

Almost Ricci solitons on Finsler spaces

Qiaoling Xia*

Department of Mathematics, School of Sciences

Hangzhou Dianzi University

Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, 310018, P.R.China

E-mail address: xiaqiaoling@hdu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, (gradient) almost Ricci solitons on Finsler measure spaces (M, F, m) are introduced and investigated. We prove that (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton if and only if the infinity-Ricci curvature Ric_∞ is a scalar function on M when M is compact. Moreover, we give an equivalent characterization of (gradient) almost Ricci solitons for Randers metrics $F = \alpha + \beta$, which implies that every Randers (gradient) almost Ricci soliton is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature. Based on this and the navigation technique, we further classify Randers almost Ricci solitons (resp. gradient almost Ricci solitons) up to classifications of Randers Einstein metrics F (resp. Riemannian gradient almost Ricci solitons) and the homothetic vector fields of F (resp. solutions of the equation which the weight function f of m satisfies) when F has isotropic S_{BH} -curvature. As applications, we obtain some rigidity results for compact Randers (gradient) Ricci solitons and construct several Randers gradient Ricci solitons, which are the first nontrivial examples of gradient Ricci solitons in Finsler geometry.

MSC 2010: 53C60, 53C21, 53C24

Keywords: Finsler measure space, almost Ricci soliton, Randers metric, conformal vector field, S -curvature.

1 Introduction

Ricci solitons, which are a generalization of Einstein metrics, were first introduced by R. S. Hamilton ([Ha2]). As self-similar solutions for Hamilton's Ricci flow ([Ha1]), Ricci solitons have been studied intensively in the last twenty years ([CLN], [CK]). They often arise as limits of dilations of singularities in the Ricci flow ([Ha3], [Se]). In turn, the study of almost Ricci solitons, which are generalizations of quasi-Einstein manifolds related to the string theory, was started by Pigola, Rigoli, Rimoldi, Setty in [PRRS]. Recall that an almost Ricci soliton structure (M, g, V) on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is the choice of a complete smooth vector field V (if any) satisfying the soliton equation

$$\text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_V g = \rho g, \quad (1.1)$$

*Supported by the NNSFC (No.12071423) and the Scientific Research Foundation of HDU (No. KYS075621060).

where $\rho = \rho(x)$ is a scalar function on M , Ric denotes the Ricci curvature of g and \mathcal{L}_V stands for the Lie derivative in the direction of V . In the special case when $V = \nabla f$ for some function f on M , we say (M, g, f) is a *gradient almost Ricci soliton* with soliton scalar ρ , where f is said to be a *potential function* of the Ricci soliton. In this case, the soliton equation becomes

$$\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}(f) = \rho g. \quad (1.2)$$

When ρ is a constant, the corresponding (gradient) almost Ricci soliton is called a (*gradient*) *Ricci soliton* with soliton constant ρ ([Cao]). There are a large number of references on Riemannian (gradient) Ricci solitons (cf. [CK], [CLN], [Cao] and references therein). Recently, some research progress has been made on Riemannian (gradient) almost Ricci solitons ([PRRS], [BBR], [BGR], [DA] etc.). Ricci solitons are also closely related with Einstein field theory ([AW]).

As a natural generalization of Riemannian geometry, Finsler geometry has been received more attentions in recent decades. Recall that a nonnegative function F on the tangent bundle TM is a *Finsler metric (or structure)* on M if (i) F is smooth on $TM_0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$ and $F(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $y = 0$; (ii) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$; (iii) the matrix $(g_{ij}(x, y)) := (\frac{1}{2}(F^2)_{y^i y^j})$ is positive definite for any nonzero $y \in T_x M$. A *Finsler manifold* means a differential manifold M equipped with a Finsler structure F , denoted by (M, F) . Given a smooth measure m on M , the triple (M, F, m) is called a *Finsler measure space*. When F is only a function of $x \in M$ independent of y , it is Riemannian. In general, the measure m on a Finsler manifold (M, F) can not be uniquely determined by F . A Finsler measure space is not a metric space in usual sense because F may be nonreversible, i.e., $F(x, y) \neq F(x, -y)$ may happen. This non-reversibility causes the asymmetry of the associated distance function and the nonlinearity for gradient or Laplacian of a function on M .

Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional Finsler manifold. We say that a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ is an *Einstein metric* with Einstein scalar κ if its Ricci curvature is isotropic, i.e., for any $(x, y) \in TM \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\text{Ric}(x, y) = \kappa F^2(x, y),$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ is a scalar function on M . Finsler metrics for which Ricci curvatures κ are constant (resp. zero), are said to be *Ricci-constant* (resp. *Ricci-flat*). Note that every Einstein Riemannian metric must be Ricci-constant when $n \geq 3$ by Schur's Lemma. However this is not true in general in Finsler geometry except for some special Finsler metrics, such as Randers metrics etc.. It is well known that S.S. Chern's question is whether every smooth manifold admits a Ricci-constant Finsler metric? This question has already been settled in the affirmative for dimension 2 because, by Thurston's construction, every 2-manifold admits a complete Riemannian metric of constant Gaussian curvature ([Be] for an exposition and references therein). Much less is known about dimension greater than 2. To study Chern's question, D.Bao in [Bao] introduced an unnormalized Ricci flow for Finsler metrics ([Bao]):

$$\partial_t(\log F) = -F^{-2}\text{Ric}, \quad F|_{t=0} = F_0 \quad (1.3)$$

inspired by Hamilton's Ricci flow in Riemannian geometry. Both sides of (1.3) make sense on the spherical bundle SM . It is easy to see that Ricci-flat Finsler metrics are stationary solutions of (1.3). There are a large class of solutions, called (*Finslerian*) *Ricci solitons*, may

be regarded as generalized fixed points for (1.3) (see §3 for definitions and more details). In particular, (Finslerian) gradient Ricci solitons are related with the infinity-Ricci curvature Ric_∞ introduced by S.Ohta ([Oh1]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, F, m) be an n -dimensional compact Finsler measure space. Then (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$ with respect to m , where $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ is a scalar function on M . In particular, (M, F, m) is a gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant κ if and only if $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$ with respect to m .*

When $F = g$ is Riemannian, Theorem 1.1 shows that (M, g, f) is a gradient Ricci soliton if and only if the ∞ -dimensional Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature on the weighted Riemannian manifold $(M, g, e^{-f} dV_g)$ is constant ([Li]). Because of the nonlinearity of (1.3) in y , the existence and convergence of the solutions to (1.3) is open up to now. Although there are generalized solutions (i.e., Ricci solitons) to (1.3), there are few results including nontrivial examples for non-Riemannian Finslerian Ricci solitons except for [MZZ]. This is one of the motivation to write this paper. Some nontrivial examples are very important to further study Finslerian unnormalized Ricci flow (resp. Ricci soliton). Let us consider Randers metrics for simplicity.

Randers metrics are important class of Finsler metrics, which are expressed by $F = \alpha + \beta$, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form with $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ on an n -dimensional differential manifold M . They were originated from the research on the general relativity ([Ra]) and have been widely applied in biology, physics and psychology, etc. ([AIM]). In particular, Randers metrics are the solution of Zermelo's navigation problem ([BRS]). To state our results, we introduce some notations. We denote by “;” the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of α and use a_{ij} to raise and lower the indices of b_i , r_{ij} , s_{ij} , r_i , s_i and y^i etc., throughout the paper. Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2}(b_{i;j} + b_{j;i}), & r_j &:= b^i r_{ij}, & s_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2}(b_{i;j} - b_{j;i}), & s^i_j &:= a^{ik} s_{kj}, \\ s_j &:= b_i s^i_j = b^i s_{ij}, & e_{ij} &:= r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i, & t_{ij} &:= s_{ik} s^k_j, & t^i_j &:= a^{ik} t_{kj}, & t_j &:= b^i t_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, the index “0” means the contraction with y^i , for example, $r_0 := r_j y^j$, $s_0 := s_j y^j$, $r_{00} := r_{ij} y^i y^j$ and $e_{00} := e_{ij} y^i y^j$ etc.. Moreover, we always denote by V a smooth vector field on M through this paper unless otherwise stated.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional manifold M and V be a complete vector field on M . Then (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if either (M, F, V) is a Riemannian almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ , or there are scalar functions $c = c(x)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ on M such that V is a conformal vector field of α with conformal factor c , i.e., $V_{i;j} + V_{j;i} = 4ca_{ij}$, and*

$$e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \tag{1.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha \text{Ric} &= (\kappa - 2c)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + t^i_i \alpha^2 + 2t_{00} - (n-1)\sigma^2(3\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \\ &\quad + 2(n-1)\sigma_0\beta - (n-1)(s_0^2 + s_{0;0}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where ${}^\alpha \text{Ric}$ is the Ricci curvature of α and $\sigma_0 := \sigma_{x^i} y^i$ with

$$3(n-1)\sigma_0 = 2c\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta), \tag{1.6}$$

here $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)$ is the Lie derivative of β with respect to the complete lift \hat{V} of V given by (2.10)₂.

Note that (1.4) is equivalent to that F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature σ , i.e., $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$, where S_{BH} stands for the S-curvature of F with respect to the Busemann-Hausdorff measure m_{BH} (see Lemma 4.1 below). On the other hand, if (M, F, V) is a non-Riemannian almost Ricci soliton, then $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha) = 2c\alpha$ by Theorem 1.2. In this case, if V further satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = 2c\beta$, then V is a conformal vector field of F with conformal factor c by Proposition 2.2 below. Consequently, V is homothetic by Theorem 1.1 in [HM] (also see Theorem 1.2, [SX]). Moreover, σ is constant by (1.6) when $n \geq 2$. Thus one obtains

Corollary 1.1. *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a non-Riemannian Randers metric on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M . If there is a complete vector field V on M such that (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton, then F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature and V is a conformal vector field of α .*

Further, if V satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = 2c\beta$, then F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature and V is a homothetic vector field of F .

In particular, when $V = 0$, which implies that $c = 0$, almost Ricci solitons are reduced to Einstein metrics, called *trivial Ricci solitons*, and (1.6) implies that σ is constant. Thus we obtain an equivalent characterization for Einstein metrics, which was due to D.Bao and C. Robles ([BR]).

Corollary 1.2. ([BR]) *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M . Then (M, F) is an Einstein metric with Einstein scalar κ if and only if F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature σ and*

$${}^\alpha \text{Ric} = \kappa(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + t^i{}_i \alpha^2 + 2t_{00} - (n-1)\sigma^2(3\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - (n-1)(s_0^2 + s_{0;0}). \quad (1.7)$$

On the other hand, Randers metrics $F = \alpha + \beta$ are solutions of the navigation problem ([ChS]). Let $h = \sqrt{h_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ be a Riemannian metric and $W = W^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ be a vector field with $\|W\|_h < 1$ on M . Then the solution of navigation problem is a geodesic of the metric F satisfying

$$h \left(x, \frac{y}{F(x, y)} - W_x \right) = 1.$$

By solving this equation, one obtains a Randers metric F given by

$$F = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda h^2 + W_0^2}}{\lambda} - \frac{W_0}{\lambda}, \quad W_0 = W_i y^i, \quad (1.8)$$

where $W_i := h_{ij} W^j$ and $\lambda := 1 - \|W\|_h^2$. Conversely, every Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ on a manifold M can be constructed from a Riemann metric h and a vector field W with $\|W\|_h < 1$ on M ([ChS], [BRS]). We call (1.8) the *navigation representation* of F and (h, W) the *navigation data* of F . F is Riemannian if and only if $W = 0$ and F is of isotropic (resp. constant) S_{BH} -curvature σ if and only if W is a conformal (resp. homothetic) vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$ ([Xing] or Lemma 5.1 in §5). Based on this and Corollary 1.1, we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let F be a Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on an n -dimensional manifold M and V is a complete vector field on M . Then (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if either (M, F, V) is a Riemannian almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ , or there are scalar functions $\mu = \mu(x)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ on M such that h is an*

Einstein metric with Einstein scalar μ , W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$ and V satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2ch^2 - 6(n-1)\{(\sigma_i W^i)h^2 + \sigma_0 W_0\}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = cW_0 - 3(n-1)\{2(\sigma_i W^i)W_0 - \lambda\sigma_0\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $c := \kappa - \mu + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i$ and \hat{V} is the complete lift of V on TM .

There always exists a complete vector field V on a compact manifold (see §2.2). In particular, when $V = 0$, Randers almost Ricci solitons are just Einstein metrics (i.e., trivial Ricci solitons). In this case, if F is non-Riemannian and $n \geq 2$, then (1.9) implies that σ is constant by the irreducibility of h^2 and hence $c = 0$. Note that every Riemannian Einstein metric must be Ricci-constant when $n \geq 3$ by Schur's Lemma. Thus Theorem 1.3 is reduced to Bao-Robles' result, which actually classify Einstein Randers metrics up to the classifications of Einstein Riemannian metrics h and the homothetic vector fields of h .

Corollary 1.3. ([BR]) *Let F be a non-Riemannian Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M . Then (M, F) is an Einstein metric with Einstein scalar κ if and only if h is an Einstein metric with Einstein scalar μ and W is a homothetic vector field of h with dilation $-\sigma$, where $\mu = \kappa + (n-1)\sigma^2$. In particular, κ, μ must be constants when $n \geq 3$.*

Similar to Corollary 1.1, one obtains the following result from Theorem 1.3. Its proof will be given at the end of §5.

Corollary 1.4. *Let F be a non-Riemannian Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M . If there is a complete vector field V such that (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton, then h is Einstein and W is a conformal vector field of h . In this case, F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature.*

Further, if h satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2ch^2$ or W satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = cW_0$ with $\|W\|_h^2 \neq \frac{1}{3}$, where c is defined as in Theorem 1.3, then h is Einstein, W is a homothetic vector field of h with dilation $-\sigma$ and V is a homothetic vector field of F with dilation $\frac{c}{2}$. In this case, F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature.

Corollaries 1.1 and 1.4 respectively give a sufficient condition such that F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature. Under this condition, we can classify Randers almost Ricci solitons (resp. Ricci solitons) (M, F, V) up to the classifications of Randers Einstein metrics F and homothetic vector fields of F by Theorem 1.3 and Corollaries 1.3-1.4. More precisely, we have

Corollary 1.5. *Let F be a non-Riemannian Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional manifold M and V be a complete vector field on M . If F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature σ , then (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if one of the following statements holds.*

(1) *h is Einstein with Einstein scalar μ , W is a homothetic vector field of h with dilation $-\sigma$ and V is a homothetic vector field of F with dilation $\frac{1}{2}c$, where $\kappa = \mu + c - (n-1)\sigma^2$. In particular, both κ and μ are constants when $n \geq 3$.*

(2) *F is Einstein with Einstein scalar ν and V is a homothetic vector field of F with dilation $\frac{1}{2}c$, where $\kappa = \nu + c$. In particular, both κ and ν are constants when $n \geq 3$.*

Conformal vector fields on a Randers manifold were studied in [SX]. In particular, the authors give a classification of conformal (especially, homothetic) vector fields on an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Randers manifold of constant flag curvature. Next we further consider the characterization of Randers gradient almost Ricci solitons.

Given a smooth measure m on a Randers manifold (M, F) , the volume form dm determined by m can be written as $dm = e^{-f} dm_{BH}$ for some smooth function f on M , where dm_{BH} is the volume form on M determined by the Busemann-Hausdorff measure m_{BH} . In this case, f is called the *weighted function* of m , here we regard m_{BH} as a standard measure on (M, F) . When $F = g$ is Riemannian, $dm = e^{-f} dV_g$, which is exactly the weighted measure on (M, g) .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional measure space (M, m) and f be the weighted function of m . Then (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if there is a function σ on M such that*

$$e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \quad (1.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha\text{Ric} &= \kappa(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 2t_{00} + t^i{}_i\alpha^2 - 2n\sigma_0\beta \\ &\quad - (n-1)(s_0^2 + s_{0;0} + 3\sigma^2\alpha^2 - \sigma^2\beta^2) - 2(s_0 + \sigma\beta)f_0 - \text{Hess}_\alpha(f)(y), \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

with

$$(2n-1)(1-b^2)\sigma_0 = \sigma(1+b^2)f_0 + f_i(s^i{}_0 - s^i\beta) + f_{;0j}b^j + (s_0 + 2\sigma\beta)(f_i b^i), \quad (1.13)$$

where $f_k, f_{;ij}$ are respectively the first, second order covariant derivatives of f and $\text{Hess}_\alpha(f)$ is the Hessian of f with respect to α . In this case, $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$. In particular, σ is constant if f satisfies

$$\sigma(1+b^2)f_0 + f_i(s^i{}_0 - s^i\beta) + f_{;0j}b^j + (s_0 + 2\sigma\beta)(f_i b^i) = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

When κ is constant, we can obtain an equivalent characterization for Randers gradient Ricci solitons from Theorem 1.4. Recently, Mo-Zhu-Zhu also gave an equivalent characterization for Randers gradient Ricci solitons (see Theorem 1.1, [MZZ]). However Theorem 1.4 is more refined than Theorem 1.1 in [MZZ]. Moreover, when f is constant, (1.13) implies that σ is constant. In this case, $\dot{S} \equiv 0$ and Randers gradient almost Ricci solitons are reduced to Einstein metrics. Such a gradient Ricci soliton is also called a *trivial Ricci soliton* and Theorem 1.4 is reduced to Corollary 1.2. In the following we give a navigation description for Randers gradient almost Ricci solitons. We denote by “ \cdot ” the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of h and let

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(W_{i;j} + W_{j;i}), \quad \mathcal{S}_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(W_{i;j} - W_{j;i}).$$

Similarly, we use h_{ij} to raise and lower the indices of $W_i, \mathcal{R}_{ij}, \mathcal{S}_{ij}$ etc. and the index “0” means the contraction with y^i throughout the paper.

Theorem 1.5. *Let F be a Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on an n -dimensional measure space (M, m) and f be the weighted function of m . Then (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if there are scalar functions μ and σ on M such that (M, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar μ , W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$ and f satisfies*

$$(2n-1)\sigma_0 = \sigma f_0 - f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k - f_{;0j}W^j, \quad (1.15)$$

$$(\sigma_i - \sigma f_i)W^i = \kappa - \mu + (n-1)\sigma^2. \quad (1.16)$$

In this case, $S_{BH} = (n + 1)\sigma F$. In particular, σ is constant if

$$\sigma f_0 - f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k - f_{:0j} W^j = 0. \quad (1.17)$$

Recall that F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature σ if and only if W is a homothetic vector field of h with dilation $-\sigma$. Hence, we have

Corollary 1.6. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.5, if F is of constant S_{BH} -curvature σ , then (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if (M, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar μ and f satisfies*

$$\sigma f_0 - f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k - f_{:0j} W^j = 0, \quad (1.18)$$

where $\mu := \kappa + (n - 1)\sigma^2 + \sigma f_i W^i$.

In particular, if $df(W) = 0$ (resp. $\sigma = 0$), then (M, F, m) is a gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant κ if and only if (M, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant $\kappa + (n - 1)\sigma^2$ (resp. soliton constant κ), and f satisfies (1.18) (resp. f satisfies $f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k + f_{:0j} W^j = 0$).

In Riemannian case, every compact gradient steady or expanding Ricci solitons (M, g) is Ricci-constant, i.e., g is Einstein with Ricci constant ([Ha3]). In this case, the function f is necessarily constant. On the other hand, Perelman's results claim that any compact Riemannian Ricci soliton is necessarily a gradient Ricci soliton ([Per]). Thus every compact Riemannian steady or expanding Ricci soliton must be Ricci-constant. For shrinking Ricci solitons, any two or three dimensional compact shrinking Ricci soliton must be Ricci-constant ([Ha3], [Iv]). However, in general this is not true. In fact, $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# (-\mathbb{CP}^2)$ is a compact non-Einstein shrinking Ricci soliton ([Cao]). From these, Corollaries 1.5-1.6 and Corollary 1.3, one obtains the following rigidity result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let F be a non-Riemannian Randers metric of constant S_{BH} -curvature σ on an $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional compact manifold M .*

(1) *If there is a vector field V on M such that (M, F, V) is a Ricci soliton, then F is Ricci-constant and V is a homothetic field of F .*

(2) *If there is a measure m on (M, F) with the weighted function f such that (M, F, m) is a gradient steady or expanding Ricci soliton and $\sigma = 0$, then F is Ricci-constant and f is constant, i.e., $m = m_{BH}$ up to a positive constant.*

Based on Corollary 1.6, we shall construct shrinking, steady and expanding gradient Ricci solitons for Randers metrics in §7, which are the first nontrivial examples of gradient Ricci solitons in Finsler geometry.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we review some basic concepts and notations in Finsler geometry. We refer to [ChS] and [Sh2] for more details.

2.1 Connection and curvatures

Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional Finsler manifold M and TM (T^*M) the tangent (cotangent) bundle of M . The geodesic coefficients G^i of F are defined by

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4}g^{il}\{[F^2]_{x^m y^l} y^m - [F^2]_{x^l}\},$$

where $(g^{ij}) := (g_{ij})^{-1}$, here $g_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j}$ are the fundamental tensors of F . Let $N^i_j := \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}$, which are called the coefficients of the *nonlinear connection*. Obviously, N^i_j are positively 1-homogeneous functions in y . Thus $G^i = \frac{1}{2}N^i_j y^j$.

Let $\pi : TM_0 \rightarrow M$ be a projection from the slit tangent bundle $TM_0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$ to M . Denote by π^*TM the pull-back tangent bundle and π^*T^*M the pull-back cotangent bundle over TM_0 . Then π^*TM admits a unique linear connection, called *Chern connection* D , which is torsion free and almost compatible with the metric F , that is, the connection coefficients Γ^k_{ij} of D satisfy $\Gamma^k_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{ji}$ and

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = g_{il}\Gamma^l_{jk} + g_{lj}\Gamma^l_{ik} + 2C_{ijl}N^l_k, \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad g_{ij|k} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $C_{ijk} := \frac{1}{4}[F^2]_{y^i y^j y^k}$ are the Cartan tensors of F and “|” means the horizontal covariant derivative with respect to the Chern connection D . A smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is called a *geodesic* on (M, F) if $D_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$. In local coordinates, the geodesic equation can be rewritten as

$$\ddot{\gamma}^i(t) + 2G^i(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

For any $x \in M$ and $y \in T_x(M) \setminus \{0\}$, the *Riemann curvature* $R_y = R^i_k(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^k$ of F is defined by

$$R^i_k = 2\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial x^j \partial y^k} y^j + 2G^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^k}. \quad (2.3)$$

The *Ricci curvature* of F is defined by $\text{Ric} := R^i_i$. F is said to be an *Einstein metric* with Einstein scalar κ if there is a scalar function $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ on M such that $\text{Ric} = \kappa F^2$. When $F = h$ is Riemannian on M , h is an Einstein metric with Ricci scalar κ if ${}^h\text{Ric} = \kappa h^2$. If κ is constant (resp. zero), then F or h is said to be *Ricci-constant* (resp. *Ricci-flat*).

A vector field Y on an open subset $U \subset M$ is called a *geodesic field* if every integral curve of Y in U is a geodesic of F . For any $y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$, there are an open neighborhood U_x and a non-vanishing C^∞ geodesic field Y on U_x with $Y_x = y$. We also call Y a *geodesic extension* of y . In local coordinates, a geodesic field $Y = Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is characterized by

$$Y^j(x) \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial x^j}(x) + 2G^i(x, Y_x) = 0,$$

here we identify $Y_x = Y^i(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$ with $(Y^1(x), \dots, Y^n(x))$. The geodesic field Y on U induces a Riemannian metric $\hat{g} := g_Y$ on U . Then Y is also a geodesic field of \hat{g} . Moreover, $G^i(Y) = \hat{G}^i(Y)$ and $R_y = \hat{R}_y$, where \hat{G}^i and \hat{R}_y are respectively the geodesic coefficients and the Riemann curvature of \hat{g} . Since the Ricci curvature Ric is the trace of the Riemann curvature R_y , the Ricci curvatures of F and \hat{g} coincides, i.e.,

$$\text{Ric}(x, y) = \widehat{\text{Ric}}(x, y) \quad (2.4)$$

for any $y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$ and the geodesic extension Y of y (see §6.2, [Sh2]).

Given a smooth measure m , write $dm = \sigma_F(x)dx$, where σ_F is called the *density function* of m . The *distortion* of F is defined by

$$\tau(x, y) := \log \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x, y))}}{\sigma_F(x)} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

For any $y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$, let $\eta(t)$ be a geodesic with $\eta(0) = x$ and $\dot{\eta}(0) = y$. The *S-curvature* S is defined as the change rate of the distortion τ along η , i.e.,

$$S(x, y) = \frac{d}{dt} \tau(\eta(t), \dot{\eta}(t))|_{t=0}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$S(x, y) = \tau_{|i}(x, y)y^i = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^i} - y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\log \sigma_F). \quad (2.6)$$

It is a non-Riemannian quantity and vanishes on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . F is said to be of *isotropic S-curvature* σ if there exists a function $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ on M such that $S(x, y) = (n+1)\sigma F(x, y)$ for any $(x, y) \in TM$. In particular, if σ is constant, we say that F is of *constant S-curvature* σ ([ChS], [CS2]).

In Finsler geometry, there is a frequently used volume measure called the *Busemann-Hausdorff measure* m_{BH} , whose volume form $dm_{BH} = \sigma_{BH} dx$, where

$$\sigma_{BH} = \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}_1^n(x))}{\text{Vol}\{y = (y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n | F(x, y) < 1\}},$$

where \mathbb{B}_1^n is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n and Vol means the Euclidean volume. This volume form can be expressed explicitly in some special cases, such as Randers metrics etc.(see §4 below). When $F = g$ is Riemannian, m_{BH} is reduced to the Riemannian measure of g , equivalently, $dm_{BH} = dV_g$. If F is of isotropic (resp. constant) S-curvature σ with respect to the Busemann-Hausdorff measure m_{BH} , denoted by $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$, then we say F has *isotropic* (resp. *constant*) S_{BH} -curvature σ .

Inspired from the weighted Ricci curvature on Riemannian manifolds, S. Ohta in [Oh1] introduced the weighted Ricci curvature Ric_N of (M, F, m) in terms of Ricci curvature Ric and S-curvature. More precisely, we have

Definition 2.1. ([Oh1]) *Given a nonzero vector $y \in T_x M$, let $\eta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be the geodesic with $\eta(0) = x$ and $\dot{\eta}(0) = y$. We set $dm = e^{-\psi(\eta(t))} dV_{\dot{\eta}}$ along η , where $dV_{\dot{\eta}}$ is the volume form of $g_{\dot{\eta}}$. Define the weighted Ricci curvature involving a parameter $N \in (n, \infty)$ by*

$$\text{Ric}_N(x, y) := \text{Ric}(x, y) + (\psi \circ \eta)''(0) - \frac{(\psi \circ \eta)'(0)^2}{(N - n)},$$

where Ric is the Ricci curvature of F . Further, define $\text{Ric}_n := \lim_{N \rightarrow n} \text{Ric}_N$ and $\text{Ric}_\infty := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_N$.

It is easy to see that $(\psi \circ \eta)'(0) = S(x, y)$ and $(\psi \circ \eta)''(0)$ is exactly the change rate of the S-curvature along the geodesic η , denoted by \dot{S} . Thus,

$$\text{Ric}_\infty = \text{Ric} + \dot{S}.$$

For any $N \in [n, \infty]$, we say that $Ric_N \geq K$ ($K \in \mathbb{R}$), if $Ric_N(x, y) \geq KF^2(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in TM$. Ohta proved in [Oh1] that the bound $Ric_N \geq K$ is equivalent to Lott-Villani and Sturm's weak curvature-dimension condition which extends the weighted Ricci curvature bounded from below on (weighted) Riemannian manifolds ([Oh2], [Li]). Studies show that there are essential differences between the case when $N \in [n, \infty)$ and the case when $N = \infty$. Some important progress has been made on global analysis and topology on Finsler measure spaces under the assumption that $Ric_N \geq K$ for $N \in [n, \infty)$ ([Sh1]-[Sh2], [Oh1]-[Oh2], [Xia1]-[Xia5] and references therein) with the help of some nonlinear approaches. However, there are few results under some conditions on Ric_∞ . In this paper, we shall prove that $Ric_\infty = K$ is equivalent to that (M, F, m) is a gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant K on a compact Finsler manifold (see §3).

2.2 Conformal vector fields

Let V be a vector field on an n -dimensional differential manifold M and $\{\varphi_t\}$ the local one-parameter transformation group on M generated by V . V is said to be *complete* if it generates a global one-parameter group of transformations on M . Such a vector field always exists on a compact manifold. Denote by $\hat{\varphi}_t$ a lift of φ_t on TM , i.e., $\hat{\varphi}_t(x, y) := (\varphi_t(x), (\varphi_t)_*(y))$. Then $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}$ forms a one-parameter transformation group on TM and induces a vector field

$$\hat{V} = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + y^j \frac{\partial V^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \quad (2.7)$$

on TM . \hat{V} is said to be a *complete lift* of V on TM . If $\hat{\varphi}_t^*(F) = e^{2\sigma_t} F$ for some function $\sigma_t(x)$ on M with $\sigma_0(x) = 0$, then V is called a *conformal vector field* of F with conformal factor c , equivalently, $\hat{V}(F) = 2cF$, where $c = c(x) = \frac{d\sigma_t}{dt}|_{t=0}$. In particular, V is called a *homothetic vector field* with dilation c if c is a constant and a *Killing vector field* if $c = 0$ ([SX]).

For any vector field V on M , the *Lie derivative* \mathcal{L} of a geometric object $\Xi(x, y)$ on TM with respect to the complete lift \hat{V} of V on TM is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}\Xi)_{(x,y)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\varphi}_t^*(\Xi) - \Xi}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \hat{\varphi}_t^*(\Xi). \quad (2.8)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F) = \hat{V}(F)$. Using $C_{ijk}(y)y^i = 0$ and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2(y)) &= \hat{V}(F^2(y)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (g_{kl}y^k y^l) V^i + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} (g_{il}y^i y^l) \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x^k} y^k \\ &= \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^i} y^k y^l V^i + 2g_{jl} \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x^k} y^k y^l \\ &= 2g_{jl} \Gamma_{ik}^j y^k y^l V^i + 2g_{jl} \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x^k} y^k y^l = 2V_{|k} y^l y^k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $V_i = g_{ij}(y)V^j$.

Proposition 2.1. ([SX]) *Let V be a vector field on (M, F) . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) V is a conformal vector field with the conformal factor c .
- (2) $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F) = 2cF$.
- (3) In local coordinates, $V = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ satisfies

$$V_{i|j} + V_{j|i} + 2C_{ij}^p V_{p|q} y^q = 4cg_{ij},$$

where $C_{ij}^p = g^{pq}C_{ijq}$, $V_i = g_{ij}V^j$ and “ ∇ ” is the horizontal covariant derivative with respect to the Chern connection of F .

In particular, when $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a Randers metric, we have the following equivalent characterizations for conformal vector fields.

Proposition 2.2. ([SX]) *Let (M, F) be a Randers manifold with the navigation data (h, W) . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) V is a conformal vector field of F with conformal factor c .
- (2) $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha) = 2c\alpha$, $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = 2c\beta$.
- (3) $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h) = 2ch$, $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = 2cW_0$.

A direct calculation gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) = 2V_{i;j}y^i y^j, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = (V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j})y^j, \quad (2.10)$$

where $V_i = a_{ij}V^j$ in this case. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2V_{i;j}y^i y^j, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = (V^k W_{j;k} + W^k V_{k;j})y^j, \quad (2.11)$$

where $V_i = h_{ij}V^j$ in this case. Thus (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.2 are respectively rewritten as

$$V_{i;j} + V_{j;i} = 4ca_{ij}, \quad V^j b_{i;j} + b^j V_{j;i} = 2cb_i, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$V_{i;j} + V_{j;i} = 4ch_{ij}, \quad V^j W_{i;j} + W^j V_{j;i} = 2cW_i. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that the meanings of V_i in (2.9), (2.12) and (2.13) are different. We abuse the notations without confusions.

3 Almost Ricci solitons on Finsler manifolds

In this section, we give the definition of almost Ricci soliton in Finsler geometry. In particular, we introduce the gradient almost Ricci soliton on a Finsler measure space and explain its geometric meaning.

Definition 3.1. *Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional Finsler manifold. (M, F, V) is called an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if there is a complete vector field V on M such that (F, V) satisfies*

$$2\text{Ric} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2) = 2\kappa F^2, \quad (3.1)$$

for some function $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ on M , where \hat{V} is the complete lift of V on TM . In particular, if κ is a constant, (M, F, V) is called a Ricci soliton with soliton constant κ .

An almost Ricci soliton is said to be expanding, steady or shrinking respectively if $\kappa < 0$, $\kappa = 0$ or $\kappa > 0$. Otherwise, it is said to be indefinite. We say that an almost Ricci soliton (M, F, V) is forward (resp. backward) complete if (M, F) is forward (resp. backward) complete. It is said to be complete if it is both forward complete and backward complete. If M is compact, then (M, F, V) is said to be a compact almost Ricci soliton.

When $F = g$ is Riemannian, almost Ricci solitons are just Riemannian ones ([PRRS]). Obviously, when $V = 0$ or V is a Killing vector field of F , the solutions of (3.1) are exactly Einstein metrics on M , called *trivial almost Ricci solitons*. The following result gives a generalized fixed point to the unnormalized Ricci flow (1.3).

Proposition 3.1. ([BY]) *Let (M, F_0) be a compact Finsler manifold. Then there exists a function $\varrho = \varrho(t)$ of the time t and a family of diffeomorphisms ψ_t on M such that $\hat{F}_t^2 := \varrho(t)\hat{\psi}_t^*(F_0^2)$ is a solution of (1.3) if and only if there is a vector field V on M such that (F_0, V) satisfies (3.1) with soliton constant κ , where $\hat{\psi}_t$ is a global lift of ψ_t on TM and \hat{V} is a complete lift of V .*

Let Y be a non-vanishing C^∞ -geodesic field on an open subset $U \subset M$ and dV_{g_Y} be the volume form of the Riemannian metric g_Y . Given a measure m on (M, F) , the volume form determined by the measure m can be written as $dm = e^{-\psi} dV_{g_Y}$, where ψ is given by

$$\psi(x) = \log \frac{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij}(x, Y_x))}}{\sigma_F(x)} = \tau(x, Y_x), \quad (3.2)$$

which is just the distortion along Y_x at $x \in M$ (see (2.5)). Obviously, $\psi \in C^\infty(U)$. We can regard ψ as the weight function of m with respect to g_Y . By definition, the S-curvature of (M, m) is given by

$$S(x, Y_x) = Y_x [\tau(\cdot, Y)] = d\psi_x(Y_x). \quad (3.3)$$

Thus,

$$\dot{S}(x, Y_x) = Y_x [S(\cdot, Y)] = Y_x [Y(\psi)] = \text{Hess}(\psi)(Y_x) = \widehat{\text{Hess}}(\psi)(Y_x), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\widehat{\text{Hess}}(\psi)$ is the Hessian of ψ with respect to $\hat{g} = g_Y$.

Let V be a smooth vector field on U . In local coordinates, we write $V = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $Y = Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. Note that $F^2(Y) = \hat{g}^2(Y)$ and

$$\frac{\partial \hat{g}_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k}(Y) + 2C_{ijl}(Y) \frac{\partial Y^l}{\partial x^k}.$$

In the same way as (2.9) and using the above equation, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2(Y)) = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\hat{g}^2(Y)) = 2V_{j||k} Y^j Y^k,$$

where $V_i = g_{ij}(Y)V^j$ and “ $||$ ” means the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} . In particular, when V is the gradient field of the function ψ with respect to \hat{g} , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2(x, Y_x)) = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2(Y))|_x = 2\widehat{\text{Hess}}(\psi)(Y_x).$$

From this and (3.4), we have proved that

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, F, m) be an n -dimensional Finsler measure space and Y be a geodesic field on an open subset $U \subset M$. Assume that V is a smooth vector field on U with the complete lift \hat{V} . Then $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2(x, Y_x)) = 2\dot{S}(x, Y_x)$.*

Let Y be a geodesic field on an open subset $U \subset M$ and $dm = e^{-\psi} dV_{g_Y}$. If there is a complete vector field V on M such that $V|_U = \text{grad}_{g_Y}(\psi)$ (the gradient of ψ with respect to g_Y) and (F, V) satisfies (3.1), then (M, F, m) is called a *gradient almost Ricci soliton* with soliton scalar κ . In particular, it is called a *gradient Ricci soliton* with soliton constant κ if κ is constant. When $F = g$ is Riemannian and $dm = e^{-\psi} dV_g$, these concepts are reduced to the corresponding ones in Riemannian geometry. Theorem 1.1 actually gives a geometric explanation of gradient almost Ricci solitons.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$, there is always a neighborhood U of x such that Y is a non-vanishing geodesic field on U with $Y_x = y$. Write $dm = e^{-\psi} dV_{g_Y}$ as above.

Assume that $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$, i.e., $\text{Ric} + \dot{S} = \kappa F^2$. Let

$$V := \text{grad}_{g_Y} \psi,$$

which is a smooth vector field on U . Choose smaller neighborhoods W_1 and W_2 of x such that the closure $\overline{W_1}$ is compact and $W_1 \subset \overline{W_1} \subset W_2 \subset \overline{W_2} \subset U$ and a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $f \equiv 1$ on $\overline{W_1}$ and $f \equiv 0$ outside W_2 . We define a vector field on M

$$\tilde{V}(p) = \begin{cases} f(p)V(p), & p \in U \\ 0, & p \notin U. \end{cases}$$

Then \tilde{V} is a smooth vector field on M with $\tilde{V}|_{W_1} = V$. Moreover \tilde{V} is complete since M is compact. By the assumption, we have

$$\text{Ric}(x, Y_x) + \dot{S}(x, Y_x) = \kappa F^2(x, Y_x) \quad (3.5)$$

on W_1 . From this, $\tilde{V}|_{W_1} = V$ and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\text{Ric}(x, Y_x) + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}(F^2(x, Y_x)) = 2\kappa F^2(x, Y_x) \quad (3.6)$$

on W_1 . Note that $y = Y_x$. By the arbitrariness of $(x, y) \in TM$, (F, \tilde{V}) satisfies (3.1). Thus (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton.

Conversely, if (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton, then there is a complete vector field V on M such that $V|_U = \text{grad}_{g_Y}(\psi)$ and (F, V) satisfies (3.1). In particular, we have (3.6) in which we use \hat{V} instead of \tilde{V} and hence (3.5) on U by Lemma 3.1. By the arbitrariness of $(x, y) \in TM$, we have $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$. \square

Remark 3.1. It is clear what Theorem 1.1 means in compact case, due to the existence of complete vector fields on a compact manifold M . Without the compactness assumption, by a gradient almost Ricci soliton (M, F, m) one means the existences of the Finsler metric F and the smooth measure m on M , for which $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$ for some function $\kappa = \kappa(x)$ on M .

4 Almost Ricci solitons on Randers manifolds

Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ with $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ are respectively the Riemannian metric and 1-form on M . It is known that the volume form determined by the Busemann-Hausdorff measure m_{BH} on M is given by $dm_{BH} = \sigma_{BH} dx$ (§5.2, [ChS]), where

$$\sigma_{BH} = e^{(n+1) \log \sqrt{1-b^2}} \sigma_\alpha, \quad \sigma_\alpha = \sqrt{\det(a_{ij})}.$$

Lemma 4.1. (*[ChS], [CS2]*) Let (M, F, m_{BH}) be an n -dimensional Randers manifold equipped with the Busemann-Hausdorff measure m_{BH} . For any scalar function σ on M , the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature σ , i.e., $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$.
- (2) $e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$.

Next we shall give an equivalent characterization of almost Ricci solitons for Randers metrics. For this, we need some lemmas. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 4.2. Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional manifold M and V be a vector field on M with the complete lift \hat{V} on TM . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2) = \frac{F}{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + 2F\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta). \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.3. (*[BR], [CS2]*) Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional manifold M . Then the Ricci curvature of F is given by

$$\text{Ric} = {}^\alpha\text{Ric} + 2\alpha s^i{}_{0;i} - 2t_{00} - \alpha^2 t^i{}_i + (n-1)\Xi, \quad (4.2)$$

where ${}^\alpha\text{Ric}$ denotes the Ricci curvature of α and Ξ is defined by

$$\Xi := \frac{2\alpha}{F}(q_{00} - \alpha t_0) + \frac{3}{4F^2}(r_{00} - 2\alpha s_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2F}(r_{00;0} - 2\alpha s_{0;0}), \quad (4.3)$$

where $q_{00} = q_{ij}y^i y^j$, here $q_{ij} := r_{ik}s^k{}_j$.

Note that $(b^2)_{;j} = 2(r_j + s_j)$, $s_i b^i = s^i b_i = 0$ and $b^i{}_{;k} = r^i{}_k + s^i{}_k$. It is straightforward to check the following equalities.

Lemma 4.4. Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional manifold M . Suppose that $e_{00} = 2\sigma(x)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= -s_i b_j - s_j b_i + 2\sigma(a_{ij} - b_i b_j), \quad r^i{}_j = -s^i b_j - b^i s_j + 2\sigma(\delta_j^i - b^i b_j), \quad r^j{}_j = 2\sigma(n - b^2), \\ r_j &= -b^2 s_j + 2\sigma(1 - b^2)b_j, \quad r = 2\sigma b^2(1 - b^2), \quad r^i{}_0 = -\beta s^i - b^i s_0 + 2\sigma(y^i - \beta b^i), \\ r_{00} &= -2\beta s_0 + 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \quad r^i{}_{i;0} = 2\sigma_0(n - b^2) - 4\sigma(1 - b^2)(2\sigma\beta + s_0), \\ r^i{}_{;i} &= -2(1 - b^2)(s_i s^i - \sigma_i b^i - 2n\sigma^2 + 6\sigma^2 b^2) - b^2 s^i{}_{;i}, \quad q_{00} = -(s_0^2 + t_0\beta + 2\sigma\beta s_0), \\ r_{00;0} &= -2s_{0;0}\beta + 4s_0^2\beta + 8\sigma s_0\beta^2 + 2(\sigma_0 - 2\sigma s_0 - 4\sigma^2\beta)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0 := \sigma_i y^i$, $\sigma_i := \sigma_{x^i}$.

Based on these lemmas, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional manifold M and V be a complete vector field on M . Then (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if either (M, F, V) is a Riemannian almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ or there are scalar functions c, σ on M such that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha) = 2c\alpha$ (i.e., V is a conformal vector field of α with conformal factor c) and

$$e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha\text{Ric} &= \kappa(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + (t^i{}_i - 2c)\alpha^2 + 2t_{00} - (n-1)\sigma^2(3\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \\ &\quad - ((n-1)\sigma_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta))\beta - (n-1)(s_0^2 + s_{0;0}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$s^i{}_{0;i} = (\kappa - c)\beta + (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_0 + t_0 + 2\sigma s_0 + \sigma^2\beta\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta), \quad (4.6)$$

where \hat{V} is the complete lift of V and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)$ is given by (2.10)₂.

Proof. Assume that (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ . Then (3.1) holds. From this and Lemmas 4.2-4.3, we have

$${}^{\alpha}\text{Ric} + 2\alpha s^i{}_{0;i} - 2t_{00} - \alpha^2 t^i{}_i + (n-1)\Xi + \frac{F}{2\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + F\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = \kappa F^2, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)$ are given by (2.10). By (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4F^2\Xi &= 8\alpha F(q_{00} - \alpha t_0) + 3(r_{00} - 2s_0\alpha)^2 - 2F(r_{00;0} - 2\alpha s_{0;0}) \\ &= 3r_{00}^2 - 2\beta r_{00;0} - 2(6r_{00}s_0 - 4\beta q_{00} + r_{00;0} - 2\beta s_{0;0})\alpha \\ &\quad + 4(3s_0^2 + 2q_{00} - 2\beta t_0 + s_{0;0})\alpha^2 - 8t_0\alpha^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.7) by $4\alpha F^2$ and using (4.8) yield

$$A_0 + A_1\alpha + A_2\alpha^2 + A_3\alpha^3 + A_4\alpha^4 + A_5\alpha^5 = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where $A_i (0 \leq i \leq 5)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &: = 2\beta^3\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2), \\ A_1 &: = 4\beta^2({}^{\alpha}\text{Ric}) - 8\beta^2 t_{00} + (n-1)(3r_{00}^2 - 2\beta r_{00;0}) + 6\beta^2\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + 4\beta^3\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - 4\kappa\beta^4, \\ A_2 &: = 8\beta({}^{\alpha}\text{Ric}) + 8\beta^2 s^i{}_{0;i} - 16\beta t_{00} - 2(n-1)(6r_{00}s_0 - 4\beta q_{00} + r_{00;0} - 2\beta s_{0;0}) \\ &\quad + 6\beta\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + 12\beta^2\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - 16\kappa\beta^3, \\ A_3 &: = 4({}^{\alpha}\text{Ric}) + 16\beta s^i{}_{0;i} - 8t_{00} - 4\beta^2 t^i{}_i + 4(n-1)(3s_0^2 + 2q_{00} - 2\beta t_0 + s_{0;0}) \\ &\quad + 2\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + 12\beta\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - 24\kappa\beta^2, \\ A_4 &: = 8s^i{}_{0;i} - 8\beta t^i{}_i - 8(n-1)t_0 + 4\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - 16\kappa\beta, \\ A_5 &: = -4t^i{}_i - 4\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

By the irrationality of α , (4.7) are equivalent to the following two equations:

$$A_0 + A_2\alpha^2 + A_4\alpha^4 = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$A_1 + A_3\alpha^2 + A_5\alpha^4 = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

(4.11) $\times\alpha^2$ -(4.10) $\times\beta$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\left\{2\alpha^2({}^{\alpha}\text{Ric}) - 4\alpha^2 t_{00} + 4\alpha^2\beta s^i{}_{0;i} - 2\alpha^4 t^i{}_i + 4(n-1)\alpha^2 q_{00} + 2(n-1)\alpha^2 s_{0;0}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) + 4\alpha^2\beta\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - 2\kappa\alpha^2(\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2) + 6(n-1)\alpha^2 s_0^2\right\} \\ &\quad + 3(n-1)\alpha^2(r_{00} + 2s_0\beta)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since $\alpha^2 - \beta^2$ is irreducible, there is a function σ on M such that

$$r_{00} + 2s_0\beta = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2), \quad (4.13)$$

that is, (4.4) holds. On the other hand, if $\beta \neq 0$ (i.e., F is non-Riemannian), then (4.10) implies that A_0 must be divided by α^2 . There is a scalar function c on M such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) = 4c\alpha^2, \quad \text{equivalently, } V_{i;j} + V_{j;i} = 4ca_{ij}. \quad (4.14)$$

This means that V is a conformal vector field of α with conformal factor c . Plugging (4.13)-(4.14) into (4.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha\text{Ric} &= \kappa(\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2) + 2t_{00} - 2\beta s^i_{0;i} + \alpha^2 t^i_i - 2(n-1)q_{00} - (n-1)s_{0;0} \\ &\quad - 3(n-1)s_0^2 - 3(n-1)\sigma^2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 2c(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2\beta\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

From this and Lemma 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= 8\kappa\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 4(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\left\{2c\beta - 2(n-1)\sigma^2\beta - 4(n-1)\sigma s_0 - (n-1)\sigma_0\right\} \\ &\quad - 8\beta^2 s^i_{0;i} + 8\alpha^2\beta t^i_i + 8(n-1)t_0\beta^2 - 8c\beta^3 - 4\beta^2\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $A_0 = 8c\alpha^2\beta^3$ by (4.14). From these and (4.10), one obtains

$$4(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha^2\left\{-2(\kappa - c)\beta - (n-1)(2\sigma^2\beta + 4\sigma s_0 + \sigma_0 + 2t_0) + 2s^i_{0;i} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)\right\} = 0,$$

which implies (4.6). Putting (4.6) back in (4.15) and using $q_{00} = -s_0^2 - t_0\beta - 2\sigma\beta s_0$ yield (4.5).

The proof of sufficiency is straightforward. It is nothing to prove if F is Riemannian. Otherwise, assume that there are functions c and σ on M such that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) = 4c\alpha^2$ and (4.4)-(4.5) hold. From (4.3)-(4.4) and Lemma 4.4, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi &= -\frac{2\alpha}{F}(s_0^2 + 2\sigma s_0\beta + Ft_0) + 3(s_0 - \sigma(\alpha - \beta))^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{F}\{-Fs_{0;0} + 2s_0^2\beta + 4\sigma s_0\beta^2 + F(\sigma_0 - 2\sigma s_0 - 4\sigma^2\beta)(\alpha - \beta)\} \\ &= -2(t_0 + 2\sigma s_0)\alpha + s_0^2 + s_{0;0} + \sigma^2(\alpha - \beta)(3\alpha + \beta) - \sigma_0(\alpha - \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Plugging this and (4.5)-(4.6) in (4.2) yields

$$\text{Ric} = \kappa F^2 - 2c\alpha F - F\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta). \quad (4.17)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2 and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\alpha^2) = 4c\alpha^2$, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2) = 4c\alpha F + 2F\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)$. From this and (4.17), we get (3.1), i.e., (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ . \square

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$ for some function σ on M . Then*

$$b^j s_{j;0} = -t_0 - 2\sigma s_0 + (s_j s^j)\beta, \quad (4.18)$$

$$b^j s_{0;j} = -t_0 + 2\sigma s_0 + 2\sigma_0 b^2 - 2(\sigma_j b^j)\beta + (s_j s^j)\beta, \quad (4.19)$$

$$r^i_{0;i} = -s^i_{;i}\beta + 2t_0 - 2\sigma(n+1-2b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta) + 2\sigma_0(1-b^2), \quad (4.20)$$

$$r^i_{;i} - r^i_j r^j_i - b^j r^i_{i;j} = -2s_i s^i - b^2 s^i_{;i} - 2(n-1)(\sigma_j b^j) - 4(n-1)\sigma^2 b^2. \quad (4.21)$$

Proof. Since $s_j b^j = b_j s^j = 0$, by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$b^j s_{j;0} = -s^j b_{j;0} = -s^j(r_{j0} + s_{j0}) = -t_0 - 2\sigma s_0 + (s_j s^j)\beta.$$

Similarly, by the same calculation as (7.27) in [CS2], we have (4.19). Note that $\beta_{;j} = r_{0j} + s_{0j}$, $b^i_{;i} = r^i_i$ and $(b^2)_{;j} = 2(r_j + s_j)$. By Lemma 4.4 and (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_{0;i} &= -s^i_{;i}\beta - r_{0i} s^i + t_0 - (s_0 + 2\sigma\beta)r^i_i - b^i s_{0;i} + 2\sigma_0 - 2(\sigma_i b^i)\beta - 2\sigma(r_0 - s_0) \\ &= -s^i_{;i}\beta + 2t_0 - 2\sigma(n+1-2b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta) + 2\sigma_0(1-b^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.4 again, we get

$$r^i_j r^j_i = 2b^2(s_i s^i) + 4\sigma^2(n - 2b^2 + b^4), \quad b^j r^i_{i;j} = 2(n - b^2)(\sigma_j b^j) - 8\sigma^2 b^2(1 - b^2).$$

From these and the formula for $r^i_{;i}$ in Lemma 4.4, one obtains (4.21). \square

Lemma 4.6. *Under (4.4) and (4.5), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_{0;i} &= (\kappa - 2c)\beta + (n - 1) \left\{ \sigma^2 \beta + t_0 + 2\sigma s_0 - \frac{3b^2 - 4}{2} \sigma_0 - \frac{3(1 - b^2)}{2(1 + b^2)} (\sigma_i b^i) \beta \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{2cb^2}{1 + b^2} \beta - \frac{b^2}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - \frac{1 - b^2}{2(1 + b^2)} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. Let ${}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{ij}$ be the Ricci tensor of α . By (7.21) in [CS2], we have

$$s^i_{0;i} = {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{0j} b^j + r^i_{i;0} - r^i_{0;i}, \quad (4.23)$$

where ${}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{0j} = {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{ij} y^i$. From this, we have $s^i_{j;i} = {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{jl} b^l + r^i_{i;j} - r^i_{j;i}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_{;i} &= -(b^j s^i_{j;i})_{;i} = -(r^j_i + s^j_i) s^i_j - b^j s^i_{j;i} \\ &= -t^j_j - b^j b^l ({}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{jl}) + r^i_{;i} - r^i_j r^j_i - b^j r^i_{i;j}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{0j} b^j &= \frac{1}{2} [{}^\alpha \text{Ric}]_{y^i y^j} y^i b^j = \frac{1}{2} [{}^\alpha \text{Ric}]_{y^j} b^j \\ &= \kappa(1 + b^2)\beta + (t^i_i - 2c)\beta + 2t_0 - (n - 1)\sigma^2(3 - b^2)\beta - \frac{1}{2} b^2 \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(n - 1) \{ \sigma_0 b^2 + (\sigma_j b^j)\beta + b^j s_{j;0} + b^j s_{0;j} \} - \frac{1}{2} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where we used $s_j b^j = b_j s^j = 0$. Plugging (4.18)-(4.19) in (4.25) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{0j} b^j &= \kappa(1 + b^2)\beta + (t^i_i - 2c)\beta - (n - 1) \left\{ \sigma^2(3 - b^2) + s_j s^j - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j b^j) \right\} \beta \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}(n - 1)\sigma_0 b^2 + (n + 1)t_0 - \frac{1}{2} b^2 \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to y^l and then contracting this with b^l yield

$$\begin{aligned} b^j b^l ({}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{jl}) &= \kappa(1 + b^2)b^2 + b^2(t^i_i - 2c) - (n - 1) \{ \sigma^2(3 - b^2) + s_j s^j \} b^2 \\ &\quad - (n - 1)(\sigma_l b^l) b^2 - (n + 1)s_k s^k - b^2 \left(V^k b_{l;k} + b^k V_{k;l} \right) b^l. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Since $r^j_i s^i_j = a^{jk} r_{ki} a^{il} s_{lj} = a^{jk} r^l_k s_{lj} = -r^l_k s^k_l$, we have $r^j_i s^i_j = 0$. Inserting (4.21) and (4.27) in (4.24) gives

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_{;i} &= -t^i_i - \kappa b^2 + (n - 1) \left(s_i s^i - \sigma^2 b^2 + \frac{b^2 - 2}{1 + b^2} (\sigma_i b^i) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2cb^2}{1 + b^2} + \frac{b^2}{1 + b^2} \left(V^k b_{l;k} + b^k V_{k;l} \right) b^l. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.20), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_{0;i} &= (\kappa b^2 + t^i_i)\beta + 2t_0 - 2\sigma(n + 1 - 2b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta) + 2\sigma_0(1 - b^2) - \frac{2cb^2}{1 + b^2} \beta \\ &\quad + (n - 1) \left(\sigma^2 b^2 - s_i s^i + \frac{2 - b^2}{1 + b^2} (\sigma_i b^i) \right) \beta - \frac{b^2}{1 + b^2} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r^i_{i;0} = 2\sigma_0(n - b^2) - 4\sigma(1 - b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta)$ by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_{i;0} - r^i_{0;i} &= -2t_0 - (\kappa b^2 + t^i_i)\beta + (n - 1) \left(4\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 b^2 + s_i s^i - \frac{2 - b^2}{1 + b^2} (\sigma_i b^i) \right) \beta \\ &\quad + 2(n - 1) (\sigma_0 + \sigma s_0) + \frac{2cb^2}{1 + b^2} \beta + \frac{b^2}{1 + b^2} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this and (4.26) into (4.23) yields (4.22). \square

Lemma 4.7. *Under (4.4) and (4.5), the equations (4.6) and (1.6) are equivalent.*

Proof. Assume that (4.6) holds. By Lemma 4.6, the right hand sides of (4.6) and (4.22) are equal, which means that

$$3(n - 1)\sigma_0 = \frac{2c}{1 + b^2} \beta + \frac{3(n - 1)}{1 + b^2} (\sigma_i b^i) \beta - \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) + \frac{1}{1 + b^2} \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j \beta. \quad (4.28)$$

Differentiating this with respect to y^i and then contracting this with b^i yield

$$3(n - 1)\sigma_i b^i = 2cb^2 - \left(V^k b_{j;k} + b^k V_{k;j} \right) b^j. \quad (4.29)$$

Inserting (4.29) into (4.28) gives (1.6).

Conversely, assume that (1.6) holds. Then

$$3(n - 1)\sigma_i = 2cb_i - \left(V^k b_{i;k} + b^k V_{k;i} \right),$$

which implies (4.29). Plugging (4.29) and (1.6) into (4.22) leads to (4.6). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Theorem 4.1, Lemma 4.7 and substituting $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta)$ in (4.5) with $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\beta) = 2c\beta - 3(n - 1)\sigma_0$. \square

5 Navigation description of Randers almost Ricci solitons

In this section, we shall give a navigation description of almost Ricci solitons for Randers metrics $F = \alpha + \beta$. Given a navigation data (h, W) of F , we express F in the form (1.8), where

$$a_{ij} = \frac{h_{ij}}{\lambda} + \frac{W_i W_j}{\lambda^2}, \quad b_i = -\frac{W_i}{\lambda}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $W_i = h_{ij} W^j$ and $\lambda = 1 - \|W\|_h^2$. Then the components of inverse matrix $(a_{ij})^{-1}$ and $b^i = a^{ij} b_j$ are respectively given by

$$a^{ij} = \lambda(h^{ij} - W^i W^j), \quad b^i = -\lambda W^i. \quad (5.2)$$

Conversely, given a Riemannian metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ and a 1-form $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$, we also have

$$h_{ij} = \lambda(a_{ij} - b_i b_j), \quad W^i = -\frac{b^i}{\lambda}.$$

We refer to [BRS] for more details.

Lemma 5.1. (*[Xing]*) *Let (M, F) be a non-Riemannian Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) . Then F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature σ if and only if W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$, i.e., W satisfies $W_{j;k} + W_{k;j} = -4\sigma h_{jk}$.*

Let $\xi := y - F(x, y)W$ and $\tilde{h} := \sqrt{h(\xi, \xi)}$. Observe that

$$h^2 - 2FW_0 = \lambda F^2 \quad (5.3)$$

from (1.8). Thus

$$\tilde{h}^2 = h(\xi, \xi) = h(y - FW, y - FW) = h^2 - 2FW_0 + F^2\|W\|_h^2 = F^2, \quad (5.4)$$

namely, $\tilde{h}(x, \xi) = F(x, y)$. For the sake of convenience, we use “ $(\cdot)_0$ ” to denote the contraction with ξ , for example, $\widetilde{W}_0 := W_i \xi^i$, $\widetilde{V}_0 := V_i \xi^i$, $\widetilde{V}_{0;0} := V_{j;k} \xi^j \xi^k$, $\tilde{f}_0 = f_i \xi^i$, $\tilde{f}_{;00} = f_{;ij} \xi^i \xi^j$ etc..

Lemma 5.2. *Let $V = (V^i)$ be a smooth vector field on M and \hat{V} be its complete lift on TM . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) = \frac{2}{\tilde{h} + \widetilde{W}_0} \left\{ \tilde{h} \widetilde{V}_{0;0} + \tilde{h}^2 \left(V_{j;k} W^k - W_{j;k} V^k \right) \xi^j \right\}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) &= \hat{V}(h_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j) = \hat{V}(h_{ij}) \xi^i \xi^j + 2h_{ij} \hat{V}(\xi^i) \xi^j \\ &= V^k \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x^k} \xi^i \xi^j + 2h_{ij} \left(y^k \frac{\partial V^i}{\partial x^k} - \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F) W^i - F V^k \frac{\partial W^i}{\partial x^k} \right) \xi^j. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Denote by ${}^h \Gamma_{ij}^l$ the Riemannian connection coefficients of h . Then

$$\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = h_{il} {}^h \Gamma_{jk}^l + h_{jl} {}^h \Gamma_{ik}^l.$$

Plugging this and $y^k = \xi^k + F W^k$ in (5.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) &= 2h_{ij} V_{;k}^i \xi^j \xi^k + 2F h_{ij} \frac{\partial V^i}{\partial x^k} W^k \xi^j - 2\widetilde{W}_0 \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F) - 2F h_{ij} \frac{\partial W^i}{\partial x^k} \xi^j V^k \\ &= 2\widetilde{V}_{0;0} + 2F \left(V_{j;k} W^k - W_{j;k} V^k \right) \xi^j - 2\widetilde{W}_0 \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $F(x, y) = \tilde{h}(x, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F) = (2\tilde{h})^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2)$. Then we have

$$\left(\tilde{h} + \widetilde{W}_0 \right) \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) = 2\tilde{h} \widetilde{V}_{0;0} + 2\tilde{h}^2 \left(V_{j;k} W^k - W_{j;k} V^k \right) \xi^j.$$

Since \tilde{h} is irrational, we have $\tilde{h} + \widetilde{W}_0 \neq 0$. Thus we obtain (5.5). \square

Lemma 5.3. ([CS1]) *Let F be a Randers metric expressed by (1.8). Suppose that F has isotropic S_{BH} -curvature σ . Then, for any scalar function $\tilde{\mu}$ on M ,*

$$\text{Ric} - (n-1) \left(\frac{3\sigma_0}{F} + \tilde{\mu} - \sigma^2 - 2\sigma_i W^i \right) F^2 = \widetilde{\text{Ric}} - (n-1) \tilde{\mu} \tilde{h}^2, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\widetilde{\text{Ric}} = {}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j$.

Note that $F(x, y) = \tilde{h}(x, \xi)$ and we use $\tilde{\kappa}$ instead of $(n-1)\tilde{\mu}$. (5.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &2\text{Ric} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2) - 6(n-1)\sigma_0 F - 2 \left\{ \tilde{\kappa} - (n-1)\sigma^2 - 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\} F^2 \\ &= 2\widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) - 2\tilde{\kappa} \tilde{h}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for any scalar function $\tilde{\kappa} = \tilde{\kappa}(x)$ on M . Based on this and Lemmas 5.1-5.2, we can prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ . Then $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$ for some function σ on M by Corollary 1.1. Hence W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$ by Lemma 5.1. Let $\tilde{\kappa} := \kappa + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i$. By (5.8) and (3.1), we have

$$-6(n-1)\sigma_0 F = 2\widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) - 2\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2. \quad (5.9)$$

Plugging (5.5) in (5.9) and using $\sigma_0 = \sigma_i \xi^i + \tilde{h}\sigma_i W^i$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} -3(n-1)(\tilde{h}^2 + \tilde{h}\widetilde{W}_0)(\sigma_i \xi^i + \tilde{h}\sigma_i W^i) &= (\tilde{h} + \widetilde{W}_0)^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j + \tilde{h}\widetilde{V}_{0:0} \\ &\quad + \tilde{h}^2 (V_{j:k} W^k - W_{j:k} V^k) \xi^j - \tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2(\tilde{h} + \widetilde{W}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since \tilde{h} is irrational in ξ , this equation are equivalent to the following two equations:

$$-3(n-1) \left\{ (\sigma_i W^i) \tilde{h}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_0 \widetilde{W}_0 \right\} = {}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j + \widetilde{V}_{0:0} - \tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2, \quad (5.10)$$

$$-3(n-1) \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_0 + (\sigma_i W^i) \widetilde{W}_0 \right\} \tilde{h}^2 = \widetilde{W}_0 {}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j + \tilde{h}^2 (V_{j:k} W^k - W_{j:k} V^k) \xi^j - \tilde{\kappa}\widetilde{W}_0 \tilde{h}^2 \quad (5.11)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_0 := \sigma_i \xi^i$. If F is Riemannian, i.e., $W \equiv 0$, we have $F = h$ and hence $\sigma = 0$ in this case. Thus (5.10)-(5.11) are equivalent to

$${}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j + \widetilde{V}_{0:0} - \tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2 = 0, \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad 2{}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} + V_{i:j} + V_{j:i} = 2\tilde{\kappa}h_{ij},$$

which means that (M, F, V) is a Riemannian almost Ricci soliton. Otherwise, (5.11) implies that ${}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j$ is divided by \tilde{h}^2 . There is a function μ on M such that ${}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} \xi^i \xi^j = \mu\tilde{h}^2$, namely, ${}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} = \mu h_{ij}$, which means that h is Einstein. Differentiating (5.10)-(5.11) in ξ leads to

$$V_{i:j} + V_{j:i} = 2(\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)h_{ij} - 3(n-1) \left\{ 2(\sigma_k W^k)h_{ij} + \sigma_i W_j + \sigma_j W_i \right\}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$V_{j:k} W^k - W_{j:k} V^k = (\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)W_j - 3(n-1) \left(\sigma_j + (\sigma_k W^k)W_j \right). \quad (5.13)$$

From (5.12)-(5.13), one obtains

$$W_{j:k} V^k + V_{k:j} W^k = (\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)W_j - 3(n-1) \left\{ 2(\sigma_i W^i)W_j - \lambda\sigma_j \right\}. \quad (5.14)$$

It follows from (2.11) that (5.12) and (5.14) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}(h^2) &= 2(\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)h^2 - 6(n-1) \left\{ (\sigma_i W^i)h^2 + \sigma_0 W_0 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}}(W_0) &= (\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)W_0 - 3(n-1) \left\{ 2(\sigma_i W^i)W_0 - \lambda\sigma_0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply (1.9)-(1.10) since $\tilde{\kappa} - \mu = \kappa - \mu + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i = c$.

Conversely, it is nothing to prove if F is Riemannian. Otherwise, assume that ${}^h \text{Ric}_{ij} = \mu h_{ij}$, W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor σ and (1.9)-(1.10) hold. Then $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$ and $\widetilde{\text{Ric}} = \mu\tilde{h}^2$. Differentiating (1.9)-(1.10) twice in y gives (5.12) and (5.14), where $\tilde{\kappa} := c + \mu = \kappa + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i$. From these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{V}_{0:0} &= (\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)\tilde{h}^2 - 3(n-1) \left(\tilde{\sigma}_0 \widetilde{W}_0 + (\sigma_i W^i)\tilde{h}^2 \right), \\ (V_{j:k} W^k - W_{j:k} V^k) \xi^j &= (\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)\widetilde{W}_0 - 3(n-1) \left(\tilde{\sigma}_0 + (\sigma_i W^i)\widetilde{W}_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these in (5.5) yields

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) = 2(\tilde{\kappa} - \mu)\tilde{h}^2 - 6(n-1)\left(\tilde{h}\tilde{\sigma}_0 + (\sigma_i W^i)\tilde{h}^2\right).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(\tilde{h}^2) &= 2\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2 - 6(n-1)F\sigma_i(\xi^i + FW^i) \\ &= 2\tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2 - 6(n-1)\sigma_0 F, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $2\text{Ric} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(F^2) = 2\kappa F^2$ by (5.8), i.e., (M, F, V) is an almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The first claim follows directly from Theorem 1.3. Now we assume that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2ch^2$. Then $(\sigma_i W^i)h^2 + \sigma_0 W_0 = 0$ by (1.9), which implies that σ is constant since h^2 is irreducible. From (1.10), we have $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = cW_0$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = cW_0$ with $\|W\|_h^2 \neq \frac{1}{3}$, then

$$2(\sigma_i W^i)W_0 - \lambda\sigma_0 = 0 \tag{5.15}$$

by (1.10). Differentiating this in y^k and then contracting this yield $(3\|W\|_h^2 - 1)(\sigma_k W^k) = 0$ and hence $\sigma_k W^k = 0$. Inserting this back into (5.15) gives $\sigma_0 = 0$, i.e., $\sigma = \text{constant}$, which means that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2ch^2$ by (1.9).

In any case, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(h^2) = 2ch^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{V}}(W_0) = cW_0$. This means that V is a conformal vector field of F with conformal factor $\frac{1}{2}c$ by Proposition 2.2. Since F has isotropic S_{BH} -curvature, by Theorem 1.1 in [HM] (also Theorem 1.2, [SX]), V is a homothetic field of F with dilation $\frac{1}{2}c$. The proof is finished. \square

6 Gradient almost Ricci solitons on Randers measure spaces

Let (M, F, m) be a Randers measure space equipped with a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ and a smooth measure m . As mentioned in the introduction, the volume form determined by m can be written as $dm = e^{-f} dm_{BH}$ and f is the weighted function of m . Theorem 1.1 and Remark 3.1 show that (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if $\text{Ric}_\infty = \kappa$, i.e.,

$$\text{Ric}(x, y) + \dot{S}(x, y) = \kappa F^2(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in TM. \tag{6.1}$$

If (M, F, m) is a gradient Ricci soliton (i.e., κ is constant), Mo-Zhu-Zhu proved that F must be of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature (Proposition 3.1, [MZZ]). For the gradient almost Ricci soliton (M, F, m) , this conclusion still holds by following the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [MZZ]. Thus we have

Proposition 6.1. *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional measure space (M, m) and f be the weighted function of m . If (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton, then F is of isotropic S_{BH} -curvature.*

Assume that (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton. Then $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma(x)F$ for some function σ on M , equivalently, $e_{00} = 2\sigma(x)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$. In this case, we have (4.16). From this and (4.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric} &= {}^\alpha\text{Ric} + 2\alpha s^i_{0;i} - 2t_{00} - \alpha^2 t^i_i \\ &\quad + (n-1) \left\{ s_0^2 - 2t_0\alpha - 4\sigma s_0\alpha - \sigma_0(\alpha - \beta) + s_{0;0} + \sigma^2(3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta - \beta^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

On the other hand, the geodesic coefficients G^i of F are given by (see (2.19) in [CS2])

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + Py^i + Q^i,$$

where $P := \frac{e_{00}}{2F} - s_0$ and $Q^i := \alpha s^i_{0;0}$. Note that $dm = e^{-f} dm_{BH}$. By (2.6), we have $S = S_{BH} + f_0$ and

$$\dot{S} = S_{;0} - 2(Py^k + Q^k)S_{y^k} = (S_{BH})_{;0} + f_{;00} - 2(Py^k + Q^k)S_{y^k}. \quad (6.3)$$

Since $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$, by Lemma 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{BH})_{;0} &= (n+1)(\sigma_0 F + \sigma F_{;0}) = (n+1) \left\{ \sigma_0 F + 2\sigma^2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 2\sigma s_0\beta \right\}, \\ (Py^k + Q^k)S_{y^k} &= (n+1) \left\{ \sigma^2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \sigma s_0\beta \right\} + \sigma(\alpha - \beta)f_0 - s_0 f_0 + (f_k s^k_0)\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $F_{;0} = \beta_{;0} = r_{00}$. Inserting these into (6.3) gives

$$\dot{S} = (n+1)\sigma_0 F - 2\sigma(\alpha - \beta)f_0 + 2s_0 f_0 - 2(f_k s^k_0)\alpha + f_{;00}. \quad (6.4)$$

It follows from (6.1), (6.2) and (6.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta + \beta^2) &= {}^\alpha\text{Ric} + 2\alpha s^i_{0;i} - 2t_{00} - \alpha^2 t^i_i + 2\sigma_0\alpha + 2n\sigma_0\beta \\ &\quad + (n-1) \left\{ s_0^2 + s_{0;0} - 2t_0\alpha - 4\sigma s_0\alpha + \sigma^2(3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta - \beta^2) \right\} \\ &\quad - 2\sigma(\alpha - \beta)f_0 + 2s_0 f_0 - 2(f_k s^k_0)\alpha + f_{;00}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that α is irrational in y . Comparing the rational and irrational terms in y on both sides of this equation yields

$$s^i_{0;i} = \kappa\beta - \sigma_0 + (n-1)(t_0 + 2\sigma s_0 + \sigma^2\beta) + \sigma f_0 + f_k s^k_0, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha\text{Ric} &= \kappa(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 2t_{00} + t^i_i \alpha^2 - 2n\sigma_0\beta \\ &\quad - (n-1) (s_0^2 + s_{0;0} + 3\sigma^2\alpha^2 - \sigma^2\beta^2) - 2(s_0 + \sigma\beta)f_0 - f_{;00}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Conversely, assume that $e_{00} = 2\sigma(x)(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$. In the same way as above, we have (4.16) and (6.2)-(6.4). Moreover, assume that (6.5)-(6.6) hold. Plugging these in (6.2) yields

$$\text{Ric} = \kappa(\alpha + \beta)^2 - (n+1)\sigma_0 F - 2s_0 f_0 + 2\sigma f_0(\alpha - \beta) + 2\alpha f_k s^k_0 - f_{;00}.$$

From this and (6.4), one obtains (6.1), that is, (M, F, m) is a gradient Ricci soliton. This proves the following

Theorem 6.1. *Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric on an n -dimensional measure space (M, m) and f be the weighted function of m . Then (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ if and only if there is a function σ on M such that $e_{00} = 2\sigma(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)$ and (6.5)-(6.6) holds.*

Remark 6.1. When κ is constant, (6.5)-(6.6) are equivalent to (1.4)-(1.5) in [MZZ]. Note that the function f in this paper is different from that in [MZZ] (up to a constant factor $n + 1$). Moreover, let $\rho = \ln \sqrt{1 - b^2} - f$. By Lemma 4.4, we calculate ρ_0 and $\rho_{0;0}$. Inserting then these in (1.4)-(1.5) in [MZZ] gives (6.5)-(6.6) and vice versa.

As an application of Theorem 6.1, we can prove Theorem 1.4. For this, we need some lemmas. Similar to Lemmas 4.6-4.7, we have

Lemma 6.1. *Under (1.11) and (1.12), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_{0;i} &= \kappa\beta + (n-1)(t_0 + 2\sigma s_0 + \sigma^2\beta) + \sigma_0 \{2(n-1) - (2n-1)b^2\} \\ &\quad - \frac{(2n-1)(1-b^2)}{1+b^2}(\sigma_j b^j)\beta - f_{;0j}b^j - \sigma b^2 f_0 - (f_j b^j)s_0 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1+b^2}(f_{;ij}b^i b^j)\beta - \frac{\sigma(1-b^2)}{1+b^2}(f_k b^k)\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.6. In fact, it follows from (1.12) and Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{0j}b^j &= \kappa(1+b^2)\beta + (n+1)t_0 - (2n-1)\sigma_0 b^2 - (\sigma_k b^k)\beta + t^i_i \beta \\ &\quad - (n-1) \left\{ s_k s^k + \sigma^2(3-b^2) \right\} \beta - \left\{ f_{;0j}b^j + (s_0 + \sigma\beta)(f_j b^j) + \sigma b^2 f_0 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\alpha \text{Ric}_{jl}b^j b^l &= \kappa b^2(1+b^2) - (n+1)s_j s^j - 2n(\sigma_j b^j)b^2 + t^i_i b^2 - (n-1)(s_j s^j)b^2 \\ &\quad - (n-1)\sigma^2 b^2(3-b^2) - f_{;jl}b^j b^l - 2\sigma b^2(f_j b^j). \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

By putting these and (4.21) in (4.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_{;i} &= -\kappa b^2 - t^j_j - (n-1)(\sigma^2 b^2 + 2\sigma_j b^j - s_j s^j) \\ &\quad + \frac{2(2n-1)b^2}{1+b^2}\sigma_j b^j + \frac{1}{1+b^2} \left\{ f_{;jl}b^j b^l + 2\sigma b^2(f_j b^j) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in (4.20) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_{0;i} &= \kappa b^2 \beta + t^j_j \beta + (n-1)(\sigma^2 b^2 + 2\sigma_j b^j - s_j s^j)\beta + 2t_0 + 2\sigma_0(1-b^2) \\ &\quad - 2\sigma(n+1-2b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta) - \frac{2(2n-1)b^2}{1+b^2}(\sigma_j b^j)\beta - \frac{1}{1+b^2} \left(f_{;jl}b^j b^l + 2\sigma b^2(f_j b^j) \right) \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r^i_{i;0} = 2\sigma_0(n-b^2) - 4\sigma(1-b^2)(s_0 + 2\sigma\beta)$ by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_{i;0} - r^i_{0;i} &= -2t_0 - (\kappa b^2 + t^i_i)\beta + (n-1) \left\{ 4\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 b^2 + s_i s^i - 2\sigma_i b^i \right\} \beta \\ &\quad + 2(n-1)(\sigma_0 + \sigma s_0) + \frac{2(2n-1)b^2}{1+b^2}(\sigma_i b^i)\beta + \frac{1}{1+b^2} \left(f_{;jl}b^j b^l + 2\sigma b^2(f_j b^j) \right) \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this and (6.8) into (4.23) yields (6.7). \square

Lemma 6.2. *Under (1.11) and (1.12), the equations (1.13) and (6.5) are equivalent.*

Proof. Assume that (1.13) holds. Then

$$(2n-1)(1-b^2)\sigma_i = \sigma(1+b^2)f_i + f_j(s^j_i - s^j b_i) + f_{;ij}b^j + (s_i + 2\sigma b_i)(f_j b^j),$$

which implies that

$$(2n-1)(1-b^2)\sigma_i b^i = \sigma(1+3b^2)f_i b^i + f_{;ij}b^i b^j - (1+b^2)f_j s^j. \quad (6.10)$$

Inserting (1.13) and (6.10) into (6.7) gives (6.5).

Conversely, assume that (6.5) holds. By Lemma 6.1, the right hand sides of (6.5) and (6.7) are equal, which means that

$$(2n-1)(1-b^2)\sigma_0 = \sigma(1+b^2)f_0 + f_j s^j_0 + f_{;0j} b^j + (f_j b^j) s_0 + \frac{(2n-1)(1-b^2)}{1+b^2} (\sigma_j b^j) \beta - \frac{1}{1+b^2} (f_{;jl} b^j b^l) \beta + \frac{\sigma(1-b^2)}{1+b^2} (f_j b^j) \beta. \quad (6.11)$$

Differentiating this with respect to y^i and then contracting this with b^i yield (6.10). From this and (6.11), one obtains (1.13). This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It directly follows from Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.2. \square

Next we give a navigation description for Randers gradient almost Ricci solitons. Let $F = \alpha + \beta$ be a Randers metric expressed by (1.8) in terms of the navigation data (h, W) , where α, β are given by (5.1). Let

$$\mathcal{R}_j := W^i \mathcal{R}_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{R} := W^j \mathcal{R}_j, \quad \mathcal{S}_j := W^i \mathcal{S}_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{S}^i_j := h^{ik} \mathcal{S}_{kj}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{S}_i W^i = 0$ and $\lambda_{;k} = -2(\mathcal{R}_k + \mathcal{S}_k)$. The geodesic coefficients G_α^i of α are related with those G_h^i of h by $G_\alpha^i = G_h^i + \zeta^i$, where

$$\zeta^i := \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mathcal{R}_0 + \mathcal{S}_0) y^i + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{00} W^i + \left(\frac{h^2}{2\lambda} + \frac{W_0^2}{\lambda^2} \right) (\mathcal{R} W^i - \mathcal{R}^i - \mathcal{S}^i) + \frac{W_0}{\lambda} (\mathcal{R}_0 W^i + \mathcal{S}^i_0).$$

From this, we have

$$r_{ij} = -\mathcal{R}_{ij} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} h_{ij} + \frac{2}{\lambda^2} W_i W_j \right) \mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (\mathcal{S}_i W_j + \mathcal{S}_j W_i) + \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda^2} (\mathcal{R}_i W_j + \mathcal{R}_j W_i) \quad (6.12)$$

$$s_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{S}_{ij} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} [(\mathcal{R}_i + \mathcal{S}_i) W_j - (\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{S}_j) W_i], \quad (6.13)$$

$$r_j = \mathcal{R}_j + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{R} W_j - \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} (\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{S}_j), \quad s_j = \mathcal{S}_j - \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{R} W_j + \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} (\mathcal{R}_j + \mathcal{S}_j). \quad (6.14)$$

These formulae were first given in [BR] and [Ro]. They also can be found in [ChS] and [CS2]. Recall that $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{00} = -2\sigma h^2$ (Lemma 5.1). In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} = -2\sigma h_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{R}_j = -2\sigma W_j, \quad \mathcal{R} = -2\sigma(1-\lambda) \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\zeta^i = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mathcal{S}_0 - 2\sigma W_0) y^i - \frac{\lambda h^2 + 2W_0^2}{2\lambda^2} \mathcal{S}^i + \frac{W_0}{\lambda} \mathcal{S}^i_0. \quad (6.16)$$

Moreover, by (6.13)-(6.15), we get

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{S}_0, \quad s^i_j = -\mathcal{S}^i_j + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{S}^i W_j. \quad (6.17)$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let F be a Randers metric with the navigation data (h, W) on a measure space (M, m) and f be the weighted function of m . Assume that $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$. Then*

$$\dot{S}(x, y) = (n+1)\sigma_0 F - 2\sigma f_0 F + 2(f_k \mathcal{S}^k_0) F + (f_k \mathcal{S}^k) F^2 + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y),$$

where $\text{Hess}_h(f)$ stands for the Hessian of f with respect to h .

Proof. By the assumption, we have $G_\alpha^i = G_h^i + \zeta^i$, where ζ^i are given by (6.16). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_\alpha(f)(y) &= f_{;ij}y^iy^j = f_{;ij}y^iy^j - 2f_k\zeta^k \\ &= \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) - \frac{2}{\lambda}f_0(\mathcal{S}_0 - 2\sigma W_0) - \frac{2W_0}{\lambda}f_k\mathcal{S}_0^k + \frac{\lambda h^2 + 2W_0^2}{\lambda^2}f_k\mathcal{S}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

From (6.4), (6.17)-(6.18), (5.3) and $W_0 = -\lambda\beta$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S} &= (n+1)\sigma_0F - 2\sigma f_0(\alpha - \beta) + 2f_0s_0 - 2(f_k s_0^k)\alpha + \text{Hess}_\alpha(f)(y) \\ &= (n+1)\sigma_0F - 2\sigma f_0(\alpha - \beta) + \frac{2}{\lambda}f_0\mathcal{S}_0 - 2f_k \left(-\mathcal{S}_0^k + \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathcal{S}^k W_0 \right) \alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\lambda}f_0(\mathcal{S}_0 + 2\lambda\sigma\beta) + 2\beta f_k\mathcal{S}_0^k + \frac{\lambda h^2 + 2W_0^2}{\lambda^2}f_k\mathcal{S}^k + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) \\ &= (n+1)\sigma_0F - 2\sigma f_0F + 2(f_k\mathcal{S}_0^k)F + (f_k\mathcal{S}^k)F^2 + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume that (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar κ , namely, $\text{Ric} + \dot{S} = \kappa F^2$. Then $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$ for some function $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ on M by Proposition 6.1. From Lemma 5.3, we have

$$-3(n-1)\sigma_0F = \widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \dot{S} - \tilde{\kappa}\tilde{h}^2, \quad (6.19)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa} := \kappa + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i$. Recall that $\xi = y - FW$, here $F = F(x, y) = \tilde{h}(x, \xi)$ by (5.4). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= \tilde{\sigma}_0 + \tilde{h}\sigma_i W^i, \quad f_0 = \tilde{f}_0 + \tilde{h}f_i W^i, \quad f_k\mathcal{S}_0^k = f_k\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0^k - \tilde{h}f_k\mathcal{S}^k, \\ \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) &= f_{;ij}(\xi^i + FW^i)(\xi^j + FW^j) = \tilde{f}_{;00} + 2\tilde{h}\tilde{f}_{;0j}W^j + \tilde{h}^2\text{Hess}_h(f)(W). \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

From these and Lemma 6.3, (6.19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \tilde{f}_{;00} + \tilde{h} \left\{ 2(2n-1)\tilde{\sigma}_0 - 2\sigma\tilde{f}_0 + 2f_k\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0^k + 2\tilde{f}_{;0j}W^j \right\} \\ &+ \tilde{h}^2 \left\{ 2(2n-1)\sigma_i W^i - 2\sigma f_i W^i - f_k\mathcal{S}^k + \text{Hess}_h(f)(W) - \tilde{\kappa} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since \tilde{h} is irrational in ξ , this equation are equivalent to the following two equations:

$$(2n-1)\tilde{\sigma}_0 - \sigma\tilde{f}_0 + f_k\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_0^k + \tilde{f}_{;0j}W^j = 0, \quad (6.21)$$

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \tilde{f}_{;00} + \tilde{h}^2 \left\{ 2(2n-1)\sigma_i W^i - 2\sigma f_i W^i - f_k\mathcal{S}^k + \text{Hess}_h(f)(W) - \tilde{\kappa} \right\} = 0. \quad (6.22)$$

Obviously, (6.21) is equivalent to (1.15) and (6.22) implies that there is a function $\mu = \mu(x)$ on M such that

$${}^h\text{Ric}_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j + f_{;ij}\xi^i\xi^j = \mu\tilde{h}^2, \quad \text{equivalently} \quad {}^h\text{Ric}_{ij} + f_{;ij} = \mu h_{ij}, \quad (6.23)$$

which means that (M, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar μ , i.e.,

$${}^h\text{Ric}(y) + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = \mu h^2.$$

Thus (6.22) is reduced to

$$2(2n-1)\sigma_i W^i - 2\sigma f_i W^i - f_k\mathcal{S}^k + \text{Hess}_h(f)(W) = \tilde{\kappa} - \mu. \quad (6.24)$$

Differentiating (6.21) in ξ^i and then contracting this with W^i yield

$$\text{Hess}_h(f)(W) = \sigma f_i W^i + f_i \mathcal{S}^i - (2n-1)\sigma_i W^i. \quad (6.25)$$

Plugging this in (6.24) and using $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i$ lead to (1.16).

Conversely, assume that (M, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient almost Ricci soliton with soliton scalar μ , W is a conformal vector field of h with conformal factor $-\sigma$, equivalently, $S_{BH} = (n+1)\sigma F$, and f satisfies (1.15)-(1.16). Thus we get (6.23) and (6.25) from (1.15). Moreover, by (1.15) and $y^i = \xi^i + F W^i$, we have

$$\sigma f_0 - f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k = (2n-1)\sigma_0 + f_{:ij} \xi^i W^j + F \text{Hess}_h(f)(W). \quad (6.26)$$

From (6.20) and (6.25) we get

$$\text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = f_{:ij} \xi^i \xi^j + 2F f_{:ij} \xi^i W^j + F^2 \left\{ \sigma f_i W^i + f_i \mathcal{S}^i - (2n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\}. \quad (6.27)$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 6.3, (6.26)-(6.27), (6.23), (6.25) and (1.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \dot{S} &= \widetilde{\text{Ric}} + (n+1)\sigma_0 F - 2F \left\{ (2n-1)\sigma_0 + f_{:ij} \xi^i W^j + F \text{Hess}_h(f)(W) \right\} \\ &\quad + (f_i \mathcal{S}^i) F^2 + f_{:ij} \xi^i \xi^j + 2F f_{:ij} \xi^i W^j + F^2 \left\{ \sigma f_i W^i + f_i \mathcal{S}^i - (2n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\} \\ &= \mu \tilde{h}^2 - 3(n-1)\sigma_0 F + \tilde{h}^2 \left\{ -2\text{Hess}_h(f)(W) + \sigma f_i W^i + 2f_i \mathcal{S}^i - (2n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\} \\ &= \mu \tilde{h}^2 - 3(n-1)\sigma_0 F + \tilde{h}^2 \left\{ -\sigma f_i W^i + \sigma_i W^i + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\} \\ &= \mu \tilde{h}^2 - 3(n-1)\sigma_0 F + \left\{ \kappa - \mu + (n-1)\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\sigma_i W^i \right\} \tilde{h}^2 \\ &= \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{h}^2 - 3(n-1)\sigma_0 F, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $-3(n-1)\sigma_0 F = \widetilde{\text{Ric}} + \dot{S} - \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{h}^2$. From this and (5.7), one obtains that $\text{Ric} + \dot{S} = \kappa F^2$, i.e., (M, F, m) is a gradient almost Ricci soliton. \square

7 Examples for nontrivial gradient Ricci solitons

In this section, we give some examples of nontrivial shrinking, steady and expanding gradient Ricci solitons in Finsler geometry based on Corollary 1.6.

Example 7.1. (Gaussian solitons) Let (\mathbb{R}^n, h) denote a Euclidean space with its standard metric $h = |\cdot|$. First, one may take $\kappa = 0$ and $V = 0$, and see (\mathbb{R}^n, h, V) as a steady Ricci soliton (trivial soliton). However, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, if we take $f = \frac{\rho}{2}|x|^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then (\mathbb{R}^n, h, f) is a Riemannian expanding or shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant ρ depending on the signs of ρ . The choice of f is unique up to a constant. The soliton $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}|x|^2})$ is called the *Gaussian soliton* ([CK], [CLN]).

Let m be the measure determined by $dm = e^{-f} dm_{BH}$ on \mathbb{R}^n , where $f = \frac{\rho}{2}|x|^2$. Note that global conformal vector fields of h on \mathbb{R}^n are just Killing fields given by $W = Qx + C$, where $Q = (q^i_j)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix and C is a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^n ([BRS]). Obviously, $\mathcal{S}^i_j = \mathcal{S}_{ij} = q_{ij}$. If $\|W\|_h < 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $Q = 0$. Further, if (f, W) satisfies (1.18), then $C = 0$. These mean that there is no non-vanishing global Killing vector field of h on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $\|W\|_h < 1$ and (1.18). By Corollary 1.6, the Randers metric F expressed by (1.8) must be Euclidean, i.e., $F(x, y) = h(y) = |y|$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, $dm_{BH} = dx$. Hence there is no non-Riemannian Randers gradient Ricci soliton in \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 7.2. (Steady Ricci soliton) Let (\mathbb{R}^2, h) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with complete metric $h = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{1+x^2+y^2}$. In polar coordinates (r, θ) , h is rewritten as

$$h = \frac{dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2}{1+r^2}. \quad (7.1)$$

Let $t = \operatorname{arcsinh} r = \log(r + \sqrt{1+r^2})$. Then

$$h = dt^2 + \tanh^2 t d\theta^2, \quad {}^h \operatorname{Ric}(Y) = \frac{2}{\cosh^2 t} h^2, \quad (7.2)$$

where $h^2 = h(Y, Y)$ for $Y = y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \in T_{(t, \theta)} \mathbb{R}^2$. The Christoffel symbols of h are given by

$$\Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^2 = \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = 0, \quad \Gamma_{12}^2 = \frac{2}{\sinh(2t)}, \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -\frac{\tanh t}{\cosh^2 t}. \quad (7.3)$$

Let

$$f(t, \theta) := -2 \log(\cosh t). \quad (7.4)$$

Then

$$f_1 = f'(t) = -2 \tanh t, \quad f_2 = f'(\theta) = 0, \quad (7.5)$$

$$f_{:11} = f''(t) = -\frac{2}{\cosh^2 t}, \quad f_{:12} = f_{:21} = 0, \quad f_{:22} = -\frac{2 \tanh^2 t}{\cosh^2 t}, \quad (7.6)$$

which implies that $\operatorname{Hess}_h(f)(Y) = -\frac{2}{\cosh^2 t} h^2$. Consequently, ${}^h \operatorname{Ric}(Y) + \operatorname{Hess}_h(f)(Y) = 0$, namely, (\mathbb{R}^2, h, f) is a Riemannian gradient steady Ricci soliton, called the *Hamilton's cigar soliton* ([Ha3]). By Lemma 2.7 in [CK], (\mathbb{R}^2, h, f) defined by (7.1) and (7.4) is the unique rotationally symmetric gradient Ricci soliton and positive sectional curvature on \mathbb{R}^2 up to homothety.

Assume that F has constant S_{BH} -curvature σ , i.e., $S_{BH} = 3\sigma F$, and $W = W^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + W^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider the following PDE system:

$$W_{i:j} + W_{j:i} = -4\sigma h_{ij}, \quad \sigma f_0 - f_k S_0^k - f_{:0j} W^j = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

From (7.1)-(7.6), (7.7)₂ can be simplified as

$$\sigma f_1 - f_{:11} W^1 = 0, \quad \sigma f_2 - f_1 S_2^1 - f_{:22} W^2 = 0,$$

which implies that

$$W^1 = W_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sinh(2t), \quad (W_1)_\theta - (W_2)_t = -\frac{2 \tanh t}{\cosh^2 t} W^2 = -\frac{4}{\sinh(2t)} W_2, \quad (7.8)$$

and (7.7)₁ is simplified as

$$(W_1)_t = -2\sigma, \quad (W_1)_\theta + (W_2)_t = \frac{4}{\sinh(2t)} W_2, \quad (W_2)_\theta + \frac{\tanh t}{\cosh^2 t} W_1 = -2\sigma \tanh^2 t. \quad (7.9)$$

From (7.8)₁ and (7.9)₁, we get $\sigma = 0$ and hence $W^1 = W_1 = 0$. From (7.9)₃, we have $(W_2)_\theta = 0$, i.e., W_2 is only a function of t . Thus (7.8)₂ and (7.9)₂ are reduced to $(\log W_2)_t = \frac{4}{\sinh(2t)}$. Integrating this yields $W_2 = C \tanh^2 t$ for some constant $C > 0$. Up to a positive constant, $W_2 = \tanh^2 t$ and hence $W^2 = 1$. Thus (7.7) has the unique solution $W = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$. In this case, $\lambda = 1 - \|W\|_h^2 = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 t} > 0$ and $W_0 = (\tanh^2 t)y^2$.

Let F be expressed by (1.8), that is,

$$F(t, \theta; Y) = (\cosh t) \sqrt{(y^1)^2 + \sinh^2 t (y^2)^2} - (\sinh^2 t) y^2,$$

where $Y = y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and m be the measure determined by $dm = e^{-f} dm_{BH}$ on (M, F) . Back to the original coordinate system (x, y) , $f = -2 \log \sqrt{1 + x^2 + y^2}$ and

$$F(\hat{x}, Y) = \sqrt{\frac{(ux + vy)^2 + (1 + x^2 + y^2)(uy - vx)^2}{x^2 + y^2}} + uy - vx. \quad (7.10)$$

where $\hat{x} = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Y = (u, v) \in T_{\hat{x}}\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Observe that the point $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ is a removable singular point of F . Indeed, $\lim_{\hat{x} \rightarrow 0} F(\hat{x}, Y) = |Y| = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$. Thus F is a regular Randers metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . By Corollary 1.6, (\mathbb{R}^2, F, m) is a Randers gradient steady Ricci soliton, called a *Randers cigar Ricci soliton*. By Lemma 5.3 and (7.2), we have $\text{Ric} = \frac{2}{\cosh^2 t} F^2$, which implies that F is of positive flag curvature $\mathbf{K}_F = \frac{2}{\cosh^2 t}$. Summing up, one obtains

Proposition 7.1. *The Randers cigar soliton (\mathbb{R}^2, F, m) defined by (7.10) and (7.4) is the unique gradient Ricci soliton of constant S_{BH} -curvature and positive flag curvature on \mathbb{R}^2 up to homothety. In this case, $S_{BH} \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{K}_F = \frac{2}{\cosh^2 t}$.*

Example 7.3. (Shrinking Ricci soliton) Let $(\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}, \hat{h})$ be a $2m-1 (\geq 3)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^{2m} equipped with the standard Riemannian metric \hat{h} of positive constant curvature μ . For any $p \in \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$, we identify $T_p\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$ with \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} in a natural way. Let \mathbb{S}_+^{2m-1} and \mathbb{S}_-^{2m-1} be the upper and lower hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{2m-1} and let

$$\psi_{\pm} : T_p\mathbb{S}^{2m-1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\pm}^{2m-1}, \quad \psi_{\pm}(x) := \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu|x|^2}}, \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{\mu(1 + \mu|x|^2)}} \right),$$

be the stereographic projection from the center respectively. ψ_{\pm} sends straight lines in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} to great circles on \mathbb{S}_{\pm}^{2m-1} . Denote by $x = (x^i) \in \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$, where $\{x^i\}$ are usually called the *projective coordinates* on \mathbb{S}^{2m-1} . The metric \hat{h} on \mathbb{S}^{2m-1} can be expressed in projective form:

$$\hat{h} = \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \mu|x|^2)|y|^2 - \mu\langle x, y \rangle^2}}{1 + \mu|x|^2}, \quad y \in T_x\mathbb{S}^{2m-1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-1}. \quad (7.11)$$

From this, we have

$$\hat{h}_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{1 + \mu|x|^2} - \frac{\mu x_i x_j}{(1 + \mu|x|^2)^2}, \quad \hat{h}^{ij} = (1 + \mu|x|^2)(\delta^{ij} + \mu x^i x^j), \quad (7.12)$$

The Christoffel symbols of \hat{h} are given by

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = -\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu|x|^2} (x_i \delta_j^k + x_j \delta_i^k)$$

and $\hat{h}\text{Ric} = 2(m-1)\mu\hat{h}^2$.

Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be an skew-symmetric matrix and $d = (d^i)$ be a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1} with $|d| < 1$, $Q^T Q + \mu d d^T = \mu |d|^2 E$ (E is an identity matrix) and $Qd = 0$. For example, in 3-dimensional case, we can take

$$Q = \sqrt{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & q \\ -p & 0 & l \\ -q & -l & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d = \pm \begin{pmatrix} l \\ -q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (7.13)$$

where p, q, l are constants with $|d|^2 = p^2 + q^2 + l^2 < 1$. It is easy to check that Q and d satisfy $Q^T Q + \mu d d^T = \mu |d|^2 E$ and $Qd = 0$. Then

$$\widehat{W} := Qx + \mu \langle x, d \rangle x + d \quad (7.14)$$

is a globally defined Killing vector field on the open upper hemisphere $(\mathbb{S}_+^{2m-1}, \hat{h})$ with $\|\widehat{W}\|_{\hat{h}} = |d| < 1$. Note that the expression (7.14) should be understood in projective coordinates. Indeed, a direct calculation shows that $\psi_{+*}(\widehat{W}) = Ap_+$, where

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} Q & \sqrt{\mu}d \\ -\sqrt{\mu}d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p_+ := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+\mu|x|^2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(1+\mu|x|^2)}} \end{pmatrix} = \psi_+(x) \in \mathbb{S}_+^{2m-1}.$$

The continuity of \widehat{W} on the closed hemisphere implies that its value at any point p on the equator is also the matrix product Ap . We extend \widehat{W} to the open lower hemisphere by insisting that $\psi_{-*}(\widehat{W}) = Ap_-$, where

$$p_- := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+\mu|x|^2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\mu(1+\mu|x|^2)}} \end{pmatrix} = \psi_-(x) \in \mathbb{S}_-^{2m-1}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{W} = Qx - \mu \langle x, d \rangle x - d$. Obviously, the points p_{\pm} goes to the poles $(0, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}})^T$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. We construct \widehat{W} from the data (Q, d) on the upper hemisphere and from $(Q, -d)$ on the lower hemisphere. The actual Killing field on \mathbb{S}^{2m-1} has the value Ap at any point p , including the equator. Since A is a constant matrix, the constructed \widehat{W} is globally defined and smooth. Moreover, $\|\widehat{W}\|_{\hat{h}} = |d| < 1$.

Let $M := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$, which is an $2m(m \geq 2)$ -dimensional cylinder equipped with a standard product metric $h^2 = dt^2 + \hat{h}^2$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\bar{y} = (y^1, \hat{y}) \in T_{(t,x)}M = \mathbb{R} \oplus T_x \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$, where $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$ and $\hat{y} = \sum_{i=2}^{2m} y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in T_x \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$. Then $h^2(\bar{y}) = (y^1)^2 + \hat{h}^2(\hat{y})$. By a direct calculation, the Christoffel symbols of h are given by

$$\Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^k = \Gamma_{1j}^1 = \Gamma_{1j}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^1 = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = -\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu|x|^2} (x_i \delta_j^k + x_j \delta_i^k), \quad (7.15)$$

where $2 \leq i, j, k \leq 2m$, and ${}^h \text{Ric} = 2(m-1)\mu \hat{h}^2$. Now we choose a function

$$f(t, x) := (m-1)\mu t^2$$

and a vector field $W := \widehat{W}$ on M , i.e., the component W^1 of W is zero in t -direction and the rest components W^i coincide with \widehat{W}^i , equivalently, $W_1 = 0$ and $W_i = \widehat{W}_i = \sum_{j=2}^{2m} \hat{h}_{ij} W^j$, where $2 \leq i \leq 2m$. In this case, $\|W\|_h = \|\widehat{W}\|_{\hat{h}} = |d| < 1$ and

$$W_0 = \widehat{W}_i \hat{y}^i = \frac{\langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle}{1 + \mu|x|^2}.$$

It is easy to check from (7.15) that

$$f_{:11} = 2(m-1)\mu, \quad f_{:1j} = f_{:j1} = f_{:jk} = 0, \quad (7.16)$$

which mean that $\text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = 2(m-1)\mu(y^1)^2$, and

$$W_{1:1} = W_{1:j} = W_{j:1} = 0, \quad W_{i:j} = \frac{q_{ij}}{1 + \mu|x|^2} + \frac{\mu (q_{jk} x^k x^i + x^i d^j - q_{ik} x^k x^j - x^j d^i)}{(1 + \mu|x|^2)^2}, \quad (7.17)$$

which mean that W is a Killing vector field of h on M , where $2 \leq i, j, k \leq 2m$. Thus,

$${}^h\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = 2(m-1)\mu h^2,$$

that is, (M, h, f) is a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant $2(m-1)\mu$. Moreover, by (7.16)-(7.17), f satisfies $f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k + f_{:0k} W^k = 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2m$).

Let F be defined by (1.8) in terms of (h, W) , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2)^2((y^1)^2 + \hat{h}^2) + \langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle^2}}{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2)} - \frac{\langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle}{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2)}, \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2) \{ (1 + \mu|x|^2)(y^1)^2 + |\hat{y}|^2 \} - \lambda\mu \langle x, \hat{y} \rangle^2 + \langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle^2}}{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2)} - \frac{\langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle}{\lambda(1 + \mu|x|^2)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = 1 - |d|^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1}$ and $y = (y^1, \hat{y}) \in T_{(t,x)}M$, and m be the measure determined by $dm = e^{-(m-1)\mu t^2} dm_{BH}$. By Corollary 1.6, (M, F, m) is a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant $2(m-1)\mu$.

Example 7.4. (Expanding Ricci soliton) Let $(\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}, \hat{h})$ be a $2m-1$ (≥ 3)-dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^{2m} equipped with the standard Riemannian metric \hat{h} of positive constant curvature μ as in Example 7.3. Also, we choose the vector field \widehat{W} as in Example 7.3, which induces a global smooth Killing vector field on \mathbb{S}^{2m-1} .

Let $M := (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$ be an $2m$ ($m \geq 2$)-dimensional cylinder equipped with a warped product metric $h^2 = dt^2 + t^2\hat{h}^2$, where $t \in (-1, 1)$. Then $h^2(y) = (y^1)^2 + t^2\hat{h}^2(\hat{y})$ for any $y = (y^1, \hat{y}) \in T_{(t,x)}M = T_t(-1, 1) \oplus T_x\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$. By a direct calculation, the Christoffel symbols of h are given by

$$\Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{1j}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^k = \Gamma_{1j}^k = 0, \quad \Gamma_{1j}^k = t^{-1}\delta_j^k, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^1 = -t\hat{h}_{ij}, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = -\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu|x|^2}(x_i\delta_j^k + x_j\delta_i^k),$$

where $2 \leq i, j, k \leq 2m$, and ${}^h\text{Ric} = 2(m-1)(\mu-1)\hat{h}^2$. In particular, when $\mu = 1$, ${}^h\text{Ric} = 0$. Now we choose a function

$$f(t, x) := -(m-1)\mu t^2$$

and a vector field $W := \widehat{W}$ on M , i.e., the component W^1 of W is zero in t -direction and the rest components W^i coincide with \widehat{W}^i , equivalently, $W_1 = 0, W_i = t^2\widehat{W}_j$. In this case, $\|W\|_h = |t|\|\widehat{W}\| = |td| < 1$ and

$$W_0 = t^2\widehat{W}_0 = \frac{t^2\langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle}{1 + \mu|x|^2}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$f_{:11} = -2(m-1)\mu, \quad f_{:1j} = f_{:j1} = 0, \quad f_{:jk} = -2(m-1)\mu t^2\hat{h}_{jk},$$

which mean that $\text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = -2(m-1)\mu h^2$, and

$$W_{1:1} = 0, \quad W_{1:j} = -W_{j:1} = -t\widehat{W}_j, \quad W_{i:j} = \frac{q_{ij}}{1 + \mu|x|^2} + \frac{\mu t^2(q_{jk}x^k x^i + x^i d^j - q_{ik}x^k x^j - x^j d^i)}{(1 + \mu|x|^2)^2},$$

which mean that W is a Killing vector field of h on M , where $2 \leq i, j, k \leq 2m$. Thus

$${}^h\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}_h(f)(y) = -2(m-1)\mu h^2.$$

Thus, (M, h, f) is a Riemannian expanding gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant $-2(m-1)$ when $\mu = 1$. Note that $W^1 = f_j = 0$, $\mathcal{S}_{11} = 0$ and $\mathcal{S}_{1j} = -t\widehat{W}_j$ ($2 \leq j \leq 2m$). Consequently, f satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f_k \mathcal{S}_0^k + f_{:0k} W^k &= \sum_{j=2}^{2m} f_1 \mathcal{S}_{1j} y^j + \sum_{j=2}^{2m} f_{:1j} W^j y^1 + \sum_{j,l=2}^{2m} f_{:lj} W^j y^l \\ &= 2(m-1)\mu t^2 \sum_{j=2}^{2m} \widehat{W}_j y^j - 2(m-1)\mu t^2 \sum_{j,l=2}^{2m} \hat{h}_{lj} \widehat{W}^j y^l = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq k \leq 2m$.

Assume that $\mu = 1$. Let F be defined by (1.8) in terms of (h, W) , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(1+|x|^2) \{ (1+|x|^2)(y^1)^2 + t^2|\hat{y}|^2 \} - \lambda t^2 \langle x, \hat{y} \rangle^2 + t^4 \langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle^2}}{\lambda(1+|x|^2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{t^2 \langle Qx + d, \hat{y} \rangle}{\lambda(1+|x|^2)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = 1 - t^2|d|^2 > 0$, $|t| < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2m-1}$ and $y = (y^1, \hat{y}) \in T_{(t,x)}M$, and m be the measure determined by $dm = e^{(m-1)t^2} dm_{BH}$. By Corollary 1.6, (M, F, m) is an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with soliton constant $-2(m-1)$.

It is worth mentioning that the constructions in Examples 7.3-7.4 do not work on $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{2m}$ because \mathbb{S}^{2m} does not admit a globally defined Killing vector field by Berger's Theorem (Theorem 38, [Pet]). Note that any Riemannian space with negative Ricci curvature does not admit a globally defined Killing vector field of constant length ([BN]). The above construction can not be applied to $M = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^n$, where \mathbb{H}^n is an n -dimensional hyperbolic space of constant negative curvature.

References

- [AIM] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [AW] M. M. Akbar and E. Woolgar, *Ricci solitons and Einstein-Scalar field theory*, *Class. Quantum Gravity*, 26(5)(2009), 055015.
- [BBR] A. Barros, R. Batista and E. Ribeiro jr., *Compact almost Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are gradient*, *Monatsh. Math.*, 174(2014), 29-39.
- [BGR] A. Barros, J. N. Gomes and E. Rebeiro jr., *A note on rigidity of almost Ricci soliton*, *Arch. Math.*, 100(2013), 481-490.
- [Bao] D. Bao, *On two curvature-driven problems in Riemann-Finsler geometry*, *Adv. stud. pure Math.*, 48(2007), 19-71.
- [BR] D. Bao and C. Robles, *On Ricci curvature and flag curvature in Finsler geometry*, In "A Sample of Finsler Geometry" MSRI series, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

- [BRS] D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, *Zermelo navigation on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., 66(2004), 377-435.
- [BN] V. N. Berestovskii and Y. G. Nikonorov, *Killing vector field of constant length on Riemannian manifolds*, Sib. Math. J. 49(3)(2008), 395-407.
- [Be] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [BY] B. Bidabad and M. Yar Ahmadi, *On quasi-Einstein Finsler spaces*, Bull Iranian Math Soc, 40(2014), 921-930.
- [Cao] H. Cao, *Recent progress on Ricci solitons*, Adv. Lect. Math., 11(2010), 1-38.
- [CS1] X. Cheng and Z. Shen, *Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 87(2009), 359-370.
- [CS2] X. Cheng and Z. Shen, *Finsler geometry: An approach via Randers spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- [ChS] S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Singapore, World Science Press, 2005.
- [CK] B. Chow and D. Knopf, *The Ricci flow: an introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [CLN] B. Chow, P. Lu, and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, vol. 77, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [DA] S. Deshmukh and H. Alsodais, *A note on almost Ricci solitons*, Analysis and Math. Phys., 10:76(2020).
- [Ha1] R. S. Hamilton, *Three manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom., 17(1982), 255-306.
- [Ha2] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Contemporary Mathematics, 71(1988), 237-261.
- [Ha3] R. S. Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in Differential Geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1993), 2, 7-136, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [Li] X. Li, *Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 54(2005), 1295-1361.
- [HM] L. Huang and X. Mo, *On conformal fields of a Randers metric with isotropic S-curvature*, Illinois J. Math., 57(3)(2013), 685-696.
- [Iv] T. Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds*, Diff. Geom. Appl., 3(4)(1993), 301-307.
- [MZZ] X. Mo, H. Zhu and L. Zhu, *On a class of Finsler gradient Ricci solitons*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15(4)(2023), 1763-1773.

- [Oh1] S. Ohta, *Finsler interpolation inequalities*, Calc. Var. PDE., 36(2009), 211-249.
- [Oh2] S. Ohta, *Comparison Finsler geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2021.
- [Per] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, arXiv:math.DG/0211159.
- [Pet] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [PRRS] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi and A.G. Setti, *Ricci almost solitons*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., (5)X(2011), 757-799.
- [Ra] G. Randers, *On an asymmetric metric in the four-space of general relativity*, Phys. Rev., 59(1941), 195-199.
- [Ro] C. Robles, *Geodesics in Randers spaces of constant curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359(4)(2007), 1633-1651.
- [Se] N. Sesum, *Convergence of the Ricci flow toward a soliton*, Commu. Anal. Geom., 41(2)(2006), 283-343.
- [Sh1] Z. Shen *Volume comparison and its applications in Riemann-Finsler geometry*, 128(1997), Adv. Math, 306-328.
- [Sh2] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Singapore, 2001.
- [SX] Z. Shen and Q. Xia, *On conformal vector feilds on Randers manifolds*, Sci.China Math., 55(9)(2012), 1869-1882.
- [Xia1] Q. Xia, *Sharp spectral gap for the Finsler p -Laplacian*, Sci. China Math., 62(8)(2019), 1615-1944.
- [Xia2] Q. Xia, *Geometric and functional inequalities on Finsler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., 30(2020), 3099-3148.
- [Xia3] Q. Xia, *Local and global gradient estimates for Finsler p -harmonic functions*, Commu. Anal. Geom., 30(2)(2022), 451-500.
- [Xia4] Q. Xia, *Li-Yau's estimates on Finsler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., 33:49 (2023), 1-33.
- [Xia5] Q. Xia, *Generalized Li-Yau's inequalities on Finsler manifolds*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.00272>.
- [Xing] H. Xing, *The geometric meaning of Randers metrics with isotropic S-curvature*, Adv. Math.(China), 34(6)(2005), 717-730.