

Kazhdan-Lusztig Correspondence for Vertex Operator Superalgebras from Abelian Gauge Theories

Thomas Creutzig and Wenjun Niu

March 6, 2024

Abstract

We prove the Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for a class of vertex operator superalgebras which, via the work of Costello-Gaiotto, arise as boundary VOAs of topological B twist of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ abelian gauge theories. This means that we show equivalences of braided tensor categories of modules of certain affine vertex superalgebras and corresponding quantum supergroups.

We build on the work of Creutzig-Lentner-Rupert to this large class of VOAs and extend it since in our case the categories don't have projective objectives and objects can have arbitrary Jordan Hölder length.

Our correspondence significantly improves the understanding of the braided tensor category of line defects associated to this class of TQFT, by realizing line defects as modules of a Hopf algebra. In the process, we prove a case of the conjecture of Semikhatov-Tipunin, relating logarithmic CFTs to Nichols algebras of screening operators.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Nichols Algebra: towards the Conjecture of Semikhatov-Tipunin	4
1.2	Physical Motivation	6
1.3	Analogy to Chern-Simons Theory	7
1.4	Conventions and Notations	8
1.5	Acknowledgements	9
2	The Vertex Algebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ and the Kazhdan-Lusztig Category	9
2.1	The Vertex Algebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$	9

2.2	The Kazhdan-Lusztig Category	12
2.3	Free-field Realization of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$	15
2.4	Simple-current Extension and its Application to $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$	18
3	The Quantum Group U_ρ^E	19
3.1	Definition and Structures	19
3.2	An Abelian Equivalence Between $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}$ and KL_ρ	22
4	Free-field Realizations and Relative Drinfeld Centers	23
4.1	Commutative Algebra Objects in Braided Tensor Categories	24
4.1.1	Tensor Categories Arising from Commutative Algebra Objects	24
4.1.2	Induction Functor and Properties	30
4.2	Relative Drinfeld Center from Commutative Algebra Object	32
5	Yetter-Drinfeld Modules and Quantum Groups	35
5.1	Modules of Nichols Algebra	35
5.1.1	From Screening Operators to Nichols Algebra	35
5.1.2	From Abelian Equivalence to Tensor Equivalence	39
5.2	Relative Drinfeld Center as Yetter-Drinfeld Modules	42
5.3	The Case of \mathfrak{g}_ρ	44
5.4	Outline of the Proof Strategy	46
5.5	Realization of $A\text{-Mod}$ as Modules of a Nichols Algebra	47
5.6	The Remaining Steps	61
5.6.1	Specializing to $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1 1))$ and \mathcal{V}_Z^1	61
5.6.2	Concluding the Equivalence	62
6	Un-gauging Operation and Proof for General ρ	62
6.1	Review of Un-gauging Operation for $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$	62
6.2	Quantum Group for General ρ and the Physical TQFT	63

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is the proof of Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondences for a class of affine Lie superalgebras which arise as (perturbative) boundary vertex algebras of topological B twist of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ abelian gauge theories. More precisely, let $G = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ be an abelian group, and $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ a representation of G defined by a charge matrix $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$. Throughout this paper we assume that ρ defines a faithful action, in that it fits into a short exact sequence (see equation (1.5)). Associated to this data is a solvable Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ and its affine current VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, which first appeared in [CG18] as the perturbative boundary VOA for a holomorphic boundary condition of the aforementioned TQFT. In [BCDN23], this affine current VOA was studied and the Kazhdan-Lusztig category KL_ρ of modules was introduced, which has the structure of a rigid braided tensor category. The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ is not reductive but solvable and the category KL_ρ is not semisimple, in fact it doesn't even have projective objects.

In this paper, we show that we can associate to ρ a Hopf algebra, to be denoted by U_ρ^E , which is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g}_ρ . Properties of this algebra will be given in Section 3. Most importantly, this algebra admits an R matrix in its algebraic completion, which can be evaluated very simply on any finite dimensional modules. This in particular endows the category $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}$, the category of finite-dimensional modules of U_ρ^E , with the structure of a braided tensor category.

In this paper, we prove the following statement:

$\langle \text{KLrho} \rangle$

Theorem 1.1. *There is an equivalence of braided tensor categories:*

$$KL_\rho \simeq U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}. \quad (1.1)$$

The strategy of this proof is from the recent work [CLR23]. The idea is that given a VOA V together with a rigid braided tensor category \mathcal{U} of V -modules, as well as a free field realization $V \rightarrow W$ such that the VOA W defines a commutative algebra object A in \mathcal{U} , under a set of technical assumptions, there is a fully-faithful functor:

$$\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $A\text{-Mod}$ is the category of A modules, $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ the category of local A modules and $\mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod})$ is the relative Drinfeld center. If one can find Hopf algebras corresponding to the category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ and $A\text{-Mod}$, then one can construct a Hopf algebra corresponding to the relative center by Drinfeld's relative double construction.

We apply this strategy to the case $\rho = 1$, in which case \mathfrak{g}_ρ is simply $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$. We use the Wakimoto free field realization W of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$, find the Hopf algebras corresponding to the two categories above, and show that the relative Drinfeld double is precisely the quantum group U_1^E . For general ρ , we use the ungauging relation of [BCDN23] between $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ and $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ to derive U_ρ^E as a sub-quotient of many copies of U_1^E .

If one only considers the category of weighted modules, namely the category of modules of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ where the zero-mode of the bosonic generators act semi-simply, then this correspondence will simply follow from [CLR23]. We extend their result to a much larger category, allowing arbitrarily large Jordan blocks for these zero-modes. The motivation behind considering the enlarged category is from physics: the category of line operators in the aforementioned TQFT is modeled by the Kazhdan-Lusztig category, rather than the smaller weighted subcategory. This extension is in fact very nontrivial, partly due to the fact that KL_ρ does not have any projective object, and partly to the fact that $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is non-semisimple. The first issue makes it harder to compute extensions between objects in KL_ρ , and therefore making it harder to classify objects in this category. Fortunately, this difficulty can be dealt with using results from [BCDN23], by relating objects in KL_ρ to modules of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ . The second issue makes it harder to produce a tensor functor from $A\text{-Mod}$ to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$. In this paper we construct such a functor by taking associated graded of a canonical filtration. We believe that such a filtration should exist for general free field realizations admitting screening operators, and not simply for the case at hand.

1.1 Nichols Algebra: towards the Conjecture of Semikhatov-Tipunin

In this proof strategy, it is easy to find the Hopf algebra corresponding to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$, as this is identified with modules of the VOA W , which in our examples are modules of a Heisenberg vertex algebra. The challenge is to find the Hopf algebra corresponding to $A\text{-Mod}$. In the case at hand, the VOA V is defined by the kernel of a set of screening operators $S_i : W \rightarrow W_i$ for simple current modules W_i , and it was first proposed and studied in [ST12] that screening charges obey the relations of a Nichols algebra. More precisely, the Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(M)$ for an object $M \in A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is the quotient of the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(M)$ by the braided symmetrizer map. It was shown in [ST12] that certain screening operators S_i indeed satisfy the relation imposed by braided symmetrizers, and conjectured that this is true for general screening operators. In particular they conjectured that the category of modules of the VOA V that is defined

as the joint kernel of the screening charges is braided tensor equivalent to the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules for the Nichols algebra of screenings inside the category of modules of the VOA W . They exemplified this in the case of $V = M(p)$ the singlet VOA, and in later work [ST13] studied in more detail the Nichols algebras of rank two and the VOAs that are characterized as kernels of screening charges. The Nichols algebra relation of screening operators is proven in the case of diagonal braiding by [Len21], which includes all the examples considered in [ST12, ST13].

The ribbon category structure of the singlet VOAs have been fully understood fairly recently [CMY20b, CMY22a]. In this case $W = H_\phi$ is a single Heisenberg VOA and M is the Fock module $\mathcal{F}_{-\sqrt{2/p}\phi}$ for a prime $p \geq 2$. The VOAs arising from rank two examples have been further studied in [ACGY20, CNS23] and in particular in [CNS23] the correspondence of one example to a category of modules of a quantum supergroup of type $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ has been proven.

In modern language, see [CLR23], the conjecture of Semikhatov and Tipunin can be rephrased as

(Conj:Nicholsintro)

Conjecture 1.2. *Let A be the algebra object defined by W in the category of V modules, and let $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the Nichols algebra of M in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$. There is an equivalence of tensor categories:*

$$\mathcal{B}(M)\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}) \simeq A\text{-Mod} \tag{1.3}$$

compatible with the action of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$.

Conjecture 1.2 was proven in [CLR23] for the category of weighted modules of $V = M(p)$, and this work indicates that the same statement, not restricted to the singlet VOA or the weighted category, should be true in general.

In this paper, we prove a simple case of the above statement, in which M has diagonal braiding (-1) . More precisely, let V be a VOA and W a VOA containing V , such that V is defined by the kernel of a screening operator $W \rightarrow \sigma W$, where σ is spectral flow automorphism defined by some Heisenberg field $\phi \in W$ of level 1.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 5.21). *Under a list of technical conditions (contained in Section 5.5), there is an equivalence of tensor categories:*

$$A\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\sigma W)\text{-Mod}. \tag{1.4}$$

It turns out that the proof of even this simple case is quite complicated. We leave it to a future project to derive a proof for the general case.

1.2 Physical Motivation

The physical motivation of this work is the study of a class of non-semisimple TQFT in 3 dimensions. More precisely, it is the topological twists of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric abelian gauge theories.

In a three-dimensional topological quantum field theory, the set of line operators is expected to carry the structure of a braided tensor category. A classic example of this is Chern-Simons theory with compact gauge group G and integer level k , whose line operators coincide with integrable level- k representations of the WZW VOA $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$. Another set of examples come from subsectors of supersymmetric QFT's that behave topologically, *a.k.a.* topological twists.

One general class of quantum field theories that admit topological twists are 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories. They in fact have *two* distinct topological subsectors, often called A and B twists. The 3d B twist, introduced by [BT96], leads to a gauge-theory analogue of Rozansky-Witten TQFT [RW96, KRS08]. The 3d A twist is a dimensional reduction of Witten's archetypical twist of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Yang-Mills that defined Donaldson-Witten TQFT.

Categorical aspects of line operators in the A and B twists of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories were developed in a series of different works, including [BDGH16, BF18, Web19, OR18, DGGH19, CCG18, CDGG21, HR21, BN22, BCDN23, GH22, GN23, GY22, GGY24]. (See especially the introductions to [HR21, BCDN23] for further background and references.) The physical constructions of these categories took much inspiration from an early classification of BPS line operators by [AG15], the classic, general analysis of BPS defects in gauge theory by [GW06], and earlier work on the categorical structure of Rozansky-Witten theory [KRS08, RW10]. In addition, we note that another series of works including [DGN⁺18, CCF⁺18, GKL⁺21, CQW21] extracted data of braided tensor (in fact, modular tensor) categories from 3d supersymmetric gauge theories indirectly, by analyzing partition functions and half-indices, or using string/M-theory dualities; these were recently connected with direct field-theory analyses in [Ded23, FGK23]. A notable difference between the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories considered in [DGN⁺18, Ded23, GKL⁺21, FGK23] and general 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories is that the former are “rank zero” — they have no moduli space of SUSY vacua, which allows their categories of line operators to be semisimple. In general 3d gauge theories, there is a nontrivial moduli space of vacua, and (correspondingly) the categories of line operators are not semisimple. In a topological twist, they are nontrivial derived categories.

Despite what is now quite a large body of work on categories of line operators in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories, the current state of the art (in the general non-semisimple/derived case) is still remarkably complicated. In this paper and a companion [CDN] we seek to significantly improve this state of affairs by introducing *quantum groups* — and more generally, quasi-triangular Hopf algebras — that control line operators. These algebras and their weighted category of modules are recently used in [GGY24] to construct non-semisimple TQFT via an application of the methods developed in [CGPM14].

The 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ abelian gauge theories relevant for this paper are defined by an abelian group G and representation V , whose charge matrix ρ is assumed to define a faithful action. This class of theories is closed under 3d mirror symmetry, which swaps A and B twists, so without loss of generality we may also focus on a single twist; we choose the B twist. In [BN22, BCDN23] it was explained that the category of line operators in such a B twisted gauge theory may be represented as modules for a certain boundary VOA \mathcal{V}_ρ^B , where \mathcal{V}_ρ^B is a \mathbb{Z}^r simple current extension of the affine current superalgebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$. In [BCDN23], the category of modules of \mathcal{V}_ρ^B is defined using this simple current extension as a de-equivariantization of KL_ρ by the \mathbb{Z}^r lattice; and the full category of line operators is the derived category thereof. Theorem 1.1 gives a quantum group description to the category of line operators, with explicit tensor product and R matrix, making it more amenable to algebraic studies.

Remark 1.4. *Just as the boundary VOA relevant for the physical theory is not $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ but the simple current extension, the quantum group relevant is a sub-quotient of U_ρ^E . We will derive this sub-quotient in Section 6.2, using de-equivariantization.*

1.3 Analogy to Chern-Simons Theory

Recall that for a complex semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the famous Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for \mathfrak{g} states that for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ avoiding certain values, there is an equivalence of two braided tensor categories:

1. The category of finite-length modules of $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ whose composition factors and simple highest-weight modules corresponding to weights that are dominant in the direction of \mathfrak{g} .
2. The category of finite-dimensional modules of the Lusztig's quantum group $U_q^{\text{Lus}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

This was proven for generic k first in [Dri90], and then extend to more general k in [KL94], and in a recent work [CF21] it is extended to factorization category setting. The

significance of this to the study of Chern-Simons theory is that it confirms mathematically the two constructions of TQFT, one using affine Lie algebra [Wit89] and another using quantum group [RT91].

For generic k , the category of modules of $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is equivalent as an abelian category to the category $U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-Mod}$. In [Dri90], the braided tensor structure of $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ modules is given a description using this equivalence via solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations.

The B twist of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theory can be viewed as some version of a supergroup Chern-Simons theory, see [GW10, Mik15, Gar22, GN23]; the Lie superalgebra of this supergroup is precisely \mathfrak{g}_ρ , for abelian gauge group. Viewed through this lens, Theorem 1.1 specifies the quantum supergroup corresponding to the Chern-Simons theory of \mathfrak{g}_ρ . Moreover, in [McR16], it was shown that logarithmic intertwining operators give solutions of KZ equations. This, together with Proposition 2.4, shows that the braided tensor structure of the category KL_ρ , for generic eigenvalues of E_a , can be given a similar description using solutions of KZ equations. Our equivalence is therefore a non-semisimple example of the equivalence of Drinfeld, namely the equivalence between braided tensor structure induced by solutions of KZ equations and that induced by a deformation of the co-product and R matrix.

1.4 Conventions and Notations

As in [BCDN23], We assume that the action of $G = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ is *faithful*, in the sense that the charge lattice ρ fits into a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1.5) \quad \boxed{\text{SES}}$$

In this case, one can choose a splitting of this exact sequence $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$, namely $\tau \circ \tilde{\rho} = \text{Id}_{n-r}$. Similarly, one can choose a co-splitting $\tilde{\tau} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\rho} = 0$ and $\tilde{\tau} \circ \rho = \text{Id}_r$. This is depicted in the following:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \xleftarrow[\rho]{\tilde{\tau}} \mathbb{Z}^n \xleftarrow[\tau]{\tilde{\rho}} \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1.6) \quad \boxed{\text{eqsplitexactsequen}}$$

They satisfy the following equations:

$$\tau \circ \rho = \tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\rho} = 0, \quad \tau \circ \tilde{\rho} = \text{Id}_{n-r}, \quad \tilde{\tau} \circ \rho = \text{Id}_r, \quad \rho \circ \tilde{\tau} + \tilde{\rho} \circ \tau = \text{Id}_n. \quad (1.7)$$

We will use this splitting to show that U_ρ^E is a sub-quotient of many copies of U_1^E .

In the following, given an algebra A in a braided tensor category \mathcal{C} , we will denote by $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of finite-length A modules in \mathcal{C} . In particular, if \mathcal{C} is Vect , then $A\text{-Mod}$ means the category of finite-dimensional modules of A .

Tensor products over \mathbb{C} will be denoted by \otimes . Let V be a VOA and \mathcal{U} a category of modules admitting a braided tensor structure, then tensor product in \mathcal{U} will be denoted by $\otimes_{\mathcal{U}}$. All tensor products are ordinary tensor products, not derived tensor products.

All categories considered here will in fact be super categories. We will follow the convention of [CKM17], in that morphisms are even and the categories are abelian. An intertwining operator will also be even. If A is an ordinary algebra, then $A\text{-Mod}$ is the category of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded modules of A .

1.5 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Tudor Dimofte for collaboration that motivated this work, and will also appear in further companion papers. TC is very grateful to Simon Lentner for collaborations on related problems. WN is grateful to Niklas Garner, Simon Lentner, Matthew Rupert and Matthew Young for helpful discussions related to this research. WN's research is supported by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities.

2 The Vertex Algebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ and the Kazhdan-Lusztig Category

?(sec:VOA)?

2.1 The Vertex Algebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$

In [BCDN23], we studied an affine Lie super-algebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ and its simple current extension \mathcal{V}_ρ^B . These VOAs are inspired by the study of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ abelian gauge theories, especially its boundary VOA and category of line operators [CG18, CCG18]. When $\rho = 1$, the VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ is simply the affine vertex superalgebra $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$, whose representation theory was studied in [CR11a, CMY22b, BN22]. In this section, we recall the definition and properties of the VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$. Consider the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ over \mathbb{C}

given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_\rho := T^*(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \Pi V) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \Pi V \oplus \Pi V^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* \quad (2.1) \text{ ?def-grho?}$$

with basis $(N_a, \psi_+^i, \psi_-^i, E^a)$,

for $a = 1, \dots, r$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra. Its even/bosonic part is a copy of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^r$ and a copy of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^r$ (with basis elements N_a, E^a). Its odd/fermionic part, indicated by the parity shift ‘ Π ’, is $V \oplus V^* = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ (with basis ψ_\pm^i). The nonvanishing Lie brackets are given by

$$[N_a, \psi_\pm^i] = \pm \rho_a^i \psi_\pm^i, \quad \{\psi_+^i, \psi_-^j\} = \delta^{ij} \sum_{a=1}^r \rho_a^i E^a. \quad (2.2) \text{ ?g-brackets?}$$

To define the Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra, we need to choose an invariant, even, non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\kappa : \mathfrak{g}_\rho \times \mathfrak{g}_\rho \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\rho$. There are many choices of pairings on \mathfrak{g}_ρ . The one relevant to the physical set-up is derived in [CDG20, Gar22];¹ it is given by

$$\kappa(N_a, N_b) = (\rho^T \rho)_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_a^i \rho_{ib}, \quad \kappa(N_a, E^b) = \delta_a^b, \quad \kappa(\psi_+^i, \psi_-^j) = \delta^{ij}. \quad (2.3) \text{ ?eqbilinearBper?}$$

This defines a Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra, which we denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho$, which is a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}_\rho \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ defined by κ in the usual way:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}K \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\rho \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

where the commutation relation is defined on $a \otimes f(t)$ and $b \otimes g(t)$ by:

$$[a \otimes f(t), b \otimes g(t)]_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho} = [a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}_\rho} \otimes f(t)g(t) - \kappa(a, b)K \text{Res}_{t=0} f(t) \partial_t g(t). \quad (2.5)$$

More specifically, on the generators of \mathfrak{g}_ρ , the commutation relation reads:

$$\begin{aligned} [N_{a,n}, N_{b,m}] &= (\rho^T \rho)_{ab} n \delta_{m+n} K, & [N_{a,n}, E_{b,m}] &= n \delta_{ab} \delta_{m+n} K \\ [N_{a,n}, \psi_{\pm,k}^i] &= \pm \rho_a^i \psi_{\pm,k+n}^i, & \{\psi_{+,m}^i, \psi_{-,n}^j\} &= m \delta_{m+n} \delta^{ij} K + \delta^{ij} \sum_{a=1}^r \rho_a^i E_{m+n}^a. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

¹In fact, one can use a field re-definition to shift this to a more standard bi-linear form such that $\kappa_0(N_a, N_b) = 0$ and the other pairings are the same as κ .

Denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho \geq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_\rho \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho < 0} = \mathfrak{g}_\rho \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$, then it is easy to see that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho$ is a crossed product of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho \geq 0}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho < 0}$. In particular, there is a decomposition:

$$U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho < 0}) \otimes U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho \geq 0}) \rightarrow U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho). \quad (2.7)$$

For each finite-dimensional module M of \mathfrak{g}_ρ and each $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we define a Verma module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho$ of level k by:

$$\text{Ind}(M) := U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho \geq 0})} (M \otimes \mathbb{C}_k), \quad (2.8)$$

where $M \otimes \mathbb{C}_k$ is the one-dimensional representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho \geq 0}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_\rho \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially, the zero-mode subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ acts on M naturally and K acts by multiplication with k . The module $V_k(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) := \text{Ind}(\mathbb{C})$ (where \mathbb{C} is the trivial \mathfrak{g}_ρ module) has the structure of a super-VOA, whose OPEs on generators are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} N_a(z)E^b(w) &\sim \frac{k\delta_a^b}{(z-w)^2}, & N_a(z)N_b(w) &\sim \frac{k(\rho^T \rho)_{ab}}{(z-w)^2}, \\ N_a(z)\psi_+^i(w) &\sim \frac{\rho_a^i \psi_+^i(w)}{(z-w)}, & N_a(z)\psi_-^i(w) &\sim \frac{-\rho_a^i \psi_-^i(w)}{(z-w)}, \\ \psi_+^i(z)\psi_-^j(w) &\sim \frac{k\delta^{ij}}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\delta^{ij} \sum_{a=1}^r \rho_a^i E^a(w)}{z-w}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9) \text{?eqperturbVOABgenOP}$$

Its' stress-energy tensor is given by:

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{2k} \left(\sum_{1 \leq a \leq r} :N_a E^a: + :E^a N_a: - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} :\psi_+^i \psi_-^i: + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} :\psi_-^i \psi_+^i: \right). \quad (2.10) \text{?L-B?}$$

It is easy to see that in this case, for different non-zero k , the VOAs $V_k(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ are all isomorphic (albeit with a change of conformal element, which does not matter for the braided tensor category considered in this paper), and therefore we will once and for all fix $k = 1$, and denote the VOA by $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$. The following statement is true:

Proposition 2.1 ([BCDN23] Lemma 8.22). *The VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ is simple.*

The VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ has the following $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{C}^r$ lattice of automorphisms:

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu}(N_a) = N_a - \frac{\mu_a}{z}, \quad \sigma_{\lambda, \mu}(E_a) = E_a - \frac{\lambda_a}{z}, \quad \sigma_{\lambda, \mu} \psi_\pm^i = z^{\mp \sum_a \rho_{ia} \lambda^a} \psi_\pm^i. \quad (2.11) \text{eq:spectralflow}$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We can use this to twist the action to obtain more modules. For instance, the VOA \mathcal{V}_ρ^B is defined as a module of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ using

a \mathbb{Z}^r lattice of simple modules obtained via this twist:

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho^B := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \sigma_{\lambda, \rho^\top \rho \lambda} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho). \quad (2.12)$$

2.2 The Kazhdan-Lusztig Category

Given a VOA V and a category \mathcal{U} of V modules, the extensive work of Huang-Lepowsky-Zhang [HLZ10a, HLZ10b, HLZ10c, HLZ10d, HLZ10e, HLZ10f, HLZ11a, HLZ11b] shows that under certain conditions on V and \mathcal{U} , there is a vertex-tensor category structure on \mathcal{U} : the structure of a braided tensor category with twist, where the tensor product of two modules is defined as the universal object of logarithmic intertwining operators.

Specifically, given three modules M, N and P , a logarithmic intertwining operator is a map:

$$Y : M \otimes N \rightarrow P\{z\}[\log z] \quad (2.13) \quad \boxed{Y\text{-int}}$$

that satisfies a similar set of properties the state-operator map of a VOA needs to satisfy. The curly bracket means a formal power series with any possible complex exponents, *i.e.* sums of the type

$$\sum_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{C} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} P_{y,n} z^y (\log(z))^n, \quad P_{y,n} \in P.$$

For a full definition, see [CKM17, Definition 3.7]. Let $\text{Int}(M \otimes N, P)$ be the set of M, N, P intertwining operators (2.13). For fixed M and N , a universal object for the space of intertwining operators is a module denoted $M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N$, together with an intertwining operator $Y : M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N\{z\}[\log z]$ that induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Int}(M \otimes N, P) \cong \text{Hom}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N, P), \quad (2.14)$$

for any other module P .

It is difficult in general to verify the existence of such a universal object and in particular the existence of tensor category. Usually one can show this existence if the category \mathcal{U} satisfies certain finiteness conditions [CY21, Theorem 3.3.4]. The best known examples are first the Kazhdan-Lusztig category for an affine Lie algebra associated to a semi-simple Lie algebra, which at most levels satisfies the necessary finiteness conditions and has the structure of a braided tensor category; and second the Virasoro algebra at any central charge has a category of modules satisfying all the necessary finiteness conditions and hence has the structure of a braided tensor category [CJOH⁺20]. In

general it is a quite difficult problem to establish the structure of a braided tensor category on a category of modules of a VOA, e.g. only very recently this result has been established for the category of weight modules of the affine VOA of \mathfrak{sl}_2 at admissible levels [Cre23].

In [BCDN23], we studied the Kazhdan-Lusztig category for the affine Lie superalgebra $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, and showed that this category satisfies the finiteness conditions and therefore has the structure of a braided tensor category. Let us recall the definition here. A generalized module of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ (or simply a module of the affine Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho$ where $K = \text{Id}$) is called *finite-length* if it has a finite composition series whose composition factors are simple. It is called *grading-restricted* if it is graded by generalized conformal weights (the generalized eigenvalues of L_0), the weight spaces are all finite-dimensional, and the generalized conformal weights are bounded from below.

Definition 2.2. *We define KL_ρ , the Kazhdan-Lusztig category of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, to be the category of finite-length, grading-restricted modules of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$.*

The following was proven in [BCDN23, Theorem 8.19]:

Theorem 2.3. *The category KL_ρ is a rigid braided tensor category, such that the braided tensor structure is defined by logarithmic intertwining operators and the dual is defined by the contragredient dual.*

Since $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ has central elements $E_{a,0}$, the category KL_ρ admits the following decomposition:

$$KL_\rho = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{C}^r} KL_{\rho,\nu}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $KL_{\rho,\nu}$ is the sub-category of objects in KL_ρ where the generalized eigenvalue of $E_{a,0}$ is ν_a , or in other words, $(E_{a,0} - \nu_a)^n = 0$ for some sufficiently large n . We proved in [BCDN23] that for generic ν , the category $KL_{\rho,\nu}$ is equivalent to the corresponding category of finite-dimensional modules of \mathfrak{g}_ρ . Namely, let $\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}$ be the category of finite-dimensional modules of \mathfrak{g}_ρ , which also admits a decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{C}^r} \mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu$ is the sub-category where E_a acts with generalized eigenvalue ν_a . We have used the following induction functor to define $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$:

$$\text{Ind}(M) := U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\rho) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho,\geq 0})} (M \otimes \mathbb{C}_1), \quad (2.17)$$

where M is a module of \mathfrak{g}_ρ , viewed as a module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho, \geq 0}$ via the morphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rho, \geq 0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\rho$, and \mathbb{C}_1 is a module of the center $\mathbb{C}K$ on which K acts as 1. It is clear that Ind sends an object in $\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu$ to a module of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ where the generalized eigenvalue of E_a are ν_a . It would be in $KL_{\rho, \nu}$ if it is finite-length. We proved in [BCDN23, Proposition 8.24] that this is the case. In fact, we have the following statement:

(Propindgeneric)

Proposition 2.4. *The image of the induction functor Ind lies in KL_ρ . When $\sum_a \rho_{ia} \nu^a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $\sum_a \rho_{ia} \nu^a = 0$ for all i , the induction functor induces an equivalence of abelian categories:*

$$\text{Ind} : \mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu \simeq KL_{\rho, \nu}. \quad (2.18)$$

Namely, for a generic ν , we can understand the abelian category $KL_{\rho, \nu}$ very well by studying $\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu$. How do we study $KL_{\rho, \nu}$ when ν doesn't satisfy the requirement of Proposition 2.4? The answer is through spectral flow. Recall the automorphisms in equation (2.11). For each (λ, μ) , the spectral flow induces an equivalence:

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} : KL_{\rho, \nu} \simeq KL_{\rho, \nu + \lambda}. \quad (2.19)$$

One can show that for each ν , there exists some λ such that $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and that $\nu + \lambda$ satisfies the requirement of Proposition 2.4, and consequently we have equivalences of abelian categories as follows:

$$KL_{\rho, \nu} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\lambda, \nu}} KL_{\rho, \nu + \lambda} \xleftarrow{\text{Ind}} \mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_{\nu + \lambda} \quad (2.20)$$

Notice that the spectral flow automorphism $\sigma_{\lambda, \mu}$ is generated by the action of the zero mode of the Heisenberg generator $\sum_a \lambda_a N_a(z) + (\mu_a - \rho^\top \rho \lambda_a) E_a$, via Li's delta operator [Li97]. Consequently, the spectral flows of the vacuum, $\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, are simple current modules, and satisfy simple fusion rule:

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{KL_\rho} \sigma_{\lambda', \mu'} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \cong \sigma_{\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu'} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho). \quad (2.21)$$

The fusion rule above gives the module \mathcal{V}_ρ^B the VOA structure. Moreover, given a module M , the spectral flow $\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} M$ is isomorphic to $\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{KL_\rho} M$, via the intertwining operator defined by Li's delta operator.

2.3 Free-field Realization of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$

One important way to study a VOA is by embedding it into simpler VOAs. In [BCDN23], we constructed an embedding of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ into lattice vertex algebras, namely an extension of Heisenberg VOA by Fock modules. This generalized the well-studied special case of $\mathfrak{g}_\rho = \mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ and extensions thereof [SS06, CR11b, CGN20]. We recall the construction here. Let $H_{\{X_a, Y_a, Z_i\}}$ denote the Heisenberg VOA associated to the $2r + n$ dimensional vector space with basis $\{X_a, Y^a, Z^i\}$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, and bilinear form

$$B(X_a, Y^b) = \delta^b_a, \quad B(Z^i, Z^j) = \delta^{ij}. \quad (2.22)$$

Namely, the VOA $H_{\{X_a, Y_a, Z_i\}}$ is strongly generated by $\partial X_a, \partial Y^a$ and ∂Z_i with the following OPE:

$$\partial X_a \partial Y^b = \frac{\delta^b_a}{(z-w)^2}, \quad \partial Z_i \partial Z_j = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{(z-w)^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

We choose the following conformal element L :

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq a \leq r} (\partial X_a \partial Y^a + \partial Y^a \partial X_a) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\partial Z^i)(\partial Z_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\sum_{1 \leq a \leq r} \rho_{ia} \partial^2 Y^a - \partial^2 Z_i \right). \quad (2.24) \text{ ?L-B-ff?}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{V}_Z^\rho = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, Z}^{X, Y, Z} \quad (2.25)$$

be the lattice VOA obtained by extending $H_{\{X_a, Y_a, Z_i\}}$ by Fock modules generated by $:e^{\lambda Z}:$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. It is easy to see that the VOA \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ is isomorphic to a simple tensor product:

$$\mathcal{V}_Z^\rho \cong H_{\{X_a, Y_a\}} \otimes V_{bc}^{\otimes n}, \quad (2.26)$$

where $H_{\{X_a, Y_a\}}$ is the Heisenberg VOA generated by $\partial X_a, \partial Y^a$ and $V_{bc}^{\otimes n}$ is a set of free fermion VOAs, generated by $:e^{\pm Z^i}:$. The assignments:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_a &\mapsto \partial X_a + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho_{ia} \partial Z^i, \\
E^a &\mapsto \partial Y^a, \\
\psi_+^i(z) &\mapsto :e^{Z^i}:, \\
\psi_-^i &\mapsto : \sum_{1 \leq a \leq r} \rho_{ia}^i \partial Y^a e^{-Z^i} : + : \partial e^{-Z^i} :
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27} \text{?ffRealization?}$$

defines an embedding of the Kac-Moody VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ into the lattice VOA \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ . It is in fact possible to identify the image of this embedding with the kernel of a certain set of screening operators. Recall that the lattice VOA \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ has Fock modules

$$\mathcal{V}_{Z, \mu X + \nu Y}^\rho = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \cdot X + \nu \cdot Y + \lambda \cdot Z}^{X, Y, Z} \tag{2.28}$$

labelled by $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}^r$, lifted from the Fock modules of the Heisenberg VOA $H_{\{X_a, Y_a, Z_i\}}$. When $\rho(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define intertwiners $S^i(z) : \mathcal{V}_{Z, \mu X + \nu Y}^\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{Z, \mu X + \nu Y - (\rho Y)^i}^\rho((z))$ given by

$$S^i(z) = :e^{Z^i(z) - \sum_a \rho_{ia}^i Y^a(z)}:, \tag{2.29} \text{?def-B-screen?}$$

with corresponding screening charges $S_0^i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint S^i(z) dz$. Note that this is only well-defined when $\rho(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, since this ensures that S^i is integer moded. We have the following proposition, proven in [BCDN23, Proposition 4.2]:

?(PropScreen)? **Proposition 2.5.** *The image of the embedding $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_Z^\rho$ is the kernel of the screening operators:*

$$V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \cong \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker S_0^i |_{\mathcal{V}_Z^\rho}. \tag{2.30}$$

Moreover, for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^r$, the VOA \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ has a simple module $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda X + \mu Y, Z}^\rho$, given by the lift of the Fock module $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda X + \mu Y}$. When $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, one can identify:

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu + \rho^\top \rho \lambda} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \cong \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker S_0^i |_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda X + \mu Y, Z}^\rho}. \tag{2.31}$$

In fact, we have the following long exact sequence resolving $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ using modules of \mathcal{V}_Z :

$$V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_Z^\rho \xrightarrow{\oplus_i S^i} \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_{Z, -\rho(Y)_i}^\rho \xrightarrow{\oplus_j S^j} \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{V}_{Z, -\rho(Y)_i - \rho(Y)_j}^\rho \longrightarrow \dots \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore, each direct summand in the above sequence is an indecomposable module of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, and is an extension between simple currents of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$. In fact, for each μ, ν , if $\rho(\mu)$ satisfies the generic condition of Proposition 2.4, then one can show that $\mathcal{V}_{Z, \mu X + \nu Y}^\rho$ can be identified with $\text{Ind}(V_{\nu-\rho, \mu})$ where $V_{\nu, \mu}$ is the Verma module of \mathfrak{g}_ρ generated by a single vector v such that $N_a v = \nu_a v, E_a v = \mu_a v$ and $\psi_-^i v = 0$. Here $\nu - \rho$ is the vector $(\nu_a - \sum_i \rho_{ia})$. For example, the free field algebra \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ is identified with the module $\text{Ind}(V_{-\rho, 0})$, and is the Wakimoto free-field realization of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ .

Having such a free-field realization significantly simplifies the study of modules of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, since one can now construct intertwining operators of modules of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ using intertwining operators of \mathcal{V}_Z^ρ modules. For example, we have the following statement, whose proof is identical to the proof of [GN23, Appendix B1].

Proposition 2.6. *Every object in KL_ρ is a sub-quotient (namely a quotient of a sub-module) of an object in $\mathcal{V}_Z^\rho\text{-Mod}$, restricted to KL_ρ .*

One can use such a statement to compute, for example, monodromy as follows:

(Cor:monsimple)

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be an object in KL_ρ , then for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, the monodromy:*

$$\sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)} M \xrightarrow{c} M \otimes_{V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)} \sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \xrightarrow{c} \sigma_{\lambda, \mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)} M \quad (2.33)$$

is given by $\text{Id} \otimes \exp(2\pi i \sum \lambda_a N_0^a + (\mu - \rho^\top \rho \lambda)_a E_0^a)$.

The precise computation of this statement for $\rho = 1$ is contained in the Appendix B of [GN23], and the statement for general ρ follows in exactly the same way.

Example 2.8. *Let $\rho = 1$, in which case $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) = V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$, and this free field realization is well-known in the literature, see for instance [SS06, CGN20]. This free field realization embeds $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ into $\mathcal{V}_Z^1 = H_{X,Y} \otimes V_{bc}$ and the image is the kernel of the screening operator $S = \oint dz b(z) e^{-Y(z)}$.*

2.4 Simple-current Extension and its Application to $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$

(sec:ungaugeVOA)

Another important consequence of the free field realization is that one can realize $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ as a simple current extension of many copies of $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$. Let $V := V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n}$, which has $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ lattice of spectral flow automorphisms $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$. These are defined as in equation (2.11), for $\rho = \text{Id}_n$ the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Recall the splitting of the exact sequence (3.8). The following direct sum is an algebra object (in a suitable completion of $KL_1^{\boxtimes n}$):

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}} \sigma_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau^\top(\mu) + \tilde{\rho}(\lambda)} V \quad (2.34)$$

Namely, this direct sum defines a simple current VOA extension of V . By using the free-field realization of V and field re-definition, it was shown in [BCDN23, Theorem 5.6.] that the following is true:

(Prop:ungaugeVOA)

Proposition 2.9. *There exists a lattice VOA \mathcal{W}_ρ associated to a self-dual lattice such that there is a VOA isomorphism:*

$$V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho \cong \mathcal{A}_\rho. \quad (2.35)$$

Consequently $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho$ is a simple current extension of $V = V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n}$. This simple current extension is compatible with the free field realization in the sense that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{V}_Z^1)^{\otimes n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}_Z^\rho \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho \end{array} \quad (2.36)$$

Here $\mathcal{V}_Z^1 = H_{X,Y} \otimes V_{bc}$ is the free field realization of $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$.

Remark 2.10. *The lattice defining \mathcal{W}_ρ is the lattice $(\mathbb{Z}^{n-r})^2$ with bilinear form:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \tau^\top \tau \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.37)$$

What this allows us to do is to relate the category of representations of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ with that of $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n}$. We will turn to this point in Section 6 when we discuss un-gauging operation for quantum groups.

3 The Quantum Group U_ρ^E

(sec:QG) 3.1 Definition and Structures

In this section, we introduce the quantum group U_ρ^E . We will show that this algebra has the structure of a Hopf algebra, and that its category of finite-dimensional modules admit an R matrix, which can be expressed in terms of an element in the algebraic closure of $U_\rho^E \otimes U_\rho^E$. When $\rho = 1$, the quantum group U_ρ^E is the unrolled quantum supergroup associated to $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$. In fact, we will show that U_ρ^E is a sub-quotient of many copies of U_1^E , the unrolled quantum group of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$, such that the Hopf algebra structure and the R matrix of U_ρ^E can be induced from U_1^E .

Fix as the charge matrix ρ , assuming it is faithful. The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_ρ is generated by N_a, E_a, ψ_\pm^i with relations:

$$[N_a, \psi_\pm^i] = \pm \rho_a^i \psi_\pm^i, \quad \{\psi_+^i, \psi_-^i\} = \sum \rho_{ai} E^a. \quad (3.1)$$

The quantum supergroup U_ρ^E deforms the above commutation relation into the following:

$$\{\psi_+^i, \psi_-^i\} = \sum \rho_{ai} E^a \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \{\psi_+^i, \psi_-^i\} = e^{2\pi i(\sum \rho_{ai} E^a)} - 1 \quad (3.2)$$

In this formula, we could replace $e^{2\pi i(\sum \rho_{ai} E^a)} - 1$ by $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(\sum \rho_{ai} E^a)} - 1$ for any non-zero k . It does not change the underlying algebra since we can always rescale E_a . The exponential should be understood as a power series in E , and only makes sense on finite-dimensional representations. This leads to the following definition:

Definition 3.1. *We define U_ρ^E as the superalgebra generated in even degrees by $N_a, E_a, K_{E_a}^\pm, K_{N_a}^\pm$, and in odd degrees by ψ_\pm^i , together with the following commutation relation:*

$$[N_a, \psi_\pm^i] = \pm \rho_a^i \psi_\pm^i, \quad \{\psi_+^i, \psi_-^i\} = \prod_a K_{E_a}^{\rho_{ia}} - 1, \quad K_a^+ K_a^- = K_a^- K_a^+ = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Here K_a can be both K_{N_a} or K_{E_a} . We also impose the condition $K_{N_a} = e^{2\pi i N_a}$ and $K_{E_a} = e^{2\pi i E_a}$, which is well-defined on finite-dimensional modules of U_ρ^E .

The motivation behind considering U_ρ^E is the observation made in [Niu23] that $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}$ is equivalent to KL_ρ as an abelian category, and that it is a Hopf algebra. We will prove the abelian equivalence in the next section. We first show the following result.

⟨Thm:quasitriUq⟩

Theorem 3.2. *The algebra U_ρ^E has the structure of a Hopf algebra, and moreover has an R matrix in the algebraic closure of $(U_\rho^E)^{\otimes 2}$ which has a well-defined evaluation on finite-dimensional modules.*

Proof. Let us give the explicit Hopf algebra structure. We define co-product by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(N_a) &= N_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes N_a, & \Delta(E_a) &= E_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_a, & \Delta(K_a^\pm) &= K_a^\pm \otimes K_a^\pm, \\ \Delta(\psi_+^i) &= \psi_+^i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \psi_+^i, & \Delta(\psi_-^i) &= \psi_-^i \otimes \prod_{1 \leq a \leq r} K_{E_a}^{\rho_{ia}} + 1 \otimes \psi_-^i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

counit by:

$$\epsilon(E) = \epsilon(N) = \epsilon(\psi_\pm^i) = 0, \quad \epsilon(K_{E_a}^\pm) = \epsilon(K_{N_a}^\pm) = 1 \quad (3.5)$$

antipode:

$$\begin{aligned} S(N_a) &= -N_a, & S(E_a) &= -E_a, & S(K_a^\pm) &= K_a^\mp, \\ S(\psi_+^i) &= -\psi_+^i, & S(\psi_-^i) &= -\prod_{1 \leq a \leq r} K_{E_a}^{-\rho_{ia}} \psi_-^i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

as well as the following R matrix:

$$R = e^{2\pi i \sum_a N_a \otimes E_a} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(1 - \psi_+^i \otimes \left(\prod_{1 \leq a \leq r} K_{E_a}^{-\rho_{ia}} \psi_-^i \right) \right). \quad (3.7)$$

The fact that these satisfy Hopf algebra axioms as well as the relation with the R matrix will follow from the fact that U_ρ^E can be constructed as a relative Drinfeld double, see Section 5.3. This R can be evaluated on any finite dimensional modules, since on such the exponential $e^{2\pi i \sum_a N_a \otimes E_a}$ is well-defined. □

Remark 3.3. *There are in fact more than one R matrix. One can always add to R a central element of the form $e^{2\pi i \sum_{a,b} \omega^{ab} E_a \otimes E_b}$ for some symmetric tensor ω . These different choices give equivalent BTC via a Drinfeld twist defined by $e^{\pi i \sum \omega^{ab} E_a E_b}$.*

We in fact have the following:

⟨Prop:qgungauge⟩

Proposition 3.4. *The algebra U_ρ^E is a sub-quotient of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$, such that the above Hopf algebra structure and R matrix can be induced from $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$.*

Proof. Let us write the generators of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$ by N_i, ψ_{\pm}^i, E_i and K_{N_i}, K_{E_i} with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Recall the splitting of the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r \xleftarrow[\rho]{\tilde{\tau}} \mathbb{Z}^n \xleftarrow[\tau]{\tilde{\rho}} \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.8) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:splitexactsequen}}$$

Let \overline{U} be the quotient of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$ by the following central relations:

$$\prod_i K_{N_i}^{\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha i}} = 1, \quad \prod_i K_{E_i}^{\tau_{i\alpha}} = 1. \quad (3.9)$$

It is clearly a Hopf algebra quotient of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$. Denote by U the sub-algebra of \overline{U} generated by the following elements:

$$N_a := \sum_i \rho_{ia} N_i, \quad K_{N_a}^{\pm} := \prod_i K_{N_i}^{\pm \rho_{ia}}, \quad \psi_{\pm}^i, \quad E_a = \sum_i \tilde{\tau}_{ai} E^i, \quad K_{E_i}^{\pm} \quad (3.10)$$

This is a Hopf sub-algebra of \overline{U} . We first claim that $U \cong U_{\rho}^E$ as an algebra. Indeed, the algebra U is generated by $N_a, \psi^{i,\pm}$ and E_a , such that $[N_a, \psi^{i,\pm}] = \pm \rho_a^i \psi^{i,\pm}$. Moreover, the commutation relation of $\psi^{i,\pm}$ reads:

$$\{\psi^{i,+}, \psi^{i,-}\} = K_{E_i} - K_{E_i}^{-1}, \quad (3.11)$$

We can rewrite the element K_{E_i} in the following way:

$$K_{E_i} = \prod_j K_{E_j}^{\sum \rho_{ia} \tilde{\tau}^a_j + \sum \tilde{\rho}_{i\alpha} \tau^{\alpha}_j} = \prod_j K_{E_j}^{\sum \rho_{ia} \tilde{\tau}^a_j}. \quad (3.12) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:KErelation}}$$

Here the first equality follows from the identity $\rho \tilde{\tau} + \tilde{\rho} \tau = \text{Id}_n$ and the second equality follows from the fact that $\prod_i K_{E_i}^{\tau_{i\alpha}} = 1$ in U . Therefore, if we define $E_a = \sum \tilde{\tau}_{ai} E^i$ and $K_{E_a} := \prod_j K_{E_j}^{\tilde{\tau}^a_j}$, then the above becomes:

$$\{\psi^{i,+}, \psi^{i,-}\} = \prod_a K_{E_a}^{\rho_{ia}} - 1, \quad (3.13)$$

exactly the relation of U_{ρ}^E . What we have constructed is an algebra homomorphism $\varphi_{\rho} : U_{\rho}^E \rightarrow U$. To show that this is an isomorphism, we only need to show that this is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Let C_{ρ} be the subalgebra generated by N_a, E_a and $K_{N_a}^{\pm}, K_{E_a}^{\pm}$. Clearly the restriction of φ_{ρ} to C_{ρ} is an isomorphism. Now by PBW theorem, both U_{ρ}^E and U are isomorphic, as a module over C_{ρ} , to the tensor product

$C_\rho \otimes \wedge(V \oplus V^*)$, and therefore φ_ρ is an isomorphism.

It is clear that most of the Hopf structure can be induced from U_1^E to U_ρ^E . The only non-trivial part is the R matrix. Note that the R matrix in $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$ is given by:

$$R = \prod_i e^{2\pi i N_i \otimes E_i} \prod_i (1 - \psi_+^i \otimes K_{E_i}^{-1} \psi_-^i). \quad (3.14)$$

Using equation (3.12) and the definition of K_{E_a} , the second term becomes:

$$\prod_i (1 - \psi_+^i \otimes K_{E_i}^{-1} \psi_-^i) = \prod_i \left(1 - \psi_+^i \otimes \left(\prod_a K_{E_a}^{-\rho_{ia}} \psi_-^i \right) \right) \quad (3.15)$$

For the first term, note that the summation $\sum N_i \otimes E_i$ is a summation of dual vectors, and therefore does not change under a change of basis. Consequently, we have an equality:

$$\sum_i N_i \otimes E_i = \sum_a N_a \otimes E_a + \sum_\alpha N_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha. \quad (3.16)$$

Here we define $E_\alpha = \sum \tau_{i\alpha} E^i$ and $N_\alpha = \sum \tilde{\rho}_{i\alpha} N^i$. This is because $\{N_a, N_\alpha\}$ above form a basis of \mathfrak{g} whose dual basis is $\{E_a, E_\alpha\}$. Now in the quotient \overline{U} , N_α acts semi-simply with integer eigenvalues, therefore $e^{2\pi i N_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha} = (1 \otimes K_{E_\alpha})^{n_\alpha}$ which is 1 since $K_{E_\alpha} = 1$ in \overline{U} . We find, in the sub-quotient, the following R matrix:

$$\overline{R} := e^{2\pi i \sum_a N_a \otimes E_a} \prod_i \left(1 - \psi_+^i \otimes \left(\prod_a K_{E_a}^{-\rho_{ia}} \psi_-^i \right) \right). \quad (3.17)$$

This is precisely the R matrix we give in Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 3.5. *This proposition should be compared to Proposition 2.9, both of which we will call the un-gauging, following physics motivation [BCDN23]. In Section 6, we will use these to extend the equivalence of Theorem 1.1 from $\rho = 1$ to general ρ .*

3.2 An Abelian Equivalence Between U_ρ^E -Mod and KL_ρ

In this section we prove the following result.

(Prop:equivab)

Proposition 3.6. *There is an equivalence of abelian categories:*

$$U_\rho^E\text{-Mod} \simeq KL_\rho. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. First, let ν be so that $\sum \rho_{ia}\nu^a = 0$ or $\sum \rho_{ia}\nu^a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any i . Then we see that $KL_{\rho,\nu} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu$. We now show that there is an equivalence:

$$U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}_\nu \simeq \mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu. \quad (3.19)$$

Here $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}_\nu$ is the sub-category where the action of E_a has generalized eigenvalue ν_a . The idea is that the analytic function $f(x) = \frac{e^{2\pi ix} - 1}{x}$ has an inverse away from any non-zero integer x , which we call g . Namely $g(x)f(x) = 1$ for any $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or $x = 0$. We now consider the following assignments

$$\Psi_+^i := \psi_+^i, \quad \Psi_-^i := g\left(\sum \rho_{ia}E^a\right)\psi_-^i. \quad (3.20)$$

Here to define $g(\sum \rho_{ia}E^a)$ we use a Taylor expansion of g around $\sum \rho_{ia}\nu^a$ which is well-defined by the assumption on ν and that $\sum \rho_{ia}(E^a - \nu^a)$ is nilpotent. The new generators satisfy the relation:

$$\{\Psi_+^i, \Psi_-^i\} = \sum \rho_{ia}E^a \quad (3.21)$$

and therefore together with N_a, E_a generates an action of \mathfrak{g}_ρ . This induces an equivalence between $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}_\nu$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\rho\text{-Mod}_\nu$.

To finish the proof, we recall that for any λ with $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there is an equivalence $KL_\nu \simeq KL_{\nu+\lambda}$. We are done once we show the same is true for $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}$. This is clear because of the following automorphism of U_ρ^E :

$$N_a \mapsto N_a - \mu_a, \quad E_a \mapsto E_a - \lambda_a, \quad \psi_\pm^i \mapsto \psi_\pm^i. \quad (3.22)$$

This is an automorphism thanks to the fact that $e^{2\pi i \sum_a \rho_{ia}\lambda^a} = 1$.

□

4 Free-field Realizations and Relative Drinfeld Centers

Following [CLR21, CLR23], one important ingredient of relating modules of VOA and modules of quantum groups is through free-field realizations. In this section, we review the relevant part of this strategy.

4.1 Commutative Algebra Objects in Braided Tensor Categories

4.1.1 Tensor Categories Arising from Commutative Algebra Objects

Let V be a (super)VOA and \mathcal{U} a rigid braided tensor category of generalized V modules. Let W be a VOA containing V , such that as a module of V , W belong to \mathcal{U} . Following [HKL14, CKL15, Theorem 3.13], we can think of W as defining a commutative (super) algebra object A in \mathcal{U} , such that the intertwining operator of W gives the multiplication map $m : A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \rightarrow A$, locality of the intertwining operator gives rise to the commutativity $mc = m$ where $c : A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A$ is the braiding, and Jacobi identity gives rise to the associativity of m .

In this section, we will work under the general setting of \mathcal{U} being a braided tensor category and A a commutative (super)algebra object. We will comment on the parallel to VOA theory when needed. Given such an algebra object internal to \mathcal{U} , one can consider $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U})$, the category of A modules in \mathcal{U} , and the full-subcategory $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{U})$, the category of local A modules. We give the definitions here. See [CKM17, Definition 2.29]. If we are considering a superVOA $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ whose even subalgebra is V_0 and whose odd part is V_1 , then V corresponds to a commutative superalgebra and in this case the multiplication m satisfies $mc = (-1)^F m$ with $(-1)^F$ being the identity on V_0 and minus the identity on V_1 .

Definition 4.1. *An object in $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U})$ consists of an object $M \in \mathcal{U}$, together with a morphism $a : A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram commute (in this diagram $a_{A,A,M}$ is the associativity):*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes a} & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \\
 \downarrow a_{A,A,M} & & \downarrow a \\
 (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & & \\
 \downarrow m \otimes 1 & & \\
 A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & \xrightarrow{a} & M
 \end{array} \tag{4.1} \quad \boxed{\text{eq:assocA}}$$

This commutative diagram translates to the graphical calculus as

$$(4.2)$$

A morphism between A modules M and N is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{U} such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & \xrightarrow{a_M} & M \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes f & & \downarrow f \\
 A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N & \xrightarrow{a_N} & N
 \end{array}
 \quad (4.3)$$

that is graphically

$$(4.4) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:af=fagraph}}$$

The category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{U})$ is the full subcategory of $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U})$ whose objects M are such that the following composition is equal to a .

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{c_{A,M}} M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \xrightarrow{c_{M,A}} A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{a} M
 \quad (4.5)$$

Namely $ac^2 = a$. Graphically

$$(4.6)$$

Remark 4.2. In the following, when no confusion could occur, we will write $A\text{-Mod}$ and $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$, and dropping the (\mathcal{U}) .

Specializing to the case when \mathcal{U} is the category of modules of a vertex algebra V such that A defines a VOA extension W , then if one traces through the definition, an object in $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U})$ is a module M of V , together with an intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_M : W \otimes M \rightarrow M\{z\}[\log z]$ such that \mathcal{Y}_M satisfies the following:

$$\mathcal{Y}_M(w_1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}_M(w_2, z_2)m = \mathcal{Y}_M(Y_W(w_1, z_1 - z_2)w_2, z_2)m. \quad (4.7)$$

This \mathcal{Y}_M corresponds to the module map $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \rightarrow M$ and the above equation is the associativity of the action. The category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{U})$ consists of those object where \mathcal{Y}_M is a map valued in $M((z))$ (since this requires that $\mathcal{Y}_M(w, e^{2\pi i}z) = \mathcal{Y}_M(w, z)$). Put it simply, objects in the category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{U})$ are generalized modules of W . In fact, we have the following statement (see [CKL15, Theorem 3.14]).

(Prop: AModWMod)

Proposition 4.3. *The category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{U})$ is the full subcategory of all generalized W modules who, viewed as a module of V , lies in \mathcal{U} .*

The following is a useful lemma that will become important later on.

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be in $A\text{-Mod}$. Then the following action of A :*

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{c_{A,M}} M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \xrightarrow{c_{M,A}} A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{a} M \quad (4.8)$$

which we denote by ac^2 , defines another A module structure on M . We call the resulting module M_c . The assignment $M \mapsto M_c$ defines an endo-functor on $A\text{-Mod}$.

Proof. This proposition follows from the following diagrammatic argument.

Diagrammatic equation (4.9) consists of five diagrams connected by equals signs. Each diagram has three vertical strands labeled A , A , and M at the top. The bottom strand is labeled M .
 - The first diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled ac^2 is on the blue strand, and another box labeled ac^2 is on the red strand. Both strands then cross over the black M strand, with boxes labeled ac^2 on each.
 - The second diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled a is on the blue strand, and another box labeled a is on the red strand. Both strands then cross over the black M strand, with boxes labeled a on each.
 - The third diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled a is on the blue strand, and another box labeled a is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 - The fourth diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 - The fifth diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 The label (4.9) is on the right side.

The first equality is the definition, the second one naturality of braiding, the third one that M is an A -module, the fourth one that A is supercommutative. This agrees with the composition of the multiplication of A and ac^2 :

Diagrammatic equation (4.10) consists of five diagrams connected by equals signs. Each diagram has three vertical strands labeled A , A , and M at the top. The bottom strand is labeled M .
 - The first diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. Both strands then cross over the black M strand, with a box labeled ac^2 on each.
 - The second diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. Both strands then cross over the black M strand, with a box labeled a on each.
 - The third diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 - The fourth diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 - The fifth diagram shows the red A strand crossing over the blue A strand. A box labeled m is on the blue strand, and another box labeled m is on the red strand. The red strand then crosses over the black M strand, with a box labeled a .
 The label (4.10) is on the right side.

Here the first equality is the definition, while all others are using only naturality of braiding. In all these diagrams, associativity isomorphisms are omitted since they don't present obstruction to the equalities of the diagrams.

To show that $M \rightarrow M_c$ is an endo-functor, we need to show that if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a

morphism, then $f : M_c \rightarrow N_c$ is a morphism. We have:

$$(4.11)$$

Here the first equality follows from the fact that f is a morphism of A modules (equation (4.4)), and the second follows from naturality of braiding. \square

Remark 4.5. *If the category involved is the category of modules of a vertex algebra with tensor structure given by logarithmic intertwining operators, then an easy proof can be given as follows.*

If $\mathcal{Y}_M(-, z)$ denotes the logarithmic intertwining operator defining a , then by definition, the logarithmic intertwining operator defining ac^2 is $\mathcal{Y}_M(-, e^{2\pi i} z)$. By Jacobi-identity of \mathcal{Y}_M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_M(w_1, e^{2\pi i} z_1) \mathcal{Y}_M(w_2, e^{2\pi i} z_2) m &= \mathcal{Y}_M(Y_W(w_1, e^{2\pi i} z_1 - e^{2\pi i} z_2) w_2, e^{2\pi i} z_2) m \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_M(Y_W(w_1, z_1 - z_2) w_2, e^{2\pi i} z_2) m, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where the last equality follows from the locality of Y_W . This shows that ac^2 satisfies associativity, namely diagram (4.1).

Many useful results about $A\text{-Mod}$ and $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ are proven in [CKM17] and [CLR23], which we will recall here. See [CKM17, Theorem 2.53, Theorem 2.55].

(Prop:FSAMod)

Proposition 4.6. *The following statements are true.*

1. *The category $A\text{-Mod}$ is a tensor category, such that $M \otimes_A N$ is the co-equalizer of the following map:*

$$(A \otimes_U M) \otimes_U N \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_M \otimes 1} \\ \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes a_N) \circ a_{M,A,N}^{-1} \circ c_{A,M}} \end{array} M \otimes_U N \quad (4.13)$$

2. The category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is a braided tensor category, where the braiding $M \otimes_A N \rightarrow N \otimes_A M$ is given by the descent of $c_{M,N} : M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N \rightarrow N \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ to the quotient.
3. The above braiding makes sense even when say M is not local, as long as N is local.

The third point deserves a little more attention. We first recall the definition of Drinfeld center. See the book [EGNO15, Section 7.13] for more details.

Definition 4.7. Let \mathcal{B} be a tensor category. The **Drinfeld center** $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ is the category whose objects are pairs $(M, c_{*,M})$ where $M \in \mathcal{B}$ and $c_{*,M}$ is a natural isomorphism:

$$c_{N,M} : N \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} N \quad (4.14)$$

satisfying the hexagon axiom, which is easiest depicted using the following diagram:

Morphisms between $(M, c_{*,M})$ and $(N, c_{*,N})$ are morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that the following diagram commute for all $P \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} M & \xrightarrow{c_{P,M}} & M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} P \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes f & & \downarrow f \otimes 1 \\ P \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} N & \xrightarrow{c_{P,N}} & N \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} P \end{array} \quad (4.15)$$

The category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ is always a braided tensor category.

Definition 4.8. Let \mathcal{B} be a tensor category and \mathcal{C} a braided tensor category. We say that \mathcal{B} is \mathcal{C} -central if there is a faithful braided tensor functor $\mathcal{F} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$, where $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the category \mathcal{C} with opposite braiding (namely c^{-1} instead of c).

The third point of Proposition 4.6 could be rephrased into the following:

Proposition 4.9. The tensor category $A\text{-Mod}$ is $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ -central.

4.1.2 Induction Functor and Properties

(subsubsec:Ind)

In this section, we review the relation between \mathcal{U} and $A\text{-Mod}$. The relation is through a pair of adjunctions. These functors are also covered in [CKM17, Section 2.7] and in particular there it is shown that they respect the vertex algebra structure.

Definition 4.10. *The forgetful functor $\text{forget}_A : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ has a left adjoint, given by Ind_A , which is given on objects by:*

$$\text{Ind}_A(M) := A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \quad (4.16)$$

such that the action morphism is given by the action of A on itself. It is in fact a tensor functor ([KJO02, Theorem 1.6]).

A nice consequence of having such an adjoint pair is that every object $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ is the surjective image of $\text{Ind}_A(\text{forget}_A(M))$ where the morphism $\text{Ind}_A(\text{forget}_A(M)) \rightarrow M$ is given by multiplication map:

$$\text{Ind}_A(\text{forget}_A(M)) \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \text{forget}_A(M) \rightarrow M. \quad (4.17)$$

We will mostly omit the clumsy $\text{forget}_A(M)$ to make the notations simpler. One way we will use this is to understand how much a module $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ fails to be local. Recall the module M_c , it is clear that M is a local module if and only if the identity map $M_c \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{U} is a morphism of $A\text{-Mod}$. Since $\text{forget}_A(M_c) = \text{forget}_A(M)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. *There is an A module homomorphism:*

$$\text{Ind}_A(M) \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} M \oplus M_c \quad (4.18)$$

Moreover, M is local if and only if $\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2) \subseteq \Delta(M)$ where $\Delta : M \rightarrow M \oplus M_c$ is the diagonal morphism (which is a morphism in \mathcal{U}).

Proof. Since $\text{forget}_A(M) \cong \text{forget}_A(M_c)$, both a and ac^2 define A module homomorphisms from $\text{Ind}_A(M)$ to M and M_c respectively. M is local precisely when $a = ac^2$ and that is true when the image of $a \oplus ac^2$ is contained in the diagonal. \square

Remark 4.12. *Note that since $\mathbb{1}$ embeds into A and since we assumed that \mathcal{U} is rigid, as an object in \mathcal{U} , $\text{Ind}_A(M)$ contains $\mathbb{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$. Moreover, the following diagram commutes*

(as a diagram in \mathcal{U}):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Ind}_A(M) & \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} & M \oplus M_c \\
\uparrow & \nearrow \Delta & \\
1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & &
\end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

Therefore $\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2)$ as an object in \mathcal{U} always contains $\Delta(M)$. In fact one can show that $\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2)$ is the A submodule of $M \oplus M_c$ generated by $\Delta(M)$.

This has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.13. *The quotient $(M \oplus M_c)/(\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2))$ is local.*

Proof. Let $M_1 = (M \oplus 0) \cap (\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2))$, then since $(\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2))$ contains the diagonal, there is an isomorphism $M/M_1 \cong (M \oplus M_c)/(\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2))$. We have the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M_1 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M/M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow a \oplus ac^2 & & \downarrow a \oplus ac^2 & & \downarrow a \oplus ac^2 & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 \oplus M_{1,c} & \longrightarrow & M \oplus M_c & \longrightarrow & (M/M_1) \oplus (M/M_1)_c & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \overline{M}_1 & & \overline{M} & & \overline{M/M_1} & &
\end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

Here the overlined modules are the cokernels of the vertical arrows. The short exact sequences above induce a long-exact sequence on the kernel and co-kernels, and in particular we have an exact sequence:

$$\overline{M}_1 \longrightarrow \overline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{M/M_1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.21}$$

Now since $M_1 \oplus M_{1,c}$ is contained in the image of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ under $a \oplus ac^2$ (since this image contains $M_1 \oplus 0$ and $\Delta(M)$), the morphism $\overline{M}_1 \rightarrow \overline{M}$ is zero, and therefore the morphism $\overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M/M_1}$ is an isomorphism. Note that $\overline{M} = (M \oplus M_c)/\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2) = M/M_1$, this means that $M/M_1 \cong \overline{M/M_1}$. Since the image of $a \oplus ac^2$ contains at least the diagonal, this implying that the image of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M/M_1$ under $a \oplus ac^2$ is equal to the diagonal and M/M_1 is local. \square

The above shows that any object in $A\text{-Mod}$ has a canonical sub-object (the module M_1) such that M/M_1 is local. If one can show that M_1 is always strictly smaller than

M , then one can construct a filtration for any object such that the associated graded is a local module. We will use this in Section 5.5.

Another consequence of the induction functor is the following.

Proposition 4.14 ([CLR23] Lemma 2.8 & Theorem 3.7). *The tensor functor $\text{Ind}_A : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ extends to a braided tensor functor:*

$$\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A\text{-Mod}). \quad (4.22)$$

We will not go into detail, but there are natural transformations $b_{*,M}$ fitting into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & N \otimes_A \text{Ind}_A(M) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(N \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & & \\
\downarrow c_{A,N}^{-1} \otimes \text{id} & & \\
(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & & \\
\downarrow & & \\
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (N \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) & & \\
\downarrow \text{Id} \otimes c_{N,M} & & \\
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (M \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N) & & \\
\downarrow & & \\
(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Ind}_A(M) \otimes_A N
\end{array} \quad (4.23)$$

In the above diagram, the arrows without labels are associativity, the morphism η is the natural quotient map. The functor Ind_A together with the natural transformation $b_{*,M}$ defines the functor from \mathcal{U} to $\mathcal{Z}(A\text{-Mod})$. The work of [CLR23] establishes more than this, and it shows in fact that the image of \mathcal{U} naturally commutes with the image of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ in $\mathcal{Z}(A\text{-Mod})$. We turn to this in the following section.

4.2 Relative Drinfeld Center from Commutative Algebra Object

We start with the following definition.

Definition 4.15. *Let \mathcal{B} be \mathcal{C} -central tensor category. The \mathcal{C} -relative Drinfeld center*

of \mathcal{B} is defined as the centralizer of \mathcal{C} in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{B}) := \{X \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}) \mid c_{\mathcal{F}(M), X} \circ c_{X, \mathcal{F}(M)} = \text{Id}, \forall M \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (4.24)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.7 of [CLR23] can be stated as follows.

$\langle \text{Prop:SrelativeC} \rangle$

Proposition 4.16. *The functor $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A\text{-Mod})$ naturally enhances to a functor (which is denoted by the same symbol):*

$$\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod}). \quad (4.25)$$

Moreover, under a list of conditions, the above functor is fully-faithful. The conditions were listed in [CLR23] Assumption 3.3, and we list them here for completeness.

$\langle \text{AspCat} \rangle$

Assumption 4.17.

1. *The category \mathcal{U} is rigid, locally finite and has trivial Müger center. Moreover, any object in $\mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}$ is a quotient of an object of the form $M \boxtimes N$ for $M, N \in \mathcal{U}$.*
2. *A is haploid, namely that $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, A) = \mathbb{C}$.*
3. *The category $A\text{-Mod}$ and $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ are both rigid.*

Here the Müger center of a BTC \mathcal{U} is the subcategory of objects M such that $c_{M, N} c_{N, M}$ is identity for all $N \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that assumption 1 also implies that any object in $\mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}$ is a sub-object of an object of the form $M \boxtimes N$, by taking duals. As stated, the following is true.

Theorem 4.18 ([CLR23] Theorem 3.7). *Under the assumptions in Assumption 4.17, the functor \mathcal{S} in Proposition 4.16 is fully-faithful.*

It was also shown in *loc.cit* that when the category \mathcal{U} has enough projectives then one can in fact show that \mathcal{S} is an equivalence of abelian categories. Unfortunately the categories we consider in this paper (namely KL_ρ) do not have projective objects. Nevertheless, this functor allows us to relate the original category \mathcal{U} , which is the category of modules of V , to a relative Drinfeld center. The next step of seeking quantum group corresponding to V is to look for quantum groups corresponding to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ and $A\text{-Mod}$. We will see in next section that in the special case at hand, there are natural (quasi-triangular) Hopf algebra candidates. Before we move on, we will show that the category

KL_ρ satisfies Assumption 4.17, except for the rigidity of $A\text{-Mod}$ and $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$, which will follow from the discussions in the next section. We specify $\mathcal{U} = KL_\rho$, and denote by A the algebra object defined by the free-field VOA \mathcal{V}_Z .

(Prop:aspKL)

Proposition 4.19. *The category KL_ρ is rigid, locally finite and has trivial Müger center. And moreover, any object in $KL_\rho \boxtimes KL_\rho$ is a quotient of an object of the form $M \boxtimes N$. The commutative algebra object A is haploid.*

Proof. By [CMY22b, Theorem 4.2.3], we see that KL_1 is rigid. By [BN22, Proposition 3.2], KL_1 is locally finite since the category of finite-dimensional modules of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ is locally finite. It was shown in [BCDN23] (as recalled in Section 2.4 and later Section 6) that KL_ρ is a de-equivariantization of $KL_1^{\boxtimes n}$, and therefore it is rigid and locally finite. We now prove that it has trivial Müger center. Let M be an object in the Müger center of KL_ρ , namely for all N the monodromy $N \otimes M \rightarrow N \otimes M$ is identity. By Corollary 2.7, the monodromy:

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{KL_\rho} M \longrightarrow \sigma_{\lambda,\mu} V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes_{KL_\rho} M \quad (4.26)$$

is given by $\text{Id} \otimes \exp(2\pi i(\sum \lambda_a N_0^a + (\mu - \rho^\top \rho \lambda)_a E_0^a))$. This being trivial implies that $E_0^a = 0$ on M and N_0^a acts semi-simply such that $\sum n_a \lambda^a \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all λ with $\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By Proposition 2.4, any simple such module is of the form $\sigma_{0,\mu} V$ for μ such that $\mu \cdot \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, in order for monodromy on $N \otimes_{KL_\rho} \sigma_{0,\mu} V$ to be trivial, by the same calculation, we must have $\exp(\sum \mu_a E_0^a) = 1$ on N for any object N , which implies that $\mu_a = 0$. Therefore for any object to be in the Müger center, any simple composition factor of M has to be $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$, the identity. Any extension between $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$ with itself will have a non-semi-simple action of N_0^a or E_0^a , and therefore can't be in the Müger center, this shows that any object in the center is a direct sum of identity.

It is easy to see that A is haploid, as it is indecomposable and has a unique submodule. To finish the proof, we comment that by [McR23, Theorem 1.1], the category $KL_\rho \boxtimes KL_\rho$ is equivalent to the category of finite-length, grading restricted generalized modules of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)^{\otimes 2}$. Let P be such a module, then P is generated by a finite set of elements p_1, \dots, p_m . For each p_i , acting by the first factor generates a module M_i of KL_ρ , and acting by the second factor generates a module N_i of KL_ρ . Frobenius reciprocity then implies that there exists a map:

$$\bigoplus_i M_i \otimes N_i \rightarrow P \quad (4.27)$$

which is clearly a surjection. This completes the proof. □

In the next section, we introduce the Hopf algebra candidates corresponding to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ and $A\text{-Mod}$, which leads to a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra candidate for $\mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod})$.

5 Yetter-Drinfeld Modules and Quantum Groups

5.1 Modules of Nichols Algebra

subsec:NicholsMod)?

5.1.1 From Screening Operators to Nichols Algebra

Continuing from last section, we would like to find Hopf algebras corresponding to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ and $A\text{-Mod}$. Recall Proposition 4.3 implies that the category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is simply the category of modules of the VOA W (which in the case we are interested in, is just the free-field algebra \mathcal{V}_Z) that viewed as a module of V lies in \mathcal{U} (KL_ρ in our examples). The representation category of modules of the VOA \mathcal{V}_Z is easily seen to have a Hopf algebra realization with a very simple R matrix. Let C_ρ be the commutative Hopf algebra generated by X_a, Y_a , together with the ordinary symmetric co-product, and the following R matrix:

$$R = \exp \left(2\pi i \sum_a X_a \otimes Y_a \right). \quad (5.1)$$

The following is evident.

Proposition 5.1. *There is an equivalence of braided tensor categories:*

$$A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \simeq C_\rho\text{-Mod}. \quad (5.2)$$

The category $A\text{-Mod}$ is more complicated, as it is not described by modules of a VOA. However, in the situation at hand, we know more than the fact that $V \rightarrow W$ is a map of vertex algebras. In the case at hand, $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_Z$ is defined by the kernel of a set of screening operators $S_i = \oint \exp(Z_i - \sum \rho_{ia} Y^a)$. These satisfy relations:

$$\{S_i, S_j\} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

It seems like a coincidence that these relations are identical to the relations satisfied by ψ_-^i , and that the commutation relation between X_0^a, Y_0^a with S_i also coincides with the commutation relation between N_a, E_a and ψ_-^i . In fact, it is not a coincidence, and the algebra these screening operators generate is called *Nichols algebra*. Let us now introduce this algebra.

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided tensor category, and M an object in \mathcal{C} . Denote by $\mathcal{T}(M)$ the tensor algebra generated by M , namely:

$$\mathcal{T}(M) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M^{\otimes n}, \quad (5.4)$$

with the understanding that $M^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{1}$. This has the structure of an \mathbb{N} -graded bi-algebra object in \mathcal{C} whose co-product is given on M by the diagonal map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes M \oplus M \otimes \mathbb{1}$, the unit given by the embedding of $\mathbb{1}$ to $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and the co-unit given by zero on $M^{\otimes n}$ for $n > 0$. It is a Hopf algebra whose antipode is -1 on M . The **Nichols algebra** is defined as the universal \mathbb{N} -graded bi-algebra quotient of $\mathcal{T}(M)$.

Definition 5.2. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is the minimal quotient of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ by graded ideals contained in $\mathcal{T}(M)_{\geq 2}$. Namely it is defined as a quotient bi-algebra of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ such that for any other \mathbb{N} -graded surjective bi-algebra morphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, whose composition with $M \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M)$ gives an injective map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists a bi-algebra morphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M)$ making the following diagram commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{B}(M) \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

Alternatively, one can define Nichols algebra as the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(M)$ by the kernel of the *quantum symmetrizer* map, which is a lift of the total symmetrizer from the symmetric group to the braid group. Moreover, it turns out that it is automatically a Hopf algebra quotient of $\mathcal{T}(M)$. It is difficult in general to understand the structure of Nichols algebra, but things become simpler when we assume that braiding on M has very restricted form. In the following, we give examples of a Nichols algebra. In these examples, M will be a direct sum of simple objects and the braiding will be a specific form. We mention that in the case $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}_\Gamma$ where Γ is a vector space with a non-degenerate bilinear form, finite-dimensional Nichols algebra is classified in [Hec09].

⟨Exp: p⟩

Example 5.3. Let J be a simple current in \mathcal{C} with inverse J^{-1} . Assume that the braiding acting on $J \otimes J = J^2$ is given by (-1) , then $\mathcal{B}(J) \cong 1 \oplus J$ where the product is trivial on $J^{\otimes 2}$. This simple example is what is relevant to this paper.

More generally, if the braiding on $J \otimes J$ is given by $e^{\pi i/p}$ for some prime p , then $\mathcal{B}(J) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < p} J^{\otimes n}$ such that $J^p = 0$. This example is relevant to the singlet algebra $V = M(p)$.

(Exp: diagonal)

Example 5.4. [[CLR23], Example 5.2] Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra, with Cartan matrix \mathfrak{h} and Killing form $(-, -)$. The category Vect_Γ where $\Gamma = \mathfrak{h}^*$ and braiding $q^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ defines a braided tensor category for $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a choice of simple roots and $X = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}\alpha_i$. Then $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the quantum Borel part of the small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$. This is called “diagonal braiding”.

It was first observed in [ST12] that for $V = M(p)$ the singlet VOA, and the free field realization $V \rightarrow H_\phi$ into the Heisenberg VOA, the screening operator defining V satisfies Nichols algebra relation, which in this case is $S^p = 0$ and $[\phi_0, S] = S$ (see example 5.3). The algebra generated by ϕ_0 and S is precisely the Borel sub-algebra B_q of the unrolled restricted quantum group $\overline{U}_q^H(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ at $q = e^{\pi i/p}$. It is expected that the quantum group corresponding to $M(p)$ is this quantum group, and therefore the authors of *loc.cit* conjectured that there is an equivalence of tensor categories:

$$B_q\text{-Mod} \simeq A\text{-Mod} \tag{5.6}$$

compatible with the action of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \simeq C_q\text{-Mod}$. Here A is the algebra object defined by H_ϕ in the category of $M(p)$ modules, and C_q is the Cartan of $\overline{U}_q^H(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$. In general, let W be a VOA containing Heisenberg fields α_i , and let $S_i = \text{Res}(e^{\alpha_i})$, then [Len21] shows that S_i satisfies Nichols algebra relation of $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}\alpha_i$. Moreover, in [FL22] the authors classified all finite-dimensional Nichols algebra coming from diagonal braiding (namely examples from Example 5.4). Assume now that W decompose into integer eigenvalues of α_i , and define $M = \bigoplus_i \sigma_{\alpha_i} W$ such that $\sigma_{\alpha_i} W$ has diagonal braiding and defines a finite-dimensional Nichols algebra. It is expected that the following conjecture is true

(Conj: Nichols)

Conjecture 5.5. Let $V = \bigcap_i \text{Ker}(S_i)$. Let A be the algebra object defined by W in the category of V modules, and let $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the Nichols algebra of M in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$. There is an equivalence of tensor categories:

$$\mathcal{B}(M)\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}) \simeq A\text{-Mod} \tag{5.7}$$

compatible with the action of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$.

The work [ST13] gives evidence of this conjecture by giving an explicit logarithmic realization of $\mathcal{B}(M)$ coming from singlet [CMY20b, CMY22a] and triplet algebras [TW12]. For singlets $M(p)$, if one restrict to the category of weighted modules, this conjecture is proven in [CLR23, Theorem 8.9]. In this case, $M = \mathcal{F}_{-\frac{2}{p}\phi}$, and the screening operator satisfies that $S^p = 0$.

(Thm:MpUq)

Theorem 5.6 ([CLR23] Theorem 8.9). *Conjecture 5.5 is true for $M(p) \rightarrow H_\phi$ and the category of weighted modules of $M(p)$.*

Although this work only proved the conjecture for this specific example, we will review the strategy here since many of the steps are applicable to our situation. Before doing so, let us give a simple example where it is easy to prove such a conjecture.

Example 5.7. *Let $V = V_{\chi_\pm}$, the symplectic fermion VOA, which is a sub-VOA of $W = V_{bc}$, the free fermion VOA. The image of $V \rightarrow W$ is identified with the kernel of the screening operator $S = \oint dz b(z) : V_{bc} \rightarrow \Pi V_{bc}$, where ΠV_{bc} is the super-shift of V_{bc} . The kernel is generated by $\chi_+ = b(z)$ and $\chi_- = \partial c(z)$. Let \mathcal{U} be the BTC of V modules, and A the algebra object defined by W . It is clear that $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} = W\text{-Mod}$ is the category of super vector spaces whose braiding is give by $(-1)^{F \otimes F}$ where F is the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ grading. Consider the category $A\text{-Mod}$. An object $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ is a module of V_{χ_\pm} , together with an intertwining operator:*

$$\mathcal{Y} : W \otimes M \longrightarrow M\{z\}[\log z] \quad (5.8)$$

such that $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_2, z_2) = \mathcal{Y}(Y_W(w_1, z_1 - z_2)w_2, z_2)$, and that the restriction of \mathcal{Y} to V is the action of V on M . Let $b(z) := \mathcal{Y}(b_{-1}, z)$ and $c(z) := \mathcal{Y}(c_0, z)$. By assumption, $b(z)$ acts locally, namely it is a Laurent series. The field $c(z)$ is not necessarily local, but its derivatives is, since the derivative acts as χ_- , namely:

$$\partial c(z) = \chi_-(z). \quad (5.9)$$

Let us write $c(z) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} c_k(z)(\log z)^k$ with $c_k(z)$ given by Laurent series (which is forced by the conformal weights). The above equations then imply that:

$$\partial c_k(z) + (k+1)\frac{c_{k+1}(z)}{z} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n, \quad \partial c_0(z) + \frac{c_1(z)}{z} = \chi_-, \quad \partial c_n(z) = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Moreover, the OPE with $b(z)$ implies:

$$b(z)c_0(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad b(z)c_k(w) \sim 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1. \quad (5.11)$$

The most general solution of $\partial c_n(z) = 0$ is $c_n(z) = C$, where C is a matrix of M that anti-commutes with $b(z)$. If $n > 1$, then the next step is the equation $\partial c_{n-1}(z) + n\frac{C}{z} = 0$, which has no solution unless $C = 0$. Therefore the only possibility is that $n = 1$ or $C = 0$. Consider $n = 1$, then we find the equation:

$$\partial c_0(z) + \frac{C}{z} = \chi_-, \quad (5.12)$$

In order for this to have a solution, we must have $\chi_{-,0} = C$. The OPE of c will then imply that $c_0(z)c_0(w) = 0$, $c_0(z)$ commutes with C and moreover $C^2 = 0$. In particular, $b(z)$ and $c_0(z)$ generates a local action of V_{bc} . What we see here is that an object in $A\text{-Mod}$ is nothing but a local module of V_{bc} , together with a degree 1 matrix $C : M \rightarrow M$ such that $C^2 = 0$ and C commutes with the action of V_{bc} . It is not difficult to recognize that such an M is nothing but a module of the algebra object $\mathfrak{N} = \mathbb{1} \oplus \Pi\mathbb{1}$ in $W\text{-Mod}$, where $\Pi\mathbb{1}$ is the super-shift of $\mathbb{1}$ and is nilpotent in the algebra \mathfrak{N} . This turns out to be the Nichols algebra of $\Pi\mathbb{1}$.

5.1.2 From Abelian Equivalence to Tensor Equivalence

(subsubsec: ABtoTen)

The idea of [CLR23] is that if one knows the abelian category of $A\text{-Mod}$ as well as $\mathcal{B}(M)\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}})$ well-enough, then one can uniquely fix a tensor equivalence between them.

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided tensor category, and \mathfrak{N} an algebra object in \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{D} be a \mathcal{C} -central tensor category. If one can understand $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ and \mathcal{D} as abelian categories, and obtain an equivalence of abelian categories $\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$, then it is tempting to say that \mathfrak{N} is a Hopf algebra so that the above is an equivalence of tensor categories. This is not always the case, but it is when there is a *split tensor functor*.

Definition 5.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided tensor category and \mathcal{D} a \mathcal{C} -central category. A split tensor functor is a functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that is a tensor functor and the composition $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is identity functor.*

We have the following statement.

(Prop:Split)

Proposition 5.9 ([CLR23] Lemma 4.12). *Let (\mathcal{C}, c) be a braided tensor category and \mathcal{D} a \mathcal{C} -central category with $(\iota, c') : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$. Assume that there is a split tensor functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\epsilon(c') = c^{-1}$.*

Suppose \mathfrak{N} is an algebra object in \mathcal{C} . The category $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ is an abelian category with forgetful functor $\epsilon' : \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. There is also a right \mathcal{C} -module category structure on $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ such that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N$ is the \mathfrak{N} module via the action on the left on M .

Suppose there is an abelian equivalence $F : \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, together with a natural isomorphism of functors $\eta : \epsilon \circ F \rightarrow \epsilon'$, such that F preserves the right \mathcal{C} module structure in the sense that there are natural isomorphisms:

$$F_{M,N}^T : F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) \cong F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \iota(N) \quad (5.13)$$

for objects $M \in \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ and $N \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume also that F induces trivial right module structure on \mathcal{C} in the sense that the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon(F(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N)) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon(F_{M,N}^T)} & \epsilon(F(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \iota(N)) \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \epsilon_{F(M), \iota(N)} \\ \epsilon'(M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N) & & \epsilon(F(M)) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \epsilon(\iota(N)) \\ \downarrow \epsilon'_{M,N} & & \downarrow \eta \\ \epsilon'(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N & \xrightarrow{=} & \epsilon'(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N \end{array} \quad (5.14)$$

In this, M is an object in $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ and $N \in \mathcal{C}$, $\epsilon_{F(M), \iota(N)}$ (resp $\epsilon'_{M,N}$) is the natural tensor isomorphism of tensor functors.

Under these conditions, the algebra \mathfrak{N} has a bi-algebra structure in \mathcal{C} , such that F is an equivalence of tensor categories. If both \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are rigid, then \mathfrak{N} has the structure of a Hopf algebra such that F is an equivalence of rigid tensor categories.

Namely, if one could produce a tensor functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that behaves like a forgetful functor, such that its composition with the abelian equivalence $F : \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the forgetful functor, then \mathfrak{N} has a bi-algebra structure. Of course, since the co-product is defined by transportation of structure from \mathcal{D} , it is not easy to really understand the co-product explicitly. However, in the case when \mathfrak{N} is isomorphic to a Nichols algebra with diagonal braiding, then it is possible to uniquely fix the co-product. To make it more precise, let us introduce the notions involved here.

Definition 5.10. *Let Γ be an abelian group with a quadratic form Q , determining a*

braided tensor category $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}_\Gamma$. Let $M = \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\alpha}_n}$, and let $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the Nichols algebra of M . This algebra is \mathbb{N}^n graded and is generated by elements in degree $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{B}(M)) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ all the degrees appearing in the support. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is also Γ graded and there is a map $\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ mapping α to $\bar{\alpha} \in \Gamma$.

We say that $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is sufficiently unrolled if $\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma}$ implies $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{B}(M))$.

When we know that the algebra object $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is sufficiently unrolled, then the grading fixes the Hopf algebra structure uniquely.

(Prop:sufunroll)

Proposition 5.11 ([CLR23] Lemma 5.6). *Let \mathfrak{N} be a bi-algebra object in Vect_Γ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(M)$ as an object. If $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is sufficiently unrolled, then there is an isomorphism of bi-algebras $\mathcal{B}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$.*

Proof. We can view M as a sub-object of \mathfrak{N} . The Γ grading on the algebra and co-algebra structure on \mathfrak{N} lifts to \mathbb{N}^n grading, thanks to the sufficiently unrolled condition. This fixes the co-product on \mathfrak{N} on the generators M to be given by:

$$\Delta(m_k) = m_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes m_k \tag{5.15}$$

as it is the only part in $\mathfrak{N} \otimes \mathfrak{N}$ of the same \mathbb{N}^n degree. Now the embedding $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ lifts to a map of algebras $\varphi : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$, and by the above formula, it is automatically a map of \mathbb{N} -graded bi-algebras. Therefore, there is a surjective map from the image of φ to $\mathcal{B}(M)$, due to the universal property. Now because $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} as objects, by grading constraints, the image of φ must be the entire \mathfrak{N} and therefore $\mathcal{B}(M)$ and \mathfrak{N} are isomorphic as bi-algebras. □

Remark 5.12. *The above also implies that \mathfrak{N} will have an antipode, since $\mathcal{B}(M)$ does. Therefore the above is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras.*

Remark 5.13. *Although the above is stated for objects in Vect_Γ , it applies to a general braided tensor category \mathcal{C} , as long as M is a direct sum of simple modules whose fusion product generates a category equivalent to Vect_Γ .*

Proposition 5.9 and Proposition 5.11 implies that if one can obtain an abelian equivalence $A\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathcal{B}(M)\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}})$, together with a split tensor functor satisfying Proposition 5.9, such that M and $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is sufficiently unrolled, then this

equivalence is one of tensor equivalence, and moreover, $A\text{-Mod}$ is rigid. This was used in [CLR23] to prove Theorem 5.6. The proof of *loc.cit* does not apply to our situation, since the category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ in their case is semi-simple (as only weighted modules of H_ϕ is considered), and therefore it is easy to produce a split tensor functor. In Section 5.5, we extend their proof in a very simple case of diagonal braiding (-1) , for which we construct this split tensor functor.

5.2 Relative Drinfeld Center as Yetter-Drinfeld Modules

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided tensor category and \mathfrak{N} a Hopf algebra object over \mathcal{C} . We have seen that $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ is a \mathcal{C} -central category, and it makes sense to consider $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod})$. This has a concrete realization as a category of objects in \mathcal{C} that is both a module and a comodule of \mathfrak{N} such that the structures are compatible. We first recall the following definition.

Definition 5.14 ([EGNO15] Section 7.15). *An $\mathfrak{N}\text{-}\mathfrak{N}$ -Yetter-Drinfeld module X in \mathcal{C} is an object in \mathcal{C} that is a module of \mathfrak{N} and a co-module of \mathfrak{N} , namely multiplication map $m_X : \mathfrak{N} \otimes X \rightarrow X$ and co-multiplication map $\delta_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{N} \otimes X$, such that the following compatibility conditions hold:*

All $\mathfrak{N}\text{-}\mathfrak{N}$ -Yetter-Drinfeld modules form a category which we denote by ${}^{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$, whose morphisms between objects are given by \mathfrak{N} module and co-module morphisms. It is a tensor category with tensor product given by the usual module and co-module tensor product. This category admits a braiding given by:

$$c_{(X, m_X, \delta_X), (Y, m_Y, \delta_Y)} = (m_Y \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{N}} \otimes c_{X, Y}) \circ (\delta_X \otimes \text{id}_Y) \quad (5.16) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:braidYD}}$$

between objects (X, m_X, δ_X) and (Y, m_Y, δ_Y) . The braiding is invertible if \mathfrak{N} has a bijective antipode. If \mathcal{C} is rigid, then ${}^{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$ is rigid, where the dual of an object is its dual in \mathcal{C} with action and co-action induced from the antipode of \mathfrak{N} . This is summarized in the following statement, proven in [Bes95]:

Theorem 5.15. *The category ${}^{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$ is a braided monoidal category. If \mathcal{C} is rigid, then so is ${}^{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C})$.*

The relation between Yetter-Drinfeld modules and relative center is given by the following:

Proposition 5.16 ([Lau20] Proposition 3.36). *Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{C})$, then there is an equivalence of braided tensor categories:*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq {}^{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C}). \quad (5.17)$$

In the case when \mathcal{C} is the category of modules for a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra C , the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is the same as the category of modules of a relative Drinfeld double. Roughly speaking, the category $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ is equivalent to the category of modules for the algebra $B := C \rtimes \mathfrak{N}$, where we view \mathfrak{N} as an algebra with an action of C , and form the semi-direct product. Co-modules of \mathfrak{N} are modules of the algebra $B' := C \rtimes \mathfrak{N}^*$, where \mathfrak{N}^* is the dual of \mathfrak{N} . The compatibility condition implies that the action of \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}^* commutes to the same relation in the Drinfeld double, and that the action of C in B and B' must agree. We can therefore form the crossed product:

$$\tilde{U} := B \bowtie B', \quad U := \tilde{U}/C. \quad (5.18)$$

From definition, one sees that:

$${}^{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq U\text{-Mod} \quad (5.19)$$

as braided tensor categories. Here the braiding on the RHS comes from the pairing between \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}^* and the R matrix of C (see for example [EGNO15, Section 7.14]). When C is the group algebra of an abelian group, in particular when $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}_{\Gamma}$, the work of [CLR23] gives the following explicit realization of U .

(Lem:HopfReal)

Lemma 5.17 ([CLR23] Lemma 6.8). *Let C be a commutative co-commutative Hopf algebra, whose weight lattice is an abelian group Γ , such that simple modules of C are objects in Vect_{Γ} . Let $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the Nichols algebra of an object $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_i}$ for $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, whose generators are denoted by $\{x_i\}$. Assume that there are group-like elements in C , called g_i, \bar{g}_i such that $c_{\gamma_i, N} = g_i|_N$ and $c_{N, \gamma_i} = \bar{g}_i|_N$.*

Define an algebra U generated by C and x_i, x_i^ with the following commutation*

relation:

$$gx_i = \gamma_i(g^{(1)})x_i g^{(2)}, \quad gx_i^* = \gamma_i(Sg^{(1)})x_i^* g^{(2)}, \quad x_i x_j^* - \gamma_j(g_i)x_j^* x_i = \delta_{ij}(1 - \bar{g}_i g_i). \quad (5.20)$$

Here $g^{(1)}$ and $g^{(2)}$ are so that $\Delta(g) = g^{(1)} \otimes g^{(2)}$, and S is the antipode in C . Extending the co-product on x_i, x_i^* by:

$$\Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i, \quad \Delta(x_i^*) = \bar{g}_i \otimes x_i^* + x_i^* \otimes 1, \quad (5.21)$$

and antipode by:

$$S(x_i) = -g_i^{-1}x_i, \quad S(x_i^*) = -\bar{g}_i^{-1}x_i^*. \quad (5.22)$$

Then the above makes U into a Hopf algebra, and there is an R matrix defined in some algebraic closure of U making the category of U -modules a braided tensor category. Moreover, we have an equivalence of braided tensor categories:

$$U\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D}), \quad (5.23)$$

where $\mathcal{C} = C\text{-Mod}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(M)\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 5.18. One can read-off the R matrix in this situation from equation (5.16). Let $\{v_k\}$ be a basis for $\mathcal{B}(M)$ and $\{v_k^*\}$ be a dual basis. Each of v_k has a weight under C given by given by combinations of γ_i , and there are elements g_k, \bar{g}_k such that $c_{v_k, M} = g_k|_M$ and $c_{M, v_k} = \bar{g}_k|_M$. Tracing through the definition, the R matrix is given by the following:

$$R = \sum_k R_C (R_C^{-1} (1 \otimes v_k) R_C) (v_k^* \otimes 1) = \sum_k R_C (\bar{g}_k^{-1} v_k^* \otimes v_k) \quad (5.24)$$

where R_C is the R -matrix for the algebra C . Here we used the fact that $R_C^{-1}(1 \otimes v_k)R_C = \bar{g}_k^{-1} \otimes v_k$.

5.3 The Case of \mathfrak{g}_ρ

(subsec:grho)

In this section, we consider the explicit quantum group for \mathfrak{g}_ρ obtained from the relative double construction, and show that it coincides with the quantum group U_ρ^E . In this case, the category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is equivalent to the category of modules of the commutative co-commutative Hopf algebra $C_\rho = C[X_a, Y_a]$, with R matrix $R = e^{2\pi i \sum_a X_a \otimes Y_a}$. The module corresponding to the screening operators S_i is the module $\bigoplus_i \Pi C_i$, where Π is

parity shift and \mathbb{C}_i is the one-dimensional module of C_ρ where X_a acts as $-\rho_{ia}$ and Y_a as zero. The algebra object $\mathfrak{N} = \mathcal{B}(\bigoplus_i \Pi\mathbb{C}_i)$ is the exterior algebra generated by $\Pi\mathbb{C}_i$. According to Lemma 5.17, we choose elements g_i and \bar{g}_i so that the braiding

$$c_{\mathbb{C}_i, N} : \Pi\mathbb{C}_i \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes \Pi\mathbb{C}_i, \quad c_{N, \mathbb{C}_i} : N \otimes \Pi\mathbb{C}_i \rightarrow \Pi\mathbb{C}_i \otimes N \quad (5.25)$$

are given by $c_{\mathbb{C}_i, N} = \tau \circ g_i|_N$ and $c_{N, \mathbb{C}_i} = \tau \circ \bar{g}_i|_N$. Using the explicit R matrix, the braiding $c_{\mathbb{C}_i, N}$ is given by $e^{-2\pi i \sum_a \rho_{ia} Y_a}$ and therefore we choose $g_i = e^{-2\pi i \sum_a \rho_{ia} Y_a}$, whereas the braiding $c_{N, \mathbb{C}_i} = 1$ and therefore $\bar{g}_i = 1$.

The algebra U will be generated over C_ρ by odd elements x_\pm^i , such that:

$$[X_a, x_\pm^i] = \pm \rho_{ia} x_\pm^i, \quad [Y_a, x_\pm^i] = 0, \quad \{x_+^i, x_-^i\} = 1 - e^{-2\pi i \sum_a \rho_{ia} Y_a}. \quad (5.26)$$

This is the algebra relation defining U_ρ^E , via the identification:

$$X_a \mapsto N_a, \quad Y_a \mapsto E_a, \quad x_+^i \mapsto \psi_+^i, \quad g_i^{-1} x_-^i \mapsto \psi_-^i. \quad (5.27)$$

The co-product is given by:

$$\Delta(x_-^i) = x_-^i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_-^i, \quad \Delta(x_+^i) = x_+^i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_+^i. \quad (5.28)$$

The antipode is $S(x_-^i) = -g_i^{-1} x_-^i$ and $S(x_+^i) = -x_+^i$. The Hopf algebra structure coincides with the one defined in Theorem 3.2, under the above identification. The R matrix is given by:

$$R = e^{2\pi i \sum_a X_a \otimes Y_a} \prod_i (1 - x_+^i \otimes x_-^i) = e^{2\pi i \sum_a N_a \otimes E_a} \prod_i (1 - \psi_+^i \otimes g_i \psi_-^i), \quad (5.29)$$

matching the one in Theorem 3.2.

Remark 5.19. *Note that when $\rho = 1$, this is simply the structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$. The quasi-triangular structure is well-known, and can be found in [Bab21] (for $q = e^{\hbar}$ for a formal variable \hbar).*

We must comment on the condition of sufficient-unrolledness. In the above example, the support of $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is precisely the subset of \mathbb{N}^n given by:

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{B}(M)) = \{(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mid a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\}. \quad (5.30)$$

The weight lattice Γ of C_ρ is $\mathbb{Z}_X^r \times \mathbb{Z}_Y^r$, and the map from $\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ is given by:

$$\mathbb{N}^n \xrightarrow{\rho^\top \oplus 0} \mathbb{Z}_X^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_Y^r. \quad (5.31)$$

One then sees that for general ρ , this won't map $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{B}(M))$ injectively into Γ . This minor issue doesn't affect the fact that the above gives a quasi-triangular Hopf structure of U_ρ^E , but it does affect the validity of the proof strategy, since it relies on sufficiently-unrolled condition to guarantee the uniqueness of the Hopf structure. This is the reason why in the following, we will use the above strategy only for $\rho = 1$, since this satisfies sufficiently-unrolled condition. We will derive the main statement for general ρ using ungauging.

5.4 Outline of the Proof Strategy

Let us pause and recall what we recalled so far. We see that there is a fully-faithful functor $KL_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod})$, and that if the Nichols algebra defining $A\text{-Mod}$ is sufficiently unrolled, then one simply needs a split tensor functor and an abelian equivalence between $A\text{-Mod}$ and $B_\rho\text{-Mod}$ to produce a tensor equivalence. If this is achieved, then the category $\mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod})$ is equivalent to $U_\rho^E\text{-Mod}$ as a braided tensor category. Here the problem is that the Nichols algebra defining B_ρ is not sufficiently unrolled in general. It is, however, for $\rho = 1$. In this section, we will run this proof program for $\rho = 1$, and prove Theorem 1.1 for this example (namely $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$). For general ρ , we will utilize the un-gauging relation of Proposition 2.9 and 3.4 to prove the theorem for general ρ (Section 6). Recall that in the case $\rho = 1$, the embedding $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_Z^1 = H_{X,Y} \otimes V_{bc}$ defines an algebra object A in KL_1 , such that $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is simply modules of the commutative algebra $C = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$. The screening operator S is valued in the object $\Pi\mathcal{F}_{-Y} \otimes V_{bc}$, which is precisely the module $\Pi C_{-1,0}$. The proof will be done in the following steps:

1. We have seen in Proposition 4.19 that $KL_1, A\text{-Mod}$ and $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ satisfies the assumptions in Assumption 4.17 except the rigidity of $A\text{-Mod}$.
2. We have seen that $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \simeq C\text{-Mod}$. Let \mathfrak{N} be the Nichols algebra of $\Pi C_{-1,0}$. We will show that $A\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ as tensor categories. In fact we will prove a general statement in Theorem 5.21, which applies directly to $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ and \mathcal{V}_Z^1 .
3. This step will also imply that $A\text{-Mod}$ is rigid, and therefore we have a fully-faithful

functor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} : KL_1 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod}) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{C\text{-Mod}}(\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}) \simeq \\ &\simeq {}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(C\text{-Mod}) \simeq U_1^E\text{-Mod} \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

4. Since we already know that KL_1 is equivalent to $U_1^E\text{-Mod}$ as an abelian category, and that U_1^E is finite-dimensional over C , by Corollary 3.13 of [CLR23], we see that \mathcal{S} is an equivalence.

The main difficulty above is of course the equivalence $A\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$. We present the proof of this in the next section.

5.5 Realization of $A\text{-Mod}$ as Modules of a Nichols Algebra

(sec:AModNMod)

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and W a vertex operator algebra containing V . Assume the following assumptions.

1. There is a rigid braided tensor category of V modules \mathcal{U} , such that V is a simple object and W defines an indecomposable algebra object in V which we call A . Moreover, every object in \mathcal{U} is of finite length.
2. The category $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is a rigid braided tensor category such that A is simple.
3. There exists a Heisenberg generator $\partial\varphi \in W$ of level 1 generating a \mathbb{C}^\times action on W , with which one can define spectral flow σ_φ via Li's delta operator [Li97]. Assume that all objects in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ can be decomposed into generalized eigenvectors of φ_0 .
4. Assume that $V = \text{Ker}(S)$ where $S = \text{Res}_{z=0} \exp(\varphi(z))$. Consequently, there is an exact sequence $\sigma_\varphi^n W \rightarrow \sigma_\varphi^{n+1} W \rightarrow \sigma_\varphi^{n+2} W$. Denote by $\sigma_\varphi^n V$ the corresponding kernel in $\sigma_\varphi^n W$. Assume that this is a set of simple currents with no fixed-points in \mathcal{U} .
5. Assume the existence of another Heisenberg field $\partial\psi$ generating a $U(1)$ action on W such that $\partial\psi\partial\varphi \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$. Moreover, assume that ψ_0 acts trivially on W . Also assume that all objects in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ decompose into generalized eigenvalues of ψ_0 .
6. Assume that the category \mathcal{U} has a filtration by full abelian subcategories $\mathcal{U}^{\leq n}$ such that each $\mathcal{U}^{\leq n}$ has enough projective objects.

With these assumptions, it is clear that as an object in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$, the spectral flow $\sigma_\varphi W$ has a diagonal braiding with itself. Namely the braiding:

$$c : \sigma_\varphi W \otimes_W \sigma_\varphi W \rightarrow \sigma_\varphi W \otimes_W \sigma_\varphi W \quad (5.33)$$

is given by (-1) . This follows simply from the definition of Li's delta operator [Li97]. Therefore, from the classification of Nichol's algebra of diagonal type, we can easily see the following.

Corollary 5.20. *Let $\mathcal{B}(\sigma_\varphi W)$ be the Nichols algebra of $\sigma_\varphi W$ in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$, then it is isomorphic to $W \oplus \sigma_\varphi W$ where the multiplication is trivial on $\sigma_\varphi W$. We denote this algebra by \mathfrak{N} .*

In this slightly more general set-up, we prove the following statement, which is the conjectural relation between screening operators and Nichols algebras.

(Thm : AmodNmod)

Theorem 5.21. *There is an equivalence of tensor categories:*

$$A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U}) \simeq \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}). \quad (5.34)$$

We will then show that $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)) \hookrightarrow W$ satisfies these assumptions, which allows us to conclude that $A\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(C\text{-Mod})$. We prove Theorem 5.21 in the following steps:

1. We construct a canonical filtration on $A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U})$ (will be denoted by $A\text{-Mod}$ in the following) whose associated graded is in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$. We show that this upgrades to a faithful functor ϵ to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$.
2. We show that the functor ϵ upgrades to a fully-faithful functor \mathcal{E} to $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ by showing that every object has a canonical action of \mathfrak{N} .
3. We show that the functor \mathcal{E} is surjective by constructing a pre-image of \mathfrak{N} .
4. We then conclude that \mathcal{E} is an abelian equivalence. Now by Proposition 5.9 and Lemma 5.6 of [CLR23], we conclude that this equivalence must be one of tensor categories.

Step 1. In this step, we need to construct a canonical filtration on objects of $A\text{-Mod}$. Let M be an object in $A\text{-Mod}$, recall the twisted module M_c from Section 4.1.2, namely

the object whose underlying V module is still M but the action of A becomes:

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M_c \xrightarrow{c^2} A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M_c \xrightarrow{a} M_c \quad (5.35)$$

Recall we also considered the following morphism of A modules in Section 4.1.2:

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} M \oplus M_c \quad (5.36) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:a+ac}^2}$$

such that the cokernel of this map is always local. Our first step is to see that under the assumptions we have in this section, the cokernel is always non-zero.

Lemma 5.22. *The image $\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2)$ is strictly smaller than $M \oplus M_c$.*

Proof. Obviously the restriction of $a \oplus ac^2$ to $V \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \cong M$ is the diagonal morphism. Quotienting by $V \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ and its image, we have an induced morphism (in \mathcal{U}) on the quotient:

$$(A/V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} M \oplus M_c / (\Delta(M)) \cong M \quad (5.37)$$

Assumption 4 shows that $A/V \cong \sigma_{\varphi} V$, and therefore $(A/V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \cong \sigma_{\varphi}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$. We denote this by $\sigma_{\varphi} M$. **Assumption 4** also guarantees that $\sigma_{\varphi} M$ and M are not isomorphic, and since they have equal number of composition factors (as $\sigma_{\varphi} V$ is a simple current), there can be no surjection from $\sigma_{\varphi} M$ to M . □

Remark 5.23. *The module $\sigma_{\varphi} M \cong \sigma_{\varphi}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ is an object in \mathcal{U} , but it also has the structure of an A module via the identification:*

$$\sigma_{\varphi}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \cong \sigma_{\varphi}(A) \otimes_A M, \quad (5.38)$$

where the latter (as an object in \mathcal{U}) is a quotient of $\sigma_{\varphi}(A) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ by the image of the two A -actions maps (see Proposition 4.6).

By this lemma and **Assumption 1**, which implies that objects in $A\text{-Mod}$ have finite length, we see that all objects are equipped with a filtration such that the associated graded is local. In particular, all simple objects are local. This has the following consequence:

$\langle \text{cor:exact} \rangle$

Corollary 5.24. *Tensor product over $A\text{-Mod}$ is exact.*

Proof. This follows from [KL94, Lemma A.6] and the corollary thereafter. This lemma is stated for semi-rigid categories, but the proof applies to a general tensor category. Indeed, Lemma A.6 of loc.cit shows that in a tensor category \mathcal{B} where every object is a quotient of a rigid object, then if $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence and Z is flat, then $0 \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} X \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Y \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Z \rightarrow 0$ is exact for all M . Since any object in $A\text{-Mod}$ is a quotient of an induced module, which is rigid (since \mathcal{U} is rigid), this is satisfied. As a consequence, in Corollary following A.6, it is shown that if X and Z are flat then so is Y . This together with finite-length property and the fact that simple objects in $A\text{-Mod}$ are rigid, shows that all objects in $A\text{-Mod}$ are flat, which means that tensor product is exact. \square

One would like the split tensor functor to be the associated graded of such a filtration. This is not good enough though, since taking associated graded is usually not an exact functor. We will use the action of ψ_0 to define generalized eigenspaces. To do so however, we need to understand the A module $A \otimes M$.

(Lem:Kera) **Lemma 5.25.** *Let $K = \text{Ker}(a)$ where $a : A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \rightarrow M$. Then $K \cong \sigma_{\varphi} M$ as an object in $A\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. The object $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A$ carries two actions of A , one on the left and one on the right. For any object M in $A\text{-Mod}$, we have that $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M = (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A) \otimes_{A_R} M$, using the right action, and the A module structure uses the left action A_L . The multiplication morphism $m : A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of both left and right A modules, and its kernel K_m therefore is a module of $A_L \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A_R$. As a module of A_R , it is identified with $\sigma_{\varphi} V \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \cong \sigma_{\varphi} A$ since we have the following short exact sequence of A_R modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \longrightarrow \sigma_{\varphi}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.39)$$

We claim that the left and right action must agree. Indeed, this follows from the fact that as objects in \mathcal{U} there is an identification $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \sigma_{\varphi} A \cong \sigma_{\varphi} A \oplus \sigma_{\varphi}^2 A$, and therefore the hom space:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \sigma_{\varphi} A, \sigma_{\varphi} A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\sigma_{\varphi} A, \sigma_{\varphi} A) \quad (5.40)$$

is one-dimensional. There is only one morphism whose restriction to $V \otimes \sigma_{\varphi} A$ is identity. Clearly, the A module K is isomorphic to $K_m \otimes_{A_R} M$, and since left and right action on K_m agrees, we see that this kernel is $\sigma_{\varphi} M$ as a module of A . This completes the proof. \square

Looking at the morphism in equation (5.36), we see that the module $M_{1,c}$ is precisely the image of $K \cong \sigma_\varphi M$ under ac^2 . We would now like to introduce generalized eigenvalues of ψ_0 . This is dangerous however, since the action of $\psi(z)$ on a logarithmic module M is not a Laurent series. The solution, as in [Len21], is to define $\psi_0 := \text{Res}(\psi(z))$ using the lift of a unit circle to the entire Riemann sphere of logarithmic functions. Therefore, in principle, the image of ψ_0 is not M , but the algebraic closure of M . However, we have seen that every M comes equipped with a filtration such that the associated graded are local, and therefore ψ_0 restricted to the local pieces are valued in M , and moreover has generalized eigenvalues according to **Assumption 5**. Consequently, ψ_0 has generalized eigenvalues in M since it does in all the local sub-quotients.

Let us now decompose M into generalized eigenspaces of ψ_0 :

$$M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} M_\alpha. \quad (5.41)$$

If M is a local module, then by **Assumption 5**, this would be a decomposition of M into A submodules, since a local A module is identified with a module of the mode algebra of W , and the OPE guarantees that ψ_0 commutes with everything in the mode algebra. In general, we would like to show that this decomposition gives a filtration of A modules. We start with the following statement.

(Lem:ExtAmod)

Lemma 5.26. *Let M and N be two local A modules, such that the generalized eigenvalue of ψ_0 is α and β respectively. Let P be an A module fitting into the exact sequence:*

$$M \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow N \quad (5.42)$$

Then either $\beta = \alpha - 1$ or P is local.

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sigma_\varphi P & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} P & \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} & P \oplus P_c \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \Delta \uparrow \\ \sigma_\varphi M & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & \xrightarrow{a} & M \end{array} \quad (5.43)$$

If P is not local, then this induces a non-trivial morphism $\sigma_\varphi P \rightarrow P_c$. Moreover by definition, this map is trivial on the submodule $\sigma_\varphi M$ since M is local. This means that we must have a morphism from $\sigma_\varphi N \rightarrow P_c$. Note that the generalized eigenvalue of $\sigma_\varphi N$ is $\beta + 1$, and having a nontrivial map between them would imply that $\beta + 1 = \alpha$.

□

This lemma shows that in order to build a non-local module of A from local ones, the eigenvalues of ψ_0 must differ by one from top to bottom. In fact, we have the following corollary.

<Cor:Extnonint>

Corollary 5.27. *Let M, N be two objects in $A\text{-Mod}$ such that the generalized eigenvalues of ψ_0 on M and N differ by a non-integer, then $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = 0$.*

Proof. If M and N are local, then this is Lemma 5.26. Let us now assume N is local and M fits into a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/M_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.44)$$

We apply induction on the length of M , and assume that we have proven the statement for M_1 and M/M_1 . We now have a long exact sequence of extension groups:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M_1, N) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (5.45)$$

therefore if the two Ext groups are trivial for M/M_1 and M_1 then it is trivial for M . We can now induce on the length of N as well and the proof goes in the same way. □

We now give a partial order on \mathbb{C} by declaring that $\alpha < \alpha + 1$. We define $M_{[\geq \alpha]} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_{\alpha+n}$. By finite-length property, for each M we can find a finite set of minimal eigenvalues α_i such that:

$$M = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_{\alpha_i+n}. \quad (5.46) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:eigdecom}}$$

Moreover, this is a finite direct sum of vector spaces. If M is local, then this gives a decomposition of M into sub-modules. Using Lemma 5.26 and Corollary 5.27, we can show the following.

Proposition 5.28. *Each $M_{[\geq \alpha]}$ is a submodule of M .*

Proof. Let us first show that each $M_{[\geq \alpha_i]}$ is a W submodule. We induce on the length of M . It is true when M is local. Assume now that M fits into an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/M_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.47)$$

such that M/M_1 is local, and that we have proven the statement for M_1 . This obviously leads to an exact sequence of vector spaces:

$$0 \longrightarrow (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha_i]} \longrightarrow M_{[\geq \alpha_i]} \longrightarrow (M/M_1)_{[\geq \alpha_i]} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.48)$$

To show that $M_{[\geq \alpha_i]}$ is a submodule, we only need to show that there is no non-trivial extension between $(M/M_1)_{[\geq \alpha_i]}$ and $(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha_j]}$ for $j \neq i$. This is true thanks to Corollary 5.27.

We can now assume the generalized eigenvalue of ψ_0 is contained in $[\geq \alpha]$. We show $M_{[\geq \alpha+n]}$ is a submodule for all n . Again we use induction on the length of M . It is true if M is local. Suppose now that M_1 is the minimal submodule such that M/M_1 is local, and assume that we have proven the statement for M_1 , and assume that M/M_1 has a decomposition:

$$M/M_1 = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (M/M_1)_{\alpha+k}. \quad (5.49)$$

By choosing a pre-image of $(M/M_1)_{\alpha+k}$ we may assume that M/M_1 has a single generalized eigenvalue $\alpha + k$. For $n > k$, it is clear that $M_{[\geq \alpha+n]} = (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+n]}$, and these are submodules of M . When $n < k$, note that M defines an extension between M_1 and M/M_1 , and also that there is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]} \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_1/(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.50)$$

By Corollary 5.27, $\text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, M_1/(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}) = 0$ since the module $M_1/(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}$ has generalized eigenvalues smaller than $\alpha+k$. From the long exact sequence of extension groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, M_1) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, M_1/(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}) = 0 \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

we see that the class of extension $[M] \in \text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, M_1)$ must have a pre-image in $\text{Ext}^1(M/M_1, (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]})$. Therefore the extension M is determined by an extension between M/M_1 and $(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}$, which we denote by N . In other words, M must be

given by the following quotient diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow (M_1)_{\geq \alpha+k} \longrightarrow M_1 \oplus N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.52)$$

Here the first map is the diagonal embedding of $(M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+k]}$ into M_1 and N . For each $n \leq k$, we have $N_{[\geq \alpha+n]} = N$ and therefore is a submodule of N . Consequently, $M_{[\geq \alpha+n]}$ is a quotient of $N_{[\geq \alpha+n]} \oplus (M_1)_{[\geq \alpha+n]}$ and therefore is a submodule of M . This completes the proof. \square

The proof of the above shows that any $M \in A\text{-Mod}$ comes equipped with a filtration $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_n = 0$ such that:

$$M_n = \bigoplus_i M_{[\geq \alpha_i+n]}. \quad (5.53) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:filtern}}$$

Moreover, it is clear from the proof that the associated graded are all local modules. We now use this to construct the tensor functor ϵ .

Proposition 5.29. *There is a faithful and exact tensor functor:*

$$\epsilon : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}. \quad (5.54)$$

Proof. We define $\epsilon(M)$ to be the associated graded according to the filtration in equation (5.53). It is a faithful and exact functor because any A module homomorphism must preserve generalized eigenvalues of ψ_0 . We now show that it is a tensor functor. Let M and N be objects in $A\text{-Mod}$, assume that M has generalized eigenvalues $[\geq \alpha]$ under ψ_0 and N has generalized eigenvalues $[\geq \beta]$ under ψ_0 . The filtration on M and N gives rise to a filtration on $M \otimes_A N$, given by:

$$F_k(M \otimes_A N) = \sum_{i+j=k} F_i(M) \otimes_A F_j(N) \quad (5.55)$$

thanks to exactness of tensor product in $A\text{-Mod}$ (Corollary 5.24). Therefore, there is a surjective morphism:

$$\sum_{i+j=k} \text{Gr}_i(M) \otimes_A \text{Gr}_j(N) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(M \otimes_A N). \quad (5.56)$$

Since the lefthandside has generalized eigenvalue $\alpha + \beta + k$, and therefore the righthand-

side also has this generalized eigenvalue. Since there is a unique filtration on $M \otimes_A N$ such that each associated graded piece has different generalized eigenvalue contained in $[\geq (\alpha + \beta)]$, the above must be this filtration. Taking associated graded, we have constructed a functorial map:

$$\epsilon(M) \otimes_A \epsilon(N) \rightarrow \epsilon(M \otimes_A N). \quad (5.57)$$

We now show that this is an isomorphism. It is clearly an isomorphism when N is local, and for general N , we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M) \otimes_A \epsilon(N_1) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M) \otimes_A \epsilon(N) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M) \otimes_A \epsilon(N/N_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M \otimes_A N_1) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M \otimes_A N) & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(M \otimes_A (N/N_1)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5.58)$$

By snake lemma, if the first and third vertical arrows are isomorphisms, then so is the second one.

Finally, to show that this is a tensor functor, we must show that the ‘‘monoidal structural axiom’’ (see [EGNO15, Definition 2.4.1]) for ϵ and the isomorphism $\epsilon(M \otimes_A N) \cong \epsilon(M) \otimes_A \epsilon(N)$. This will follow from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F_i(M) \otimes_A F_j(N)) \otimes_A F_k(P) & \xrightarrow{a_{M,N,P}} & F_i(M) \otimes_A (F_j(N) \otimes_A F_k(P)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_{i+j}(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_A F_k(P) & & F_i(M) \otimes_A F_{j+k}(N \otimes_A P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_{i+j+k}((M \otimes_A N) \otimes_A P) & \xrightarrow{a_{M,N,P}} & F_{i+j+k}(M \otimes_A (N \otimes_A P)) \end{array} \quad (5.59)$$

whose commutativity follows from the naturality of $a_{M,N,P}$ and the definition of the filtration and the vertical maps. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.30. *By construction, ϵ is a split tensor functor of the embedding $\iota : A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ since if a module is local then equation (5.46) gives a decomposition of M into objects in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$.*

Step 2. Now we upgrade ϵ to a functor \mathcal{E} into $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}})$. For each M , we denote by \overline{M}_α the associated graded piece of $\epsilon(M)$. As a consequence of Lemma 5.25

and Lemma 5.26, we see that the morphism of A modules:

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M_{[\geq \alpha]} \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} M_{[\geq \alpha]} \oplus M_{[\geq \alpha], c} \quad (5.60)$$

induces a morphism of A modules $\sigma_\varphi M_{[\geq \alpha]} \rightarrow M_{[\geq \alpha], c}$. By degree consideration, and taking associated graded of ϵ , this must induce a map:

$$\sigma_\varphi \overline{M}_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{M}_{\alpha+1}. \quad (5.61)$$

Namely, there is a canonical morphism in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$:

$$\sigma_\varphi(A) \otimes_A \overline{M}_\alpha \xrightarrow{a_{[\alpha]}^{\text{log}}} \overline{M}_{\alpha+1} \quad (5.62)$$

The sum $a^{\text{log}} := \sum_{[\alpha]} a_{[\alpha]}^{\text{log}}$ gives us a morphism:

$$\sigma_\varphi(A) \otimes_A \epsilon(M) \xrightarrow{a^{\text{log}}} \epsilon(M) \quad (5.63)$$

which by definition is the same as the structure of a module of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma_\varphi A)$, the tensor algebra of $\sigma_\varphi A$.

Lemma 5.31. *The assignment $M \mapsto \epsilon(M)$ together with the action of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma_\varphi A)$ is functorial. Namely this gives a functor:*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} : A\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\sigma_\varphi A)\text{-Mod} (A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}). \quad (5.64)$$

Proof. We need to show that if there is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ of A modules, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma_\varphi(A) \otimes_A \overline{M}_{[\alpha]} & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{[\alpha+1]} \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes f_{[\alpha]} & & \downarrow f_{[\alpha+1]} \\ \sigma_\varphi(A) \otimes_A \overline{N}_{[\alpha]} & \longrightarrow & \overline{N}_{[\alpha+1]} \end{array} \quad (5.65)$$

Here $f_{[\alpha]}$ is the restriction of f on $M_{[\alpha]}$. This will then follow from the commutativity

of the diagram (which is commutative because f is a morphism of A modules):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M_{[\geq \alpha]} & \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} & M_{[\geq \alpha]} \oplus M_{[\geq \alpha],c} \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes f & & \downarrow f \\
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} N_{[\geq \alpha]} & \xrightarrow{a \oplus ac^2} & N_{[\geq \alpha]} \oplus N_{[\geq \alpha],c}
\end{array} \tag{5.66}$$

Indeed, taking the kernel of a in the horizontal morphisms, we find that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{\varphi} M_{[\geq \alpha]} & \xrightarrow{ac^2} & M_{[\geq \alpha+1],c} \\
\downarrow 1 \otimes f & & \downarrow f \\
\sigma_{\varphi} N_{[\geq \alpha]} & \xrightarrow{ac^2} & N_{[\geq \alpha+1],c}
\end{array} \tag{5.67}$$

Taking associated graded we get the desired result. \square

We now show that the image lies in the subcategory of \mathfrak{N} modules.

Lemma 5.32. *The morphism:*

$$\sigma_{\varphi}^2(\epsilon(M)) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes a^{log}} \sigma_{\varphi}(\epsilon(M)) \xrightarrow{a^{log}} \epsilon(M) \tag{5.68}$$

is zero. Therefore, the functor $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a functor:

$$\mathcal{E} : A\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} (A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}). \tag{5.69}$$

Proof. We identify $\sigma_{\varphi} M$ with the kernel of a , such that the map $\sigma_{\varphi} M \rightarrow M_c$ is given by ac^2 composed with the embedding $\sigma_{\varphi} M \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes ac^2} & A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \\
\downarrow a_{A,A,M} & & \downarrow ac^2 \\
(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & & \\
\downarrow m \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \\
A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M & \xrightarrow{ac^2} & M
\end{array} \tag{5.70}$$

The commutativity of this diagram follows from the associativity of the action of A on M_c . As a morphism in \mathcal{U} , the morphism $\sigma_{\varphi}^2 M \rightarrow M$ can be identified with the following

morphism:

$$\sigma_\varphi^2 M \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes ac^2} A \otimes M \xrightarrow{ac^2} M \quad (5.71)$$

Here we view $\sigma_\varphi M = \sigma_\varphi A \otimes_A M$ as a submodule of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ (the kernel of a), and the module $\sigma_\varphi^2 M = \sigma_\varphi A \otimes_A \sigma_\varphi M$ as a submodule of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M)$. The commutativity of the above diagram shows that the above composition is equal to the following composition:

$$(\sigma_\varphi A \otimes_A \sigma_\varphi A) \otimes_A M \longrightarrow (A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} A) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1} A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M \xrightarrow{ac^2} M \quad (5.72)$$

However, it is clear that $\sigma_\varphi A \otimes_A \sigma_\varphi A$ is in the kernel of m , and therefore the composition is zero. This completes the proof. \square

We have thus constructed the functor \mathcal{E} . By construction it is faithful, we claim that it is full.

Lemma 5.33. *The functor \mathcal{E} is full.*

Proof. If N is local, then a morphism from $M \rightarrow N$ factors through $\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2)$, and therefore is simply a morphism from $M/\text{Im}(a \oplus ac^2) \rightarrow N$. This is precisely a morphism from $\mathcal{E}(M)$ to N as modules of \mathfrak{R} . Now suppose M, N are all contained in $\mathcal{U}^{\leq n}$ for some n , and therefore there exists a projective object $P \in \mathcal{U}^{\leq n}$ that projects onto M . The induction $A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} P$ also projects onto M in $A\text{-Mod}$. We first show that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} P), \mathcal{E}(N)) = \text{Hom}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} P, N)$. This is true if N is local. We now use induction. Suppose N fits into the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/N_1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.73)$$

then we have the following commutative exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}(A \otimes P, N_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(A \otimes P, N) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(A \otimes P, N/N_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes P), \mathcal{E}(N_1)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes P), \mathcal{E}(N)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes P), \mathcal{E}(N/N_1)) & & \end{array}$$

Projectivity of P ensures that the second horizontal map on the first row is surjective. This together with snake lemma, ensures that if the first and third vertical map is isomorphism, then so is the second vertical map. Therefore, as long as P is projective,

\mathcal{E} is full. Now for any M , we can find resolution $A \otimes P_2 \rightarrow A \otimes P_1 \rightarrow M$, and therefore we have a short exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Hom}(A \otimes P_1, N) & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Hom}(A \otimes P_2, N) \\
& & \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(M), \mathcal{E}(N)) & \xrightarrow{f'} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes P_1), \mathcal{E}(N)) & \xrightarrow{g'} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(A \otimes P_2), \mathcal{E}(N))
\end{array} \quad (5.74)$$

Note that the second and third vertical arrows (b and c) are isomorphism. We show that this implies that the first one is surjective. Let v be an element in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}(M), \mathcal{E}(N))$, then $f'v = b(\tilde{v})$ for some $\tilde{v} \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes P_1, N)$. Since $g'f'v = 0$, we see that $cg\tilde{v} = 0$, and because c is an isomorphism, $g\tilde{v} = 0$. By exactness of the first row, we see that $\tilde{v} = fv'$ for some $v' \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$. Therefore, $f'v = bf'v' = f'av'$. Since f' is injective, $av' = v$ and we are done. \square

Step 3. Now we show that \mathcal{E} is essentially surjective. To do so, we comment that \mathcal{E} is clearly a functor of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ module categories in the sense that there are functorial isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{E}(M \otimes_A \iota(N)) \cong \mathcal{E}(M) \otimes_A N \quad (5.75)$$

for any local module N , where $\iota : A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is the canonical embedding. Since every object in $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}})$ is a quotient of an object $\mathfrak{N} \otimes_A M$ for some local module M , we are done if we can show that \mathfrak{N} is in the image of \mathcal{E} .

Lemma 5.34. *There is an object N in $A\text{-Mod}$ such that $\mathcal{E}(N) \cong \mathfrak{N}$.*

Proof. First, let us comment that \mathfrak{N} is an extension between A and $\sigma_\varphi A$. It is very clear that there is a unique such extension which has a nontrivial action of \mathfrak{N} . We now construct an object N such that $\mathcal{E}(N)$ is a nontrivial extension between the two. Consider the object $N = A \otimes_{\sigma_\varphi} A'$, where A' is the dual of A . Since $\sigma_\varphi A'$ fits in the following exact sequence:

$$\sigma_\varphi V \longrightarrow \sigma_\varphi A' \longrightarrow V \quad (5.76)$$

we see that N fits in the exact sequence:

$$\sigma_\varphi A \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow A \quad (5.77)$$

We claim that this is a non-split extension of A modules. Otherwise, as an object

in \mathcal{U} , $N \cong A \oplus \sigma_\varphi A$. On the other hand, there is an embedding $\sigma_\varphi A' \rightarrow N$, but by indecomposability of A , there is no embedding from $\sigma_\varphi A'$ to $\sigma_\varphi A \oplus A$. Since N is in-decomposable as a module of A , and since A and $\sigma_\varphi A$ has different generalized eigenvalue of ψ_0 , this module must be logarithmic. Consequently, the underlying object in $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ of $\mathcal{E}(N)$ is $A \oplus \sigma_\varphi A$, with a nontrivial action of \mathfrak{N} . By uniqueness mentioned above, we have that $\mathcal{E}(N) = \mathfrak{N}$. \square

Step 4. Let us pause here and conclude what the previous steps have shown. We constructed an equivalence of abelian categories:

$$\mathcal{E} : A\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}) \quad (5.78)$$

such that the composition of \mathcal{E} with the forgetful functor $\epsilon' : \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ is the tensor functor ϵ . Moreover, the equivalence \mathcal{E} is one of module categories of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$. To apply Proposition 5.9, we only need to show that \mathcal{E} induces trivial right module structure on $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$.

Lemma 5.35. *The functor \mathcal{E} induces trivial right module structure on $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ in the sense that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon'(\mathcal{E}(M \otimes_A \iota(N))) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon'(\mathcal{E}_{M,N}^T)} & \epsilon'(\mathcal{E}(M) \otimes_A N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon'_{\mathcal{E}(M),N} \\ \epsilon(M \otimes_A \iota(N)) & & \epsilon'(\mathcal{E}(M)) \otimes_A N \\ \downarrow \epsilon_{M,N} & & \downarrow \eta \\ \epsilon(M) \otimes_A N & \xrightarrow{=} & \epsilon(M) \otimes_A N \end{array} \quad (5.79)$$

Proof. This is a little tautological as soon as one understands the functors involved. The morphism $\epsilon'(\mathcal{E}(M \otimes_A \iota(N))) \rightarrow \epsilon(M) \otimes_A N$ is given by taking associated graded of $M \otimes_A \iota(N)$ and forget about the structure of \mathfrak{N} action. The commutativity simply is because the filtration on $M \otimes_A \iota(N)$ comes from a filtration on M along (since the filtration on $\iota(N)$ is really given by a direct sum decomposition of N , due to its locality). \square

Therefore, the equivalence \mathcal{E} induces a bi-algebra structure on \mathfrak{N} such that \mathcal{E} is an equivalence of tensor categories. Now since the full subcategory of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ generated by $\sigma_\varphi^\pm A$ is equivalent to $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, in which $\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathcal{B}(\Pi\sigma_\varphi A)$ is sufficiently unrolled, by Lemma

5.6 of [CLR23] (as recalled in Section 5.1.2), the bi-algebra structure on \mathfrak{A} is unique and is given by the structure of \mathfrak{A} being a Nichols algebra. We thus obtain Theorem 5.21.

5.6 The Remaining Steps

5.6.1 Specializing to $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ and \mathcal{V}_Z^1

We show that $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ and the free-field algebra \mathcal{V}_Z^1 satisfies the assumptions used in the proof of Theorem 5.21. In this application, the element $\partial\varphi = :bc: - \partial Y$ and $\partial\psi = \partial X$, both are elements in \mathcal{V}_Z^1 . The spectral flowed module $\Pi\sigma_\varphi A$ is precisely $\Pi\mathbb{C}_{-1,0}$ considered in the main example of the previous section. Assumptions 1,2,3,4 and 5 are simple. We now focus on 6. We must show that the category KL_1 has a filtration by full subcategories each with enough projective objects. By Proposition 2.4, we only need to prove this statement for $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}$, the category of finite-dimensional modules of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$.

Proposition 5.36. *The category $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}$ is filtered by full subcategories each of which has enough projective objects.*

Proof. Define $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}^{\leq m}$ to be the full sub-category of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}$ satisfying $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{C}} (N - n)^m = \prod_{e \in \mathbb{C}} (E - e)^m = 0$. This is the same as saying that the Jordan blocks of N and E are all of dimension less than or equal to m . It is clear that $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}^{\leq m}$ is a full abelian subcategory and that every object lies in $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}^{\leq m}$ for some m . We now show that this category has enough projectives. Define $P_{n,e}$ to be the quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ by the left ideal generated by $(N - n)^m, (E - e)^m$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}^{\leq m}$, every object is a quotient of a finite direct sum of $P_{n,e}$. We now show that these objects are projective. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow P_{n,e}$ be a surjection, we need to show that there is a map $f : P_{n,e} \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi \circ f = 1$. Choose a pre-image of $1 \in P_{n,e}$ under π , say v . We may assume WLOG that v has generalized eigenvalue n under N and e under E , since we can always decompose v into generalized eigenvectors and π must respect the generalized eigenvectors. There is always a map $U(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)) \rightarrow M$ mapping 1 to v . Since M is in $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)\text{-Mod}^{\leq m}$, we must have $(N - n)^m v = (E - e)^m v = 0$, and therefore this morphism induces a morphism from $P_{n,e}$ to M , which we call f . Clearly $\pi \circ f = 1$. This shows that $P_{n,e}$ is projective. □

5.6.2 Concluding the Equivalence

In conclusion, we have proven that $A\text{-Mod}(KL_1)$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{A}\text{-Mod}(A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}})$ which is realized by a Hopf algebra $B = C \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\psi_-]$, and therefore, the relative Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}_{A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}}(A\text{-Mod})$ is equivalent to the category of modules of a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra U . We have seen that this U is isomorphic to U_1^E , and therefore we obtain a fully-faithful functor:

$$S : KL_1 \longrightarrow U_1^E\text{-Mod}. \quad (5.80)$$

We claim now that this is an equivalence. Note that by Proposition 3.6, we already know that KL_1 is equivalent to $U_1^E\text{-Mod}$ as an abelian category. By Corollary 3.13 of [CLR23], the functor S is realized as pull-back along an algebra homomorphism $f : U_1^E \rightarrow U_1^E$. Since S clearly is compatible with the functor of restriction to $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}$ in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KL_1 & \xrightarrow{S} & U_1^E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{coInd}_A & & \downarrow \\ A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C\text{-Mod} \end{array} \quad (5.81)$$

the restriction of f to C is identity. Therefore, since U is a free C module of finite dimensions, f must be an isomorphism and therefore S is an equivalence. We have finally finished the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\rho = 1$.

6 Un-gauging Operation and Proof for General ρ

(sec:ungauge)

6.1 Review of Un-gauging Operation for $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$

We will use the $\rho = 1$ case to prove Theorem 1.1 for general ρ . To do so, we rely on the ungauging relation in Proposition 2.9. Recall that this proposition shows that there is a lattice VOA \mathcal{W}_ρ of a self-dual lattice such that the VOA $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho$ is a simple current extension of $V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n}$. It defines a commutative algebra object:

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}} \sigma_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau^\Gamma(\mu) + \tilde{\rho}(\lambda)} V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes n} \quad (6.1)$$

in the ind-completion $\text{Ind}(KL_1^{\boxtimes n})$. The work of [CKM17, CMY20a] shows that one can relate the category of local modules of \mathcal{A}_ρ in $\text{Ind}(KL_1^{\boxtimes n})$ with modules of the VOA

$V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\rho$. More specifically, the category:

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\text{Ind}(KL_1^{\boxtimes n})) \quad (6.2)$$

has the structure of a braided tensor category. Moreover, let $KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]}$ denote the full subcategory of $KL_1^{\boxtimes n}$ whose monodromy with \mathcal{A}_ρ is trivial, then there exists a lifting functor:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho : KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\rho\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}}(\text{Ind}(KL_1^{\boxtimes n})), M \mapsto \mathcal{A}_\rho \otimes M \quad (6.3)$$

which is an exact surjective tensor functor. The following statement is true.

Theorem 6.1 ([BCDN23] Theorem 8.25). *There is an equivalence between the image of $KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]}$ under \mathcal{L}_ρ with KL_ρ . Consequently, there is an equivalence between KL_ρ and the de-equivariantization of $KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]}$ by the lattice of simple modules defining the extension \mathcal{A}_ρ .*

Using Corollary 2.7, one can show that the category $KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]}$ consists of objects in $KL_1^{\boxtimes n}$ where the action of

$$\sum \tau_{\alpha i} E_0^i, \quad \sum \tilde{\rho}_{i\alpha} N_0^i \quad (6.4)$$

are semi-simple with integer eigenvalues. The de-equivariantization by the lattice identifies an object M with $\sigma_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau^\top(\mu) + \tilde{\rho}(\lambda)}(M)$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$. We suggestively write this category as $KL_1^{\boxtimes n, \rho, [0]} / \mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)}$. Since we have an equivalence of BTC $KL_1 \simeq U_1^E\text{-Mod}$, one can ask what algebra these de-equivariantization leads to. We will show in this section that this leads naturally to the quantum group U_ρ^E as a sub-quotient of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$.

6.2 Quantum Group for General ρ and the Physical TQFT

(subsec:QGphys)

Note that we have obtained an equivalence:

$$KL_1 \simeq U_1^E\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{YD}(C\text{-Mod}) \quad (6.5)$$

where C is the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and \mathfrak{N} is the Nichols algebra. Therefore, we have:

$$KL_1^{\boxtimes n} \simeq (U_1^E)^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{YD}(C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}) \quad (6.6)$$

We must understand the lattice with which we define \mathcal{A}_ρ in the category on the right hand side.

?⟨Lem:qgungauge⟩?

Lemma 6.2. *The object $\mathcal{S}(\sigma_{e,n+e}V)$ is $\mathbb{C}_{n,e}$ as an object of ${}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(C\text{-Mod})$.*

Proof. It is known that $\sigma_{e,n+e}V(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ is the unique sub-module of the module $\mathcal{F}_{eX+nY} \otimes V_{bc}$, restricted from $H_{X,Y} \otimes V_{bc}$. Here recall that \mathcal{F}_{eX+nY} is the Fock module generated by $|eX + nY\rangle$. Under the equivalence:

$$A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \simeq C\text{-Mod} \quad (6.7)$$

this goes to the one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_{n,e}$ where X acts as n and Y acts as e . Note that by the definition of \mathcal{S} , we have the following commutative diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\text{-Mod} & \xleftarrow{\text{Ind}_A} & KL_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{S} \\ \mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod} & \xleftarrow{\text{Res}} & {}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(C\text{-Mod}) \end{array} \quad (6.8)$$

By Frobenius reciprocity we have $\mathcal{F}_{eX+nY} \otimes V_{bc} \cong \text{Ind}_A(\sigma_{e,n+e}V)$, and $\sigma_{e,n+e}V$ is the unique object in KL_1 with this property. Similarly, there is a unique object in ${}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}(C\text{-Mod})$ whose restriction to $\mathfrak{N}\text{-Mod}$ is $\mathbb{C}_{n,e}$ (because it is one-dimensional, and therefore the action of both \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}^* must be trivial). Therefore, since $\mathcal{F}_{eX+nY} \otimes V_{bc}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{n,e}$ are identified via the equivalence of $A\text{-Mod}_{\text{loc}} \simeq C\text{-Mod}$, this shows that $\sigma_{e,n+e}V$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}_{n,e}$ under \mathcal{S} . □

Therefore, the simple direct summands of \mathcal{A}_ρ can be identified with $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau^\top(\mu)}$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$. We have an equivalence:

$${}_{\mathfrak{N}}\mathcal{YD}\left(C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}^{[0]}/\mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)}\right) \simeq KL_\rho. \quad (6.9)$$

Here $C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}^{[0]}/\mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)}$ is the de-equivariantization of $C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}$ by the lattice defined by $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau^\top(\mu)}$. We claim:

⟨Prop:ungaugeC⟩

Proposition 6.3. *There is an equivalence of braided tensor categories:*

$$C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}^{[0]}/\mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)} \simeq C_\rho\text{-Mod}, \quad (6.10)$$

where C_ρ is the algebra in Section 5.3.

Proof. Recall in Proposition 3.4 we showed that U_ρ^E is a subquotient of $(U_1^E)^{\otimes n}$. The same proof, ignoring the super-generators ψ_\pm^i , shows that C_ρ is a sub-quotient of $C^{\otimes n}$. Indeed, let \overline{C} be the quotient of $C^{\otimes n}$ by the relations:

$$\prod_i K_{N_i}^{\tilde{\rho}_{i\alpha}} = 1, \quad \prod_i K_{E_i}^{\tau_{\alpha i}} = 1 \quad (6.11) \quad \boxed{\text{eq:qgmonodromy}}$$

then C_ρ is a sub-algebra of \overline{C} via the mapps:

$$N_a \mapsto \sum \rho_{ia} N_a, \quad E_a \mapsto \sum_i \tilde{\tau}_{ai} E^i. \quad (6.12)$$

By using the explicit R matrix of C , it is easy to see that the sub-category of $C^{\otimes n}\text{-Mod}$ whose objects have trivial monodromy with $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda), \tau(\mu)}$ is precisely the category of modules of \overline{C} . Let C^\perp be the sub-algebra of \overline{C} generated by $N_\alpha = \sum \tilde{\rho}_{i\alpha} N_i$ and $E_\alpha = \sum \tau_{\alpha i} E_i$, then we have an algebra decomposition:

$$\overline{C} = C_\rho \otimes C^\perp. \quad (6.13)$$

This is true $\tilde{\rho}$ provides a splitting and therefore the matrix $(\rho, \tilde{\rho})$ as well as $(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ are full rank. Moreover, according to the proof of Proposition 3.4, this is a decomposition of \overline{C} into Hopf algebras, such that the R matrix on \overline{C} is a product of R -matrices. We therefore have an equivalence of braided tensor categories:

$$\overline{C}\text{-Mod} \simeq C_\rho\text{-Mod} \boxtimes C^\perp\text{-Mod}. \quad (6.14)$$

Because of the relations in equation (6.11), the direct summands of \mathcal{A}_ρ generates the category $C^\perp\text{-Mod}$, and we find, after de-equivariantization:

$$\overline{C}\text{-Mod}/\mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)} \simeq C_\rho\text{-Mod} \boxtimes C^\perp\text{-Mod}/\mathbb{Z}^{2(n-r)} \simeq C_\rho\text{-Mod}. \quad (6.15)$$

This completes the proof. □

We therefore have an equivalence:

$$KL_\rho \simeq \mathfrak{H} \mathcal{YD}(C_\rho\text{-Mod}). \quad (6.16)$$

Now Section 5.3 shows that the RHS is represented by the Hopf algebra U_ρ^E .

We will also quickly comment on how to obtain the quantum group for 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$

gauge theories. The relevant VOA \mathcal{V}_ρ^B is a simple current extension of $V(\mathfrak{g}_\rho)$. Under the above equivalence, the simple currents $\sigma_{\lambda, \rho^\top \rho \lambda} V$ is mapped to $\mathbb{C}_{0, \lambda}$ as an object of ${}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{H}}\mathcal{YD}(C_\rho\text{-Mod})$. We therefore have:

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho^B \simeq {}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{H}}\mathcal{YD}\left(C_\rho\text{-Mod}^{[0]}/\mathbb{Z}^r\right). \quad (6.17)$$

By a similar proof as in Proposition 6.3, the category $C_\rho\text{-Mod}^{[0]}/\mathbb{Z}^r$ can be represented by a sub-quotient of C_ρ , generated by $X_a, e^{2\pi i Y_a}$, such that $e^{2\pi i X_a} = 1$. We denote this algebra by \tilde{C}_ρ . It is then not difficult to show that the category ${}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{H}}\mathcal{YD}\left(\tilde{C}_\rho\right)$ is represented by a Hopf algebra. We summarize this in the following.

Theorem 6.4. *Let \tilde{U}_ρ be the sub-algebra of U_ρ^E generated by $N_a, \psi_\pm^i, K_{E_a}^\pm$ such that $e^{2\pi i N_a} = 1$. Then there is an equivalence of braided tensor categories:*

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho^B \simeq \tilde{U}_\rho\text{-Mod}. \quad (6.18)$$

The R matrix on the RHS can be conveniently written as:

$$R = \prod_a (1 \otimes K_{E_a})^{N_a \otimes 1} \prod_i \left(1 - \psi_+^i \otimes \left(\prod_a K_{E_a}^{-\rho_{ia}} \psi_-^i \right) \right). \quad (6.19)$$

References

- [Adamovic:2020lvj](#) [ACGY20] D. Adamovic, T. Creutzig, N. Genra, and J. Yang, *The Vertex Algebras $\mathcal{R}^{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(p)}$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **383** (2021) 1207–1241, [arXiv:2001.08048](#) [math.RT].
- [AsselGomis](#) [AG15] B. Assel and J. Gomis, *Mirror Symmetry And Loop Operators*, JHEP **11** (2015) 055, [arXiv:1506.01718](#) [hep-th].
- [chenko2021drinfeld](#) [Bab21] A. Babichenko, *The drinfeld–kohno theorem for the superalgebra $gl(1|1)$* , Letters in Mathematical Physics **111** (2021) 1–36.
- [BCDN](#) [BCDN23] A. Ballin, T. Creutzig, T. Dimofte, and W. Niu, *3d mirror symmetry of braided tensor categories*, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2304.11001](#) (2023) .
- [BDGH](#) [BDGH16] M. Bullimore, T. Dimofte, D. Gaiotto, and J. Hilburn, *Boundaries, Mirror Symmetry, and Symplectic Duality in 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Gauge Theory*, JHEP **10** (2016) 108, [arXiv:1603.08382](#) [hep-th].
- [espalov1995crossed](#) [Bes95] Y. N. Beshpalov, *Crossed modules, quantum braided groups, and ribbon structures*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **103** (1995) 621–637.
- [BF-notes](#) [BF18] A. Braverman and M. Finkelberg, *Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional gauge theories and related structures*, Lect. Notes Math. **2248** (2019) 1–52, [arXiv:1807.09038](#) [math.AG].
- [BN22](#) [BN22] A. Ballin and W. Niu, *3d Mirror Symmetry and the $\beta\gamma$ VOA*, [arXiv:2202.01223](#) [hep-th].
- [BlauThompson](#) [BT96] M. Blau and G. Thompson, *Aspects of $N(T) \geq 2$ topological gauge theories and D-branes*, Nucl. Phys. B **492** (1997) 545–590, [arXiv:hep-th/9612143](#).
- [3dmodularity](#) [CCF⁺18] M. C. N. Cheng, S. Chun, F. Ferrari, S. Gukov, and S. M. Harrison, *3d Modularity*, JHEP **10** (2019) 010, [arXiv:1809.10148](#) [hep-th].
- [CCG](#) [CCG18] K. Costello, T. Creutzig, and D. Gaiotto, *Higgs and Coulomb branches from vertex operator algebras*, JHEP **03** (2019) 066, [arXiv:1811.03958](#) [hep-th].
- [CDG-chiral](#) [CDG20] K. Costello, T. Dimofte, and D. Gaiotto, *Boundary Chiral Algebras and Holomorphic Twists*, [arXiv:2005.00083](#) [hep-th].

- [CDGG](#) [CDGG21] T. Creutzig, T. Dimofte, N. Garner, and N. Geer, *A QFT for non-semisimple TQFT*, [arXiv:2112.01559](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [cdntoappear](#) [CDN] T. Creutzig, T. Dimofte, and W. Niu, *Spark algebras and quantum groups*, in preparation .
- [chen2021extension](#) [CF21] L. Chen and Y. Fu, *An extension of the kazhdan-lusztig equivalence*, [arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.14606](#) (2021) .
- [CG](#) [CG18] K. Costello and D. Gaiotto, *Vertex Operator Algebras and 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 018, [arXiv:1804.06460](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [creutzig2021duality](#) [CGN20] T. Creutzig, N. Genra, and S. Nakatsuka, *Duality of subregular W -algebras and principal W -superalgebras*, *Adv. Math.* **383** (2021) 107685, [arXiv:2005.10713](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [costantino2014quantum](#) [CGPM14] F. Costantino, N. Geer, and B. Patureau-Mirand, *Quantum invariants of 3-manifolds via link surgery presentations and non-semi-simple categories*, *Journal of Topology* **7** (2014) 1005–1053.
- [Creutzig:2020zvv](#) [CJOH⁺20] T. Creutzig, C. Jiang, F. Orosz Hunziker, D. Ridout, and J. Yang, *Tensor categories arising from the Virasoro algebra*, *Adv. Math.* **380** (2021) 107601, [arXiv:2002.03180](#) [[math.RT](#)].
- [creutzig2020simple](#) [CKL15] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, and A. R. Linshaw, *Simple current extensions beyond semi-simplicity*, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **22** (2020) 1950001, [arXiv:1511.08754](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [creutzig2017tensor](#) [CKM17] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, and R. McRae, *Tensor categories for vertex operator superalgebra extensions*, [arXiv:1705.05017](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [Creutzig:2021cpu](#) [CLR21] T. Creutzig, S. Lentner, and M. Rupert, *Characterizing braided tensor categories associated to logarithmic vertex operator algebras*, [arXiv:2104.13262](#) [[math.QA](#)].
- [CLR23](#) [CLR23] ———, *An algebraic theory for logarithmic kazhdan-lusztig correspondences*, [arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11492](#) (2023) .
- [creutzig2020direct](#) [CMY20a] T. Creutzig, R. Mcrae, and J. Yang, *Direct limit completions of vertex tensor categories*, [arXiv:2006.09711](#) [[math.QA](#)].

- [Creutzig:singlet1](#) [CMY20b] T. Creutzig, R. McRae, and J. Yang, *On Ribbon Categories for Singlet Vertex Algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **387** (2021) 865–925, [arXiv:2007.12735](#) [math.QA].
- [Creutzig:singlet2](#) [CMY22a] ———, *Ribbon tensor structure on the full representation categories of the singlet vertex algebras*, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.05496>.
- [creutzig2020tensor](#) [CMY22b] ———, *Tensor structure on the Kazhdan-Lusztig category for affine $gl(1|1)$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2022) 12462–12515.
- [Creutzig:2023cgv](#) [CNS23] T. Creutzig, S. Nakatsuka, and S. Sugimoto, *Quasi-lisse extension of affine \mathfrak{sl}_2 à la Feigin–Tipunin*, [arXiv:2306.13568](#) [math.RT].
- [Cui:2021lyi](#) [CQW21] S. X. Cui, Y. Qiu, and Z. Wang, *From Three Dimensional Manifolds to Modular Tensor Categories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **397** (2023) 1191–1235, [arXiv:2101.01674](#) [math.QA].
- [Creutzig:2011cu](#) [CR11a] T. Creutzig and D. Ridout, *Relating the Archetypes of Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **872** (2013) 348–391, [arXiv:1107.2135](#) [hep-th].
- [creutzig2013w](#) [CR11b] ———, *W-Algebras Extending Affine $\hat{gl}(1|1)$* , Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **36** (2013) 349–367, [arXiv:1111.5049](#) [hep-th].
- [Creutzig:2023rlw](#) [Cre23] T. Creutzig, *Tensor categories of weight modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ at admissible level*, [arXiv:2311.10240](#) [math.RT].
- [creutzig2021tensor](#) [CY21] T. Creutzig and J. Yang, *Tensor categories of affine lie algebras beyond admissible levels*, Mathematische Annalen **380** (2021) 1991–2040.
- [Dedushenko:2023cvd](#) [Ded23] M. Dedushenko, *On the $4d/3d/2d$ view of the SCFT/VOA correspondence*, [arXiv:2312.17747](#) [hep-th].
- [lineops](#) [DGGH19] T. Dimofte, N. Garner, M. Geracie, and J. Hilburn, *Mirror symmetry and line operators*, JHEP **02** (2020) 075, [arXiv:1908.00013](#) [hep-th].
- [Dedushenko:2018bp](#) [DGN⁺18] M. Dedushenko, S. Gukov, H. Nakajima, D. Pei, and K. Ye, *3d TQFTs from Argyres–Douglas theories*, J. Phys. A **53** (2020) 43LT01, [arXiv:1809.04638](#) [hep-th].

- [990quasitriangular](#) [Dri90] V. G. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{N}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , *Algebra i Analiz* **2** (1990) 149–181.
- [egno](#) [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, 2015. <https://books.google.com/books?id=NwM-CgAAQBAJ>.
- [Ferrari:2023fez](#) [FGK23] A. E. V. Ferrari, N. Garner, and H. Kim, *Boundary vertex algebras for 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ rank-0 SCFTs*, [arXiv:2311.05087](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05087) [[hep-th](#)].
- [flandoli2022algebras](#) [FL22] I. Flandoli and S. D. Lentner, *Algebras of non-local screenings and diagonal nichols algebras*, *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **18** (2022) 18–81.
- [garner2022vertex](#) [Gar22] N. Garner, *Vertex Operator Algebras and Topologically Twisted Chern-Simons-Matter Theories*, [arXiv:2204.02991](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.02991) [[hep-th](#)].
- [garner2024btwisted](#) [GGY24] N. Garner, N. Geer, and M. B. Young, *B-twisted gaiotto-witten theory and topological quantum field theory*, 2024. [arXiv:2401.16192](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.16192) [[math.RT](#)].
- [HG-Betti](#) [GH22] B. Gammage and J. Hilburn, *Betti Tate’s thesis and the trace of perverse schobers*, [arXiv:2210.06548](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.06548) [[math.RT](#)].
- [Gang:2021hrd](#) [GKL⁺21] D. Gang, S. Kim, K. Lee, M. Shim, and M. Yamazaki, *Non-unitary TQFTs from 3D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ rank 0 SCFTs*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 158, [arXiv:2103.09283](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.09283) [[hep-th](#)].
- [GarnerNiu](#) [GN23] N. Garner and W. Niu, *Line Operators in $U(1|1)$ Chern-Simons Theory*, [arXiv:2304.05414](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.05414) [[hep-th](#)].
- [GukovWitten](#) [GW06] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Gauge Theory, Ramification, And The Geometric Langlands Program*, [arXiv:hep-th/0612073](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612073).
- [gaiotto2010janus](#) [GW10] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, *Janus configurations, chern-simons couplings, and the θ -angle in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super yang-mills theory*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2010** (2010) 1–58.
- [GeerYoung](#) [GY22] N. Geer and M. B. Young, *Three dimensional topological quantum field theory from $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ and $U(1|1)$ Chern-Simons theory*, [arXiv:2210.04286](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.04286) [[math.QA](#)].

- [2009classification](#) [Hec09] I. Heckenberger, *Classification of arithmetic root systems*, *Advances in Mathematics* **220** (2009) 59–124.
- [Huang:2014ixa](#) [HKL14] Y.-Z. Huang, A. Kirillov, and J. Lepowsky, *Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015) 1143–1159, [arXiv:1406.3420](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2014logarithmic1](#) [HLZ10a] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, and L. Zhang, *Logarithmic Tensor Category Theory for Generalized Modules for a Conformal Vertex Algebra, I: Introduction and Strongly Graded Algebras and their Generalized Modules*, [arXiv:1012.4193](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2010logarithmic2](#) [HLZ10b] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, II: Logarithmic formal calculus and properties of logarithmic intertwining operators*, [arXiv:1012.4196](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2010logarithmic3](#) [HLZ10c] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, III: Intertwining maps and tensor product bifunctors*, [arXiv:1012.4197](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2010logarithmic4](#) [HLZ10d] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, IV: Constructions of tensor product bifunctors and the compatibility conditions*, [arXiv:1012.4198](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2010logarithmic5](#) [HLZ10e] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, V: Convergence condition for intertwining maps and the corresponding compatibility condition*, [arXiv:1012.4199](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2010logarithmic6](#) [HLZ10f] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms*, [arXiv:1012.4202](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2011logarithmic7](#) [HLZ11a] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, VII: Convergence and extension properties and applications to expansion for intertwining maps*, [arXiv:1110.1929](#) [math.QA].
- [ng2011logarithmic8](#) [HLZ11b] ———, *Logarithmic tensor category theory, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra*, [arXiv:1110.1931](#) [math.QA].

- [hilburn2021tate](#) [HR21] J. Hilburn and S. Raskin, *Tate's thesis in the de rham setting*, [arXiv:2107.11325](#) [math.AG].
- [kirillov2002q](#) [KJO02] A. Kirillov Jr and V. Ostrik, *On a q-analogue of the mckay correspondence and the ade classification of sl2 conformal field theories*, *Advances in Mathematics* **171** (2002) 183–227.
- [kazhdan1994tensor](#) [KL94] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Tensor structures arising from affine lie algebras. iv*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **7** (1994) 383–453.
- [KRS](#) [KRS08] A. Kapustin, L. Rozansky, and N. Saulina, *Three-dimensional topological field theory and symplectic algebraic geometry I*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **816** (2009) 295–355, [arXiv:0810.5415](#) [hep-th].
- [laugwitz2020relative](#) [Lau20] R. Laugwitz, *The relative monoidal center and tensor products of monoidal categories*, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* **22** (2020) 1950068.
- [lentner2021quantum](#) [Len21] S. D. Lentner, *Quantum groups and nichols algebras acting on conformal field theories*, *Advances in Mathematics* **378** (2021) 107517.
- [Lidelta](#) [Li97] H. Li, *The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory*, *Journal of Algebra* **196** (1997) 436–457.
- [mcrae2016non](#) [McR16] R. McRae, *Non-negative integral level affine lie algebra tensor categories and their associativity isomorphisms*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **346** (2016) 349–395.
- [mcrae2023deligne](#) [McR23] ———, *Deligne tensor products of categories of modules for vertex operator algebras*, [arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14023](#) (2023) .
- [Mikhaylov](#) [Mik15] V. Mikhaylov, *Analytic Torsion, 3d Mirror Symmetry And Supergroup Chern-Simons Theories*, [arXiv:1505.03130](#) [hep-th].
- [2023representation](#) [Niu23] W. Niu, *Representation theory, algebraic geometry and supersymmetric field theories in low dimensions*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Davis, 2023.
- [OR-Chern](#) [OR18] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky, *Categorical Chern character and braid groups*, [arXiv:1811.03257](#) [math.GT].

- [\[RT\]](#) [RT91] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, *Invariants of three manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991) 547–597.
- [\[RW\]](#) [RW96] L. Rozansky and E. Witten, *HyperKähler geometry and invariants of three manifolds*, Selecta Math. **3** (1997) 401–458, [arXiv:hep-th/9612216](#).
- [\[RobertsWillerton\]](#) [RW10] J. Roberts and S. Willerton, *On the Rozansky-Witten weight systems*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **10** (2010) 1455–1519.
- [\[schomerus2006gl\]](#) [SS06] V. Schomerus and H. Saleur, *The $gl(1-1)$ wzw-model: From supergeometry to logarithmic cft*, Nuclear Physics B **734** (2006) 221–245.
- [\[Semikhatov2012nichols\]](#) [ST12] A. Semikhatov and I. Y. Tipunin, *The nichols algebra of screenings*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **14** (2012) 1250029.
- [\[Semikhatov2013logarithmic\]](#) [ST13] ———, *Logarithmic cft models from nichols algebras: I*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46** (2013) 494011.
- [\[Tsuchiya:2012ru\]](#) [TW12] A. Tsuchiya and S. Wood, *The tensor structure on the representation category of the W_p triplet algebra*, J. Phys. A **46** (2013) 445203, [arXiv:1201.0419 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [\[Webster-tilting\]](#) [Web19] B. Webster, *Coherent sheaves and quantum Coulomb branches I: tilting bundles from integrable systems*, [arXiv:1905.04623 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [\[Witten-Jones\]](#) [Wit89] E. Witten, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 351–399.