, w) in $G \boxtimes H$.
- 8.2. Its proof is similar to the proof of 5.2.
- 8.3. Its proof is similar to the proof of 5.2.
 - 9.1. Its proof is similar to the proof of 5.2.
- 9.2. Its proof is similar to the proof of 1.3.

9.3. There are two paths *abcd* and *uvwt* in *G* and *H* respectively. If $ad \in E(G)$ and $ut \in E(H)$, then (a, d) and (u, t) are adjacent in $G \boxtimes H$. If $ad \in E(G)$ and *s* is a common neighbor of *u* and *t*, then (a, s) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (d, t) in $G \boxtimes H$. If $ut \in E(H)$ and *r* is a common neighbor of *a* and *d*, then (r, u) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (d, t) in $G \boxtimes H$. If $ad \in E(G)$ and *s* is a common neighbor of *a* and *d*, then (r, u) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (d, t) in $G \boxtimes H$. If *a* and *d* have a common neighbor *r*, and similarly, *s* is a common neighbor of *u* and *t*, then (r, s) is a common neighbor of (a, u) and (d, t) in $G \boxtimes H$.

It is observed that, both end vertices of every path P_4 in $G \boxtimes H$ are adjacent or have a common neighbor. Therefore the proof is complete.

Now we have the following.

Theorem 2.11. For any graphs G and H with no isolated vertices, with the property that, any two end vertices of each path P_4 in G and H are adjacent or have a common neighbor, we have

$$Max\{\chi_{ei}(G), \chi_{ei}(H)\} \le \chi_{ei}(G\Box H) \le \chi_2(G)\chi_2(H).$$

The bounds are sharp.

Proof. For the first inequality, since G and H have no isolated vertices, and any path of length 3 of G and H gives at least one path of length 3 of $G \square H$, thus the first inequality holds. For seeing the sharpness, consider $G = P_m$ and $H = P_n$ where $m \ge 4$ or $n \ge 4$.

We now prove the second inequality. From the definitions of Cartesian and strong products, we may have $G \Box H$ as a subgraph of $G \boxtimes H$, and next any path P_4 of $(G \Box H)$ is a path P_4 of $(G \boxtimes H)$. Therefore, $\chi_{ei}(G \Box H) \leq \chi_{ei}(G \boxtimes H)$. As the same way, $\chi_2(G \Box H) \leq \chi_2(G \boxtimes H)$. From Observation 2.3 and Lemma 2.10 $\chi_{ei}(G \boxtimes H) \leq \chi_2(G \boxtimes H)$. On the other hand, from Theorem 2.9, $\chi_2(G \boxtimes H) \leq \chi_2(G)\chi_2(H)$. These deduce that $\chi_{ei}(G \Box H) \leq \chi_2(G)\chi_2(H)$. It is easy to see that, this bound is sharp for $G = C_3$ and $H = C_5$ and also $G = C_3$ and $H = C_7$, (see Theorem 4.5).

3 *e*-injective chromatic number of some graphs

In this section we investigate the *e*-injective coloring of some custom graphs. Now we determine exact value for the *e*-injective chromatic number for some special families of graphs, such as path, cycle, complete graphs, wheel graphs, star, complete bipartite graphs, *k*-regular bipartite graphs, multipartite graphs, fan graphs, prism graphs, ladder graphs and hypercube graphs, double star and trees.

Proposition 3.1. For Path P_n , we have

$$\chi_{ei}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \le 3\\ 2 & n > 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $n \leq 3$. It is obvious that $\chi_{ei}(P_n) = 1$.

Let n > 3. We assign the color 1 to the odd vertices and color 2 to the even vertices. These assignment shows that, every pair of vertices which there exists a path of length 3 between them, receive distinct colors. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(P_n) = 2$.

Proposition 3.2. For cycle C_n , we have

$$\chi_{ei}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 3\\ 2 & n \ge 4, \ 2|n\\ 3 & n \ge 4, \ 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let n = 3. It is obvious that $\chi_{ei}(C_3) = 1$.

Let n > 3. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1. If n is even.

We assign the color 1 to the odd vertices and color 2 to the even vertices. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_{2k}) = 2$. Case 2. If n is odd.

Let n = 5. We assign the color 1 to the vertices v_1, v_2 and color 2 to the vertices v_3, v_4 and we assign color 3 to the vertex v_5 . This assignments is an *e*-injective coloring of C_5 .

Let $n \ge 7$. We assign the color 1 to the odd vertices v_i s, for $i \le n - 4$ and color 2 to the even vertices v_i s, for $i \le n - 3$ and we assign color 3 to the vertices v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n . This assignments is an *e*-injective coloring of C_n for odd $n \ge 7$. On the other hand, since there are two paths of length 3 between v_{n-2} with two vertices v_1, v_{n-5} , as well as v_{n-1} with two vertices v_2, v_{n-4} and also v_n with two vertices v_3, v_{n-3} . It is clear that, one cannot *e*-injective color to the vertices cycle C_n withe two colors for odd n. Therefore the result holds.

Since for $n \ge 4$, any two vertices are end of a path P_4 , then we have.

Observation 3.3. For complete graph K_n , we have

$$\chi_{ei}(K_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \le 3\\ n & n > 3 \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.4. For wheel graph $W_n (n \ge 3)$, $\chi_{ei}(W_n) = n + 1$.

Proof. Let v_1 be a universal vertex. For $i, j \ge 2$, there exists a path $v_j v_{j+1} v_1 v_i$ between v_i and v_j if $v_i \ne v_{j+1}$; and there exists a path $v_j v_1 v_{j+2} v_i$ between v_i and v_j if $v_i = v_{j+1}$. On the other hand, there exists a path $v_1 v_{i-2} v_{i-1} v_i$ between v_1 and v_i . Taking this account, there exist a path of length 3 between two vertices in W_n . Therefore $\chi_{ei}(W_n) = n + 1$.

Proposition 3.5. For complete bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$ with $m, n \ge 2$, $\chi_{ei}(K_{n,m}) = 2$.

Proof. Let $n \ge 2$, $m \ge 2$. It is easy to observe that, there is a path of length 3 between two vertices of two different partite sets. Therefore one can assign color 1 to one partite set and 2 to another partite set. Thus, $\chi_{ei}(K_{n,m}) = 2$.

Using the proof of proposition 3.5, For regular bipartite graphs, we have the next result, which proof is similar.

Proposition 3.6. For k-regular bipartite graph G $(k \ge 2)$, $\chi_{ei}(G) = 2$

A complete r partite graph is a simple graph such that the vertices are partitioned to r independent vertex sets and every pair of vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to different partite sets.

Proposition 3.7. Let $G = K_{n_1,...,n_r}$ be a complete $(r \ge 3)$ partite graph of order n. Then

$$\chi_{ei}(G) = \begin{cases} 1 & r = 3 \text{ and } G = K_{1,1,1} \\ n-1 & r = 3 \text{ and } G \in \{K_{n-2,1,1}, K_{1,n-2,1}, K_{1,1,n-2}\} \text{ with } n \ge 4 \\ n & ow \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. It is trivial.

2. Let r = 3 and $G \in \{K_{n-2,1,1}, K_{1,n-2,1}, K_{1,1,n-2}\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $G = K_{n-2,1,1}$ with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-2}, u_1, w_1\}$. The path $v_i u_1 w_1 v_j$ is a path of length 3 between v_i, v_j . The paths $u_1 v_i w_1 v_j$ and $w_1 v_i u_1 v_j$ are paths of length 3 between u_1, v_j and between w_1, v_j respectively. On the other hand, there exists no path of length 3 from u_1 and w_1 . Now we can assign same color to u_1, w_1 and n-2 other different colors to the v_i s. Thus $\chi_{ei}(G) = n - 1$.

3. If $r \ge 4$, and v_i, w_j are two vertices of two partite sets, then taking two vertices from two other partite sets x_m, y_l , one can construct a path v_i, x_m, y_l, w_j of length 3.

Let r = 3 and $G = K_{k,l,m}$ where two of k, l, m are at least 2. If k = 1 and $l, m \ge 2$ with $V(G) = \{v_1, u_1, \ldots, u_l, w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$, then $v_1 u_i w_s u_j$, $v_1 w_i u_s w_j$, $u_i v_1 u_j w_s$, $u_i w_t v_1 u_j$, $w_i u_x v_1 w_j$ with $i \ne j$, give us a path of length 3 between $v_1, u_j, v_1, w_j, u_i, w_s, u_i, u_j$ and w_i, w_j respectively.

Let r = 3 and $G = K_{k,l,m}$ where $k, l, m \ge 2$. Then, similar to the second part of situation 3, there exist a path of length 3 between any two vertices of G. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(G) = n$. Thus the result holds.

Proposition 3.8. For fan graph $F_{m,n}$, we have

$$\chi_{ei}(F_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = 1, n = 2\\ m+1 & m \ge 2, n = 2\\ m+n & m = 1, n \ge 4 \text{ and } m \ge 2, n \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. There are three situations to be considered.

1. If m = 1, n = 2. It is obvious.

2. If $m \geq 2, n = 2$ and $V(F_{m,2}) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m, u_1, u_2\}$, then by definition $F_{2,2} \cong F_{1,3}$ and $F_{m,2} = \overline{K_m} \vee P_2$. Two vertices u_1, u_2 receive same color because there is no path of length 3 between them. On the other hand there exist a path $v_i u_1 u_2 v_j$ of length 3 between any pair of vertices v_i, v_j , and there exist a path $v_i u_l v_j u_k$ with $l \neq k$ of length 3 between any pair of vertices v_i, u_k . Therefore $\chi_{ei}(F_{m,2}) = m + 1$.

3.1. Let $m = 1, n \ge 4$ and $V(F_{1,n}) = \{v_1, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$. Then $v_1u_{i+2}u_{i+1}u_i$, $(i \le n-2)$, $v_1u_{i-2}u_{i-1}u_i$, $(i \ge n-1)$, $u_iv_1u_{j+1}u_j$, (i < j < n), $u_iv_1u_{n-1}u_n$ (i < n-1) and $u_{n-1}u_{n-2}v_1u_n$ are the paths of length 3 between any pair of vertices u_i , u_j and v_1 , u_i . Thus $\chi_{ei}(F_{1,n}) = 1 + n$. 3.2. Let $m \ge 2, n \ge 3$. Using the reasons given in proof of part 3.1, one can easy to see that there is a path of length 3 between any pair of vertices of $F_{m,n}$. All in all the proof is completed.

4 Grid graphs, cylinder graphs and tori graphs

In this section we argue on the *e*-injective coloring of three families of Cartesian product graphs (grid, cylinder and tori graphs), and we gain the *e*-injective chromatic number of them. For this sake we partition this section to three subsections.

4.1 Grid graphs

In this subsection, our main purpose is to give the *e*-injective chromatic number for grid graphs. A ladder graph L_n is a simple connected undirected grid graph $P_2 \Box P_n$ with 2n vertices and 3n-2 edges.

Proposition 4.1. Let $G \cong L_n$ be a ladder graph. Then $\chi_{ei}(L_n) = 2$, $(n \ge 2)$.

Proof. Let $V(L_n) = \{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,n}, v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}, \dots, v_{2,n}\}$. Since L_n contains copy of C_4 as subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(L_n) \ge 2$.

We show an *e*-injective coloring with 2 colors for L_n . For this, we define a function $f: V \to \{1, 2\}$ as follows:

$$f(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 1, \ 2 \nmid j \text{ or } i = 2, \ 2 \mid j \\ 2 & i = 1, \ 2 \mid j \text{ or } i = 2, \ 2 \nmid j \end{cases}$$

This coloring is easily seen that, give us an *e*-injective coloring. Therefore, $\chi_{ei}(L_n) = 2$, (see Figure 3).



Figure 3: the ladder graph of L_n

Theorem 4.2. For paths P_m and P_n $(m, n \ge 2)$, we have $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box P_n) = 2$.

Proof. Let $V(P_n^i) = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3}, \dots, v_{i,n}\}$ be the set vertex of *i*th row of $P_m \Box P_n$. Since $v_{i,j}, v_{i+1,j}, v_{i+1,j+1}, v_{i,j+1}$ is a path of length 3 between any two adjacent vertices in P_n . Therefore $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box P_n) \ge 2$.

We construct an optimal *e*-injective coloring with 2 colors of $P_m \Box P_n$ using of pattern given in the matrix form in Table 1. In this matrix *ij*th element represents the color of $v_{i,j}$. We define a function $f: V \to \{1, 2\}$ as follows.

$$f(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & 2|i+j\\ 2 & 2 \nmid i+j \end{cases}$$

Since there is no path of length 3 between every pair of vertices with the same color, this gives an *e*-injective coloring of $(P_m \Box P_n)$ with 2 colors. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box P_n) = 2$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 1: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $P_m \Box P_n$

4.2 Cylinder graphs

In this subsection, our main purpose is to give the *e*-injective chromatic number for cylinder graphs.

A Prism graph (or circular ladder graph) is a simple cubic cylinder graph of an *n*-gonal prism has 2n vertices and 3n edges and denoted by D_n , see Figure 4.

Proposition 4.3. Let $G \cong D_n$ be a prism graph. Then

$$\chi_{ei}(D_n) = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } n = 3\\ 5 & \text{if } n = 5\\ 4 & \text{if } n = 7\\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \ge 4\\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \ge 9 \end{cases}$$
(1)

Proof. Let $V(D_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$. From now on suppose that the vertices v_i s are for outer cycle and the vertices u_i s are for inner cycle. We bring up five situations. 1. Let n = 6. It is obvious that $\chi_{ei}(D_3) = 6$.

2. Let n = 5. There is a path of length 3 between any two different vertices in outer cycle C_5 , and inner cycle C_5 . So $\chi_{ei}(D_5) \ge 5$. On the other hand, there is no path of length 3 between v_i and $u_{i-1} \pmod{5}$. This shows that, v_i and u_{i-1} can be assigned same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(D_5) = 5$. (See D_5 in Figure 4)

3. Let n = 7. There is a path of length 3 between any two adjacent vertices in inner cycle C_7 and also from Proposition 3.2, we realize that $\chi_{ei}(D_7) \ge 4$. On the other hand, there is no path of length 3 between v_i and $u_{i-1} \pmod{7}$. This shows that, v_i and u_{i-1} can be assigned the same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(D_7) = 4$.

(See D_7 in Figure 4)



Figure 4: The prism graph of D_n with $n \in \{3, 5, 7\}$

4. Assume that $n \geq 4$ is even. Since D_n contains a copy of C_n as subgraph, we need at least two colors. This shows that $\chi_{ei}(D_n) \geq 2$. On the other hand, $v_i u_i u_{i+1} v_{i+1}$, $u_i v_i v_{i+1} u_{i+1}$, $v_i v_{i+1} u_{i+1} u_i$ are paths of length 3 between $v_i, v_{i+1} \pmod{n}$ and between $u_i, u_{i+1} \pmod{n}$ and between u_i, v_i respectively. It is straightforward to see that There is no path of length 3 between $v_i, u_{i+1} \pmod{n}$ and between $u_i, v_{i+1} \pmod{n}$. Therefore assigning colors 1 to the vertices v_{2i+1} and u_{2i} and assigning color 2 to the vertices u_{2i+1} and v_{2i} give us an *e*-injective coloring. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(D_n) = 2$, (see Figure 5).

5. Assume that $n \ge 9$ is odd, (as depicted in Figure 6). Since n is odd. It is obvious that, $\chi_{ei}(D_n) \ge 3$ (see Figure 6). Since n is odd, then we can consider three moods for n.

5.1 Let 3 | n and n = 3k. Then, we assign color 1 to any vertex in $\{v_1, u_2, v_3\} \cup \{v_{6j-1}, u_{6j-2}, u_{6j}: 1 \le j \le \frac{3k-3}{6}\}$, assign color 2 to any vertex in $\{v_2, u_1, u_3\} \cup \{v_{6j+1}, u_{6j+2}, v_{6j+3}: 1 \le j \le \frac{3k-3}{6}\}$, and assign color 3 to any vertex in $\{\{v_{2i+2}, u_{2i+3}: 1 \le i \le \frac{3k-3}{2}\}$.

5.2 Let $3 \mid n-2$ and n = 3k+2. Then, the vertices v_i and u_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3k$ take same colors used in part 5.1, and next the vertices v_{3k+1}, u_{3k+2} can be assigned by color 1, and the vertices u_{3k+1}, v_{3k+2} can be assigned by color 2.



Figure 5: The prism graph of D_n for even n

5.3 Let $3 \mid n-4$ and n = 3k+4. Then, the vertices v_i and u_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3k$ take same colors used in part 5.1, and next the vertices $v_{3k+1}, v_{3k+3}, u_{3k+2}u_{3k+4}$ can be assigned by color 1, and the vertices $u_{3k+1}, v_{3k+2}u_{3k+3}$ can be assigned by color 2 and finally, assign color 3 for v_{3k+4} . These show $\chi_{ei}(D_n) \leq 3$. Therefore we deduce that $\chi_{ei}(D_n) = 3$ while n is odd.

Therefore $\chi_{ei}(D_n) = 3$.



Figure 6: The prism graph of D_n for odd $n \ge 9$

Theorem 4.4. For any path P_m and any cycle C_n , we have

$$\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_n) = \begin{cases} 6 & m \ge 2, \ n = 3 \\ 5 & m \ge 2, \ n = 5 \\ 4 & m \ge 2, \ n = 7 \\ 2 & m \ge 2, \ 2|n \\ 3 & m \ge 2, \ 2 \nmid n, \ (n \ge 9) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(C_n^i) = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3}, \dots, v_{i,n}\}$. There are four cases to be considered.

Case 1. Let $m \ge 2$, n = 3. There is a path of length 3 between all vertices in C_3^i and C_3^{i+1} . So $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_3) \ge 6$.

We construct an optimal *e*-injective coloring with 6 colors of $P_m \square C_3$ using of pattern given in Table 2. For this, we define the function $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ as follows.

$$f(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} j & 2 \nmid i \\ 3+j & 2|i \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that, we need at 6 different colors for all vertices in C_3^i and C_3^{i+1} . On the other hand, the vertex $v_{i+2,j}$ can be assigned with the color of $v_{i,j}$ since there is no path of length 3 between them. Therefore, it is observed that $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_3) = 6$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 2: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $P_m \Box C_3$

Case 2. Let $m \ge 2$, n = 5. According to the Cartesian product, there is a path of length 3 between any two different vertices in C_5^i . So $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_5) \ge 5$.

We now show, there is an *e*-injective coloring with 5 colors for $P_m \Box C_5$ such as the Table 3. For this, we define a function $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ as $f(v_{i,j}) = f(v_{i-1,j-1} \pmod{5})$ for $i \ge 2$.

1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4
4	5	1	2	3
3	4	5	1	2
2	3	4	5	1
(:	÷	÷	÷	:)

Table 3: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $P_m \Box C_5$

Since for any two successive cycles C_n^i and C_n^{i+1} of $P_m \Box C_5$, there is not a path of length 3 between $(v_{i,j})$ and $(v_{i+1,j+1 \pmod{5}})$, we may assign same color to these two vertices. Therefore, it is observed that

$$\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_5) = 5$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 4: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $P_m \Box C_7$

Case 3. Let $m \ge 2$, n = 7. The Cartesian product $P_m \Box C_7$ contains copy of D_7 as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_7) \ge 4$. We now show that, there is an *e*-injective coloring with 4 colors for $P_m \square C_7$ such as the Table 4 which defines a function $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ as follows.

$$f(v_{1,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \{1,3\} \\ 2 & \text{if } j \in \{2,4\} \\ 3 & \text{if } j \in \{5,7\} \\ 4 & \text{if } j = 6 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(v_{i,j}) = f(v_{i-1,j-1 \pmod{7}})$$

Since for any two successive cycles C_7^i and C_7^{i+1} of $P_m \Box C_7$, there is not a path of length 3 between $(v_{i,j})$ and $(v_{i+1,j+1 \pmod{7}})$, we may assign same color to these two vertices. Therefore, it is observed that

$$\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_7) = 4.$$

Case 4. Let $m \ge 2$, n is even. The Cartesian product $P_m \Box C_n$ contains copies of P_m and C_n as subgraphs, so $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_n) \ge 2$.

We construct an *e*-injective coloring with 2 colors of $P_m \square C_n$ using of pattern given in the matrix in Table 5. We define a function $f: V \to \{1, 2\}$ as follows.

$$f(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & 2|i+j\\ 2 & 2 \nmid i+j \end{cases}.$$

Obviously, there is no path of length 3 between every pair of vertices with same color 1 or with same color 2. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_n) = 2$.

(1)	2	1	 2
2	1	2	 1
1	2	1	 2
(:	÷	÷	 :)

Table 5: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $P_m \Box C_n$

Case 5. Let $m \ge 2$, n is odd and $(n \ge 9)$. By theorem 4.3, we know that $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_n) \ge 3$. We construct an optimal *e*-injective coloring with 3 colors for $P_m \Box C_n$. For this, we define a function $f: V \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ as follows.

$$f(v_{1,j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in \{1,3,5\} \bigcup \{2k | k \ge 5\} \\ 2 & j \in \{2,7,9\} \bigcup \{2k+1 | k \ge 5\} \\ 3 & j \in \{4,6,8\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(v_{i,j}) = f(v_{i-1,j-1 \pmod{n}})$$

Obviously, there is no path of length 3 between two vertices with the same color. Thus, we can see that f is an *e*-injective coloring. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(P_m \Box C_n) = 3$.

4.3 Tori graphs

In this subsection, our main purpose is to give the *e*-injective chromatic number for tori graph. For this, we begin with the special case.

Theorem 4.5. For cycles C_m and C_n , $(m = 3 \text{ and } n \in \{3, 5, 7\})$, we have

$$\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = \begin{cases} 9 & \text{if } m = n = 3\\ 15 & \text{if } m = 3, \ n = 5\\ 12 & \text{if } m = 3, \ n = 7 \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to the Figure 7 and Table 6, the proof is obvious.



Figure 7: The graph of $C_3 \Box C_n$ for $n \in \{3, 5, 7\}$

1	2	3
4	5	6
1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12
$\setminus 7$	8	9 /

Table 6: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_3 \Box C_7$

Theorem 4.6. For cycles C_m and C_n , (m = 3 and n is even), we have $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) = 6$.

Proof. Let $V(C_3^i) = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3}\}$. There is a path of length 3 between any two different vertices in C_3^i and C_3^{i+1} , so $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) \ge 6$.

We show an *e*-injective coloring with 6 colors of $C_3 \Box C_n$ in Table 7. There is no path of length 3 between two vertices $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+2,j}$, so two vertices $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+2,j}$ can take the same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = 6$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 7: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_3 \Box C_n$, (*n* is even)

Theorem 4.7. For cycles C_m and C_n , $(m = 3 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd } (n \ge 9))$, we have $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) = 9$.

Proof. On the contrary, let we have an optimal *e*-injective coloring with less than 9 colors. According to the Proposition 4.3, we need to have at least 6 colors. We assign the colors 1, 2, 3 to the vertices in C_3^1 and the colors 4, 5, 6 to the vertices in C_3^2 , respectively. On the other hand, since *n* is odd, and there is a path of length 3 between the vertices in C_3^n with the vertices in C_3^1 , C_3^{n-1} , so have to assign the colors 7, 8, 9 to the vertices in C_3^n if the vertices in C_3^{n-1} are assigned with colors 4, 5, 6 so far. This contradicts our hypothesis that $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) < 9$. We conclude that $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) \geq 9$.

We show an *e*-injective coloring with 9 colors of $C_3 \Box C_n$ in Table 8. This coloring is an *e*-injective coloring, because there is no path of length 3 between any two vertices with same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_3 \Box C_n) = 9$.

2	3
5	6
8	9
5	6
8	9
2	3
8	9
2	3
5	6
÷	:)
	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ \vdots \end{array} $

Table 8: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_3 \Box C_n$, (*n* is odd, $n \ge 9$)

Theorem 4.8. For cycles C_m and C_n , $(m = 5 \text{ and } n \ge 4)$, we have $\chi_{ei}(C_5 \Box C_n) = 5$.

Proof. Since the Cartesian product $C_5 \square C_n$ contains $C_5 \square P_2$ as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(C_5 \square C_n) \ge 5$ (see Proposition 4.3).

We construct an *e*-injective coloring with 5 colors of $C_5 \square C_n$, (see Table 9 (*n* is even) and Table 10 (*n* is odd)).

In Table 10, there is no path of length 3 between any two vertices $v_{1,j}$ and $v_{2,j+1(mod 5)}$ so we assign the same color to these two vertices in C_5^1 and C_5^2 . It is clear that, this matrix is an *e*-injective coloring of the $(C_5 \Box C_n)$ when n is odd.

In Table 9, there is no path of length 3 between any two vertices $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+2,j}$ in $C_5 \Box C_n$, (n is even), so two vertices $v_{i,j}, v_{i+2,j}$ can take the same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_5 \Box C_n) = 5$.

1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4
(:	÷	÷	÷	:)

Table 9: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_5 \Box C_n$ (*n* is even)

/1	2	3	4	5
5	1	2	3	4
4	5	1	2	3
3	4	5	1	2
2	3	4	5	1
(:	÷	÷	÷	:)

Table 10: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_5 \Box C_n$ (*n* is odd)

Theorem 4.9. For cycles C_m and C_n , $(n = 7, m \ge 4 \ (m \ne 5))$, we have $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_7) = 4$

Proof. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1. Let m be odd $(m \ge 7)$ and n = 7. The Cartesian product $C_m \square C_7$ contains $P_2 \square C_7$ as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(C_m \square C_7) \ge 4$. We construct an *e*-injective coloring with 4 colors of $C_m \square C_7$ in Table 11. There is not a path of length 3 between two vertices $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+1,j(mod 7)}$, so $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+1,j(mod 7)}$ can take the same color. Obviously, this matrix provides an *e*-injective coloring of the $C_m \square C_7$. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \square C_7) = 4$.

Case 2. Let m be even and n = 7. The Cartesian product $C_m \Box C_n$ contains $C_7 \Box P_2$ as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) \ge 4$. We shall construct an *e*-injective coloring with 4 colors of $C_m \Box C_n$. It easy to see that, in Table 12, there is not a path of length 3 between every pair of vertices with the same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_7) = 4$.

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$

Table 11: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_m \Box C_n$ (*m* is odd ($m \ge 7$), n = 7)

1	2	1	2	3	4	3
2	1	2	1	4	3	4
1	2	1	2	3	4	3
2	1	2	1	4	3	4
(:	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:,

Table 12: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_m \Box C_7$ (*m* is even and n = 7)

Finally, for the Cartesian product of another cycles we have.

Theorem 4.10.

$$\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 2|m, \ 2|n \\ 3 & \text{if } m \ge 4, \ 2 \nmid n, \ (m \neq 5, 7 \ n \ge 9) \end{cases}$$

Proof. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1. Let m and n are even. The Cartesian product $C_m \Box C_n$ contains $C_m \Box P_2$ as a subgraph, (see Proposition 4.3). Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) \ge 2$.

We shall construct an *e*-injective coloring with 2 colors for $C_m \Box C_n$ in Table 13. It is clear that, there is no path of length 3 between every pair of vertices with the same color. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = 2$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$$

Table 13: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_m \Box C_n(m \text{ and } n \text{ are even})$

Case 2. For case 2, we bring up two positions.

2.1. Let *m* and *n* be odd, $(m, n \ge 9)$. The Cartesian product $C_m \Box C_n$ contains $C_m \Box P_2$ as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) \ge 3$.

We construct an *e*-injective coloring with 3 colors of $C_m \Box C_n$ using of pattern given in the matrix form in Table 14. It is not hard to see that there is no path of length 3 between every pair of vertices with the same colors. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = 3$.

$^{\prime}1$	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2		1	2
2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	3	1		3	1
1	2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	3		1	3
3	1	2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1		3	1
1	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	3		2	3
3	1	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	3	2		3	2
2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	3		2	3
3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	2		1	2
2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2	1		2	1
1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2		1	2
2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1		2	1
1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2		1	2
2	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1		2	1
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:	÷	÷
1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2		1	2
$\langle 2$	1	2	3	2	3	1	3	1	2	1		2	1/

Table 14: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_m \Box C_n(m \text{ and } n \text{ are odd}, (m, n \ge 9))$

2.2. Let *m* be even and *n* be odd, $(n \ge 9)$. The Cartesian product $C_m \Box C_n$ contains $C_n \Box P_2$ as a subgraph, so $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) \ge 3$.

We construct an *e*-injective coloring with 3 colors of $C_m \Box C_n$ in Table 15 and use Proposition 4.3 for coloring. It is not hard to see that there is no path of length 3 between $v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i+2,j}$ in $C_m \Box C_n$, so we assign the same color to them. Therefore $\chi_{ei}(C_m \Box C_n) = 3$.

/1	2	1	3	1	3	2	3	2	1	2		1	2	1	2
2	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	3	2	1	2		1	2	1
1	2	1	3	1	3	2	3	2	1	2		1	2	1	2
2	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	3	2	1	2		1	2	1
(:	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:)

Table 15: Matrix *e*-injective coloring of $C_m \Box C_n$ (*m* is even and *n* is odd, $(n \ge 9)$)

Discussion and conclusions

From Observations 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3,

1. Characterize graphs G with $\chi_{ei}(G) = \chi(G)$; Characterize graphs G with $\chi_{ei}(G) = \chi_i(G)$; Characterize graphs G with $\chi_{ei}(G) = \chi_2(G)$.

2. After discussion on the solution of 1, one can revisit the Conjectures 1 and 2.

- From Propositions 2.8 and 2.7, we can have the following.
- 3. Characterize graphs G with $\chi_{ei}(G) = \rho(G)$.
- 4. Characterize graphs G with $\chi_{ei}(G) = \Delta(G)(\Delta(G) 1)^2 + 1$.

References

- B. Brešar, B. Samadi, I. G. Yero, Injective coloring of graphs revisited, Discrete Mathematics, 346(5) (2023) 113348.
- [2] Y. Bu, D. Chen, A. Raspaud, W. Wang, Injective coloring of planar graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 157(4) (2009) 663-672.
- [3] G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, Chromatic Graph Theory, Chapman & Hall/CRC Taylor & Francis Group, LLC (2009).
- [4] D. W. Cranston, S. J. Kim, G. Yu, Injective colorings of sparse graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 310(21) (2010) 2965-2973.
- [5] J. Fridrich, P. Lisonek, Grid colorings in Steganography, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 53 (2007) 1547-1549.
- [6] M. M. Halldórsson, F. Kuhn, Y. Maus, Distance-2 coloring in the CONGEST model, In Proceedings of the 39th Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (2020) 233-242.
- [7] G. Hahn, J. Kratochvíl, J. Širáň, D. Sotteau, On the injective chromatic number of graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 256(1-2) (2002) 179-192.
- [8] G. Hahn, A. Raspaud, W. Wang, On the injective coloring of K_4 -minor free graphs, Manuscript (2006).
- [9] J. Heuvel, S. McGuinness, Coloring the square of a plannar graph, Journal of Graph Theory, 42 (2002) 110-124.
- [10] F. Kramer, H. Kramer, A survey on the distance-colouring of graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 308(2-3) (2008) 422-426.
- [11] F. Kramer, H. Kramer, Un probleme de coloration des sommets d'un graphe, Comptes Rendus-Académie des sciences, 268(7) (1969) 46-48.
- [12] H. La, P. Valicov, Computer assisted discharging procedure on planar graphs: application to 2-distance coloring, arXiv:2202.03885 (2022).

- [13] B. Lužar, R. Škrekovski, Counterexamples to a conjecture on injective colorings, Ars Mathematica Contemporanea, 8(2) (2015) 291-295.
- [14] B. Lužar, R. Škrekovski, M. Tancer, Injective colorings of planar graphs with few colors, Discrete Mathematics, 309(18) (2009) 5636-5649.
- [15] D. A. Mojdeh, B. Samadi, Further results on 2-distance coloring of graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 45(7) (2023) 7.
- [16] M. Molloy, M. R. Salavatipour, A bound on the chromatic number of the square of a planar graph, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 94 (2005) 189-213.
- [17] B. S. Panda, Injective coloring of some subclasses of bipartite graphs and chordal graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 291 (2021) 68-87.
- [18] J. Song, J. Yue, Injective coloring of some graph operations, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 264 (2015) 279-283.
- [19] G. Wegner, Graphs with given diameter and a coloring problem, Technical report, University of Dortmund, Germany, (1977).
- [20] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory (Second Edition), Prentice Hall, USA, (2001).