

# HURWITZ NUMBERS FOR REFLECTION GROUPS $G(m, 1, n)$

RAPHAËL FESLER, DENIS GORODKOV, AND MAKSIM KAREV

**ABSTRACT.** We are extending results from [8] by building a parallel theory of simple Hurwitz numbers for the reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ . We also study analogs of the cut-and-join operators. An algebraic description as well as a description in terms of ramified covering of Hurwitz numbers is provided. An explicit formula for them in terms of Schur polynomials are provided. In addition the generating function of  $G(m, 1, n)$ -Hurwitz numbers is shown to give rise to  $m$  independent variables  $\tau$ -function of the KP hierarchy. Finally we provide an ELSV-formula type for these new Hurwitz numbers.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	3
1. Complex reflection groups $G(m, 1, n)$	3
1.1. Definitions and embedding into $S_{mn}$	3
1.2. Wreath product, correspondence and conjugacy classes	6
2. Hurwitz numbers	8
3. Generating function, cut-and-join and explicit formulas	9
3.1. Generating function	9
3.2. Explicit formulas	10
3.3. Change of variables	13
4. KP Hierarchy	15
5. Hurwitz numbers and ramified covering	16
5.1. Wreath ramified coverings	16
5.2. Hurwitz numbers: the algebro-geometric definition	18
6. ELSV-type formula	18
References	19

## INTRODUCTION

Hurwitz numbers were first introduced at the end of the 19th century in the work of A. Hurwitz [12]. Simple Hurwitz numbers  $h_{\lambda, m}$  where  $\lambda$  is a partition  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$  and  $m$  a non-negative integer is the number divided by  $n!$  of sequences of  $m$  transpositions  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$  such that their product  $\sigma_1 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_m$  belongs to a conjugacy class  $C_\lambda$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Hurwitz showed in [12] that equivalently  $h_{m, \lambda}$  counts isomorphism classes of ramified coverings of the sphere, with  $m$  simple critical values, and an extra critical value which has a fixed ramification profile  $\lambda$ . In

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 14N10.

*Key words and phrases.* Hurwitz number, Complex reflection groups.

the last decades, many interests were given to Hurwitz numbers. I. Goulden and D. Jackson showed that the generating function of Hurwitz numbers satisfies a second order parabolic PDE called the cut-and-join equation [9]. A. Okounkov showed in [21] that the generating function of Hurwitz numbers is also a  $\tau$ -function of the KP hierarchy, implying that Hurwitz numbers can be written in terms of Schur polynomials [16]. A striking result was proved in a seminal 2001 paper [7] by T.Ekedahl, S.Lando, M.Shapiro and A.Vainshtein (and called the ELSV formula since then) built, somewhat unexpected, bridge by revealing deep connections of Hurwitz numbers with the geometry of moduli space of complex curves. (Namely, the Hurwitz numbers are the intersection constants of certain characteristic classes).

Since then many variations of Hurwitz numbers have been introduced [10, 2, 18], and the most general its version is given by weighted Hurwitz numbers [1]. They satisfy similar results as the ones for simple Hurwitz numbers. In this article, we are interested in Hurwitz numbers for the family of reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ .

In the last few years, Hurwitz numbers for complex reflection groups have gained more attention, for example in the work of E. Polak and D. Ross [22] where polynomiality results are studied, the trilogy of papers [4, 5, 6] by T. Douvropoulos, J. B. Lewis, and A. H. Morales where a genus 0 for connected Hurwitz number for the complex reflection groups is obtained, and indirectly in the works of P. Johnson in [13] and H. Zhang and J. Zhou [25], where a representation theory approach was used to study wreath product Hurwitz numbers. Our approach, nevertheless, differs from the works quoted above. The present paper can be seen as a generalization of the paper [8] written by the first author, applying similar techniques to the groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ , and showing that the theory of disconnected simple Hurwitz numbers for the complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  is parallel to the classical one.

In section 1 where we introduce an embedding of  $G(m, 1, n)$  into  $S_{mn}$ , the reflections are sent to product of  $m$  transpositions and power of cycles commuting with the permutation

$$\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1\ n + 1\ 2n + 1 \dots (m - 1)n + 1) \dots (n\ n + n\ 2n + n \dots (m - 1)n + n).$$

We then recall the isomorphism between  $G(m, 1, n)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ . Further, we introduce a convenient description of the conjugacy classes of  $G(m, 1, n)$  which are indexed by  $m$ -tuples of partition  $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-1})$  (that we call colored partition), and show that this description agrees with the classical description of conjugacy classes (under a well-chosen isomorphism) of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  as in I. Macdonald [19].

In section 2 we define Hurwitz numbers for the reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  as the product of reflections. It is somewhat similar to the classical case where transpositions are used instead.

Section 3 is devoted to the description of the cut-and-join operator and its application. We first describe in Theorem 3.3 how multiplying by a reflection affects the conjugacy classes. Then using the tools introduced in Section 1 and Section 2, we show in Proposition 3.6 that after a well chosen change of variable, the cut-and-join for the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$  is actually a direct sum of  $m$  independent classical cut-and-join, and  $m - 1$  sums of Euler fields. This allows us to describe the Hurwitz numbers of the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$  in terms of Schur polynomials (Theorem 3.7).

In Section 4, Theorem 4.3 we show that the generating function of Hurwitz numbers for the reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$  is a  $m$ -parameter family of  $\tau$ -functions of the KP hierarchy, independently in  $m$  variables.

Section 5 uses results from [25], and describes in terms of ramified covering the Hurwitz numbers for reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$ , These are compositions of ramified coverings (Proposition 5.4).

Finally in section 6, Theorem 6.3 provides an ELSV formula-type for the logarithm of the generating function is proved using the simple form of the cut-and-join after the change of variables.

To conclude this introduction, it worth noting that the nice description of Hurwitz numbers for the complex reflection group  $G(m, 1, n)$  in terms of Schur polynomials (Theorem 3.7) motivates to look at weighted generalization [11], this will be done in a future work. In addition, it is worth applying the tools of this article to the complex reflection groups  $G(m, p, n)$ , it will also be done in some future work.

**Acknowledgements.** The research of the first author was supported by the grant from the Government of the Russian Federation, Agreement No.075-15-2019-1620.

## 1. COMPLEX REFLECTION GROUPS $G(m, 1, n)$

**1.1. Definitions and embedding into  $S_{mn}$ .** Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $n$  equipped with a Hermitian inner product. A *reflection* in  $V$  is a unitary automorphism of  $V$  of finite order with exactly  $n - 1$  eigenvalues equal to 1. A finite subgroup  $W \subset GL(V)$  is called a *complex reflection group* if it is generated by reflections.

Let  $G(m, 1, n)$  be the complex reflection group described in matrix terms as the  $n \times n$  monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. The reflection elements of this group are:

- reflections  $R_{ij}^{(\alpha)}$  of order 2, that fix the hyperplane  $x_j = \exp(2i\pi/m)^\alpha x_i$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$
- reflections  $L_i^\alpha$  of order dividing  $m$ , which fix the hyperplane  $x_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m - 1$

The reflections  $\langle L_1^1, R_{1,2}^{(0)}, \dots, R_{n,n-1}^{(0)} \rangle$  generate the group  $G(m, 1, n)$ , see [17] for details.

We define now an embedding of  $G(m, 1, n)$  into  $S_{mn}$ . Let the permutation of order  $m$

$$(1.1) \quad \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 \ n + 1 \ 2n + 1 \ \dots \ (m - 1)n + 1)(2 \ n + 2 \ 2n + 2 \ \dots \ (m - 1)n + 2) \dots \\ (n \ n + n \ 2n + n \ \dots \ (m - 1)n + n)$$

**Proposition 1.1.** *There exist an embedding  $\Psi : G(m, 1, n) \hookrightarrow S_{mn}$  such that  $\Psi(R_{ij}^{(\alpha)}) = (i \ \tau^\alpha(j))(\tau(i) \ \tau^{\alpha+1}(j)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(i) \ \tau^{\alpha-1}(j))$  for  $\alpha = 0, \dots, m - 1$  and assuming that  $\tau^0(j) = j$  and  $\Psi(L_i^\alpha) = (i \ \tau(i) \dots \tau^{m-1}(i))^\alpha$ , where the power of  $\alpha$  means multiplication of the permutation by itself  $\alpha$  times. The image of  $\Psi$  is the normalizer of  $\tau$*

$$\Psi(G(m, 1, n)) = \{\sigma \in S_{mn} \mid \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Norm}(\tau),$$

*Remark 1.2.* It is also clear that if  $\sigma \in \text{Norm}(\tau)$  then  $\tau^i \sigma (\tau^{-1})^i = \sigma$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$

*Remark 1.3.* Another way to define this embedding is by considering the faithful action of  $G(m, 1, n)$  on the  $nm$  unit vectors  $\mathbf{v}_{j,k} = (0, \dots, 0, \exp(2k\pi i/m), 0, \dots, 0)$  where the non-zero element is in position  $j$ , and  $k$  runs from  $0$  to  $m-1$ . This faithful action induces an embedding in  $S_{mn}$  given the identification  $\mathbf{v}_{j,k} \mapsto j + nk$  with the set  $\{1, \dots, mn\}$  on which  $S_{mn}$  is acting.

To prove Proposition 1.1 we describe the cycle decomposition of elements in  $\text{Norm}(\tau)$ .

Introduce a notation more convenient for our purposes:

$$(1.2) \quad r_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (i \ \tau^\alpha(j))(\tau(i) \ \tau^{\alpha+1}(j)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(i) \ \tau^{\alpha-1}(j))$$

for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq mn, i \neq j \pm kn$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$  addition is modulo  $mn$ . So if  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  then  $r_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = r_{\tau(i), \tau(j)}^{(\alpha)} = \dots = r_{\tau^{m-1}(i), \tau^{m-1}(j)}^{(\alpha)} = \Phi(R_{ij}^{(\alpha)})$ .

Also denote

$$(1.3) \quad l_i^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (i \ \tau(i) \dots \tau^{m-1}(i))^\alpha \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq mn;$$

so,  $l_i^\alpha = l_{\tau(i)}^\alpha = \dots = l_{\tau^{m-1}(i)}^\alpha = \Phi(L_i^\alpha)$  if  $i \leq n$ .

In the following proposition, the power of  $\tau$  are considered modulo  $m$ , denote also the integers  $k_\alpha = \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $x \in \text{Norm}(\tau)$ , and let  $x = a_1 \dots a_r$  be its cycle decomposition. Then for every  $a_j, j = 1 \dots r$  one of the following is true:*

- (1) *the cycle decomposition contains  $m-1$  other cycles  $a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_{m-1}}$  of the same length such that*

$$a_j = \tau a_{n_1} \tau^{-1} = \tau^2 a_{n_2} \tau^{-2} = \dots = \tau^{m-1} a_{n_{m-1}} \tau^{-(m-1)},$$

or

- (2) *for some  $1 \leq \alpha \leq m-1$ , the cycle  $a_j$  has the following structure: its length is a multiple of  $k_\alpha$ , it is  $\tau^\alpha$  invariant, and there are  $\gcd(m, \alpha) - 1$  other cycles  $a_{j_2}, \dots, a_{j_{\gcd(m, \alpha)}}$  having the same length, such that  $a_j = \tau a_{j_2} \tau^{-1} = \tau^2 a_{j_3} \tau^{-2} = \dots = \tau^{\gcd(m, \alpha)-1} a_{j_{\gcd(m, \alpha)}} \tau^{-(\gcd(m, \alpha)-1)}$ .*

The first case will be called a  $\beta_0$ -cycle, and the second  $\beta$ -cycles of type  $\alpha$  and denoted  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle for short. The  $\beta_0$ -cycle will play a special role later on in the text, that is why they are extracted from the case (2), where they can be seen as a  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle with  $\alpha = 0$ .

*Example 1.5.* In  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$

$$\tau = (1 \ n + 1 \dots 5n + 1) \dots (n \ 2n \dots 6n)$$

and let  $a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_1 \dots a_k)$  such that  $a_i \neq \tau^\alpha(a_j)$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\alpha = 0, \dots, 5$  then we have the following possible  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycles

- $\beta_0$ -cycle:  $(a)(\tau(a)) \dots (\tau^5(a))$
- $\beta_1$ -cycle:  $(a, \tau(a), \tau^2(a), \dots, \tau^5(a))$
- $\beta_2$ -cycle:  $(a, \tau^2(a), \tau^4(a))(\tau(a), \tau^3(a), \tau^5(a))$
- $\beta_3$ -cycle:  $(a, \tau^3(a))(\tau(a), \tau^4(a))(\tau^2(a), \tau^5(a))$
- $\beta_4$ -cycle:  $(a, \tau^4(a), \tau^2(a))(\tau(a), \tau^5(a), \tau^3(a))$
- $\beta_5$ -cycle:  $(a, \tau^5(a), \tau^4(a), \dots, \tau(a))$

where for example in the  $\beta_2$ -cycle, we have that  $k_\alpha = k_2 = 3$ .

*Proof.* To ease the notation let

$$x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_r$$

be the cycle of decomposition of  $x$ , where

$$a_i = (a_{1i} \dots a_{1m_i}).$$

Given that  $\tau^\alpha x \tau^{-\alpha} = x$  for  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$  by the definition of  $\text{Norm}(\tau)$ , this implies

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= \tau(a_1) \dots \tau(a_r) \\ x &= \tau^2(a_1) \dots \tau^2(a_r) \\ &\vdots \\ x &= \tau^{m-1}(a_1) \dots \tau^{m-1}(a_r). \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the cycle decomposition, every  $\tau(a_j), \tau^2(a_j), \dots, \tau^{m-1}(a_j)$  must be equal to some  $a_s$ . If all these cycles are different they form a  $\beta_0$  cycle. Let now  $1 \leq \alpha < m$ , then  $\tau^\alpha(a_s) = (\tau^\alpha(a_{1s}) \dots \tau^\alpha(a_{1m_s}))$  and one has that  $\tau^\alpha(a_s) \neq \tau^{2\alpha}(a_s) \neq \dots \neq \tau^{k\alpha}(a_s)$ . By definition of  $k_\alpha$  we have  $\tau^\alpha(\tau^{k\alpha}(a_s)) = a_s$  as  $k_\alpha + \alpha = 0 \pmod m$ , implying that we have a cycle of the form  $a = (a_s, \tau^\alpha(a_s), \tau^{2\alpha}(a_s), \dots, \tau^{k\alpha}(a_s))$ . Furthermore the condition given in (1.4), and the uniqueness of cycle decomposition force to have  $\gcd(m, \alpha) - 1$  other cycles  $a_{j_2}, \dots, a_{j_{\gcd(m, \alpha)}}$  having the same length, such that  $a = \tau a_{j_2} \tau^{-1} = \tau^2 a_{j_3} \tau^{-2} = \dots = \tau^{\gcd(m, \alpha)-1} a_{\gcd(m, \alpha)} \tau^{-(\gcd(m, \alpha)-1)}$  and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of proposition 1.1.* By remark 1.3, the map  $\Psi : G(m, 1, n) \mapsto S_{mn}$ , is a group homomorphism and an embedding. We write  $\Psi(x) = \tilde{d}_1, \dots, \tilde{d}_N$ , where  $\tilde{d}_i$  is the the image of the reflection  $d_i$  under  $\Psi$ .

The elements  $\Psi(r_{ij}^{(\alpha)})$  and  $\Psi(\ell_i)$  commute with  $\tau$ , implying that  $\Psi(G(m, 1, n)) \subset \text{Norm}(\tau)$ .

We are left to show that  $\text{Norm}(\tau) \subset \Psi(G(m, 1, n))$ . To do so, we prove that any  $x \in \text{Norm}(\tau)$ , which is a product of  $\beta_0$ -cycle and  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycles, can be written in terms of product of  $r_{ij}^{(k)}$  and  $\ell_i^k$ .

For a  $\beta_0$ -cycle

$$(a_1 \dots a_j)(\tau(a_1) \dots \tau(a_j)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(a_1) \dots \tau^{m-1}(a_j)) = r_{a_{j-1}, a_j}^{(0)} \dots r_{a_2, a_3}^{(0)} r_{a_1, a_2}^{(0)}$$

Now, a straightforward computation shows that if  $x \in \beta_\alpha$  then  $x \ell_\gamma \in \beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$  (see also section 3.2.2). It follows that for a  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle  $a = (a_1 \dots a_j)$

$$\begin{aligned} &(a, \tau^\alpha(a), \tau^{2\alpha}(a), \dots, \tau^{k\alpha}(a))(\tau(a), \tau^{\alpha+1}(a), \tau^{2\alpha+1}(a), \dots, \tau^{k\alpha+1}(a)) \dots \\ &\dots (\tau^j(a), \tau^{\alpha+\gamma}(a), \tau^{2\alpha+\gamma}(a), \dots, \tau^{k\alpha+\gamma}(a)) \\ &= \ell_j^\gamma r_{a_{j-1}, a_j}^{(0)} \dots r_{a_2, a_3}^{(0)} r_{a_1, a_2}^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

as  $r_{a_{j-1}, a_j}^{(0)} \dots r_{a_2, a_3}^{(0)} r_{a_1, a_2}^{(0)} \in \beta_0$ .  $\square$

From now on, and by abuse of notation, we denote by  $G(m, 1, n)$  both the image  $\Psi(G(m, 1, n)) \subset S_{mn}$  and  $G(m, 1, n)$  itself.

**1.2. Wreath product, correspondence and conjugacy classes.** There is a way to represent the elements of  $G(m, 1, n)$  [24],[4]-[6]. Namely, given an element  $z$  in  $G(m, 1, n)$ , one can encode it by a pair  $[u; g]$  with  $u \in S_n$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n$  as follows: for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  the non-zero entry in column  $k$  of  $z$  is in row  $u(k)$ , and the value of the entry is  $\exp(\frac{2i\pi g_k}{m})$ . The product rule reads

$$[u; g] \cdot [v; b] = [uv; v(g) + b] \text{ where } v(g) = (g_{v(1)}, \dots, g_{v(n)})$$

This description provides  $G(m, 1, n)$  with a structure of the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ . The reflections  $r_{ij}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\ell_i^\alpha$  are represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij}^{(\alpha)} &= [(i, j); 0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, -\alpha, 0, \dots, 0], \\ \ell_i^\alpha &= [(id); 0, \dots, 0, \alpha, 0, \dots, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to introduce an action of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, mn\}$  using the figure 1. The element  $[\sigma, (g_1, \dots, g_n)]$  acts by permuting the circles in accordance with  $\sigma$ , and the  $i$ -th circle is rotated by  $g_i$  counterclockwise (which corresponds to adding  $g_i$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ). This induces a faithful action, and, therefore, induces an embedding  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n \hookrightarrow S_{mn}$  (compare with remark 1.3).

Elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  correspond to permutations on the  $mn$  elements that preserve the circles (possibly permuting them entirely) with the order of the elements in each individual circle. The reflection  $r_{ij}^{(\alpha)}$  would correspond to rotating the  $i$ -th circle by  $\alpha$ , rotating the  $j$ -th circle by  $-\alpha$ , and permuting them, whereas the reflection  $\ell_i^\alpha$  just rotates the  $i$ -th circle by  $\alpha$ .

The conjugacy classes of the symmetric group  $S_n$  are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions of  $n$ , provided by cycle decomposition of the elements. A similar conjugacy class description for  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  can be found in [19]. We remind it here for completeness following closely [19], then translate it into the language developed in the previous section.

Denote  $\Lambda$  to be the set of all partitions  $\Lambda = \cup_{n \geq 0} \{\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n\}$ . A  $m$ -colored partition is a map  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda$ . A pair  $p = [u; g]$  with  $u \in S_n$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n$  defines an  $m$ -colored partition as follows: the image of the element  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is a partition formed by lengths of those cycles  $(i_1 \dots i_k)$  of  $u \in S_n$ , such that their *cycle-product*  $g_{i_1} + \dots + g_{i_k}$  equals  $r$ . The constructed  $m$ -colored partition determines the conjugacy class of  $[u, g]$ , and two pairs are of the same conjugacy classes if the corresponding colored partitions coincide.

We adopt the following notation for  $m$ -colored partitions: for a  $m$ -tuple of partitions  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{m-1} \in \Lambda$  write  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0 | \dots | \lambda_{m-1}$  for the map

$$\bar{\lambda}: \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Lambda \\ r \mapsto \lambda_r$$

We now show that the sets  $C_{\bar{\lambda}} = C_{\lambda_0 | \dots | \lambda_{m-1}}$  of elements in  $G(m, 1, n) \subset S_{mn}$  such that their cycle decomposition contains  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycles with cycle structure  $\lambda_\alpha$  for  $\alpha = 0, \dots, m-1$  are the conjugacy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ .

We will show this using the previously discussed embedding of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  into  $S_{mn}$ . Explicitly, it can be described as follows:




FIGURE 1. Presentation of the set of  $mn$  elements

$$[(g_1, \dots, g_n), (\sigma(1) \ \sigma(2) \ \sigma(3) \ \dots \ \sigma(n))] \mapsto$$

$$\mapsto \left( \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n & \dots & kn+1 & \dots & (k+1)n & \dots \\ \sigma(1)+g_1n & \sigma(2)+g_2n & \sigma(3)+g_3n & \dots & \sigma(n)+g_nn & \dots & (\sigma(1)+kn)+g_1n & \dots & (\sigma(n)+kn)+g_nn & \dots \end{array} \right)$$

where the images of the permutation in  $S_{mn}$  are considered modulo  $mn$ .

Then, let us compute the image of the element  $[(g_1, \dots, g_n), (i_1 \ i_2 \ \dots \ i_k)] \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$  in  $S_{mn}$  for an individual cycle  $\sigma = (i_1 \ i_2 \ \dots \ i_k)$  in  $S_n$ . If we try to recover the cycle structure, we will get the following:

$$i_1 \mapsto i_2 + g_{i_1}n \pmod{mn}$$

$$i_2 + g_{i_1}n \pmod{mn} \mapsto (i_3 + g_{i_2}n) + g_{i_1}n \pmod{mn}$$

$$\dots$$

$$i_k + (g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_{k-1}})n \pmod{mn} \mapsto i_1 + (g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_k})n \pmod{mn}$$

This proceeds until, after repeating this process some  $l$  times,  $l(g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_k})$  becomes divisible by  $m$ , and we return back to  $g_1$  completing the cycle. If  $l = m$ , then the image is this one cycle, and this corresponds to the  $\beta_0$  cycles in notations from the previous section. However, if  $l \neq m$ , then the image will contain  $m/l$  cycles received from the constructed one by adding  $n$  to all the elements. The resulting permutation type depends on  $k$  and  $(g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} + \dots + g_{i_k}) \pmod{m}$ , which is exactly

the input from the colored partition. Moreover,  $g_1 + \dots + g_k \pmod m$  is exactly the power  $\alpha$  of  $\tau$  which defines the  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle.

This finishes the proof of

**Proposition 1.6.** *The set  $C_{\bar{\lambda}}$  is a conjugacy class. Every conjugacy class in  $G(m, 1, n)$  is  $C_{\bar{\lambda}}$  for some partitions  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}$  such that  $|\lambda_0| + \dots + |\lambda_{m-1}| = n$*

In particular, the reflections  $r_{i,j}^{(k)}$  form the conjugacy class  $C_{2,1^{n-2}|\emptyset|\dots|\emptyset}$  and the reflections  $\ell_i^k$  the conjugacy classes  $C_{1^{n-1}|\emptyset|\dots|1|\emptyset|\dots}$  where 1 is in position  $k$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  the conjugacy class  $C_{2,1^{n-2}|\emptyset|\dots|\emptyset}$  and by  $\mathcal{L}^k$  the conjugacy class  $C_{1^{n-1}|\emptyset|\dots|1|\emptyset|\dots}$ .

## 2. HURWITZ NUMBERS

From now on until the end of the text, the integer  $n$  and  $m$  are fixed. Fix now the colored partition  $\bar{\lambda}$  such that  $|\lambda_0| + \dots + |\lambda_{m-1}| = n$ , and let the conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$  as defined above.

**Definition 2.1.** Denote by  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_{m-1}$ . A sequence of reflections  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_M)$  of the group  $G(m, 1, n)$  is said to have *profile*  $(\bar{\lambda}, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})$  if  $\#\{p \mid \sigma_p \in \mathcal{R}\} = n_0$ ,  $\#\{p \mid \sigma_p \in \mathcal{L}^1\} = n_1, \dots, \#\{p \mid \sigma_p \in \mathcal{L}^{m-1}\} = n_{m-1}$  and  $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_M \in C_{\bar{\lambda}}$ . The *Hurwitz numbers for the group  $G(m, 1, n)$*  are  $h_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1} \bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{m^n n!} \#\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_M) \text{ is a sequence of profile } (\bar{\lambda}, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})\}$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\lambda}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\#C_{\bar{\lambda}}} \sum_{x \in C_{\bar{\lambda}}} x \in \mathbb{C}[G(m, 1, n)]$ . As, by proposition 1.6 the conjugacy classes are sets  $C_{\bar{\lambda}}$  for various  $\bar{\lambda}$ , these elements form a basis in the center of the group algebra  $Z[G(m, 1, n)]$ . Consider now a ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m-1)}]$  where  $p^{(i)} = (\frac{p_1^{(i)}}{m}, \frac{p_2^{(i)}}{m^2}, \dots)^1$ , (by abuse of notation we drop the factors in front of the variables and write  $(p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, \dots)$  instead) are  $m$  infinite sets of variables. The ring is graded by the total degree where one assumes  $\deg p_k^{(i)} = k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The linear map  $\Theta$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}) = \mathbf{p}_{\bar{\lambda}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{\lambda_0}^{(0)} p_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, \dots, p_{\lambda_{m-1}}^{(m-1)}$$

establishes an isomorphism between the vector spaces  $Z[G(m, 1, n)]$  and the homogeneous component  $\mathbb{C}[p^{(0)}, p^{(1)}, \dots, p^{(m-1)}]_n$  of total degree  $n$ .

Now denote by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{T}_0 := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq mn \\ 0 \leq k \leq m-1}} r_{ij}^{(k)} = \#\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathcal{C}_{1^{n-2}|\emptyset|\dots|\emptyset}$$

and for all  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$

$$\mathcal{T}_k := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq mn} \ell_i^k = \#\mathcal{L}^k \cdot \mathcal{C}_{1^{n-1}|\emptyset|\dots|1|\emptyset|\dots|\emptyset}$$

sums of all elements of the conjugacy classes containing reflections, see equations (1.2) and (1.3) for the definitions of  $r_{ij}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $\ell_i^k$ . Now  $r_{ij}^{(k)} = r_{\tau(i), \tau(j)}^{(k)}$  =

<sup>1</sup>These extra factors  $\frac{1}{m^i}$  which seems unnecessary are present to get nicer formula, especially in corollary 3.2.



$\dots = r_{\tau^{m-1}(i), \tau^{m-1}(j)}^{(k)}$ , and  $l_i^k = l_{\tau(i)}^k = \dots = l_{\tau^{m-1}(i)}^k$ , so every element is repeated  $m$  times in these sums; hence the factor  $\frac{1}{m}$ . The elements  $\mathcal{T}_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_k$  belong to  $Z[G(m, 1, n)]$ , so one can consider linear operators  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{m-1} : Z[G(m, 1, n)] \rightarrow Z[G(m, 1, n)]$  of multiplication by  $\mathcal{T}_0, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{m-1}$ , respectively. The operators  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  pairwise commute for all  $i, j = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

Let now the family of  $m$  operators  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0, \dots, \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}$  the operators making the following diagrams commutative:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z[G(m, 1, n)] & \xrightarrow{\times T_i} & Z[G(m, 1, n)] , \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1. \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}]_n & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_i} & \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}]_n \end{array}$$

Let the two colored partition  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  such that  $|\bar{\lambda}| = |\bar{\mu}| = n$ . For an element  $\sigma \in C_{\bar{\lambda}}$ , define the multiplicity  $\langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_0$  as the number of reflections  $r_{i,j}^{(k)}$  (i.e elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ ) such that  $\sigma r_{i,j}^{(k)} \in C_{\bar{\mu}}$ . Similarly define the multiplicity  $\langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_k$  for elements in  $\mathcal{L}^k$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

The following lemma and theorem are proved in the exact same way as in [8], we refer to this paper for more details.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Multiplicities do not depend on the choice of  $\sigma$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.**  $T_i \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{\bar{\mu}} \langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_i \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mu}}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

### 3. GENERATING FUNCTION, CUT-AND-JOIN AND EXPLICIT FORMULAS

**3.1. Generating function.** Consider the following generating function for Hurwitz numbers of the group  $G(m, 1, n)$  :

$$\mathcal{H}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_{m-1}} \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{h_{n_0, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}}}{n_0! n_1! \dots n_{m-1}!} p_{\bar{\lambda}} \beta_0^{n_0} \dots \beta_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The generating function  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the family of  $m$  cut-and-join equations:*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \beta_0} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0(\mathcal{H}) \quad , \dots , \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \beta_{m-1}} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}(\mathcal{H})$$

*Proof.* Fix a positive integer  $n$  and denote by  $\mathcal{H}_n$  a degree  $n$  homogeneous component of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The cut-and-join operators preserve the degree, so  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the cut-and-join equations if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_n$  does (for each  $n$ ).

Let  $i$  any integer between 0 and  $m-1$ , and let

$$\mathcal{G}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_{m-1} \geq 0} \sum_{\bar{\lambda}: |\bar{\lambda}|=n} \frac{m^n n! h_{n_0, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}}}{n_0! \dots n_{m-1}!} \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\lambda}} \beta_0^{n_0} \beta_1^{n_1} \dots \beta_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}} \in \mathbb{C}[G(m, 1, n)]$$

An elementary combinatorial reasoning gives

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\beta_0^{n_0} \beta_1^{n_1} \dots \beta_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}}{n_0! \dots n_{m-1}!} T_0^{n_0} \dots T_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}(e_n)$$

where  $e_n \in G(m, 1, n)$  is the unit element. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(\mathcal{G}_n) &= \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{\beta_0^{n_0} \beta_1^{n_1} \cdots \beta_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}}{n_0! \cdots n_i! \cdots n_{m-1}!} T_0^{n_0} \cdots (T_i)^{n_{i+1}} \cdots T_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}(e_n) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_0, \dots, \hat{n}_i, \dots, n_{m-1} \geq 0 \\ n_i \geq 1}} \frac{\beta_0^{n_0} \cdots \beta_i^{n_i-1} \cdots \beta_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}}{n_0! \cdots (n_i-1)! \cdots n_{m-1}!} T_0^{n_0} \cdots T_i^{n_i} \cdots T_{m-1}^{n_{m-1}}(e_n) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_n}{\partial \beta_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the isomorphism  $\Psi$  one obtains  $\Psi T_i(\mathcal{G}_n) = \Psi(\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_n}{\partial \beta_\alpha}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_\alpha} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_n)$ . One has  $\Psi(\mathcal{G}_n) = \mathcal{H}_n$ , hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_\alpha} \Psi(\mathcal{G}_n) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_n}{\partial \beta_\alpha}$ . By the definition of the cut-and-join operators,  $\Psi T_i(\mathcal{G}_n) = \mathcal{CJ}_i(\Psi(\mathcal{G}_n)) = \mathcal{CJ}_i(\mathcal{H}_n)$ , and equalities (3.1) follow.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.**

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{p}) = e^{\beta_0 \mathcal{CJ}_0 + \cdots + \beta_{m-1} \mathcal{CJ}_{m-1}} e^{p_1^{(0)}}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Definition 2.1 that  $h_{0, \dots, 0, \bar{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{m^n n!}$  if  $\lambda_0 = 1^n$  and  $\lambda_i = \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , and  $h_{0, \dots, 0, \bar{\lambda}} = 0$  otherwise. Thus  $\mathcal{H}(0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{p}) = e^{p_1^{(0)}}$  and (3.2) follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**3.2. Explicit formulas.** We provide in this section explicit formulas for the cut-and-join and the generating function.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The  $m$ -family of cut-and-join operators for the reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  is given by*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{CJ}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 1 \\ \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} (i+j) p_i^{(\alpha)} p_j^{(\gamma)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}^{(\alpha+\gamma)}} + m i j p_{i+j}^{(\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i^{(\alpha)} \partial p_j^{(\gamma)}}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{CJ}_k = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} \left( i p_i^{(\alpha+k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{(\alpha)}} \right) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m-1$$

*Remark 3.4.* The operator  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$  has  $2m^2$  terms, while  $\mathcal{CJ}_k$  has  $m$  terms for each  $k$ .

*Example 3.5.* For the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  can be equal only to 0 or

1. Let  $p_i^{(0)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_i$  and  $p_i^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q_i$ . Thus for  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$  one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CJ}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \geq 1} (i+j) \left( p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} + p_i q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i+j}} + q_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i+j}} + q_i q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right) \\ &\quad + 2i j \left( p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} + q_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial p_j} + q_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial q_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which after simplification gives

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{CJ}_0 &= \sum_{i, j=1}^{\infty} \left( (i+j) p_i q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i+j}} + 2i j q_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial q_j} + i j p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (i+j) q_i q_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} + \frac{1}{2} (i+j) p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} + i j p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we recover the result from [8].

Similarly for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_1$  we get

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( ip_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + iq_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right)$$

which also agrees with [8]

To prove theorem 3.3 we will explicitly compute the coefficients  $\langle \bar{\lambda} \mid \bar{\mu} \rangle_{\nu}$  for all possible  $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$  and  $\nu = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$ , and  $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ . The cyclic structure of the product  $\sigma(a, b)$  depends on the position of  $a$  and  $b$ : if  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same cycle, say  $\sigma_1$ , then  $\sigma_1$  is split, we call this a cut. If  $a$  and  $b$  are in different cycles say  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively, then these two cycles are joined.

Let now  $\sigma \in G(m, 1, n)$  and  $\rho$  being one of the following types of reflections (where elements are modulo  $(m-1)n$ ):

- $\rho = r_{a,b} = (a, b)(\tau(a), \tau(b)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(a), \tau^{m-1}(b))$  for  $1 \leq a, b \leq (m-1)n$
- $\rho = \ell_a^k = (a, \tau(a), \tau^2(a), \dots)^k$  for  $1 \leq a \leq (m-1)n$  and for any  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$

The structure of  $\sigma' = \rho\sigma$  depends on the structure of  $\sigma$ , i.e which  $\beta_{\alpha}$ -cycle  $\sigma$  is, and the positions of  $a$  and  $b$ .

3.2.1. *The operators  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$ .* Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  such that  $0 \leq \alpha, \gamma \leq m-1$  and let the permutation  $\sigma$  with cycle decomposition the product of a  $\beta_{\alpha}$ -cycle and a  $\beta_{\gamma}$ -cycle where the  $\beta_{\alpha}$ -cycle is:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{\ell_1}, \tau^{\alpha}(a_1), \dots, \tau^{\alpha}(a_{\ell_1}), \dots)(\tau(a_1), \dots, \tau(a_{\ell_1}), \tau^{\alpha+1}(a_1), \dots, \tau^{\alpha+1}(a_{\ell_1}), \dots)$$

and the  $\beta_{\gamma}$ -cycle is:

$$(b_1, \dots, b_{\ell_2}, \tau^{\gamma}(b_1), \dots, \tau^{\gamma}(b_{\ell_2}), \dots)(\tau(b_1), \dots, \tau(b_{\ell_2}), \tau^{\gamma+1}(b_1), \dots, \tau^{\gamma+1}(b_{\ell_2}), \dots)$$

Let also the reflection  $\rho_{a_1, b_1} = (a_1, b_1)(\tau(a_1), \tau(b_1)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(a_1), \tau^{m-1}(b_1))$  where  $1 \leq a_1, b_1 \leq (m-1)n$ .

A straightforward computation shows that

$\rho\sigma$  is a  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle. Indeed

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma\rho(a_{\ell_1}) &= \tau^{\alpha}(b_1) \\ \sigma\rho(\tau^{\alpha}(b_1)) &= \tau^{\alpha}(b_2) \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma\rho(\tau^{\alpha}(b_{\ell_2})) &= (\tau^{\gamma+\alpha}(a_1)) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, multiplying by  $\rho$  a product of a  $\beta_{\alpha}$  with a  $\beta_{\gamma}$ -cycles gives a  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle, we call this a *join*. Furthermore  $\rho$  being an involution, multiplying the  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle obtained once more by  $\rho$ , gives back the product of  $\beta_{\alpha}\beta_{\gamma}$ -cycles, we call this a *cut*. There are  $m^2$  possible joins and  $m^2$  possible cuts counted without multiplicities, so  $2m^2$  cases in total. This being true for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\alpha + \beta$ , these are all the possible cases for the multiplication by a reflection  $\rho = (a_1, b_1)(\tau(a_1), \tau(b_1)) \dots (\tau^{m-1}(a_1), \tau^{m-1}(b_1))$ .

We now compute the multiplicities  $\langle \bar{\lambda} \mid \bar{\mu} \rangle_0$ , which will prove the result for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$ . Let  $\sigma \in C_{\bar{\lambda}}$ , where  $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{m-1})$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha} = 1^{c_{1\alpha}} \dots n^{c_{n\alpha}}$  that is for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$  the element  $\sigma$  contains  $c_k$   $\beta_{\alpha}$ -cycles of length  $k \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ . In the same way define  $\sigma\rho \in C_{\bar{\mu}}$ . Calculate  $\langle \bar{\lambda} \mid \bar{\mu} \rangle_0$  for all  $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{m-1})$  where  $\mu_{\gamma} = 1^{d_{1\gamma}} \dots n^{d_{n\gamma}}$ .

Case 1 Cut: Let a  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle of length  $(i+j) \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha+\gamma)}$ . The total possible numbers of positions for  $a_1$  is the total number of elements in all the  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle of length  $(i+j) \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha+\gamma)}$ , that is  $(i+j) \times \gcd(m, \alpha+\gamma) \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha+\gamma)} c_{i+j} = m(i+j)c_{i+j}$ . Since we know the length of the product of  $\beta_\alpha$  with the  $\beta_\gamma$  of  $\rho\sigma$ , the position of  $b_1$  as well as the positions of  $\tau^\nu(a_1)$  and  $\tau^\nu(b_1)$  for  $\nu = 1, \dots, m-1$  is unique once the position of  $a_1$  is chosen. Doing like this, one counts every reflection  $\rho_{a_1, b_1}$   $m$  times, we further divide by two as  $\rho_{a_1, b_1} = \rho_{b_1, a_1}$ , and the multiplicity is  $\frac{1}{2}(i+j)c_{i+j}$

Case 2 Join: Let a  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle of length  $i \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$  and a  $\beta_\gamma$ -cycle of length  $j \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \gamma)}$ . The total possible numbers of positions for  $a_1$  is the total number of elements in all the  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle of length  $i \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ , that is  $i \times \gcd(m, \alpha) \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)} c_i = mic_i$ . Similarly for  $b_1$  we get  $mjc_j$  total possible number of positions. For the same reason as above we need to divide by  $2m$  to not over count  $\rho_{a_1, b_1}$ . We get that the multiplicity is  $mijc_ic_j$

The explicit formula of  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$  follows from Theorem 2.3 as

$$\mathcal{CJ}_0 p_{\bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{\bar{\mu}} \langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_0 p_{\bar{\mu}}$$

For the cut: the monomial  $p_{\bar{\lambda}}$  contains  $p_{i+j}^{(\alpha+\beta)c_{i+j}}$ . The exponent of  $p_{i+j}^{(\alpha+\beta)c_{i+j}}$  in the monomial  $p_{\bar{\mu}}$  is decreased by one, and the exponent of  $p_i^{(\alpha)}$  and  $p_j^{(\beta)}$  are increased by one. We will have  $p_i^{(\alpha)} p_j^{(\beta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}^{(\alpha+\beta)}}$  for the cut, and to have the correct multiplicity add the coefficient  $\frac{i+j}{2}$  before. The join case is similar, and this finishes to proof for  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$ .

3.2.2. *The operators  $\mathcal{CJ}_k$ .* The reasoning to get the explicit formulas for  $\mathcal{CJ}_k$  is similar to the one for  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$ , but looking instead at the product  $\sigma\rho$  where  $\rho = (a_1, \tau(a_1), \dots, \tau^{m-1}(a_1))^k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Once more let  $\sigma$  be the  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{\ell_1}, \tau^\alpha(a_1), \dots, \tau^\alpha(a_{\ell_1}), \dots)(\tau(a_1), \dots, \tau(a_{\ell_1}), \tau^{\alpha+1}(a_1), \dots, \tau^{\alpha+1}(a_{\ell_1}), \dots).$$

Let also the reflection  $\rho$ :

$$\rho = \ell_{a_1}^\nu = (a_1, \tau(a_1), \dots, \tau^{m-1}(a_1))^\nu \text{ for some } \nu = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

A straightforward computation shows that  $\sigma\rho$  is a  $\beta_{\alpha+\gamma}$ -cycle.

We now compute the multiplicities  $\langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_\gamma$ , for  $\gamma = 1, \dots, m-1$  which will end the proof of theorem 3.3. As above, let  $\sigma \in C_{\bar{\lambda}}$ , where  $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{m-1})$  and  $\lambda_\alpha = 1^{c_{1\alpha}} \dots n^{c_{n\alpha}}$  that is for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$  the element  $\sigma$  contains  $c_k$   $\beta_\alpha$ -cycles of length  $k \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ . In the same way define  $\sigma\rho \in C_{\bar{\mu}}$ . Calculate  $\langle \bar{\lambda} | \bar{\mu} \rangle_\nu$  for all  $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{m-1})$  where  $\mu_\gamma = 1^{d_{1\gamma}} \dots n^{d_{n\gamma}}$  and  $\nu = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Let a  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle of length  $i \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ . The total possible numbers of positions for  $a_1$  is the total number of elements in all the  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle of length  $i \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)}$ , that is  $i \times \gcd(m, \alpha) \times \frac{m}{\gcd(m, \alpha)} c_i = mic_i$ . We need to divide the multiplicity by  $m$  to not over count the reflection  $\ell_{a_1}^\nu$  as  $\ell_{a_1}^\nu = \ell_{\tau(a_1)}^\nu = \dots = \ell_{\tau^{m-1}(a_1)}^\nu$ , and finally the multiplicity is  $ic_i$

As done for  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$ , the monomial  $p_{\bar{\lambda}}$  contains  $p_i^{(\alpha)c_i}$ . The exponent of  $p_{i+j}^{(\alpha)c_i}$  in the monomial  $p_{\bar{\mu}}$  is decreased by one, and the exponent of  $p_i^{(\alpha+k)}$  is increased by one.

We finally get  $p_i^{(\alpha+k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{(\alpha)}}$ , and to have the correct multiplicity add the coefficient  $i$  before and theorem 3.3 is proved.

**3.3. Change of variables.** We show in this section, that after a suitable change of variable, the operators in (3.3) and in (3.4) reduce to the classical cut-and-join denote by

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{CJ} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left( ij p_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + (i+j) p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right)$$

and Euler fields

$$(3.9) \quad E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i},$$

respectively.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\xi^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(\frac{2ik\pi}{m})$ . Let now the change of variable*

$$\begin{aligned} p_i^{(0)} &= u_i^{(0)} + u_i^{(1)} + \dots + u_i^{(m-1)} \\ p_i^{(1)} &= u_i^{(0)} + \xi u_i^{(1)} + \dots + \xi^{m-1} u_i^{(m-1)} \\ &\vdots \\ p_i^{(m-1)} &= u_i^{(0)} + \xi^{m-1} u_i^{(1)} + \dots + \xi u_i^{(m-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CJ}_0 &= m(\mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(0)}} + \dots + \mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(m-1)}}), \\ \mathcal{CJ}_k &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \xi^{k\alpha} E_{u^{(\alpha)}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}_k \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1 \end{aligned}$$

where by  $\mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(\alpha)}}$  we denote the operator (3.8) with  $u_i^{(\alpha)}$  substituted for  $p_i$ , and similarly,  $E_{u^{(\alpha)}}$ .

*Proof.* First for  $\mathcal{CJ}_0$ . By the chain rule

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{(\alpha)}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \xi^{-\nu\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(\nu)}}.$$

Then plugging (3.10) into (3.1) gives

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{CJ}_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 1 \\ \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \\ \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} \xi^{\alpha\nu_1 + \beta\nu_2 - (\alpha+\beta)\nu_3} (i+j) u_i^{(\nu_1)} u_j^{(\nu_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i+j}^{(\nu_3)}}$$

$$(3.12) \quad + \xi^{(\alpha+\beta)\nu_1 - \alpha\nu_2 - \beta\nu_3} m i j u_{i+j}^{(\nu_1)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^{(\nu_2)} \partial u_j^{(\nu_3)}}$$

We want to express the coefficients in front of the monomials  $(i+j) u_i^{(\nu_1)} u_j^{(\nu_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i+j}^{(\nu_3)}}$  and  $m i j u_{i+j}^{(\nu_1)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^{(\nu_2)} \partial u_j^{(\nu_3)}}$ . A straightforward computation gives:

$$\sum_{\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \xi^{\alpha\nu_1 + \beta\nu_2 - (\alpha + \beta)\nu_3} = \sum_{\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \xi^{\alpha(\nu_1 - \nu_2) + \beta(\nu_1 - \nu_3)} = \begin{cases} m^2 & \text{if } \nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Plugging this last equality into (3.11) gives

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0 = m \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 1 \\ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} (i + j) u_i^{(\nu)} u_j^{(\nu)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i+j}^{(\nu)}} + m i j u_{i+j}^{(\nu)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^{(\nu)} \partial u_j^{(\nu)}}$$

and this finishes the proof for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$

By the same reasoning, for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k$  one gets:

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ \alpha, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}}} \xi^{(\alpha+k)\nu_1 - \alpha\nu_2} u_i^{(\nu_1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^{(\nu_2)}}$$

this reduces to compute

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \xi^{(\alpha+k)\nu_1 - \alpha\nu_2} = \xi^{k\nu_1} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \xi^{\alpha\nu_1 - \alpha\nu_2} = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } \nu_1 = \nu_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and plugging it into (3.13) finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *For all colored partitions  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0 | \dots | \lambda_{m-1}$  the polynomials*

$$s_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathbf{P}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{P}^{(m-1)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s_{\lambda_0}(\mathbf{P}^{(0)}) \dots s_{\lambda_{m-1}}(\mathbf{P}^{(m-1)})$$

where  $s_{\lambda_i}$  are Schur polynomials and  $\mathbf{P}^{(\alpha)}$  means  $(\frac{\sum_{\beta} \xi^{\alpha\beta} p_1^{(\alpha)}}{m}, \frac{\sum_{\beta} \xi^{\alpha\beta} p_2^{(\alpha)}}{m}, \dots)$ , are eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ ; the respective eigenvalues are  $c_0(\bar{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{0,i}(\lambda_{0,i} - 2i + 1) + \lambda_{1,i}(\lambda_{1,i} - 2i + 1) + \dots + \lambda_{m-1,i}(\lambda_{m-1,i} - 2i + 1))$

for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$  and  $c_k(\bar{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{0,i} + \xi^k \lambda_{1,i} + \dots + \xi^{k(m-1)} \lambda_{m-1,i})$  for  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k$ .

The theorem follows immediately from Proposition 3.6 and the fact that Schur polynomials are eigenvectors of the cut-and-join operator (3.8) (see [16]) and of the Euler field (3.9) ( $s_{\bar{\lambda}}$  are weighted homogeneous).

Theorem 3.7 and the Cauchy identity [19] imply that

$$e^{p_1^{(0)}} = e^{u_1^{(0)}} \dots e^{u_1^{(m-1)}} = \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} s_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathbf{P}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{P}^{(m-1)}) s_{\bar{\lambda}}(1, 0, \dots; 1, 0, \dots)$$

This allows us to prove the following

**Corollary 3.8** (of Theorem 3.7).

$$\mathcal{H}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\bar{\lambda}} \exp(\beta_0 c_0(\bar{\lambda}) + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} \beta_k c_k(\bar{\lambda})) \times s_{\bar{\lambda}}(1, 0, \dots; 1, 0, \dots) s_{\bar{\lambda}}(\mathbf{P}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{P}^{(m-1)})$$

This is the  $G(m, 1, n)$ -analog of the formula expressing the simple Hurwitz numbers via the Schur polynomials [16]

## 4. KP HIERARCHY

The KP hierarchy is one of the best-known and studied integrable systems; see e.g. [15, 14, 20] for details. It is an infinite system of PDE applied to a formal series  $F \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$  where  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$  is a countable collection of variables (“times”). Each equation looks like  $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} = P_{ij}(F)$  where  $P_{ij}$  is a homogeneous multivariate polynomial with partial derivatives of  $F$  used as its arguments. For example, the first two equations of the hierarchy are

$$\begin{aligned} F_{22} &= -\frac{1}{2}F_{11}^2 + F_{31} - \frac{1}{12}F_{1111} \\ F_{32} &= -F_{11}F_{21} + F_{41} - \frac{1}{6}F_{2111}; \end{aligned}$$

here  $F_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$  means  $\frac{\partial^n F}{\partial t_{i_1} \partial t_{i_2} \dots \partial t_{i_n}}$ . If  $F$  is a solution of the hierarchy, its exponential  $\tau = e^F$  is called a  $\tau$ -function.

*Example 4.1.* Equations of the hierarchy do not contain first-order derivatives, so the function  $F(t) = t_1$  is a solution of the KP hierarchy;  $\tau = e^{t_1}$  is a  $\tau$ -function.

**Proposition 4.2.** *There exists a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of differential operators on the space  $\mathbb{C}[[p]]$  having the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to a one-dimensional central extension  $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)}$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$  of infinite matrices  $(x_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  having nonzero elements at a finite set of diagonals.
- (2) The Euler field  $E$  and the cut-and-join operator  $\mathcal{CJ}$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- (3) For any  $\tau$ -function  $f$  and any  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ , the power series  $e^X f$  is a  $\tau$ -function, too.

See [15] for the definition of  $\mathcal{G}$ , [23] and [section 6][14] for the proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** *The generating function  $\mathcal{H}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{p})$  is a  $m$ -parameter family of  $\tau$ -functions, independently in the  $p^{(i)}$  variables.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathbf{u}_i^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq m-1} \xi^{\alpha\beta} u_i^\beta$ . Equation (3.2) and Proposition 3.6 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{u}^0, \dots, \mathbf{u}^{m-1}) \\ &= e^{\beta_0 m (\mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(0)}} + \dots + \mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(m-1)}})} e^{(\beta_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \mathcal{E}_{m-1})} e^{u_1^{(0)}} \dots e^{u_1^{(m-1)}} \\ &= e^{(\beta_0 \mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(0)}} + \beta_1 \mathcal{E}_{u^{(0)}} + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \mathcal{E}_{u^{(0)}})} e^{u^{(0)}} \dots e^{\beta_0 \mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(m-1)}} + \beta_1 \xi^{m-1} \mathcal{E}_{u^{(m-1)}} + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \xi \mathcal{E}_{u^{(m-1)}}} e^{u^{(m-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

(operators  $\mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(\alpha)}}$ ,  $\mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(\nu)}}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{u^{(\alpha' )}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{u^{(\nu' )}}$  commute because they act on different sets of variables).

By assertion 2 of Proposition 4.2,  $\beta_0 \mathcal{CJ}_{u^{(0)}} + \beta_1 \mathcal{E}_{u^{(0)}} + \dots + \beta_{m-1} \mathcal{E}_{u^{(0)}} \in \mathcal{G}_u$  (the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  acting by differential operators on the  $u^{(0)}$  variables). By Example 4.1,  $e^{u_1^{(0)}}$  is a  $\tau$ -function (in the  $\mathbf{u}^{(0)}$  variables), and so is  $\mathcal{H}^B(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}, \mathbf{u}^0, \dots, \mathbf{u}^{m-1})$  by assertion 3 of the same proposition. The reasoning for the  $u^{(\alpha)}$  with  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m-1$  variables is the same.  $\square$

## 5. HURWITZ NUMBERS AND RAMIFIED COVERING

**5.1. Wreath ramified coverings.** In [25] the notion of wreath branched cover for a general wreath product of  $S_n$  is introduced, we will follow it closely. For details and proofs see [25].

In section 1.2 we showed the correspondence between the conjugacy classes of  $G(m, 1, n)$  when embedded in  $S_{mn}$ , and the conjugacy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ . In this section conjugacy classes are subsets of the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr S_n$ .

A  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(p, f)$  of degree  $mn$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  an ordinary ramified cover  $f$  of degree  $n$ :

$$f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$$

with a set of critical values  $Y$  together with a smooth curve  $\tilde{M}$  with a left  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -action and  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant map ( $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  acts trivially on  $M$ )  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  such that

$$p' : \tilde{M} - p^{-1}(f^{-1}(Y)) \rightarrow M - f^{-1}(Y),$$

where  $p'$  is the restriction of  $p$  to  $\tilde{M} - p^{-1}(f^{-1}(Y))$ , is a principal  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ - bundle map.

Two  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified covers

$$F : \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{p} M \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}P^1$$

and

$$F' : \tilde{M}' \xrightarrow{p'} M' \xrightarrow{f'} \mathbb{C}P^1$$

are said to be equivalent if there is a pair of biholomorphic maps  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'$  and  $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}P^1 \\ \downarrow \tilde{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow id \\ \tilde{M}' & \xrightarrow{p'} & M' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \mathbb{C}P^1. \end{array}$$

An automorphism of a  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(f, p)$  is a pair of biholomorphic maps  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  and  $\phi : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}P^1 \\ \downarrow \tilde{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow id \\ \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}P^1. \end{array}$$

An important result of [25] is the description of the monodromy of  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified covers. We describe it now. Let  $(f, p)$  a  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover

$$\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{p} M \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Taking a critical value  $y \in Y$ , the preimage  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a set of points  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  with multiplicities  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ , where  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_\ell = n$ , i.e a partition of  $n$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_\ell$ . Furthermore pick a regular point  $b$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , its preimage by  $f$  are  $n$  points  $q_1, \dots, q_n$ . The map  $p'$  being a normal cover, we can identify each fiber  $p^{-1}(q_i)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  using local trivialization: choose a small neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $q_i$  and a diffeomorphism



$$\psi_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, z \rightarrow (p(z), \phi_i(z))$$

such that  $\phi_i(hz) = h\phi_i(z)$  for any  $h \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$

Let a loop  $\gamma$  based on  $b$  and winding once around  $y$ . The monodromy of  $f$  along the loop  $\gamma$  is a permutation  $(q_{1,1}, \dots, q_{1,\lambda_1}) \dots (q_{\ell,1}, \dots, q_{\ell,\lambda_\ell})$  of  $S_n$  belonging to the conjugacy class indexed by  $\lambda$ . Pick now one of the cycles, say  $(q_{j,1}, \dots, q_{j,\lambda_j})$ , and denote by  $\gamma_i$  the lifting of  $\gamma$  starting at  $q_{j,i}$ . Now starting with an element  $z_1 \in p^{-1}(q_{j,1})$ , the unique lifting  $\tilde{\gamma}_1$  of  $\gamma_1$  will end at some  $z_2 \in p^{-1}(q_{j,2})$ , continuing so we get that the lifting  $\tilde{\gamma}_{\lambda_j}$  of  $\gamma_{\lambda_j}$  will end at some  $z'_1 \in p^{-1}(q_{j,1})$ . Suppose

$$\phi_2(z_2) = g_1\phi_1(z_1), \dots, \phi_{\lambda_j}(z_{\lambda_j}) = g_{\lambda_{j-1}}\phi_{\lambda_{j-1}}(z_{\lambda_{j-1}}), \phi_1(z'_1) = g_{\lambda_j}\phi_{\lambda_j}(z_{\lambda_j})$$

for  $g_1, \dots, g_{\lambda_j} \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$g_{\lambda_j}g_{\lambda_{j-1}} \dots g_1(z_1) = \phi_1(z'_1)$$

The product  $g_{\lambda_j}g_{\lambda_{j-1}} \dots g_1(z_1) = \phi_1(z'_1) \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  can be viewed as the monodromy of  $p$  induced by the loop  $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{\lambda_j}$  starting and ending at  $q_{j,1}$ .

Add the following restriction that  $\phi_1(z_1) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** [25] *The cycle product  $g_{\lambda_j}g_{\lambda_{j-1}} \dots g_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is determined by  $\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{\lambda_j}$  up to conjugacy.*

Now given a loop  $\gamma$  winding once around a critical value  $y$ , we get an element  $\sigma \in S_n$ , together with an element  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^n$ . In other word we get a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 - Y) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n \\ [\gamma] &\rightarrow (\sigma, g) \end{aligned}$$

which is a group homomorphism. This is the monodromy representation associated to with the  $G(m, 1, n)$ -cover  $(p, f)$

**Lemma 5.2.** [25] *The monodromy group of a  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(p, f)$  of degree  $mn$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is a subgroup of the wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n$ , which is determined up to conjugacy class. And it is transitive if  $M$  is connected.*

The inverse construction is as follows: given a group homomorphism  $\mathfrak{M} : \pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^1 - Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n$ , we first construct a ramified cover  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  of degree  $n$ , using the composition homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and the projection map  $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n \rightarrow S_n$  as monodromy representation. Then we construct a cover  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  using the information resulting from the cycle products. This produce a  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(p, f)$  of degree  $mn$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is its monodromy representation.

The construction described above gives:

**Lemma 5.3.** [25] *The following correspondence is one-to-one between the two sets:*

- Non isomorphic  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified covers  $(p, f)$  of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  of degree  $mn$
- $\text{Hom}(\pi(\mathbb{C}P^1 - Y), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n) / (\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}m \wr S_n)$

**5.2. Hurwitz numbers: the algebro-geometric definition.** We now define in an algebro-geometric way Hurwitz numbers for the reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ .

We say that a  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(p, f)$  of degree  $mn$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  has profile  $(\bar{\lambda}, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})$  if:

- for each  $\alpha = 0, \dots, m-1$ , there are  $n_\alpha$  other critical values  $y_{\alpha,1} \dots y_{\alpha,n_\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , and the monodromy over these  $y_{\alpha,1} \dots y_{\alpha,n_\alpha}$  must be a  $\beta_\alpha$ -cycle.
- the monodromy over  $\infty$  must be  $\bar{\lambda}$
- the map  $f \circ p$  is unramified outside of  $Y = \{\infty\} \cup \{y_{\alpha,i}\}_{\substack{\alpha=0,\dots,m-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n_\alpha}}$

The genus of  $M$  can be found by using the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, and we do not require  $M$  to be connected. Thus the algebro-geometric definition of  $h_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}}$  is the number of non isomorphic  $G(m, 1, n)$ -ramified cover  $(p, f)$  of degree  $mn$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  having profile  $(\bar{\lambda}, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})$  divided by their weight. In a more compact formula:

$$\mathbf{h}_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{(p, f)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(p, f)|}$$

where the  $(p, f)$  have profile  $(\bar{\lambda}, n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1})$  and all distinct.

**Proposition 5.4.** [25] *The combinatorial definition of Hurwitz numbers  $h_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}}$  and the algebro-geometric definitions  $\mathbf{h}_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{m-1}, \bar{\lambda}}$  are equivalent.*

## 6. ELSV-TYPE FORMULA

Given the description of the family of the cut-and-join equation, it is possible to reduce the problem of computation of the generating function  $\mathcal{H}$  to the well-known case of usual Hurwitz numbers. The operators  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_i$  commute for all  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ , so we can use the following strategy: first apply operators  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k$  to the initial condition and then try to relate the result of the action of  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$  on the resulting function to the studied cases.

**Proposition 6.1.**

$$e^{\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \beta_k \mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_k} e^{p_1^{(0)}} = \prod_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \exp(e^{\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha}} u_1^{(\alpha)})$$

*Proof.* It is enough to compute  $e^{\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha} E_{u^{(\alpha)}}} e^{u_1^{(\alpha)}}$ , the result will be obtained by the product of these expressions for all  $\alpha$ . Denote the coefficient  $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha}$  by  $\gamma_\alpha$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma_\alpha E_{u^{(\alpha)}}} e^{u_1^{(\alpha)}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \gamma_\alpha^k E_{u^{(\alpha)}}^k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} (u_1^{(\alpha)})^l \\ &= \sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! l!} \gamma_\alpha^k l^k (u_1^{(\alpha)})^l = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} (u_1^{(\alpha)})^l e^{\gamma_\alpha l} = \exp(e^{\gamma_\alpha} u_1^{(\alpha)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, consider the action of  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0$ . Notice, that the exponent  $e^{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{J}_0}$  splits in the product of commuting operators, each depending on  $u^{(\alpha)}$  with  $\alpha$  fixed only. So, the result can be naturally written down as a product.

**Proposition 6.2.** *The generating function*

$$e^{\beta_0 m \mathcal{C} \mathcal{J}_{u^{(\alpha)}}} \exp(e^{\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha}} u_1^{(\alpha)})$$

coincides with the generating function for the disconnected weighted usual simple Hurwitz numbers  $e^{G^{(\alpha)}}$ , where

$$G^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0, n \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}}} \frac{(m\beta_0)^{2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^n k_n} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_n \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha}} h_{g;k_1, \dots, k_n} u_{k_1}^{(\alpha)} \dots u_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}}{(2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^n k_n)! n!}.$$

*Proof.* Both functions satisfy the same evolution equation and the same initial condition. For the details see [26] and [3]  $\square$

Usual Hurwitz numbers are known to satisfy the ELSV-formula [7]: for any  $g \geq 0, k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\mathfrak{H}_{g;k_1, \dots, k_n} = (2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^n k_i)! \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i^{k_i}}{k_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \frac{\Lambda_{g,n}}{(1-k_1\psi_1) \dots (1-k_n\psi_n)},$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of genus  $g$  curves with  $n$  marked points,  $\Lambda_{g,n}$  is the total Chern class of the dual to the Hodge vector bundle, and  $\psi_i$  are the corresponding  $\psi$ -classes. Combining the formulae, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 6.3.** *The logarithm of the generating function  $\mathcal{H}$  in variables  $u^{(\alpha)}$  equals*

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{H} &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} G^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0, n \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}}} \frac{(m\beta_0)^{2g-2+n+\sum_{i=1}^n k_n} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_n \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \xi^{k\alpha}}}{n!} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i^{k_i}}{k_i!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \frac{\Lambda_{g,n}}{(1-k_1\psi_1) \dots (1-k_n\psi_n)} u_{k_1}^{(\alpha)} \dots u_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alexandrov, Guillaume Chapuy, B. Eynard, and J. Harnad. *Weighted Hurwitz numbers and topological recursion: an overview*. Journal of Mathematical Physics 59, no. 8 (2018).
- [2] Y. Burman, R. Fesler, Ribbon decomposition and twisted Hurwitz numbers *to appear in Mathematics Research Reports*
- [3] N. Do and M. Karev *Towards the topological recursion for double Hurwitz numbers*, Topological recursion and its influence in analysis, geometry, and topology, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 100, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018, math.GT/1811.05107, pp. 151–178
- [4] T. Douvropoulos, J. B. Lewis, and A. H. Morales. “*Hurwitz numbers for reflection groups I: Generatingfunctionology*”. In: Enumer. Combin. Appl. 2.3 (2022), S2R20.
- [5] T. Douvropoulos, J. B. Lewis, and A. H. Morales. *Hurwitz numbers for reflection groups II: Parabolic quasi-Coxeter elements*. Journal of Algebra, Volume 641, 2024, Pages 648–715
- [6] T. Douvropoulos, J. B. Lewis, and A. H. Morales. *Hurwitz numbers for reflection groups III: Uniform formulas* 2023. arXiv: 2308.04751 [math.CO].
- [7] Ekedahl, T. and Lando, S. and Shapiro, M. and Vainshtein, A., *Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves*. Inventiones mathematicae, 146 (2001), 297–327
- [8] R. Fesler *Hurwitz Numbers for the Reflection Groups  $B_n$  and  $D_n$* . Mathematical notes Vol. 114 No. 5 2023, math.CO/2302.05664 pp. 1075–1079.
- [9] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson. Transitive factorizations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), pp. 51–60.
- [10] I.P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak . Monotone Hurwitz Numbers in Genus Zero. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*. 2013;65(5):1020-1042.

- [11] Mathieu Guay-Paquet, J. Harnad; Generating functions for weighted Hurwitz numbers. *Math. Phys.* 1 August 2017; 58 (8): 083503.
- [12] A. Hurwitz, Ueber Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten, In: *Math. Ann.* 39.1 (1891), pp. 1–60
- [13] P. Johnson. Equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of one dimensional stacks, *ArXiv*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1068>
- [14] M. Kazarian. KP hierarchy for Hodge integrals, *Adv. Math.*, 221.1 (2009), pp. 1—21.
- [15] R. Kramer. KP hierarchy for Hurwitz-type cohomological field theories, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Preprint Series 2021 (42a), 9.10.2021.
- [16] M. Kazarian and S. Lando. An algebro-geometric proof of Witten's conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (March 2007), pp. 1079–1089
- [17] G. I. Lehrer and D. E. Taylor. *Unitary reflection groups*. Vol. 20. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. viii+294.
- [18] M.A. Lozhkin, Real Hurwitz Numbers, Graduation thesis, SPb University, under supervision of M. Karev.
- [19] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [20] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, and E. Date. *Solitons: Differential equations, symmetries and infinite dimensional algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 2000, in: Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 135.
- [21] A. Okounkov. Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers, *Math. Res. Letters* 7 (2000), pp. 447–453.
- [22] Polak E, Ross D. Polynomiality of factorizations in reflection groups. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*. 2023;75(1):245-266. doi:10.4153/S0008414X21000663
- [23] M. Sato and Y. Sato. Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold, in: North-Holland Math. Stud. U.S.-Japan seminar on nonlinear partial differential equations in applied science (Tokyo, July 1982). Vol. 81. Lecture Notes Numer. Appl. Anal. 5. 1983, pp. 259–271.
- [24] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd. “Finite unitary reflection groups”. In: *Canadian J. Math.* 6 (1954), pp. 274–304.
- [25] H. Zhang and J. Zhou. *Wreath Hurwitz numbers, colored cut-and-join equations, and 2-Toda hierarchy*. *Sci. China Math.* 55, 1627–1646 (2012)
- [26] S. Zhu, *On the recursion formula for double Hurwitz numbers*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140 (2012), no. 11, 3749–3760.

R. F.: LEONARD EULER INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE IN ST.PETERSBURG,  
RUSSIA

*Email address:* raphael.fesler@gmail.com

D. G.: UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

*Email address:* denis.gorod@gmail.com

M. K.: GUANGDONG-TECHNION ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 241 DAXUE ROAD,  
SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, CHINA

*Email address:* maksim.karev@gtiit.edu.cn