

Constraint Satisfaction Problems with Advice

Suprovat Ghoshal
Northwestern and TTIC

Konstantin Makarychev
Northwestern

Yury Makarychev
TTIC

Abstract

We initiate the study of algorithms for constraint satisfaction problems with ML oracle advice. We introduce two models of advice and then design an approximation algorithm for Max Cut and Max 2-Lin in these models.

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been breakthrough progress in machine learning. Today, ML tools can solve tasks that were completely out of reach even a decade ago. That sparked interest in designing algorithms and data structures that rely on ML oracle advice (see e.g. [BDSV18; Mit18; PSK18; HIKV19; GP19; LV21]).

In this paper, we introduce two models for solving constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs) with oracle advice. Suppose that we are given a constraint satisfaction problem with predicates $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\}$ and Boolean variables x_1, \dots, x_n .¹ It will be convenient for us to assume that value -1 represents false and 1 represents true. Let $x^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ be a fixed optimal solution, which we will refer to as the ground-truth solution. Now we assume that we are given noisy advice about x_i^* . Specifically, we consider two models.

- **Label Advice.** In the first model, the algorithm receives advice $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$, where \tilde{x}_i is a noisy prediction of the ground-truth value x_i^* . Each \tilde{x}_i is a random variable taking values -1 and 1 and is slightly biased toward x_i^* . Namely, $\tilde{x}_i = x_i^*$ with probability $\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\tilde{x}_i = -x_i^*$ with probability $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}$. All variables \tilde{x}_i are independent.
- **Variable Subset Advice.** In the second model, the algorithm receives a random subset of variables/indices $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and their values $(x_i^*)_{i \in S}$. Subset S includes every i with probability ε ; all events $i \in S$ are independent.

These models capture the setting where we have an ML algorithm (or another oracle) that provides unreliable predictions for values x_i^* . Since the predictions are very noisy, they do not provide a good solution. Consider for example the Label Advice model for Max 2-Lin, a constraint satisfaction problem with constraints $x_i \cdot x_j = -1$ and $x_i \cdot x_j = 1$. Even if the optimal solution satisfies all the constraints, solution \tilde{x} satisfies only a $\frac{1+\varepsilon^2}{2}$ fraction of the constraints in expectation; this is just a tiny bit better than the $1/2$ fraction that a random solution satisfies. The aim of this paper is to show that nevertheless advice \tilde{x} may be very valuable. In this paper, we focus on two constraint satisfaction problems, Max Cut and Max 2-Lin, and show how to get a nearly optimal approximation using oracle advice.

Comparing the Models. We note that the Variable Subset Advice model provides more information than the Label Advice one. Indeed, given set S and values $(x_i^*)_{i \in S}$, we can generate advice \tilde{x} as follows: if $i \in S$, let $\tilde{x}_i = x_i^*$; otherwise, sample \tilde{x}_i uniformly at random. It is immediate that

$$\Pr(\tilde{x}_i = x_i^*) = \Pr(x_i = x_i^* \mid i \in S) \Pr(i \in S) + \Pr(x_i = x_i^* \mid i \notin S) \Pr(i \notin S) = 1 \cdot \varepsilon + 1/2 \cdot (1 - \varepsilon) = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{2}$$

¹More generally, we can also consider the case where variables take values in some domain $[d] = \{1, \dots, d\}$.

as required, and all \tilde{x}_i are independent. Thus every algorithm for the Label Advice model also works in the Variable Subset Advice model. For this reason, we will consider the Label Advice model in this paper.

Max Cut and Max 2-Lin Problems. We recall the definitions of Max Cut and Max 2-Lin problems.

Definition 1.1 *In Max Cut, we are given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with edge weights $w_e > 0$. The goal is to find a cut (S, T) that maximizes the total weight of cut edges.*

Alternatively, Max Cut can be stated as a constraint satisfaction problem. We are given a set of Boolean variables x_1, \dots, x_n and a set of constraints of the form $x_i \cdot x_j = -1$ (or, equivalently, $x_i \neq x_j$); each constraint has a non-negative weight. The goal is to find an assignment that maximizes the total weight of satisfied constraints.

The connection between the graph and CSP formulations of Max Cut is straightforward: vertex v_i corresponds to variable x_i and edge (v_i, v_j) corresponds to constraint $x_i \cdot x_j = -1$. If $v_i \in S$ then $x_i = -1$; if $v_i \in T$ then $x_i = 1$.

We now define Max 2-Lin, which is a generalization of Max Cut.

Definition 1.2 *In Max 2-Lin, we are given a set of Boolean variables x_1, \dots, x_n and a set of constraints of the form $x_i \cdot x_j = c_{ij}$ where $c_{ij} \in \{-1, 1\}$; each constraint has a non-negative weight w_{ij} . The goal is to find an assignment that maximizes the total weight of satisfied constraints.*

Both problems Max Cut and Max 2-Lin are NP-hard. The Goemans–Williamson algorithm provides an $\alpha_{GW} = 0.878\dots$ approximation [GW95] for them and, as Khot, Kindler, Mossel, and O’Donnell showed this is optimal assuming the Unique Games Conjecture [KKMO07]. If a Max Cut or Max 2-Lin instance is almost satisfiable – that is, the value of the optimal solution is $(1 - \varepsilon)W$, where W is the total weight of all the constraints/edges – then the Goemans–Williamson algorithm finds a solution of value $1 - O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. This result is again optimal assuming the Unique Games Conjecture [KKMO07]. See [MM17] for a detailed discussion of these and other approximation and hardness results for constraint satisfaction problems.

Our results. We design a polynomial-time approximation algorithm for Max Cut and Max 2-Lin. In unweighted graphs, it finds a nearly optimal solution if the average degree $\Delta = 2m/n$ is a sufficiently large constant (for a fixed parameter ε), specifically $\Delta \geq C/\varepsilon^2$ (here m is the number of constraints/edges, n is the number of variables/vertices; C is a constant).

Theorem 1.3 *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for the Label Advice model that given an unweighted instance of Max Cut or Max 2-Lin and advice \tilde{x} finds a solution of value at least $(1 - O(1/\varepsilon\sqrt{\Delta}))OPT$ in expectation (over the random advice), where OPT is the value of the optimal solution, ε is the parameter of the model, and Δ is the average degree (see above).*

In weighted graphs, we require that $n \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{w_{ij}}{W}\right)^2 \ll \varepsilon^2$, where W is the total weight of all constraints.

Theorem 1.4 *There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for the Label Advice model that given an instance of Max Cut or Max 2-Lin and advice \tilde{x} finds a solution of value at least $OPT - \sqrt{n \sum_{ij} w_{ij}^2}/\varepsilon$ in expectation (over random advice), where OPT is the value of the optimal solution, ε is the parameter of the model, and w_{ij} is the weight of the constraint for x_i and x_j .*

2 Max Cut and Max 2-Lin

Our algorithm finds a solution for Max 2-Lin instance by maximizing the quadratic form

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{|a_{ij}| + a_{ij}x_i x_j}{4}, \quad (1)$$

where the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined as follows. For Max Cut, A is minus adjacency matrix i.e., $a_{ij} = -w_{ij}$, where w_{ij} is the weight of edge (i, j) . For Max 2-Lin, $a_{ij} = w_{ij}$ if we have constraint $x_i x_j = 1$ and $a_{ij} = -w_{ij}$ if we have $x_i x_j = -1$, w_{ij} is the weight of the constraint for x_i and x_j . Note that matrix A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with zero diagonal. We remark that Quadratic Program (1) was used by Goemans and Williamson [GW95] in their seminal paper on semi-definite programming algorithm for Max Cut.

In this section, we give an algorithm for Max Cut that finds a solution of value $(1 - O(1/\sqrt{\Delta} \cdot \varepsilon^{-1})) OPT$, where OPT is the value of the optimal solution, ε is the parameter of our model, and $\Delta = 2m/n$ is the average vertex degree in the graph. Our algorithms give a nontrivial approximation when $\Delta \geq C/\varepsilon^2$. Note that matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is symmetric with a zero diagonal.

The value of quadratic form (1) exactly equals the number of satisfied constraints in the corresponding instance of Max 2-Lin. Indeed, if the constraint for x_i and x_j is satisfied, then the term $|a_{ij}| + a_{ij}x_i x_j = 2|a_{ij}|$; if it is not satisfied, then $|a_{ij}| + a_{ij}x_i x_j = 0$. Consequently,

$$\frac{|a_{ij}| + a_{ij}x_i x_j + |a_{ji}| + a_{ji}x_i x_j}{4} = w_{ij},$$

if the constraint for x_i and x_j is satisfied; and

$$\frac{|a_{ij}| + a_{ij}x_i x_j + |a_{ji}| + a_{ji}x_i x_j}{4} = 0,$$

if the constraint is not satisfied. Since the sum of all $|a_{ij}|$ equals $2W$, where W is the total weight of all constraints, quadratic form (1) equals

$$\frac{W}{2} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_{ij}x_i x_j}{4}. \quad (2)$$

In the next section, we show how to obtain a solution of value $OPT - \varepsilon^{-1}\sqrt{n}\|A\|_F$ for Max QP (see Theorem 3.1). Here, $\|A\|_F$ is the Frobenius norm of A . This result implies Theorem 1.4 and also Theorem 1.3, because $OPT \geq W/2$.

3 Quadratic Forms with Advice

In this section, we consider the quadratic form maximization problem with advice. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Our goal is to maximize the quadratic form $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x_i x_j$ for $x \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. This quadratic form can also be written as $\langle x, Ax \rangle$. We assume that the ground truth solution is x^* . The algorithm receives advice \tilde{x} . Each \tilde{x}_i is a random variable, $\tilde{x}_i = x_i^*$ with probability $(1 + \varepsilon)/2$ and $\tilde{x}_i = -x_i^*$ with probability $(1 - \varepsilon)/2$. All variables \tilde{x}_i are independent. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix with zero diagonal entries. Then, there exists a deterministic polynomial time algorithm that finds a labeling x' such that randomizing over the choice of advice \tilde{x} , we have that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [\langle x', Ax' \rangle] \geq \langle x^*, Ax^* \rangle - \varepsilon^{-1}\sqrt{n}\|A\|_F. \quad (3)$$

The algorithm for the theorem is described below.

Algorithm

Input: Coefficient matrix A , oracle advice $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Output: Solution x'_1, \dots, x'_n .

1. Define $F(x, y) := \langle x, Ay \rangle - \|A(\varepsilon x - y)\|_1$.
2. Solve the following mathematical program with a concave objective:

$$\text{Maximize} \quad F(x, \tilde{x}) \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Subject to} \quad x_i \in [-1, 1] \quad \forall i \in [n] \tag{5}$$

3. Round the fractional solution x coordinate-by-coordinate to a solution $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ such that $\langle x', Ax' \rangle \geq \langle x, Ax \rangle$.
4. Output labeling x' .

Figure 1: Quadratic Program Maximization Algorithm

Proof. First, observe that function $F(x, y)$ is a concave function of x for a fixed y , since $\langle x, Ay \rangle$ is a linear function of x , and $\|A(\varepsilon x - y)\|_1$ is a convex function (because all vector norms are convex). Thus, we can find the maximum of $F(x, \tilde{x})$ subject to the constraint $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ in polynomial time. Also, note that $\langle x, Ax \rangle$ is a linear function of each x_i when all other coordinates x_j ($j \neq i$) are fixed. Thus, the algorithm can round each x to a $x' \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ by rounding coordinates one-by-one. At every step, the algorithm replaces one coordinate $x_i \in [-1, 1]$ with -1 or $+1$ making sure that the quadratic form $\langle x, Ax \rangle$ does not decrease.

We now show that inequality (3) holds. We begin with the following claim.

Claim 3.2 *For every $x, y \in [-1, 1]^n$, we have*

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \frac{F(x, y)}{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Write:

$$\langle x, Ax \rangle = \frac{\langle x, Ay \rangle + \langle x, A(\varepsilon x - y) \rangle}{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{\langle x, Ay \rangle - \|A(\varepsilon x - y)\|_1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{F(x, y)}{\varepsilon},$$

where the inequality follows from Hölder's inequality:

$$|\langle x, A(\varepsilon x - y) \rangle| \leq \|x\|_2 \|A(\varepsilon x - y)\|_2 \leq \|A(\varepsilon x - y)\|_1.$$

□

Next, we bound the expected value of optimization program (4)

Lemma 3.3 *Let $x \in [-1, 1]^n$ denote the optimal solution of concave program (4). Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [F(x, \tilde{x})] \geq \varepsilon \langle x^*, Ax^* \rangle - \sqrt{n} \|A\|_F.$$

Proof. The ground truth solution x^* is always a feasible solution to program (4). Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [F(x, \tilde{x})] \geq \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [F(x^*, \tilde{x})] = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [\langle x^*, A\tilde{x} \rangle - \|A(\varepsilon x^* - \tilde{x})\|_1] = \varepsilon \langle x^*, Ax^* \rangle - \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [\|A(\varepsilon x^* - \tilde{x})\|_1].$$

Here, we used that $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} = \varepsilon x^*$. To bound the last term, we let $z = \varepsilon x^* - \tilde{x}$ and observe that $\|Az\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|Az\|_2$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (since Az is an n -dimensional vector). Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \|A(\varepsilon x^* - \tilde{x})\|_1 = \mathbb{E} \|Az\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \|Az\|_2.$$

By Jensen’s inequality,

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \|Az\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\|Az\|_2^2 \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle Az, Az \rangle \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle z, A^*Az \rangle \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{ij} (A^*A)_{ij} z_i z_j \right].$$

Random variables z_i are mutually independent and $\mathbb{E}[z_i] = 0$ for all i . Thus, $\mathbb{E}[z_i z_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Also, $\mathbb{E}[z_i^2] = \text{Var}[\tilde{x}_i] = 1 - \varepsilon^2$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{ij} (A^*A)_{ij} z_i z_j \right] = (1 - \varepsilon^2) \sum_i (A^*A)_{ii} = (1 - \varepsilon^2) \text{tr}(A^*A) = (1 - \varepsilon^2) \|A\|_F.$$

Putting the bounds together completes the proof. □

We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 3.1. Recall that $x' \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ is the integral solution obtained by greedy coordinate-wise rounding of x . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [\langle x', Ax' \rangle] \geq \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} [\langle x, Ax \rangle] \geq \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{x}} \left[\frac{F(x, \tilde{x})}{\varepsilon} \right] \geq \frac{\varepsilon \langle x^*, Ax^* \rangle - \sqrt{n} \|A\|_F}{\varepsilon} = \langle x^*, Ax^* \rangle - \sqrt{n} \varepsilon^{-1} \|A\|_F.$$

□

References

- [BDSV18] Maria-Florina Balcan, Travis Dick, Tuomas Sandholm, and Ellen Vitercik. Learning to branch. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 344–353. PMLR, 2018.
- [GW95] Michel X Goemans and David P Williamson. Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 42(6):1115–1145, 1995.
- [GP19] Sreenivas Gollapudi and Debmalya Panigrahi. Online algorithms for rent-or-buy with expert advice. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2319–2327. PMLR, 2019.
- [HIKV19] Chen-Yu Hsu, Piotr Indyk, Dina Katabi, and Ali Vakilian. Learning-based frequency estimation algorithms. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.
- [KKMO07] Subhash Khot, Guy Kindler, Elchanan Mossel, and Ryan O’Donnell. Optimal inapproximability results for MAX-CUT and other 2-variable CSPs? *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(1):319–357, 2007.
- [LV21] Thodoris Lykouris and Sergei Vassilvitskii. Competitive caching with machine learned advice. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 68(4):1–25, 2021.
- [MM17] Konstantin Makarychev and Yury Makarychev. Approximation algorithms for CSPs. In *Dagstuhl Follow-Ups*, volume 7. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2017.
- [Mit18] Michael Mitzenmacher. A model for learned bloom filters and optimizing by sandwiching. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 31, 2018.
- [PSK18] Manish Purohit, Zoya Svitkina, and Ravi Kumar. Improving online algorithms via ML predictions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 31, 2018.