

# Distributed OpenMP Offloading of OpenMC on Intel GPU MAX Accelerators

Yehonatan Fridman<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Guy Tamir<sup>4</sup>, Uri Steinitz<sup>5</sup>, and Gal Oren<sup>3,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Nuclear Research Center – Negev, Israel

<sup>3</sup> Scientific Computing Center, Nuclear Research Center – Negev, Israel

<sup>4</sup> Intel Corporation

<sup>5</sup> Soreq Nuclear Research Center, Israel

<sup>6</sup> Department of Computer Science, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology  
fridyeh@post.bgu.ac.il, guy.tamir@intel.com, urist@soreq.gov.il,  
galoren@cs.technion.ac.il

**Abstract.** Monte Carlo (MC) simulations play a pivotal role in diverse scientific and engineering domains, with applications ranging from nuclear physics to materials science. Harnessing the computational power of high-performance computing (HPC) systems, especially Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), has become essential for accelerating MC simulations. This paper focuses on the adaptation and optimization of the OpenMC neutron and photon transport Monte Carlo code for Intel GPUs, specifically the Intel Data Center Max 1100 GPU (codename Ponte Vecchio, PVC), through distributed OpenMP offloading. Building upon prior work by Tramm J.R., et al. (2022), which laid the groundwork for GPU adaptation, our study meticulously extends the OpenMC code’s capabilities to Intel GPUs. We present a comprehensive benchmarking and scaling analysis, comparing performance on Intel MAX GPUs to state-of-the-art CPU execution (Intel Xeon Platinum 8480+ Processor, codename 4th generation Sapphire Rapids). The results demonstrate a remarkable acceleration factor compared to CPU execution, showcasing the GPU-adapted code’s superiority over its CPU counterpart as computational load increases.

The results are available at: <https://github.com/Scientific-Computing-Lab-NRCN/OpenMP5-Offload-OpenMC-Intel-PVC>.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Parallel Monte Carlo Codes and OpenMC

MC methods are powerful computational techniques for solving mathematical problems through random sampling. These methods rely on statistical sampling to obtain numerical results [1] [2]. In the context of physics and engineering, MC simulations are particularly valuable for solving problems involving complex systems, random processes, and multi-dimensional integrals[3].

MC codes find applications across various scientific and engineering domains, including nuclear physics, particle transport, materials science, finance, and more

[4]. These simulations provide insights into the behavior of systems that are difficult or impossible to study by an analytic or numerical solution of the underlying equations. One prominent application of MC simulations is in nuclear physics and reactor modeling [5]. These codes are used to simulate the behavior of neutrons and photons within a nuclear critical facility, aiding in the design, optimization, and safety analysis of nuclear reactors.

Parallel computing is essential for accelerating MC simulations. Parallelization techniques, such as domain decomposition and task parallelism, distribute the workload across multiple processors or nodes, allowing simulations to be performed concurrently [6]. This parallelization enhances the scalability of MC codes on HPC architectures [7]. In recent years, GPUs have gained popularity as accelerators for MC simulations [8]. Their highly parallel architecture makes them well-suited for the parallel nature of MC algorithms. Porting MC codes to GPUs can lead to significant speedup, enabling researchers to perform simulations with higher fidelity and explore more extensive parameter spaces.

Recently, multiphysics nuclear reactor MC simulations for advanced designs even reached exascale [9], marking a transformative leap in computational capabilities. The successful implementation of the Nek5000/RS code on ORNL's Frontier system [10], achieving 1 billion spectral elements and 350 billion degrees of freedom, exemplifies the unprecedented scale of these simulations. Simultaneously, the high-fidelity MC code, Shift [11] [12], demonstrated exceptional weak scaling on 8192 system nodes. This groundbreaking achievement allows researchers to perform simulations with an unparalleled level of detail and accuracy. The team calculated six reactions in 214,896 fuel pin regions, achieving a remarkable statistical error below 1%.

Alongside said high-performance codes, several state-of-the-art parallel MC codes have emerged as benchmarks in various scientific and engineering communities. These codes demonstrate advancements in algorithmic efficiency, parallelization strategies, and overall simulation capabilities [8]. Some notable examples include MCNP [13], Geant4 [14], and OpenMC [15].

OpenMC distinguishes itself as an exceptional Monte Carlo code owing to its open-source paradigm, adaptability, and a strong emphasis on high-performance [16] [17]. Its open-source nature fosters a collaborative environment, allowing researchers to access, modify, and contribute to the codebase, thereby promoting transparency and continuous enhancement. Notably, OpenMC excels in parallelization [18], especially with hybrid MPI and OpenMP for CPUs [19]. The code's modular architecture renders it flexible and applicable to diverse neutron transport scenarios, ranging from nuclear reactor physics to shielding and criticality safety analyses.

As MC simulations continue to push the boundaries of scale and complexity, there is also a growing trend toward integrating machine learning techniques to optimize physical problems using MC simulations. One notable example is the framework OpenNeoMC [20], which is proposed for design optimization using evolutionary, reinforcement, or neuro-evolutionary optimizations, in particle transport simulations based on OpenMC and NEORL [21]. NEORL, when

coupled with OpenMC, enables OpenNeoMC to perform many MC computations, facilitating the discovery of optimized solutions. Notably, recent works have demonstrated the effectiveness of this approach in optimizing the fixed number detector placement for nuclear reactor cores using reinforcement learning techniques [22]. Thus, accelerating OpenMC simulations holds the promise of enhancing the overall performance of the long and computational heavy learning process.

## 1.2 OpenMC and OpenMP Offloading to GPUs

OpenMP offloading to GPUs stands out as a superior approach compared to other methodologies due to its simplicity, portability, and ease of integration with existing codebases [23]. OpenMP, a widely adopted standard for parallel programming, offers a straightforward mechanism for expressing GPU-accelerated computations directly within the source code. This simplicity facilitates a seamless transition to GPU utilization without extensive code restructuring. Additionally, OpenMP's portability ensures compatibility across different compilers and architectures [24], providing researchers with a versatile and efficient solution for harnessing GPU capabilities in high-performance applications [25]. The ease of adoption and broad compatibility make OpenMP offloading a compelling choice for researchers seeking a pragmatic and accessible path to GPU acceleration in their computational workflows.

The previous research, as outlined by Tramm et al. (2022) [26], was dedicated to advancing the OpenMC MC particle transport code to GPUs, while originally designed for CPU architectures. Despite achieving robust CPU performance, the code faced a critical limitation — the absence of GPU support, rendering it incompatible with contemporary cloud and supercomputers predominantly powered by GPU architectures. In addressing this limitation, the authors [26] strategically introduced the OpenMP target offloading model, aiming to enhance portability across a diverse range of GPU architectures from different vendors. The GPU porting effort necessitated substantial algorithmic restructuring and transitioning from history-based to event-based transport to effectively exploit GPU parallelism. Preliminary performance comparisons presented in their study showcased significant gains, with the A100 GPU demonstrating performance on par with Xeon CPU cores. The research also scrutinized the impact of physics capabilities on GPU performance, providing valuable insights into the trade-offs between various physics modules and execution efficiency. [26] laid the essential groundwork for the present study, offering comprehensive insights into the challenges, solution strategies, and performance benchmarks encountered during the GPU adaptation of OpenMC.

## 1.3 Contribution

This study makes a significant stride in advancing the portability of the OpenMC code, extending its reach from traditional supercomputing architectures to the

dynamic realm of the Intel Developer Cloud [27]. The adaptation process involved meticulous efforts to ensure compatibility and optimal performance on Intel GPUs (Intel Data Center Max 1100 GPU codename Ponte Vecchio, PVC [28]) through distributed OpenMP offloading. Our primary contribution lies in the thorough benchmarking and scaling analysis carried out on (multiple) Intel GPUs, unraveling the intricacies of performance improvements compared to SOTA CPU execution (Intel Xeon Platinum 8480+ Processor codename 4th generation Sapphire Rapids [29]). Through systematic experimentation, we demonstrate that the adapted code showcases a remarkable acceleration factor, significantly outperforming its CPU counterpart as load increases.

## 2 Settings: HPC Hardware, Compilation, and Benchmark

### 2.1 HPC Hardware

The Intel Developer Cloud [27] serves as a practical resource for developers seeking to advance AI and HPC applications through the integration of contemporary Intel hardware and software solutions. Leveraging components such as the Intel Gaudi2 processors for deep learning and access to platforms like the 4th and 5th Gen Intel Xeon Scalable processors and Intel Data Center GPU Max Series 1100, developers can engage in the construction, assessment, and optimization of relevant applications. The platform accommodates tasks ranging from small to large-scale AI workloads using the open software framework of the oneAPI initiative. In this work, we utilized an "Intel Max Series GPU (PVC) on 4th Gen Intel Xeon processors – 1100 series (4x)" instance (Table 1). The node topology, especially the CPU to GPU affinity, is presented in Table 2, while the MPI ranks mapping to this topology is presented in Figure 1. We note that all OpenMP activity in this work is occurring under MPI ranks.

### 2.2 Execution and Optimization on GPU

As described in [26], algorithmic optimizations were integrated into OpenMC to facilitate GPU enhancements. One of the most notable optimizations is the transition from history-based to event-based processing. Another optimization aspect involves sorting fuel cross-section (XS) lookup queues by particle energy, as outlined in [30]. Although performant on-device sorting libraries are anticipated to be universally available for all major GPU architectures in the future, their current availability is limited. To ensure portability, [26] implemented a sorting mechanism by transferring data back to the CPU host, performing parallel sorting using OpenMP threading, and then transferring the sorted queue data back to the device. However, this approach is suboptimal due to the high overhead associated with migrating data between the host and the device. Until seamless data migration becomes feasible on Intel GPUs, executions are launched with the *-no-sort-non-fissionable-xs* flag. For this work, Table 3 shows the full GPU compilation, while Table 4 shows the values we set for the environment

variables (based on the node topology and experimentation). Table 5 presents the command line for launching an event-based OpenMC computation, which can be initiated within each rank in an MPI computation.

	Intel PVC 1100	Intel SPR 8480+
Architecture	Xe-HPC	Sapphire Rapids
Memory	48GB HBM2e	256GB DDR5-4800
Memory Bandwidth	1228.8 GB/s	307.2 GB/s
Compute Cores	7168	56 (112 HT)
Amount	4	2

Table 1: Intel Data Center GPU Max 1100 (PVC) specification [31] v.s. Intel Xeon Platinum 8480+ Processor [29].

	GPU 0/0	GPU 1/0	GPU 2/0	GPU 3/0	CPU Affinity
GPU0/0	S	NODE	SYS	SYS	0-55, 112-167
GPU1/0	NODE	S	SYS	SYS	0-55, 112-167
GPU2/0	SYS	SYS	S	NODE	56-111, 168-223
GPU3/0	SYS	SYS	NODE	S	56-111, 168-223

Table 2: The GPU/CPU topology on the machine used in this work: Intel Developer Cloud 2xSPRs + 4xPVCs instance. (output of `$xpu-smi topology -m`).




Fig. 1: Intel Developer Cloud SPRs + PVCs node architecture, with MPI ranks distribution by sockets and GPU tiles.

Compilation flags
-fopenmp-targets=spir64 -mllvm -vpo-paropt-atomic-free-reduction=false -fiopenmp -Dgsl_CONFIG_CONTRACT_CHECKING_OFF -fsycl -D_PSTL_PAR_BACKEND_SERIAL=1 -DPSTL_USE_PARALLEL_POLICIES=0 -D_GLIBCXX_USE_TBB_PAR_BACKEND=0

Table 3: Compilation flags of OpenMC with OpenMP offloading to Intel GPUs.

Environment Variables	Values
NTILES	1,2,4
NRANKSPERTILE	1,2,4,8
IGC_ForceOCLSIMDWidth	16
LIBOMPTARGET_LEVEL_ZERO_COMMAND_BATCH	unset
LIBOMPTARGET_LEVEL_ZERO_USE_IMMEDIATE_COMMAND_LIST	1
CFESingleSliceDispatchCCSMode	1
LIBOMPTARGET_DEVICES	subsubdevice

Table 4: Parameters and Environment Variables of OpenMP GPU execution on Intel GPUs.

Running flags
openmc --event -s \$NTHREADS -i 1000000 -n \${NPARTICLES_PER_RANK} --no-sort-non-fissionable-xs

Table 5: Running flags of OpenMC with the HM benchmark.

To optimize for Intel GPUs, we investigated the number of GPU tiles and the number of ranks per tile to achieve optimal performance (see Figure 4). Additionally, environmental parameters, such as those set through OpenMP `LIBOMPTARGET`, were configured to enhance performance on Intel GPUs (Table 4). For instance, setting `IGC_ForceOCLSIMDWidth=16` can prompt the IGC compiler to enforce a SIMD width of 16, thereby improving performance on Intel PVC GPUs. Another aspect involves controlling the exposure of sub-devices to the application. The recommendation is to employ the *sub-sub-device* scheme for executing multiple small kernels concurrently on a stack at the compute-slice level. These kernels may lack sufficient parallelism to fully utilize all compute slices of a stack. This capability is enabled using `LIBOMPTARGET_DEVICES=subsubdevice`. In this study, an Intel GPU PVC 1100 was utilized, which contains only one tile and one slice per GPU. However, we anticipate that this approach could have a significant impact on GPUs with multiple tiles/slices, such as the 1550 version.




Fig. 2: OpenMC performance for the HM-large depleted reactor benchmark problem on CPU (history-based algorithm) and GPU (event-based algorithm) as a function of the number of particles per inactive iteration.




Fig. 3: OpenMC GPU distribution of time for kernel computation and data transfer compared, for the HM-large depleted reactor benchmark problem.




Fig. 4: OpenMC event-based performance on GPU for the HM-large depleted reactor benchmark problem as a function of the number of particles per inactive iteration, with different configurations of MPI ranks on multiple GPUs.

### 2.3 Benchmark Problem

The Hoogenboom-Martin (HM) [5] benchmark consists of a full core of a simplified PWR reactor, and does not reflect the specific design of an existing PWR reactor. The reactor model uses low-enriched fuel and light water. The HM large variant model includes 272 nuclides in its burnup scheme. This benchmark MC problem includes millions of burnup zones and requires keeping track (tally) of neutrons propagating and interacting with the 272 nuclides at each zone. Accessing the cross-section data for each nuclide is one of the substantial computational difficulties.

## 3 Results and Analysis

We begin by presenting a comparison between a multi-threaded CPU (history-based) computation on SPR versus execution on PVC GPUs (event-based) for the HM-large depleted reactor benchmark problem. The results are illustrated in Figure 2. It is observed that for a small number of particles, the GPU implementations incur overheads that overcome the advantages of utilizing the GPU's many-core power. However, as the number of particles per iteration increases to the order of a few million (turning point around 2M), GPUs begin to outperform CPU computations, achieving a speedup factor of 5 when using 160,000,000 particles per iteration (827,642 calculated particles per second). Figure 3 further presents the distribution of GPU timings, obtained using the OpenMP environment variable profiling, which includes GPU kernel computations and data transfers between the host and device. Analysis reveals that the overhead from data transfers increases gradually, resulting in a decrease in the percentage of data movement overheads from 32% with 16,000 particles per iteration to 5% with 160,000,000 particles per iteration. This breakdown explains why increasing the number of particles per second makes GPU execution superior to CPU execution.

In Figure 4 we explore the optimal MPI ranks distribution across the 4xPVC 1100 GPUs. Utilizing all 4 GPU tiles with 4 ranks per GPU yielded the highest performance. The analysis indicates that adding more ranks (8 per GPU) led to decreased performance; however, performance still improved with increasing particle counts. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that employing 1 rank per GPU across 4 GPUs, or utilizing a single GPU with 4 ranks, yielded comparable results. This observation may be attributed to the fact that an MPI rank cannot always effectively utilize all the GPU cores or memory bandwidth allocated.

## 4 Conclusions and Economic Tradoff

In this study, we have investigated the performance characteristics of the HM large variant benchmark problem using OpenMC, focusing on both CPU-based and GPU-accelerated computations with Intel CPUs and GPUs. Through a detailed analysis of performance trends and MPI rank distributions, several key



conclusions can be drawn regarding the efficacy of GPU acceleration and avenues for future research.

The comparison between CPU-based (history-based) and GPU-accelerated (event-based) computations revealed a clear advantage of GPU acceleration for large-scale simulations, particularly as the number of particles per iteration increased. While initial overheads associated with data transfer between the host and device were observed, the performance of GPU implementations surpassed that of CPU computations once the particle count per iteration reached a certain threshold, demonstrating the potential for significant speedup factors.

Furthermore, our investigation into the optimal distribution of MPI ranks across multiple GPUs highlighted the importance of efficient resource utilization and workload distribution in parallel computing environments. Distributing MPI ranks evenly across GPU tiles yielded optimal performance, with diminishing returns observed when adding excessive ranks per GPU. These findings underscore the need for careful consideration of MPI rank distributions to maximize computational efficiency in GPU-accelerated simulations.

We can also assess the economic tradeoff between CPU and GPU execution for such OpenMC simulations based on the computational performance gains observed in our study. We note that while the CPU-only hardware ("4th Generation Intel Xeon Scalable processors (8480)") costs 3.62\$ / hour, the CPU+GPU hardware ("Intel Max Series GPU (PVC) on 4th Gen Intel Xeon processors – 1100 series (4 cards)") costs 4.21\$ / hour [27]. In this work, we yielded a performance of over 800,000 calculated particles per second (during inactive iterations) across 4x PVC 1100 GPUs. This represents up to a fivefold speedup compared to CPU-only execution with a marginal extra cost of 0.59\$/ hour.

**Acknowledgments:** This research was supported by Intel Corporation (oneAPI CoE program) and the Lynn and William Frankel Center for Computer Science. Computational support was provided by Intel Developer Cloud [27].

## References

1. David Dubbeldam, Ariana Torres-Knoop, and Krista S Walton. On the inner workings of monte carlo codes. *Molecular Simulation*, 39(14-15):1253–1292, 2013.
2. Benyamin Ghojogh, Hadi Nekoei, Aydin Ghojogh, Fakhri Karray, and Mark Crowley. Sampling algorithms, from survey sampling to monte carlo methods: Tutorial and literature review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.00901*, 2020.
3. Alex F Bielajew. History of monte carlo. In *Monte Carlo techniques in radiation therapy*, pages 3–15. CRC Press, 2021.
4. Andrew L Fielding. Monte-carlo techniques for radiotherapy applications i: introduction and overview of the different monte-carlo codes. *Journal of Radiotherapy in Practice*, 22:e80, 2023.
5. J Eduard Hoogenboom, William R Martin, Bojan Petrovic, et al. The monte carlo performance benchmark test-aims, specifications and first results. In *International Conference on Mathematics and Computational Methods Applied to*, volume 2, page 15, 2011.

6. Paul Kollath Romano. *Parallel algorithms for Monte Carlo particle transport simulation on exascale computing architectures*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2013.
7. SJ Plimpton, SG Moore, A Borner, AK Stagg, TP Koehler, JR Torczynski, and MA Gallis. Direct simulation monte carlo on petaflop supercomputers and beyond. *Physics of Fluids*, 31(8), 2019.
8. Ryan Bleile. The state of monte carlo neutron transport: The role of gpus and portable performance abstractions.
9. Elia Merzari, Steven Hamilton, Thomas Evans, Misun Min, Paul Fischer, Stefan Kerkemeier, Jun Fang, Paul Romano, Yu-Hsiang Lan, Malachi Phillips, et al. Exascale multiphysics nuclear reactor simulations for advanced designs. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, pages 1–11, 2023.
10. David Schneider. The exascale era is upon us: The frontier supercomputer may be the first to reach 1,000,000,000,000,000,000 operations per second. *IEEE spectrum*, 59(1):34–35, 2022.
11. Tara M Pandya, Seth R Johnson, Thomas M Evans, Gregory G Davidson, Steven P Hamilton, and Andrew T Godfrey. Implementation, capabilities, and benchmarking of shift, a massively parallel monte carlo radiation transport code. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 308:239–272, 2016.
12. Steven P Hamilton, Thomas M Evans, Katherine E Royston, and Elliott D Biondo. Domain decomposition in the gpu-accelerated shift monte carlo code. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 166:108687, 2022.
13. Michael Evan Rising, Nicholas Hunter Whitman, and Jesson D Hutchinson. Verification and performance impact of the new parallel mcnp6. 3 particle track output capability for subcritical multiplication simulations. Technical report, Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States), 2023.
14. Yang Wang, Jingang Liang, Qiong Zhang, Xinyang Wang, Wei Tang, and Ye Chen. Development and verification of geant4-based parallel computing monte carlo simulations for nuclear logging applications. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 172:109079, 2022.
15. Jiankai Yu. Nuclear physics probability code: Openmc. In *Nuclear Power Plant Design and Analysis Codes*, pages 123–138. Elsevier, 2021.
16. Paul K Romano and Benoit Forget. The openmc monte carlo particle transport code. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 51:274–281, 2013.
17. Paul K Romano, Nicholas E Horelik, Bryan R Herman, Adam G Nelson, Benoit Forget, and Kord Smith. Openmc: A state-of-the-art monte carlo code for research and development. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 82:90–97, 2015.
18. Andrew R Siegel, Kord Smith, Paul K Romano, Benoit Forget, and Kyle G Felker. Multi-core performance studies of a monte carlo neutron transport code. *The International journal of high performance computing applications*, 28(1):87–96, 2014.
19. OpenMC: Running in Parallel. <https://docs.openmc.org/en/v0.13.0/usersguide/parallel.html>. [Online].
20. Xubo Gu, Majdi I Radaideh, and Jingang Liang. Openneomc: A framework for design optimization in particle transport simulations based on openmc and neorl. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 180:109450, 2023.
21. Majdi I Radaideh, Katelin Du, Paul Seurin, Devin Seyler, Xubo Gu, Haijia Wang, and Koroush Shirvan. Neorl: Neuroevolution optimization with reinforcement learning—applications to carbon-free energy systems. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, page 112423, 2023.

22. Kai Tan and Fan Zhang. Optimizing the fixed number detector placement for the nuclear reactor core using reinforcement learning. *Nuclear Science and Engineering*, pages 1–23, 2024.
23. Tom Deakin and Timothy G Mattson. *Programming Your GPU with OpenMP: Performance Portability for GPUs*. MIT Press, 2023.
24. Yehonatan Fridman, Guy Tamir, and Gal Oren. Portability and scalability of openmp offloading on state-of-the-art accelerators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04276*, 2023.
25. Christopher M Siefert, Carl Pearson, Stephen L Olivier, Andrey Prokopenko, Jonathan Hu, and Timothy J Fuller. Latency and bandwidth microbenchmarks of us department of energy systems in the june 2023 top 500 list. In *Proceedings of the SC'23 Workshops of The International Conference on High Performance Computing, Network, Storage, and Analysis*, pages 1298–1305, 2023.
26. John R Tramm, Paul K Romano, Johannes Doerfert, Amanda L Lund, Patrick C Shriwise, Andrew R Siegel, Gavin Ridley, and Andrew Pastrello. Toward portable gpu acceleration of the openmc monte carlo particle transport code. In *International Conference on Physics of Reactors (PHYSOR 2022)*. Pittsburgh, USA, 2022.
27. Intel. Intel Developer Cloud. <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/developer/tools/devcloud/overview.html>, 2023. [Online].
28. Intel. Intel data center gpu max series, 2023.
29. Intel. Intel 4th Gen Xeon Scalable Processors. <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/newsroom/news/4th-gen-xeon-scalable-processors-max-series-cpus-gpus.html#gs.ti3gm6>, 2023. [Online].
30. Namjae Choi, Kyung Min Kim, and Han Gyu Joo. Optimization of neutron tracking algorithms for gpu-based continuous energy monte carlo calculation. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 162:108508, 2021.
31. Intel. Intel Data Center GPU Max 1100. <https://ark.intel.com/content/www/us/en/ark/products/232876/intel-data-center-gpu-max-1100.html>, 2023. [Online].