On some path-critical Ramsey numbers

Ye Wang,^{*} Yanyan Song[†]

Abstract

For graphs G and H, the Ramsey number R(G, H) is the smallest r such that any redblue edge coloring of K_r contains a red G or a blue H. The path-critical Ramsey number $R_{\pi}(G, H)$ is the largest n such that any red-blue edge coloring of $K_r \setminus P_n$ contains a red G or a blue H, where r = R(G, H) and P_n is a path of order n. In this note, we show a general upper bound for $R_{\pi}(G, H)$, and determine the exact values for some cases of $R_{\pi}(G, H)$.

Key Words: Path-critical Ramsey number; Ramsey goodness; Path

1 Introduction

For graphs F, G and H, let $F \to (G, H)$ signify that any red-blue edge coloring of F contains a red G or a blue H. The Ramsey number R(G, H) is defined as the smallest integer r such that $K_r \to (G, H)$. For a graph F and a positive integer r, if $|V(F)| \leq r$, we denote by $K_r \setminus F$ the graph obtained from K_r by deleting the edges of F from K_r .

One significant issue is to determine the "largest" possible graph F such that $K_r \setminus F \to (G, H)$. If we consider "largest" in terms of the largest number of edges, this problem is related to the size Ramsey number, which was defined by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [6] as

$$\hat{r}(G, H) = \min\{|E(F)| : F \to (G, H)\}.$$

If the "largest" possible graph F is a star, then this problem is associated with the star-critical Ramsey number defined by Hook and Isaak [8]. Wang and Li [13] extended the definition to restrict F to the matching, path and complete graph, and defined the matching-critical Ramsey number, path-critical Ramsey number and complete-critical Ramsey number. For results on star-critical Ramsey numbers and related critical Ramsey numbers, see a survey [1].

In this note, we consider the path-critical Ramsey number, which is defined as follows, where P_n is a path on n vertices.

Definition 1 For graphs G and H, define the path-critical Ramsey number as

$$R_{\pi}(G,H) = \max\left\{n: K_r \setminus P_n \to (G,H)\right\},\$$

where r = R(G, H).

^{*}College of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China. Email: ywang@hrbeu.edu.cn. Supported in part by NSF of Heilongjiang Province of China (No. LH2021A004).

[†]Corresponding author. College of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, 150001, China. Email: songyanyan@hrbeu.edu.cn.

Similarly, we define $R_{\mu}(G, H)$ the matching-critical Ramsey number and $R_{\omega}(G, H)$ the completecritical Ramsey number.

Denoted by $\delta(G)$, $\Delta(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ the minimum degree, the maximum degree and the chromatic number of G, respectively. The minimum number of vertices in a color class among all proper vertex colorings of G by $\chi(G)$ colors is denoted by s(G), and call s(G) the chromatic surplus. For connected graph G and graph H with $|V(G)| \geq s(H)$, Burr [2] showed that

$$R(G, H) \ge (\chi(H) - 1)(|V(G)| - 1) + s(H).$$

If the equality holds, G is called H-good. Let G + H be the disjoint union of G and H by adding complete edges between V(G) and V(H), $G \cup H$ the disjoint union of G and H, and nG the n vertex-disjoint copies of G.

In this note, we shall give a general upper bound for $R_{\pi}(G, H)$ and show the exact values of some cases of $R_{\pi}(G, H)$. In particular, the general upper bound is sharp for cases in $R_{\pi}(K_{1,n}, K_m)$, $R_{\pi}(K_{1,n}, B_m)$ and $R_{\pi}(F_n, K_3)$, where $B_m = K_2 + mK_1$ and $F_n = K_1 + nK_2$.

Theorem 1 For connected graph G of order n and graph H with $\Delta(G) = n - 1$ and $n \ge s(H)$, if $r = R(G, H) \le (\chi(H) - 1)n + s(H) - 1$, then

$$R_{\pi}(G,H) \le \left[r - (\chi(H) - 1)(n - 1) - s(H) + 1\right]n - 1.$$

In particular, if G is H-good, then $R_{\pi}(G,H) \leq n-1$.

It is shown in [13] that $K_r \setminus K_n \to (K_{1,n}, K_m)$, where $r = R(K_{1,n}, K_m) = n(m-1) + 1$. Along with Theorem 1, we obtain that for $m, n \ge 2$,

$$R_{\pi}(K_{1,n}, K_m) = n.$$

It is also easy to see that

$$R_{\pi}(K_{1,m}, K_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ and } n \text{ are both even,} \\ m+n-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 For integers m and n with $n \ge 3m + 2$ and $m \ge 2$, then $R_{\pi}(K_{1,n}, B_m) = n$.

Theorem 3 For any integers $n \ge 2$, then $R_{\pi}(F_n, K_3) = 2n$.

Theorem 4 For integers m and n with $n \ge 2m + 3$, then $R_{\pi}(K_{1,m}, P_n) = n$.

Theorem 5 For integers m and n with $n \ge m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 2$, then $R_{\pi}(mK_2, nK_2) = 2n + m - 1$.

2 Proofs

For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let G[S] denote the subgraph induced by S in G. Denote by $N_H(v)$ the neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ that is contained in V(H), and $d_H(v) = |N_H(v)|$. Similarly, $N_G^R(v)$ and $N_G^B(v)$ are the red neighborhood and blue neighborhood of $v \in V(G)$, respectively, and $d_G^R(v) = |N_G^R(v)|$, $d_G^B = |N_G^B(v)|$. In general, the vertex v belongs to V(G), but it may not belong to V(G) in this note.

Proof of Theorem 1. For simplicity of notation, let $k = \chi(H)$, s = s(H) and t = r - (k - 1)(n - 1) - s + 1. Consider the graph $F = K_r \setminus P_{tn}$. For any red-blue edge coloring of F, the subgraphs of F induced by red edges and blue edges are denoted by R and B, respectively. Coloring the edges of F such that

$$R = (k - t - 1)K_{n-1} \cup t(K_n \setminus P_n) \cup K_{s-1}.$$

Since G is connected of order $n \ge s$ and $\Delta(K_n \setminus P_n) = n - 2$, none of K_{n-1} , $K_n \setminus P_n$ and K_{s-1} contains G. And B contains no H as B is a k-partite graph with the chromatic surplus being s - 1. Thus $R_{\pi}(G, H) \le tn - 1 = (r - (k - 1)(n - 1) - s + 1)n - 1$. If G is H-good, then r = (k - 1)(n - 1) + s, which implies $R_{\pi}(G, H) \le n - 1$.

Lemma 1 ([7]) For integers m and n with $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 3m-4$, then $R(K_{1,n}, B_m) = 2n+1$.

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 1 and Theorem 1, we have $R_{\pi}(K_{1,n}, B_m) \leq n$. For the lower bound, consider the graph $G = G_1 + G_2$ with $G_1 = K_{n+1}$ and $G_2 = K_n \setminus P_n$. For any red-blue edge coloring of G, we shall show that G contains neither a red $K_{1,n}$ nor a blue B_m .

Assume that G contains a blue K_3 . Suppose not, then for any vertex $v \in V(G_1)$, d(v) = 2n. As G contains no red $K_{1,n}$, we have $d_G^B(v) \ge n + 1$. Then $N_G^B(v)$ induces a red subgraph of order at least n + 1, which must contain a red $K_{1,n}$, a contradiction. Let the vertices of the blue K_3 be u_1, u_2 and u_3 . Then $d_G^B(u_1) \ge n - 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Let $V_1 = N_G^B(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}, V_2 = N_G^B(u_2) \setminus (N_G^B(u_1) \cup \{u_1\}), V_3 = N_G^B(u_3) \setminus (N_G^B(u_1) \cup N_G^B(u_2))$. Since G contains no blue B_m , we have

$$|V_1| \ge n-3, |V_2| \ge (n-3) - (m-2) = n - m - 1, |V_3| \ge (n-3) - 2(m-2) = n - 2m + 1.$$

Then

$$2n + 1 = |V(G)| \ge |\{u_1, u_2, u_3\} \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3|$$

$$\ge 3 + (n - 3) + (n - m - 1) + (n - 2m + 1) = 3n - 3m,$$

which implies $n \leq 3m + 1$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2 ([10]) For any integer $n \ge 2$, $R(F_n, K_3) = 4n + 1$.

Lemma 3 ([9]) For any integer $n \ge 2$, $R(nK_2, K_3) = 2n + 1$.

The following claim is an easy observation, which can also be found in [11].

Claim 1 Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and $r = R(nK_2, K_3) = 2n + 1$. Define a class of red-blue edge coloring of K_{2n} as $\mathbb{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}\}$, such that

$$H_i: H_i^R = K_{2i+1} \cup K_{2n-2i-1}$$
$$H_i^B = K_{2i+1,2n-2i-1},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$. For any (nK_2, K_3) -free coloring of K_{r-1} , the resulting graph must belong to the class \mathbb{H} .

Claim 2 $R_{\pi}(F_2, K_3) = 4.$

Proof. The upper bound follows from Theorem 1. For the lower bound, we shall prove that $G = K_9 \setminus P_4 \to (F_2, K_3)$. Let $G = G_1 + G_2$ with $G_1 = K_5$ and $G_2 = P_4$, where $P_4 : v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4$. Suppose $G \not\to (F_2, K_3)$. Note that $d_G^B(x) \leq 4$ for any vertex $x \in V(G)$, otherwise we obtain a red F_2 . Let H be the complete graph induced by $V(G_1) \cup \{v_2, v_3\}$. Then for any vertex $x \in V(H)$, by Lemma 3, we obtain $d_H^R(x) \leq 4$, thus $d_H^B(x) \geq 2$. So for any vertex $x \in V(H)$, we have $2 \leq d_H^B(x) \leq 4$. If $d_H^B(x) = 3$ for any vertex $x \in V(H)$, this contradicts the fact that $e(H^B) = \frac{\sum_{x \in V(H)} d_H^B(x)}{2}$. Then we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(H)$ such that $d_H^B(x) = 4$. Let H_1 be a red K_4 induced by $N_H^B(x)$, and H_2 the graph induced by $V(G) \setminus (V(H_1) \cup \{x\})$. Note that any edge between x and $V(H_2)$ must be red. For any vertex $y \in V(H_2)$, we have $d_{H_1}^R(y) \leq 1$, otherwise yielding a red F_2 . So for any vertex $y_1, y_2 \in V(H_2)$ with edge $y_1y_2 \in E(G)$, we have $|N_{H_1}^B(y_1) \cap N_{H_1}^B(y_2)| \neq \emptyset$, then H_2 is a red graph, yielding a red F_2 with $V(F_2) = \{x\} \cup V(H_2)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(H)$ such that $d_H^B(x) = 2$, let $N_H^B(x) = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Then u_1u_2 is red and $G[N_H^R(x)] = K_4$ is $(2K_2, K_3)$ -free. By Claim 1, K_4 is the graph H_0 in H with red clique $X = \{x_1\}$ and $Y = K_3$. For any vertex $y \in \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_4\}$, we have $d_Y^R(y) \leq 1$, thus $d_Y^B(y) \geq 1$. And if $x_1y \in E(G)$, then x_1y is red.

If $x \in V(G_1)$, let xv_1 and xv_4 be both red or blue, and then we obtain a red F_2 , a contradiction. Without loss of generality, we may assume that xv_1 is red and xv_4 is blue, then $x_1 = v_3$. Note that $d_Y^B(v_1) \ge 2$ and $d_Y^B(u_i) \ge 2$ for i = 1, 2. So u_iv_1 is red, and $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a red graph, yielding a red F_2 , a contradiction. If $x \in V(G_2)$, without loss of generality, let $x = v_2$, and then $d_Y^B(v_4) \ge 2$. Since $d_Y^B(u_i) \ge 2$ for $i = 1, 2, u_iv_4$ must be red, and $\{u_1, u_2, v_4, x_1\}$ induces a red clique. So v_1v_2 is red, otherwise we can get a red F_2 . Similarly, we have $d_Y^R(v_1) = 0$ and $x_1 = v_3$, then $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_3, v_4\}$ induces a red graph, a contradiction. \Box

Claim 3 $R_{\pi}(F_3, K_3) = 6.$

Proof. The upper bound is trivial by Theorem 1. For the lower bound, consider $G = G_1 + G_2 = K_7 + K_6 \setminus P_6 \to (F_3, K_3)$, where $P_6 : v_1 v_2 \cdots v_6$. Let $H = G[V(G_1) \cup \{v_1, v_3, v_5\}] = K_{10}$. Analysis similar to that in the proof of Claim 2, suppose to the contrary that G contains neither a red F_3 with the central vertex in $V(G_1)$ nor a blue K_3 . We see that $d_G^B(x) \leq 6$ for any vertex $x \in V(G)$. For any vertex $x \in V(G_1)$, we have $d_H^R(x) \leq 6$ by Lemma 3, that is, $d_H^B(x) \geq 3$, and we conclude

that $3 \leq d_H^B(x) \leq 6$ for any vertex $x \in V(G_1)$. Let $F' = G[N_H^B(x)]$. We shall discuss each case as follows.

Case 1. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G_1)$ such that $d_H^B(x) = 6$, then $3 \leq |V(F') \cap V(G_1)| \leq 6$. Let $G' = G[N_G^R(x)]$, then |V(G')| = 6. For any vertex $y \in V(G')$, we have $d_{F'\cap G_1}^R(y) \leq 1$, otherwise there is a red F_3 with the central vertex in $V(G_1)$. Then $d_{F'\cap G_1}^B(y) \geq |V(F') \cap V(G_1)| - 1$, which implies that $|N_{F'\cap G_1}^B(y_1) \cap N_{F'\cap G_1}^B(y_2)| \neq \emptyset$ for any vertex $y_1, y_2 \in V(G')$. So G' is a red graph, and we get a red F_3 with the central vertex x, a contradiction.

Case 2. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G_1)$ such that $d_H^B(x) = 5$, then $2 \leq |V(F') \cap V(G_1)| \leq 5$. If $d_G^R(x) = d_G^B(x) = 6$, the proof is similar to that of Case 1. If $|V(F') \cap V(G_1)| = 2$, say u_1, u_2 , then $G[N_G^R(x)] = K_6$ is $(3K_2, K_3)$ -free. By Claim 1, K_6 is the graph H_0 and H_1 in H with red cliques X and Y, where $|V(X)| \leq |V(Y)|$. We have $d_Y^R(u_i) \leq 1$ for i = 1, 2. So $d_X^R(u_i) = |X|$, and we get a red F_3 with the central vertex u_i , a contradiction.

If $d_G^B(x) = 5$, then $d_G^R(x) = 7$. As $\{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ induces at least one red edge, we may assume $v_j v_k$ is red for $j, k \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Since $G[N_G^R(x)] = K_4$ is $(2K_2, K_3)$ -free, by Claim 1, K_4 is the graph H_0 in H with red cliques $X = \{x_1\}$ and $Y = K_3$. Then $d_Y^R(v_l) = 0$ and $x_1 v_l \notin E(G)$ for $l \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ and $l \notin \{j, k\}$, which implies that $x_1 \in V(G_2)$ and $|V(F') \cap V(G_1)| \ge 3$. Let $V(G') = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\} \subseteq V(F') \cap V(G_1)$. Note that $d_Y^B(u_i) \ge 2$ for i = 1, 2, 3, otherwise, we have a red F_3 with the central vertex u_i . So u_1x_1, u_2x_1 and u_3x_1 are all red. For any vertex $y \in N_G^R(x) \setminus \{x_1\}$, we have $d_{G'}^R(y) \le 1$, otherwise there is a red F_3 with the central vertex u_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, a contradiction. So $d_{G'}^B(y) \ge 2$, and $N_G^R(x) \setminus \{x_1\}$ induces a red graph, yielding a red F_3 with the central vertex x, a contradiction.

Case 3. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G_1)$ such that $d_H^B(x) = 3$, then $G[N_H^R(x)] = K_6$ is $(3K_2, K_3)$ -free. By Claim 1, $G[N_H^R(x)] = K_6$ is the graph H_0 and H_1 in H with red cliques X and Y, where $|V(X)| \leq |V(Y)|$.

If $G[N_H^R(x)] = H_0$, then let $X = \{x_1\}$. If $x_1 \in V(G_1)$ with $d_H^B(x_1) = 5$, this proof is similar to that of Case 2. If $x_1 \in V(G_2)$, we have $|V(Y) \cap V(G_1)| \ge 3$. Denote by G' the graph induced by $V(G) \setminus (V(Y) \cup \{x\})$, then |V(G')| = 7. For any vertex $y \in V(G')$, we have $d_{Y \cap G_1}^R(y) \le 1$, otherwise there is a red F_3 with $V(F_3) = \{x, y\} \cup V(Y)$ and the central vertex in $V(G_1)$. Similarly, V(G') is a red graph and $|V(G_1) \cap V(G')| \ge 1$, which yields a red F_3 with the central vertex in $V(G_1)$, a contradiction.

Now we assume that $G[N_H^R(x)] = H_1$. If $d_G^R(x) \ge 7$, let xv_i be red for $i \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Then the edges between v_i and X, Y are completely blue, and we obtain a blue K_3 , a contradiction. If $d_G^R(x) = d_G^B(x) = 6$, let $H' = G[N_G^B(x)]$. We may suppose that there exists a vertex $y \in V(H') \cap V(G_1)$. Then $d_X^B(y) \ge 2$ and $d_Y^B(y) \ge 2$, and there is a blue K_3 , a contradiction. So $|V(H') \cap V(G_1)| = \emptyset$, that is, $N_G^R(x) \subseteq V(G_1)$. Let $u_1 \in V(Y)$, and we have $d_{H'}^R(u_1) \le 3$, otherwise there is a red F_3 with the central vertex u_1 . So the edges between X and $N_{H'}^B(u_1)$ are completely red, which implies that there is a red F_3 with $V(F_3) = \{x\} \cup V(X) \cup N_{H'}^B(u_1)$ and the central vertex in $V(G_1)$, a contradiction.

Case 4. For any vertex $x \in V(G_1)$, we have $d_H^B(x) = 4$, which implies that $d_H^R(x) = 5$, $|V(F') \cap V(G_1)| \ge 1$ and $4 \le d_G^B(x) \le 6$. Then $6 \le d_G^R(x) \le 8$. Let $V(F') = \{u_1, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $G' = G[N_H^R(x)]$, where $u_1 \in V(G_1)$. Then $d_{G'}^R(u_1) = 2$, say x_4 and x_5 . By Lemma 3, we get a red $2K_2$ with vertices in $N_H^R(x)$. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G_1)$ with $d_G^R(x) = 8$, then

 $\{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$ induces at least one red edge, which yields a red F_3 with the central vertex x, a contradiction.

Now we assume that $6 \leq d_G^R(x) \leq 7$ for any vertex $x \in V(G_1)$. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G_1)$ such that $d_G^R(x) = 6$, let xv_i and xv_j be blue for $i, j \in \{2, 4, 6\}$, then v_iv_j, u_1v_i, u_1v_j are all red. Similarly, x_4x_5 and x_ix_j are blue for $i = \{4, 5\}$ and $j = \{1, 2, 3\}$, so G contains a blue K_3 , a contradiction. If $d_G^R(x) = 7$ for any vertex $x \in V(G_1)$, let xv_i and xv_j be all red and xv_k blue for $i, j, k \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Then v_iv_j is blue as there is a red $2K_2$ with vertices in $N_H^R(x)$. Without loss of generality, let u_1v_j be red and u_1v_i blue. Similarly, x_4x_5 and v_jv_k are blue, and thus v_iv_k is red and v_jx_4, v_jx_5 are blue, yielding a blue K_3 , a contradiction. Hence, v_j is adjacent to neither x_4 nor x_5 . Then we have $|N_H^B(x) \cap V(G_1)| \ge 2$. Let $x_1 \in V(G_1)$. Since v_kx_1 is red, we have v_ix_1 is blue as there is a red $2K_2$ with vertices in $N_H^R(x_1)$ and v_jx_1 is red. If $v_kx_2, v_kx_3 \notin E(G)$, we get v_kx_4 or v_kx_5 is red, yielding a red F_3 with the central vertex u_1 , a contradiction. If v_kx_2 or v_kx_3 is red, say v_kx_2 , then x_3x_4 and x_3x_5 are both blue, contradicting to our claim.

Proof of Theorem 3. The upper bound follows from Theorem 1. For the lower bound, consider the graph $G = G_1 + G_2$ with $G_1 = K_{2n+1}$ and $G_2 = K_{2n} \setminus P_{2n}$, where $P_{2n} : v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2n}$. Suppose that G contains no blue K_3 , and we shall show that G contains a red F_n with the central vertex in $V(G_1)$ by induction on n. The assertion holds for n = 3 by Claim 3, and now we assume that $n \ge 4$.

If $d_{G_1}^B(v_1) = 2n + 1$, we are done. We may assume $d_{G_1}^B(v_1) \leq 2n$. Denoted by the red edge u_1v_1 with $u_1 \in V(G_1)$, and let H be the graph induced by $\{v_1\} \cup V(G_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$. Similarly, by Lemma 3, we have $d_H^R(u_1) \leq 2n$, and we denote by u_2 the vertex adjacent to u_1 by a blue edge in G_1 . By induction, $V(G) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\}$ must induce a red $F = F_{n-1}$ with central vertex $x \in V(G_1)$. Let $F' = G[N_G^R(x)]$ and $G' = G[N_G^B(x)]$. Then G' is a red graph with $|V(G')| \leq 2n$.

Case 1. If xu_1 and xu_2 are both red, we have xv_1 is blue. For any vertex $y \in V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{u_1, u_2\})$, we have xy is blue, otherwise yu_1 and yu_2 are both blue, a contradiction. Since $|V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{u_1, u_2\})| = 2n$, we have |V(G')| = 2n.

If there exists a vertex $u_3 \in V(G') \cap V(G_1)$, then u_1u_3 is blue, otherwise we obtain a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{u_1\} \cup V(G')$ and the central vertex u_3 . So u_2u_3 is red. Similarly, $d_{G'\setminus\{u_3\}}^B(u_2) = 2n-1$, which implies that $d_{G'\setminus\{u_3\}}^R(u_1) = 2n-1$, and then we can get a red F_{n-1} with the central vertex u_1 . Then we have $d_{F\setminus\{x\}}^B(u_1) = 2n-2$, otherwise there is a red F_n with the central vertex u_1 . So $d_{F\setminus\{x\}}^R(u_3) = 2n-2$. Along with the red graph G', we obtain a red F_n with the central vertex u_3 .

If $|V(G') \cap V(G_1)| = \emptyset$, then $V(F') \subseteq V(G_1)$, and $F' = K_{2n}$ is (nK_2, K_3) -free. By Claim 1, K_{2n} is the graph \mathbb{H} with red odd cliques X and Y, where $|V(X)| \leq |V(Y)|$. Let $x_1 \in V(X)$, $y_1 \in V(Y)$ and $H' = G[N_{G'}^B(y_1)]$. If |V(X)| = 1, we have |V(Y)| = 2n - 1. Then $V(H') \leq 2n - 1$, otherwise the edges between x_1 and V(H') are completely red, and we have a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{x_1\} \cup V(H')$ and the central vertex x_1 . Then $d_{H'}^R(x_1) = |V(H')| \leq 2n - 1$ and $d_{H'}^B(x_1) \geq 1$. Denoted by the blue edge x_1u with $u \in V(H')$, and then $d_Y^R(u) = 2n - 1$, which yields a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{x, u\} \cup V(Y)$ and the central vertex in $V(G_1)$. If $|V(X)| \geq 3$, we have $|V(Y)| \leq 2n - 3$. Suppose that $d_{G'}^R(y_1) \geq 2n - |V(Y)| + 1$, and we can obtain a red F_n with the central vertex y_1 . If $d_{G'}^R(y_1) \leq 2n - |V(Y)|$, then $|V(H')| \geq |V(Y)|$, and the edges between V(X) and V(H') are completely red, which yields a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{x\} \cup V(X) \cup V(H')$ and the central vertex x_1 .

Case 2. If one of xu_1 and xu_2 is blue, without loss of generality, we assume that xu_1 is blue and xu_2 is red. Note that there are at most two red edges between x and $V(G) \setminus (V(F_{n-1}) \cup \{u_1, u_2\})$. If $w_1, w_2 \in V(G_2)$ with $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$, then xw_1 and xw_2 are both red.

If V(F') = V(G') = 2n, let xw_1 be red. Then u_2w_1 is blue. Similarly, u_1w_1 is red. Then we have $d^R_{G'\setminus\{u_1\}}(w_1) = 0$, otherwise there is a red F_n with the central vertex u_1 . Let $U = N^B_{G'\setminus\{u_1\}}(w_1)$. So $d^R_U(u_2) = |V(U)| \ge 2n - 3 \ge 5$. If $|(V(G') \setminus \{u_1\}) \cap V(G_1)| \ge 1$, we get a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{u_2\} \cup V(G')$ and the central vertex in $V(G_1)$. If $|(V(G') \setminus \{u_1\}) \cap V(G_1)| = \emptyset$, then $F' = K_{2n}$ is (nK_2, K_3) -free. By Claim 1, K_{2n} is the graph \mathbb{H} with red odd cliques X and Y, where $|V(X)| \le |V(Y)|$. Since there is a red F_{n-1} with the central vertex u_1 in graph G', we have $d^B_Y(u_1) \ge |V(Y)| - 1$. Hence, $d^R_Y(u_1) = |V(X)|$. By similar argument as in Case 1, we obtain a red F_n with the central vertex in $V(G_1)$.

If V(F') = 2n + 1 and V(G') = 2n - 1, let xw_1 and xw_2 be both red with $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$ and $w_1, w_2 \in V(G_2)$. Then u_2w_1 and u_2w_2 are both blue. Similarly, u_1w_1 and u_1w_2 are both red. If there is a red $2K_2$ between $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and $V(G') \setminus \{u_1\}$, we have a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{w_1, w_2\} \cup V(G')$ and the central vertex u_1 . Otherwise, we obtain $|N_{G' \setminus \{u_1\}}^B(w_1) \cup N_{G' \setminus \{u_1\}}^B(w_2)| \ge 2n - 3$. Since G contains no blue K_3 , the edges between u_2 and $N_{G' \setminus \{u_1\}}^B(w_1) \cup N_{G' \setminus \{u_1\}}^B(w_2)$ are all red, and we can get a red F_{n-2} with the central vertex u_2 . Let $U' = G[N_{F'}^B(w_1)]$. If $d_{F'}^R(w_1) = 0$, then U' is a red graph with $|V(U')| \ge 2n - 2$. So $d_{U'}^R(u_2) = |V(U')|$, and we obtain a red F_{n-1} with the central vertex u_2 for $n \ge 4$. If $d_{F'}^R(w_1) \ge 1$, let w_1w be red, where $w \in V(F')$. By induction, there is a red F_{n-1} with central vertex x, and there must exist a vertex $w' \in V(F')$ such that ww' is red. Then u_2w' is blue. As w_1w' or w_2w' must be red, we have u_2w is blue. Then u_1w and u_1w' are both red, and we can get a red F_n with $V(F_n) = \{w, w'\} \cup V(G')$ and the central vertex u_1 .

Lemma 4 ([12]) For any integers m, n with $n \ge 2m + 1, R(K_{1,m}, P_n) = n$.

Lemma 5 ([5]) Every connected graph G contains a path of length at least $\min\{2\delta, |V(G)|-1\}$.

Proof of Theorem 4. We shall prove that $G = K_n \setminus P_n \to (K_{1,m}, P_n)$ for $n \ge 2m + 3$ by induction on n.

For n = 2m + 3, we shall show that $G = K_{2m+3} \setminus P_{2m+3} \to (K_{1,m}, P_{2m+3})$. Suppose that G contains no red $K_{1,m}$, then $d_G^R(v) \le m-1$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, which implies $d_G^B(v) \ge m+1$. By Lemma 5, G must contain a blue P_{2m+3} . For $n \ge 2m + 4$, let $P_n : v_1 v_2 \ldots v_n$. By induction, $G \setminus \{v_1\} \to (K_{1,m}, P_{n-1})$. Then G contains a blue $H = P_{n-1}$. Assume that G contains no blue P_n , then $d_H^R(v_1) \ge \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1 \ge m$, which yields a red $K_{1,m}$, completing the proof.

Lemma 6 ([3, 4, 9]) For any integers m, n with $n \ge m \ge 1, R(mK_2, nK_2) = 2n + m - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 5. By Lemma 6, we shall prove that $G = K_{2n+m-1} \setminus P_{2n+m-1} \rightarrow (mK_2, nK_2)$ by induction.

If m = 1 and $n \ge 2$, it is trivial as $K_{2n} \setminus P_{2n} \to (K_2, nK_2)$. If m = n = 2, we shall show that $K_5 \setminus P_5 \to (2K_2, 2K_2)$. For the path $P_5 : v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5$, without loss of generality, suppose that v_1v_5 is red, then v_2v_4 is blue, otherwise there is a red $2K_2$. Similarly, we have v_1v_3 and v_3v_5 are both red, thus v_1v_4 and v_2v_5 are both blue, yielding a blue $2K_2$, a contradiction.

If $n \ge m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 3$, there must be a vertex u in G with a red neighbor u_1 and a blue neighbor u_2 . Then $V(G) \setminus \{u, u_1, u_2\}$ must induce a red $(m - 1)K_2$ or a blue $(n - 1)K_2$ by induction. Along with edge uu_1 or uu_2 , we get a red mK_2 or a blue nK_2 .

References

- [1] M. Budden, Star-critical Ramsey numbers for graphs, Springer, 2023.
- [2] S. Burr, Ramsey numbers involving graphs with long suspended paths, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 24 (1981) 405-413.
- [3] E. Cockayne, P. Lorimer, The Ramsey number for stripes, J. Aust. Math. Soc. (Series A) 19 (1975) 252-256.
- [4] E. Cockayne, P. Lorimer, On Ramsey graph numbers for stars and stripes, Canad. Math. Bull, 18 (1975) 31-34.
- [5] G. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 2 (1952) 69-81.
- [6] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau, R. Schelp, The size Ramsey numbers, Period. Math. Hungar. 9 (1978) 145-161.
- [7] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau, R. Schelp, The book-tree Ramsey numbers, Scientia, Ser. A, Math. Sci. 1 (1988) 111-117.
- [8] J. Hook, G. Isaak, Star-critical Ramsey numbers, Discrete Appl. Math. 159 (2011) 328-334.
- [9] P. Lorimer, The Ramsey numbers for stripes and one complete graph, J. Graph Theory 8 (1984) 177-184.
- [10] Y. Li, C. Rousseau, Fan-complete graph Ramsey numbers, J. Graph Theory 23 (1996) 413-420.
- [11] Z. Li, Y. Li, Some star-critical Ramsey numbers, Discrete Appl. Math. 181 (2015) 301-305.
- [12] T. Parsons, Path-star Ramsey numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 17 (1974) 51-58.
- [13] Y. Wang, Y. Li, Deleting edges from Ramsey graphs, Discrete Math. 343 (2020) 111743.