

ON THE p -ADIC VALUES OF THE WEIGHT TWO EISENSTEIN SERIES FOR SUPERSINGULAR PRIMES

P. GUERZHOY

ABSTRACT. The weight two Eisenstein series may be considered as the first example of a Katz p -adic modular form. Classically, its values are defined for the primes of ordinary reduction. We offer a modified definition which applies uniformly to all primes of good reduction, both ordinary and supersingular. We show that, in the case of complex multiplication, these p -adic values coincide with the algebraic value of this Eisenstein series.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Ramanujan series

$$P = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \sum_{d|n} d \quad (q = \exp(2\pi i\tau) \text{ with } \Im(\tau) > 0 \text{ throughout})$$

narrowly misses being a modular form. The closely related function standardly denoted by $E_2^*(\tau)$ and defined by

$$E_2^*(\tau) = P(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi\Im(\tau)}$$

is modular of weight 2 (and trivial level) and can be considered as an algebraic modular form over \mathbb{C} in the sense of [10, 2.1.1]. We recall the construction now. Consider a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$. The elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ with nowhere-vanishing invariant differential dz admits an affine Weierstrass model

$$(1) \quad E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3,$$

with nowhere-vanishing invariant differential

$$\omega = dx/y,$$

where

$$g_2 = g_2(\Lambda) = 60 \sum_{\substack{m \in \Lambda \\ m \neq 0}} m^{-4}, \quad g_3 = g_3(\Lambda) = 140 \sum_{\substack{m \in \Lambda \\ m \neq 0}} m^{-6}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F33, 14H52, 11S31.

Key words and phrases. elliptic curves, p -adic modular forms, formal groups.

Then ω and $\bar{\omega}$ form a \mathbb{C} -basis of $H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$ and one has for $\eta := xdx/y \in H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$ that

$$\eta + A\omega + B\bar{\omega} = 0 \quad \text{in } H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C}).$$

Equivalently, the function on the complex plane \mathbb{C}

$$(2) \quad \zeta(z; \Lambda) - Az - B\bar{z} \quad \text{is } \Lambda\text{-periodic,}$$

where $\zeta(z; \Lambda)$ is the Weierstrass ζ -function associated with the lattice Λ . The quantity $A = A(\Lambda)$ is thus defined as the coefficient of ω in the decomposition of η with respect to the basis of $H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$ which consists of ω and a (anti-holomorphic) differential ν characterized by the property

$$(3) \quad \nu(cz) = \bar{c}\nu(z).$$

(The space of such differentials ν is one-dimensional and $\bar{\omega}$ is one of them.) This way, the quantity $A = A(\Lambda)$ becomes the value of a weight 2 modular form (on $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$) defined over \mathbb{C} . The relationship between the two modular forms

$$A(\Lambda) = \frac{\pi^2}{3\omega_1^2} E_2^*(\omega_2/\omega_1)$$

for any basis $\Lambda = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$ with $\Im(\omega_2/\omega_1) > 0$ follows from [10, Lemma 1.3.6].

While $A(\Lambda)$ is an algebraic modular form over \mathbb{C} , the function $E_2^*(\tau)$ is manifestly non-holomorphic and (see [10, 2.4]) $A(\Lambda)$ is not an algebraic modular form over \mathbb{Z} as the q -expansion of P would suggest. In particular, there is no reason to expect the quantity $12A(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$ to belong to $\mathbb{Z}[g_2, g_3]$, or even be an algebraic number whenever g_2 and g_3 are algebraic. There is a notable exception: the number $A(\Lambda)$ becomes algebraic together with g_2 and g_3 whenever the elliptic curve E has complex multiplication (see [10, Lemma 4.0.7] or, alternatively, for an elementary short proof, [13, Lemma 3.1]). From now on, we assume that the elliptic curve E is defined over an algebraic number field H (i.e. $g_2, g_3 \in H$) which we assume to be a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} by passing to the normal closure of $\mathbb{Q}(g_2, g_3)$ if necessary.

For a rational prime $p > 3$, Ramanujan's series $P(q)$ is the q -expansion of a p -adic modular form in the sense of Katz [9, A2.4], [10, Lemma 5.7.8]. Specifically, assume that p is not ramified in H . For a prime \mathfrak{p} of H above p , assume that E has good *ordinary* reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Denote by

$$A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) := P(E, \omega) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

the value of this p -adic modular form (see [10, 5.10.6]) which is defined as an element of the field of fractions of the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the localization at \mathfrak{p} of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O} \subset H$.

In the case when the elliptic curve E has complex multiplication, we thus have independently defined quantities $A(\Lambda) \in H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. These two quantities in fact coincide, which is the essential special case (see the proof of [10, Lemma 8.0.13]) of the Comparison Theorem [10, Theorem 8.0.9] which we now quote.

Theorem A (Katz). *Assume that the elliptic curve E admits a Weierstrass equation (1) with $g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{O}$ and has complex multiplication by an order in an imaginary quadratic field $K \subseteq H$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a place of H such that E has good ordinary reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Then*

$$A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) = A(\Lambda).$$

In particular, the value $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in fact lies in $H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and is independent of \mathfrak{p} .

We now describe another way to define the p -adic quantity $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We do not assume any complex multiplication for the time being. We assume that $p > 2$ is unramified in H and that (1) has good (either ordinary or supersingular) reduction at \mathfrak{p} (in particular, $g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$). The formal group law \hat{E} associated with E (see (12) for the definition) is defined over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let

$$l(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)}{n} t^n \quad \text{with } b(1) = 1$$

be the logarithm of a formal group law F strictly isomorphic to \hat{E} over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For $b \in H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we write b^{ϕ} for the action of Frobenius (see Section 3 for details). Let

$$l^{\phi}(t) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)^{\phi}}{n} t^n.$$

It is known (see Proposition 1 below for details) that there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the formal power series

$$(4) \quad \zeta(l(t); \Lambda) - \lambda l(t) - \mu \frac{1}{p} l^{\phi}(t^p) \in \frac{1}{t} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[t]]$$

has all \mathfrak{p} -integer coefficients. Moreover, one can and we will always assume that $\mu = 0$ whenever the elliptic curve E is ordinary at \mathfrak{p} . Under this assumption, the quantities λ and μ are defined uniquely and we shall show that they do not depend on the choice of a formal group law F (see (14) below). We now define $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to be the coefficient λ in (4):

$$(5) \quad A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) := \lambda.$$

This definition introduces the quantity $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all but finitely many places \mathfrak{p} . Definition (5) differs substantially from the original Katz definition even in the ordinary reduction case; in particular, it involves neither trivialization nor consideration of a canonical subgroup. It follows from an observation of Mazur and Tate [14, Proposition 3] that our $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda)$ coincides with the value of the p -adic Eisenstein series of weight 2 originally defined by Katz whenever the Weierstrass model (1) has good ordinary reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Besides this observation from [14], our definition is motivated by the parallelism between (4) and (2). Note also that a discussion closely related to our definition can be found in [1, Section 4], where the parallelism between the functions \bar{z} and $l^{\phi}(t^p)/p$ for CM elliptic curves is observed.

Remark 1. Let us indicate some directions to generalize definition (5) which we do not pursue in this paper primarily because the reduction of the involved group \hat{E} has height one, and everything can be formulated and proved within the original framework of [9, 10].

One may incorporate the case of multiplicative reduction. Namely, one can start with a consideration of (E, ω) defined by (1) instead of a lattice Λ . That allows for consideration of a singular cubic (with a node).

One may also consider elliptic curves over other rings. For example, the consideration of the Tate elliptic curve $\text{Tate}(q)$ defined over the ring $\mathbb{Z}((q))$ yields $\lambda = \frac{1}{12}P(q) \in \frac{1}{12}\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, the Ramanujan series, in order to satisfy (4) for all primes $p > 3$.

There is of course a great deal of indeterminacy in choosing Λ such that $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ satisfies all requisite conditions: if it does, then so does $\Omega\Lambda$ for any $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$. It is easy to see that $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda)$ behaves as a weight 2 modular form

$$A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Omega\Lambda) = \Omega^{-2}A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \quad \text{for } \Omega \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$$

with respect to these transformations.

Let now E have complex multiplication. Specifically, let K be an imaginary quadratic extension of rationals \mathbb{Q} , and let $\mathfrak{o}_K \subset K$ be its ring of integers. The endomorphism ring $\mathfrak{o} = \text{End}(E)$ is an order $\mathfrak{o} \subset \mathfrak{o}_K$. Let \mathfrak{a} be a proper \mathfrak{o} -ideal which we may think of as a lattice $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $H = K(j(\mathfrak{a}))$ denote the ring class field of \mathfrak{o} , and choose $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset H$, where

$$(6) \quad g_2 = g_2(\Omega\mathfrak{a}) = \Omega^{-4}g_2(\mathfrak{a}) \quad g_3 = g_3(\Omega\mathfrak{a}) = \Omega^{-6}g_3(\mathfrak{a}).$$

With $\Lambda = \Omega\mathfrak{a}$, we have $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \simeq_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}$ with affine model (1) (note that any elliptic curve with complex multiplication admits such a description by [18, Proposition 4.8]). We thus have the complex number $A = A(\Lambda)$ determined by (2) which we can by [10, Lemma 4.0.7] and will consider as an element of H . We also have $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ introduced in definition (5).

The main result of this paper is the following extension of Theorem A to the case of supersingular reduction.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a place of H such that (1) has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} . In particular, we assume that \mathfrak{p} lies above a rational prime $p > 3$ which divides neither the discriminant of K nor the conductor $f = [\mathfrak{o}_K : \mathfrak{o}]$. Then*

$$A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) = A(\Lambda).$$

In particular, the value $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in fact lies in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and is independent of p .

The proof of Theorem A (see [10, Lemma 8.0.13] for details) is based on the decomposition

$$H_{DR}^1(E \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq H_{DR}^1(E/H) \otimes_K \hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} = U_{\mathfrak{p}} \oplus U'_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

into the eigenspaces for the action of the $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}|$ -th power Frobenius endomorphism. This decomposition is combined with the observation that, for the unit root eigenspace $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the intersection $U_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap H_{DR}^1(E/K)$ coincides with the \bar{c} -eigenspace for $c \in \mathfrak{o} = \text{End}(E)$ ($c \notin \mathbb{Z}$). The parallelism with the decomposition $H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C}) \simeq \langle \omega \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{\omega} \rangle$ with $\bar{\omega}$ satisfying (3) is employed in the proof. The unit root eigenspace exists when the elliptic curve E has ordinary reduction at \mathfrak{p} (a generalization in terms of the canonical subgroup is available only for those supersingular elliptic curves whose Hasse invariant is “not too near zero”, see [9, Section 3 and Appendix 2]).

Our approach to the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 4 is quite different while parallel. Instead of the two-dimensional vector space H_{DR}^1 as above we consider (see (9) below for the definition) the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\mathbb{D}(F) \simeq H_{\text{cris}}^1(F)$, where F is any formal group law isomorphic to \hat{E} over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This module has rank 1 in the case when the reduction of E at \mathfrak{p} is ordinary, and rank 2 when the reduction is supersingular. In the latter case, which is the case of primary interest for us, the basis $\{l(t), l^{\phi}(t^p)/p\}$ turns out to be an eigenbasis for $c \in \mathfrak{o} = \text{End}(E) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(F)$ ($c \notin \mathbb{Z}$) with eigenvalues c and \bar{c} correspondingly. We lay out the details in Section 3. It is now in the supersingular reduction case when we employ the parallelism with the decomposition $H_{DR}^1(E/\mathbb{C}) \simeq \langle \omega \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{\omega} \rangle$ with $\bar{\omega}$ satisfying (3) to obtain our result.

In Section 5, we present an alternative approach to the proof of Theorem 1 which is based on the modularity of the elliptic curves with complex multiplication. We can obtain only a slightly weaker result on this way. Assume that there exists a non-trivial map $\phi : X_1(N) \rightarrow E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ defined over H . The modular parametrization ϕ exists when E is a factor of $J_1(N)$ as in [17]. Then one can assume that ϕ takes the cusp $i\infty$ to the neutral element of E , and let $g = \sum b(n)q^n$ be defined by $\phi^*\omega = q^{-1}g(q)dq$. Observe that g is a CM cusp form (which in general is not a Hecke eigenform), and $b(1) \neq 0$. The renormalization $\Lambda \mapsto b(1)^{-1}\Lambda$ allows us to assume that $b(1) = 1$. We associate a formal group law \mathcal{G} to g (see (20)), and prove (Theorem 2) that, for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} of H , there is an isomorphism of the formal group laws $\mathcal{G} \simeq \hat{E}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. (Theorem 2 may be considered as a variation on the theme of a result of Honda [7, Theorem 5].)

We build on the character twist idea as it is used in a similar situation in [2, proof of Theorem 1.3]. Specifically, consider the pull back to $X_1(N)$ of (2) and its twist with the quadratic character associated with the extension K/\mathbb{Q} . Exploiting the CM property of g allows us to obtain the result (Theorem 3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is very grateful to Barry Mazur and Ken Ono for their inspiring and encouraging remarks.

2. EXAMPLE

The numerical calculations were carried out using PARI/GP [24].

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$, and let E be the elliptic curve with complex multiplication by \mathfrak{o}_K with $j = -85995w - 52515$ such that $w^2 - w - 1 = 0$. The curve E is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(j) = \mathbb{Q}(w) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ by

$$(7) \quad y^2 = 4x^2 - g_2x - g_3$$

with

$$g_2 = 11505w + 7110 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 = 356720w + 220465.$$

The norm of the discriminant is

$$\mathcal{N}(\Delta) = \mathcal{N}(-68647176000w - 42426288000) = 2^{12}3^65^6,$$

and the elliptic curve (7) has good reduction for every prime \mathfrak{p} of the Hilbert class field $H = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}, \sqrt{5})$ lying above a prime $p > 5$.

The two embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ yield two values of τ in the (boundary of the) fundamental domain in the upper half-plane. These are $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-15})/4$ corresponding to $w \mapsto (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ and $\tau = (-1 + \sqrt{-15})/2$ corresponding to $w \mapsto (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

In either case, we have

$$A = \frac{1}{12} \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega_1^2} E_2^*(\tau) = \frac{1}{12} (126w + 78) = \frac{21w + 13}{2}.$$

The formal group \hat{E} associated with the Weierstrass equation (7) is defined over $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})} [\frac{1}{2}]$. Specifically, we pick $t = -2x/y$ as the parameter and compute the formal group logarithm

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\hat{E}}(t) &= \int \frac{dx}{y} = t + \left(-711 - \frac{2301}{2}w\right)t^5 + \left(-\frac{94485}{4} - 38220w\right)t^7 \\ &+ \left(\frac{60972375}{8} + \frac{98655375}{8}w\right)t^9 + \left(\frac{1288993125}{2} + \frac{4171269375}{4}w\right)t^{11} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{200868706875}{2} - 162506197500w\right)t^{13} + \mathcal{O}(t^{15}). \end{aligned}$$

The Weierstrass ζ -function has the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \left(-\frac{237}{2} - \frac{767}{4}w\right)z^3 + \left(-\frac{6299}{4} - 2548w\right)z^5 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{2438895}{112} - \frac{563745}{16}w\right)z^7 + \left(-\frac{2455225}{8} - \frac{7945275}{16}w\right)z^9 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1517389435}{352} - 6974965w\right)z^{11} + \left(-\frac{25264737675}{416} - \frac{3144554175}{32}w\right)z^{13} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(z^{15}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem A combined with [14, Proposition 3] implies that

$$\zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(t)) - \frac{21w + 13}{2}l_{\hat{E}}(t) \in \frac{1}{t} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[t]]$$

for every place \mathfrak{p} of H lying above a prime $p > 5$ which splits in K . The coefficients of this series belong to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, and a computer calculation shows that the first thousand coefficients are indeed p -integral for all $p > 5$ such that $\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right) = 1$.

Theorem 1 predicts that, for every place \mathfrak{p} of H lying above $p > 5$ such that $\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right) = -1$, there exists $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

$$(8) \quad \zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(t)) - \frac{21w + 13}{2}l_{\hat{E}}(t) - \mu \frac{1}{p}l_{\hat{E}}^{\phi}(t^p) \in \frac{1}{t} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[t]].$$

In other words, the theorem claims that, while $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ depends on \mathfrak{p} , the quantity $(21w + 13)/2$ is universal for all primes of good reduction.

Note that $l_{\hat{E}}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(w)[[t]]$ and the Artin map acts on its coefficients simply as the unique non-trivial element of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(w)/\mathbb{Q})$ sending w to $1-w$.

For example, let $p = 7$. Choosing $\mu \equiv 47 \pmod{7^2}$ we compute the first thousand coefficients of the series (8) and observe that they are all 7-integral (the prime 7 is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(w)$).

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall briefly the definition of and some basic facts about $\mathbb{D}(F) \simeq H_{\text{cris}}^1(F)$ for a one-dimensional formal group F . We refer to [1, Section 2] for a detailed survey. All formal group laws under consideration in this paper are one-dimensional, and we suppress this qualifier. We then investigate the behavior of a certain basis of $\mathbb{D}(F)$ under a formal group law isomorphism (Proposition 2). In conclusion, we make a simple but useful remark related to the action of the Artin symbol.

Let p be a rational prime. Throughout, we assume that H is a finite normal extension of \mathbb{Q} , and that p is not ramified in H . As in the Introduction, we denote by $\mathcal{O} \subset H$ the ring of integers, by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \supset \mathcal{O}$ the valuation ring, by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ its completion, and by $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the field of fractions of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with a cyclic Galois group $\text{Gal}(\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ generated by the Frobenius automorphism. The image of this Galois group under the inclusion

$$\text{Gal}\left(\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{Q}_p\right) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$$

is the decomposition group $D_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ generated by the image of Frobenius which is the Artin symbol, and we will denote by b^{ϕ} the action of Frobenius on $b \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with

$$b^{\phi} = \left(\frac{H/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)(b) \quad \text{whenever } b \in H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

For a formal power series $\xi \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}((u))$, we define the action coefficient-wise, namely for $\xi = \sum_n a(n)u^n$, we write

$$\xi^\phi := \sum_n a(n)^\phi u^n.$$

The embeddings $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ allow us to consider a formal group law $F = F(X, Y)$ defined over \mathcal{O} or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a formal group law over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Such a formal group law is determined by its logarithm which we write as

$$l_F = l_F(u) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_F(n)}{n} u^n \quad \text{with } b_F(1) = 1 \text{ and } b_F(n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

For such a formal group law, we define following [11],

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{D}(F) := Z(F)/B(F),$$

where

$$Z(F) := \left\{ \xi \in u\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]] \mid \xi' \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]] \text{ and } \xi(F(u, v)) - \xi(u) - \xi(v) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u, v]] \right\}$$

and

$$B(F) := u\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]] \subseteq Z(F).$$

The map $\xi \mapsto d\xi$ establishes an isomorphism of the $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules $\mathbb{D}(F) \simeq H_{\text{cris}}^1(F)$; the latter $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module is considered in [1]. We stick to $\mathbb{D}(F)$ since we need neither a pairing on $H_{\text{cris}}^1(F)$ nor the Colmez integration (see [1, Section 3] for a survey).

We denote by $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{D}(F)$ the element represented by $\xi \in Z(F)$.

From now on, the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of any formal group law under consideration is assumed to be of finite height.

It is proved in [11, Theorem 5.3.3] that $\mathbb{D}(F)$ is a free $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module whose rank $h = h(F, \mathfrak{p})$ is equal to the height of the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of F . A completely different proof which yields an explicit basis for this module is presented in [1, Proposition 2.3(iii)]. We adopt this result to our notations and state it in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *For a formal group law F over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ whose modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction has height $h = h(F, \mathfrak{p})$, the elements*

$$\ell_{F,1} := \tilde{l}_F(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_{F,i} := \frac{1}{p} \tilde{l}_F^{\phi^{i-1}}(u^{p^{i-1}}) \quad \text{with } i = 2, \dots, h$$

form a basis of $\mathbb{D}(F)$.

In particular let $h(F, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ or 2 . Then, for a power series $\xi \in Z(F)$, there exist unique (assuming $\mu = 0$ whenever $h(F, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$) quantities $\lambda, \mu \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that

$$(10) \quad \xi(u) - \lambda l_F(u) - \mu \frac{1}{p} l_F^\phi(u^p) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Let F and G be formal group laws over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with their logarithms l_F and l_G correspondingly, and let $t : G \rightarrow F$ be a homomorphism (over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$). Then $t \in u\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$ and it is easy to see (e.g. [20, Corollary IV.4.3]) that

$$(11) \quad l_F(t(u)) = t'(0)l_G(u).$$

The quantity

$$\alpha := t'(0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

determines the homomorphism uniquely since $t(u) = l_F^{-1}(\alpha l_G(u))$. More generally, we have a homomorphism of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules $t^* : \mathbb{D}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$ well-defined by

$$(t^*\xi)(u) := \xi(t(u))$$

for a representative $\xi \in Z(F)$ of an element $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{D}(F)$. The following proposition is obviously true when $h_F = h_G = 1$ in view of (11).

Proposition 2. *The bases $\{\ell_{F,i}\}_{i=1,\dots,h(F,\mathfrak{p})}$ and $\{\ell_{G,i}\}_{i=1,\dots,h(G,\mathfrak{p})}$ diagonalize t^* . Specifically,*

$$t^*\ell_{F,i} = \alpha^{\phi^{i-1}}\ell_{G,i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, h(G, \mathfrak{p})$$

We are interested only in the case when $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ for our applications. Note that the assumption that $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is a unit implies that $h(F, \mathfrak{p}) = h(G, \mathfrak{p})$. Indeed, otherwise it is easy to see ([12, Section 2.2.3]) that the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of t must be zero, and $t \in u\mathfrak{p}[[u]]$ implies $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Before proving Proposition 2, we state an immediate corollary for later use.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $h(F, \mathfrak{p}) = h(G, \mathfrak{p}) = 1$ or 2.*

Let $\xi \in Z(F)$, and let λ and μ be as in (10).

(a) *Let $t : G \rightarrow F$ be a strict isomorphism, that is $\alpha = t'(0) = 1$. Then*

$$t^*\xi - \lambda l_G(u) - \mu \frac{1}{p} l_G^\phi(u^p) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]],$$

(b) *Let $t : F \rightarrow F$ be a weak endomorphism, that is $\alpha = t'(0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ is a unit. Then*

$$t^*\xi - \alpha \lambda l_F(u) - \alpha^\phi \mu \frac{1}{p} l_F^\phi(u^p) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Proof. Substitute $t(u) \in u\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$ for u into (10), and use Proposition 2. □

Our proof of Proposition 2 will employ the following adaptation to our notations of [7, Lemma 4] which we will prove here for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 1. *Let $A, B \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$, and assume that $A - B \in \mathfrak{p}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$. Then, for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{A^n - B^n}{n} \in \mathfrak{p}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Proof. Write $n = mp^\nu$ with $p \nmid m$. The statement is obvious for $\nu = 0$. Since $(A^{mp^\nu} - B^{mp^\nu})/(A^{p^\nu} - B^{p^\nu}) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$, it suffices to prove that, for $\nu \geq 1$,

$$\frac{A^{p^\nu} - B^{p^\nu}}{p^\nu} \in \mathfrak{p}\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Since p is unramified, we can write $B = A + pC$ with $C \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$. It suffices to prove that for $1 \leq i \leq p^\nu$

$$\binom{p^\nu}{i} p^{i-\nu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

That follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p^\nu}{i} p^{i-\nu} &= p^\nu (p^\nu - 1) \dots (p^\nu - i + 1) \frac{1}{i!} p^{i-\nu} \\ &= (p^\nu - 1) \dots (p^\nu - i + 1) \frac{p^i}{i!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{ord}_p(i!) = (i - S_i)/(p - 1) < i$, where $S_i > 0$ is the sum of digits of i written in base p . □

Proof of Proposition 2 . For $i = 1$, the equality $t^*l_{F,1} = \alpha l_{G,1}$ follows immediately from (11) (and implies the proposition in the case when $h_F = h_G = 1$ as it was already observed). We thus have to prove that, for $k = i - 1 \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{p} l_F^{\phi^k}(t(u)^{p^k}) - \alpha^{\phi^k} \frac{1}{p} l_G^{\phi^k}(u^{p^k}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Apply the Artin symbol to (11) coefficient-wise k times to obtain

$$l_F^{\phi^k}(t^{\phi^k}(u)) = \alpha^{\phi^k} l_G^{\phi^k}(u).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} l_F^{\phi^k}(t(u)^{p^k}) - \alpha^{\phi^k} l_G^{\phi^k}(u^{p^k}) &= l_F^{\phi^k}(t(u)^{p^k}) - l_F^{\phi^k}(t^{\phi^k}(u^{p^k})) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} b_F(n)^{\phi^k} \frac{t(u)^{p^k n} - t^{\phi^k}(u^{p^k})^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 1 with $A = t(u)^{p^k}$ and $B = t^{\phi^k}(u^{p^k})$ (the congruence $A - B \in \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$ can be obtained by induction in k) to conclude the proof. □

Let now E be an elliptic curve defined over H which admits the affine Weierstrass model (1) with $g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and assume that $p > 2$. We denote by \hat{E} the formal group law associated with E with respect to the parameter $u = -2x/y$. Specifically, let

$$x = \wp(l) = \frac{1}{l^2} + \sum_{n \geq 2} c_n l^{2n-2} \in \frac{1}{l^2} + \mathbb{Q}[g_2, g_3][[l]],$$

where

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{20}g_2, \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{28}g_3, \quad c_n = \frac{3}{(n-3)(2n+1)} \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} c_i c_{n-i} \quad \text{for } n \geq 4$$

is the Laurent expansion around zero of the Weierstrass \wp -function. Then the power series

$$u(l) = -2x/y = -2\wp(l)/\wp'(l) = l + \dots \in l\mathbb{Q}[g_2, g_3][[l]]$$

has its leading coefficient 1. We invert this power series setting

$$l_{\hat{E}}(u) := u + \dots \in u\mathbb{Q}[g_2, g_3][[u]],$$

and define

$$(12) \quad \hat{E}(X, Y) = u(l_{\hat{E}}(X) + l_{\hat{E}}(Y))$$

to be the formal group law whose logarithm is $l_{\hat{E}}$. A minor adaptation of the argument provided in [20, Section IV.1] allows us to conclude that the formal group law \hat{E} is actually defined over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[g_2/4, g_3/4] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ while

$$(13) \quad u^2x = u^2\wp(l(u)) \in 1 + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]] \quad \text{and} \quad u^3y = u^3\wp'(l(u)) \in -2 + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

We now consider the expansion around zero of the Weierstrass ζ -function associated with E

$$\zeta(l) = \frac{1}{l} - \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{c_n}{2n-1} l^{2n-1}.$$

Define a formal power series $\psi(u)$ by

$$\zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(u)) = \frac{1}{u} + d_0 + \psi(u),$$

where the constant term $d_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is chosen in a way such that $\psi(u) \in uH[[u]]$. It follows from [1, Lemma 3.4] (see also [5, Proposition 12] for an alternative, though less elegant argument) that $\psi \in Z(\hat{E})$ assuming $p \geq 3$, and since now on we stick to this assumption. Assume that the elliptic curve E has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Then the height of the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of \hat{E} is either 1 or 2 corresponding to the ordinary and supersingular reduction cases. Corollary 1(a) allows us to rewrite (10) as

$$(14) \quad \zeta(l_F(u)) - \lambda l_F(u) - \mu \frac{1}{p} l_F^\phi(u^p) \in \frac{1}{u} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$$

with λ and μ independent of the choice of a formal group law F with logarithm l_F which is strictly isomorphic to \hat{E} (over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$).

We finish this section with a remark on the action of the Artin symbol on elements of the imaginary quadratic field K . Let $d_K < 0$ be the discriminant of K , and let \mathfrak{o} be an order in K . Let now H be the ring class field of \mathfrak{o} . It is well-known (e.g. [3, Lemma 9.3]) that H is a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} with with Galois group $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Gal}(H/K) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, specifically one has

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(H/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 1.$$

In particular, every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ decomposes in a unique way as $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2$ with $\sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(H/K)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, and, for $b \in K \subset H$, we can calculate $\sigma(b) = \sigma_2(b)$.

In particular, for an element $a + b\sqrt{d_K}$ we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} (a + b\sqrt{d_K})^\phi &:= \left(\frac{H/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) (a + b\sqrt{d_K}) \\ &= a + b \left(\frac{H/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) (\sqrt{d_K}) = a + b \left(\frac{d_K}{p} \right) \sqrt{d_K}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is implied by the fact that, for any $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma_2(\sqrt{d_K}) = \pm\sqrt{d_K}$, and, for the Artin map, it must be that

$$\left(\frac{H/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) (\sqrt{d_K}) \equiv \left(\sqrt{d_K} \right)^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}},$$

while

$$d_K^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \left(\frac{d_K}{p} \right) \pmod{p}$$

by the definition of the Legendre symbol.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Recall that H is the ring class field of an order \mathfrak{o} in an imaginary quadratic field K of discriminant $d_K < 0$ and \mathfrak{a} is a proper \mathfrak{o} -ideal.

For the elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ with $\Lambda = \Omega\mathfrak{a}$ we assume that Ω in (6) is chosen such that $g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and affine Weierstrass model (1) has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} . (Note that $1728g_2^3/(g_2^3 - 27g_3^2) = j(\mathfrak{a}) \in H$, and any choice of Ω such that $g_1, g_2 \in H$ is admissible for all but finitely many places \mathfrak{p} of H .)

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$, we denote by $[\alpha] \in \text{End}(E)$ the corresponding endomorphism such that $[\alpha]^*\omega = \alpha\omega$, where the differential $\omega = dx/y$. We denote by \hat{E} the formal group law adopted to the parameter $u = -2x/y$ defined in (12) by its logarithm $l_{\hat{E}}(u) \in uH[[u]]$.

The endomorphism $[\alpha]$ is defined over H (see [21, Theorem 2.2.2(b)]) and induces an endomorphism $t_\alpha \in \text{End}(\hat{E})$ of the formal group law \hat{E} . Specifically, $t_\alpha \in uH[[u]]$ is the unique power series such that

$$(16) \quad l_{\hat{E}}(t_\alpha(u)) = \alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u).$$

It is easy to see that, for all but possibly finitely many places \mathfrak{p} of H , we have $t_\alpha \in u\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$. Indeed, the functions $\wp(\alpha z; \Lambda)$ and $\wp'(\alpha z; \Lambda)$ are Λ -periodic, therefore can be expressed as rational functions (over H) of $x = \wp(z; \Lambda)$ and $y = \wp'(z; \Lambda)$. Thus

$$t_\alpha(u) = -2\wp(\alpha z; \Lambda)/\wp'(\alpha z; \Lambda) \in H(x, y),$$

and substituting the series expansions (13) into this rational function we support the claim. A more subtle analysis (essentially similar to but a bit

more involved than our proof of Proposition 3 below) is carried out in [22]. In particular, [22, Theorem 2.9] adopted to our setting states that

$$t_\alpha(u) \in u\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$$

for all places \mathfrak{p} of good reduction.

We now substitute $t_\alpha(u)$ for u into (14) with $l_F = l_{\hat{E}}$ and use (16) along with Corollary 1(b) to obtain

$$\zeta(\alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \lambda \alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u) - \mu \alpha^\phi \frac{1}{p} l_{\hat{E}}(u^p) \in \frac{1}{\alpha u} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$$

assuming that $\alpha \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Combining this equation with (14) (taken again for $l_F = l_{\hat{E}}$) we conclude that

$$(17) \quad \zeta(\alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \alpha^\phi \zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \lambda(\alpha - \alpha^\phi) l_{\hat{E}}(u) \in \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \alpha^\phi}{u} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Proposition 3. *One can choose $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ (e.g. $\alpha = f\sqrt{d_K}$ works just fine) such that for some non-zero integer $M \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$M(\zeta(\alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \bar{\alpha} \zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - A(\Lambda)(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) l_{\hat{E}}(u)) \in M \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \bar{\alpha}}{u} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]],$$

where the bar denotes the complex conjugation.

We postpone a proof of the proposition and complete the proof of Theorem 1 now.

Recall that $A^{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda) = \lambda$ by definition (5), so we need to prove that $\lambda = A(\Lambda)$.

Let us first consider the case when E has good supersingular reduction at \mathfrak{p} , and the height of the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of \hat{E} is 2. This happens exactly when p is inert in K , and (15) implies

$$\alpha^\phi = \bar{\alpha}.$$

Combine Proposition 3 with (17) to obtain

$$(18) \quad M(\lambda - A(\Lambda))(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) l_{\hat{E}}(u) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]].$$

Since $l_{\hat{E}}(u)$ has infinitely many zeros, (because the height of the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of \hat{E} is assumed to be finite, namely 2) and a choice of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ with $\alpha \neq \bar{\alpha}$ which satisfies all extra conditions is easily available (e.g. $\alpha = f\sqrt{d_K}$ works), this proves that $\lambda = A(\Lambda)$ as required.

The case when E has good ordinary reduction follows immediately from [14, Proposition 3] combined with a special case of [10, Theorem 8.0.9] quoted above as Theorem A. That case actually served as a motivation and a starting point for the author. However, in [14], the equality $\lambda = A(\Lambda)$ follows from a much stronger result (the existence of the p -adic σ -function), and it may be interesting to see how it follows directly from our considerations. In this case, p splits in K , therefore (15) implies

$$\alpha^\phi = \alpha,$$

and (17), though true, becomes useless. However, since the height of the modulo \mathfrak{p} reduction of \hat{E} is 1, we have (14) with $\mu = 0$. We substitute $t_\alpha(u) \in u\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]]$ for u into (14) and combine it with (14) itself to obtain

$$\zeta(\alpha l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \bar{\alpha} \zeta(l_{\hat{E}}(u); \Lambda) - \lambda(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) l_{\hat{E}}(u) \in \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \bar{\alpha}}{u} + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[u]],$$

which we can again combine with Proposition 3 to conclude that $\lambda = A(\Lambda)$ by the same reasoning as above.

In order to accomplish the proof of Theorem 1 we now have only to prove Proposition 3.

Proof of Proposition 3. We start with the Λ -periodic function (2) on the complex plane. Since $\alpha\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$, the function $\zeta(\alpha z; \Lambda) - A\alpha z - B\bar{\alpha}\bar{z}$ is also Λ -periodic. Combining these two functions we conclude that the meromorphic function

$$\zeta(\alpha z; \Lambda) - \bar{\alpha} \zeta(z; \Lambda) - A(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})z$$

on the complex plain is Λ -periodic and odd. It follows that we have

$$\zeta(\alpha z; \Lambda) - \bar{\alpha} \zeta(z; \Lambda) - A(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})z = \wp'(z; \Lambda) \frac{P(\wp(z; \Lambda))}{Q(\wp(z; \Lambda))}$$

with polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\deg P = \deg Q - 1$. Consider a partial fraction decomposition for the rational function on the right:

$$(19) \quad \zeta(\alpha z; \Lambda) - \bar{\alpha} \zeta(z; \Lambda) - A(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})z = \wp'(z, \Lambda) \sum_i \frac{t_i}{\wp(z, \Lambda) - s_i}.$$

Note that all poles of the function on the left of (19) are simple and located at $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$ -division points. Calculating the residues of the left-hand side of (19) one finds that the values at the poles of $t_i \wp'(z, \Lambda) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ are algebraic numbers, thus there exists non-zero $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that all their M -multiples are algebraic integers. It is easy to pick $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ (e.g. $\alpha = f\sqrt{d_K}$ works) such that all s_i , which are the values of the Weierstrass \wp -function at the division points, are \mathfrak{p} -integers (in an extension of H) by [20, Theorem VII.3.4(a)]. We now multiply (19) by M , substitute $l(u)$ for z , and use (13) to conclude that the series expansion in u on the right has all \mathfrak{p} -integral coefficients (though possibly in some extension of H), while all coefficients in the expansion of the left-hand side belong to H . \square

5. MODULAR FORMS APPROACH

In this Section, we indicate an alternative approach to Theorem 1. Although the results we can prove in this way are somewhat weaker, the interpretation based on modular parametrization of CM elliptic curves which implies similar results is, in our opinion, interesting.

We start with some preliminary considerations. For a weight 2 cusp form $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n)q^n$ such that $b(1) = 1$, denote by \mathcal{G} the formal group law

defined by its logarithm

$$(20) \quad l_{\mathcal{G}}(u) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)}{n} u^n.$$

While in general we can say little about \mathcal{G} besides it is defined over a characteristic zero field $\mathbb{Q}(b(2), b(3), \dots)$, some special cases are of particular interest. An important theorem of Honda [7, Theorem 5] (some details pertaining to the primes 2 and 3 are cleaned up later in [6]) states that, for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , the formal group associated with its Néron model is strictly isomorphic over \mathbb{Z} to the formal group associated with its L -series. In particular, assume that g is a primitive Hecke eigenform with $b(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Eichler - Shimura theory associates to g an elliptic curve E_g over \mathbb{Q} , and Honda's theorem implies that the formal group associated with the Néron model of E_g is strictly isomorphic to \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Z} .

We adopt the definition of modularity from [15]: we say that an elliptic curve E over an algebraic number field H is *modular* (over H) whenever for some N there exists a non-trivial map

$$\psi : X_1(N) \rightarrow E$$

defined over H . Note that H does not need to be the minimal field of definition for E , and we will always assume that H is a normal extension of \mathbb{Q} taking the normal closure if necessary. A composition with translation allows us to always assume that the cusp at infinity maps to the neutral element of E .

Of course, E_g is modular (and so is any rational elliptic curve), while there are modular elliptic curves besides those which are rational. We now introduce notations in order to state a weaker (but much simpler and more general) version of Honda's theorem for modular elliptic curves.

Denote by $\mathcal{O} \subset H$ the ring of integers, and let

$$\mathfrak{r} := \mathcal{O} \left[\frac{1}{M} \right]$$

for some integer M . We will never specify M and it suffices to observe that, for all but finitely many prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O} , the localizations at \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{r} and \mathcal{O} coincide.

For a modular (over H) elliptic curve E choose a model (1) with differential $\omega = dx/y$. Then the pull-back is

$$(21) \quad \psi^* \omega = g(q) \frac{dq}{q}$$

with a weight 2 cusp form $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} b(n) q^n$. We now assume that the map ψ is not ramified at the cusp $i\infty$, equivalently, $b(1) \neq 0$. Change equation (1) to

$$(22) \quad y^2 = 4x^3 - b(1)^4 g_2 x - b(1)^6 g_3$$

so that $\omega = dx/y$ pulls back to a cusp form (which we still denote by $g = \sum b(n)q^n$) with $b(1) = 1$.

Theorem 2. *In the above notations, let \hat{E} be the formal group law over \mathfrak{r} associated with the Weierstrass equation (22).*

The formal group law \mathcal{G} associated to g as above is strictly isomorphic to \hat{E} over \mathfrak{r} and therefore is defined over \mathfrak{r} .

Remark 2. Even in the case when the elliptic curve E is rational, one does not obtain the full strength of the Honda theorem in this way. While one can consider a Néron model for E instead of (1), one still cannot guarantee that $b(1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Consider $u = -2x/y$ as a function on E . Its pull-back $\psi^*u \in q + q^2\mathfrak{r}[[q]]$ (enlarging M if necessary) is a strict isomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \hat{E}$ of formal group laws since we have that $l_{\hat{E}}(\psi^*u(q)) = l_{\mathcal{G}}(g)$ which follows from the definition (20) combined with (21). □

Examples of modular elliptic curves which are not rational come from Shimura's construction [17] of complex multiplication elliptic curves E which are factors of Jacobians $J_1(N)$ of modular curves $X_1(N)$. We thus have in these cases a non-trivial morphism

$$(23) \quad \psi : X_1(N) \rightarrow J_1(N) \rightarrow E,$$

where the first arrow is the canonical embedding, and the second is the projection. A converse statement, [19, Proposition 1.6], implies that whenever a complex multiplication elliptic curve E appears as a factor of $J_1(N)$, the pull-back $g = \psi^*\omega$ of the invariant differential on E is a linear combination of cusps forms whose Mellin transforms are L -functions associated with primitive Hecke characters of the imaginary quadratic field K . It follows from [16, Theorem 4.8.2] that $d_K^2 | N$, where d_K is the discriminant of K . All these are CM-forms, and so is g as their linear combination. Specifically, we have

$$(24) \quad \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{d_K}{n} \right) b(n)q^n = \sum_{n>0} b(n)q^n.$$

Furthermore, it follows from [8, Lemma 4.1(i)] that $b(1) \neq 0$.

As an example, the elliptic curves considered by Gross in [4] (those with complex multiplication by the ring of integers \mathfrak{o}_K of an imaginary quadratic field K with a prime discriminant $d_K < -3$) have modular parametrization (23) (defined over the Hilbert class field of K) by [4, Theorem 20.1.1]. In this case, $N = d_K^2$, and one can even use $X_0(N)$ instead of $X_1(N)$. A more general family of complex multiplication elliptic curves which have modular parametrization (23) is described in [23].

We now state and prove a version of Theorem 1 for the CM elliptic curves which are modular in the above sense.

Theorem 3. *Assume that E be a CM elliptic curve which admits modular parametrization (23) defined over H (which is normal over \mathbb{Q}). Assume furthermore that E has a model (1) defined over H .*

Then for all but possibly finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} of H ,

$$A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) = A(\Lambda).$$

In particular, the value $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda) \in \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in fact lies in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset H \subset \hat{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and is independent of \mathfrak{p} .

Remark 3. While it is possible to make the argument more precise at some points, the employment of modular parametrization does not allow the author to entirely get rid of the possibility of exceptional primes of good reduction; Theorem 1 is more accurate.

Proof. Corollary 1(a) allows for the freedom to choose any formal group law F isomorphic to \hat{E} over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and its logarithm l_F in equation (4) which defines the quantity $A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda)$ according to definition (5). Theorem 2 allows us to make the choice $F = \mathcal{G}$ with the logarithm $l = l_{\mathcal{G}}$ associated with the modular form g defined by the modular parametrization in (21).

Recall that for a function f on $X_1(N)$ and a primitive Dirichlet character χ modulo r the twist $f \otimes \chi$ is defined by

$$f \otimes \chi := W(\bar{\chi})^{-1} \sum_{u=1}^r f(\tau + u/r),$$

where $W(\bar{\chi})$ is the Gauss sum associated with the complex conjugate Dirichlet character $\bar{\chi}$. Obviously,

$$\left(\sum a(n)q^n \right) \otimes \chi = \sum \chi(n)a(n)q^n,$$

and we use that to extend the definition of character twist to formal powers series.

Let $\chi = \left(\frac{d_K}{\cdot} \right)$ be the quadratic character associated with K . Since $d_K^2 | N$, it follows from [18, Proposition 3.64] that $f \otimes \chi$ is a function on $X_1(N)$.

Observe that (24) implies

$$l_{\mathcal{G}}(q) \otimes \chi = l_{\mathcal{G}}(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{l_{\mathcal{G}}(q)} \otimes \chi = -\overline{l_{\mathcal{G}}(q)},$$

where the second equality follows from $\chi(-1) = -1$. The pull back of (2)

$$f := \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(q); \Lambda) - A(\Lambda)l_{\mathcal{G}}(q) - B\overline{l_{\mathcal{G}}(q)}$$

is a (manifestly non-meromorphic) function on $X_1(N)$. We conclude that

$$f + f \otimes \chi = \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(q); \Lambda) + \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(q); \Lambda) \otimes \chi - 2A(\Lambda)l_{\mathcal{G}}(q)$$

is a meromorphic function on $X_1(N)$. A standard bounded denominators argument implies that, as a formal power series in q ,

$$(25) \quad \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(q); \Lambda) + \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(q); \Lambda) \otimes \chi - 2A(\Lambda)l_{\mathcal{G}}(q) \in \frac{2}{q} + \mathfrak{r}[[q]].$$

For a prime p , observe the identity

$$(l_{\mathcal{G}}^{\phi}(t^p)) \otimes \chi = \chi(p)(l_{\mathcal{G}}^{\phi} \otimes \chi)(t^p),$$

which holds true for any formal power series in t , in particular for $l_{\mathcal{G}}^{\phi} \in H[[t]]$. Twist (4) with χ and add it to itself taking into account that $\mu = 0$ whenever p splits in K (and keeping in mind the identity $l_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \chi = l_{\mathcal{G}}$ implied by (24)) to conclude that

$$\zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(t); \Lambda) + \zeta(l_{\mathcal{G}}(t); \Lambda) \otimes \chi - 2A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda)l_{\mathcal{G}}(t) \in \frac{2}{t} + \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[t]].$$

Combine this observation with (25) (we now regard both t and q as variables in formal power series) to conclude that for all but possibly finitely many primes \mathfrak{p}

$$(A(\Lambda) - A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda))l_{\mathcal{G}}(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[t]].$$

Since, for all but finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} , the formal group law \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \hat{E} , and the latter has a reduction of finite height, the formal power series $l_{\mathcal{G}}(t)$ has infinitely many zeros. It follows that we must have $A(\Lambda) = A^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\Lambda)$ as required. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Bannai, Kenichi; Kobayashi, Shinichi; Yasuda, Seidai, The radius of convergence of the p -adic sigma function. *Math. Z.* 286 (2017), no. 1-2, 751-781.
- [2] Bruinier, Jan H.; Ono, Ken; Rhoades, Robert C., Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues. *Math. Ann.* 342 (2008), no. 3, 673-693.
- [3] Cox, David A. Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$. Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication. Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Hoboken). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2013.
- [4] Gross, Benedict H., Arithmetic on elliptic curves with complex multiplication. With an appendix by B. Mazur, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 776. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [5] Guerzhoy, Pavel, On Zagier's adele. *Res. Math. Sci.* 1 (2014), Art. 7.
- [6] Hill, Walter L., Formal groups and zeta-functions of elliptic curves. *Invent. Math.* 12 (1971), 321-336.
- [7] Honda, Taira, Formal groups and zeta-functions, *Osaka J. Math.* 5 1968 199-213
- [8] Josep González, Josep; Lario, Joan-C., Modular elliptic directions with complex multiplication (with an application to Gross's elliptic curves), *Comment. Math. Helv.* 86 (2011), pp. 317-351
- [9] Katz, Nicholas M., p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pp. 69-190. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [10] Katz, Nicholas M., p -adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series, *Annals of Math.* 104 (1976), 459-571.
- [11] Katz, Nicholas M., Crystalline cohomology, Dieudonné modules, and Jacobi sums. Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979), pp. 165-246, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math.*, 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981

- [12] Lubin, Jonathan, One-parameter formal Lie groups over \mathfrak{p} -adic integer rings. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 80 (1964), 464-484.
- [13] Masser, David, Elliptic functions and transcendence, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 437. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [14] Mazur, Barry.; Tate, John., The p -adic sigma function. *Duke Math. J.* 62 (1991), no. 3, 663-688.
- [15] Mazur, Barry, Number theory as gadfly. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 98 (1991), no. 7, 593-610.
- [16] Miyake, Toshitsune, *Modular forms*. Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by Yoshitaka Maeda. Reprint of the first 1989 English edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006
- [17] Shimura, Goro, On elliptic curves with complex multiplication as factors of the Jacobians of modular function fields. *Nagoya Math. J.* 43 (1971), 199-208.
- [18] Shimura, Goro, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*. Kanô Memorial Lectures, No. 1. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11. Iwanami Shoten Publishers, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [19] Shimura, Goro, Class fields over real quadratic fields and Hecke operators. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 95 (1972), 130-190.
- [20] Silverman, Joseph H., *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 106. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [21] Silverman, Joseph H., *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 151. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [22] Streng, Marco, Divisibility sequences for elliptic curves with complex multiplication. *Algebra Number Theory* 2 (2008), no. 2, 183-208.
- [23] Wortmann, S., Generalized Q -curves and factors of $J_1(N)$. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 70 (2000), 51-61.
- [24] The PARI Group, PARI/GP version 2.13.4, Univ. Bordeaux, 2022,
<http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, 2565 MCCARTHY MALL,
HONOLULU, HI, 96822-2273

Email address: pavel@math.hawaii.edu