

Characterization of Chordal Circular-arc Graphs: I. Split Graphs

Yixin Cao*

Jan Derbisz†

Tomasz Krawczyk‡

Abstract

The most elusive problem around the class of circular-arc graphs is identifying all minimal graphs that are not in this class. The main obstacle is the lack of a systematic way of enumerating these minimal graphs. McConnell [FOCS 2001] presented a transformation from circular-arc graphs to interval graphs with certain patterns of representations. We fully characterize these interval patterns for circular-arc graphs that are split graphs, thereby building a connection between minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs and minimal non-interval graphs. This connection enables us to identify all minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. As a byproduct, we develop a linear-time certifying recognition algorithm of circular-arc graphs when the input is a split graph.

1 Introduction

A graph is a *circular-arc graph* if its vertices can be assigned to arcs on a circle such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if their corresponding arcs intersect. Such a set of arcs is called a *circular-arc model* for this graph (Figure 1). If we replace the circle with the real line and arcs with intervals, we end with interval graphs. All interval graphs are circular-arc graphs. Both graph classes are by definition *hereditary*, i.e., closed under taking induced subgraphs.




Figure 1: A circular-arc graph and its two circular-arc models. In (b), any two arcs for vertices $\{2, 4, 6\}$ cover the circle; in (c), the three arcs for vertices $\{2, 4, 6\}$ do not share any common point.

While both classes have been intensively studied, there is a huge gap between our understanding of them. One fundamental combinatorial problem on a hereditary graph class is its characterization by *forbidden induced subgraphs*, i.e., minimal graphs that are not in the class. For example, the forbidden induced subgraphs of interval graphs are holes (induced cycles of length

*Department of Computing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China. yixin.cao@polyu.edu.hk. This work was done while Y.C. was visiting the Jagiellonian University.

†Theoretical Computer Science Department, Institute of Computer Science, Jagiellonian University.

‡Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland. tomasz.krawczyk@pw.edu.pl.

at least four) and those in Figure 2 [9]. The same problem on circular-arc graphs, however, has been open for sixty years [5, 8].




Figure 2: Minimal chordal graphs that are not interval graphs.

Theorem 1.1 ([9]). *A graph G is an interval graph if and only if it does not contain any hole or any graph in Figure 2 as an induced subgraph.*

It is already very complicated to characterize chordal circular-arc graphs by forbidden induced subgraphs. *Chordal graphs*, graphs in which all induced cycles are triangles, are another superclass of interval graphs. Bonomo et al. [1] characterized chordal circular-arc graphs that are claw-free. Through generalizing Lekkerkerker and Boland’s [9] structural characterization of interval graphs, Francis et al. [3] defined a forbidden structure of circular-arc graphs. This observation enables them to characterize chordal circular-arc graphs with independence number at most four. As we will see, however, most minimal chordal graphs that are not circular-arc graphs contain claw, and their independence numbers can be arbitrarily large.

McConnell [11] presented an algorithm that recognizes circular-arc graphs by transforming them into interval graphs. Let G be a circular-arc graph and \mathcal{A} a fixed arc model of G . If we *flip* all arcs—replace arc $[lp, rp]$ with arc $[rp, lp]$ —containing a certain point in \mathcal{A} , we end with an interval model \mathcal{J} . In Figure 1b, for example, if we flip arcs 2, 4, and 6, all containing the clockwise endpoint of the arc 4, we end with a \dagger graph of six vertices. A crucial observation of McConnell is that the resulting interval graph is decided by the set of vertices whose arcs are flipped and not by the original circular-arc models. For a suitable clique K , all normalized (definition deferred to the next section) circular-arc models of G lead to the same interval graph [11]. It thus makes sense to denote it as G^K . He presented an algorithm to find a suitable set K and construct the graph directly from G , without a circular-arc model. As we will see, the construction is very simple when G is chordal. In particular, the closed neighborhood of every simplicial vertex can be used as the clique K [6].

However, G^K being an interval graph does not imply that G is a circular-arc graph. The graph G is a circular-arc graph if and only if there is a clique K such that the graph G^K

admits an interval model in which for every pair of vertices $v \in K$ and $u \in V(G) \setminus K$, the interval for v contains the interval for u if and only if they are not adjacent in G . (#)

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a chordal graph. The following are equivalent.*

- i) *The graph G is a circular-arc graph.*
- ii) *For every simplicial vertex s , the graph $G^{N[s]}$ satisfies (#).*
- iii) *There exists a clique K such that the graph G^K satisfies (#).*

We will use Theorem 1.2 to derive a full characterization of minimal chordal graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. In the present paper, we focus on a subclass of chordal graphs. As it




Figure 3: The complements of k -suns, for $k = 3, 4, 5$.

turns out, many minimal chordal graphs that are not circular-arc graphs are in this subclass. The rest will be left to a sequel paper.

To describe our results, we need to mention an important subclass of circular-arc graphs. A graph is a *Helly circular-arc graph* if it admits a *Helly circular-arc model*, where the arcs for every maximal clique have a shared point. In Figure 1, e.g., the first model is Helly, and the second is not. All interval models are Helly, and hence all interval graphs are Helly circular-arc graphs. The characterization of Helly circular-arc graphs by forbidden induced subgraphs is also unknown, even restricted to chordal graphs. We do know all minimal chordal circular-arc graphs that are not Helly circular-arc graphs. For $k \geq 2$, the k -sun, denoted as S_k , is the graph obtained from the cycle of length $2k$ by adding all edges among the even-numbered vertices to make them a clique. For example, S_3 and S_4 are depicted in Figures 1a and 3b (note that the complement of S_4 is isomorphic to S_4). Joeris et al. [7] proved that a chordal circular-arc graph is a Helly circular-arc graph if and only if it does not contain an induced copy of the complement of any k -sun, $k \geq 3$. Interestingly, these forbidden induced subgraphs are all *split graphs*, whose vertex sets can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set.¹ The striking simplicity of split graphs may lead one to consider them as the “simplest chordal graphs.” However, Spinrad [13] observed that “*split graphs ... often seem to be at the core of algorithms and proofs of difficulty for chordal graphs.*” Indeed, most forbidden induced subgraphs of the class of chordal circular-arc graphs are split graphs.




Figure 4: The Venn diagram of the four graph classes. The blue and red areas consists of *minimal* forbidden induced subgraphs of the class of Helly circular-arc graphs and the class of circular-arc graphs, respectively.

We use the Venn diagram in Figure 4 to illustrate the relationship of the four classes. Regions

¹For $k \geq 3$, the complement of S_k can be obtained by removing a Hamiltonian cycle from the complete split graph with k vertices on either side, in which all the edges between the clique and the independent set are present.

1, 2, and 4 together are the minimal chordal graphs that are not Helly circular-arc graphs, while regions 2–5 together are the minimal chordal graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. The corresponding ones for split graphs are regions 1 and 2 and, respectively, regions 2 and 3. Note that every graph in regions 3 and 5 contains a graph in region 1 as an induced subgraph. As said, only region 1 has been fully understood [7].




Figure 5: Minimal split graphs that are not interval graphs.

In the present paper, we focus on split graphs, i.e., regions 1–3. Since each graph in them is not a Helly circular-arc graph, it is not an interval graph. Thus, it contains a graph in Figure 2. Only three of them are split graphs, which are reproduced and named in Figure 5. The *net* is a \dagger graph with six vertices, the *sun* (i.e., 3-sun) and the *rising sun* are \ddagger graphs with six and seven vertices, respectively. They are all circular-arc graphs. We leave it to the reader to verify that the sun and the rising sun are Helly circular-arc graphs while the net is not. Indeed, the net is the complement of the sun, hence in region 1. Note that an \overline{S}_4 contains an induced rising sun, and an \overline{S}_i , $i \geq 5$, contains an induced sun.

For split graphs, Theorem 1.2 can be simplified.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a split graph with a split partition $K \uplus S$.*

- G is a circular-arc graph if and only if there exists $s \in S$ such that $G^{N[s]}$ admits an interval model in which no interval for a vertex in $N(s)$ contains an interval for a vertex in $K \setminus N(s)$.
- G is a Helly circular-arc graph if and only if G^K is an interval graph.




Figure 6: Minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. In (c–e), the square node can be from K or S .

Theorem 1.3 enables us to fully characterize regions 2 and 3. For a split graph G with a unique split partition $K \uplus S$, we use G^+ to denote the graph obtained from G by adding a vertex adjacent to all the vertices in K . Note that $\overline{S}_k^+ = (\overline{S}_k)^+$, and the first three of them are shown as Figure 6(c–e). The definitions of the families S_k^1 and S_k^2 are deferred to Section 3, and the first three of each family are shown in Figure 7.

Theorem 1.4. *Region 2 comprises the graph in Figure 7a, the graph in Figure 7b, and S_k^1 , S_k^2 , $k \geq 2$. Region 3 comprises the graph in Figure 6a, the graph in Figure 6b, and \overline{S}_k^+ , $k \geq 3$.*




Figure 7: Minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. The graph G^K , where K comprises the solid nodes, are (a) long claw, (b) whipping top, (c–e) † graphs on six, seven, and eight vertices, and (f–h) ‡ graphs on seven, eight, and nine vertices. For (c–h), inside the ellipse is a subgraph of \overline{S}_k , $k = 2, 3, 4$, obtained by removing one simplicial vertex.

2 Preliminaries

All graphs discussed in this paper are finite and simple. The vertex set and edge set of a graph G are denoted by, respectively, $V(G)$ and $E(G)$. For a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[U]$ the subgraph of G induced by U , and by $G - U$ the subgraph $G[V(G) \setminus U]$, which is shortened to $G - v$ when $U = \{v\}$. The *neighborhood* of a vertex v , denoted by $N_G(v)$, comprises vertices adjacent to v , i.e., $N_G(v) = \{u \mid uv \in E(G)\}$, and the *closed neighborhood* of v is $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. The *closed neighborhood* and the *neighborhood* of a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ of vertices are defined as $N_G[X] = \bigcup_{v \in X} N_G[v]$ and $N_G(X) = N_G[X] \setminus X$, respectively. We may drop the subscript if the graph is clear from the context.

A *clique* is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices, and an *independent set* is a set of vertices that are pairwise nonadjacent. We say that a vertex v is *simplicial* if $N[v]$ is a clique; such a clique is necessarily maximal. For $\ell \geq 3$, we use C_ℓ to denote an induced cycle on ℓ vertices; it is called an ℓ -*hole* if $\ell \geq 4$. For a graph G , we use G^* to denote the graph obtained from G by adding an isolated vertex. The *complement graph* \overline{G} of a graph G is defined on the same vertex set $V(G)$, where a pair of distinct vertices u and v is adjacent in \overline{G} if and only if $uv \notin E(G)$.

For a chordal graph G and a (not necessarily maximal) clique K of G , we define an *auxiliary graph* G^K with the vertex set $V(G)$. The edges among vertices in $V(G) \setminus K$ are the same as in G . A pair of vertices $u, v \in K$ are adjacent in G^K if there exists a vertex adjacent to neither of them, i.e., $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v) \neq V(G)$. A pair of vertices $u \in K$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus K$ are adjacent in G^K if $N_G[v] \not\subseteq N_G[u]$. Two quick remarks on the conditions are in order. First, note that $N(u) \cup N(v) = V(G)$ if and only if $N[u] \cup N[v] = V(G)$ and $uv \in E(G)$. Second, $N_G[v] \not\subseteq N_G[u]$ if and only if either they are not adjacent, or there exists a vertex adjacent to v but not u in G .

A *circular-arc graph* is the intersection graph of a set of arcs on a circle. The set of arcs, together with the circle, constitutes a *circular-arc model* of this graph. A circular-arc model is *normalized* if the following hold for every pair of adjacent vertices v_1 and v_2 .

- The arc $A(v_1)$ contains the arc $A(v_2)$ whenever $N[v_2] \subseteq N[v_1]$.
- The arcs $A(v_1)$ and $A(v_2)$ cover the circle whenever $N(v_1) \cup N(v_2) = V(G)$ and v_1v_2 is not an edge of a C_4 .

It is known that a circular-arc graph admits a normalized circular-arc model if and only if it does not have any universal vertex [12, 6]. Since a chordal graph has no holes, for the second condition, it suffices to check whether $N(v_1) \cup N(v_2) = V(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a chordal circular-arc graph, and K a clique. If there is a normalized circular-arc model of G in which a point is covered by and only by arcs for vertices in K , then the graph G^K satisfies (#).*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a normalized circular-arc model of G in which a point is covered by and only by arcs for vertices in K , and two vertices x, y share an endpoint if and only if $N[x] = N[y]$ (then the definition of normalized models forces x and y to have the same arc). Denote by $lp(x)$ and $rp(x)$, respectively, the counterclockwise and clockwise endpoints of the arc $A(x)$. We produce an interval model by setting

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} [rp(x), lp(x)] & \text{if } x \in K, \\ [lp(x), rp(x)] & \text{if } x \in V(G) \setminus K. \end{cases}$$

We show that a pair of vertices x and y are adjacent in G^K if and only if $I(x) \cap I(y) \neq \emptyset$, and when $x \in K$ and $y \in V(G) \setminus K$,

$$I(y) \subseteq I(x) \Leftrightarrow xy \notin E(G).$$

First, if both x and y are in $V(G) \setminus K$, then $I(x) \cap I(y) = A(x) \cap A(y)$, which is empty if and only if $xy \notin E(G)$ and $xy \notin E(G^K)$.

Second, suppose that $x, y \in K$. Note that $xy \in E(G^K)$ if and only if $N[x] \cup N[y] \neq V(G)$. If $N[x] \cup N[y] = V(G)$, then $A(x)$ and $A(y)$ cover the circle, and hence $I(x)$ and $I(y)$ are disjoint. Otherwise, there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \setminus K$ that is adjacent to neither of them in G , and $I(z) = A(z) \subseteq I(x) \cap I(y)$.

Finally, suppose that $x \in K$ and $y \in V(G) \setminus K$. If $xy \notin E(G)$, then $I(y) \subset I(x)$ and $xy \in E(G^K)$. In the rest, $xy \in E(G)$. Since $A(x) \cap A(y) \neq \emptyset$ and their endpoints are distinct, $I(y) \not\subset I(x)$. Note that $xy \in E(G^K)$ if and only if there exists a vertex $z \in N_G(y) \setminus N_G(x)$. If such a z exists, then $I(y) \cap I(z) \subseteq I(z) \subset I(x)$, and hence $I(x) \cap I(y) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, $N_G[y] \subset N_G[x]$. Since the model \mathcal{A} is normalized, $A(y) \subseteq A(x)$, which means that $I(x) \cap I(y) = \emptyset$. \square

A trivial choice for the clique K required by Lemma 2.1 is the closed neighborhood of a simplicial vertex. The following is not restricted to chordal graphs, but a chordal graph guarantees the existence of simplicial vertices. In general, it is rather challenging to locate such a clique, which is step 2 of McConnell's algorithm [11, Section 8].

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a circular-arc graph, and let s be a simplicial vertex of G . In any normalized model of G , there is a point covered by and only by arcs for $N[s]$.*

Proof. Let x be a neighbor of s . By definition, $A(s) \subseteq A(x)$ because $N[s] \subseteq N[x]$. Thus, any point in $A(s)$ is covered precisely by the arcs for $N[s]$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a chordal graph, K a clique, and x a simplicial vertex of G . If $x \notin K$, then it is also simplicial in G^K .*

Proof. By construction, $N_{G^K}(x) \setminus K = N_G(x) \setminus K$, and it is a clique of G^K . On the other hand, $N_{G^K}(x) \cap K = K \setminus N_G(x)$. By construction, any two vertices in $N_{G^K}(x) \setminus K$ and $N_{G^K}(x) \cap K$ are adjacent and any two vertices in $N_{G^K}(x) \cap K$ are adjacent. Thus, x is simplicial in G^K . \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The implication from (i) to (ii) follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Since G contains at least one simplicial vertex s , and $N_G[s]$ is a clique, (ii) implies (iii). In the rest, we show (iii) implies (i). Suppose that $\mathcal{J} = \{[lp(x), rp(x)] \mid x \in V(G)\}$ is an interval model of G^K specified in (#). We may assume that all the endpoints in \mathcal{J} are positive, and hence no interval contains the point 0. We claim that the following arcs on a circle of length $\ell + 1$, where ℓ denotes the maximum of the $2n$ endpoints in \mathcal{J} , gives a circular-arc model of G :

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} [rp(x), lp(x)] & \text{if } x \in K, \\ [lp(x), rp(x)] & \text{if } x \in V(G) \setminus K. \end{cases}$$

All the arcs for vertices in K intersect because they cover the point 0. On the other hand, note that $G^K - K = G - K$, while $I(x) = A(x)$ for all $x \in V(G) \setminus K$. For a pair of vertices $x \in K$ and $y \in V(G) \setminus K$, by assumption, $xy \notin E(G)$ if and only if $I(y) \subset I(x)$, which is equivalent to $A(x) \cap A(y) \neq \emptyset$. \square

A graph is a *split graph* if its vertex set can be partitioned into a clique K and an independent set S . We use $K \uplus S$ to denote this partition, called a *split partition*. A k -sun has a unique split partition, and so is its complement, but the complete graph on n vertices has $n + 1$ split partitions. We say that a split graph is *ambiguous* if there are at least two different split partitions.

Lemma 2.4. *A split graph G is ambiguous if and only if every maximal clique of G contains a simplicial vertex.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a maximal clique K containing no simplicial vertices. Let $K' \uplus S'$ be a split partition of G . Since each vertex in S' is simplicial, $K \subseteq K'$. The maximality of K implies $K = K'$. Thus, G is not ambiguous. Now suppose that G is unambiguous, and let $K \uplus S$ be its unique split partition. If K is a proper subset of another clique K' , then $K' \uplus (V(G) \setminus K')$ is a different split partition. If any vertex v in K is simplicial, then v has at most one neighbor in S . Moreover, if $x \in N(v) \cap S$, then $K \subseteq N(x)$. In either case, we can find a different split partition, a contradiction. Thus, K is a maximal clique containing no simplicial vertices. \square

In an ambiguous split graph G , every maximal clique is $N[s]$ for some simplicial vertex s . Thus, if G is a circular-arc graph, it has to be a Helly circular-arc graph by Lemma 2.2. In other words, if a split graph G is a circular-arc graph but not a Helly circular-arc graph, then it must be unambiguous.

Theorem 2.5. *An ambiguous split graph is a Helly circular-arc graph if and only if it is a circular-arc graph.*

Proof. The necessity is trivial, while the sufficiency follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.2. \square

3 Split graphs that are not Helly circular-arc graphs

Let G be a split graph, and $K \uplus S$ a split partition of G . The graph G^K has a very simple structure: S remains an independent set; a pair of vertices $v \in K$ and $x \in S$ are adjacent in G^K if and only if they are not adjacent in G ; and a pair of vertices $u, v \in K$ are adjacent in G^K if and only

if $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v) \neq V(G)$, i.e., there exists a vertex adjacent to neither of them. If G^K is an interval graph, then it satisfies (\sharp) by Proposition 2.3. For a split graph that is a Helly circular-arc graph, we have thus a simpler statement than Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.1. *A split graph G is a Helly circular-arc graph if and only if G^K is an interval graph.*

Proof. The necessity follows from Lemma 2.1. If K is maximal, then in any normalized circular-arc model of G , there is a point corresponding to K . Otherwise, there is a vertex $x \in S$ such that $K \subseteq N(x)$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a point covered by and only by arcs for $K \cup \{x\}$. We can take a point immediately after the right endpoint of the arc for x . For sufficiency, we may assume without loss of generality that there is no universal vertex (note that a universal vertex of G is isolated in G^K). We create a new graph G' by adding a vertex s to G and making it adjacent to K . The vertex s is universal in $(G')^{K \cup \{s\}}$, and $(G')^{K \cup \{s\}} - s$ is isomorphic to G^K . Thus, $(G')^{K \cup \{s\}}$ is an interval graph. In $(G')^{K \cup \{s\}}$, all vertices in S are simplicial (Proposition 2.3), and two vertices $v \in K$ and $u \in V(G) \setminus K$ are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G . Thus, any interval model of $(G')^{K \cup \{s\}}$ vacuously satisfies (\sharp) , and G' is a circular-arc graph by Theorem 1.2. Since G' is ambiguous, it is a Helly circular-arc graph by Theorem 2.5. Then G is a Helly circular-arc graph because it is an induced subgraph of G' . \square

Throughout this section, we may assume without loss of generality that there are no universal vertices in G . Note that for any pair of vertices $u, v \in K$ that are adjacent in G^K , the vertices in $V(G) \setminus (N_G(u) \cup N_G(v))$ can be viewed as “witnesses” of the edge uv ; they must be from S . We can generalize this observation to any clique X of $G^K[K]$. A vertex $w \in S$ is a *witness* of X if w is adjacent to all the vertices in X in G^K , i.e., $X \subseteq V(G) \setminus N_G(w)$. The clique X is then *witnessed*.

Proposition 3.2. *If a clique of $G^K[K]$ is not witnessed, then G^K contains an induced sun of which all the degree-two vertices are from S .*

Proof. Suppose that K' is a smallest unwitnessed clique of $G^K[K]$. Note that $|K'| > 2$: by assumption, G does not contain a universal vertex; a clique of order two is an edge and hence has a witness by construction. We take three vertices v_1, v_2 , and v_3 from K' . By the selection of K' , for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, the clique $K' \setminus \{v_i\}$ has a witness $x_i \in S$. Since x_i is not a witness of K' , it cannot be adjacent to v_i in G^K . Then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ induces a sun in G^K . \square

As a result, if G^K is not an interval graph, then it contains a minimal non-interval graph of which all simplicial vertices are from S . We say an induced subgraph of G^K is *witnessed* if every maximal clique of this subgraph disjoint from S is witnessed. Note that all holes of G^K are trivially witnessed because they do not have any clique of order three.

Proposition 3.3. *If G^K contains a witnessed minimal non-interval induced subgraph F , then it contains an induced and witnessed subgraph isomorphic to F all simplicial vertices of which are from S .*

Proof. We are done if all simplicial vertices of F are from S . Suppose that a simplicial vertex v of F is from K . A check of Figure 2 convinces us that no vertex in $N_F(v)$ can be simplicial in G^K . Thus, $N_F(v) \subseteq K$ by Proposition 2.3. If $v \in K$, there is a witness w of $N_F[v]$ by assumption. By Proposition 2.3, the vertex w has no other neighbor in F . Thus, replacing v with w in F leads to an isomorphic graph, which remains witnessed. In a similar way we can replace other simplicial vertices of F , leading to a claimed subgraph. \square

For $k \geq 2$, we define the gadget D_k as a subgraph of \overline{S}_i obtained by removing one simplicial vertex. An example is illustrated in Figure 8, where the simplicial vertex adjacent to v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}




Figure 8: The gadget. Edges among vertices in the shadowed area, $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, are omitted for clarity.

is removed. The gadget D_k consists of $2k - 1$ vertices. The vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ is a clique, while $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}$ is an independent set. The vertex $w_i, i = 1, \dots, k - 1$, is adjacent to $\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}$ and $\{v_{i+2}, \dots, v_k\}$. For $k \geq 2$, we define the graph S_k^1 as follows. We take a sun and the gadget D_k , identify two degree-four vertices of the sun, chosen arbitrarily, and v_1 and v_k , and add all edges between $\{v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ and other vertices in the sun. The graph S_k^2 is defined similarly. We take a rising sun and a gadget, identify the degree-five vertices of the rising sun and v_1 and v_k , and add all edges between $\{v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ and other vertices in the rising sun. The graphs S_k^1 and S_k^2 for $k = 2, 3, 4$ are shown in Figure 7(c-e) and Figure 7(f-h), respectively. We leave it to the reader to verify that none of them is a circular-arc graph.²

We are now ready to list minimal split graphs that are not Helly circular-arc graphs. For the convenience of later references, we list the correspondence between them and minimal non-interval subgraphs of G^K .

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a split graph with a split partition $K \uplus S$.*

- G^K contains an induced sun if and only if G contains an induced \overline{S}_3 .
- G^K contains an induced $C_\ell, \ell \geq 4$, if and only if G contains an induced \overline{S}_ℓ .
- G^K contains an induced and witnessed long claw if and only if G contains an induced Figure 7a.
- G^K contains an induced and witnessed whipping top if and only if G contains an induced Figure 7b.
- G^K contains an induced and witnessed \dagger graph with k vertices if and only if G contains an induced S_{k-4}^1 .
- G^K contains an induced and witnessed \ddagger graph with k vertices, with $k \geq 7$, if and only if G contains an induced S_{k-5}^2 .

Proof. Let F be the vertex set of this subgraph of G^K . We use the labels in Figure 2 when $H[F]$ is chordal.

Sun. We may assume that all simplicial vertices of $H[F]$ are from S ; otherwise, we can find an alternative induced sun with this property using Proposition 3.2 or 3.3. The only neighbors of x_1, x_2 , and x_3 in $G[F]$ are u_2, v_1 , and u_1 , respectively. Thus, $G[F]$ is isomorphic to a net.

²To verify that all proper induced subgraphs of $S_k^1, S_k^2, k \geq 2$ are Helly circular-arc graphs, one may draw circular-arc models for each of them. An alternative approach is to use Theorem 3.1. If $G = S_k^1$, then the graph G^K consists of the \dagger graph of order $k + 4$, and w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} , where w_i is adjacent to u_0, v_i , and v_{i+1} . The graph $(G - w_i)^K$ is isomorphic to the subgraph of $(G)^K - w_i$ with the edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ removed, while $(G - v)^{K \setminus \{v\}}$ for any v in the \dagger graph is isomorphic to the subgraph of $(G)^K - v$. Both are interval graphs, and thus all proper subgraphs of G are Helly circular-arc graphs. It is similar for S_k^2 .

Hole. Let it be denoted as $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_\ell$. For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we take a witness x_i for edge $v_i v_{(i+1) \bmod \ell}$. In G , we have $N(x_i) \cap F = F \setminus \{v_i, v_{(i+1) \bmod \ell}\}$. The subgraph $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell, x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}]$ is isomorphic to $\overline{S_\ell}$.

In the rest, $G^K[F]$ is witnessed. We may assume that all simplicial vertices of $H[F]$ are from S ; otherwise, we can find an alternative induced sun with this property using Proposition 3.3.

Long claw. For $i = 1, \dots, 3$, take a witness w_i for edge $v_0 v_i$. Then $G[F \cup \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}]$ is isomorphic to Figure 7a, where the degrees of x_i and w_i are three and two, respectively.

Whipping top. We take a witness w_1 of $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$ and a witness w_2 of $\{v_0, v_2, v_3\}$. Then $G[F \cup \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}]$ is isomorphic to Figure 7b, where w_1 and w_2 have degree one, x_1 and x_3 have degree two, while x_2 has degree three.

† graph. For $i = 1, \dots, p$, where $p = k - 4$, take a witness w_i of $\{u_0, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. In G , the set $\{u_0, v_1, v_p, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ induces a sun, while $\{v_1, \dots, v_p, w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}\}$ induces the gadget D_{p-1} . Thus, $G[F \cup \{w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}\}]$ is isomorphic to S_{k-4}^1 .

The ‡ graph is similar. □

Lemma 3.4 implies the main result of this section, i.e., the first part of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.5. *A split graph G is a Helly circular-arc graph if and only if it does not contain any induced copy of Figure 7a, Figure 7b, S_k^1 , S_k^2 , or $\overline{S_{k+1}}$, $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. Since none of the listed graphs is a Helly circular-arc graph, the necessity is straightforward. For sufficiency, we show that if G not a Helly circular-arc graph, then it contains one of the list graphs as an induced subgraph. By Theorem 3.1, G^K is not an interval graph, and it contains an induced non-interval subgraph F . If G^K contains an induced sun, then G contains $\overline{S_3}$. Otherwise, F is witnessed by Proposition 3.2, and the statement follows from Lemma 3.4. □

4 Split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs

Let G be a split graph with no universal vertices, and $K \uplus S$ a split partition of G . We may assume that there are no *true twins*, two vertices x and y with the same closed neighborhood. If there are two true twins, then G is a circular-arc graph if and only if $G - y$ is a circular-arc graph. We fix a simplicial vertex s of G and we use H to denote $G^{N[s]}$. We further partition K into

$$K_s = N_G(s) \text{ and } K_o = K \setminus N_G(s).$$

The structure of H can be summarized as follows. The edges among vertices in $V(G) \setminus N_G[s]$ are the same as in G ; in particular, $S \setminus \{s\}$ remains an independent set, and K_o remains a clique. A pair of vertices $v \in K_s$ and $x \in S \setminus \{s\}$ are adjacent in H if and only if they are not adjacent in G . A pair of vertices $u, v \in K_s$ are adjacent in H if and only if there exists a vertex adjacent to neither of them, i.e., $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v) \neq V(G)$. A pair of vertices $u \in K_s$ and $v \in K_o$ are adjacent in H if and only if there exists a vertex adjacent to v but not u in G . Note that these witnesses must be from S , and we do not need a witness for an edge between two vertices in K_o .

4.1 Forbidden configurations

Unlike Theorem 3.1, H being an interval graph is insufficient for G to be a circular-arc graph. For example, when G is a net* and s is a degree-one vertex of G , the graph H is an interval graph, consisting of the graph in Figure 9b and a universal vertex. To satisfy condition (#), H cannot contain any graph in Figure 9. All these graphs are proper subgraphs of minimal non-interval graphs (Figure 2), and in each of them, there are two or more vertices earmarked from K_s




Figure 9: Forbidden configurations of H that are interval graphs. The square and rhombus nodes are from K_s and K_o , respectively, while round nodes are not specified. A dashed line indicates a path of an arbitrary length. There are at least six vertices in (f).

and K_o . We say that H contains an annotated copy of a graph F if there exists an isomorphism between F and an induced subgraph of H that preserves the vertex partition; i.e., a vertex of K_s or K_o must be mapped to a vertex in the same set.

Proposition 4.1. *If H contains an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9, then it does not satisfy condition (#).*

Proof. In any interval model of Figure 9a, at least one of the intervals for v_1 and v_2 properly contains the interval for u . In any interval model of Figure 9b, the interval for v properly contains at least one of the intervals for u_1 and u_2 . In any interval model of the other graphs in Figure 9, the interval for v properly contains the interval for u . \square

The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving the other direction of Proposition 4.1; i.e., the exclusion of the graphs in Figure 9 ensures condition (#). Condition (#) is better understood through clique paths of the graph H . Let us take an arbitrary interval model of H . If for each of n (not necessarily distinct) left endpoints of the n intervals, we take the set of vertices whose intervals contain this point, then we end with n cliques. We leave it to the reader to verify that they include all the maximal cliques of H . If we list the distinct maximal cliques from left to right, sorted by the endpoints that we use to define these cliques, then we can see that for any $v \in V(G)$, the maximal cliques of H containing v appear consecutively. We say that such a linear arrangement of maximal cliques is a *clique path* of H . On the other hand, given a clique path $\langle K_1, K_2, \dots, K_\ell \rangle$ for an interval graph G with ℓ maximal cliques, for each vertex v we can define an interval $[\text{lk}(v), \text{rk}(v)]$, where $\text{lk}(v)$ and $\text{rk}(v)$ are the indices of the first and, respectively, last maximal cliques containing v . One may easily see that they define an interval model for G ; see, e.g., Figure 10. Therefore, clique paths and interval models are interchangeable, and when we illustrate clique paths, we always use the way in Figure 10.

Condition (#) can be translated into the language of clique paths as: at least one of $\text{lk}(u) = \text{lk}(v)$ and $\text{rk}(u) = \text{rk}(v)$ for all pairs $v \in K_s$ and $u \in K_o$.

Proposition 4.2. *The graph H satisfies (#) if and only if there exists a clique path of H such that*

$$N_H(v) \cap K_o \subseteq K_{\text{lk}(v)} \cup K_{\text{rk}(v)} \text{ for every } v \in K_s. \quad (1)$$




Figure 10: An interval graph and its clique path represented as an interval model.

Proof. For the sufficiency, we show that H satisfies (#) with the following interval model:

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} [\text{lk}(x) - \frac{1}{3}, \text{rk}(x) + \frac{1}{3}] & \text{if } x \in K_o \cup \{s\}, \\ [\text{lk}(x), \text{rk}(x)] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The vertex s is universal, and $I(s)$ contains all other intervals. Now consider a pair of vertices $v \in K_s$ and $u \in N_H(v) \setminus K_s$. If $u \in S$, then $uv \notin E(G)$ and $I(u) \subset I(v)$ by Proposition 2.3. Otherwise, $uv \in E(G)$ and $I(u) \setminus I(v)$ contains at least one of $[\text{lk}(x) - \frac{1}{3}, \text{lk}(x)]$ and $[\text{rk}(x), \text{rk}(x) + \frac{1}{3}]$.

For necessity, suppose H satisfies (#). We use the clique path obtained from an interval model specified in (#). Let u be a vertex in $N_H(v) \cap K_o$. Since u and v are adjacent in G , it follows $I(u) \not\subseteq I(v)$. If the left endpoint of u is not in $I(v)$, then $u \in K_{\text{lk}(v)}$. Otherwise, the right endpoint of u is not in $I(v)$, and $u \in K_{\text{rk}(v)}$. \square

We need to introduce a few definitions and recall known facts. A subset M of vertices forms a *module* of H if for any pair of vertices $u, v \in M$, a vertex $x \in V(H) \setminus M$ is adjacent to u if and only if it is adjacent to v as well; e.g., $\{x_1, u_1, u_2, x_2\}$ of the graph in Figure 9b. The set $V(H)$ and all singleton vertex sets are modules, called *trivial*. We say that a graph is *quasi-prime* if every nontrivial module is a clique.

Theorem 4.3 ([6, 2]). *An interval graph that is quasi-prime has a unique clique path, up to full reversal.*

The following is straightforward.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\langle K_1, \dots, K_\ell \rangle$ be a clique path of an interval graph H . If H is quasi-prime, then there cannot be distinct indices p, q with $[p, q] \subset [1, \ell]$ such that both $K_{p-1} \cap K_p \setminus K_q$ and $K_q \cap K_{q+1} \setminus K_p$ are empty, where $K_0 = K_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $K_{p-1} \cap K_p \setminus K_q$ and $K_q \cap K_{q+1} \setminus K_p$ are both empty, then

$$M = \bigcup_{i=p}^q K_i \setminus (K_p \cap K_q)$$

is a module of G . For every vertex $x \in M$, it holds $N(x) \setminus M = K_p \cap K_q$. This module is not a clique because it contains a vertex in $K_p \setminus K_{p+1} \subseteq K_p \setminus K_q$ and a vertex in $K_q \setminus K_{q-1} \subseteq K_q \setminus K_p$, which cannot be adjacent. \square

Finally, we need a result of Gimbel [4] on interval graphs.

Theorem 4.5 ([4]). *Let H be an interval graph, and v a vertex. There is a clique path of H in which v is in the first or last clique if and only if v is not the highlighted vertex of an induced subgraph in Figure 11.*




Figure 11: The solid node cannot be in an end clique in any clique path. A dashed line indicates a path of an arbitrary length.

We prove the main result in two steps. First, we deal with the case that H is quasi-prime.

Lemma 4.6. *Let H' be an induced subgraph of H that is quasi-prime. If H is an interval graph and does not contain an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9, then any clique path of H' satisfies condition (1).*

Proof. Let $\langle K_1, \dots, K_\ell \rangle$ be a clique path of H' . We show that if there are $u \in K_o$ and $v \in K_s$ such that

$$\text{lk}(v) < \text{lk}(u) \leq \text{rk}(u) < \text{rk}(v),$$

then H' contains an annotated copy of a graph in Figure 9. Since a clique path of H' is either $\langle K_1, \dots, K_\ell \rangle$ or its reversal (Theorem 4.3), u cannot be in an end clique in either of them. By Theorem 4.5, u is the highlighted vertex of an induced subgraph in Figure 11. If $\text{lk}(v) = 1$ and $\text{rk}(v) = \ell$, then H' contains an annotated copy of Figure 9g (when the induced subgraph is Figure 11c), or Figure 9c (when the induced subgraph is Figure 11b and the neighbor of u is in K_s) or Figure 9e (otherwise). Henceforth, we assume that v is not universal in H' .

For notational convenience, we introduce empty sets K_0 and $K_{\ell+1}$ as sentinels. Since H' is quasi-prime and v is not universal in H' , at least one of

$$\begin{aligned} L &= K_{\text{lk}(v)-1} \cap K_{\text{lk}(v)} \setminus K_{\text{rk}(v)}, \\ R &= K_{\text{rk}(v)} \cap K_{\text{rk}(v)+1} \setminus K_{\text{lk}(v)} \end{aligned}$$

is nonempty by Proposition 4.4. Note that $\text{lk}(v) > 1$ if $L \neq \emptyset$, and $\text{rk}(v) < \ell$ if $R \neq \emptyset$. Since K_i , $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, is a maximal clique of H' , neither $K_i \setminus K_{i+1}$ nor $K_i \setminus K_{i-1}$ can be empty.




Figure 12: Clique paths used in the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Case 1, $L \cup R$ is disjoint from $N(u)$. If neither L nor R is empty, then H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9c, where $x_1 \in K_{\text{lk}(v)-1} \setminus K_{\text{lk}(v)}$, $x_2 \in L$, and $x_3 \in R$, $x_4 \in K_{\text{rk}(v)+1} \setminus K_{\text{rk}(v)}$ (see Figure 12a). Hence, we assume without loss of generality that $L \neq \emptyset$ and $R = \emptyset$. We argue that H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9f. We take a vertex $x_0 \in K_{\text{rk}(v)} \setminus K_{\text{rk}(v)-1}$ and a vertex $x_1 \in K_{\text{lk}(v)-1} \setminus K_{\text{lk}(v)}$; see Figure 12b. By Proposition 4.4, $K_{i-1} \cap K_i \setminus K_{\text{rk}(v)} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i = \text{lk}(v), \dots, \text{lk}(u)$. Thus, x_1 and u are in the same component of $H' - K_{\text{rk}(v)}$. We find a shortest x_1 - u path in $H' - K_{\text{rk}(v)}$. Note that the length of this path is at least two (because $L \cap N(u) = \emptyset$), and its internal vertices are adjacent to v but not x_0 .

Case 2, u has at least one neighbor in $L \cup R$. We may assume without loss of generality that $L \cap N(u) \neq \emptyset$; it is symmetric if $R \cap N(u) \neq \emptyset$. If $L \not\subseteq N(u)$, then H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9d, where $x_1 \in K_{lk(v)-1} \setminus K_{lk(v)}$, $x_2 \in L \setminus N(u)$, $x_3 \in L \cap N(u)$, and $x_4 \in K_{rk(v)} \setminus K_{rk(v)-1}$ (see Figure 12c). Henceforth, L is a nonempty subset of $N(u)$.

Case 2.1, $L \not\subseteq K_{rk(u)}$. If $K_{rk(u)} \cap K_{rk(u)+1} \not\subseteq K_{rk(u)-1}$, then H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9e, where $x_1 \in K_{lk(u)-1} \setminus K_{lk(u)}$, $x_2 \in L \setminus K_{rk(u)}$, $x_3 \in K_{rk(u)} \cap K_{rk(u)+1} \setminus K_{rk(u)-1}$, and $x_4 \in K_{rk(u)+1} \setminus K_{rk(u)}$ (see Figure 12d). Otherwise, we find a vertex $x_1 \in K_{lk(v)-1} \setminus K_{lk(v)}$, a vertex $x_2 \in K_{lk(u)-1} \setminus K_{lk(u)}$, a vertex $x_3 \in K_{rk(u)} \setminus K_{rk(u)-1}$, and a vertex $x_4 \in K_{rk(v)} \setminus K_{rk(v)-1}$; see Figure 12e. Since $L \subseteq N(u)$, the vertex x_2 cannot be in $K_{lk(v)-1}$; since $K_{rk(u)} \cap K_{rk(u)+1} \setminus K_{rk(u)-1} = \emptyset$, the vertex x_3 cannot be in $K_{rk(u)+1}$. Note that $x_0 \in K$ by Proposition 2.3. Hence, H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9a if $x_0 \in K_s$, or Figure 9b otherwise, with vertex set $\{u, v, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_4\}$ and $\{u, v, x_0, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, respectively.

Case 2.2, $L \subseteq K_{rk(u)}$. We argue that H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9g. We take a vertex $x_1 \in K_{lk(v)} \setminus K_{lk(v)+1}$, a vertex x_2 from L such that $rk(x_2)$ is minimized, and a vertex $x_3 \in K_{rk(x_2)+1} \setminus K_{rk(x_2)}$. See Figure 12f. By Proposition 4.4, $K_i \cap K_{i+1} \setminus K_{lk(v)} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i = rk(u), rk(u) + 1, \dots, rk(x_2)$. Thus, u and x_3 are in the same component of $H' - K_{lk(v)}$. We find a shortest u - x_3 path in $H' - K_{lk(v)}$. Note that all internal vertices of this path, which is nontrivial because $x_3u \notin E(H')$, are adjacent to x_2 but not x_1 . \square

We are now ready for the general case.

Lemma 4.7. *A split graph G is a circular-arc graph if and only if H is an interval graph and does not contain an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9.*

Proof. The necessity is given in Proposition 4.1, and the proof is focused on the sufficiency. By Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 4.2, it suffices to construct a clique path of H satisfying condition (1). We take an induced subgraph H' of H by applying the following operations exhaustively (the application of one of them may re-enable the other). Initially, $H' = H$. Since K_o is a clique, $K_o \cap V(H')$ must reside in a single component of H' .

- If H' is not connected, remove components disjoint from K_o .
- Remove the universal vertices of H' that are from $V(G) \setminus K_s$.

Once we have a clique path for the reduced graph, we can extend it to a clique path of H' . For operation one, if the clique path of every component satisfies condition (1), then we can concatenate them into a clique path of H' satisfying condition (1). For operation two, once we have a clique path of the resulting graph that satisfies condition (1), we can add these universal vertices to each clique. Since these vertices are from $V(G) \setminus K_s$, condition (1) remains satisfied. In the rest, H' is connected since the first reduction is not applicable.

We take \mathcal{M} to be the maximal vertex sets M such that (a) $M \neq V(H')$; (b) no vertex in $H'[M]$ is universal; and (c) M is a module of H' . Note that M is not a clique by condition (b). We argue that the modules in \mathcal{M} are pairwise disjoint and nonadjacent. Suppose for contradiction that there are $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of \mathcal{M} (maximality), neither M_1 nor M_2 is a subset of the other. By definition of modules, $M_1 \cup M_2$ is a module of H' (any vertex in $V(H') \setminus (M_1 \cup M_2)$ adjacent to $(M_1 \setminus M_2) \cup (M_2 \setminus M_1)$ is adjacent to $M_1 \cap M_2$, and vice versa). By condition (b), no vertex in $H'[M_1 \cup M_2]$ can be universal. Thus, we must have $M_1 \cup M_2 = V(H')$: otherwise neither M_1 nor M_2 can be in \mathcal{M} because they are not maximal. Then by the definition of modules, all the three sets $M_1 \setminus M_2$, $M_2 \setminus M_1$, and $M_1 \cap M_2$ are modules of H' . Since H' is connected, the three sets are complete to each other. Since H' is an interval graph, at least two of them are cliques, but then both $H'[M_1]$ and $H'[M_2]$ have universal

vertices, a contradiction. If there is an edge between M_1 and M_2 , then they are complete to each other because they are modules. Since neither is a clique, there is a C_4 , a contradiction.

Let H'' denote the graph obtained by replacing each module M in \mathcal{M} with a single vertex x_M from this module. We choose x_M from $M \cap K_o$ if it is not empty. We argue by contradiction that H'' is quasi-prime. Suppose otherwise, then we can find a nontrivial module X of H'' such that $H''[X]$ does not have universal vertices. Then the vertex set

$$(X \cap V(H')) \cup \bigcup_{x_M \in X} M$$

should be in \mathcal{M} , contradicting the construction of H'' . Since H'' is an induced subgraph of H' , hence of H , it is an interval graph and does not contain an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9. By Lemma 4.6, H'' has a clique path \mathcal{K}' satisfying condition (1).

For each module $M \in \mathcal{M}$, since M is not a clique, $N(M)$ is a clique. Thus, the vertex x_M is simplicial in H'' . If M is disjoint from K_o , we take an arbitrary clique path of $H'[N[M]]$, and substitute it for the clique $N_{H''}[x_M]$ in \mathcal{K}' . Since M is disjoint from K_o , this will not violate condition (1). If K_o is disjoint from all the modules in \mathcal{M} , then we end with a clique path of H' and it satisfies condition (1).

Now suppose that there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $M \cap K_o \neq \emptyset$. Since K_o is a clique of H while modules in \mathcal{M} are pairwise disjoint and nonadjacent, M is the only one intersecting K_o . If $N_{H'}(M)$ is disjoint from K_s , then the clique path satisfies condition (1) as long as there exists a clique path of $H'[M]$ that satisfies condition (1). In this case, we may recursively consider $H'[M]$.

In the sequel, neither $M \cap K_o$ nor $N_{H'}(M) \cap K_s$ is empty. Since H' is an interval graph and M is not a clique, $N_{H'}(M)$ is a clique, and $N_{H'}[x] \subseteq N_{H'}[y]$ for each pair of $x \in M$ and $y \in N_{H'}(M)$. Let $\langle K'_1, \dots, K'_s \rangle$ be the clique path of H'' and $K'_i = N_{H''}[x_M]$. We note that if $1 < i < s$, then at least one of K'_{i-1} and K'_{i+1} is disjoint from $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$. Recall that we have selected x_M from K_o . Since the clique path satisfies condition (1), no vertex in $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$ is in both K'_{i-1} and K'_{i+1} . If there are $v_1 \in K_s \cap K'_{i-1}$ and $v_2 \in K_s \cap K'_{i+1}$, then H contains an induced copy of Figure 9a, where $x_1 \in K'_{i-1} \setminus K'_i$, $x_2 \in K'_{i+1} \setminus K'_i$, and $x_3 \in M \setminus N[x_M]$ (note that x_M is not universal in $H'[M]$).

For any two vertices v_1 and v_2 in $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$, one of $N_{H'}[v_1]$ and $N_{H'}[v_2]$ must be the subset of the other. Suppose that there are $x_1 \in N_{H'}[v_1] \setminus N_{H'}[v_2]$ and $x_2 \in N_{H'}[v_2] \setminus N_{H'}[v_1]$. Since H' is an interval graph, x_1 and x_2 are not adjacent. Neither of them is in $N_{H'}[M]$. But then $\{x_1, v_1, v_2, x_2, u, y\}$, where u is any vertex in $M \cap K_o$ and y is any vertex in $M \setminus N_{H'}[u]$ (note that u is not universal in $H'[M]$), makes an annotated copy of Figure 9a.

Case 1, there are two vertices u_1 and u_2 in $M \cap K_o$ such that $N_{H'}[u_1]$ and $N_{H'}[u_2]$ are incomparable. We argue that any clique path of H' satisfies the condition. Let $\langle K_1, \dots, K_\ell \rangle$ be an clique path of $N_{H'}[M]$. Assume without loss of generality that $\text{lk}(u_1) < \text{lk}(u_2)$, hence $\text{rk}(u_1) < \text{rk}(u_2)$. We take a vertex $x_1 \in K_{\text{lk}(u_1)} \setminus K_{\text{lk}(u_1)+1}$ and a vertex $x_2 \in K_{\text{rk}(u_2)} \setminus K_{\text{rk}(u_2)-1}$. Note that $x_1 u_1 u_2 x_2$ is an induced path. If $u_1 \notin K_1$, then $\{x_1, u_1, u_2, x_2, v, y\}$, where v is any vertex in $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$ and y is any vertex in $K_1 \setminus K_2$, induces an annotated copy of Figure 9e or 9b, depending on whether y is adjacent to x_1 . Thus, $u_1 \in K_1$, and $u_2 \in K_\ell$ by a symmetric argument. If another vertex $u \in M \cap K_o$ is in neither K_1 nor K_ℓ , then $\{x_1, u_1, u_2, x_2, u, y\}$, where y is any vertex in $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$, induces an annotated copy of Figure 9g. In summary, $M \cap K_o \subseteq K_1 \cup K_\ell$. On the other hand, since H' does not contain an annotated copy of Figure 9b, $N_{H'}[v] = N_{H'}[M]$ for all $v \in K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$. This concludes this case.

Case 2, for any two vertices u_1 and u_2 in $M \cap K_o$, one of $N_{H'}[u_1]$ and $N_{H'}[u_2]$ is a subset of the other. Let u be a vertex of $M \cap K_o$ with the minimum degree. Then $N_{H'}[u] \subseteq N_{H'}[u']$ for all $u' \in M \cap K_o$ by the assumption. Since H' does not contain any annotated copy of Figure 9c, 9e,

or 9g, u cannot be a filled vertex in Figure 11 in the subgraph $H'[M]$. By Theorem 4.5, there exists a clique path of $H'[M]$ in which u is in an end clique. By the selection of u , this end clique contains $M \cap K_o$.

Thus, in the clique path \mathcal{K}' of H' , there is a maximal clique that contains $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$ while its predecessor or successor is disjoint from $K_s \cap N_{H'}(M)$. We can combine the clique path of $H'[M]$ and \mathcal{K}' to produce a clique path of H' satisfying the condition. This concludes the proof. \square

By a *forbidden configuration* we mean a minimal non-interval graph (with all vertices unspecified) or a graph in Figure 9.

4.2 Forbidden induced subgraphs




Figure 13: Some constitutions of vertices of a sun. The square, rhombus, and circle nodes are from K_s , K_o , and S , respectively.

We may derive minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs in a similar way to Lemma 3.4. However, the situation is far more complicated than Section 3. The vertex set is now partitioned into three instead of two parts. Each forbidden configuration of H corresponds to several graphs in region 3. For example, there are more than ten partitions of the vertices of a sun, some of which are listed in Figure 13. Note that the first three graphs in Figure 13 are derived from graph $(\overline{S_3})^+$, with different choices of the vertex s . The following focuses us on the vertex set of a forbidden configuration and simplicial vertices.

Proposition 4.8. *Let F be the vertex set of a forbidden configuration of H . Then $G[F \cup S]$ is not a circular-arc graph.*

Proof. For each edge of H between $F \cap K_s$ and $F \cap K$, we take a witness. Let W denote the set of witnesses, and let G_0 denote the subgraph of G induced by $F \cup W \cup \{s\}$. (One edge may be witnessed by more than one vertex in W .) We argue that F induces the same subgraph in $G_0^{N[s]}$ as in H . By construction, the subgraph in $G_0^{N[s]}$, H , and G induced by $F \setminus K_s$ are the same. For two vertices $v \in F \cap K_s$ and $x \in F \cap S$ are adjacent in $G_0^{N[s]}$ and in H if and only if they are not adjacent in G . For each pair of adjacent vertices $u \in K_s \cap F$ and $v \in K \cap F$ in H , the selection of W ensures that they are adjacent in $G_0^{N[s]}$. On the other hand, if two vertices $u \in K_s \cap F$ and $v \in K \cap F$ are not adjacent in H , they cannot be adjacent in $G_0^{N[s]}$. Now that $G_0^{N[s]}$ contains an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration, G_0 is not a circular-arc graph by Lemma 4.7. Since the vertex set of G_0 is $F \cup W \cup \{s\}$, the statement follows. \square

To decrease the number of cases to consider, we need a few observations. First, we can assume that every vertex in S is adjacent to a proper and nonempty subset of $F \cap K$: we can reduce to a known case otherwise.

Lemma 4.9. *Let F be the vertex set of a forbidden configuration of H . If some vertex in S is adjacent to none or all the vertices in $F \cap K$ in G , then G contains an induced copy of net^* , a graph in region two, or $(\overline{S_k})^+$ for some $k \geq 3$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.8, $G[F \cup S]$ is not a circular-arc graph by Lemma 4.7. By Theorem 3.5, $G[F \cup S]$ contains an induced copy of some graph in regions 1 and 2. We are done if $G[F \cup S]$ contains a graph in region 2. In the rest, suppose that there exists $X \subseteq F \cup S$ such that $G[X]$ is isomorphic to $\overline{S_k}$ for some k . Let $x \in S$ be a vertex that is adjacent to none or all the vertices in $F \cap K$ in G . Note that $x \notin X$. If $X \cap K \subseteq F \cap K \subseteq N(x)$, then $G[X \cup \{x\}]$ is $(\overline{S_k})^+$ for some $k \geq 3$. Otherwise, $G[X \cup \{x\}]$ contains an induced net^* when $k \neq 4$, rising sun^* when $k = 4$, or sun^* when $k \geq 5$. \square

In particular, Lemma 4.9 covers the case when H contains a minimal non-interval graph that is disjoint from K_s or K_o ; we can use s as the special vertex required by Lemma 4.9. Since K_o is a clique and all vertices in S are simplicial in H , the subgraph can be disjoint from K_s only when it is a net, sun, or rising sun. On the other hand, every forbidden configuration in Figure 9 intersects both K_s and K_o by definition.

Corollary 4.10. *If H contains a minimal non-interval graph that is disjoint from K_o or K_s , then G contains an induced copy of net^* , a graph in region two, or $(\overline{S_k})^+$ for some $k \geq 3$.*

Second, we extend Proposition 3.2 to the new setting. Let $K' \subseteq K$ be a clique of H . A vertex $w \in S$ is a *witness* of K' if w is adjacent to all the vertices in K' in H ; i.e.,

$$K_o \cap K' \subseteq N_G(w) \subseteq V(G) \setminus (K_s \cap K').$$

The clique K' is then *witnessed*. Recall that every edge between K_s and K is witnessed, but edges among K_o do not need witnesses.

Proposition 4.11. *If $H[K]$ has an unwitnessed clique K' with $|K' \cap K_o| \leq 1$, then H contains a sun of which*

- i) *all the degree-two vertices are from S , and*
- ii) *at most one vertex is from K_o .*

Proof. Suppose that K' is a smallest unwitnessed clique of $H[K]$ such that $|K' \setminus K_s| \leq 1$ and $|K'| \geq 2$. By the construction of H , every edge among K_s and every edge between K_s and K_o have a witness. Thus, $|K'| > 2$. We take three vertices v_1, v_2 , and v_3 from K' . By the selection of K' , for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, the clique $K' \setminus \{v_i\}$ has a witness $x_i \in S$. Since x_i is not a witness of K' , it cannot be adjacent to v_i in H . Then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ induces a sun. \square

For suns, Lemma 4.9 and Corollary 4.10 allow us to ignore the first three in Figure 13. In (a), the vertex s is adjacent to all the three vertices from K ; in (b) and (c), the vertex x_1 is adjacent to none and all three vertices from K , respectively. Other possible configurations of the sun that are omitted from Figure 13 can be reduced to the ones listed there by further observations. We now derive the subgraphs of G corresponding to these configurations in Figure 13. Similar to Lemma 3.4, we do not need witnesses for suns.

Proposition 4.12. *If H contains an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 13, then G contains an induced $(\overline{S_3})^+$, sun^* , or the graph in Figure 6b.*

Proof. Let F denote the vertex set of the sun. If $H[F]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 13a, 13c, or 13d, then $G[F \cup \{s\}]$ is isomorphic to $(\overline{S_3})^+$. For Figure 13a, $G[F]$ is a net, of which all the three vertices are adjacent to s . For Figures 13c and 13d, vertices x_2, x_3 , and s have degree one in $G[F \cup \{s\}]$; their only neighbors are, respectively, v_3, v_2 , and v_1 , which form a clique with x_1 . If $H[F]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 13b, then $G[F \cup \{s\}]$ is isomorphic to sun^* . The subgraph of G induced by $F \cup \{s\} \setminus \{x_1\}$ is a sun, in which x_1 has no neighbor.

Henceforth, $H[F]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 13e. If there is a witness x of $\{x_1, v_2, v_3\}$, then replacing x_1 with the witness leads to an annotated copy of Figure 13c, which has been discussed above. Otherwise, by definition, we can find a witness w_1 of the edge x_1v_2 ; it is not adjacent to v_3 as otherwise we are in the previous case. Likewise, we can find a witness $w_2 \in S \setminus N_H(v_2)$ of the edge x_1v_3 . The subgraph of G induced by $F \cup \{w_1, w_2\}$ is isomorphic to S_4 , in which s is adjacent to x_1 and v_1 . They together induce the graph in Figure 6b. \square

Next we adapt Corollary 3.3. Note that in each forbidden configuration, a simplicial vertex has at most two neighbors.

Lemma 4.13. *If G is not a circular-arc graph and H does not contain an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 13, then H contains a forbidden configuration F such that*

- i) *all simplicial vertices of F are from $S \cup K_o$, and*
- ii) *if a simplicial vertex of F is from K_o , then at least one of its neighbors in F is from K_o .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, H contains an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration; let it be F . We are done if all simplicial vertices of F are from S . Suppose that a simplicial vertex x of F is from K . Note that $N_F(x)$ contains one or two vertices and they are not simplicial. If there exists a witness y of $N_F(x)$, then we can replace x with y . Henceforth we assume $N_F(x)$ is not witnessed. As a result, if $x \in K_s$, then $N_F(x)$ must consist of two vertices, and they are both from K_o by Proposition 4.11. Let $N_F(x) = \{v_1, v_2\}$. For $i = 1, 2$, we can find a witness w_i of the edge xv_i . By checking all the forbidden configurations we note that v_1 and v_2 always has another common neighbor in F , denoted as y . Then $H[\{x, y, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2\}]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 13c, 13d, or 13e, a contradiction. Thus, $x \in K_o$. Since $N_F(x)$ is not witnessed, at least one vertex in $N_F(x)$ is from K_o by Proposition 4.11. \square

With these observations, we are able to correlate forbidden configurations with minimal split graphs that are not circular-arc graphs. We first deal with the forbidden configurations in Figure 9. Since no forbidden configuration has a clique of order more than four, the number of vertices from the clique K_o is at most four. We try to reduce a forbidden configuration in $G^{N[s]}$ to G^K , or $G^{N[s']}$ for another simplicial vertex s' that has more neighbors in this forbidden configuration. This is more convenient than translating the forbidden configuration to a forbidden induced subgraph in G . Note that $K_s \cup S \setminus \{s\}$ induces the same subgraph in H and G^K .

Lemma 4.14. *If H contains an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9, then G contains an induced copy of net^* , the graph in Figure 7a, Figure 7b, or Figure 6b, S_k^1, S_k^2 , or S_{k+1}^+ , $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. If H contains an annotated copy of a sun as in Figure 13, then G contains an induced copy of sun^* , $(\overline{S_3})^+$, or the graph in Figure 6b by Proposition 4.12. Hence, we may assume otherwise. In each graph of Figure 9, no unspecified simplicial vertex is adjacent to all the vertices in K_o . Since H does not contain any induced sun, all the unspecified simplicial vertices are from S by Lemma 4.13. Let F be the vertex set of the forbidden configuration. If $H[F]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 9a or 9b, then $N_G(x_3)$ is disjoint from $K \cap F$. The statement follows from Lemma 4.9. For most of the others, we reduce to a minimal non-interval subgraph of G^K and use Lemma 3.4.

If the subgraph is a sun or hole, we find another vertex to form a graph in Figure 6. When we process a forbidden configuration, we assume that H does not contain an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration discussed previously.

Figure 9c. By definition, there are witnesses w_1 , w_2 , and w_3 for the edges $v u$, $v x_2$, and $v x_3$, respectively. In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to x_1 , x_4 , w_2 , and w_3 , and then to v , x_2 , and x_3 . On the other hand, u is not adjacent to w_1 in G^K . Thus, $G^K[F \cup \{w_1\}]$ is isomorphic to a whipping top, while w_2 and w_3 are witnesses of $\{v, u, x_2\}$ and $\{v, u, x_3\}$, respectively. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of Figure 7a.

Figure 9d. By assumption, there are a witness w_1 of $\{v, x_2, x_3\}$ and a witness w_2 of $\{v, u\}$. Since $\{x_1, x_3, x_4, v, u, w_1\}$ does not induce the configuration in Figure 9a, $x_3 \in K_o$. Since $\{x_3, x_4, v, u, w_1, w_2\}$ does not induce the configuration in Figure 9b, w_2 and x_3 must be adjacent in H . In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to x_1 , x_4 , w_1 , and then to x_2 and v ; the vertex x_3 is adjacent to x_4 , and then to u and v . Both u and x_3 are adjacent to s in G^K . Thus, $G^K[\{w_2, x_1, x_2, v, x_3, s, u\}]$ is isomorphic to a whipping top, while w_1 and x_4 are witnesses of $\{v, u, x_2\}$ and $\{v, u, x_3\}$, respectively. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of Figure 7a.

Figure 9e. If $x_2 \in K_o$, then $x_3 \in K_s$ and $N_G(x_4)$ is disjoint from $K \cap F$. The statement follows from Lemma 4.9. Thus, $x_2 \in K_s$; for the same reason, $x_3 \in K_s$. By assumption, there are a witness w_1 of $\{v, u, x_2\}$ and a witness w_2 of $\{v, u, x_3\}$. In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to x_1 , x_4 , and then to x_2 , x_3 , and v . Thus, $G^K[\{s, w_1, x_2, u, x_3, w_2, v\}]$ is isomorphic to a whipping top, while x_1 and x_4 are witnesses of $\{v, u, x_2\}$ and $\{v, u, x_3\}$, respectively. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of Figure 7a.

Figure 9f. Consider first the case that F consists of six vertices and two of them are from K_o . By assumption, there is a witness w of $\{v, x_2, x_3\}$. The vertex set $\{w, x_3, x_0, v, x_1, x_2\}$ induces a net in G , and none of the degree-one vertices is adjacent to u . Thus, we find an induced \overline{S}_3^+ in G . Henceforth, we may assume that there exists only one vertex from K_o ; otherwise, we may drop the vertex u and consider the rest. Let $p = |F| - 3$. By assumption, there exists a witness w_1 of $\{v, u, x_p\}$, and for each $i = 2, \dots, p$, there exists a witness w_i of $\{v, x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to x_0 , x_1 , and w_i , $i \geq 2$, and hence to v and x_i , $i \geq 2$. Thus, $G^K[F \cup \{w_1\}]$ is isomorphic to the \ddagger graph on at least seven vertices, and for $i \geq 2$, the vertex w_i is the witness of $\{v, u, x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of S_p^2 .

Figure 9g. Suppose first that $|F| = 6$. Note that x_1 and x_3 are symmetric in this case. We consider the number of vertices in F from K_o .

- If $|K_o \cap F| = 1$, then there is a witness w of $\{v, u, x_2, x_4\}$. In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to all the other vertices in F but not w . Thus, $G^K[u, w, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ is isomorphic to a sun. By Lemma 3.4, $G[u, w, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ is isomorphic to \overline{S}_3 . Since v is adjacent to none of x_1 , x_2 , or w in G , the subgraph $G[F \cup \{w\}]$ is isomorphic to \overline{S}_3^+ .
- If $|K_o \cap F| = 2$, then $N_G(x_1)$ or $N_G(x_3)$ is disjoint from $K \cap F$, and it follows from Corollary 4.10.
- If $|K_o \cap F| = 3$, then v is adjacent to neither x_1 nor x_2 in G . Thus, $G[F \cup \{s\}]$ is isomorphic to \overline{S}_3^+ .

In the rest, $|F| \geq 7$, and let $p = |F| - 2$. The subgraph $H[F \setminus \{v\}]$ is an annotated copy of Figure 9f if $x_2 \in K_s$. We may assume that $x_p \in K_s$; otherwise, the subgraph $H[F \setminus \{u\}]$ is also an annotated copy of Figure 9g. By assumption, for each $i = 4, \dots, p - 1$, there exists a witness w_i of $\{v, x_2, x_i, x_{i+1}\}$, and there exists a witness w_p of $\{v, u, x_p\}$. Since $H[\{v, u, w_p, x_1, x_2, x_3\}]$ does not induce the configuration of Figure 9b, the vertex w_p must be adjacent to x_2 . In G^K , the vertex u is adjacent to x_1 , x_3 , and w_i , $i \geq 4$, and hence to v and x_i , $i \geq 2$; the vertex x_2 is

adjacent to only x_3 , and then x_4 . Thus, $F \setminus \{x_3\} \cup \{s, w_p\}$ induces a † graph on at least eight vertices, and x_3 is a witness of $\{v, u, x_2, x_4\}$, while $w_i, i \geq 4$, is a witnesses of $\{v, u, x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of S_{p+2}^2 . \square

We now deal with minimal non-interval subgraphs of H , for which we use the labeling of vertices given by Figure 2.

Theorem 4.15. *Let G be a split graph. If G is not a circular-arc graph, then G contains an induced copy of net^* , the graph in Figure 7a, Figure 7b, or Figure 6b, S_k^1 , S_k^2 , or S_{k+1}^+ , $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, H contains an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration. If H contains an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 9 or in Figure 13, then the statement follows from Lemma 4.14 and Proposition 4.12, respectively. Hence, we assume that H is not an interval graph, and it contains a forbidden configuration with the two properties specified in Lemma 4.13. Let F be the vertex set of this forbidden configuration. We may also assume without loss of generality that F intersects K_o ; otherwise we can use Lemma 4.9. Since H does not contain any annotated copy of a graph in Figure 13, every clique with at most one vertex from K_o is witnessed by Proposition 4.11.

First, we consider the case that $|F \cap K_o| = 1$. Since F satisfies Lemma 4.13, all its simplicial vertices are from S .

- **Hole.** Let it be $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_\ell$, and assume without loss of generality that $v_1 \in K_o$. By definition, for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, there are witnesses w_i of the edge $v_i v_{i+1 \pmod{\ell}}$. In G^K , the vertex v_1 is adjacent to $w_2, \dots, w_{\ell-1}$, and hence v_2, \dots, v_ℓ . Thus, $G^K[\{s, v_1, w_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell, w_\ell\}]$ is isomorphic to the † graph of order $\ell + 3 \geq 7$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of $S_{\ell-1}^1$.
- **Long claw.** Since H does not contain the configuration in Figure 9c, $F \cap K_o \not\subseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Thus, $F \cap K_o = \{v_0\}$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, there is a witness w_i of $v_0 v_i$. They are distinct because v_1, v_2 , and v_3 are pairwise nonadjacent. Then $G^K[\{v_0, \dots, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3\}]$ is isomorphic to a long claw, and the vertex $x_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, is a witness of the edge $v_0 v_i$. (The roles of x_i and w_i are switched.) By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of Figure 7a.
- **Whipping top.** Since H does not contain the configuration in Figure 9d or 9e, $F \cap K_o \not\subseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Thus, $F \cap K_o = \{v_0\}$. By assumption, there are a witness w_1 of $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$ and a witness w_2 of $\{v_0, v_2, v_3\}$. In G^K , the vertex v_0 is adjacent to s and its neighbors in F are x_2 and v_2 . Thus, $G^K[\{s, v_0, \dots, v_3, x_1, x_3\}]$ is a long claw, where the edges $v_2 v_0, v_2 v_1$, and $v_2 v_3$ are witnessed by x_2, w_1 , and w_3 , respectively. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of Figure 7a.
- **† graph.** If u_0 is the only vertex in $F \cap K_o$, then x_2 is adjacent to all the vertices in $F \cap K$, and the statement follows from Lemma 4.9. It is similar if the only vertex in $F \cap K_o$ is x_1 or x_3 . Thus, $p \geq 3$, and $F \cap K_o$ is v_i with $1 < i < p$. Since H does not contain an annotated copy of Figure 9f, v_i must be adjacent to both v_1 and v_p ; i.e., $p = 3$ and $i = 2$. Then $G[F \cup \{w_1, w_2\}]$, where w_1 and w_2 are witnesses of $\{u_0, v_1, v_2\}$ and $\{u_0, v_2, v_3\}$, respectively, is isomorphic to Figure 6b.
- **‡ graph.** Since H does not contain an annotated copy of Figure 9a, the vertex in $F \cap K_o$ must be one of $\{v_1, v_p, u_1, u_2\}$. Consider first v_1 , and it is symmetric for v_p . Since H does not contain an annotated copy of Figure 9f or 9g, $p = 1$. In G^K , the vertex v_1 is adjacent to x_2, u_1, u_2 in F , and s . Thus, $G^K[\{s, v_1, x_1, u_1, x_3, u_2\}]$ is isomorphic to a net, and x_2 is the witness of $\{v_1, u_1, u_2\}$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of S_1^1 . Consider

then u_1 , and it is symmetric for u_2 . It is the same as above when $p = 1$, and hence we assume $p \geq 2$. By assumption, for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, there is a witness w_i of $\{u_1, u_2, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. In G^K , the vertex u_1 is adjacent to x_3, u_2, v_p , and s . Thus, $G^K[\{x_2, u_2, x_1, v_1, \dots, v_p, u_1, s\}]$ is isomorphic to a \dagger . The vertex x_3 is a witness of $\{u_1, u_2, v_p\}$, while $w_i, i = 1, \dots, p-1$ is a witness of $\{u_2, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of S_{p-1}^2 .

From now on, $|F \cap K_o| \geq 2$. By Proposition 4.8, $G[F \cup S]$ is not a circular-arc graph. If there exists a vertex $x \in S$ such that $|N_G(x) \cap F| > |K_s|$, we can use the induction hypothesis. Note that $G[F \cup S]^{(N_G(x) \cap F) \cup \{x\}}$ contains an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration (Lemma 4.7), and $|F \setminus N_G(x)| < |F \cap K_o|$.

- Long claw. Since K_o is a clique, one of the degree-two vertices must be in K_o ; assume it is v_1 . Since H does not contain the configuration Figure 9c, $F \cap K_o = \{v_0, v_1\}$. Then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subseteq N_G(x_1)$ and $|N_G(x_1) \cap F| > |K_s|$. We can use the induction hypothesis on the graph $G^{N[x_1]}$.
- Whipping top. Since H does not contain the configuration Figure 9e, if $v_2 \in K_o$, then $v_0 \in K_o$ and $x_2 \notin K_o$. We can use the induction hypothesis on the graph $G^{N[x_2]}$. In the sequel, $v_2 \notin K_o$. Since H does not contain the configuration Figure 9d, $v_1 \notin K_o$. Thus, $F \cap K_o$ comprises v_0 and one of x_1 and x_3 . We consider $\{x_1, v_0\}$ and the other is symmetric. By definition, there is a witness w of $x_1 v_1$. If $w v_0 \in E(H)$, then we can replace x_1 with w to get an induced whipping top in H that has only one vertex from K_o . Otherwise, $\{w, v_1, x_2, v_2, x_3, v_0\}$ induces a net in H , and only one vertex in them is from K_o . In either case, we can use the induction hypothesis.
- \dagger graph. Since H does not contain the configuration Figure 9b, at least one of u_0, v_1 , and v_p is in K_o . As discussed above, if K_o contains u_0 but not x_2 , we can use the induction hypothesis on the graph $G^{N[x_2]}$. It is similar if K_o contains v_1 but not x_1 or contains v_p but not x_3 . Thus, $F \cap K_o$ is either $\{u_0, x_2\}$, $\{v_1, x_1\}$, or $\{v_p, x_3\}$. The three pairs are symmetric when $p = 2$. On the other hand, if $p > 2$ and $F \cap K_o = \{v_1, x_1\}$ or $\{v_p, x_3\}$, then H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9f. Thus, it suffices to consider $F \cap K_o = \{u_0, x_2\}$. By assumption, for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, there is a witness w_i of the clique $\{u_0, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. The subgraph of G induced by $\{u_0, v_1, \dots, v_p, x_1, w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}, x_3\}$ is isomorphic to a $\overline{S_{p+1}}$. Since x_2 is adjacent to $\{u_0, v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ and not to $\{x_1, w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}, x_3\}$, the graph G contains an induced S_{p+1}^+ .
- Sun. We can use Proposition 4.12 if $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, u_2\}$ or $\{x_2, u_1, u_2\}$, and Proposition 4.9 if $F \cap K_o = \{v_1, u_1, u_2\}$. The only remaining case is $F \cap K_o$ comprises a degree-two vertex and one of its neighbors. Without loss of generality, assume $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, x_2\}$. Let w be a witness of x_2 and u_2 . If w is adjacent to u_1 in H , then we can replace x_2 with w and use the induction hypothesis. In the rest, $w u_1 \notin E(H)$. In G^K , the vertex u_1 is adjacent to w and x_3 , and hence to u_2 and v_1 , and x_2 is adjacent to x_1 and x_3 , and hence to u_2 and v_1 . Thus, $G^K[\{s, u_1, x_2, w, u_2, v_1, x_1\}]$ is isomorphic to a rising sun, and x_3 is a witness of $G^K[\{v_1, u_1, u_2, x_2\}]$. By Lemma 3.4, G contains an induced copy of S_1^2 .
- \ddagger graph with $p \geq 2$. First consider $\{u_1, u_2\} \subseteq F \cap K_o$. If $x_2 \notin F \cap K_o$, then we can use the induction hypothesis on the graph $G^{N[x_2]}$. Hence, $F \cap K_o = \{x_2, u_1, u_2\}$. If for some $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, the clique $\{u_1, u_2, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ is not witnessed, then we can find a witness w' of $\{u_1, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ and a witness w'' of $\{u_2, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$; note that $u_2 w', u_1 w'' \notin E(H)$. Then $H[\{x_2, u_1, u_2, w', w'', v_i\}]$ is an annotated copy of 13d. In the rest, for each $i =$

$1, \dots, p-1$, there exists a witness w_i of $\{u_1, u_2, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. Thus, $G[F \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{p-1}, s\}]$ is isomorphic to S_{p+2}^+ .

Henceforth, $F \cap K_o$ contains at most one of u_1 and u_2 . We may assume without loss of generality that $u_2 \notin F \cap K_o$.

If $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, x_2\}$, let w be a witness of $\{x_2, u_2\}$. Since $H[\{x_3, u_2, v_1, u_1, x_2, w\}]$ is not an annotated copy of Figure 9b, $wu_1 \in E(H)$. Then we can replace x_2 with w and use the induction hypothesis. If $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, x_1\}$, we take a witness w of $\{x_1, v_1\}$. If $wu_1 \in E(H)$, then we can replace x_1 with w to get an induced \ddagger . Otherwise, $H[\{x_2, u_1, w, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p, x_3\}]$ is isomorphic to \dagger . In either case, this new non-interval subgraph contains only one vertex from K_o , and we can use the induction hypothesis.

The only remaining case is $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, v_i\}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, p$. If $p > 2$ (i.e., $|F| > 7$), then H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9f when $i = p$, or an annotated copy of Figure 9g when $i < p$. Thus, $|F| = 7$. Again, H contains an annotated copy of Figure 9g when $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, v_1\}$. Hence, $F \cap K_o = \{u_1, v_2\}$. By assumption, there is a witness w of $\{u_1, u_2, v_1\}$. Since $H[\{x_1, v_1, u_2, x_2, v_2, w\}]$ is not an annotated copy of Figure 9a, we have $wv_2 \in E(H)$. Then $G[F \cup \{w, s\}]$ is isomorphic to the graph in Figure 6b.

The proof is complete. □

A Sketch of the certifying recognition algorithms

-
1. find a minimum-degree vertex s and construct the graph $G^{N[s]}$;
 2. **if** $G^{N[s]}$ is an interval graph **then**
 - 2.1. build a clique path \mathcal{K} of $G^{N[s]}$;
 - 2.2. try to rearrange \mathcal{K} to satisfy condition 1;
 - 2.3. **if** step 2.2 succeeds **then**
 - 2.3.1. translate \mathcal{K} into an interval model \mathcal{J} of $G^{N[s]}$;
 - 2.3.2. translate \mathcal{J} into a circular-arc model \mathcal{A} of G ;
 - 2.3.3. **return** \mathcal{A} ;
 - 2.4. **else** find a forbidden configuration X ;
 3. **else** find a minimal non-interval graph X of $G^{N[s]}$;
 4. translate X into a minimal forbidden induced subgraph F of G ;
 5. **return** F .
-

Figure 14: Outline of the certifying recognition algorithm.

We obtain a certifying recognition algorithm for recognizing circular-arc graphs by making the proofs in Section 4 constructive. As we will see, this does not work for Helly circular-arc graphs because the size of G^K might be super-linear. We outline the algorithm in Figure 14. It starts with taking a vertex s with the minimum degree and building the auxiliary $G^{N[s]}$. Since s

is taken to have the minimum degree, the size of the new graph is upper bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(G^{N[s]})| &\leq |E(G)| + |N[s]| \cdot |V(G) \setminus N[s]| \\
&< m + (d(s) + 1)(n - d(s) - 1) \\
&< m + d(s)n + n \\
&\leq 3m + n.
\end{aligned}$$

McConnell [11] presented a linear-time algorithm to determine whether $N_G[v] \not\subseteq N_G[u]$ and whether $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v) \neq V(G)$ for all pairs of adjacent vertices u and v . With this information, we are able to construct $G^{N[s]}$ in linear time.

If $G^{N[s]}$ is an interval graph, we build a clique path and try to modify it to satisfy condition (1). The proof of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 can be easily turned into algorithms. When there is an annotated copy of a forbidden configuration in Figure 9, it is explicitly given in the proof. If we succeed, then G is a circular-arc graph. We can use Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 1.2 to construct a circular-arc model \mathcal{A} for G .

Step 3 calls the algorithm of Lindzey and McConnell [10] to find a minimal non-interval subgraph when $G^{N[s]}$ is not an interval graph. Step 4 translates the forbidden configuration X to a minimal forbidden induced subgraph of G . If X does not satisfy the two properties specified in Lemma 4.13, then we can find an annotated copy of any graph in Figure 13, which can be mapped to an induced $(\overline{S_3})^+$, sun^* , or the graph in Figure 6b by Proposition 4.12. The argument in the proof of Lemma 4.14 is constructive and can be turned into an algorithm. If X is in Figure 9, then it can be easily transformed to an induced subgraph in regions 2 or 3. The only nontrivial part is Theorem 4.15. For the simplicity of its proof, we use induction instead of showing the forbidden induced subgraph of G . Since the forbidden induced subgraph involves $F \cup S$ by Proposition 4.8, we can find it directly.

For the recognition of Helly circular-arc graphs, we take an indirect approach because the size of G^K cannot be upper bounded by $O(m + n)$. If G is ambiguous, then by Theorem 2.5, it suffices to check whether G is a circular-arc graph. If it is unambiguous, we check G^+ .

References

- [1] Flavia Bonomo, Guillermo Durán, Luciano N. Grippo, and Martín Darío Safe. Partial characterizations of circular-arc graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 61(4):289–306, 2009. doi:10.1002/jgt.20379.
- [2] Yixin Cao. Recognizing (unit) interval graphs by zigzag graph searches. In Hung Viet Le and Valerie King, editors, *Proceedings of the 4th SIAM Symposium on Simplicity in Algorithms*, pages 92–106. SIAM, 2021. doi:10.1137/1.9781611976496.11.
- [3] Mathew C. Francis, Pavol Hell, and Juraj Stacho. Blocking quadruple: A new obstruction to circular-arc graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 28(2):631–655, 2014. doi:10.1137/13091717X.
- [4] John Gimbel. End vertices in interval graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 21(3):257–259, 1988. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(88)90071-6.
- [5] Hugo Hadwiger, Hans Debrunner, and Victor Klee. *Combinatorial geometry in the plane*. Athena series. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, London, 1964.

- [6] Wen-Lian Hsu and Jeremy Spinrad. Independent sets in circular-arc graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 19(2):145–160, 1995. doi:10.1006/jagm.1995.1031.
- [7] Benson L. Joeris, Min Chih Lin, Ross M. McConnell, Jeremy P. Spinrad, and Jayme Luiz Szwarcfiter. Linear-time recognition of Helly circular-arc models and graphs. *Algorithmica*, 59(2):215–239, 2011. doi:10.1007/s00453-009-9304-5.
- [8] Victor Klee. What are the intersection graphs of arcs in a circle? *American Mathematical Monthly*, 76(7):810–813, 1969. doi:10.1080/00029890.1969.12000337.
- [9] Cornelis G. Lekkerkerker and J. Ch. Boland. Representation of a finite graph by a set of intervals on the real line. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 51:45–64, 1962. doi:10.4064/fm-51-1-45-64.
- [10] Nathan Lindzey and Ross M. McConnell. Linear-time algorithms for finding Tucker submatrices and Lekkerkerker-Boland subgraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 30(1):43–69, 2016. doi:10.1137/140951631.
- [11] Ross M. McConnell. Linear-time recognition of circular-arc graphs. *Algorithmica*, 37(2):93–147, 2003. doi:10.1007/s00453-003-1032-7.
- [12] Jeremy P. Spinrad. Circular-arc graphs with clique cover number two. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 44(3):300–306, 1988. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(88)90038-X.
- [13] Jeremy P. Spinrad. *Efficient Graph Representations*, volume 19 of *Fields Institute Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.