

SPECTRAL BIPARTITE TURÁN PROBLEMS ON LINEAR HYPERGRAPHS

CHUAN-MING SHE, YI-ZHENG FAN*, AND LIYING KANG

ABSTRACT. Let F be a graph and let $\mathcal{B}_r(F)$ be the class of r -uniform Berge- F hypergraphs. In this paper, by establishing a relationship between the spectral radius of the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph and its local structure via walks, we give a spectral asymptotic bound for $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs and upper bounds for the spectral radii of $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -free or $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs, where C_3 and $K_{s,t}$ are respectively the triangle and the complete bipartite graph with one part having s vertices and the other part having t vertices. Our work implies an upper bound for the number of edges of $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs, and extends some known work on (spectral) extreme problems of hypergraphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *hypergraph* $H = (V(H), E(H))$ consists of a vertex set $V(H)$ and an edge set $E(H)$, where each edge of $E(H)$ is a subset of $V(H)$. The hypergraph H is called *r -uniform* if each edge has exactly r elements, and is called *linear* if any two edges intersect into at most one vertex. Clearly a simple graph is a 2-uniform linear hypergraph. A *walk* W of length k in H , simply called a *k -walk*, is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges of the form $v_1e_1v_2e_2v_3 \cdots v_ke_kv_{k+1}$, where $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$ and $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \subseteq e_i$ for $i \in [k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$. The hypergraph H is *connected* if every two vertices are connected by a walk.

In the above walk W , if $v_1 = v_{k+1}$ and except v_1, v_{k+1} no vertices or edges are repeated, then W is called a (Berge) *cycle*; and the subhypergraph of H induced by the edges e_1, \dots, e_k is called a *Berge- C_k* , where C_k denotes a cycle on k vertices (as simple graph). Formally, let F be a simple graph and H be a hypergraph. A hypergraph H is called a *Berge- F* [20] if there is a bijection $\phi : E(F) \rightarrow E(H)$ such that $e \subseteq \phi(e)$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C35, 05C65.

Key words and phrases. Linear hypergraph; spectral extreme problem; adjacency tensor; spectral radius; Berge hypergraph.

*The corresponding author. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12331012).

for all $e \in E(F)$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_r(F)$ the family of all r -uniform Berge- F hypergraphs. So $\mathcal{B}_r(C_2)$ is a family of r -uniform hypergraphs consisting of two edges sharing two vertices. The r -expansion of F , denoted by F^r , is the r -uniform hypergraph obtained from F by enlarging each edge of F with a vertex set of size $r - 2$ disjoint from $V(F)$ such that distinct edges are enlarged by disjoint vertex sets. Clearly, F^r is a Berge- F .

For a given family \mathcal{F} of hypergraphs, we say H is \mathcal{F} -free if it does not contain a subhypergraph isomorphic to any hypergraph $F \in \mathcal{F}$. So, linear hypergraphs are exactly $\mathcal{B}_r(C_2)$ -free hypergraphs. Let $\text{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{spex}_r(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the maximum number of edges and the maximum spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free r -uniform hypergraphs on n vertices, respectively. Similarly, write $\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{F})$ for the maximum number of edges and the maximum spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs on n vertices, respectively. If simple graphs are considered, the subscripts r are omitted in the above notations.

Turán type problems on graphs and hypergraphs that aim to determine $\text{ex}_r(n, \mathcal{F})$ are the central topic of extremal combinatorics and have a vast literature; see e.g. [16, 28, 27, 30, 31]. The Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem ([7, 5]) stated that

$$\text{ex}(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F)}\right) \binom{n}{2} + o(n^2),$$

where $\chi(F)$ is the chromatic number of F . Hence it is a challenging problem to determine the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, F)$ for a bipartite graph F .

Let $K_{s,t}$ denote the complete bipartite graph with two parts having s vertices and t vertices respectively, where $s \leq t$. The famous Zarankiewicz problem just asks for the maximum number of edges in a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph on n vertices, and the matrix version of the Zarankiewicz problem is seeking for $z(m, n, s, t)$ that is the maximum number of ones in a $(0, 1)$ -matrix of size $m \times n$ that does not contain, $J_{s,t}$, the all ones matrix of size $s \times t$, as a submatrix. Kóvari, Sós and Turán [24] presented one of the earliest bounds:

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}) \leq \frac{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{2} n^{2-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{2} n.$$

Füredi [14] gave the case of $s = 2$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}) n^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{2}.$$

For the general case, Füredi [13] improved the asymptotic coefficient $(t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}$ to $(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}$:

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{2} n^{2-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s}{2} n^{2-\frac{2}{s}} + \frac{s}{2} n.$$

With the development of spectral extremal graph theory, the above results have the spectral versions as well. Babai and Barry [1] proposed the spectral Zarankiewicz problem and gave the upper bound

$$\text{spex}(n, K_{s,t}) \leq \left((t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}} + o(1) \right) n^{1-\frac{1}{s}}, 2 \leq s \leq t.$$

Furthermore, Nikiforov [29] presented the following upper bounds:

$$\text{spex}(n, K_{2,t}) \leq \sqrt{(t-1)(n-1) + \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for } 2 \leq t,$$

$$\text{spex}(n, K_{s,t}) \leq (t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (s-1)n^{1-\frac{2}{s}} + s-2, \text{ for } 3 \leq s \leq t.$$

Due to the difficulty of a general hypergraph Turán problem, the linear hypergraph Turán type problems have been paid more attention. For example, Ruzsa and Szemerédi [33] considered the $(6, 3)$ -problem, the maximum number of edges of 3-uniform hypergraphs not carrying three edges on six vertices. Erdős, Frankl and Rödl [6] investigated the generalized $(6, 3)$ -problem, the maximum number of edges in an r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices and in which the union of any three edges has size greater than $3r-3$ (equivalently, the number $\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3))$), and presented that

$$(1.1) \quad n^{2-\epsilon} < \text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)) = o(n^2).$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ when n is large enough. Lazebnik and Verstraëte [25] gave the upper bound of the number of edges in an r -uniform hypergraphs H on n vertices without cycles of length less than five (implying that H is linear and $\{\mathcal{B}_r(C_3), \mathcal{B}_r(C_4)\}$ -free):

$$e(H) \leq \frac{1}{r(r-1)} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{r-2}{2r(r-1)} n + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where $e(H)$ denotes the number of edges of H ; in particular, when $r=3$, if H has the maximum number of edges, then

$$e(H) = \frac{1}{6} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + o(n^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Timmons [35] generalized the result and presented

$$(1.2) \quad \text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \{\mathcal{B}_r(C_3), \mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})\}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{r(r-1)} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{n}{r},$$

as $K_{2,2} = C_4$. Füredi and Özkahya [15] proved that for $k \geq 2$,

$$\text{ex}_3^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_{2k+1})) \leq 2kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}} + 9kn.$$

Gerbner, Methuku and Vizer [19] proved that for all $r, t \geq 2$.

$$\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})) \leq \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{r(r-1)} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(n).$$

Ergemlidze, Györi and Methuku [8] proved that

$$\text{ex}_3^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_3(C_5)) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}n^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(n).$$

For all integers $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq s \leq t$, Gao and Chang [17] gave

$$\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, K_{s,t}^r) \leq \frac{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r(r-1)}n^{2-\frac{1}{s}} + O(n^{2-\frac{2}{s}}),$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \text{ex}_3^{\text{lin}}(n, \{C_3^3, K_{s,t}^3\}) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{6}n^{2-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{6}n^{2-\frac{2}{s}} + \frac{s-2}{6}n.$$

Gao and Chang's result yields the corresponding bounds for some related Berge hypergraphs, as

$$\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(F)) \leq \text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, F^r).$$

The spectral version of hypergraph Turán type problems is seeking for the maximum spectral radius of hypergraphs on n vertices, not containing a given hypergraph family \mathcal{F} as sub-hypergraphs, where the spectral radius is the maximal absolute value of the eigenvalues of the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph H . Hou, Chang and Cooper [21] proved that

$$(1.4) \quad \text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_4)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2(r-1)}}(n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Gao, Chang and Hou [18] proved

$$\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, K_{r+1}^r) \leq \frac{n}{r},$$

with equality if and only if $r \mid n$ and a K_{r+1}^r -free linear r -uniform hypergraph whose spectral radius attains the upper bound is a transversal design with n vertices and r groups. She, Fan, Kang and Hou [34] generalised the result to F^r when $\chi(F) \geq r+1 \geq 3$:

$$\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, F^r) = \frac{1}{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F)-1} \right) n + o(n).$$

In this paper, we give a spectral analog result to the generalized $(6, 3)$ -problem or the asymptotic value of $\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3))$ (see Theorem 3.1), and given an upper bound for the spectral radius of $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -free linear hypergraphs (see Theorem 3.3), which extends the work of Chang and Cooper [21] (Eq. (1.4)). We also present an upper bound for the spectral radius of $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear hypergraphs for a general s, t (see Theorem 3.7), and consequently we get an upper bounds for the number of edges of $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear hypergraphs (see Corollary 3.8), which extends the work of Timmons [35] (Eq. (1.2)) and that of Gao and Chang [17] (Eq. (1.3)). The main

technique used in this paper is establishing the relationship between the spectral radius of a uniform hypergraph and its local structure via 1-walks and 2-walks.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For positive integers r and n , a complex *tensor* (also called *hypermatrix* [4]) $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r})$ of order r and dimension n refers to a multidimensional array $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in [n]$, where $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In 2005, Qi [32] and Lim [26] independently introduced the eigenvalues of tensors. If there exists a number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{r-1} = \lambda\mathbf{x}^{[r-1]},$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{[r-1]} := (x_i^{r-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{r-1} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{r-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r=1}^n a_{i i_2 \dots i_r} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r}, i \in [n].$$

then λ is called an *eigenvalue* of \mathcal{A} , and \mathbf{x} is called an *eigenvector* of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . The *spectral radius* $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be the maximum modulus of the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} .

In 2012, Cooper and Dutle [4] introduced the *adjacency tensor* of an r -uniform hypergraph H with vertex set $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, an order r dimension n tensor $\mathcal{A}(H) = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r})$ whose (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) -entry is given by

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(r-1)!}, & \text{if } \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_r}\} \in E(H); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The weak irreducibility of nonnegative tensors was defined by Friedland, Gaubert and Han [12]. It was proved that an r -uniform hypergraph H is connected if and only if its adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is weakly irreducible (see [12] and [36]). The spectral property of nonnegative tensors or connected hypergraphs was investigated [4, 9, 10, 11]. The Perron-Frobenius theorem of nonnegative matrices was generalized to nonnegative tensors, part of which is stated in the following.

Theorem 2.1 ([2, 36]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a nonnegative tensor of order r and dimension n . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} corresponding to a nonnegative eigenvector.
- (2) If furthermore \mathcal{A} is weakly irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} corresponding to the unique positive eigenvector \mathbf{x} up to a positive scalar.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). For a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$, the *2-shadow* of H , denoted by ∂H , is the multi-graph formed by replacing each edge e of H with a complete graph on the vertices of e . That is,

$$\partial H = (V, \partial E, \varphi),$$

where $\partial E = \{\binom{e}{2} : e \in E(H)\}$ and φ is a multiplicity function on ∂E satisfying

$$\varphi(f) = |\{e \in E(H) : f \subseteq e\}|$$

for any $f \in \partial E$.

For a multi-graph $G = (V, E, \varphi)$, where φ is a multiplicity function on E , the *adjacency matrix* $A(G) = (a_{uv})$ is the matrix of order $|V| \times |V|$ with entries

$$a_{uv} = \begin{cases} \varphi(\{u, v\}), & \text{if } u \neq v \text{ and } \{u, v\} \in E; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Naturally, the *spectral radius* of an multi-graph G is defined to be the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix $A(G)$, that is $\rho(G) = \rho(A(G))$.

Using a method similar to the one in [34], we establish a relation between the spectral radius of a uniform hypergraph and that of its 2-shadow graph.

Lemma 2.3 ([34]). *Let H be an r -uniform hypergraph. Then*

$$\rho(H) \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \rho(\partial H).$$

If H is also connected, then the equality holds if and only if H is regular.

Let u, v be two vertices of a hypergraph H . Denote by $w_k(u, v)$ the number of k -walks of H starting at u ending at v . Therefore, the number of k -walks starting at u is $w_k(u) := \sum_{v \in V(H)} w_k(u, v)$. Finally we apply the local structure of a uniform hypergraph to bound the spectral radius of the hypergraph.

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be an r -uniform hypergraph with spectral radius $\rho := \rho(H)$. If $w_2(u) \leq Pw_1(u) + (r-1)Q$ for any vertex $u \in V(H)$, then*

$$\rho^2 - \frac{P}{r-1} \rho - \frac{Q}{r-1} \leq 0,$$

where P and Q are parameters independent of the choice of u .

Proof. Let \mathbf{x} be a nonnegative eigenvector of H corresponding to the spectral radius ρ . Denote $E_v := \{e \in E(H) : v \in e\}$ for a vertex v of H , and $\mathbf{x}^S := \prod_{v \in S} x_v$ for a subset

$S \subseteq V(H)$. By eigenvector equation (2.1), for any $v \in V(H)$, $\rho x_v^{r-1} = \sum_{e \in E_v} \mathbf{x}^{e \setminus \{v\}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho - \frac{P}{r-1}\right) \sum_{v \in V(H)} \rho x_v^{r-1} &= \left(\rho - \frac{P}{r-1}\right) \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \mathbf{x}^{e \setminus \{v\}} \\
&\leq \left(\rho - \frac{P}{r-1}\right) \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \frac{x_u^{r-1}}{r-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \left(\rho - \frac{P}{r-1}\right) x_u^{r-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \left(\sum_{e' \in E_u} \mathbf{x}^{e' \setminus \{u\}} - \frac{P}{r-1} x_u^{r-1}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \sum_{e' \in E_u} \sum_{w \in e' \setminus \{u\}} x_w^{r-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \frac{P}{r-1} x_u^{r-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the 2nd and the 5th inequalities follow from the AM-GM inequality. Clearly, for any given $v \in V(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} \sum_{e' \in E_u} \sum_{w \in e' \setminus \{u\}} x_w^{r-1} &= \sum_{w \in V(H)} w_2(v, w) x_w^{r-1}, \\
\sum_{e \in E_v} \sum_{u \in e \setminus \{v\}} x_u^{r-1} &= \sum_{u \in V(H)} w_1(v, u) x_u^{r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the assumption of the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho \left(\rho - \frac{P}{r-1}\right) \sum_{v \in V(H)} x_v^{r-1} &\leq \frac{1}{(r-1)^2} \left(\sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{w \in V(H)} w_2(v, w) x_w^{r-1} - \sum_{v \in V(H)} \sum_{u \in V(H)} P w_1(v, u) x_u^{r-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(r-1)^2} \left(\sum_{w \in V(H)} \sum_{v \in V(H)} w_2(v, w) x_w^{r-1} - \sum_{u \in V(H)} \sum_{v \in V(H)} P w_1(v, u) x_u^{r-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(r-1)^2} \left(\sum_{w \in V(H)} w_2(w) x_w^{r-1} - \sum_{u \in V(H)} P w_1(u) x_u^{r-1} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{Q}{r-1} \sum_{v \in V(H)} x_v^{r-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired inequality. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let H be a linear r -uniform hypergraph with the spectral radius $\rho := \rho(H)$. If $\sum_{u \in N_H(v)} d(u) \leq Pd(v) + Q$ for any vertex $v \in V(H)$, then*

$$\rho^2 - \frac{P}{r-1}\rho - \frac{Q}{r-1} \leq 0,$$

where P and Q are parameters independent of the choice of v .

Proof. As H is linear, for any $v \in V(H)$, $w_1(v) = (r-1)d(v)$, and

$$w_2(v) = \sum_{u \in N_H(v)} (r-1)d(u) \leq (r-1)Pd(v) + (r-1)Q = Pw_1(v) + (r-1)Q.$$

The result follows by Lemma 2.4. \square

Lastly we need a lemma for later use.

Lemma 2.6. [13] *Let $v, k \geq 1$ be integers and c, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_v be reals. If $\sum_{i=1}^v \binom{x_i}{k} \leq c \binom{x_0}{k}$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^v x_i \leq x_0 c^{\frac{1}{k}} v^{1-\frac{1}{k}} + (k-1)v.$$

3. SPECTRAL RADIUS OF $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -FREE, $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -FREE, OR $\{\mathcal{B}_r(C_3), \mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})\}$ -FREE LINEAR HYPERGRAPHS

We need some notations. Let u, v be two vertices of a hypergraph H . The *distance* between u and v (or from u to v) is the minimum length of the walks between them. Denote respectively by $N_H(u)$ and $N_H^2(u)$ the sets of vertices of H whose distance from u is exactly one and two. We will use $N(u)$ and $N^2(u)$ if there exists no confusion. Let $S, T \subseteq V(H)$, where $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Denote by $H[S]$ the subhypergraph of H whose vertex set is S and whose edge set consists of all edges of H contained in S . Similarly, $H[S, T]$ is the subhypergraph of $H[S \cup T]$ whose edge set consists of all edges of H intersecting both S, T .

3.1. Spectral radius of $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear hypergraphs. By Lemma 2.3, the spectral version of the generalized $(6, 3)$ -problem can be obtained.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, when n is large enough,*

$$(3.1) \quad n^{1-\varepsilon} < \text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)) = o(n).$$

Proof. By Eq. (1.1), there exists a $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph H on n vertices with more than $n^{2-\epsilon}$ edges. So,

$$\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)) \geq \rho(H) \geq \frac{re(H)}{n} > rn^{1-\epsilon},$$

which proves the lower bound in Eq. (3.1).

Next we will prove the right equality. Assume to the contrary that $\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)) \neq o(n)$. Then there exists a $\xi > 0$ and a sequence of $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs H_{n_k} on n_k vertices such that $\rho(H_{n_k}) \geq \xi n_k$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\rho(\partial H_{n_k}) \geq (r-1)\xi n_k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{H_n\}$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraphs on n vertices such that $\rho(\partial H_n) \geq \xi n$. In the following we simply write H for H_n .

Let \mathbf{x} be a nonnegative eigenvector of ∂H corresponding to $\rho := \rho(\partial H)$ with a certain vertex u satisfying

$$x_u = \max \{x_i : i \in V(\partial H)\} = 1.$$

Since H is linear, ∂H is a simple graph. By eigenvector equation, we have

$$\rho = \rho x_u = \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} x_v \leq n-1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 &= \rho^2 x_u = \rho \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} x_v = \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} \sum_{w \in N_{\partial H}(v)} x_w \\ &= \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} \left(\sum_{w \in N_{\partial H}(v) \cap \{N_{\partial H}(u) \cup \{u\}\}} x_w + \sum_{w \in N_{\partial H}(v) \setminus \{N_{\partial H}(u) \cup \{u\}\}} x_w \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} \sum_{w \in N_{\partial H}(v) \cap \{N_{\partial H}(u) \cup \{u\}\}} x_w = \sum_{\{v,w\} \in E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)])} (x_v + x_w) + \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} x_u.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{v,w\} \in E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)])} (x_v + x_w) + \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} x_u + \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} \sum_{w \in N_{\partial H}(v) \setminus \{N_{\partial H}(u) \cup \{u\}\}} x_w \\ &\leq |E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)])| + |N_{\partial H}(u)| + |E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u), N_{\partial H}^2(u)])| \\ &\leq |E(\partial H)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since H is $B_r(C_3)$ -free and linear, $\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)]$ is the graph consisting of $d_u(H)$ disjoint cliques of size $r - 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{v,w\} \in E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)])} (x_v + x_w) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} d_{\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)]}(v) x_v \\ &= \frac{r-2}{2} \sum_{v \in N_{\partial H}(u)} x_v \\ &= \frac{r-2}{2} \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the above discussion and the fact $\rho < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(H) = e(\partial H) &\geq \rho^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{v,w\} \in E(\partial H[N_{\partial H}(u)])} (x_v + x_w) \\ &= \rho^2 - \frac{r-2}{2} \rho \\ &\geq \xi^2 n^2 - \frac{(r-2)n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large; a contradiction to Eq. (1.1). \square

3.2. Spectral radius of $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -free linear hypergraphs. Ergemlidze, Györi and Methuku [8] presented the upper bound of $\text{ex}_3^{\text{lin}}(n, B_r(C_4))$ by the following relation on degrees. Let H be a $\mathcal{B}_3(C_4)$ -free linear 3-uniform hypergraph. Then, for any $v \in V(H)$,

$$\sum_{u \in N_H(v)} d(u) \leq 6d(v) + \frac{n}{2}.$$

Note that $C_4 = K_{2,2}$. While considering $\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, B_r(K_{2,t}))$, Gerbner, Methuku and Vizer [19] find the following relation.

Lemma 3.2. [19] *Let H be a $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph. Then, for any $v \in V(H)$,*

$$\sum_{u \in N_H(v)} d(u) \leq (2r^2 - 4r + 1)td(v) + \frac{(t-1)n}{r-1}.$$

Before proving the main result, we need the following basic fact.

Fact 1. (1) *If $x^2 - px - q \leq 0$ with $p > 0$, $q > 0$ and $\sqrt{q} \geq \frac{p}{2}$, then $x \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}} + p^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{4}}$.*
(2) *If $x^2 - px - q \leq 0$ with $p > 0$ and $q \geq 0$, then $x \leq p + \frac{q}{p}$.*

Theorem 3.3. *For $t \geq 2$, if $n \geq \frac{(2r^2-4r+1)^2}{4(t-2)}$, then*

$$\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})) \leq \frac{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r-1} n^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(2r^2-4r+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-1)^{\frac{1}{4}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r-1} n^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. For a $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph H with $\rho := \rho(H)$, by Lemma 3.2, taking $P = (2r^2 - 4r + 1)t$ and $Q = \frac{(t-1)n}{r-1}$ in Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\rho^2 - \frac{(2r^2 - 4r + 1)t}{r-1}\rho - \frac{(t-1)n}{(r-1)^2} \leq 0.$$

So, if $n \geq \frac{(2r^2-4r+1)^2}{4(t-2)}$, we have

$$\rho \leq \frac{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r-1}n^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{(2r^2-4r+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t-1)^{\frac{1}{4}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r-1}n^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

by using Fact 1(1). □

3.3. Spectral radius of $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear hypergraphs. An r -uniform hypergraph H is called *hm-bipartite* if its vertex set has a bipartition (called *hm-bipartition*) $V(H) = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that each edge of H intersects V_1 into exactly one vertex and V_2 the other $r-1$ vertices [23, 22]. In the above bipartition, V_1 is called the *head part* and V_2 is called the *mass part*; and if $|V_1| = m$, $|V_2| = n$, then the above H is called (m, n) -*hm-bipartite*. An (m, n) -hm-bipartite linear r -uniform hypergraph H is called *exact $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free* if H contains no a subgraph $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ with the part of s vertices of $K_{s,t}$ in the head part of H and the remaining part of t vertices in the mass part of H .

Lemma 3.4. *Let H be an (m, n) -hm-bipartite exact $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph with an hm-bipartition $V(H) = V_1 \cup V_2$ satisfying $|V_1| = m, |V_2| = n$, where $t \geq 2, s \geq 2$. Suppose further that H is $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad e(H) \leq \frac{(t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1}mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{r-1}n.$$

Proof. For a fixed set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\} \subseteq V_1$, set

$$N_{V_2}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) = \{v \in V_2 : \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\} \subseteq N_H(v)\}.$$

Since H is linear, if there an edge containing $\{a, b\}$, then $\{a, b\}$ is contained in a unique edge of H , denoted by e_{ab} . Clearly, if $v_0 \in N_{V_2}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)$, then $e_{v_0v_1}, e_{v_0v_2}, \dots, e_{v_0v_s}$ are mutually distinct edges as H is hm-bipartite. If $v_0, v'_0 \in N_{V_2}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)$, then $e_{v_0v_1}, e_{v_0v_2}, \dots, e_{v_0v_s}, e_{v'_0v_1}, e_{v'_0v_2}, \dots, e_{v'_0v_s}$ are mutually distinct edges which form a $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,2})$ as H is hm-bipartite; Otherwise, it may be assumed that $e_{v_0v_1} = e_{v'_0v_1} := e$, then $e, e_{v_0v_2}, e_{v'_0v_2}$ would form a $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$; a contradiction to the assumption on H .

Now, consider the number of the pair

$$\{(v_0, \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}) : v_0 \in V_2, \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\} \subseteq N_H(v_0)\}.$$

By a simple double counting, noting that H is hm-bipartite and $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free, if $m \geq s$,

$$\sum_{v \in V_2} \binom{d(v)}{s} \leq (t-1) \binom{m}{s}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(r-1)e(H) = \sum_{v \in V_2} d(v) \leq (t-1)^{\frac{1}{s}} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (s-1)n.$$

If $m \leq s-1$, surely H is $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free. The Eq. (3.2) also holds by using the following claim.

Claim 1. *Let H be an (m, n) -hm-bipartite $B_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph. Then*

$$e(H) \leq \frac{mn}{r-1}.$$

Proof of Claim 1. Using double counting, consider the pair

$$\left\{ (w, \{u, v\}) : w \in V_1, \{u, v\} \in \binom{N_{V_2}(w)}{2} \right\}.$$

When fix a $w \in V_1$, the number of sets $\{u, v\} \in \binom{N_{V_2}(w)}{2}$ is $\binom{(r-1)d(w)}{2}$. When fix a set $\{u, v\} \in \binom{V_2}{2}$, the number of vertices $w \in V_1$ satisfying $u \in N(w)$ and $\{u, v\} \in \binom{N_{V_2}(w)}{2}$ is exactly 1, as H is linear, hm-bipartite and $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free; the number of vertices $w \in V_1$ satisfying $u \notin N(w)$ and $\{u, v\} \in \binom{N_{V_2}(w)}{2}$ is at most m . So,

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{w \in V_1} \binom{(r-1)d(w)}{2} \leq \binom{r-1}{2} e(H) + m \left(\binom{n}{2} - \binom{r-1}{2} e(H) \right).$$

Since $\binom{x}{2}$ is a convex function in x , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in V_1} \binom{(r-1)d(w)}{2} &\geq m \binom{(\sum_{w \in V_1} (r-1)d(w)) / m}{2} = m \binom{(r-1)e(H) / m}{2} \\ &= \frac{(r-1)^2 e(H)^2 - (r-1)m e(H)}{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

By Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$e(H)^2 + \left(\frac{r-2}{r-1} m^2 - m \right) e(H) - \frac{m^2 n(n-1)}{(r-1)^2} \leq 0$$

which implies

$$e(H) \leq \frac{mn}{r-1} \leq \frac{s-1}{r-1} n,$$

and the upper bound in Eq. (3.2). \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be an (m, n) -hm-bipartite exact $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph with an hm-bipartition $V(H) = V_1 \cup V_2$ satisfying $|V_1| = m, |V_2| = n$, where $s \geq 2, t \geq 2$. Suppose further that H is $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free. Then for $k = 0, 1, \dots, t - 2$,*

$$(3.5) \quad e(H) \leq \frac{(t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r - 1} mn^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} n^{1 + \frac{k}{s}} + km.$$

Proof. We will use induction on k . For $k = 0$, the assertion is given by Lemma 3.4. Suppose $k \geq 1$ and assume the assertion true for all $k' < k$.

For any vertex $u \in V_2$, write $N_{V_1}(u) = N_H(u) \cap V_1$ and set

$$H_u := H[N_{V_1}(u) \cup V_2 \setminus \{u\}].$$

Clearly, $d(u) = |N_{V_1}(u)|$ as H is linear and hm-bipartite. Further H_u is an $(d(u), n - 1)$ -hm-bipartite $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t-1})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph and is also $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free; otherwise, H would contain a $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$.

Note that $k \geq 1$ and hence $t - 1 \geq 2$. By the induction assumption applied for $t - 1$ and $k - 1$ in H_u ,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} e(H_u) &\leq \frac{(t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r - 1} d(u)(n - 1)^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} (n - 1)^{1 + \frac{k-1}{s}} + (k - 1)d(u) \\ &\leq \frac{(t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r - 1} d(u)n^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} n^{1 + \frac{k-1}{s}} + (k - 1)d(u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as H is hm-bipartite,

$$(3.7) \quad e(H_u) = e(H[N_{V_1}(u) \cup V_2]) - d(u) = \sum_{v \in N_{V_1}(u)} d(v) - d(u).$$

Thus, By Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{v \in N_{V_1}(u)} d(v) \leq \frac{(t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r - 1} d(u)n^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} n^{1 + \frac{k-1}{s}} + kd(u).$$

Summing Eq. (3.8) for all $u \in V_2$, and noting that $\sum_{u \in V_2} d(u) = (r - 1)e(H)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V_2} \sum_{v \in N_{V_1}(u)} d(v) &\leq \frac{(t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r - 1} \left(\sum_{u \in V_2} d(u) \right) n^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} n^{2 + \frac{k-1}{s}} + k \left(\sum_{u \in V_2} d(u) \right) \\ &= (t - k - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1 - \frac{1}{s}} e(H) + \frac{s - 1}{r - 1} n^{2 + \frac{k-1}{s}} + k(r - 1)e(H), \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V_2} \sum_{v \in N_{V_1}(u)} d(v) &= \sum_{v \in V_1} \sum_{u \in N_{V_2}(v)} d(v) = (r-1) \sum_{v \in V_1} d(v)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{(r-1) \left(\sum_{v \in V_1} d(v) \right)^2}{m} = \frac{(r-1)e(H)^2}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$e(H)^2 - \left(\frac{(t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + km \right) e(H) - \frac{(s-1)mn^{2+\frac{k-1}{s}}}{(r-1)^2} \leq 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} e(H) &\leq \frac{(t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + km + \frac{\frac{(s-1)mn^{2+\frac{k-1}{s}}}{(r-1)^2}}{\frac{(t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + km} \\ &= \frac{(t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + km + \frac{(s-1)n^{2+\frac{k-1}{s}}}{(r-1) \left((t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + k(r-1) \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{(t-k-1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + km + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n^{1+\frac{k}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality applies Fact 1(2). The result now follows. \square

The right-hand side of the inequality (3.5) is very interesting, and the key is to see how much k is taken to minimize the right-hand side of the inequality. For more details, one can refer to [29]. If $2 \leq s \leq t$, letting $k = s - 2$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let H be an (m, n) - hm -bipartite exact $B_r(K_{s,t})$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph with an hm -bipartition $V(H) = V_1 \cup V_2$ satisfying $|V_1| = m$, $|V_2| = n$, where $2 \leq s \leq t$. Suppose further that H is $B_r(C_3)$ -free, then*

$$e(H) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} mn^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n^{2-\frac{2}{s}} + (s-2)m.$$

Now we are arriving at the main result in this subsection.

Theorem 3.7. *Let H be a $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices, where $2 \leq s \leq t$. If $s = 2$, then*

$$\rho(H) \leq \frac{\sqrt{4(t-1)(n-1) + (r-t)^2} + r-t}{2(r-1)}.$$

If $s \geq 3$, then

$$\rho(H) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n^{1-\frac{2}{s}} + s-2.$$

Proof. First consider the case of $s = 2$. For any $u \in V(H)$, using double counting on the following set of pairs:

$$\{(v, w) : v \in N(u), w \in N^2(u) \cap N(v)\},$$

and noting that H is linear and $\{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}$ -free, we have

$$\sum_{v \in N(u)} (r-1)(d(v)-1) \leq (t-1)(n-1-(r-1)d(u)),$$

that is

$$\sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v) \leq (r-t)d(u) + \frac{(t-1)(n-1)}{r-1}.$$

By Corollary 2.5, we get

$$\rho^2(H) - \frac{r-t}{r-1}\rho(H) - \frac{(t-1)(n-1)}{(r-1)^2} \leq 0.$$

So,

$$\rho(H) \leq \frac{\sqrt{4(t-1)(n-1) + (r-t)^2} + r-t}{2(r-1)}.$$

Next we consider the case of $s \geq 3$. For any $u \in V(H)$, set $H(u) := H[N_H(u), N_H^2(u)]$. Obviously $H(u)$ is an hm-bipartite $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free linear r -uniform hypergraph with the head part $N_H(u)$ and the mass part $N_H^2(u)$. Furthermore, $H(u)$ is exact $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t-1})$ -free; otherwise H contains a subhypergraph in $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$. Note that $|N_H(u)| = (r-1)d(u) =: n_1$, $|N_H^2(u)| =: n_2 \leq n-1-(r-1)d(u)$. So, $H(u)$ is an (n_1, n_2) -hm-bipartite exact $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t-1})$ -free r -uniform hypergraphs. As $t \geq s \geq 3$, by Theorem 3.5, for every $0 \leq k \leq t-3$,

$$\begin{aligned} e(H(u)) &\leq \frac{(t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} n_1 n_2^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n_2^{1+\frac{k}{s}} + k n_1 \\ &\leq \left((t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + k(r-1) \right) d(u) + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n^{1+\frac{k}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, $e(H(u)) = \sum_{v \in N_H(u)} d(v) - (r-1)d(u)$. Thus,

$$\sum_{v \in N_H(u)} d(v) \leq \left((t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (k+1)(r-1) \right) d(u) + \frac{s-1}{r-1} n^{1+\frac{k}{s}}.$$

By Corollary 2.5,

$$\rho^2(H) - \frac{(t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (k+1)(r-1)}{r-1} \rho(H) - \frac{s-1}{(r-1)^2} n^{1+\frac{k}{s}} \leq 0.$$

Using Fact 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(H) &\leq \frac{(t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (k+1)(r-1)}{r-1} + \frac{\frac{s-1}{(r-1)^2}n^{1+\frac{k}{s}}}{\frac{(t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (k+1)(r-1)}{r-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{(t-k-2)^{\frac{1}{s}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1} + \frac{s-1}{r-1}n^{\frac{k+1}{s}} + k+1. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $k = s - 3$, we get the desired result. \square

It is known that for an r -uniform hypergraph H on n vertices,

$$\rho(H) \geq \frac{re(H)}{n},$$

where the right side of the inequality is the average degree of H . We obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 3.8. *For $t \geq 2$,*

$$\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{2,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{4(t-1)(n-1) + (r-t)^2} + r-t}{2r(r-1)}n;$$

and for $3 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\text{ex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \{\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t}), \mathcal{B}_r(C_3)\}) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r(r-1)}n^{2-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-1}{r(r-1)}n^{2-\frac{2}{s}} + \frac{s-2}{r}n.$$

When considering $\mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})$ -free linear hypergraphs H with removal of condition of $\mathcal{B}_r(C_3)$ -free, the upper bound of $\rho(H)$ would become larger. But can the asymptotic term be left unchanged? We pose the following problem:

Problem 1. *For $2 \leq s \leq t$, does the following inequality hold?*

$$\text{spex}_r^{\text{lin}}(n, \mathcal{B}_r(K_{s,t})) \leq \frac{(t-s+1)^{\frac{1}{s}}}{r-1}n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + o(n^{1-\frac{1}{s}}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Babai and B. Guiduli. Spectral extrema for graphs: The zarankiewicz problem. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1):1878–1892, 2009.
- [2] K.-C. Chang, K. Pearson, and T. Zhang. Perron-frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 6(2):507–520, 2008.
- [3] G. J. Clark, F. Thomaz, and A. T. Stephen. Comparing the principal eigenvector of a hypergraph and its shadows. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 673:46–68, 2023.
- [4] J. Cooper and A. Dutle. Spectra of uniform hypergraphs. *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 436(9):3268–3292, 2012.
- [5] P. Erdős. On the structure of linear graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 1(3):156–160, 1963.

- [6] P. Erdős, P. Frankl, and V. Rödl. The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2(1):113–121, 1986.
- [7] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica. Combinatorics, Geometry and Topology (CoGeTo)*, 1:51–57, 1966.
- [8] B. Ergemlidze, E. Györi, and A. Methuku. Asymptotics for Turán numbers of cycles in 3-uniform linear hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 163:163–181, 2019.
- [9] Y.-Z. Fan, Y.-H. Bao, T. Huang, Eigenvariety of nonnegative symmetric weakly irreducible tensors associated with spectral radius and its application to hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 564 (2019), 72-94.
- [10] Y.-Z. Fan, T. Huang, Y.-H. Bao, The dimension of eigenvariety of nonnegative tensors associated with spectral radius, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150 (2022), 2287-2299.
- [11] Y.-Z. Fan, T. Huang, Y.-H. Bao, C.-L. Zhuan-Sun, and Y.-P. Li. The spectral symmetry of weakly irreducible nonnegative tensors and connected hypergraphs. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 372(3):2213–2233, 2019.
- [12] S. Friedland, S. Gaubert, and L. Han. Perron–frobenius theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 438(2):738–749, 2013.
- [13] Z. Füredi. An upper bound on Zarankiewicz’ problem. *Combinatorics Probability and Computing*, 5(1):29–33, 1996.
- [14] Z. Füredi. New asymptotics for bipartite Turán numbers. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A*, 75(1):141–144, 1996.
- [15] Z. Füredi and L. Özkahya. On 3-uniform hypergraphs without a cycle of a given length. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 216:582–588, 2017.
- [16] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits. The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems. In *Erdős Centennial*, pages 169–264. Springer, 2013.
- [17] G. Gao and A. Chang. A linear hypergraph extension of the bipartite Turán problem. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 93:103269, 2021.
- [18] G. Gao, A. Chang, and Y. Hou. Spectral radius on linear r-graphs without expanded K_{r+1} . *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 36(2):1000–1011, 2022.
- [19] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Asymptotics for the Turán number of Berge- $K_{2,t}$. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 137:264–290, 2019.
- [20] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Extremal results for Berge hypergraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 31(4):2314–2327, 2017.
- [21] Y. Hou, A. Chang, and J. N. Cooper. Spectral extremal results for hypergraphs. *The Electronic Journal Combinatorics.*, 28, 2019.
- [22] S. Hu and L. Qi. The eigenvectors associated with the zero eigenvalues of the laplacian and signless laplacian tensors of a uniform hypergraph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 169:140–151, 2014.
- [23] L. Qi, J.-Y. Shao and S. Hu. Some new trace formulas of tensors with applications in spectral hypergraph theory. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 63(5):971–992, 2015.
- [24] T. Kóvari, V. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 3(1):50–57, 1955.

- [25] F. Lazebnik and J. Verstraëte. On hypergraphs of girth five. *The Electronic Journal Combinatorics.*, 10, 2003.
- [26] L.-H. Lim. Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach. In *1st IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing, 2005.*, pages 129–132. IEEE, 2005.
- [27] T. S. Motzkin and E. G. Straus. Maxima for graphs and a new proof of a theorem of Turán. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 17:533–540, 1965.
- [28] D. Mubayi and O. Pikhurko. A new generalization of Mantel’s theorem to k -graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 97(4):669–678, 2007.
- [29] V. Nikiforov. A contribution to the Zarankiewicz problem. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2010.
- [30] V. Nikiforov. Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 11(2):179–189, 2002.
- [31] V. Nikiforov. Walks and the spectral radius of graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 418(1):257–268, 2006.
- [32] L. Qi. Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 40(6):1302–1324, 2005.
- [33] I. Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. In *Combinatorics (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthely, 1976), Vol. II*, volume 18 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 939–945. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [34] C.-M. She, Y.-Z. Fan, L. Kang, and Y. Hou. Linear spectral Turán problems for expansions of graphs with given chromatic number. arXiv: 2211.13647v2, 2022.
- [35] C. Timmons. On r -uniform linear hypergraphs with no Berge- $K_{2,t}$. *The Electronic Journal Combinatorics.*, 24:4, 2016.
- [36] Y. Yang and Q. Yang. On some properties of nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors. arXiv:1111.0713v2, 2011.

CENTER FOR PURE MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ANHUI UNIVERSITY,
HEFEI 230601, P. R. CHINA

Email address: shecm@stu.ahu.edu.cn

CENTER FOR PURE MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ANHUI UNIVERSITY,
HEFEI 230601, P. R. CHINA

Email address: fanyz@ahu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, P. R. CHINA

Email address: lykang@shu.edu.cn