

MORE WEAKLY BIHARMONIC MAPS FROM THE BALL TO THE SPHERE

VOLKER BRANDING

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove the existence of two proper biharmonic maps between the Euclidean ball of dimension bigger than five and a Euclidean sphere of appropriate dimensions. We will also show that, in low dimensions, both maps are unstable critical points of the bienergy.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

In the geometric calculus of variations one is interested in the construction of non-trivial maps between two Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) which are critical points of a given energy functional. In this regard, much attention has been paid to the case of the *energy of a map* given by

$$E(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi|^2 dv, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is a map which we assume to be smooth for the moment. The critical points of (1.1) are characterized by the vanishing of the so-called *tension field*, i.e.

$$0 = \tau(\phi) := \operatorname{Tr}_g \bar{\nabla} d\phi, \quad \tau(\phi) \in \Gamma(\phi^*TN).$$

Here, $\bar{\nabla}$ represents the connection on the pull-back bundle ϕ^*TN . The famous result of Eells - Sampson [8] guarantees the existence of a harmonic map in each homotopy class of maps if both M, N are closed and if N has non-positive sectional curvature. Note that these harmonic maps are stable in the sense that the second variation of the energy (1.1) will always be positive. For more details and references on the stability of harmonic maps we refer to [6].

In the case of a spherical target the existence problem for harmonic maps is substantially more complicated. In this setup it is convenient to consider $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and the map $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then, a map to the sphere is harmonic if and only if it satisfies

$$\Delta u + |du|^2 u = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where Δ represents the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M . In general, harmonic maps to a spherical target are unstable, see [6] and references therein for the precise details. For an overview on harmonic maps we refer to the book [2].

In many nonlinear problems in geometric analysis it is favorable to not only consider the class of smooth maps but to also allow for maps of lower regularity and to consider a weak version of the problem at hand. In order to approach the notion of weak solutions of the harmonic map equation let us recall the definition of the Sobolev space for maps to the sphere

$$W^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{S}^n) := \{u \in W^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid u(x) \in \mathbb{S}^n \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

In the case that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ we let $u_0 \in W^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{S}^n)$ and define

$$W_{u_0}^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{S}^n) := \{u \in W^{p,q}(M, \mathbb{S}^n) \mid \nabla^k(u - u_0)|_{\partial M} = 0, 0 \leq k \leq p - 1\}.$$

Here, the boundary condition is to be understood in the sense of traces.

For $\Omega \subset M$ open we say that a map $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^n)$ is a *weak harmonic map* if it solves (1.2) in the sense of distributions. A celebrated result of Hélein [13] shows that such weak harmonic

Date: March 5, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58E20; 53C43.

Key words and phrases. proper biharmonic map; sphere; stability.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the project “Geometric Analysis of Biwave Maps” (P34853).

maps are actually smooth which led to the general regularity theory of Rivière [25] for two-dimensional conformally invariant variational problems. In [26] Rivière and Struwe generalized this analysis to higher dimensions.

A fourth order generalization of harmonic maps, that receives growing attention both in analysis and geometry, is given by the theory of *biharmonic maps*. Here, the starting point is the *bienergy of a map* which is given by

$$E_2(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 dv. \quad (1.3)$$

The critical points of (1.3) are characterized by the vanishing of the *bitension field*

$$0 = \tau_2(\phi) := \bar{\Delta}\tau(\phi) - \text{Tr}_g R^N(\tau(\phi), d\phi(\cdot))d\phi(\cdot). \quad (1.4)$$

In the above formula $\bar{\Delta}$ represents the connection Laplacian on the pull-back bundle ϕ^*TN . A direct inspection of the biharmonic map equation reveals that every harmonic map automatically provides a solution. Hence, one is very much interested in finding the non-harmonic solutions of (1.4) which are called *proper biharmonic*. However, in the case that M is closed and N has non-positive sectional curvature the maximum principle implies that every biharmonic map must be harmonic [15]. Further classification results for biharmonic maps can be found in [4]. Due to the reasons outlined above most research on biharmonic maps considers the case of a spherical target. In this setup the bienergy can be expressed as

$$E_2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M (|\Delta u|^2 - |\nabla u|^4) dv \quad (1.5)$$

and its critical points satisfy

$$\Delta^2 u + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) + (|\Delta u|^2 + \Delta |\nabla u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + 2|\nabla u|^4)u = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where again $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Concerning the regularity of biharmonic maps to spheres we refer to the articles [7, 16, 28], see also the more general result of Lamm and Rivière [26] on the regularity of fourth order problems in dimension four which was later extended by Struwe to higher dimensions [27].

Unique continuation theorems for biharmonic maps, in particular for biharmonic maps to spheres, have been established in [5]. Rotationally symmetric biharmonic maps between model spaces, which include flat Euclidean space and the Euclidean sphere, have been investigated in [20]. For a detailed study of the stability of biharmonic maps to spheres we refer to [18], biharmonic homogeneous polynomial maps between spheres have recently been constructed in [1].

The current status of research on biharmonic maps can be found in the recent book [24], for more details on the general structure of higher order variational problems one can consult [3] and references therein.

Before we state the main results of this article let us present a number of explicit solutions to the harmonic and biharmonic map equations (1.2) and (1.6) for the case of a spherical target. One prominent solution to (1.2) is given by the equator map

$$\begin{aligned} u: B^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \\ x &\mapsto \frac{x}{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where B^m is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^m . Note that the equator map is a weak solution of the harmonic map equation (1.2). Throughout this note we will use the notation

$$r := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2},$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ throughout the whole manuscript.

This particular solution of the harmonic map equation was first mentioned by Hildebrandt in [14, p. 13]. Subsequently, Jäger and Kaul proved that this map is energy minimizing for $m \geq 7$. Later, Lin [17] realized that this map is energy minimizing if interpreted as $u: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ for all $m \geq 3$.

Now, we recall the following definition as it is central within this manuscript.

Definition 1.1. A map $u \in W^{2,2}(B^m, \mathbb{S}^n)$ is called a weak biharmonic map if it solves (1.6) in the sense of distributions.

Recently, Fardoun, Montaldo and Ratto showed in [9, Theorem 1.1] how to manufacture a weak proper biharmonic map out of the equator map (1.7). Their approach relies on "rotating" the harmonic map (1.7) to a proper biharmonic one. More precisely, they proved that the map

$$\begin{aligned} u: B^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \left(\sin a \frac{x}{r}, \cos a \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

is a weak proper biharmonic map if and only if

- (1) $m = 5$ and $a = \frac{\pi}{3}$
- (2) $m = 6$ and $a = \frac{1}{2} \arccos(-\frac{4}{5})$.

They also proved that both of these proper biharmonic maps are unstable, see [9, Theorem 1.2]. In another recent paper [19] Misawa and Nakauchi found two generalizations of the equatorial harmonic map (1.7). In particular, they proved that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ij}: B^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m^2}, \\ x &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \left(-\delta_{ij} + m \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ijk}: B^m &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^3-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m^3}, \\ x &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m-1)(m+2)}} \left(\delta_{ij} \frac{x_k}{r} + \delta_{jk} \frac{x_i}{r} + \delta_{ik} \frac{x_j}{r} - (m+2) \frac{x_i x_j x_k}{r^3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

are both harmonic, that is they solve the equation for harmonic to spheres (1.2). There is also a systematic, recursively defined, generalization of such harmonic maps to spheres, see [10, 21] and their stability was recently investigated in [22].

We would like to point out that the initial motivation to study maps of the form (1.9), (1.10) in the 1980's by Giaquinta and Nečas was to find counterexamples to the regularity of weak solutions of elliptic systems that were investigated at that time, see for example [11, 12, 23].

As both u_{ij} and v_{ijk} are harmonic maps to the sphere it seems very natural to ask if the same kind of "rotation" that turned the equatorial harmonic map (1.7) into a proper biharmonic map can also be applied in the cases of the maps u_{ij} and v_{ijk} .

Our first result is to show that the answer is affirmative for u_{ij} :

Theorem 1.2. *Let $m \geq 5$. The map*

$$\tilde{u}_{ij}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m^2-1} \times \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$x \mapsto (\sin \alpha u_{ij}, \cos \alpha) \quad (1.11)$$

is a proper weak biharmonic map if and only if

$$\sin^2 \alpha = 1 - \frac{2}{m}.$$

A direct calculation shows that the proper biharmonic map provided by Theorem 1.2 has bienergy

$$E_2(\tilde{u}_{ij}) = 4 \frac{m-2}{m-4} \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$$

suggesting that these maps cannot be a stable critical point of the bienergy (1.6). Indeed, regarding the stability of the proper biharmonic maps provided by Theorem 1.2 we establish the following statement

Theorem 1.3. *The proper biharmonic map provided by Theorem 1.2 is unstable if $5 \leq m \leq 12$.*

In addition, we will also show how the map v_{ijk} defined in (1.10) can be transformed into a proper biharmonic map.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $m \geq 5$. The map*

$$\tilde{v}_{ijk}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^3-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m^3-1} \times \mathbb{R} \quad (1.12)$$

defined by

$$x \mapsto (\sin \beta v_{ijk}, \cos \beta)$$

is proper biharmonic if and only if

$$\sin^2 \beta = \frac{5m-5}{6m+6}. \quad (1.13)$$

Again, we also investigate the stability of the proper biharmonic map constructed in the previous Theorem. If we calculate its bienergy we find

$$E_2(\tilde{v}_{ijk}) = \frac{5(m-1)(11m+1)}{8(m-4)} \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})$$

again indicating that it cannot be a stable critical point of (1.3). Indeed, we will prove the following

Theorem 1.5. *The proper biharmonic map provided by Theorem 1.4 is unstable if $5 \leq m \leq 18$.*

Remark 1.6. (1) If we compare Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 with the corresponding results on the proper biharmonic map that was obtained by rotating the equator map (1.7) then we find that we always need to require $m \geq 5$. However, rotating the equator map only gives rise to a proper biharmonic map if $m = 5, 6$ while rotating the maps u_{ij} and v_{ijk} provides proper biharmonic maps in all dimension bigger than five.

(2) One should expect that the biharmonic maps provided by Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 will be unstable in all dimensions. However, the method of proof that we employ in this paper only seems to be feasible in lower dimensions.

Throughout this article we will use the following sign conventions: For the Riemannian curvature tensor field we use

$$R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

where X, Y, Z are vector fields. For the rough Laplacian on the pull-back bundle ϕ^*TN we employ the analysts sign convention

$$\bar{\Delta} = \text{Tr}_g(\bar{\nabla}\bar{\nabla} - \bar{\nabla}\nabla).$$

We will use Latin letters to represent indices on the domain M . Moreover, we will always employ the summation convention and tacitly sum over repeated indices.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we provide the proofs of the main theorems. First, we establish the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u_{ij}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1}$ be the map defined in (1.9). Then, the following identities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_{ij}|^2 &= \frac{2m}{r^2}, \\ \Delta u_{ij} &= \frac{2m}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{r^2} - m \frac{x_i x_j}{r^4} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2m}{r^2} u_{ij}, \\ \Delta^2 u_{ij} &= \frac{8m(m-2)}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \frac{1}{r^4} \left(-\delta_{ij} + m \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} \right) = \frac{8m(m-2)}{r^4} u_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where δ_{ij} represents the Kronecker delta.

Proof. A direct calculation shows the following identity

$$\nabla_k u_{ij} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \left(\frac{\delta_{ik} x_j}{r^2} + \frac{x_i \delta_{jk}}{r^2} - 2 \frac{x_i x_j x_k}{r^4} \right),$$

taking the square then gives the first while taking the trace gives the second formula of the Lemma.

Now, we differentiate the second formula again and find

$$\nabla_k \Delta u_{ij} = \frac{4m x_k}{r^4} u_{ij} - \frac{2m}{r^2} \nabla_k u_{ij}.$$

Differentiating once more we obtain

$$\nabla_l \nabla_k \Delta u_{ij} = \frac{4m \delta_{kl}}{r^4} u_{ij} - \frac{16m x_k x_l}{r^6} u_{ij} + \frac{4m x_k}{r^4} \nabla_l u_{ij} + \frac{4m x_l}{r^4} \nabla_k u_{ij} - \frac{2m}{r^2} \nabla_l \nabla_k u_{ij}.$$

Now, a direct calculation shows that $x \cdot \nabla u_{ij} = 0$ and the last formula follows by taking the trace again and using the second formula of the Lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First of all, we recall that we can rewrite the equation for biharmonic maps to spheres in the following form

$$\Delta^2 u + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) - (\langle \Delta^2 u, u \rangle - 2|\nabla u|^4) u = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

which is equivalent to (1.6). This can easily be seen by applying Δ^2 to $|u|^2 = 1$ giving

$$0 = \Delta |\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle + \langle u, \Delta^2 u \rangle.$$

Now, we inspect the precise structure of the map \tilde{u}_{ij} defined in (1.11). Since the m^2 -th component of the map \tilde{u}_{ij} is constant, (2.2) yields the following constraint

$$\langle \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_{ij}, \tilde{u}_{ij} \rangle - 2|\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}|^4 = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Applying the identities provided by Lemma 2.1 we find that this constraint is equivalent to

$$8m \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{r^4} (2 - m + m \sin^2 \alpha) = 0$$

yielding

$$2 - m + m \sin^2 \alpha = 0.$$

Now, assuming that the above constraint is satisfied, for the first $m^2 - 1$ components of \tilde{u}_{ij} , we are left with

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{u}_{ij} + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}|^2 \nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}) = 0.$$

Finally, a direct calculation using the identities given in (2.1) shows that the first $m^2 - 1$ components of \tilde{u}_{ij} satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}|^2 \nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}) &= - \left(\frac{4m^2}{r^4} \sin^2 \alpha \right) \tilde{u}_{ij}, \\ \Delta^2 \tilde{u}_{ij} &= \frac{8m(m-2)}{r^4} \tilde{u}_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we get that for the first $m^2 - 1$ components

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{u}_{ij} + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}|^2 \nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}) = \frac{8m}{r^4} (m - 2 - m \sin^2 \alpha) \tilde{u}_{ij}$$

leading to the same condition $2 - m + m \sin^2 \alpha = 0$ such that the existence part of the proof is now complete.

In order to show that $\tilde{u}_{ij}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1}$ is a weak biharmonic map we have to check if $\tilde{u}_{ij} \in W^{2,2}(B^m, \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1})$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^m} |\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij}|^2 dv &= 2(m-2) \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \int_0^1 r^{m-3} dr, \\ \int_{B^m} |\Delta \tilde{u}_{ij}|^2 dv &= 4m(m-2) \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \int_0^1 r^{m-5} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We realize that we need to require $m \geq 5$ in order for the second integral to be finite, hence \tilde{u}_{ij} belongs to $W^{2,2}(B^m, \mathbb{S}^{m^2-1})$ whenever $m \geq 5$. \square

As a second step we will investigate the stability of the proper biharmonic map provided by Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We follow the ideas used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [9]. In order to prove the claim we will explicitly construct a variational vector field for which the second variation of the bienergy, evaluated on this particular vector field, will be negative.

To this end, we consider a variation of \tilde{u}_{ij} defined as follows

$$\tilde{u}_{ij,s} = (\sin(\alpha + sV(r))u_{ij}, \cos(\alpha + sV(r))),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V(r): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a smooth function that will be specified during the proof. We need to require that $V(1) = V'(1) = 0$ in order to keep track of the boundary conditions. Recall the following identities

$$|\nabla u_{ij}|^2 = \frac{2m}{r^2}, \quad |\Delta u_{ij}|^2 = \frac{4m^2}{r^4}.$$

Then, a direct calculation shows that

$$|\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij,s}|^4 = s^4 V'^4(r) + 4m^2 \sin^4(\alpha + sV(r)) \frac{1}{r^4} + 4ms^2 \sin^2(\alpha + sV(r)) \frac{V'^2(r)}{r^2}.$$

Moreover, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{u}_{ij,s} &= \left(-\sin(\alpha + sV(r))s^2 V'^2(r)u_{ij} + \cos(\alpha + sV(r))s\Delta V(r)u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin(\alpha + sV(r))\Delta u_{ij}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\cos(\alpha + sV(r))s^2 V'^2(r) - \sin(\alpha + sV(r))s\Delta V(r) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $x_l \nabla_l u_{ij} = 0$ which follows from a direct calculation.

Thus, we can infer

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \tilde{u}_{ij,s}|^2 &= s^4 V'^4(r) + s^2 |\Delta V(r)|^2 + \sin^2(\alpha + sV(r))4m \left(\frac{m}{r^4} + s^2 \frac{V'^2(r)}{r^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \sin(\alpha + sV(r)) \cos(\alpha + sV(r))4s \frac{m}{r^2} \Delta V(r) \end{aligned}$$

such that we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \tilde{u}_{ij,s}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{u}_{ij,s}|^4 &= s^2 |\Delta V(r)|^2 - \sin(\alpha + sV(r)) \cos(\alpha + sV(r))4s \frac{m}{r^2} \Delta V(r) \\ &\quad + \sin^2(\alpha + sV(r)) \cos^2(\alpha + sV(r))4 \frac{m^2}{r^4} \\ &= \left(s\Delta V(r) - \sin(2\alpha + 2sV(r)) \frac{m}{r^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{u}_{ij,s}) = \int_{B^m} \left(\Delta V(r) - 2m \cos(2\alpha) \frac{V(r)}{r^2} \right)^2 dv - 4m^2 \sin^2(2\alpha) \int_{B^m} \frac{V^2(r)}{r^4} dv.$$

Also, by a direct calculation we get

$$\Delta V(r) = V''(r) + (m-1)\frac{V'(r)}{r}$$

and together with the condition for being proper biharmonic, which is $\sin^2 \alpha = 1 - \frac{2}{m}$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2}\Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{u}_{ij,s}) &= \int_{B^m} (V''(r) + (m-1)\frac{V'(r)}{r} + 2(m-4)\frac{V(r)}{r^2})^2 dv \\ &+ 32(2-m) \int_{B^m} \frac{V^2(r)}{r^4} dv. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now, we set $V(r) := (1-r^2)^p$, $p > 2$, which satisfies $V(1) = V'(1) = 0$ as requested. Using this choice in (2.4) we arrive, after some direct calculations, at the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \frac{d^2}{ds^2}\Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{u}_{ij,s}) &= 16p^2(p-1)^2 \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-4} r^{m+3} dr \\ &- 16mp^2(p-1) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-3} r^{m+1} dr \\ &+ 4p(m^2p + 4(p-1)(m-4)) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-2} r^{m-1} dr \\ &- 8mp(m-4) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-1} r^{m-3} dr \\ &+ 4(m^2 - 16m + 32) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p} r^{m-5} dr. \end{aligned}$$

For $a, b > 0$ we recall the following integral formula

$$\int_0^1 (1-x^2)^a x^b dx = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(\frac{b+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(a+\frac{b}{2}+\frac{3}{2})},$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ represents the Gamma function, which leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(2p-1+\frac{m}{2})}{8\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \frac{d^2}{ds^2}\Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{u}_{ij,s}) &= 4p^2(p-1)^2 \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+2) \Gamma(2p-3) \\ &- 4mp^2(p-1) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+1) \Gamma(2p-2) \\ &+ p(m^2p + 4(p-1)(m-4)) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \Gamma(2p-1) \\ &- 2mp(m-4) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}-1) \Gamma(2p) \\ &+ (m^2 - 16m + 32) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}-2) \Gamma(2p+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, our strategy is as follows: For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ given we need to find a p such that the right hand side of the above equation is negative. Using a computer algebra system one can directly check that for $5 \leq m \leq 12$ one can take $p = m$ to obtain the claim completing the proof. \square

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.4. First, we establish the following technical Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $v_{ijk}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^3-1}$ be the map defined in (1.10). Then, the following identities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_{ijk}|^2 &= 3 \frac{m+1}{r^2}, \\ \Delta v_{ijk} &= \frac{3(m+1)}{\sqrt{m(m+2)}} \left(-\frac{1}{r} \nabla_k u_{ij} + m \frac{x_k}{r^3} u_{ij} \right) \\ &= -3 \frac{m+1}{r^2} v_{ijk}, \\ \Delta^2 v_{ijk} &= \frac{15}{r^4} (m+1)(m-1) v_{ijk}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where u_{ij} is the map defined in (1.9).

Proof. First of all, we note that we can express v_{ijk} in terms of u_{ijk} as follows

$$v_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m+2)}} \left(r \nabla_k u_{ij} - m \frac{x_k}{r} u_{ij} \right)$$

such that we can make use of the identities obtained in Lemma 2.1.

Again, a direct calculation shows that

$$\nabla_a v_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m+2)}} \left(\frac{x_a}{r} \nabla_k u_{ij} + r \nabla_a \nabla_k u_{ij} - m \frac{\delta_{ak}}{r} u_{ij} + m \frac{x_k x_a}{r^3} u_{ij} - m \frac{x_k}{r} \nabla_a u_{ij} \right)$$

and we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_{ijk}|^2 &= \frac{1}{m(m+2)} \left((1+m)^2 |\nabla u_{ij}|^2 + r^2 |\nabla^2 u_{ij}|^2 + \frac{m^2(m-1)}{r^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4m}{r^2} \underbrace{|x \cdot \nabla u_{ij}|^2}_{=0} + (1-m) \underbrace{x \cdot \nabla |\nabla u|^2}_{=-4m/r^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$|\nabla^2 u_{ij}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u_{ij}|^2 - \langle \nabla \Delta u_{ij}, \nabla u_{ij} \rangle$$

we find $|\nabla^2 u_{ij}|^2 = \frac{2m}{r^4} (4+m)$ and by combining the equations we obtain the first claim of the Lemma.

Differentiating again we find

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_b \nabla_a v_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m+2)}} \left(\frac{\delta_{ab}}{r} \nabla_k u_{ij} - \frac{x_a x_b}{r^3} \nabla_k u_{ij} + \frac{x_a}{r} \nabla_b \nabla_k u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{x_b}{r} \nabla_a \nabla_k u_{ij} + r \nabla_b \nabla_a \nabla_k u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m x_b \delta_{ak}}{r^3} u_{ij} - \frac{m \delta_{ak}}{r} \nabla_b u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + m \frac{\delta_{kb} x_a}{r^3} u_{ij} + m \frac{x_k \delta_{ab}}{r^3} u_{ij} - 3m \frac{x_k x_a x_b}{r^5} u_{ij} + m \frac{x_a x_k}{r^3} \nabla_b u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - m \frac{\delta_{kb}}{r} \nabla_a u_{ij} + m \frac{x_k x_b}{r^3} \nabla_a u_{ij} - m \frac{x_k}{r} \nabla_b \nabla_a u_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m+2)}} \left(-\frac{m+1}{r} \nabla_k u_{ij} + 2 \frac{x_b}{r} \nabla_b \nabla_k u_{ij} + m(m-1) \frac{x_k}{r^3} u_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r \nabla_k \Delta u_{ij} - m \frac{x_k}{r} \Delta u_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Employing the identity $x_b \nabla_k \nabla_b u_{ij} = -\nabla_k u_{ij}$ and using the formula for Δu_{ij} derived in Lemma 2.1 we obtain the expression for Δv_{ijk} .

Finally, using the product rule for the Laplace operator, we obtain

$$\Delta^2 v_{ijk} = 6 \frac{(m+1)(m-4)}{r^4} v_{ijk} + 12 \frac{m+1}{r^4} x_a \nabla_a v_{ijk} - 3 \frac{m+1}{r^2} \Delta v_{ijk}.$$

A direct calculation shows that $x_a \nabla_a v_{ijk} = 0$, together with the formula for Δv_{ijk} derived previously the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We use similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Again, we employ the following version of the equation for biharmonic maps to spheres

$$\Delta^2 u + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) - (\langle \Delta^2 u, u \rangle - 2|\nabla u|^4) u = 0.$$

Since the m^3 -th component of the map \tilde{v}_{ijk} is constant, we find the following constraint

$$\langle \Delta^2 \tilde{v}_{ijk}, \tilde{v}_{ijk} \rangle - 2|\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^4 = 0.$$

Inserting the identities obtained in Lemma 2.2 we find that this constraint is equivalent to

$$3(m+1) \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{r^4} (5m - 5 - (6m+6) \sin^2 \beta) = 0$$

yielding

$$5m - 5 - (6m+6) \sin^2 \beta = 0.$$

Now, assuming that the above constraint is satisfied, for the first $m^3 - 1$ components of \tilde{v}_{ijk} , we get

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{v}_{ijk} + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 \nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}) = 0.$$

Finally, a direct calculation using (2.1) shows that the first $m^3 - 1$ components of \tilde{v}_{ijk} satisfy the following identities

$$\operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 \nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}) = |\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 \Delta \tilde{v}_{ijk} = \left(-9 \frac{(m+1)^2}{r^4} \sin^2 \beta \right) \tilde{v}_{ijk}.$$

In conclusion, we get that

$$\Delta^2 \tilde{v}_{ijk} + 2 \operatorname{div} (|\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 \nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}) = 3 \frac{m+1}{r^4} (5m - 5 - (6m+6) \sin^2 \beta) \tilde{v}_{ijk}$$

leading to the same condition $5m - 5 - (6m+6) \sin^2 \beta = 0$ showing that \tilde{v}_{ijk} solves the biharmonic map equation except at the origin. To complete the proof we need to show that $\tilde{v}_{ijk}: B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m^3-1}$ is a weak biharmonic map, that is we have to check when $\tilde{v}_{ijk} \in W^{2,2}(B^m, \mathbb{S}^{m^3-1})$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^m} |\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 dv &= 5 \frac{m-1}{2} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \int_0^1 r^{m-3} dr, \\ \int_{B^m} |\Delta \tilde{v}_{ijk}|^2 dv &= 15 \frac{m^2-1}{2} \operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \int_0^1 r^{m-5} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We realize that, again, we need to require $m \geq 5$ in order for the second integral to be finite completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We use a similar strategy as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Again, consider a variation of \tilde{v}_{ijk} defined as follows

$$\tilde{v}_{ijk,s} = (\sin(\beta + sV(r)) v_{ijk}, \cos(\beta + sV(r))),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V(r): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function that will be fixed later.

Then, a direct calculation shows that

$$|\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk,s}|^4 = s^4 V'^4(r) + \sin^4(\beta + sV(r)) |\nabla v_{ijk}|^4 + 2s^2 \sin^2(\beta + sV(r)) V'^2(r) |\nabla v_{ijk}|^2$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{v}_{ijk,s} = & \left(-\sin(\beta + sV(r))s^2V'^2(s)v_{ijk} + \cos(\beta + sV(r))s\Delta V(r)v_{ijk} \right. \\ & + \sin(\beta + sV(r))\Delta v_{ijk}, \\ & \left. -\cos(\beta + sV(r))s^2V'^2(r) - \sin(\beta + sV(r))s\Delta V(r) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $x_l \nabla_l v_{ijk} = 0$ which follows from a direct calculation. Hence, we can deduce that

$$|\Delta \tilde{v}_{ijk,s}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{v}_{ijk,s}|^4 = \left(s\Delta V(r) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\beta + 2sV(r)) |\nabla v_{ijk}|^2 \right)^2,$$

where we used that $|\Delta v_{ijk}|^2 = |\nabla v_{ijk}|^4$ which holds as v_{ijk} is a harmonic map with values in the sphere. Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{v}_{ijk,s}) = \int_{B^m} (\Delta V(r) - \cos(2\beta)V(r) |\nabla v_{ijk}|^2)^2 dv - \sin^2(2\beta) \int_{B^m} |\nabla v_{ijk}|^4 V^2(r) dv.$$

Recall the following identity

$$|\nabla v_{ijk}|^2 = 3 \frac{m+1}{r^2},$$

which was derived in Lemma 2.2, and, also

$$\Delta V(r) = V''(r) + (m-1) \frac{V'(r)}{r}.$$

Note that the condition for \tilde{v}_{ijk} being proper biharmonic is $\sin^2 \beta = \frac{5m-5}{6m+6}$, leading to

$$\cos 2\beta = \frac{24-m}{3m+1}, \quad \sin^2 2\beta = \frac{5(m-1)(m+11)}{9(m+1)^2}.$$

Using this data in the formula for the second variation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{v}_{ijk,s}) = & \int_{B^m} \left(V''(r) + (m-1) \frac{V'(r)}{r} + 2(m-4) \frac{V(r)}{r^2} \right)^2 dv \\ & - 5(m-1)(m+11) \int_{B^m} \frac{V^2(r)}{r^4} dv. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Again, we now employ $V(r) = (1-r^2)^p$, $p > 2$, which satisfies $V(1) = V'(1) = 0$ as requested. Using this choice in (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{v}_{ijk,s}) = & 16p^2(p-1)^2 \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-4} r^{m+3} dr \\ & - 16mp^2(p-1) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-3} r^{m+1} dr \\ & + 4p(m^2p + 4(p-1)(m-4)) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-2} r^{m-1} dr \\ & - 8mp(m-4) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p-1} r^{m-3} dr \\ & - (m^2 + 82m - 119) \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{2p} r^{m-5} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Carrying out the integrals as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(2p-1+\frac{m}{2})}{2\text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} E_2(\tilde{v}_{ijk,s}) = & 16p^2(p-1)^2 \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+2) \Gamma(2p-3) \\ & - 16mp^2(p-1) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+1) \Gamma(2p-2) \\ & + 4p(m^2p+4(p-1)(m-4)) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \Gamma(2p-1) \\ & - 8mp(m-4) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}-1) \Gamma(2p) \\ & - (m^2+82m-119) \Gamma(\frac{m}{2}-2) \Gamma(2p+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $5 \leq m \leq 18$ we can choose $p = m$ to show the instability of the biharmonic map \tilde{v}_{ijk} using a computer algebra system. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Rareş Ambrosie, Cezar Oniciuc, and Ye-Lin Ou. Biharmonic homogeneous polynomial maps between spheres. *Results Math.*, 78(4):Paper No. 159, 40, 2023.
- [2] Paul Baird and John C. Wood. *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, volume 29 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [3] V. Branding, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and A. Ratto. Higher order energy functionals. *Adv. Math.*, 370:107236, 60, 2020.
- [4] Volker Branding and Yong Luo. A nonexistence theorem for proper biharmonic maps into general Riemannian manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 148:103557, 9, 2020.
- [5] Volker Branding and Cezar Oniciuc. Unique continuation theorems for biharmonic maps. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 51(4):603–621, 2019.
- [6] Volker Branding and Anna Siffert. On the equivariant stability of harmonic self-maps of cohomogeneity one manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 517(2):Paper No. 126635, 19, 2023.
- [7] Sun-Yung A. Chang, Lihe Wang, and Paul C. Yang. A regularity theory of biharmonic maps. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52(9):1113–1137, 1999.
- [8] James Eells, Jr. and J. H. Sampson. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:109–160, 1964.
- [9] A. Fardoun, S. Montaldo, and A. Ratto. Weakly biharmonic maps from the ball to the sphere. *Geom. Dedicata*, 205:167–175, 2020.
- [10] Hideaki Fujioka. An example of harmonic map into the spheres with the singularity of order 4. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 156:103810, 10, 2020.
- [11] M. Giaquinta and J. Nečas. On the regularity of weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 316:140–159, 1980.
- [12] Wenge Hao, Salvatore Leonardi, and Jindřich Nečas. An example of irregular solution to a nonlinear Euler-Lagrange elliptic system with real analytic coefficients. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 23(1):57–67, 1996.
- [13] Frédéric Hélein. Régularité des applications faiblement harmoniques entre une surface et une sphère. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 311(9):519–524, 1990.
- [14] Stefan Hildebrandt. Nonlinear elliptic systems and harmonic mappings. In *Proceedings of the 1980 Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations, Vol. 1, 2, 3 (Beijing, 1980)*, pages 481–615. Sci. Press Beijing, Beijing, 1982.
- [15] Guo Ying Jiang. 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, 7(4):389–402, 1986. An English summary appears in *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **7** (1986), no. 4, 523.
- [16] Yin Bon Ku. Interior and boundary regularity of intrinsic biharmonic maps to spheres. *Pacific J. Math.*, 234(1):43–67, 2008.
- [17] Fang-Hua Lin. A remark on the map $x/|x|$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 305(12):529–531, 1987.
- [18] E. Loubeau and C. Oniciuc. The index of biharmonic maps in spheres. *Compos. Math.*, 141(3):729–745, 2005.
- [19] Masashi Misawa and Nobumitsu Nakauchi. Two examples of harmonic maps into spheres. *Adv. Geom.*, 22(1):23–31, 2022.
- [20] S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and A. Ratto. Rotationally symmetric biharmonic maps between models. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 431(1):494–508, 2015.
- [21] Nobumitsu Nakauchi. A family of examples of harmonic maps into the sphere with one point singularity. *Ex. Countex.*, 3:Paper No. 100107, 4, 2023.
- [22] Nobumitsu Nakauchi. Instability of a family of examples of harmonic maps. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 65(1):10, 2024.

- [23] J. Nečas, O. John, and J. Stará. Counterexample to the regularity of weak solution of elliptic systems. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 21(1):145–154, 1980.
- [24] Ye-Lin Ou and Bang-Yen Chen. *Biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps in Riemannian geometry*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, [2020] ©2020.
- [25] Tristan Rivière. Conservation laws for conformally invariant variational problems. *Invent. Math.*, 168(1):1–22, 2007.
- [26] Tristan Rivière and Michael Struwe. Partial regularity for harmonic maps and related problems. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(4):451–463, 2008.
- [27] Michael Struwe. Partial regularity for biharmonic maps, revisited. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 33(2):249–262, 2008.
- [28] Changyou Wang. Remarks on biharmonic maps into spheres. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 21(3):221–242, 2004.

UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA,
Email address: volker.branding@univie.ac.at