

Tunable quantum criticality and pseudocriticality across the fixed-point annihilation in the anisotropic spin-boson model

Manuel Weber^{1,2}

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany*
(Dated: March 6, 2024)

Spin-boson models are simple examples of quantum dissipative systems, but also serve as effective models in quantum magnetism and exhibit nontrivial quantum criticality. Recently, they have been established as a platform to study the nontrivial renormalization-group (RG) scenario of fixed-point annihilation, in which two intermediate-coupling RG fixed points collide and generate an extremely slow RG flow near the collision. For the Bose Kondo model, a single $S = 1/2$ spin where each spin component couples to an independent bosonic bath with power-law spectrum $\propto \omega^s$ via dissipation strengths α_i , $i \in \{x, y, z\}$, such phenomena occur sequentially for the U(1)-symmetric model at $\alpha_z = 0$ and the SU(2)-symmetric case at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, as the bath exponent $s < 1$ is tuned. Here we use an exact wormhole quantum Monte Carlo method for retarded interactions to show how fixed-point annihilations within symmetry-enhanced parameter manifolds affect the anisotropy-driven criticality across them. We find a tunable transition between two long-range-ordered localized phases that can be continuous or strongly first-order, depending on whether the attractive fixed point within the critical manifold corresponds to a critical or a localized phase, and even becomes weakly first-order in an extended regime close to the fixed-point collision. We extract critical exponents at the continuous transition, but also find scaling behavior at the symmetry-enhanced first-order transition, for which the inverse correlation-length exponent is given by the bath exponent s . In particular, we provide direct numerical evidence for pseudocritical scaling on both sides of the fixed-point collision, which manifests in an extremely slow drift of the correlation-length exponent. In addition, we also study the crossover behavior away from the SU(2)-symmetric case and determine the phase boundary of an extended U(1)-symmetric critical phase for $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$. Our work provides a comprehensive picture of the nontrivial RG flow within distinct regimes controlled by the bath exponent s and establishes the spin-boson model as a paradigmatic example to access tunable criticality and pseudocriticality across the fixed-point collision in large-scale simulations, which is reminiscent of a scenario discussed in the context of deconfined criticality.

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin is one of the central properties of quantum matter and a building block for many applications in quantum magnetism, quantum optics, or quantum information. Since technological advances allow us to manipulate individual spins on the microscopic level, we need to understand how even a single spin is affected by the inevitable coupling to its environment. A simple class of Hamiltonians that capture the effects of quantum dissipation are spin-boson models [1], in which a single spin is coupled to a bath of harmonic oscillators with a gapless density of states $\propto \omega^s$. The quantum dynamics of the spin can be tuned via the bath exponent s , up to a point at which dissipation can induce nontrivial phases and quantum phase transitions in the spin degree of freedom [2]. Quantum dissipative impurity models also serve as effective models in various subdisciplines of condensed matter physics: they describe magnetic impurities in critical magnets [3, 4], appear in the self-consistent solution of extended dynamical mean-field theory which is used to explain Kondo-breakdown transitions in heavy-fermion metals [5, 6], and even exhibit connections to non-Fermi-liquid behavior in Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models [7, 8]. Recently, spin-boson models have been identified as a simple setup to study the nontrivial renormalization-group

(RG) concept of fixed-point annihilation [9–12].

Fixed-point annihilation is an RG scenario in which, as an external parameter s is tuned that does not flow under the RG, two intermediate-coupling RG fixed points collide and annihilate each other at $s = s^*$. It is a hallmark of this phenomenon that right after the collision the RG flow is exponentially suppressed in $|s - s^*| \ll 1$, providing a generic mechanism to generate an extremely small order parameter [13]. Fixed-point annihilation has been discussed in various contexts in high-energy physics [13–21], statistical mechanics [22–30], or condensed matter physics [31–44], but exact analytical or numerical studies in strongly-interacting systems are rare.

Throughout the last years, fixed-point annihilation has gained interest in the study of an exotic type of quantum criticality in two-dimensional quantum magnets. It was proposed that fractionalized excitations can drive a continuous *deconfined* transition between two ordered phases beyond the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm which generically predicts a first-order transition between orders with distinct broken symmetries [45–47]. Early numerical studies of spin-1/2 model Hamiltonians found evidence for a continuous phase transition between antiferromagnetic and valence-bond-solid order [48, 49], but subsequent studies discovered unconventional scaling corrections [35, 50–55] that presumably hint towards a very

weak first-order transition. Another feature of the deconfined quantum phase transition is an emergent $SO(5)$ symmetry in the order-parameter fluctuations at criticality [56], but emergent symmetries have also been found in related models with weak first-order transitions [57, 58]. Fixed-point annihilation has been suggested as one possible mechanism that explains the slow drift of critical exponents and an extremely small order parameter at the putative first-order transition in terms of the pseudocritical scaling experienced after the collision [35–38]; in this context, the external tuning parameter s is the spatial dimension and the fixed-point collision is supposed to occur in a Wess-Zumino-Witten model tuned close to the two-dimensional case. Numerical simulations of such systems face the challenge that their computational cost becomes increasingly expensive the higher the dimension and that it is hard to track the fixed-point collision if the external parameter only takes integer values. It has been pointed out that (0+1)-dimensional spin-boson models belong to the same hierarchy of Wess-Zumino-Witten models [12, 37], in which the fixed-point collision can be tracked numerically with high precision [11], as the bath exponent s can be tuned continuously. This raises the question if aspects of the (2+1)-dimensional transition can be captured by the spin-boson model.

In the original formulation of the spin-boson model, the dissipative bosonic bath only couples to one spin component, along which the spin gets localized for bath exponents $s < 1$ and establishes long-range order with a finite local moment. Then, it is necessary to apply a transverse field to induce a quantum phase transition towards a delocalized phase [9, 59–63], which falls into the same universality class as the thermal phase transition in the one-dimensional Ising model with $1/r^{1+s}$ interactions [64]. If multiple dissipation channels compete, frustration of different decoherence channels [65, 66] can induce a plethora of novel phases and phase transitions, even in the absence of an external field. In particular, if two or three dissipation strengths are equal, the spin can exhibit stable critical phases at weak coupling before it gets localized again at strong coupling. For each of these cases, the existence of a stable critical fixed point is an analytical prediction of the weak-coupling perturbative RG [3, 4, 67–70] and, as a result of nontrivial spin-Berry-phase effects, goes beyond the quantum-to-classical correspondence of the one-bath spin-boson model, whereas exact numerical techniques were required to find a localized phase beyond an unstable quantum-critical fixed point [9–11, 71, 72]. Altogether, one pair of intermediate-coupling fixed points lies within the $SU(2)$ -symmetric manifold of three identical dissipation strengths—this case is known as the Bose Kondo model—whereas another pair of fixed points lies within the $U(1)$ -symmetric plane of the two-bath spin-boson model. As a function of the bath exponent s , each of these pairs exhibits an independent fixed-point annihilation [9–11]. It is an open question how the two fixed-point collisions, which occur sequentially at distinct bath exponents s_1^* and s_2^* , affect the phase diagram and criti-

cal properties of the anisotropic spin-boson model away from the high-symmetry cases and across the symmetry-enhanced critical manifolds.

It is the purpose of this paper to close this gap. We use the recently-developed wormhole quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method [73] to obtain exact numerical results for the anisotropic spin-boson model and to establish a comprehensive RG picture for this model. Most importantly, we study how the stable RG fixed points at enhanced symmetries determine the nature of the phase transitions across these critical manifolds: While the localized fixed point always leads to a first-order transition between two distinct localized phases with different spin orientation, the critical fixed point turns this transition into a continuous one. Fixed-point annihilation provides a generic mechanism to tune between both scenarios and to create a broad regime in which the transition becomes weakly first-order without any need of fine-tuning. We show that pseudocriticality occurs on both sides of the fixed-point collision, where the slow RG flow mimics scaling behavior with false critical exponents that only drift very slowly in our finite-size-scaling analysis. In addition, we also study the crossover from $SU(2)$ to $U(1)$ spins, for which a critical phase remains stable at finite spin anisotropies. Eventually, already two competing dissipation channels are enough for a single spin to exhibit the full phenomenology of strong/weak first- and second-order transitions at equal dissipation strength, providing indirect evidence for the underlying fixed-point annihilation scenario.

Our work establishes the anisotropic spin-boson model as an outstanding example in which the consequences of fixed-point annihilation on quantum criticality can be studied exactly using large-scale numerical simulations. The spin-boson model exhibits much of the phenomenology that has been discussed for two-dimensional quantum magnets, including pseudocriticality at anisotropy-driven transitions [35–38]. Although in our model the symmetry enhancement at criticality is not emergent but built-in, all the characteristic features that are based on the fixed-point annihilation remain universally applicable. Moreover, a single spin coupled to its environment is one of the simplest spin systems one can imagine and its nontrivial criticality adds complexity to the zoo of fundamental spin models like the XXZ quantum Heisenberg model. It is natural to ask how much of its physics can be found in more complicated setups, for which the spin-boson model provides an effective description or serves as a building block in higher-dimensional open quantum systems [42, 74, 75].

A. Summary of results

Throughout most parts of this work, we will consider the $U(1)$ -symmetric spin-boson model with equal dissipation strengths in the xy plane, i.e., $\alpha_x = \alpha_y \equiv \alpha_{xy}$, and tune the anisotropy α_z . First of all, we will clarify the

fixed-point structure of the anisotropic spin-boson model. For the SU(2)-symmetric model, the fixed-point annihilation has been tracked directly using QMC simulations [11] and the collision occurs at $s_2^* = 0.6540(2)$. We will repeat this analysis to monitor the fixed-point collision also for the U(1)-symmetric model at $\alpha_z = 0$. In analogy to the SU(2)-symmetric case [11], we observe an approximate fixed-point duality and extract $s_1^* = 0.7706(1)$. Our estimate is in good agreement with $s_{1,\text{MPS}}^* = 0.76(1)$ which was obtained by only tracking the quantum critical fixed point using matrix-product-state (MPS) techniques [9, 10]. In combination with analytical results from the perturbative RG [69, 70], we obtain a complete picture of all possible RG flow diagrams.

The SU(2)-symmetric RG fixed points are unstable towards anisotropies $\alpha_z \neq \alpha_{xy}$, so that, for $s < 1$, perturbations drive the system either to a localized phase in z direction for $\alpha_z > \alpha_{xy}$ or towards the fixed points of the two-bath model for $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$. For $s_1^* < s < 1$, the existence of a stable critical fixed point at $\alpha_z = 0$ stabilizes an extended critical phase for $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$, whereas beyond the fixed-point collision at $s < s_1^*$ only an xy -localized phase can exist. At $s = 0.8$, we determine the phase boundary between the critical and the localized phase as a function of α_z and find that it approaches the SU(2)-symmetric quantum critical fixed point with the same slope as the high-symmetry line $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, i.e., the critical phase becomes extremely narrow close to the quantum critical fixed point. As a consequence, the signatures of the SU(2)-symmetric critical-to-localized transition can only be accessed at extremely small anisotropies, before the temperature-dependent crossover towards the U(1)-symmetric fixed points occurs. Eventually, the critical exponents at finite anisotropy are determined by the U(1)-symmetric quantum critical point at $\alpha_z = 0$, as confirmed by our scaling analysis of the correlation length.

The nature of the anisotropy-driven phase transitions across the SU(2)-symmetric parameter manifold are determined by the properties of the distinct stable phases at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. At the SU(2)-symmetric localized fixed point, the local-moment order parameters of the xy - and z -localized phases coexist and we find a symmetry-enhanced first-order transition that obeys finite-size scaling with an inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{L2\perp} = s$. We show that close to the fixed-point annihilation, the order parameter within the critical manifold can become extremely small, which provides a generic mechanism for a weak first-order transition. On the opposite side of the fixed-point collision, the same transition turns continuous, as it is governed by a critical fixed point with zero local moment. Based on a finite-size-scaling analysis, we determine the in- and out-of-plane critical exponents at this fixed point to characterize the speed of the RG flow along different directions in parameter space. In particular, close to the fixed-point collision the in-plane RG flow becomes so slow that we observe pseudocritical scaling in our anisotropic transition. Pseudocriticality occurs on both sides of the collision which we track by extracting

the slowly drifting pseudocritical exponents. Our results are consistent with a logarithmic drift in temperature which has a prefactor that increases linearly with the distance from the fixed-point collision. Moreover, we show that for $s_1^* < s < 1$ the continuous transition can also occur between the xy -critical and the z -localized phases.

Our results are representative for the phase transitions in the fully-anisotropic spin-boson model, for which the fixed-point annihilation within the U(1)-symmetric critical manifold determines the nature of the order-to-order transitions. Again, they can be tuned from continuous to first-order via an extended weak first-order regime using the bath exponent s .

B. Outline

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we define the anisotropic spin-boson model and discuss our QMC approach. In Sec. III, we give a complete overview of the fixed-point structure. In Sec. IV, we determine the phase diagrams and crossover behavior away from the SU(2)-symmetric case. In Sec. V, we investigate the anisotropy-driven phase transitions across the high-symmetry manifold and discuss the role of the fixed-point annihilation in tuning the order-to-order transition from second- to first-order via a broad regime in which the transition becomes weakly first-order and exhibits pseudocritical scaling. In Sec. VI, we discuss how the fixed-point annihilation in the U(1)-symmetric model determines the phase transitions in the fully-anisotropic spin-boson model. In Sec. VII, we conclude. In Appendices A and B, we provide additional analytical results for the fixed-point annihilation.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We consider the anisotropic spin-boson model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=x,y,z} \sum_q \left[\lambda_{qi} \hat{S}^i (\hat{B}_{qi} + \hat{B}_{qi}^\dagger) + \omega_q \hat{B}_{qi}^\dagger \hat{B}_{qi} \right], \quad (1)$$

where each of the three components \hat{S}^i of a single $S = 1/2$ spin is coupled to an independent bosonic bath. Every bath is described by an infinite number of harmonic oscillators, for which \hat{B}_{qi}^\dagger (\hat{B}_{qi}) creates (annihilates) a boson with frequency ω_q in the bath component i . The bath spectra, $J_i(\omega) = \pi \sum_q \lambda_{qi}^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_q)$, are of power-law form (here we take the continuum limit)

$$J_i(\omega) = 2\pi \alpha_i \omega_c^{1-s} \omega^s, \quad 0 < \omega < \omega_c = 1, \quad (2)$$

and the cutoff frequency ω_c is taken as the unit of energy; beyond ω_c , we set $J_i(\omega) = 0$. The dimensionless coupling parameters α_i measure the dissipation strength and, in the fully anisotropic case, each component can take a different value. Throughout most parts of this paper, we consider the U(1)-symmetric case with $\alpha_x = \alpha_y \equiv \alpha_{xy}$

and tune the spin anisotropy α_z . At $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, the model becomes SU(2) symmetric. We also define the anisotropy parameter $\Delta = 1 - \alpha_z/\alpha_{xy}$, where $\Delta = 0$ corresponds to the isotropic case and $\Delta = 1$ to $\alpha_z = 0$.

For our simulations, we used an exact QMC method for retarded interactions [73, 76], which makes use of the fact that the bosonic baths can be traced out analytically. Our QMC method samples a diagrammatic expansion of the partition function $Z = Z_b \text{Tr}_s \hat{T}_\tau \exp(-\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ in the spin degrees of freedom, where Z_b is the free-boson partition function and \hat{T}_τ the time-ordering operator (note that we use the interaction representation [73]). The retarded interaction vertex

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = - \int \int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' \sum_i K_i(\tau - \tau') \hat{S}^i(\tau) \hat{S}^i(\tau') \quad (3)$$

is nonlocal in imaginary time τ and mediated by the bath propagator

$$K_i(\tau) = \int_0^{\omega_c} d\omega \frac{J_i(\omega)}{\pi} \frac{\cosh[\omega(\beta/2 - \tau)]}{2 \sinh[\omega\beta/2]} \quad (4)$$

which fulfills $K_i(\tau + \beta) = K_i(\tau)$; here $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse temperature. For the power-law spectrum in Eq. (2), the bath propagator decays as $K_i(\tau) \propto 1/\tau^{1+s}$ for $\omega_c\tau \gg 1$. The sampling of the diagrammatic expansion is based on the methodology developed for the stochastic series expansion [77–79], but generalized to include imaginary times and retarded interactions [76]. During the diagonal updates, we use a Metropolis scheme to add/remove diagonal vertices $\hat{S}^z(\tau)\hat{S}^z(\tau')$ to/from the world-line configuration; the interaction range of $K_i(\tau - \tau')$ can be taken into account efficiently using inverse transform sampling [73]. To implement the global directed-loop updates, we use the novel wormhole moves which transform the retarded diagonal vertices into spin-flip vertices (and vice versa) and allow for nonlocal tunneling of the loop head through a world-line configuration. For further details, we refer to Ref. [73] where the wormhole QMC method has been described comprehensively for the anisotropic spin-boson model. We also want to note that retarded spin interactions are often derived using a coherent-state representation; then, the resulting action includes an additional Berry-phase term, which is important to realize the critical fixed points described below. Our diagrammatic expansion in the interaction representation automatically takes this into account.

For a single spin degree of freedom, observables can only be accessed from imaginary-time correlation functions like $\chi_i(\tau) = \langle \hat{S}^i(\tau) \hat{S}^i(0) \rangle$. From this, we calculate the dynamical spin susceptibility

$$\chi_i(i\Omega_n) = \int_0^\beta d\tau e^{i\Omega_n\tau} \langle \hat{S}^i(\tau) \hat{S}^i(0) \rangle \quad (5)$$

directly in Matsubara frequencies $\Omega_n = 2\pi n/\beta$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $i \in \{x, y\}$, the susceptibilities can be calculated during the propagation of the directed loop, whereas the z

component is determined from the world-line configuration. We also define the static susceptibility $\chi_i = \chi_i(i\Omega_0)$ which can be used to identify the formation of a local moment. Then, $\chi_i(T) = m_i^2/T$ approaches a Curie law for temperatures $T \rightarrow 0$. A finite-temperature estimate of the local moment can also be obtained from

$$m_i^2(T) = \langle \hat{S}^i(\beta/2) \hat{S}^i(0) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

which needs to be extrapolated towards zero temperature and is indicative of long-range order in the imaginary-time direction.

To study the critical properties of the spin-boson model, it is also useful to calculate the correlation length along imaginary time (correlation time)

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{\Omega_1} \sqrt{\frac{\chi_i(i\Omega_0)}{\chi_i(i\Omega_1)} - 1}. \quad (7)$$

It is defined in analogy to the spatial correlation length [80], because space and imaginary time can be treated on the same level for quantum problems. While the spatial correlation length is evaluated from the equal-time correlations in momentum space at the ordering vector Q and the nearest vector shifted by the momentum resolution $\delta q = 2\pi/L$, the correlation time is calculated from the dynamical correlations in Matsubara space at the ordering component $\Omega_0 = 0$ and the nearest component $\Omega_1 = 2\pi/\beta$ which is shifted by the resolution of Matsubara frequencies. The system size along imaginary time is β , therefore the renormalized correlation length ξ_i/β diverges (scales to zero) in the ordered (disorderd) phase. At criticality, the correlation length becomes scale invariant and ξ_i/β approaches a constant. A closely related measure is the correlation ratio

$$R_i = 1 - \frac{\chi_i(i\Omega_1)}{\chi_i(i\Omega_0)}, \quad (8)$$

which scales to one (zero) in the ordered (disordered) phase and becomes RG invariant at criticality.

III. FIXED-POINT STRUCTURE

The phase diagram and critical properties of the spin-boson model can be understood from the underlying RG structure. Therefore, we first review what is known from analytical and numerical studies and complete the missing parts of this picture using our QMC method.

A. Fully anisotropic spin-boson model

At zero dissipation, our system in Eq. (1) is described by the free-spin fixed point F located at $\vec{\alpha}_F = (0, 0, 0)$, where the static susceptibility $\chi_i(T) = m_i^2/T$ follows a Curie law with local moment $m_i^2 = 1/4$ for all $i \in \{x, y, z\}$. For bath exponents $s > 1$, the coupling to the

bath is an irrelevant RG perturbation, so that F remains stable for any dissipation strength α_i ; the main effect of the bath is to renormalize $m_i^2 < 1/4$, which will be shown in Sec. V A 1. For $s < 1$, the coupling to the bath is a relevant perturbation, so that F is unstable for any $\alpha_i > 0$. For the fully anisotropic case ($\alpha_x \neq \alpha_y \neq \alpha_z$), the system flows to one of the three stable strong-coupling fixed point L_i , $i \in \{x, y, z\}$, chosen according to the strongest dissipation strength α_i [69, 70]; each of these fixed points describes a localized phase with spontaneously-broken \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry along spin orientation i . Again, the static susceptibility follows a Curie law with $m_i^2 > 0$ but $m_{j \neq i}^2 = 0$. In most parts of our paper, we will encounter only one localized phase along one of the three spin orientations $i \in \{x, y, z\}$; for simplicity, we will denote this fixed point by L.

B. Stable intermediate-coupling fixed points within the high-symmetry manifolds

If at least two components of the dissipation strength α_i are equal, the fixed-point structure of the spin-boson model becomes more complex, which was first studied using the weak-coupling perturbative RG [4, 67–70]. Expanding about the marginal point at $\tilde{\alpha}_F$ and $s = 1$, the two-loop beta function for one of the coupling parameters becomes [69, 70]

$$\beta(\alpha_x) \equiv \frac{d\alpha_x}{d \ln \mu} = -\alpha_x [(1-s) - 2(\alpha_y + \alpha_z)(1-2\alpha_x)], \quad (9)$$

whereas $\beta(\alpha_y)$ and $\beta(\alpha_z)$ are obtained by cyclic permutation of the indices $i \in \{x, y, z\}$ [81]. The beta function describes how the effective couplings α_i renormalize as the reference scale μ is changed under an RG step. The zeros of the beta function correspond to fixed points under an RG transformation and can describe stable phases or phase transitions.

For $s < 1$, the coupled flow equations contain three equivalent nontrivial fixed points CR1 within the three U(1)-symmetric planes, in which one coupling is zero. One of them lies within the xy plane at

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{\text{CR1}} &= (\alpha_{\text{CR1}}, \alpha_{\text{CR1}}, 0) \quad \text{with} \\ \alpha_{\text{CR1}} &= \frac{1-s}{2} + \frac{(1-s)^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^3], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

whereas the other ones in the yz and xz planes can be obtained accordingly. Without loss of generality, we restrict our discussion to the fixed point in the xy plane. CR1 is stable towards perturbations that conserve the U(1) symmetry, i.e., in the α_z direction, but unstable towards anisotropies in the x and y directions.

Moreover, there is an additional fixed point at

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{\text{CR2}} &= (\alpha_{\text{CR2}}, \alpha_{\text{CR2}}, \alpha_{\text{CR2}}) \quad \text{with} \\ \alpha_{\text{CR2}} &= \frac{1-s}{4} + \frac{(1-s)^2}{8} + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^3]; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

CR2 is a stable fixed point within the SU(2)-symmetric parameter manifold (α, α, α) , but any perturbation that breaks this symmetry will lead away from $\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{CR2}}$. To simplify our notation throughout this work, we will sometimes refer to CR1 and CR2 as stable fixed points, but always mean within their high-symmetry manifold.

The two fixed points CR1 and CR2 describe critical phases in which the long-range decay of the spin autocorrelation function fulfils $\chi_i(\tau) \propto \tau^{-\eta_i}$. Note that $\eta_i = 1-s$ is an exact result from the diagrammatic structure of the susceptibility [4, 69] that is valid for $i \in \{x, y\}$ at CR1 and $i \in \{x, y, z\}$ at CR2, whereas at CR1 the exponent $\eta_z = 2(1-s) + (1-s)^2 + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^3]$ is only known perturbatively near $s = 1$ [70]. As a result, the static susceptibility fulfils $\chi_i(T) \propto T^{-\tilde{\eta}_i}$ with $\tilde{\eta}_i = 1 - \eta_i$, i.e., the critical phases have a local moment of $m_i^2 = 0$, and the low-frequency part of the dynamical susceptibility becomes $\chi_i(i\Omega_n) \propto |i\Omega_n|^{-\tilde{\eta}_i}$. Hence, $\chi_i(i\Omega_1) \propto T^{-\tilde{\eta}_i}$, so that the normalized correlation length ξ_i/β defined in Eq. (7) is finite.

C. Unstable intermediate-coupling fixed points, fixed-point annihilation, and duality

Large-scale numerical studies revealed that the perturbative RG picture described above is not yet complete. For the U(1)-symmetric spin-boson model at $\alpha_z = 0$, an MPS approach determined the phase diagram and found, in addition to a critical phase described by CR1, a localized phase L1 where the U(1) symmetry is spontaneously broken. The fixed point L1 appears at infinite coupling and is separated from the critical phase CR1 via an unstable quantum critical fixed point QC1. Later, a strong-coupling localized phase L2 was also identified in the SU(2)-symmetric model using QMC simulations [71], which again is separated from the critical phase CR2 via a quantum critical fixed point QC2 [11, 72]. In the low-temperature limit, the localized phases L1 and L2 follow a Curie law $\chi_i(T) = m_i^2/T$ with a finite local moment $m_i^2 > 0$ along the symmetry-broken spin orientations, whereas $m_z^2 = 0$ for L1. The low-frequency part of the dynamical spin susceptibility $\chi_i(i\Omega_n) \propto |i\Omega_n|^{-s}$ still resembles the gapless features of the corresponding critical phase [11, 71], but $\chi_z(i\Omega_n)$ approaches a constant for L1. The gapless excitations in $\chi_i(\omega)$ can be interpreted as the Goldstone modes that occur due to spontaneous symmetry breaking of the continuous rotational symmetry of spin plus bath; note that this signature is absent in the L phase which only breaks a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. Moreover, our estimator for the normalized correlation length ξ_i/β in Eq. (7) diverges [because $\chi_i(i\Omega_0) \propto T^{-1}$ and $\chi_i(i\Omega_1) \propto T^{-s}$], whereas $\xi_z/\beta \rightarrow 0$ for L1.

It was first suggested for the U(1)-symmetric model that the two intermediate-coupling fixed points CR1 and QC1 approach each other, as the bath exponent s is reduced, and eventually collide and annihilate each other [9, 10]. While Refs. [9, 10] provided indirect evidence




FIG. 1. Fixed-point structure at intermediate spin-boson couplings α_{xy} and for bath exponents $0 < s < 1$. Nontrivial fixed points only occur for (a) $\alpha_z = 0$ and (b) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. As a function of s , the two fixed points CR1/2 and QC1/2 collide and annihilate each other at $s_{1/2}^*$; the precise values at which the collisions occur are determined in Fig. 2. The black dashed lines indicate the predictions (10) and (11) of the perturbative RG for α_{CR1} and α_{CR2} . Results for the SU(2)-symmetric case presented in panel (b) are taken from Ref. [11].

via the disappearance of QC1, the fixed-point collision has been tracked directly for the SU(2)-symmetric case [11]. Analytical confirmation of the fixed-point collision has also been obtained in a large- S limit of the SU(2)-symmetric model [12]. In the following, we will review previous results for the SU(2)-symmetric model and repeat our QMC simulations for the U(1)-symmetric case, to provide a complete picture for the fixed-point annihilation in the anisotropic spin-boson model.

The evolution of the two intermediate-coupling fixed points as a function of the bath exponent s is summarized in Fig. 1(a) for $\alpha_z = 0$ and in Fig. 1(b) for $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. Results have been obtained from a finite-size-scaling analysis of the spin susceptibility, as described in detail in Ref. [11] and its Supplemental Material (we will also discuss in Sec. IV B how the fixed points become accessible via the spin susceptibility). At small α and $1-s$, the evolution of α_{CR1} and α_{CR2} in Fig. 1 agrees well with the predictions (10) and (11) of the perturbative RG, whereas at larger couplings they start to deviate. It is apparent that the fixed-point collision takes place at different s_1^* and s_2^* for the two cases, which will have important consequences for the phase diagram and critical properties of the anisotropic spin-boson model.

To determine the precise coordinates $(s_{1/2}^*, \alpha_{1/2}^*)$ of the fixed-point collisions, we make use of the approximate symmetry of the fixed-point evolution on the logarithmic α_{xy} scale, which is apparent from Fig. 1 and has first been observed for the SU(2)-symmetric model [11]. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show quadratic fits close to the fixed-point collision. In this way, $s_2^* = 0.6540(2)$ has been obtained in Ref. [11] and now we determine $s_1^* = 0.7706(1)$. Our estimate for s_1^* improves the previous MPS result $s_{1,MPS}^* = 0.76(1)$ [9, 10] because we are able to extract




FIG. 2. (a),(b) Estimation of the coordinates $(s_{1/2}^*, \alpha_{1/2}^*)$, at which the intermediate-coupling fixed points collide, by fitting their evolution to $s(\alpha_{xy}) = s^* + (b/a) \ln^2(\alpha_{xy}/\alpha^*)$. Final estimates for $s_{1/2}^*$ and $\alpha_{1/2}^*$ are stated in panels (a),(b), whereas $b_1/a_1 = 0.0532(2)$ and $b_2/a_2 = 0.0562(5)$. (c),(d) Numerical test of the fixed-point duality. If the duality was exact, the product $\alpha_{CR1/2} \times \alpha_{QC1/2}$ would be constant. Results for the SU(2)-symmetric case presented in panels (b),(d) are taken from Ref. [11].

s_1^* from fitting the functional form of the fixed-point collision (for details see Ref. [11]).

Our numerical data reveals an approximate duality between the weak- and strong-coupling fixed points. If the duality was exact, the product $\alpha_{CR1/2} \times \alpha_{QC1/2}$ would be a constant that is independent of the bath exponent s . Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show this product for the two fixed-point collisions. Given the fact that the individual fixed-point couplings vary by several orders of magnitude, their product is almost constant. In particular, the U(1)-symmetric case depicted in Fig. 2(c) only shows little deviations near the fixed-point collision. It was conjectured that this duality is a symmetry of the beta function [11] and therefore allows for the prediction of critical exponents at the strong-coupling fixed point based on perturbative results at the weak-coupling fixed point. Moreover, Ref. [11] identified that this duality becomes exact in the limit of large total spin $S \rightarrow \infty$, as apparent from the analytical beta function of Refs. [12, 82, 83]. Duality relations often appear in classical and quantum spin systems [84] and had been identified for a single quantum rotor coupled to a dissipative bath [85, 86]. While dualities often pinpoint the phase transition to appear at the self-dual point [84], the spin-boson model seems to exhibit an approximate duality between two fixed points.

In close vicinity to the fixed-point collision, the exact (but unknown) beta function within the high-symmetry manifold can always be expanded up to quadratic order in the coupling $\bar{\alpha}$, such that

$$\beta(\bar{\alpha}) \equiv \frac{d\bar{\alpha}}{d \ln \mu} = a(s - s^*) - b(\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha}^*)^2, \quad (12)$$

where a and b are expansion coefficients. In general, $\bar{\alpha}$ is the coupling constant of our system, i.e., $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_{xy}$ for the U(1)-symmetric model (at $\alpha_z = 0$) and $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_{xy} = \alpha_z$ for the SU(2)-symmetric case. Our fixed-point duality even suggests $\bar{\alpha} = \ln \alpha_{xy}$, so that Eq. (12) is valid in a rather broad regime of bath exponents s . The beta function in Eq. (12) is just a parabola opened downwards, which can be shifted up and down using the bath exponent s . For $s \approx s^*$, $\beta(\bar{\alpha}^*) \approx 0$ and $\beta'(\bar{\alpha}^*) = 0$, leading to an extremely slow RG flow near $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}^*$. A detailed solution of Eq. (12) and its characteristic RG flow is given in App. A. In particular, Eq. (12) justifies the fitting form for the fixed-point evolution used in Fig. 2 and predicts $1/\nu \propto (s - s^*)^{1/2}$ for the inverse correlation-length exponents at the two intermediate-coupling fixed points. We will make use of Eq. (12) in Sec. V C, when we discuss the characteristic RG flow close to the fixed-point collision.

At this point, it is also worth mentioning that the fixed-point collision is already contained in the two-loop beta function of Eq. (9), although the perturbative RG has no predictive power for $s \ll 1$ or for the strong-coupling fixed points QC1 and QC2 [10, 11]. Nevertheless, some of the qualitative aspects near the fixed-point collision are captured correctly. The solution of Eq. (9) is summarized in App. B and we will come back to this when discussing the RG flow close to the fixed-point annihilation in Sec. V C.

D. Renormalization-group flow diagrams and their consequences for criticality

The final fixed-point structure of the anisotropic spin-boson model including the RG flow between each of the fixed points is summarized in Fig. 3, for which a detailed description is given in the caption. In the following, we want to discuss the consequences for the phase diagram and critical properties.

The spin-boson model exhibits four different regimes that can be distinguished by the bath exponent s . For the superohmic regime with bath exponent $s > 1$, the RG flow depicted in Fig. 3(a) illustrates that the free-spin fixed point F remains stable for all dissipation strengths. Consequently, the spin anisotropy α_i cannot drive any quantum phase transitions for $s > 1$.

In the subohmic regime $0 < s < 1$, the RG flow is determined by the two fixed-point collisions that occur sequentially at s_1^* and s_2^* . For $s_1^* < s < 1$, the nontrivial RG flow, which includes two pairs of intermediate-coupling fixed points, is depicted in Fig. 3(b). For $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$, there exist two stable phases, CR1 and L1, separated by a line (red) at which a quantum phase transition occurs whose critical properties are solely characterized by the unstable fixed point QC1. In particular, universal properties like critical exponents have to be the same for all anisotropies crossing the separatrix. The criticality of the U(1)-symmetric model has been studied in great detail at $\alpha_z = 0$ [10] and will not be repeated in this work. It

is the purpose of Sec. IV, to determine the precise phase boundary of the CR1–L1 quantum phase transition at finite anisotropies and to confirm the universality of the critical exponent along this line. Moreover, we will study the crossover between the SU(2)-symmetric fixed points and the U(1)-symmetric ones.

Beyond the first fixed-point collision, i.e., for $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$ illustrated in Fig. 3(c), the localized fixed points L and L1 describe the only stable phases for $\alpha_z \neq \alpha_{xy}$. Only within the SU(2)-symmetric manifold we will find nontrivial fixed points. The critical properties of the fully symmetric model have been studied in detail in Ref. [11]. Eventually, for $0 < s < s_2^*$ the last pair of intermediate-coupling fixed points has disappeared due to the second fixed-point collision, so that even the critical behavior at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$ is determined by a localized fixed point.

The RG flow diagrams in Fig. 3 also determine the nature of the quantum phase transitions driven by the spin anisotropy α_z/α_{xy} through the SU(2)-symmetric critical manifold. For $0 < s < s_2^*$, we always find an L1–L transition between two ordered phases that are separated by a first-order transition, because at the high-symmetry point the system is in the L2 phase where both order parameters m_{xy}^2 and m_z^2 are equal and therefore coexist. This symmetry-enhanced first-order transition is described by a discontinuity fixed point, for which we expect to find finite-size scaling relations. Such a first-order transition also occurs for $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$ and $s_1^* < s < 1$ in regimes where the high-symmetry fixed point is L2. However, for $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$ depicted in Fig. 3(c) there exists a regime in which the two localized phases are separated by the critical fixed point CR2 with $m_{xy}^2 = m_z^2 = 0$. As a result, the two ordered phases are separated by a continuous transition. Furthermore, for $s_1^* < s < 1$ in Fig. 3(b) we find a continuous transition between the critical phase CR1 and the localized phase L. We will study these anisotropy-driven quantum phase transitions in Sec. V. In particular, we find that the fixed-point annihilation provides us with a tunable first-order to continuous L1–L transition that can exhibit an arbitrarily weak first-order regime close to the fixed-point annihilation without fine-tuning. In this regime, we will also be able to study the pseudocritical RG scaling in detail.

E. Critical exponents and finite-size scaling

The quantum phase transitions of the anisotropic spin-boson model and their critical properties are determined by the fixed points QC1, CR2, and L2 which have one irrelevant and one relevant RG direction [within the U(1)-symmetric parameter space], as indicated by the in- and outgoing arrowheads in Fig. 3, respectively. In close vicinity to these fixed points, the RG equations can be linearized and decoupled so that the RG flow along the (ir)relevant scaling variables becomes $\Delta\alpha_i(\mu) \propto \mu^{-1/\nu_i}$ with $i \in \{||, \perp\}$. Here, $\Delta\alpha_{||}$ denotes the iterated distance along the high-symmetry direction as the RG scale




FIG. 3. Schematic illustration of the RG flow for the anisotropic spin-boson model as a function of the dissipation strengths α_{xy} and α_z within the different regimes tuned by the bath exponent s . Stable (unstable) fixed points (within their symmetry sector) are marked by crosses (circles) and the direction of the RG flow is indicated by the arrowheads. (a) For $s > 1$, the free-spin fixed point F is stable for all α_i , as indicated by the green shaded area. (b) For $s_1^* < s < 1$, F becomes unstable. There appear two pairs of intermediate-coupling fixed points, one pair on the diagonal line ($\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$) and the other at $\alpha_z = 0$, each consisting of a critical phase $CR1/2$ and a quantum critical point $QC1/2$. Note that $CR2$ and $QC2$ are unstable towards perturbations that break the $SU(2)$ spin symmetry and lead to the stable fixed points of the localized phases L and $L1$ as well as to the stable critical phase $CR1$. The yellow (gray) shaded area indicates the extent of the critical phase $CR1$ ($CR2$) and the red line the separatrix between the $CR1$ and $L1$ phases. (c) For $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$, the fixed points $CR1$ and $QC1$ have disappeared via fixed-point annihilation. Only $CR2$ and $QC2$ remain, which are still unstable towards symmetry-breaking perturbations leading to L and $L1$. (d) For $0 < s < s_2^*$, also the second pair of fixed points has disappeared, so that the localized phases L , $L1$, and $L2$ are the only stable phases within their symmetry sectors.

μ is reduced, whereas $\Delta\alpha_\perp$ describes the perpendicular flow. Speed and direction of the RG flow is determined by the inverse correlation-length exponents $1/\nu_i$; a positive (negative) exponent describes a relevant (irrelevant) perturbation. All points in parameter space that flow into the fixed point along the direction for which $1/\nu_i < 0$ belong to the critical manifold of this fixed point; in Fig. 3 these are, e.g., the red line for $QC1$ or the gray shaded line for $CR2$. Then, all paths that cross the critical manifold will eventually converge to the flow line determined by the relevant direction at the fixed point so that the corresponding $1/\nu_i > 0$ dictates the scaling at the phase transition. For the fixed points $QC1$, $CR2$, and $L2$ we denote the critical exponents by $1/\nu_{QC1\parallel}$, $1/\nu_{CR2\perp}$, and $1/\nu_{L2\perp}$, respectively.

To determine the critical exponents from our numerical data, we consider the scaling relation

$$A(\alpha, \beta) = \beta^{-\kappa/\nu} f_A(\beta^{1/\nu}(\alpha - \alpha^c), \beta^{-\omega}), \quad (13)$$

where f_A is a universal function, α is a parameter that is tuned across the critical coupling α^c , $\beta = 1/T$ is inverse temperature, $\beta^{-\omega}$ describes subleading corrections to scaling, and κ is an exponent that depends on the observable A . For example, the local moment at zero temperature fulfils $m_i^2(\alpha) \propto (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^c)^{2\beta'}$ such that $\kappa = 2\beta'$. For most of our analysis, we consider RG-invariant observables with $\kappa = 0$ like the normalized correlation length ξ_i/β or the correlation ratio R_i defined in Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively.

For $\kappa = 0$ and in the absence of any correction terms, all data sets $A(\alpha, \beta)$ exhibit a common crossing at the critical coupling α^c , independent of the chosen β . In this case, it is sufficient to tune $1/\nu$ until all our numerical data collapse onto the universal function f_A . In the

presence of subleading corrections, we extract the pseudocritical couplings $\alpha_{pc}^A(\beta)$ from the crossings between data sets $(\beta, r\beta)$, $r > 1$. For $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, the pseudocritical couplings converge to the critical coupling $\propto \beta^{-(1/\nu+\omega)}$. Such a crossing analysis was used to obtain the fixed-point couplings in Fig. 1 and the phase diagrams shown below, as described in detail in Ref. [11]. While there are many ways to extract the critical exponent $1/\nu$ from the scaling ansatz in Eq. (13), the crossing analysis allows us to define a sliding critical exponent

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{pc}^A(\beta)} = \frac{1}{\ln r} \ln \left(\frac{dA(\alpha, r\beta)/d\alpha}{dA(\alpha, \beta)/d\alpha} \right)_{\alpha=\alpha_{pc}^A(\beta)} \quad (14)$$

that converges to the true exponent with $\mathcal{O}(\beta^{-\omega})$ corrections and has been used in the study of deconfined criticality [55]. In practice, we evaluate the derivatives by fitting each data set with a cubic function near the crossing and use a bootstrapping analysis to estimate the statistical error. Our estimator for the sliding exponent will become useful in Sec. V C, when we discuss how the slow RG flow within the critical manifold close to the fixed-point collision affects the finite-size scaling at the quantum phase transition.

IV. PHASE DIAGRAMS AND CROSSOVER BEHAVIOR AT FINITE ANISOTROPIES

In this section, we use our QMC method to determine the phase diagram of the $U(1)$ -symmetric anisotropic spin-boson model along different cuts in parameter space at fixed α_z/α_{xy} . Moreover, we study the crossover behavior from the $SU(2)$ -symmetric case towards the fixed

points in the U(1)-symmetric plane.

A. Finite-size analysis of the spin susceptibility

First, we want to discuss how we determine the fixed-point couplings and phase boundaries presented throughout this work. It is convenient to consider the normalized correlation length ξ_{xy}/β defined in Eq. (7) which diverges in the localized phases L1/2, but remains finite in the critical phases CR1/2. Exactly at the RG fixed points, ξ_{xy}/β becomes scale invariant and exhibits crossings for different temperatures if plotted as a function of the dissipation strength. While ξ_{xy}/β is expected to remain finite throughout the entire critical phase, it exhibits subleading corrections away from the fixed points. In the same way, we can use the prediction of the perturbative RG that $\chi_{xy}(T) \propto T^{-s}$ at the critical fixed point (and therefore throughout the critical phase with additional subleading corrections). Then, $T^s \chi_{xy}$ exhibits the same crossings as ξ_{xy}/β , but smaller statistical fluctuations of the QMC estimator make the analysis of $T^s \chi_{xy}$ more precise [11].

Figure 4(a) shows $T^s \chi_{xy}$ as a function of α_{xy} for the U(1)-symmetric model at $\alpha_z = 0$ and $s = 0.8$. We observe two clean crossings which correspond to the weak- and strong-coupling fixed points CR1 and QC1, respectively. To estimate the precise fixed-point values, we determine the crossings between data pairs $(T, T/10)$ and extrapolate them towards $T \rightarrow 0$ using a power-law fitting function; the details of this analysis have been described in Ref. [11] and its Supplemental Material. The extrapolated fixed-point couplings are shown in Fig. 1(a).

Figure 4(b) shows the same finite-temperature analysis, but for $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$. Again, we find a clean crossing at strong couplings, which, compared to the case of $\alpha_z = 0$ depicted in Fig. 4(a), has shifted towards larger values of α_{xy} . This critical coupling α_{xy}^c marks the quantum phase transition between the CR1 and L1 phases to which our system flows under the RG starting from either side of the separatrix, as illustrated in Fig. 3(b). However, the clean crossing at weak couplings has dissolved into pairwise intersections that drift substantially as we lower the temperature. This is a direct consequence of the fact that there is no weak-coupling fixed point in the $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$ plane, but the system flows towards CR1 at $\alpha_z = 0$. All in all, our finite-temperature analysis of $T^s \chi_{xy}$ is in excellent agreement with the RG picture discussed in Sec. III D.

B. Phase diagrams at different anisotropies

Based on our RG analysis in Sec. III D and our numerical analysis in Sec. IV A, we can determine the phase diagrams of the anisotropic spin-boson model along different cuts in parameter space.




FIG. 4. Finite-temperature analysis of the rescaled susceptibility $T^s \chi_{xy}$ as a function of the dissipation strength α_{xy} for fixed anisotropies (a) $\alpha_z = 0$ and (b) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$ at $s = 0.8$. (a) At $\alpha_z = 0$, $T^s \chi_{xy}$ exhibits two clean crossings, as highlighted in the two insets, which correspond to the critical fixed point CR1 and the quantum critical fixed point QC1 and are marked by the vertical dashed lines. (b) At $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$, we observe a clear crossing at strong couplings, which corresponds to the critical coupling α_{xy}^c between the critical and the localized phase. However, the crossings at weak couplings do not converge to a fixed value, but significantly drift with decreasing temperature (see inset); this is consistent with the absence of a weak-coupling fixed point at finite anisotropies.

Figure 5(a) shows the phase diagram of the U(1)-symmetric spin-boson model at $\alpha_z = 0$ as a function of the bath exponent s and the dissipation strength α_{xy} . Our system is in the free-spin phase F for $s > 1$ and enters the critical phase CR1 for $s < 1$, which is destroyed at strong couplings $\alpha > \alpha_{QC1}$ as well as for $s < s_1^*$, i.e., beyond the fixed-point collision. Our QMC results are in good agreement with the phase boundaries obtained from previous MPS studies [9, 10, 87].

At $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$, the stable phases shown in Fig. 5(b) are the same as in Fig. 5(a), only the phase boundary has slightly shifted towards larger couplings. Because the phase structure at $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$ is determined by the fixed points lying in the $\alpha_z = 0$ plane, the critical phase can only exist for $s_1^* < s < 1$. The estimation of α_{xy}^c ($s = s_1^*$) is complicated by the slow RG flow near s_1^* and the ab-




FIG. 5. Phase diagrams as a function of the bath exponent s and the spin-boson coupling α_{xy} for different spin anisotropies (a) $\alpha_z = 0$, (b) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}/2$, (c) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, and (d) $\alpha_z > \alpha_{xy}$. The red crosses in panels (a) and (c) indicate the positions of the fixed-point collisions estimated in Fig. 2, whereas the red ellipse in panel (b) marks the region where the critical coupling is supposed to disappear.

sense of an appropriate fitting function. However, already for $s \lesssim s_1^*$ we do not find well-defined crossings in $T^s \chi_{xy}$ or ξ_{xy}/β anymore that would signal a quantum phase transition.

At $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, the phase diagram in Fig. 5(c) is now determined by the localized and critical fixed points L2 and CR2 of the SU(2)-symmetric manifold, in which the critical phase remains stable down to bath exponents of $s_2^* < s_1^*$ and up to larger couplings $\alpha_{QC2} > \alpha_{QC1}$. This has important consequences for the properties of the quantum phase transitions tuned through the SU(2)-symmetric plane, as discussed in Sec. V.

Eventually, for $\alpha_z > \alpha_{xy}$ shown in Fig. 5(d), the subohmic regime is governed by the L fixed point along the spin z direction.

C. Anisotropy effects on the phase boundary

Figures 4 and 5 have already indicated that the critical coupling α_{xy}^c between the CR1 and L1 phases at $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$ increases with the spin anisotropy. In Fig. 6(a), we take a closer look at the evolution of $\vec{\alpha}_c = (\alpha_{xy}^c, \alpha_{xy}^c, \alpha_z^c)$ as a function of the anisotropy at fixed $s = 0.8$. It turns out that the critical phase becomes extremely narrow close to $\vec{\alpha}_{QC2}$. To quantify how $\vec{\alpha}_c$ approaches $\vec{\alpha}_{QC2}$, we plot the anisotropy parameter $\Delta = 1 - \alpha_z/\alpha_{xy}$ at the crit-




FIG. 6. (a) Phase diagram at $s = 0.8$ as a function of the spin-boson couplings α_{xy} and α_z . The blue markers (red circles) indicate the positions of the critical (quantum critical) fixed points. (b) Evolution of the critical coupling $\vec{\alpha}_c$ as a function of the distance to QC2 and of the spin anisotropy $\Delta = 1 - \alpha_z/\alpha_{xy}$ on a log-log scale.

ical coupling as a function of the distance to α_{QC2} in Fig. 5(b). Our data is consistent with a power-law convergence towards α_{QC2} , such that its slope becomes zero when approaching the SU(2)-symmetric manifold.

D. Crossover behavior at finite anisotropies

For $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$, all phases and their properties are eventually determined by the fixed points at $\alpha_z = 0$. Here, we study the crossover behavior from the SU(2)-symmetric manifold towards the U(1)-symmetric fixed points.

1. Quantum criticality determined by QC1

The critical properties at the phase boundary $\vec{\alpha}_c$ shown in Fig. 6 are determined by the quantum critical fixed point QC1, i.e., the same critical exponents need to apply to different anisotropies Δ . We consider the normalized correlation length ξ_{xy}/β which fulfils the scaling form [cf. Eq. (13)]

$$\xi_{xy}/\beta = f_{\xi_{xy}}^{\text{QC1}\parallel}(\beta^{1/\nu_{\text{QC1}\parallel}}(\alpha_{xy} - \alpha_{xy}^c)). \quad (15)$$

We tune α_{xy} across the critical coupling α_{xy}^c and rescale temperature using the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{\text{QC1}\parallel}$ at fixed point QC1 which lies within the $\alpha_z = 0$ manifold. At $s = 0.8$, $1/\nu_{\text{QC1}\parallel} = 0.1073(15)$ has been determined by QMC [11] and is in good agreement with previous MPS results [10, 87].

Figure 7(a) shows a data collapse of ξ_{xy}/β at $\alpha_z = 0$, for which we obtain excellent overlap of all temperature sets that have been considered. At an intermediate anisotropy of $\Delta = 0.5$ shown in Fig. 7(b), the agreement is still very good, only at the highest temperature $T/\omega_c = 10^{-3}$ our data starts to diverge slightly earlier from the universal curve. High-temperature deviations become larger at $\Delta = 0.1$ shown in Fig. 7(c), but the lowest temperatures still converge to a universal function.




FIG. 7. (a)–(d) Scaling collapse of the correlation length ξ_{xy} for different spin anisotropies $\Delta \in \{1.0, 0.5, 0.1, 0.01\}$ and different critical couplings α_{xy}^c , but with the same correlation-length exponent $\nu_{\text{QC1}\parallel} = 0.1073(15)$ of the quantum-critical fixed point QC1 [11]. (e) A collection of all data from panels (a)–(d). Here, $s = 0.8$.

Only at substantially smaller anisotropies of $\Delta = 0.01$ depicted in Fig. 7(d), convergence towards the expected critical behavior has not yet been achieved.

If we collect the data for all anisotropies in one plot, as it is the case in Fig. 7(e), we observe that the three data sets for $\Delta \gtrsim 0.1$ seem to converge to a universal function, whereas $\Delta = 0.01$ still shows a substantial drift. Note that it is not required that all curves converge to the same universal function, because we perform our data collapse in the bare couplings α_{xy} at finite anisotropies and not in the scaling variable at the fixed point. The fact that we do not find considerable deviations for $\Delta \gtrsim 0.1$ indicates that such corrections are small in this regime. Nonetheless, at criticality all data sets need to converge to the same value of ξ_{xy}/β .

In principle, our crossover analysis can be repeated for other critical exponents and for other bath exponents




FIG. 8. Temperature dependence of the spin susceptibilities χ_{xy} and χ_z for different anisotropies Δ . Our results illustrate the crossover from the SU(2)-symmetric three-bath model at $\Delta = 0.0$ to the U(1)-symmetric two-bath model at $\Delta = 1.0$ for fixed dissipation strengths (a),(c) $\alpha_{xy} = 0.172 \simeq \alpha_{\text{CR1}}$ and (b),(d) $\alpha_{xy} = 1.776 \simeq \alpha_{\text{QC2}}$. Black dashed lines indicate the asymptotic behavior T^{-s} and T^{-1} , as labeled in the different panels. Here, $s = 0.8$.

s . Because for $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$ the fixed points of the two-bath spin-boson model determine the critical behavior, we refer to Ref. [10] for an extensive discussion of the quantum criticality at these fixed points.

2. Crossover of the spin susceptibilities

At small anisotropies $\Delta = 1 - \alpha_z/\alpha_{xy}$ and sufficiently high temperatures, signatures of the SU(2)-symmetric fixed points should remain accessible before a crossover towards the U(1)-symmetric physics occurs. Figure 8 illustrates this crossover for the spin susceptibilities χ_{xy} and χ_z as a function of temperature and for different Δ .

First, we consider a coupling $\alpha_{xy} = 0.172$ that is deep within the critical phase and vary Δ ; then, the in-plane susceptibility follows a power law $\chi_{xy} \propto T^{-s}$ for all $\Delta \leq 1$, as shown in Fig. 8(a). Here, we have chosen $\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_{\text{CR1}}$, such that for $\Delta = 1$ our path terminates exactly at CR1. Therefore, subleading corrections vanish

at $\Delta = 1$ and lead to a clean power-law behavior, whereas for any $0 \leq \Delta < 1$ correction terms are still present and χ_{xy} approaches the T^{-s} behavior only slowly. The out-of-plane susceptibility χ_z shown in Fig. 8(c) is expected to follow T^{-s} only at $\Delta = 0$ (with subleading corrections) and to perform a crossover towards $\chi_z \propto T^{-\tilde{\eta}_z}$ for any $\Delta > 0$ which is determined by the exponent $\tilde{\eta}_z = 1 - \eta_z$ at the fixed point CR1 [note that η_z has been defined in Sec. III B via the imaginary-time decay of $\chi_z(\tau) \propto \tau^{-\eta_z}$]. At $\Delta = 1$, we estimate $\tilde{\eta}_z \approx 0.42$, which is in agreement with previous MPS results on the two-bath spin-boson model [10], where the dependence on the bath exponent s has been calculated in more detail and compared with the perturbative result [70]. For both susceptibilities, we observe that $\Delta = 0.01$ is indistinguishable from the SU(2)-symmetric case for $T/\omega_c \gtrsim 10^{-6}$, whereas for larger anisotropies the crossover temperature increases steadily. We find that the crossover of the out-of-plane susceptibility χ_z occurs very slowly and even for $\Delta = 0.5$ has not approached $T^{-\tilde{\eta}_z}$. Similar behavior has been observed at $\alpha_z = 0$ when tuning α_{xy} away from the stable fixed-point coupling [73], so that one could easily misinterpret this behavior as a varying exponent. Such a slow RG flow is characteristic near the critical fixed points and related to the small inverse correlation-length exponents along both directions, as discussed in Sec. V B 2.

We also study the crossover behavior starting from the quantum critical fixed point QC2 at $\alpha_{xy} = 1.776$, as shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(d). At $\Delta = 0$, χ_{xy} and χ_z follow a clean power law T^{-s} , whereas for $\Delta > 0$ our system flows towards the xy -localized fixed point L1 where $\chi_{xy} \propto T^{-1}$ and $\chi_z \rightarrow \text{const}$. In contrast to the critical regime analyzed before, where our data for $\Delta = 0.01$ was indistinguishable from the SU(2)-symmetric case down to temperatures $T/\omega_c \approx 10^{-6}$, the same anisotropy already leads to deviations on a scale of $T/\omega_c \approx 10^{-2}$. At $\alpha_{xy} = 1.776$, it appears that the anisotropy sets the energy scale at which our data starts to diverge from the $\Delta = 0$ case. Eventually, we need anisotropies of $\Delta = 10^{-5}$ for our data to become indistinguishable from the isotropic case for all temperatures $T/\omega_c > 10^{-6}$ considered in Fig. 8. As a result, we would need extremely small anisotropies if we wanted to access the critical exponent $1/\nu_{\text{QC2}\parallel}$ at the CR2–L2 transition from finite-temperature measurements, before the system will start to flow towards QC1. This is in agreement with our previous discussion of Fig. 7.

All in all, the crossover scales are very different for the two fixed points CR2 and QC2. While the properties of the former can be accessed at reasonable anisotropies of $\Delta = 0.01$, the latter requires $\Delta = 10^{-5}$ for the same precision at comparable temperature scales. This suggests that the approximate fixed-point duality is only valid within the high-symmetry manifold, but does not apply perpendicular to it. Of course, the different scales can change significantly as the bath exponent s approaches the fixed-point collision. Below, we will study the flow away from CR2 in more detail.

V. PHASE TRANSITIONS ACROSS THE SU(2)-SYMMETRIC MANIFOLD

In this section, we will study how the nontrivial fixed-point structure within the SU(2)-symmetric parameter manifold determines the rich critical behavior driven by anisotropy. In particular, we will characterize the first- and second-order transitions between different localized and critical phases and how the fixed-point annihilation provides a generic mechanism for a weak first-order transition that is not fine-tuned. Eventually, we will present a detailed discussion of pseudocritical scaling near the fixed-point collision and provide direct numerical evidence for this scenario.

A. Overview of tunable criticality from an analysis of the order parameter

From our analysis of the possible RG flow diagrams in Sec. III D, we have a clear picture of what to expect at the anisotropy-driven quantum phase transition across the high-symmetry manifold at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, as we tune the bath exponent s . Here, we will give an overview of the transition by first looking at the order parameter across the SU(2)-symmetric manifold and then by characterizing the local moment within the high-symmetry plane.

1. Local moment across the transition

Figure 9 shows a finite-size-scaling analysis for the local moments $m_{xy}^2(T)$ and $m_z^2(T)$ estimated via Eq. (6) for different bath exponents s . We fix $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$, i.e., we cross the critical manifold at the dissipation strength at which the two intermediate-coupling fixed points collide for $s_2^* = 0.6540(2)$, and tune the anisotropy parameter α_z/α_{xy} across the transition.

For $s = 0.2$ and $s = 0.4$, as shown in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), we observe a strong first-order transition which occurs between the L1 phase at $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} < 1$ and the L phase at $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} > 1$. The L1 phase is characterized by $m_{xy}^2 > 0$ and $m_z^2 = 0$, whereas in the L phase $m_{xy}^2 = 0$ and $m_z^2 > 0$. Exactly at the transition, the two orders coexist, i.e., $m_{xy}^2 = m_z^2 > 0$, because the SU(2)-symmetric transition point is governed by the localized fixed point L2. For $s = 0.6$ shown in Fig. 9(c), our system still exhibits a first-order transition because we are in the regime $s < s_2^*$ illustrated in Fig. 3(d). However, the local moment at the transition point is so small that it has not yet converged for the available temperatures. As a result, the system exhibits a weak first-order transition.

For $s = 0.7$ shown in Fig. 9(d), our RG flow analysis illustrated in Fig. 3(c) suggests that we are in the regime $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$, for which an additional (attractive) critical fixed point CR2 exists at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. For the parameters chosen in Fig. 9(d), we cross the high-symmetry manifold within the basin of attraction of CR2. Therefore,




FIG. 9. Finite-temperature scaling of the local moment estimators $m_{xy}^2(T)$ (blue) and $m_z^2(T)$ (red). Results are shown for various bath exponents s and as a function of the anisotropy α_z/α_{xy} . For all data, we fix $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$.

$m_{xy}^2 = m_z^2 = 0$, so that we expect a continuous transition between the L1 and L phases. We also notice that the order parameter $m_{xy}^2 > 0$ is substantially suppressed for $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} < 1$ because for $s = 0.7$ our system is already close to the fixed-point collision of the U(1)-symmetric model at $s_1^* = 0.7706(1)$, which leads to a slow convergence of the small order parameter.

For $s = 0.85$ shown in Fig. 9(e), our system falls into the regime $s_1^* < s < 1$, for which the schematic RG flow is illustrated in Fig. 3(b). There is an additional stable fixed point CR1 in the xy plane, such that a stable critical phase can exist for $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} < 1$. This is exactly the case for the parameters in Fig. 9(e), for which the system exhibits a continuous CR1–L transition. The convergence of $m_{xy}^2(T) \rightarrow 0$ for $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} < 1$ is even slower than

for $s = 0.7$, where m_{xy}^2 still exhibited a small but finite local moment. This can be understood from the temperature dependence of the susceptibility within the critical phase, $\chi_{xy}(T) \propto T^{-s}$; the convergence of $m_{xy}^2(T) \propto T^{1-s}$ for $T \rightarrow 0$ is expected to become slower with increasing $s \rightarrow 1$. For the same reasons, the temperature convergence is also slow at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$.

Finally, Fig. 9(f) shows the local moments in the superohmic regime at $s = 1.5$, where the system is always in the free-spin phase F according to the RG flow depicted in Fig. 3(a). Indeed, we find $m_{xy}^2, m_z^2 > 0$ for all finite anisotropies. In general, their absolute values differ, but they become equal at the high-symmetry point.

2. Fixed-point annihilation in the high-symmetry manifold as a mechanism for a weak first-order transition

In the following, we take a closer look at the local moment $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2$ of the SU(2)-symmetric model, because it determines the coexistence of the two order parameters m_{xy}^2 and m_z^2 of the anisotropic system right at the transition. Most importantly, $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2$ gives quantitative insight into the extent of the parameter regime in which we can find a weak first-order transition.

Figure 10(a) shows $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2(T)$ at fixed $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy} = 0.5$, for which the dissipation strength is chosen to be larger than $\alpha_2^* = 0.317(1)$, i.e., the coupling at the fixed-point collision. The local moment remains finite within the L2 and F phases, but continuously scales to zero when approaching the CR2 phase. For the anisotropy-driven transition, we are particularly interested in the vanishing of $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2$ when tuning s from the L2 phase towards the CR2 phase. In order to get an arbitrarily small order parameter, we have to tune the system close to the phase boundary. In this case, the weak first-order L1–L transition is fine-tuned.

In Fig. 10(b), the bath coupling $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy} = 0.05$ is chosen to be much smaller than $\alpha_2^* = 0.317(1)$. As a result, the local moment is strongly suppressed for $s \lesssim s_2^*$. A naive extrapolation suggests that the order parameter is almost zero at $s \approx 0.5$. However, the fixed-point collision will only occur at $s_2^* = 0.6540(2)$, leaving a wide parameter range where $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2$ is extremely small. Consequently, the weak first-order transition driven through this extended region in parameter space is not fine-tuned. An analysis of the pseudocritical scaling that naturally occurs in this regime will be discussed in Sec. VC. A detailed discussion of the SU(2)-symmetric spin-boson model, including further results on the local moment and the fixed-point annihilation, can be found in Ref. [11].

Beyond our interest in exotic criticality, the evolution of the local moment as a function of the bath exponent s also gives insight into the quantum-to-classical crossover of a spin coupled to the environment. For $s \rightarrow \infty$, the bath density of states is essentially zero and therefore we recover the local moment of a free spin, i.e., $S(S+1)/3$.




FIG. 10. Temperature convergence of the local moment estimate $m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2(T)$ as a function of the bath exponent s at dissipation strengths (a) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy} = 0.5$ and (b) $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy} = 0.05$. The shaded areas correspond to the stable phases L2, CR2, and F of the SU(2)-symmetric model. The red dashed lines in panel (b) indicate the local moments of a quantum spin [$m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 = S(S+1)/3$] and a classical spin [$m_{\text{SU}(2)}^2 = S^2/3$]. Results are taken from the Supplemental Material of Ref. [11].

By contrast, for $s \rightarrow 0$ the dynamical fluctuations of the spin are substantially suppressed by the coupling to the bath, so that the spin gets stuck in a classical state with a local moment of $S^2/3$; this happens for any dissipation strength [11].

B. Critical properties at fixed points L2 and CR2

Our preceding study of the order parameter in Sec. V A can only give a first impression of the phase transitions experienced by the anisotropic spin-boson model. As follows, we will characterize the critical properties of the first-order and second-order transitions by performing a detailed finite-size-scaling analysis that gives us access to the critical exponents at both fixed points L2 and CR2.

1. Finite-size scaling at the first-order transition

The first-order transition between the two long-range-ordered localized phases L1 and L is described by the fixed point L2 that is stable within the SU(2)-symmetric manifold but unstable to anisotropy. We have observed in Fig. 9 that the local-moment order parameter is discontinuous




FIG. 11. (a),(b) Finite-temperature analysis of the correlation ratios R_{xy} (blue) and R_z (red) at $s = 0.2$ and $s = 0.4$ as a function of α_z/α_{xy} with $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$. We use a logarithmic scale for the anisotropy, because then the short-range correlations across the first-order transition become symmetric under $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} \leftrightarrow \alpha_{xy}/\alpha_z$. Note that the data points have not been chosen according to this symmetry. (c)–(f) Scaling collapse of the correlation ratios for $s \in \{0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8\}$ with $1/\nu_{L2\perp} = s$. Panels (c) and (d) show the same data as in (a) and (b), whereas for panels (e) and (f) we choose stronger dissipation strengths of $\alpha_{xy} = 5.0$ and 10.0 , respectively.

continuous across the transition. RG predicts that finite-size scaling also holds at this discontinuity fixed point [88, 89].

To test the scaling hypothesis, we consider the correlation ratios R_{xy} and R_z defined in Eq. (8), which scale to one (zero) in the corresponding (dis)ordered phase. Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show R_{xy} and R_z at $s = 0.2$ and $s = 0.4$ for the same parameters as in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), i.e., at a dissipation strength of $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$. We plot our data against the logarithm of the anisotropy

α_z/α_{xy} , because then a symmetry between the short-range correlations of R_{xy} and R_z across $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} = 1$ becomes apparent. Together with this symmetry, our data suggest that a finite-size scaling

$$R_i = f_{R_i}^{L2\perp}(\beta^{1/\nu_{L2\perp}}(\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} - 1)) \quad (16)$$

based on Eq. (13) is valid. For a system with short-range interactions, the theory of discontinuity fixed points predicts that the inverse correlation-length exponent is given by the spatial dimension [88, 89]. For our spin impurity with long-range retarded interactions, we find that the critical exponent is given by the bath exponent s , i.e.,

$$1/\nu_{L2\perp} = s. \quad (17)$$

For our data at $s = 0.2$ and $s = 0.4$, the corresponding data collapses are shown in Figs. 11(c) and 11(d). We observe excellent scaling behavior using the exponent given in Eq. (17). However, such a scaling collapse will fail for our data in Fig. 9(c), because at $s = 0.6$ the RG flow towards L2 becomes extremely slow near the fixed-point collision. Therefore, we probe the first-order scaling hypothesis at $s = 0.6$ and $s = 0.8$ in the strong-coupling regime with $\alpha_{xy} = 5.0$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 10.0$, as depicted in Figs. 11(e) and 11(f), respectively. In this limit, our system quickly flows to the strong-coupling fixed points and we can probe an excellent scaling collapse again.

We note that the data collapse at the first-order transition also occurs for the normalized correlation lengths ξ_{xy}/β and ξ_z/β . However, for ξ_{xy} (ξ_z) it can only be observed for $\alpha_z > \alpha_{xy}$ ($\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$), i.e., in the corresponding disordered phase where the correlation length is finite. In the xy (z) ordered phase, ξ_{xy}/β (ξ_z/β) diverges with β . Plotting the correlation ratio (8) in Fig. 11 has the advantage that it converges to one in the ordered phase and therefore hides this issue.

2. Nature of the continuous phase transitions

The existence of the SU(2)-symmetric critical phase CR2 for $s > s_2^*$ renders the quantum phase transition between the xy and z phases continuous. For $s_2^* < s < s_1^*$, this transition is between the ordered L1 and L phases, whereas for $s_1^* < s < 1$ it is between the critical CR1 phase and the L phase. In the following, we characterize these transitions via their critical exponents.

Figure 12(a) shows the normalized correlation lengths ξ_{xy}/β and ξ_z/β across the phase transition at $s = 0.66$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 0.2267 \simeq \alpha_{CR2}$. We select $s \gtrsim s_2^*$ close to the fixed-point annihilation and tune the anisotropy right through the fixed point CR2 at $\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} = 1$ to minimize scaling corrections within the critical manifold. For different temperature sets, our data exhibits a common crossing at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. Based on Eq. (13), we use a scaling ansatz

$$\xi_i/\beta = f_{\xi_i}^{CR2\perp}(\beta^{1/\nu_{CR2\perp}}(\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} - 1)) \quad (18)$$




FIG. 12. (a) Normalized correlation lengths ξ_{xy}/β (blue) and ξ_z/β (red) as a function of the anisotropy α_z/α_{xy} and for different temperatures. (b) Scaling collapse using the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{CR2\perp} \approx 0.333(7)$. Here, $s = 0.66$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 0.2267 \simeq \alpha_{CR2}$, so that the transition occurs at CR2.

and the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{CR2\perp} = 0.333(7)$ leads to an excellent data collapse of ξ_{xy} and ξ_z across the second-order transition, as illustrated in Fig. 12(b). Details on how we extract the correlation-length exponent across CR2 from our numerical data can be found in App. C.

For the same parameters as in Fig. 12, we also perform a finite-size-scaling analysis of the local-moment estimates $m_{xy}^2(T)$ and $m_z^2(T)$, as shown in Fig. 13(a). The scaling form [cf. Eq. (13)]

$$m_i^2(T) = \beta^{-2\beta'_{CR2\perp}/\nu_{CR2\perp}} f_{m_i^2}^{CR2\perp}(\beta^{1/\nu_{CR2\perp}}(\alpha_z/\alpha_{xy} - 1)) \quad (19)$$

allows us to extract the magnetization exponent $\beta'_{CR2\perp}$ from the temperature dependence of $m_i^2(T)$, given that we have already determined $1/\nu_{CR2\perp}$ from Fig. 12. For $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, the scaling form becomes $m_i^2(T; \vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha}_{CR2}) \propto T^{2\beta'_{CR2\perp}/\nu_{CR2\perp}}$. At the critical fixed point CR2, the exact low-temperature behavior of the spin susceptibility, $\chi_i(T) \propto T^{-s}$, fixes the ratio of the two critical exponents,

$$\frac{\beta'_{CR2\perp}}{\nu_{CR2\perp}} = \frac{1-s}{2}. \quad (20)$$

Note that the same hyperscaling relation holds for the in-plane exponents at QC1 and QC2 [10, 11]. If we choose the magnetization exponent according to Eq. (20), we obtain a good data collapse for $m_i^2(T)$, as demonstrated in Fig. 13(b).

Figure 14 shows $1/\nu_{CR2\perp}$ and $\beta'_{CR2\perp}$ as a function of the bath exponent s . Both critical exponents are finite at the coordinates (s_2^*, α_2^*) of the fixed-point collision. With increasing s , $1/\nu_{CR2\perp}$ steadily decreases, whereas $\beta'_{CR2\perp}$ increases. The evolution of the two exponents appears continuous in s and does not take notice of the change in the xy phase from L1 to CR1 at $s_1^* = 0.7706(1)$;




FIG. 13. (a) Finite-temperature estimates of the local moments $m_{xy}^2(T)$ (blue) and $m_z^2(T)$ (red) as a function of the anisotropy α_z/α_{xy} and for different temperatures. (b) Scaling collapse using the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} \approx 0.333(7)$ estimated in Fig. 12 and $\beta'_{\text{CR}2\perp}$ obtained via Eq. (20). Here, $s = 0.66$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 0.2267 \simeq \alpha_{\text{CR}2}$, so that we tune the system right through CR2 at the transition.

because the critical properties at the anisotropy-driven transition are only defined by the local properties of fixed point CR2, this is also not expected. For $s \rightarrow 1$, both exponents approach the prediction of the weak-coupling perturbative RG [70] (cf. App. B), i.e.,

$$1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} = \frac{1-s}{2} + \frac{(1-s)^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^3], \quad (21)$$

$$\beta'_{\text{CR}2\perp} = 1 - (1-s) + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^2]. \quad (22)$$

Note that $\beta'_{\text{CR}2\perp}$ is obtained from Eq. (21) using Eq. (20). In particular $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta'_{\text{CR}2\perp} \rightarrow 1$ for $s \rightarrow 1$, consistent with our numerical data in Fig. 14.

To complete our analysis of CR2, Fig. 14(a) also contains the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel}$ within the SU(2)-symmetric critical manifold. It can be extracted in the same way as the out-of-plane exponent, we only need to perform our scaling analysis at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$. For $s \rightarrow 1$, the in-plane exponent approaches the prediction of the perturbative RG [70],

$$1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel} = -(1-s) + \frac{(1-s)^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}[(1-s)^3]. \quad (23)$$

For $s \rightarrow s_2^*$, the analyticity of the beta function close to the fixed-point annihilation requires [cf. Eq. (12)]

$$1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel} = -C_{\text{CR}2\parallel} \sqrt{s - s_2^*} + \mathcal{O}(s - s_2^*). \quad (24)$$

The proportionality constant $C_{\text{CR}2\parallel} = 0.72(2)$ has been obtained in Ref. [11] by fitting QMC results for $1/\nu_{\text{QC}2\parallel}$ to the form of Eq. (24). Because $1/\nu_{\text{QC}2\parallel}$ and $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel}$ must have the same leading behavior near the fixed-point collision, we can directly transfer this result. Figure 14(a) confirms that Eq. (24) is consistent with our numerical data. As expected from the approximate fixed-point duality, the absolute numerical values for $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel}$ are close to the ones for $1/\nu_{\text{QC}2\parallel}$ obtained in Ref. [11].




FIG. 14. (a) Inverse correlation-length exponents at the fixed point CR2 within (\parallel) and perpendicular to (\perp) the SU(2)-symmetric manifold as a function of the bath exponent s . The black dashed lines indicate the predictions (23) and (21) of the perturbative RG [70] as well as the asymptotic behavior (24) for $s \rightarrow s_2^*$ [11]. (b) Magnetization exponent according to Eq. (20) with the prediction (22) for $s \rightarrow 1$.

Finally, we want to note that $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp}$ seems to exhibit the same nonanalytic behavior for $s \rightarrow s_2^*$ as $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\parallel}$. If we shift Eq. (24) by $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp}(s = s_2^*) = 0.390(5)$, the analytic prediction fits the numerical data for $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp}$ perfectly [we do not show this fit in Fig. 14(a) because the curves fully overlap]. This equivalence also occurs in the perturbative RG result discussed in App. B, although it goes beyond its range of validity.

C. Pseudocriticality on both sides of the fixed-point collision

Until now, we have studied the critical properties of the spin-boson model only in setups in which the anisotropy was tuned right through the CR2 fixed point or deep in the localized phase where the system quickly flows to L2. Even for the CR1-L1 transition at $\alpha_z < \alpha_{xy}$, the flow towards $\alpha_z = 0$ was rather fast. Usually, it is expected that the component of the RG flow that lies within the critical manifold converges quickly towards its attractive fixed point. As a result, one will probe the same critical exponents at any intersection with the critical manifold. In the vicinity of the fixed-point collision, this in-plane flow can become extremely slow, such that we do not probe universal behavior but get stuck in a pseudocritical regime. In the following, we want to study this regime in detail for the anisotropic spin-boson model.

1. Renormalization-group flow near the fixed-point collision

Before we continue with the discussion of our numerical results, we first want to review some properties that hold near the fixed-point collision and help us to get a better understanding of the RG flow within and perpendicular to the critical manifold. The approximate beta function close to the collision, as stated in Eq. (12) and solved in App. A, can give us a quantitative idea of the RG flow




FIG. 15. RG flow diagrams for the two-loop beta function in Eq. (9) as a function of α_{xy} and α_z for different bath exponents s across the fixed-point annihilation, which occurs at $s_{\text{pRG2}}^* = 0.5$ and $\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_z = \alpha_{\text{pRG2}}^* = 0.25$. Blue crosses (red circles) indicate the stable (unstable) SU(2)-symmetric fixed points which are present for (a) $s = 0.6$ and (b) $s = 0.501$, but have disappeared for (c) $s = 0.499$ and (d) $s = 0.4$. We consider different vertical cuts (yellow lines) across $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$ and how they evolve under the RG as the reference scale μ/μ_0 is reduced (green lines). For $s > s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$, these curves eventually converge to the blue line, which identifies the RG flow from the stable fixed point pRG2- towards its relevant perturbation. In the vicinity of pRG2-, the RG flow is characterized by the in- and out-of-plane inverse correlation-length exponents $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel}$ and $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\perp}$, which can lead to an extremely slow RG flow along the diagonal close to the fixed-point collision in panel (b). For $s < s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$, these cuts will eventually flow towards infinity, but for $s \lesssim s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$ shown in panel (c) there is a regime around $\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_z = \alpha_{\text{pRG2}}^*$ with an extremely slow RG flow in $|s - s_{\text{pRG2}}^*|$. The consequences of the slow RG flow are discussed in the main text. Further details on the solution of the two-loop beta function (9) can be found in App. B.

within the critical manifold, but does not contain any information about the perpendicular RG flow. Therefore, we also study the RG flow diagrams of the weak-coupling beta function in Eq. (9), which contain the two sequential fixed-point annihilations of our model. Although the perturbative beta function does not have predictive power at strong couplings, the qualitative behavior that is just tied to the existence of a fixed-point collision remains reliable. Details on the perturbative RG solution are summarized in App. B. Moreover, our numerical estimates for the in- and out-of-plane correlation-length exponents in Fig. 14(a) give us quantitative information about the RG flow near CR2.

Figure 15 depicts the RG flow of the beta function (9) as a function of α_{xy} and α_z for different bath exponents s on both sides of the fixed-point annihilation. In the context of Fig. 15, we call the fixed points within the SU(2)-symmetric manifold pRG2 \pm (as they are obtained from the perturbative RG) and the fixed-point collision occurs at s_{pRG2}^* . For $s \gg s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$ shown in Fig. 15(a), we consider two cuts in parameter space (yellow lines) that cross the

critical manifold in some distance to pRG2-. We assume that our system starts its RG flow on these cuts at the reference scale μ_0 and gets attracted towards the fixed point as we reduce the RG scale μ . During this process, the vertical line deforms, gets stretched out, and eventually converges to the attractor (blue line) that is spanned by the RG flow starting from the fixed point pRG2- and evolving along the direction of its relevant perturbation. Close to the fixed point, the direction and speed of the RG flow is determined by the in-plane and out-of-plane inverse correlation-length exponents $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel} < 0$ and $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\perp} > 0$, respectively. Along its characteristic directions, the distance to the fixed point $\Delta\bar{\alpha}$ scales as

$$\Delta\bar{\alpha}(\mu) \propto (\mu/\mu_0)^{-1/\nu} \quad (25)$$

and therefore decreases (increases) along the \parallel (\perp) direction. The speed of the RG flow is determined by the absolute values of $1/\nu$; in Fig. 15(a) both exponents are of the same order. However, $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel} \approx -0.25$ is still rather small, so that the flow towards the attractor takes several orders of magnitude in μ/μ_0 , which will become visible in

the subleading corrections in our finite-size-scaling analysis. Once our system has converged to this attractor, we are able to measure the perpendicular correlation-length exponent along its direction through the fixed point.

In Fig. 15(b), we have tuned this scenario close to the fixed-point collision. In the vicinity of the fixed point, the scaling form (25) is still valid, but the relative scales of the two correlation-length exponents has changed dramatically. The perpendicular RG flow is even faster than before so that the orientation of our vertical line quickly turns parallel to the attractor, but the parallel (\parallel) flow towards the fixed point becomes so slow that within several orders of magnitude in μ/μ_0 we are still far from convergence towards the $\mu \rightarrow 0$ limit. While in this regime the RG flow still follows Eq. (25), it becomes substantially different if we start the RG flow right between the two fixed points where both the beta function and its derivative are close to zero. Then, the covered distance from $\bar{\alpha}^*$ within the critical manifold, i.e., $\Delta\bar{\alpha}_{\parallel}(\mu) = |\bar{\alpha}_{\parallel}(\mu) - \bar{\alpha}^*|$, scales as

$$\Delta\bar{\alpha}_{\parallel}(\mu) = a |s - s^*| \ln(\mu_0/\mu), \quad (26)$$

which is valid in a small interval $\Delta\bar{\alpha}_{\parallel}(\mu) \ll \sqrt{a|s - s^*|/b}$ in which the beta function can be considered constant [for a derivation from Eq. (12) see App. A]. Because of this logarithmic scale dependence, the RG flow gets stuck between the two fixed points and only slowly moves forward. In Fig. 15(b), our RG flow does not even get close to the attractor. As a result, any numerical simulation starting in this regime will not probe the real critical exponent at the fixed point, but just a local value that drifts extremely slowly toward its expected value. This phenomenon is known as pseudocriticality. However, the pseudocritical RG flow is limited to a small range in parameter space.

Right after the fixed-point annihilation, the RG flow is illustrated in Fig. 15(c) and only contains the flow from the free fixed point at zero coupling to the corresponding localized fixed points at infinite coupling. However, at the coordinates where the fixed points had collided, the beta function and its derivative are still very small, so that the same pseudocritical flow as in Eq. (26) holds there as well. In contrast to the previous case, the pseudocritical flow affects all parameters $\bar{\alpha}_{xy} = \bar{\alpha}_z \leq \bar{\alpha}^*$ on their flow towards the infinite-coupling fixed point. Then, the total time to flow to the localized fixed point is mainly determined by the pseudocritical region, such that the RG scale $\mu/\mu_0 = \exp(-c/\sqrt{|s - s^*|})$ is exponentially suppressed close to s^* . Pseudocritical behavior plus the independence of the initial coupling defines the quasiuniversal regime. One of its consequences is the suppression of the order parameter for $s \lesssim s^*$ and weak couplings, as observed in Fig. 10(b) and Ref. [11]. Although the pseudocritical regime near $\bar{\alpha}^*$ is supposed to determine the asymptotic behavior of the RG flow, Fig. 15(c) illustrates that close to s^* it still take a considerable amount of RG time to get into this regime.

Eventually, far beyond the fixed-point annihilation, the

RG flow speeds up again upon approaching the localized fixed point. This is illustrated in Fig. 15(d).

2. Numerical results in the pseudocritical regime

In the following, we provide direct numerical evidence of pseudocritical scaling in the vicinity of the fixed-point collision and how it affects the quantum critical behavior at the anisotropy-driven L1–L transition.

We start our discussion with the pseudocritical regime at $s > s_2^* = 0.6540(2)$. To this end, we reconsider our finite-size-scaling analysis at $s = 0.66$, but this time we do not tune the anisotropy through CR2 at fixed $\alpha_{xy} = 0.2267 \simeq \alpha_{\text{CR2}}$, as it was done in Fig. 12, but at $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$ right between the two intermediate-coupling fixed points. Figure 16(a) shows the data collapse that is obtained with the critical exponent $1/\nu_{\text{CR2}\perp} \approx 0.333(7)$ of CR2; apparently, the resulting data collapse is not good. On the other hand, Fig. 16(b) shows an excellent data collapse for $1/\nu_{\perp} \approx 0.377(5)$. This exponent differs significantly from the one at the fixed point and we do not observe any visible drift of the exponent in the temperature range $\beta\omega_c \in [10^2, 10^5]$, as demonstrated in Figs. 16(c) and 16(d). The absence of any visible drift over three orders of magnitude is direct evidence for the extremely slow RG flow in the pseudocritical regime between the two fixed points. Figures 16(c) and 16(d) also show the drift of $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ for $s = 0.7$ and $s = 0.75$. For these two bath exponents, which are further away from the fixed-point collision, we can observe a finite drift of $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$, but results are still far from convergence to the true critical exponents, as they were obtained right at the fixed point CR2 and given in Fig. 14(a).

Figure 17 shows the drift of the pseudocritical exponent $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ after the fixed-point annihilation ($s < s_2^*$). First, we fix $s = 0.64$ and track the drift of the exponent starting from different couplings α_{xy} . In Fig. 17(a), where $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ is extracted from ξ_z , we only observe a very slow drift (if at all) of the exponent for $\alpha_{xy} \lesssim 0.25$. Only for $\alpha_{xy} \gtrsim \alpha_2^*$, the drift becomes significantly stronger and its slope steadily increases with increasing α_{xy} . If we extract $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ from ξ_{xy} , as shown in Fig. 17(b), we observe a slow drift for $\alpha_{xy} \lesssim 0.25$, with a slope that is comparable for all data sets, whereas the slope increases again for $\alpha_{xy} \gtrsim \alpha_2^*$. We note that there is a stronger drift of $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ for small α_{xy} and small β which can have several reasons: On the one hand, the crossings of data sets $(\beta, r\beta)$ converge slower to the exact critical coupling at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$ than for stronger couplings (cf. App. C). On the other hand, our starting values are further away from α_2^* , which could lead to additional correction terms. Our results in Figs. 17(a) and 17(b) are consistent with our expectation that the slope of the drift hardly changes for $\alpha_{xy} \lesssim \alpha_2^*$ because the RG flow is dominated by the pseudocritical regime at $\alpha_{xy} \approx \alpha_2^*$, whereas it starts to increase once we have passed this regime.

Our preceding RG analysis predicts that in the pseud-




FIG. 16. Pseudocritical scaling before the fixed-point collision ($s > s_2^*$) at $\alpha_{xy} = 0.317 \simeq \alpha_2^*$. (a) Insufficient scaling collapse of ξ_{xy}/β (blue) and ξ_z/β (red) at $s = 0.66$ using the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} = 0.333(7)$ at the fixed point CR2, as determined in Fig. 12. (b) Scaling collapse of the same data, but with $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} = 0.377(5)$. (c),(d) Drift of the pseudocritical exponent $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ with inverse temperature β , as obtained from ξ_z (left) and ξ_{xy} (right). Drifting exponents were calculated at the crossing points of data pairs $(\beta, r\beta)$ with $r = \sqrt{10}$ using Eq. (14). Dashed lines indicate the exponents calculated right at the fixed point CR2, as given in Fig. 14(a). Dotted lines represent fits to the functional form $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta) = c_1 + c_2 |s - s_2^*| \log_{10}(\beta\omega_c)$. To test the linear dependence of the slope on the distance to the fixed-point collision, we have fitted c_2 for $s = 0.75$ and used the same value of c_2 for the fits at smaller s .

ocritical regime the in-plane RG flow in Eq. (26) depends on the distance to the fixed-point collision. To test how this affects the drift of the pseudocritical exponent, we repeat our analysis at fixed $\alpha_{xy} = 0.15$ and tune the bath exponent $s < s_2^*$, as shown in Figs. 17(c) and 17(d), but also take another look at the drift at fixed $\alpha_{xy} \simeq \alpha_2^*$ and tune $s > s_2^*$, as shown in Figs. 16(c) and 16(d). In all of these cases, we observe that the slope of the logarithmic drift increases with distance to the fixed-point collision. More precisely, the logarithmic fits performed in these figures are consistent with our expectation that the slope of the drift increases linearly with $|s - s_2^*|$. Our finding is a strong hint towards pseudocritical scaling, but it is fair to note that—even with access to temperature scales




FIG. 17. Drift of the pseudocritical exponent $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta)$ with inverse temperature β after the fixed-point collision ($s < s_2^*$). We compare exponents obtained from ξ_z (left) and ξ_{xy} (right) (a),(b) at fixed $s = 0.64$ for different α_{xy} and (c),(d) at fixed α_{xy} for different s . Drifting exponents were calculated at the crossing points of data pairs $(\beta, r\beta)$ with $r = \sqrt{10}$ using Eq. (14). Dotted lines in panels (c),(d) represent fits to the functional form $1/\nu_{\perp}(\beta) = c_1 + c_2 |s - s_2^*| \log_{10}(\beta\omega_c)$. To test the linear dependence of the slope on the distance to the fixed-point collision, we have fitted c_2 for $s = 0.55$ and used the same value of c_2 for the fits at larger s .

that cover several orders of magnitude—it is difficult to unambiguously identify a logarithm and distinguish it, e.g., from a power law with a very small exponent. The purpose of these fits is to verify the overall scales for the change of slope with s , which is captured quite well for most of the shown cases, whereas deviations from the ideal pseudocritical behavior can have different reasons. At this point, we also want to mention that our estimator (14) for the drifting exponent might become problematic at a strong first-order transition, because then ξ_i/β already diverges at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, but it is well justified within the pseudocritical regime, for which this estimator is also used in other studies. This might be one of the reasons why we do not observe convergence to $1/\nu_{L2\perp} = s$, as discussed in Sec. VB1.

Finally, Fig. 18 depicts how the drift of the exponents affects the quality of the scaling collapse at fixed $s = 0.64$ and for different couplings α_{xy} . For ξ_z/β , we obtain good




FIG. 18. Scaling collapse of the normalized correlation lengths ξ_{xy}/β (blue) and ξ_z/β (red) at $s = 0.64$. Results are shown for different couplings $\alpha_{xy} \in \{0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.317, 0.4\}$ and corresponding exponents $1/\nu_{\perp} \in \{0.33, 0.355, 0.377, 0.42, 0.48\}$. Critical exponents are estimated from Fig. 17(a) and we use the same ones for ξ_{xy}/β and ξ_z/β . Dashed lines indicate the shift of the origin from left to right.

overlap for $\alpha_{xy} \in \{0.15, 0.2, 0.25\}$, but deviations start to increase for $\alpha_{xy} \gtrsim 0.317$, in agreement with Fig. 17(a). We use the same exponents for the data collapse of ξ_{xy}/β , which again is reasonably good for $\alpha_{xy} \lesssim 0.25$, but deviates more strongly for larger couplings. All in all, Fig. 18 confirms all our findings from Fig. 17.

VI. CONSEQUENCES FOR THE FULLY-ANISOTROPIC SPIN-BOSON MODEL

So far, we have focused on the U(1)-symmetric spin-boson model with $\alpha_x = \alpha_y \equiv \alpha_{xy}$ and only tuned the anisotropy α_z along the spin- z direction. In the following, we want to discuss how our preceding findings determine the critical behavior of the fully-anisotropic model with $\alpha_x \neq \alpha_y \neq \alpha_z$.

As described in Sec. III, the perturbative RG predicts that all fixed points in the high-symmetry parameter manifolds are unstable towards anisotropies [69, 70] and therefore the only nontrivial fixed points, beyond the free-spin fixed point F at $\vec{\alpha}_F = (0, 0, 0)$, are the strong-coupling fixed points L_x , L_y , and L_z . For $s < 1$, the spin is always in the localized phase along the strongest dissipation strength; without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha_x > \alpha_y > \alpha_z$. If we tune the ratio of the two largest couplings through $\alpha_x/\alpha_y = 1$, we can drive a transition between the two localized phases L_x and L_y , for which the critical point is determined by the stable fixed points of the two-bath spin-boson model. In analogy to our discussion in Sec. V, this symmetry-enhanced transition is continuous for $s_1^* < s < 1$ and $\alpha_z < \alpha_x = \alpha_y < \alpha_{xy}^c$ and first-order otherwise. Therefore, it has been important to determine the phase diagrams at finite anisotropies in Sec. IV. Because of the fixed-point annihilation in the two-bath spin-boson model, there exists an extended

regime at $s \lesssim s_1^*$ and $\alpha_x = \alpha_y \ll \alpha_1^*$, for which the local moment at the transition becomes extremely small. As a result, the transition between the two localized phases can become weakly first-order, without the need to fine-tune the parameters of the model. Moreover, pseudocritical scaling can be observed on both sides of the fixed-point collision, in the same way as discussed in Sec. VC.

All in all, the collision of two intermediate-coupling fixed points within the high-symmetry parameter manifolds has direct consequences for the critical behavior of the fully-anisotropic spin-boson model, even if one cannot scan the model within its high-symmetry parameter manifolds.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we have explored how fixed-point annihilation within a high-symmetry manifold affects the phase transitions across this manifold tuned by anisotropy. Using large-scale QMC simulations for a (0+1)-dimensional spin-boson model, we were able to study this problem in an unprecedented manner covering temperature ranges of at least three orders of magnitude. We have uncovered an order-to-order transition between different localized phases that can be tuned from first-order to second-order via the bath exponent s . While the first-order transition is described by a discontinuity fixed point at which the inverse correlation-length exponent becomes $1/\nu_{L,2\perp} = s$, the continuous transition is determined by a critical fixed point at which the local moment is zero. In particular, fixed-point annihilation provides us with a generic mechanism to tune our system towards a weak first-order transition with pseudocritical exponents that experience a logarithmically slow drift in temperature. We present direct numerical evidence for this pseudocritical scaling, which does not only occur right after the fixed-point collision but also in between the two intermediate-coupling fixed points before they collide. Our work unravels a rich phenomenology of quantum criticality across fixed-point collisions representing a generic setup that is relevant for physical applications far beyond the spin-boson model.

One motivation to investigate the fixed-point collision in the anisotropic spin-boson model is to get a better understanding of the critical properties of nonlinear sigma models with a topological θ term which potentially exhibit such an RG scenario in the context of deconfined criticality [35–38]. It has been pointed out that the spin-boson model is a (0+1)-dimensional representation of such a Wess-Zumino-Witten model [12, 37]; in our case, the competition between the spin-Berry phase and the retarded spin interaction leads to a complex fixed-point structure. In the spin-boson model, the order parameter is an SO(3) vector which exhibits fixed-point annihilation within its high-symmetry manifold and we consider an anisotropy along one of its components to tune through the different transitions. To explain the nontrivial scaling behavior in two-dimensional SU(2) quantum magnets, it

has been conjectured that a fixed-point annihilation occurs in a $(2 + \epsilon)$ -dimensional Wess-Zumino-Witten model with $\epsilon \lesssim 1$ such that the transition at $\epsilon = 1$ is of weak first-order due to its proximity to the fixed-point collision [37, 38]. The $SO(5)$ theory of this transition combines the antiferromagnetic and valence-bond-solid order parameters such that the transition between the two orders can be tuned by an anisotropy similar to our scenario. It is important to note that the $SO(5)$ symmetry of the deconfined transition emerges from the corresponding microscopic Hamiltonians [35], whereas in the spin-boson model the $SO(3)$ symmetry is manifest at the transition. Although this might lead to differences in the mechanisms that drive the transitions, the phenomenology of these phase transitions is determined by their fixed-point structure. In both scenarios, numerical simulations reveal drifting critical exponents [35, 50–55] as well as symmetry enhancement [57, 58] at the weak first-order transition. Therefore, spin-boson models provide a valuable platform to study this phenomenology using large-scale numerical simulations, as the reduced dimensionality is an advantage at reaching large ranges of system sizes that are not as easily accessible in higher-dimensional models. In future, it will be interesting to investigate whether the spin-boson model can be modified in such a way that additional interactions lead to an emergent (and not built-in) symmetry at criticality, as suggested in Ref. [12], or even to an approximate emergent symmetry. Fixed-point annihilation has also been proposed to occur in a $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional Wess-Zumino-Witten model with dissipation [42], for which anisotropy effects might lead to a similar phenomenology as discussed in our paper.

Spin-boson models are often embedded in Bose-Fermi Kondo models in which the spin experiences an additional Kondo coupling to a fermionic bath. Such models occur in the self-consistent solution of extended dynamical mean-field theory [5, 6], but by themselves already exhibit interesting criticality. Early analytical work has discussed the weak-coupling fixed points of the spin-boson model in the context of the Bose-Fermi Kondo model [69, 70]. Recently, the rich fixed-point structure of this model has been studied using QMC simulations [72]; the occurrence of two sequential fixed-point annihilations has been suggested in the limit of spin $S \rightarrow \infty$ using an analytical approach [40]. In our present paper, we have provided a detailed numerical study of the sequential fixed-point annihilation in the anisotropic spin-boson model. We expect the same phenomenology to apply to the Bose-Fermi Kondo model, but the computational effort to study this model is higher because the fermionic bath requires the evaluation of determinants in QMC simulations. While the precise properties of the Kondo-destruction fixed points are different from the ones considered in our work, it will be interesting to study the criticality and pseudocriticality near the two fixed-point collisions. It is an open question how pseudocriticality in these simple models could affect solutions

of dynamical mean-field theory for non-Fermi liquids [8].

Our work also underlines the importance of combining analytical and numerical approaches to understand complex critical behavior. Our QMC method provides exact numerical results far beyond the range of applicability of the perturbative RG, but without any knowledge of the fixed-point structure it is impossible to distinguish between the first-order and second-order transitions in the pseudocritical regime. In particular, the fact that we find pseudocritical exponents close to the fixed-point collision, which hardly show any drift over several orders of magnitude in temperature but seem to depend on the initial couplings, can easily lead to wrong conclusions.

All in all, fixed-point annihilation is not just an abstract concept but applies to quantum systems that are as simple as a spin coupled to its environment. The competition of two bath components is already enough to find signatures of this RG phenomenon in the critical properties of the anisotropic spin-boson model.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Matthias Vojta for our previous collaboration on Ref. [11], from which I learned a lot about the spin-boson model, and for ongoing discussions. I also thank Lukas Janssen for helpful discussions and for pointing out Refs. [88, 89] on discontinuity fixed points. Furthermore, I acknowledge discussions with Michael Scherer, Yuan Wan, and Zhenjiu Wang. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter – *ct.qmat* (EXC 2147, Project No. 390858490). The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time made available to them on the high-performance computer at the NHR Center of TU Dresden. This center is jointly supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research and the state governments participating in the NHR [90].

Appendix A: Renormalization-group flow close to the fixed-point collision

To get a better understanding of the slow RG flow close to the fixed-point annihilation, we consider the minimal beta function of Eq. (12),

$$\beta(\bar{\alpha}) \equiv \frac{d\bar{\alpha}}{d \ln \mu} = a(s - s^*) - b(\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha}^*)^2, \quad (\text{A1})$$

which is an approximation to the real beta function that becomes valid near the fixed-point collision. For $s > s^*$, Eq. (A1) contains two intermediate-coupling fixed points at $\bar{\alpha}_{\pm} = \bar{\alpha}^* \pm \sqrt{a(s - s^*)/b}$. If we tune the external parameter s to s^* , the two fixed points collide at $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}^*$ and disappear into the complex plane for $s < s^*$. In the following, we explicitly solve the RG equation for $s \gtrsim s^*$

and $s \lesssim s^*$. To this end, we apply separation of variables to Eq. (A1) and solve

$$\ln(\mu/\mu_0) = \int_{\bar{\alpha}_0}^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{d\bar{\alpha}'}{a(s-s^*) - b(\bar{\alpha}' - \bar{\alpha}^*)^2} \quad (\text{A2})$$

before and after the fixed-point collision.

1. After the fixed-point collision ($s < s^*$)

To solve the integral in Eq. (A2), we substitute $x' = \sqrt{b/(a|s-s^*|)}(\bar{\alpha}' - \bar{\alpha}^*)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\mu/\mu_0) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}} \int_{x_0}^x \frac{dx'}{1+x'^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}} \arctan(x') \Big|_{x_0}^x. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Note that $\arctan(x')$ only changes rapidly around $x' = 0$ and approaches $\pm\pi/2$ for $|x'| \gg 1$. If we start our RG flow at $x_0 = 0$ and only evolve to $x \ll 1$, we can expand $\arctan(x)$ such that the RG flow of our original coupling parameter becomes

$$\bar{\alpha}(\mu) = \bar{\alpha}^* - a|s-s^*| \ln(\mu/\mu_0), \quad (\text{A4})$$

as also stated in Eq. (26). Note that this approximation is only valid for $|\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha}^*| \ll \sqrt{a|s-s^*|/b}$, for which the beta function can be considered constant. In this small parameter regime, our system exhibits an extremely slow RG flow that leads to pseudocritical scaling behavior, as discussed in Sed. VC, and affects the flow towards strong couplings for all $\bar{\alpha} < \bar{\alpha}^*$. In particular, if we start our RG flow at $x_0 \ll -1$ and end at $x \gg 1$, i.e., our initial and final couplings fulfil $|\bar{\alpha}' - \bar{\alpha}^*| \gg \sqrt{a|s-s^*|/b}$, we arrive at the well-known result

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}}\right). \quad (\text{A5})$$

For $s \rightarrow s^*$, the infrared scale μ gets exponentially suppressed. In particular, the total RG time $t = |\ln(\mu/\mu_0)|$ does not depend on the initial conditions and is only determined by a small interval around $\bar{\alpha}^*$. As a result, fixed-point annihilation provides a generic mechanism to generate an extremely slow RG flow over a wide range of parameters $\bar{\alpha} \ll \bar{\alpha}^*$ and $s \lesssim s^*$, which leads to a separation of hierarchies and quasiuniversality.

2. Before the fixed-point collision ($s > s^*$)

In the presence of the two intermediate-coupling fixed points, we use the same substitution as before to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\mu/\mu_0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}} \int_{x_0}^x \frac{dx'}{1-x'^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}} \operatorname{artanh}(x') \Big|_{x_0}^x. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Here, we only consider the RG flow for starting points x_0 between the two fixed points at $x_{\pm} = \pm 1$. For simplicity, we assume that we start the RG flow at $x_0 = 0$. Because the RG time diverges when approaching the stable fixed point at $x_- = -1$, we parameterize $x = x_- + \Delta x$. Using $\operatorname{artanh}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = \left(\frac{\Delta x}{2 - \Delta x}\right)^{\nu}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Here, we have introduced the inverse correlation-length exponent $1/\nu = 1/|\nu_{\pm}| = 2\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}$ at the two fixed points. Note that our results can be transformed back to our original variables via the relation $\Delta x = 2\Delta\bar{\alpha}/|\bar{\alpha}_+ - \bar{\alpha}_-|$, but we will keep the x notation in the following, because then all couplings are normalized by the distance between the two fixed points.

For $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $\mu/\mu_0 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the asymptotic behavior close to the stable fixed point,

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = \left(\frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)^{\nu}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

In analogy to any isolated attractive fixed point, we obtain a power-law dependence of the infrared RG scale μ on Δx , which is determined by the correlation-length exponent. If we tune $s \rightarrow s^*$, i.e., towards the fixed-point collision, $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ leads to a very slow RG flow. This RG flow is qualitatively different from the pseudocritical behavior of Eq. (A4) experienced for $s < s^*$. Note that the same power law can be observed if we approach the stable fixed point from $x < x_-$. Moreover, the same scaling behavior holds near the unstable fixed point x_+ , but the direction of the RG flow gets inverted.

We also study the RG flow across the maximum of the beta function at $\bar{\alpha}^*$. Again, we choose $x_0 = 0$ but now we parameterize $x = -\Delta\tilde{x}$ with $0 < \Delta\tilde{x} \ll 1$. Then, we can approximate $\operatorname{artanh}(-\Delta\tilde{x}) = -\Delta\tilde{x} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\tilde{x}^3)$ and obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = \exp(-2\nu\Delta\tilde{x}). \quad (\text{A9})$$

Equation (A9) has the same functional form as Eq. (A4) and, in particular, the same dependence on $|s-s^*|$. This becomes apparent if we insert $2\nu = 1/\sqrt{ba|s-s^*|}$ and transform back to our original variables; then even prefactors are the same as in Eq. (A4). As a result, pseudocritical scaling also occurs for $s > s^*$ right between the two intermediate-coupling fixed points. However, the couplings for which this phenomenon occurs is restricted to the parameter range between the two fixed points and therefore becomes increasingly narrow close to the fixed-point collision.

To test the range of validity of the two approximations (A8) and (A9) in comparison to the exact solution (A7), Fig. 19(a) shows the renormalization of the RG scale as a function of the distance Δx to the stable fixed point at $x_- = -1$. Here, we show $(\mu/\mu_0)^{1/\nu}$ on the vertical axis (including the correlation-length exponent) because then




FIG. 19. Reduction of the RG scale μ as a function of the distance Δx to the stable fixed point at $x_- = -1$, according to the exact solution in Eq. (A7). The dashed lines indicate the asymptotic behavior for $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ (blue) and for $\Delta x \rightarrow 1$ (red), as given by Eqs. (A8) and (A9), respectively. Panel (a) illustrates the general solution for $(\mu/\mu_0)^{1/\nu}$ on a linear scale, which is valid for any ν , whereas panel (b) shows a particular solution for $1/\nu = 0.02$ on a log-log scale.

our plot applies to any ν . We observe that approximation (A8) is valid for $x \lesssim 0.1$, whereas Eq. (A9) holds for $\Delta\tilde{x} = 1 - \Delta x \lesssim 0.2$. The absolute values on the vertical axis of Fig. 19(a) apply to $\nu = 1$, for which there is hardly any reduction of the RG scale μ . To get an idea of the absolute scales close to the fixed-point annihilation, Fig. 19(b) shows μ/μ_0 for $1/\nu = 0.02$ on a log-log scale. While the RG scale gets substantially suppressed for all Δx , this effect is strongest in the pseudocritical regime for $\Delta\tilde{x} \leq 0.2$. For example, at $\Delta\tilde{x} = 0.2$ we have $\mu/\mu_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{-9}$.

Appendix B: Flow diagrams for the perturbative renormalization-group equations

Although the two-loop beta function in Eq. (9) is only valid in the asymptotic regime of small $(1-s)$ and small α_i , it can be used to obtain further insight into the qualitative RG flow of the anisotropic spin-boson model. In particular, it contains the annihilation of pairs of fixed points which occurs beyond the range of applicability of the weak-coupling perturbative RG [10, 11]. In the following, we solve Eq. (9) as if it was an exact equation, because we expect that the qualitative features that are characteristic for the fixed-point collision remain true for the generic case. In particular, our analysis of Eq. (9) allows us to tune $\alpha_x = \alpha_y \equiv \alpha_{xy}$ and α_z independently across the fixed-point annihilation, which is not possible with Eq. (12). Our discussion is based on the perturbative RG results presented in Refs. [4, 69, 70].

The beta function in Eq. (9) contains two pairs of non-trivial intermediate-coupling fixed points, i.e., in the xy plane at $\vec{\alpha}_{\text{pRG1}\pm} = (\alpha_{\text{pRG1}\pm}, \alpha_{\text{pRG1}\pm}, 0)$ with $\alpha_{\text{pRG1}\pm} = \frac{1}{4}[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4(1-s)}]$ and for the SU(2)-symmetric case at $\vec{\alpha}_{\text{pRG2}\pm} = (\alpha_{\text{pRG2}\pm}, \alpha_{\text{pRG2}\pm}, \alpha_{\text{pRG2}\pm})$ with $\alpha_{\text{pRG2}\pm} = \frac{1}{4}[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 2(1-s)}]$. The fixed-point collisions occur at




FIG. 20. (a) Fixed-point collision of pRG1/2 \pm as a function of the bath exponent s for the two-loop beta function in Eq. (9). Dashed lines and crosses indicate the coordinates of the collisions. (b) Inverse correlation-length exponents at the stable fixed point pRG2 $-$ in (||) and out of (\perp) the SU(2)-symmetric manifold.

$s_{\text{pRG1}}^* = 0.75$ and $s_{\text{pRG2}}^* = 0.5$, for which $\alpha_{\text{pRG1}/2}^* = 0.25$, as illustrated in Fig. 20(a). Note that the strong-coupling fixed points, which are beyond the range of validity of the perturbative RG, do not exhibit the correct $\alpha_{xy} \rightarrow \infty$ behavior for $s \rightarrow 1$ that is found in our QMC simulations.

We also calculate the inverse correlation-length exponents $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2}\pm\parallel} = 1 - 2(1-s) \pm \sqrt{1 - 2(1-s)}$ and $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2}\pm\perp} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1-s) \pm \sqrt{1 - 2(1-s)}$ within and perpendicular to the SU(2)-symmetric manifold, respectively. For $s \rightarrow 1$, the predictions of the perturbative RG for the fixed point CR2 are well controlled and we obtain the asymptotically-exact results stated in Eqs. (23) and (21). Figure 20(b) shows how the in- and out-of-plane exponents for fixed point pRG2 $-$ evolve towards $s \rightarrow s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$. We find that the in-plane exponent $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel} \leq 0$ vanishes $\propto (s - s_{\text{pRG2}}^*)^{1/2}$ for $s \rightarrow s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$, which generically holds near the fixed-point collision [11], whereas the out-of-plane exponent $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\perp} \geq 0$ remains finite for $s \rightarrow s_{\text{pRG2}}^*$ and exhibits a leading correction $-\sqrt{2}(s - s_{\text{pRG2}}^*)^{1/2}$ that has the same functional dependence and the same prefactor of $(-\sqrt{2})$ as the leading term in $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel}$. The qualitative behavior of $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel/\perp}$ is in good agreement with our QMC results presented in Fig. 14(a). However, the strong coupling exponents are not expected to be reliable and therefore omitted in Fig. 20(b). In particular, $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2}\pm\parallel}$ evolve very differently as a function of s . Our QMC results for the dissipative $S = 1/2$ problem, as presented in Fig. 14(a), reveal that $1/\nu_{\text{CR2}\parallel}$ and $1/\nu_{\text{QC2}\parallel}$ are very close to each other [11] and in the large- S limit they only differ by their sign [12]. On the other hand, our QMC results suggest that the out-of-plane scaling is very different at the two fixed points, as it is also the case in the perturbative RG solution.

The two correlation-length exponents $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\parallel}$ and $1/\nu_{\text{pRG2-}\perp}$ determine the speed of the RG flow near the fixed point pRG2 $-$ along different directions in parameter space. Because the evolution of the two exponents




FIG. 21. RG flow diagrams for the two-loop beta function (9) as a function of α_{xy} and α_z and for different bath exponents s . The intermediate-coupling fixed points pRG1/2– (pRG1/2+) are marked by blue crosses (red circles). The red line in panel (b) defines the separatrix between the critical and the localized phase in the xy plane.

is in qualitative agreement with our QMC results, we will analyze the RG flow of the two-loop beta function in more detail in the following.

Figure 21 shows the RG flow of the two-loop beta function (9) for different bath exponents s . For $s = 0.9$, the weak-coupling regime around the fixed points pRG1/2– depicted in Fig. 21(a) is still within the range of validity of the perturbative RG and therefore representative for the exact results discussed in our main paper. Figure 21(b) shows the RG flow for $s = 0.8$ within a broader parameter range, which now also includes the fixed points pRG1/2+. Although these results exceed the range of validity of the perturbative RG, the RG flow is qualitatively consistent with our schematic picture in Fig. 3(b) for $s_1^* < s < 1$ [note that the slope of the separatrix between pRG2+ and pRG1+ is quantitatively different from the one in Fig. 6(a)]. For $s = 0.51$ depicted in Fig. 21(c), the fixed points in the xy plane have already annihilated each other and we are close to the second fixed-point anni-




FIG. 22. Finite-size-scaling analysis for the anisotropy-driven quantum phase transition through CR2 for (a),(b) $s = 0.654$ and (c),(d) $s = 0.8$. (a),(c) Convergence of the pseudocritical couplings $\Delta_{\text{pc}}^{(\perp)}(\beta)$, as determined from the crossings between data sets $(\beta, r\beta)$ with $r = \sqrt{10}$, to the isotropic case indicated by the dashed line. (b),(d) Drifting exponents $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp}(\beta)$ estimated at the pseudocritical couplings via Eq. (14). Dashed lines and their gray shaded background indicate our estimates $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} = 0.390(5)$ at $s = 0.654$ and $1/\nu_{\text{CR}2\perp} = 0.128(15)$ at $s = 0.8$. For all data, we compare two distinct parameterizations of tuning the anisotropy Δ, Δ^\perp through CR2, which we define in our main text.

lation at $s_{\text{pRG}2}^* = 0.5$ and $\alpha_{\text{pRG}2}^* = 0.25$. It is apparent that the RG lines near the collision point run almost perpendicular to the diagonal defined by $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$, which remains true right after the collision, as demonstrated for $s = 0.49$ in Fig. 21(d). Only for $s \ll s_{\text{pRG}2}^*$, as illustrated in Figs. 21(e) and 21(f) for $s = 0.4$ and $s = 0.2$, the RG flow lines start to bend in different directions again.

The RG flow diagrams in Fig. 21 already reveal that close to the fixed-point collision the flow perpendicular to the high-symmetry line is much faster than within, so that any attempt to probe the critical properties across this region will not probe the properties of the fixed point unless we wait for an extremely large RG time (and start the RG flow extremely close to the diagonal). Details on this behavior are discussed in Sec. VC and in Fig. 15.

Appendix C: Details on the crossing analysis

Here, we provide further information on the finite-size-scaling analysis which we use to extract the critical exponents at the continuous transition through CR2, as collected in Fig. 14. Because the RG flow can become




FIG. 23. Convergence of the pseudocritical couplings for the weak first-order transition (a) at fixed $s = 0.64$ for different α_{xy} and (b) at fixed $\alpha_{xy} = 0.15$ for different s . Results correspond to the drifting exponents in Fig. 17 and we only show the crossings obtained from ξ_{xy}/β . The dashed line indicates the isotropic case.

very slow along different directions in parameter space, we use two distinct parameterizations to tune across the fixed point CR2, i.e., $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha_{\text{CR2}}(1, 1, 1 - \Delta)$ for which the anisotropy is tuned along the z direction (as in the main paper) and $\vec{\alpha} = \alpha_{\text{CR2}}(1 + \Delta^\perp, 1 + \Delta^\perp, 1 - \Delta^\perp)$ for which Δ^\perp is tuned perpendicular to the SU(2)-symmetric line (in the xz projection). We use this comparison to convince ourselves that the slow RG flow does not affect the estimates of our critical exponents.

For both parameterizations, Figs. 22(a) and 22(c) show the temperature convergence of the pseudocritical couplings, extracted from ξ_i/β via the crossings of data sets $(\beta, r\beta)$ with $r = \sqrt{10}$, for $s = 0.654$ and $s = 0.8$, respectively. For all cases, the pseudocritical couplings converge to zero, indicating that there is a single transition at the symmetry-enhanced point (and not a sequence of

transitions). However, the rate of convergence can vary for different observables and parameterizations. In particular, convergence becomes substantially slower with increasing bath exponent s .

Based on the crossing analysis in Figs. 22(a) and 22(c), we extract the drifting inverse correlation-length exponent using Eq. (14). For $s = 0.654$, $1/\nu_{\text{CR2}\perp}(\beta)$ does not exhibit any visible drift in β and all of our four estimates agree with $1/\nu_{\text{CR2}\perp} = 0.390(5)$, as shown in Fig. 22(b). For $s = 0.8$, finite-size drifts are particularly strong for the two observables along the first parameterization (Δ), as shown in Fig. 22(d). Nonetheless, their convergence with opposite slopes allows us to extract a reliable critical exponent of $1/\nu_{\text{CR2}\perp} = 0.128(15)$ which lies between the two data sets and agrees well with the perturbative result plotted in Fig. 14(a). The exponents along our second parameterization (Δ^\perp) exhibit much less drifting in β and are consistent with our estimated exponent. We observe that for $s \rightarrow 1$ it becomes increasingly harder to extract reliable critical exponents from our numerical data, probably because additional finite-size corrections appear in the vicinity of the free fixed point.

Finally, we want to emphasize that the first-order transition is a single transition that occurs at $\alpha_z = \alpha_{xy}$ and that coexistence of the two ordered phases only occurs at this point. To this end, Fig. 23 shows the temperature convergence of the pseudocritical coupling extracted in the weak first-order regime studied in Fig. 17. All crossings converge to the isotropic case of zero anisotropy, even for $s = 0.6$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 0.15$ where convergence becomes very slow. Note that Fig. 23 only shows the pseudocritical couplings extracted from ξ_{xy}/β ; our data for ξ_z/β shows the same convergence behavior.

-
- [1] A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg, and W. Zwerger, Dynamics of the dissipative two-state system, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 1 (1987).
 - [2] M. Vojta, Impurity quantum phase transitions, *Philosophical Magazine* **86**, 1807 (2006).
 - [3] S. Sachdev, C. Buragohain, and M. Vojta, Quantum Impurity in a Nearly Critical Two-Dimensional Antiferromagnet, *Science* **286**, 2479 (1999).
 - [4] M. Vojta, C. Buragohain, and S. Sachdev, Quantum impurity dynamics in two-dimensional antiferromagnets and superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 15152 (2000).
 - [5] Q. Si, S. Rabello, K. Ingersent, and J. L. Smith, Locally critical quantum phase transitions in strongly correlated metals, *Nature* **413**, 804 (2001).
 - [6] Q. Si, S. Rabello, K. Ingersent, and J. L. Smith, Local fluctuations in quantum critical metals, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 115103 (2003).
 - [7] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, Gapless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum Heisenberg magnet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993).
 - [8] D. Chowdhury, A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev, Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and beyond: Window into non-Fermi liquids, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94**, 035004 (2022).
 - [9] C. Guo, A. Weichselbaum, J. von Delft, and M. Vojta, Critical and Strong-Coupling Phases in One- and Two-Bath Spin-Boson Models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 160401 (2012).
 - [10] B. Bruognolo, A. Weichselbaum, C. Guo, J. von Delft, I. Schneider, and M. Vojta, Two-bath spin-boson model: Phase diagram and critical properties, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 245130 (2014).
 - [11] M. Weber and M. Vojta, SU(2)-Symmetric Spin-Boson Model: Quantum Criticality, Fixed-Point Annihilation, and Duality, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 186701 (2023).
 - [12] A. Nahum, Fixed point annihilation for a spin in a fluctuating field, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, L081109 (2022).
 - [13] D. B. Kaplan, J.-W. Lee, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, Conformality lost, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 125005 (2009).
 - [14] B. I. Halperin, T. C. Lubensky, and S.-k. Ma, First-Order Phase Transitions in Superconductors and Smectic-A Liquid Crystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 292 (1974).

- [15] H. Gies and J. Jaeckel, Chiral phase structure of QCD with many flavors, *The European Physical Journal C - Particles and Fields* **46**, 433 (2006).
- [16] J. Braun, H. Gies, L. Janssen, and D. Roscher, Phase structure of many-flavor QED₃, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 036002 (2014).
- [17] L. Janssen, Spontaneous breaking of Lorentz symmetry in $(2 + \epsilon)$ -dimensional QED, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 094013 (2016).
- [18] I. F. Herbut, Chiral symmetry breaking in three-dimensional quantum electrodynamics as fixed point annihilation, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 025036 (2016).
- [19] S. Giombi, I. R. Klebanov, and G. Tarnopolsky, Conformal QEDd, F-theorem and the ϵ expansion, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **49**, 135403 (2016).
- [20] S. Gukov, RG flows and bifurcations, *Nuclear Physics B* **919**, 583 (2017).
- [21] V. Gorbenko, S. Rychkov, and B. Zan, Walking, weak first-order transitions, and complex CFTs, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018**, 108 (2018).
- [22] B. Nienhuis, A. N. Berker, E. K. Riedel, and M. Schick, First- and Second-Order Phase Transitions in Potts Models: Renormalization-Group Solution, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 737 (1979).
- [23] J. L. Cardy, M. Nauenberg, and D. J. Scalapino, Scaling theory of the Potts-model multicritical point, *Phys. Rev. B* **22**, 2560 (1980).
- [24] K. E. Newman, E. K. Riedel, and S. Muto, Q -state Potts model by Wilson's exact renormalization-group equation, *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 302 (1984).
- [25] B. Delamotte, D. Mouhanna, and M. Tissier, Nonperturbative renormalization-group approach to frustrated magnets, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 134413 (2004).
- [26] S. Yabunaka and B. Delamotte, Surprises in $O(N)$ Models: Nonperturbative Fixed Points, Large N Limits, and Multicriticality, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 191602 (2017).
- [27] V. Gorbenko, S. Rychkov, and B. Zan, Walking, Weak first-order transitions, and Complex CFTs II. Two-dimensional Potts model at $Q > 4$, *SciPost Phys.* **5**, 050 (2018).
- [28] A. Haldar, O. Tavakol, H. Ma, and T. Scaffidi, Hidden Critical Points in the Two-Dimensional $O(n > 2)$ Model: Exact Numerical Study of a Complex Conformal Field Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 131601 (2023).
- [29] C. A. Sánchez-Villalobos, B. Delamotte, and N. Wschebor, q -state Potts model from the nonperturbative renormalization group, *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 064120 (2023).
- [30] J. L. Jacobsen and K. J. Wiese, Lattice realization of complex CFTs: Two-dimensional Potts model with $Q > 4$ states (2024), [arXiv:2402.10732](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10732) [hep-th].
- [31] I. F. Herbut and Z. Tešanović, Critical Fluctuations in Superconductors and the Magnetic Field Penetration Depth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4588 (1996).
- [32] K. Kaveh and I. F. Herbut, Chiral symmetry breaking in three-dimensional quantum electrodynamics in the presence of irrelevant interactions: A renormalization group study, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 184519 (2005).
- [33] I. F. Herbut and L. Janssen, Topological Mott Insulator in Three-Dimensional Systems with Quadratic Band Touching, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 106401 (2014).
- [34] L. Janssen and I. F. Herbut, Phase diagram of electronic systems with quadratic Fermi nodes in $2 < d < 4$: $2 + \epsilon$ expansion, $4 - \epsilon$ expansion, and functional renormalization group, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 075101 (2017), [arXiv:1611.04594](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.04594) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [35] A. Nahum, J. T. Chalker, P. Serna, M. Ortuño, and A. M. Somoza, Deconfined Quantum Criticality, Scaling Violations, and Classical Loop Models, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041048 (2015).
- [36] C. Wang, A. Nahum, M. A. Metlitski, C. Xu, and T. Senthil, Deconfined Quantum Critical Points: Symmetries and Dualities, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 031051 (2017).
- [37] A. Nahum, Note on Wess-Zumino-Witten models and quasiuniversality in $2 + 1$ dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 201116 (2020).
- [38] R. Ma and C. Wang, Theory of deconfined pseudocriticality, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 020407 (2020).
- [39] B. Ihrig, N. Zerf, P. Marquard, I. F. Herbut, and M. M. Scherer, Abelian Higgs model at four loops, fixed-point collision, and deconfined criticality, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 134507 (2019).
- [40] H. Hu and Q. Si, Kondo destruction and fixed-point annihilation in a Bose-Fermi Kondo model (2022), [arXiv:2207.08744](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.08744) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [41] X. Luo, Tunable quantum phase transition in a dissipative two-spin model: A renormalization group study, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 165156 (2023).
- [42] S. Martin and T. Grover, Critical phase induced by Berry phase and dissipation in a spin chain, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 043270 (2023).
- [43] M. Song, J. Zhao, L. Janssen, M. M. Scherer, and Z. Y. Meng, Deconfined quantum criticality lost (2023), [arXiv:2307.02547](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.02547) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [44] B. Hawashin, A. Eichhorn, L. Janssen, M. M. Scherer, and S. Ray, The Nordic-walking mechanism and its explanation of deconfined pseudocriticality from Wess-Zumino-Witten theory (2023), [arXiv:2312.11614](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.11614) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [45] T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. P. A. Fisher, Deconfined Quantum Critical Points, *Science* **303**, 1490 (2004).
- [46] T. Senthil, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. A. Fisher, Quantum criticality beyond the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 144407 (2004).
- [47] T. Senthil, Deconfined quantum critical points: a review (2023), [arXiv:2306.12638](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.12638) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [48] A. W. Sandvik, Evidence for Deconfined Quantum Criticality in a Two-Dimensional Heisenberg Model with Four-Spin Interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 227202 (2007).
- [49] R. G. Melko and R. K. Kaul, Scaling in the Fan of an Unconventional Quantum Critical Point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 017203 (2008).
- [50] A. W. Sandvik, Continuous Quantum Phase Transition between an Antiferromagnet and a Valence-Bond Solid in Two Dimensions: Evidence for Logarithmic Corrections to Scaling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 177201 (2010).
- [51] K. Harada, T. Suzuki, T. Okubo, H. Matsuo, J. Lou, H. Watanabe, S. Todo, and N. Kawashima, Possibility of deconfined criticality in $SU(N)$ Heisenberg models at small N , *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 220408 (2013).
- [52] F.-J. Jiang, M. Nyfeler, S. Chandrasekharan, and U.-J. Wiese, From an antiferromagnet to a valence bond solid: evidence for a first-order phase transition, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2008**, P02009 (2008).

- [53] K. Chen, Y. Huang, Y. Deng, A. B. Kuklov, N. V. Prokof'ev, and B. V. Svistunov, Deconfined Criticality Flow in the Heisenberg Model with Ring-Exchange Interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 185701 (2013).
- [54] A. B. Kuklov, M. Matsumoto, N. V. Prokof'ev, B. V. Svistunov, and M. Troyer, Deconfined Criticality: Generic First-Order Transition in the SU(2) Symmetry Case, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 050405 (2008).
- [55] H. Shao, W. Guo, and A. W. Sandvik, Quantum criticality with two length scales, *Science* **352**, 213 (2016).
- [56] A. Nahum, P. Serna, J. T. Chalker, M. Ortuño, and A. M. Somoza, Emergent SO(5) Symmetry at the Néel to Valence-Bond-Solid Transition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 267203 (2015).
- [57] B. Zhao, P. Weinberg, and A. W. Sandvik, Symmetry-enhanced discontinuous phase transition in a two-dimensional quantum magnet, *Nature Physics* **15**, 678 (2019).
- [58] P. Serna and A. Nahum, Emergence and spontaneous breaking of approximate O(4) symmetry at a weakly first-order deconfined phase transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 195110 (2019).
- [59] S. K. Kehrein and A. Mielke, On the spin-boson model with a sub-ohmic bath, *Physics Letters A* **219**, 313 (1996).
- [60] R. Bulla, N.-H. Tong, and M. Vojta, Numerical Renormalization Group for Bosonic Systems and Application to the Sub-Ohmic Spin-Boson Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 170601 (2003).
- [61] M. Vojta, N.-H. Tong, and R. Bulla, Quantum Phase Transitions in the Sub-Ohmic Spin-Boson Model: Failure of the Quantum-Classical Mapping, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 070604 (2005).
- [62] M. Vojta, N.-H. Tong, and R. Bulla, Erratum: Quantum Phase Transitions in the Sub-Ohmic Spin-Boson Model: Failure of the Quantum-Classical Mapping [Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 070604 (2005)], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 249904 (2009).
- [63] A. Winter, H. Rieger, M. Vojta, and R. Bulla, Quantum Phase Transition in the Sub-Ohmic Spin-Boson Model: Quantum Monte Carlo Study with a Continuous Imaginary Time Cluster Algorithm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 030601 (2009).
- [64] M. E. Fisher, S.-k. Ma, and B. G. Nickel, Critical Exponents for Long-Range Interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29**, 917 (1972).
- [65] A. H. Castro Neto, E. Novais, L. Borda, G. Zaránd, and I. Affleck, Quantum Magnetic Impurities in Magnetically Ordered Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 096401 (2003).
- [66] E. Novais, A. H. Castro Neto, L. Borda, I. Affleck, and G. Zarand, Frustration of decoherence in open quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 014417 (2005).
- [67] J. L. Smith and Q. Si, Non-Fermi liquids in the two-band extended Hubbard model, *Europhysics Letters* **45**, 228 (1999).
- [68] A. M. Sengupta, Spin in a fluctuating field: The Bose(+Fermi) Kondo models, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 4041 (2000).
- [69] L. Zhu and Q. Si, Critical local-moment fluctuations in the Bose-Fermi Kondo model, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 024426 (2002).
- [70] G. Zaránd and E. Demler, Quantum phase transitions in the Bose-Fermi Kondo model, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 024427 (2002).
- [71] J. Otsuki, Spin-boson coupling in continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 125102 (2013).
- [72] A. Cai and Q. Si, Bose-Fermi Anderson model with SU(2) symmetry: Continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo study, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 014439 (2019).
- [73] M. Weber, Quantum Monte Carlo simulation of spin-boson models using wormhole updates, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 165129 (2022).
- [74] M. Weber, D. J. Luitz, and F. F. Assaad, Dissipation-Induced Order: The $S = 1/2$ Quantum Spin Chain Coupled to an Ohmic Bath, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 056402 (2022).
- [75] B. Danu, M. Vojta, T. Grover, and F. F. Assaad, Spin chain on a metallic surface: Dissipation-induced order versus Kondo entanglement, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, L161103 (2022).
- [76] M. Weber, F. F. Assaad, and M. Hohenadler, Directed-Loop Quantum Monte Carlo Method for Retarded Interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 097401 (2017).
- [77] A. W. Sandvik and J. Kurkijärvi, Quantum Monte Carlo simulation method for spin systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 5950 (1991).
- [78] A. W. Sandvik, Stochastic series expansion method with operator-loop update, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, R14157 (1999).
- [79] O. F. Syljuåsen and A. W. Sandvik, Quantum Monte Carlo with directed loops, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 046701 (2002).
- [80] A. W. Sandvik, Computational Studies of Quantum Spin Systems, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1297**, 135 (2010).
- [81] Our definition of the couplings α_i differs by a factor of two from Refs. [69, 70], so that Eqs. (10) and (11) match our numerical results.
- [82] G. Cuomo, Z. Komargodski, M. Mezei, and A. Raviv-Moshe, Spin impurities, Wilson lines and semiclassics, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2022**, 112 (2022).
- [83] M. Beccaria, S. Giombi, and A. A. Tseytlin, Wilson loop in general representation and RG flow in 1D defect QFT, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **55**, 255401 (2022).
- [84] H. Nishimori and G. Ortiz, *Elements of Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford University Press, 2011).
- [85] A. Schmid, Diffusion and Localization in a Dissipative Quantum System, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1506 (1983).
- [86] G. Falci and U. Weiss, Duality in the Quantum Dissipative Villain Model and Application to Mesoscopic Josephson Junction Circuits, *Journal of Superconductivity* **12**, 783 (1999).
- [87] B. Bruognolo, Master's thesis, LMU Munich (2013).
- [88] B. Nienhuis and M. Nauenberg, First-Order Phase Transitions in Renormalization-Group Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 477 (1975).
- [89] M. E. Fisher and A. N. Berker, Scaling for first-order phase transitions in thermodynamic and finite systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 2507 (1982).
- [90] <https://www.nhr-verein.de/unsere-partner>.