

# LINEAR CONGRUENCES IN SEVERAL VARIABLES WITH CONGRUENCE RESTRICTIONS

C. G. KARTHICK BABU, RANJAN BERA, AND B. SURY

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider systems of linear congruences in several variables and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions as well as explicit expressions for the number of solutions subject to certain restriction conditions. These results are in terms of Ramanujan sums and generalize the results of Lehmer [7] and Bibak et al. [1]. These results have analogues over  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  where the proofs are similar, once notions such as Ramanujan sums are defined in this set-up. We use the recent description of Ramanujan sums over function fields as developed by Zhiyong Zheng [18]. This is discussed in the last section. We illustrate the formulae obtained for the number of solutions through some examples. Over the integers, such problems have a rich history, some of which seem to have been forgotten - a number of papers written on the topic re-prove known results. The present authors also became aware of some of these old articles only while writing the present article and hence, we recall very briefly some of the old work by H. J. S. Smith, Rademacher, Brauer, Butson and Stewart, Ramanathan, McCarthy, and Spilker [3, 4, 9, 13, 14, 15, 16].

## 1. Introduction

The Chinese remainder theorem (CRT) originated in the work of Sun-Tsu in the 3rd century AD, addresses a system of congruences of the form

$$x \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}, (1 \leq i \leq k). \quad (1)$$

If  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime positive integers, and  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  are arbitrary integers, then it asserts that the system (1) has a unique solution modulo  $m = m_1 \cdots m_k$ . Throughout the paper, we shall use the notations  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  and  $[u_1, \dots, u_k]$  to denote the gcd and lcm of integers  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  respectively. In 1952, Oystein Ore [12] proved a version for non-coprime moduli in the American Mathematical Monthly. He showed that if the system of congruences (1) has solution if and only if for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$ ,

$$b_i \equiv b_j \pmod{d_{ij}}, \text{ where } d_{ij} = (m_i, m_j). \quad (2)$$

When the conditions (2) are satisfied, the solution of (1) is uniquely determined modulo the least common multiple  $m = [m_1, \dots, m_k]$ .

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . A linear congruence in  $n$  unknowns  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is of the form

$$a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n \equiv b \pmod{m}. \quad (3)$$

D. N. Lehmer [7] showed that a linear congruence represented by (3) has a solution  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$  if and only if  $\ell \mid b$ , where  $\ell = (a_1, \dots, a_n, m)$  and if this condition is satisfied, then there are  $\ell m^{n-1}$  many solutions. Instead of a single linear congruence, one could consider a system of linear congruences and explore its solutions, which can be viewed as a multi-variable extension of the Chinese remainder theorem. This is relatively

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11D79, 11P83, 11A25, 11T55, 11T24.  
Keywords: System of congruence, Finite fields, Restricted linear congruences, Ramanujan sum, Discrete Fourier transform.

straightforward, and was done in [17] - but the author was unaware of Lehmer's result. More precisely, the following result was established.

Suppose  $a_{ij}$  are integers (for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). For positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  and arbitrary integers  $b_1, \dots, b_k$ , consider the system of  $k$  congruences in  $n$  unknowns  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &\equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &\equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ a_{k1}x_1 + a_{k2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{kn}x_n &\equiv b_k \pmod{m_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise coprime integers. The system of congruences above has a solution  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in integers if and only if,  $(m_i, a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in}) | b_i$  for each  $i \leq k$ .*

In 1861, H. J. S. Smith [15] first studied and provided a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution to the system of congruences represented by (4). If this condition is satisfied, then he also gave the exact number of solutions of (4) that are there in  $\mathbb{Z}_m^n$ , where  $m = [m_1, \dots, m_k]$ . However, despite the elegant matrix theoretic methods of Smith, his paper seems to have gone unnoticed by later authors working on these problems.

In 1954, A. T. Butson and B. M. Stewart [4] presented a concise proof of Smith's result using the concepts of invariant factors and the Smith normal form of a matrix with elements in a principal ideal ring. Furthermore, Butson and Stewart extended the study of systems of linear congruences modulo an ideal and systems over a set of integral elements within an associative algebra.

It is worth noting that both the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution and the calculation of the number of solutions provided by Butson and Stewart rely on the use of invariant factors of the Smith normal form (see Section 3 and Section 5 of [4]). However, calculating these factors can be challenging, as it involves determining the greatest common divisor of determinants of minors derived from the given matrix.

In the first part of this paper, we revisit and reprove the result of Lehmer [7] and its straightforward generalization in [17] using Ramanujan sums. Additionally, we obtain expressions for the number of solutions to the systems of linear congruences represented by (4) in terms of the greatest common divisor of the coefficients, rather than relying on the invariant factors of the Smith normal form. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise co-prime integers and  $m = m_1 \cdots m_k$ . The system of congruences represented by (4) has a solution  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$  if and only if for each  $i \leq k$ ,  $\ell_i | b_i$ , where  $\ell_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, m_i)$ , and if this condition is satisfied, then there are  $m^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i$  solutions.*

*We note that when  $k = 1$ , this result is the same as Lehmer's theorem [7].*

In another direction, the linear congruence represented by (3) with some restrictions on solutions  $x_i$  has also been studied in the literature. One such type of restriction is to demand that the solutions should satisfy  $(x_i, m) = t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are given positive divisors of  $m$ . A linear congruence with such restrictions is called

a restricted linear congruence. Assume  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b$  are fixed and let  $N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n)$  denote the number of incongruent solutions of

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n \equiv b \pmod{m}, \quad (x_i, m) = t_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (5)$$

Rademacher [13] and Brauer [3] independently gave a formula for the number of solutions  $N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of the linear congruence (5) with  $a_i = 1$  and  $t_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Later Nicol and Vandiver [11] and E. Cohen [6] obtained the following equivalent formula:

$$N_m(b; 1, \dots, 1) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} C_d(b) (C_m(m/d))^n,$$

where  $C_r(a)$  denotes a Ramanujan sum. Also, see Ramanathan [14] and Spilker [16] for further results with these and other restrictions on linear congruences. Moreover, in 1976, restricted solutions of some systems of linear congruences were studied by McCarthy [9] in another context.

The study of restricted congruences has garnered significant interest due to its applications in various fields, such as number theory, cryptography, combinatorics, and computer science. In [8], Liskovets introduced a multivariate arithmetic function, and it can be seen that a special case of the restricted congruence problem with  $b = 0$  and  $a_i = 1$  is closely related to this multivariate function. This function finds applications in both combinatorics and topology. In fact, for our purpose, we need to study this multivariate function twisted with an additive character (see (8)). Furthermore, in computer science, the restricted congruence problem plays a role in the study of universal hashing, as discussed by Bibak et al. [2].

In 2017, Bibak et al. [1], provided a general formula for the number of solutions  $N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of the linear congruence (5). They proved this using Ramanujan sums and the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of arithmetic functions.

In the second part of our paper, we focus on the multi-variable analogue of their theorem. In particular, when  $(x_j, m_i) = t_{ij}$ , we provide a formula for determining the number of solutions for the aforementioned system of linear congruences. In our approach also, we primarily use properties of Ramanujan sums, as well as the discrete Fourier transform of arithmetic functions. The number of solutions with  $k = 1$  and all the coefficients being equal to 1 was initially studied by Rademacher in 1925 and Brauer in 1926. Since then, the problem has been widely explored and found significant applications in diverse areas as mentioned above.

More precisely, we establish the following result:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise coprime integers and  $m = m_1 \cdots m_k$ . Let  $t_{ij} \mid m_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Then the number of solutions of the system of congruences represented by (4) with the restrictions  $(x_j, m_i) = t_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is given by*

$$\frac{1}{m} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{m}{t_j}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{m}{t_j d_j}\right)} \sum_{d|m} C_d(b) \prod_{l=1}^n C_{\frac{m}{t_l d_l}}\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

where  $t_j = \prod_{i=1}^k t_{ij}$  and  $d_j = \prod_{i=1}^k d_{ij}$  with  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Here  $b$  represents the unique solution of the following system of congruences

$$x \equiv b_i \pmod{m_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \quad (6)$$

## 2. RAMANUJAN SUMS AND DFT

Let  $e(x)$  denote  $e^{2\pi ix}$ . For integers  $a$  and  $m \geq 1$ , the Ramanujan sum  $C_m(a)$  is defined by

$$C_m(a) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j,m)=1}}^m e\left(\frac{ja}{m}\right).$$

It is well known that  $C_m(a)$  is integer-valued and even function of  $a$ , that is,  $C_m(a) = C_m((a, m))$ , for every  $a, m$ . Moreover,  $C_m(a)$  has the following explicit formula:

$$C_m(a) = \sum_{d|(a,m)} \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)d, \quad (7)$$

Recall that an arithmetic function is a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . More generally, an arithmetic function of  $n$  variables is a function  $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  denotes the set of all arithmetic function of  $n$  variables. If  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , then their convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_n|m_n} f(d_1, \dots, d_n)g\left(\frac{m_1}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{d_n}\right).$$

The set  $\mathcal{F}_n$  forms a ring with pointwise addition and convolution product with unit element  $\varepsilon^{(n)}$  defined by

$$\varepsilon^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m_1 = \dots = m_n = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  is invertible iff  $f(1, \dots, 1) \neq 0$ . In fact, we have the Möbius inversion formula:

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_n$  satisfying*

$$f(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_n|m_n} g(d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

then

$$g(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \sum_{d_1|m_1, \dots, d_n|m_n} f(d_1, \dots, d_n)\mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots \mu(m_n/d_n).$$

Equivalently, the inverse of the constant function 1 is given by

$$\mu^{(n)}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \mu(m_1) \cdots \mu(m_n).$$

An arithmetic function  $f$  is said to be periodic with period  $r$  (or  $r$ -periodic) for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  if for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f(m+r) = f(m)$ . For an  $r$ -periodic arithmetic function  $f$ , its discrete (finite) Fourier transform (DFT) is defined to be the function

$$\hat{f}(b) = \sum_{j=1}^m f(j)e\left(\frac{-bj}{m}\right), \quad \text{for } b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A Fourier representation of  $f$  is given by

$$f(b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{f}(j)e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right), \quad \text{for } b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that an arithmetic function  $f$  is  $r$ -even if  $f(m) = f((m, r))$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly, if a function  $f$  is  $r$ -even, then it is  $r$ -periodic. Further, for an  $r$ -even function  $f$ , we have

$$\hat{f}(b) = \sum_{k=1}^r f(k) e\left(\frac{-bk}{r}\right) = \sum_{d|r} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \frac{r}{d} \\ (j, \frac{r}{d})=1}} f(d) e\left(\frac{-bdj}{r}\right) = \sum_{d|r} f(d) C_{\frac{r}{d}}(b).$$

The Cauchy convolution of two  $r$ -periodic functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined to be

$$(f \otimes g)(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x, y \leq m \\ x+y \equiv n \pmod{m}}} f(x)g(y) = \sum_{x=1}^m f(x)g(n-x), \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly, we can define the Cauchy convolution of a finite number of  $r$ -periodic functions. It is easy to observe that the discrete Fourier transform of the Cauchy convolution satisfies the relation

$$\widehat{f \otimes g} = \hat{f} \hat{g},$$

with pointwise multiplication.

### 3. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

In this section, we list several elementary lemmas required for the proof of theorems 2 and 3.

**3.1. Some properties of GCD and LCM.** For a prime  $p$  and a nonnegative integer  $k$ , by the notation  $p^k \parallel a$ , we mean  $p^k \mid a$  and  $p^{k+1} \nmid a$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $m, m_1, m_2$  be positive integers such that  $(m_1, m_2) = 1$ . Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & (m_1 m_2, m) = (m_1, m) \cdot (m_2, m). \\ (ii) \quad & [m_1 m_2, m] = \frac{[m_1, m] \cdot [m_2, m]}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality (i) is trivial and (ii) follows from the identity  $[m, n] \cdot (m, n) = mn$  and (i).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $m, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be positive integers and  $d_i = (a_i, m)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then, we have*

$$\left[ \frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n} \right] = \frac{m}{(a_1, \dots, a_n, m)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be any prime dividing  $m$ . Suppose  $p^k \parallel m$  and  $p^{k_1} \parallel d_1, \dots, p^{k_n} \parallel d_n$ , then we have

$$p^{k - \min\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}} = p^{\max\{k - k_1, \dots, k - k_n\}} \left\| \left[ \frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n} \right] \right\|.$$

On the other hand, from  $p^{\min\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}} \parallel (a_1, \dots, a_n, m)$ , it follows that

$$p^{k - \min\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}} \left\| \frac{m}{(a_1, \dots, a_n, m)} \right\|.$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be arbitrary positive integers and  $a_{ij}$  are integers (for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Let  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise coprime integers and  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, m_i)$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Then, we have*

$$\left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{11} \cdots d_{k1}}, \dots, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right] = \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_k},$$

where  $\ell_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, m_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the claim is trivial. Now, we prove the claim for  $n = 2$ . Since  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime integers, by using Lemma 3.2-(ii) ( $k - 1$ ) times successively, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{11} \cdots d_{k1}}, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}} \right] &= \left[ \frac{m_1}{d_{11}}, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{m_k}{d_{k1}}, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}} \right] \times \left( \frac{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}}{m_1 \cdots m_k} \right)^{k-1} \\ &= \left[ \frac{m_1}{d_{11}}, \frac{m_1}{d_{12}} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{m_k}{d_{k1}}, \frac{m_k}{d_{k2}} \right] \times \left( \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}} \right)^{k-1} \times \left( \frac{d_{12} \cdots d_{k2}}{m_1 \cdots m_k} \right)^{k-1} \\ &= \left[ \frac{m_1}{d_{11}}, \frac{m_1}{d_{12}} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{m_k}{d_{k1}}, \frac{m_k}{d_{k2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the required equality follows from Lemma 3.3. This proves the claim for  $n = 2$ . Now, assuming that the lemma holds for  $r \leq n - 1$ , we can use the associativity of LCM and the induction hypothesis to deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{11} \cdots d_{k1}}, \dots, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right] &= \left[ \left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{11} \cdots d_{k1}}, \dots, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1(n-1)} \cdots d_{k(n-1)}} \right], \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{\ell'_1 \cdots \ell'_k}, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell'_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{i(n-1)}, m_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime integers, by following a similar argument as in the case of  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{\ell'_1 \cdots \ell'_k}, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right] = \left[ \frac{m_1}{\ell'_1}, \frac{m_1}{d_{1n}} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{m_k}{\ell'_k}, \frac{m_k}{d_{kn}} \right].$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\left[ \frac{m_1}{\ell'_1}, \frac{m_1}{d_{1n}} \right] \cdots \left[ \frac{m_k}{\ell'_k}, \frac{m_k}{d_{kn}} \right] = \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_k},$$

where  $\ell_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, m_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

**3.5. Some applications of Ramanujan Sums and DFT.** For  $t \mid r$ , let  $\varrho_{r,t}$  be the  $r$ -periodic function defined for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\varrho_{r,t}(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (k, r) = t, \\ 0, & \text{if } (k, r) \neq t. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (Theorem 2.5, [1]) that the Ramanujan sum  $k \rightarrow C_r(k)$  is the DFT of the function  $k \rightarrow \varrho_{r,1}(k)$ . More generally,

$$\widehat{\varrho_{r,t}}(k) = C_{\frac{r}{t}}(k) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall that  $N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n)$  denotes the number of incongruent solutions of the restricted linear congruence (5). The following lemma is a consequence of the DFT of  $\varrho_{r,t}$  and Cauchy convolution, which provides a formula for  $N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n)$  in terms of Ramanujan's sum when  $a_i = 1$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** (Theorem 3.4, [1]) Let  $b, m \geq 1$ ,  $t_i \mid m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  be given integers. The number of solutions of the linear congruence  $x_1 + \dots + x_n \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , with  $(x_i, m) = t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , is

$$N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m C_{\frac{m}{t_1}}(j) \cdots C_{\frac{m}{t_n}}(j) e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d \mid m} C_d(b) \prod_{i=1}^n C_{\frac{m}{t_i}}\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** (Theorem 3.1, [1]) Let  $a, b, m \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$  be the given integers. The congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has a solution  $x$  with  $(x, m) = t$  if and only if  $t \mid (b, m)$  and  $(a, \frac{m}{t}) = (\frac{b}{t}, \frac{m}{t})$ . Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied, then there are exactly

$$\frac{\varphi(\frac{m}{t})}{\varphi(\frac{m}{td})} = d \prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\ p \nmid \frac{m}{td}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

solutions, where  $p$  ranges over the primes and  $d = (a, \frac{m}{t}) = (\frac{b}{t}, \frac{m}{t})$ .

For any  $m_1, \dots, m_n, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[m_1, \dots, m_n] \mid m$ , we define

$$E(b; m_1, \dots, m_n) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m C_{m_1}(j) \cdots C_{m_n}(j) e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right). \quad (8)$$

We would like to mention that the special case of  $b = 0$  gives the orbicyclic (multivariate arithmetic) function

$$E(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m C_{m_1}(j) \cdots C_{m_n}(j),$$

established in [8]. The orbicyclic function,  $E(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ , has very interesting combinatorial and topological applications, particularly in counting non-isomorphic mappings on orientable surfaces, and was studied in [8, 9, 10].

**Lemma 3.8.** For any  $m_1, \dots, m_n, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \dots, d_n \mid m_n} E(b; d_1, \dots, d_n) = J(b; m_1, \dots, m_n),$$

where

$$J(b; m_1, \dots, m_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{m_1 \cdots m_n}{[m_1, \dots, m_n]}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{[m_1, \dots, m_n]} \mid b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By using (7) in (8), we write

$$\begin{aligned} E(b; m_1, \dots, m_n) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) \sum_{d_1 \mid (j, m_1)} d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots \sum_{d_n \mid (j, m_n)} d_n \mu(m_n/d_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \dots, d_n \mid m_n} d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots d_n \mu(m_n/d_n) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ d_1 \mid j, \dots, d_n \mid j}} e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \dots, d_n \mid m_n} d_1 \cdots d_n \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots \mu(m_n/d_n) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ [d_1, \dots, d_n] \mid j}} e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \dots, d_n \mid m_n} J(b; d_1, \dots, d_n) \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots \mu(m_n/d_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 2.1 (Möbius inversion formula), we obtain

$$\sum_{d_1 | m_1, \dots, d_n | m_n} E(b; d_1, \dots, d_n) = J(b; m_1, \dots, m_n).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

#### 4. SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR CONGRUENCES

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. Furthermore, we compare the solution count given in Theorem 2 with the solution count obtained by Butson and Stewart [4] through the examination of specific examples. Also, we discuss an example for Theorem 3 and verify the solution count by the first principle.

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.** First, we consider the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1j}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_1}, \\ a_{2j}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ a_{kj}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_k}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime integers, it is clear that the system of congruences has a solution if and only if  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, m_i) \mid y_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If this condition is satisfied, then there are  $d_j = \prod_{i=1}^k d_{ij}$  solutions for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Therefore, the problem reduces to counting the number of solutions of the system of restricted congruences

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_k \pmod{m_k}. \end{aligned}$$

with  $(m_i, y_j) = t_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ), for each  $d_{ij} \mid t_{ij} \mid m_i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Given that  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime integers, the aforementioned restrictions can be expressed as  $(y_j, m) = t_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , where  $d_j \mid t_j \mid m$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $m = m_1 \cdots m_k$ . By applying the one-variable Chinese remainder theorem, we can reformulate the problem as counting the solutions to the restricted linear congruence

$$y_1 + \dots + y_n \equiv b \pmod{m},$$

subject to the conditions  $(y_j, m) = t_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Here,  $b < m$  represents the unique solution to the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ x &\equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x &\equiv b_k \pmod{m_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$



Thus, by using Lemma 3.6, the number of solutions of (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
N_m(b; n) &= d_1 \cdots d_n \sum_{d_1 | t_1 | m, \dots, d_n | t_n | m} N_m(b; t_1, \dots, t_n) \\
&= d_1 \cdots d_n \sum_{d_1 | t_1 | m, \dots, d_n | t_n | m} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m C_{\frac{m}{t_1}}(j) \cdots C_{\frac{m}{t_n}}(j) e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) \\
&= d_1 \cdots d_n \sum_{t_1 | \frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, t_n | \frac{m}{d_n}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m C_{\frac{m}{t_1 d_1}}(j) \cdots C_{\frac{m}{t_n d_n}}(j) e\left(\frac{bj}{m}\right) \\
&= d_1 \cdots d_n \sum_{t_1 | \frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, t_n | \frac{m}{d_n}} E(b; t_1, \dots, t_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that

$$N_m(b; n) = d_1 \cdots d_n J\left(b; \frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{m^n}{[\frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n}]}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{[\frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n}]} \mid b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $[\frac{m}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{m}{d_n}] = \left[ \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{11} \cdots d_{k1}}, \dots, \frac{m_1 \cdots m_k}{d_{1n} \cdots d_{kn}} \right]$ , where  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, m_i)$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Therefore, By using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$N_m(b; n) = \begin{cases} m^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i, & \text{if } \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i \mid b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\ell_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, m_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $b$  satisfies the system of congruences represented by (9), we can express the above condition as follows.

$$N_m(b; n) = \begin{cases} m^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i, & \text{if } \ell_i \mid b_i \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**4.2. Some examples.** (i). Consider the system of congruences:

$$\begin{aligned}
2x_1 + 2x_2 &\equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\
5x_1 + 7x_2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{35}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2, we find that this system has a total of 840 solutions. To calculate the solution count using Butson and Stewart's method, we first simplify the congruences by multiplying suitable factors:

$$\begin{aligned}
70x_1 + 70x_2 &\equiv 70 \pmod{420} \\
60x_1 + 84x_2 &\equiv 12 \pmod{420}.
\end{aligned}$$

The number of solutions can be determined by computing the invariant factors of the Smith normal form of the matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 70 & 70 \\ 60 & 84 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculating the invariant factors as  $e_1 = 2$  and  $e_2 = 840$ , we obtain the solution count as  $(2, 420) \cdot (840, 420) = 840$ . Thus, both methods yield the same number of solutions.

(ii). Consider the system of congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_1 + 6x_2 + 3x_3 &\equiv 3 \pmod{9} \\ 4x_1 + 2x_2 + 8x_3 &\equiv 4 \pmod{16} \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 &\equiv 2 \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2, we find that this system has a total of 3110400 solutions. To calculate the solution count using Butson and Stewart’s method, we first simplify the congruences by multiplying suitable factors:

$$\begin{aligned} 240x_1 + 480x_2 + 240x_3 &\equiv 240 \pmod{720} \\ 180x_1 + 90x_2 + 360x_3 &\equiv 180 \pmod{720} \\ 288x_1 + 432x_2 + 144x_3 &\equiv 288 \pmod{720}. \end{aligned}$$

The number of solutions can be determined by computing the invariant factors of the Smith normal form of the matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 240 & 480 & 240 \\ 180 & 90 & 360 \\ 288 & 432 & 144 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computationally, we found that the invariant factors of the matrix are  $e_1 = 6$ ,  $e_2 = 720$ , and  $e_3 = 3600$ . Therefore, the number of solutions is equal to  $(6, 720) \cdot (720, 720) \cdot (3600, 720) = 3110400$ . Thus, both methods yield the same number of solutions.

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.** Assume that the system of linear congruences in (4) has a solution  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$  with  $(x_j, m_i) = t_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  are co-prime, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1j}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_1}, \\ a_{2j}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ a_{kj}x_j &\equiv y_j \pmod{m_k}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

has a solution if and only if  $t_{ij} = (x_j, m_i) \mid (y_j, m_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then  $(a_{ij}x_j, m_i) = (y_j, m_i) = t_{ij}d_{ij}$  for some  $d_{ij}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{a_{ij}x_j}{t_{ij}}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}} \right) = \left( \frac{y_j}{t_{ij}}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}} \right) = d_{ij} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

As  $\left( \frac{x_j}{t_{ij}}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}} \right) = 1$ , we obtain  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}}) = \left( \frac{y_j}{t_{ij}}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}} \right)$ . Hence, the problem boils down to counting the number of solutions in the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_1 \pmod{m_1}, \\ y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_2 \pmod{m_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n &\equiv b_k \pmod{m_k} \end{aligned}$$

with  $(y_j, m_i) = d_{ij}t_{ij}$ . As  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  are co-prime, the above system of congruences reduced to  $y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n \equiv b \pmod{m}$  with  $(y_j, m) = t_j d_j$ , where  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ ,  $t_j = \prod_{i=1}^k t_{ij}$ ,  $d_j = \prod_{i=1}^k d_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $b$  represents the unique solution to the system of congruences given by (6).

Therefore, by Lemma 3.6, the number of solutions of the linear congruence  $y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n \equiv b \pmod{m}$  with  $(y_j, m) = t_j d_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), is

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} C_d(b) \prod_{l=1}^n C_{\frac{m}{t_l d_l}} \left( \frac{m}{d} \right)$$

Again, for a given solution  $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  in  $y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n \equiv b \pmod{m}$  with  $(y_j, m) = t_j d_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), we need to find out the number of solution in  $a_{ij} x_j \equiv y_j \pmod{m_i}$ , with  $(x_j, m_i) = t_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Recall that  $d_{ij} = (a_{ij}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}}) = (\frac{y_j}{t_{ij}}, \frac{m_i}{t_{ij}})$ , thus by Lemma 3.7, for fixed  $j$ , the above congruence has exactly

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\varphi(\frac{m_i}{t_{ij}})}{\varphi(\frac{m_i}{t_{ij} d_{ij}})}$$

solutions. Hence, for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  the system of congruence (10) has exactly

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\varphi(\frac{m_i}{t_{ij}})}{\varphi(\frac{m_i}{t_{ij} d_{ij}})}$$

solutions. Since  $m_i$ 's ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) are pairwise co-prime, the above product can be written as

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\varphi(\frac{m}{t_j})}{\varphi(\frac{m}{t_j d_j})}$$

Therefore, the number of solutions of the system of congruences (4) is exactly

$$\frac{1}{m} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\varphi(\frac{m}{t_j})}{\varphi(\frac{m}{t_j d_j})} \sum_{d|m} C_d(b) \prod_{l=1}^n C_{\frac{m}{t_l d_l}} \left( \frac{m}{d} \right).$$

**4.4. Example.** We consider the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 + 2X_2 &\equiv 7 \pmod{15} \\ 3X_1 + X_2 &\equiv 9 \pmod{14}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with the restrictions

$$(X_1, 15) = 5, (X_1, 14) = 2, (X_2, 15) = 3, (X_2, 14) = 7.$$

By using the theorem, we show that it has a unique solution for  $X_1, X_2$  modulo  $210 = 15 \times 14$ . We verify this by first principles also.

In the notation of the theorem,  $m = 210$ ,  $t_{11} = 5, t_{12} = 3, t_{21} = 2, t_{22} = 7$ , so  $t_1 = 10, t_2 = 21$  and  $d_{ij} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ , hence  $d_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . It is easy to see that  $b = 37$  is the unique solution of the congruences  $b \equiv 7 \pmod{15}, b \equiv 9 \pmod{14}$ . Then, it follows from Theorem 3 that number of solutions of (11) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{210} \sum_{d|210} C_d(37) \times C_{21} \left( \frac{210}{d} \right) \times C_{10} \left( \frac{210}{d} \right).$$

Now, we compute the product of the Ramanujan sums for each divisor  $d$  of 210 in the following table:

| Sl. no | $d =$ | $C_d(37)$ | $C_{21}(\frac{210}{d})$ | $C_{10}(\frac{210}{d})$ | Product |
|--------|-------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 1      | 1     | 1         | 12                      | 4                       | 48      |
| 2      | 2     | -1        | 12                      | -4                      | 48      |
| 3      | 3     | -1        | -6                      | 4                       | 24      |
| 4      | 5     | -1        | 12                      | -1                      | 12      |
| 5      | 7     | -1        | -2                      | 4                       | 8       |
| 6      | 6     | 1         | -6                      | -4                      | 24      |
| 7      | 10    | 1         | 12                      | 1                       | 12      |
| 8      | 14    | 1         | -2                      | -4                      | 8       |
| 9      | 15    | 1         | -6                      | -1                      | 6       |
| 10     | 21    | 1         | 1                       | 4                       | 4       |
| 11     | 35    | 1         | -2                      | -1                      | 2       |
| 12     | 30    | -1        | -6                      | 1                       | 6       |
| 13     | 42    | -1        | 1                       | -4                      | 4       |
| 14     | 70    | -1        | -2                      | 1                       | 2       |
| 15     | 105   | -1        | 1                       | -1                      | 1       |
| 16     | 210   | 1         | 1                       | 1                       | 1       |

Hence, the number of solutions is equal to

$$\frac{1}{210}(48 + 48 + 24 + 12 + 8 + 24 + 12 + 8 + 6 + 4 + 2 + 6 + 4 + 2 + 1 + 1) = 1.$$

**Verification by first principles:** First, note that  $(10, 21)$  is a solution; call this  $(x, y)$ . If  $(u, v)$  is any solution of the system of congruences - without assuming the GCD conditions, then  $(x - u, y - v)$  is a solution  $(s, t)$  of the system

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 + 2X_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{15} \\ 3X_1 + X_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{14}. \end{aligned}$$

From  $s + 2t \equiv 0 \pmod{15}$  and  $3s + t \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$ , we obtain

$$5s = -15k + 28l, \quad 5t = 45k - 14l,$$

for some integers  $k, l$ . Thus,  $l = 5m$  for some integer  $m$ , which gives us

$$s = -3k + 28m, \quad t = 9k - 14m.$$

Thus, any solution  $(u, v)$  of the system of congruences (11) is of the form  $u = 10 + 3k - 28m, v = 21 - 9k + 14m$ .

If this solution satisfies the GCD restrictions

$$(u, 15) = 5, (u, 14) = 2, (v, 15) = 3, (v, 14) = 7,$$

then  $10|u, 21|v$ . In particular,  $7|k$ ; say,  $k = 7K$ . So,

$$u = 10 + 21K - 28m, \quad v = 21 - 63K + 14m.$$

From  $21|v$ , we get  $3|m$ ; write  $m = 3M$ . Then,

$$u = 10 + 21K - 84M$$

which gives, by the condition  $10|u$ , that  $K - 4M = 10N$  for some  $N$ . Hence,

$$u = 10 + 21(K - 4M) = 10 + 210N \equiv 10 \pmod{210};$$

$$v = 21 - 63K + 42M = 21 - 63(10N + 4M) + 42M = 21 - 630N - 210M \equiv 21 \pmod{210}.$$

5. RESTRICTED LINEAR CONGRUENCES OVER  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$

We show that the above results for integers can be generalized in an appropriate way to the case of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field of cardinality  $q$ .

Let  $k$  and  $n$  be arbitrary positive integers and  $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . For  $H_1, \dots, H_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  of positive degrees and  $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be arbitrary. Then, consider the system of congruences over  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}X_1 + A_{12}X_2 + \dots + A_{1n}X_n &\equiv B_1 \pmod{H_1}, \\ A_{21}X_1 + A_{22}X_2 + \dots + A_{2n}X_n &\equiv B_2 \pmod{H_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ A_{k1}X_1 + A_{k2}X_2 + \dots + A_{kn}X_n &\equiv B_k \pmod{H_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We consider the existence of solutions  $X_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , and count the number of solutions modulo the ideal generated by  $H = H_1 \cdots H_k$ . For every  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , the function  $|H| = q^{\deg H} = |\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(H)|$  denotes the absolute value function on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . We shall use the notations  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$  and  $[H_1, \dots, H_k]$  to denote the gcd and lcm of the polynomials  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  respectively. Given non-zero polynomials  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , both the  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$  and  $[H_1, \dots, H_k]$  are well-defined upto units. Thus, we say that any two polynomials  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are coprime, if  $(H_1, H_2)$  is a unit; for convenience, we write  $(H_1, H_2) = 1$ . We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $H_1, \dots, H_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be pairwise co-prime non-constant polynomials, and  $H = H_1 \cdots H_k$ . The system of congruences represented by (12) has a solution  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]^n$  if, and only if, for each  $i \leq k, L_i \mid B_i$ , where  $L_i = (A_{i1}, \dots, A_{in}, H_i)$ . Further, if this condition is satisfied, then there are  $|H|^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k |L_i|$  solutions.*

Moreover, we may define the analogues of Euler’s  $\varphi$  function, the Möbius function and Ramanujan sums for  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  and prove results analogous to the ones proved in the earlier sections. In what follows, we will discuss this in some detail though the proofs themselves are completely analogous to the above ones.

For a non-constant polynomial  $H$ , define  $\varphi(H)$  to be the number of polynomials  $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  of degree less than  $\deg(H)$  which are coprime to  $H$ . Then, we shall prove:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $H$  be a non-constant polynomial as before, and let  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  be arbitrary non-zero polynomials. Then, the congruence  $AX \equiv B \pmod{H}$  has a solution  $X \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  satisfying  $(X, H) = T$  if and only if  $T \mid (B, H)$  and  $(A, \frac{H}{T}) = (\frac{B}{T}, \frac{H}{T})$ , where  $T \neq 0$ . Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied, then there are exactly*

$$\frac{\varphi(\frac{H}{T})}{\varphi(\frac{H}{DT})}$$

*solutions modulo  $H$ , where  $D = (A, \frac{H}{T}) = (\frac{B}{T}, \frac{H}{T})$ .*

Finally, a notion of Ramanujan sums for  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  was defined by Z. Zheng [18]. For  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , the Ramanujan sum  $\eta(A, B)$  is defined in Section 6 (see (13)) and we prove:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $H$  be a non-constant polynomial, and let  $H_i \mid H (1 \leq i \leq k)$ . Then, for any  $B \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , the number of solutions modulo  $H$  of the linear congruence*

$$X_1 + \dots + X_k \equiv B \pmod{H}, \text{ with } (X_i, H) = H_i (1 \leq i \leq k),$$

*is given by*

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{D|H} \eta(B, D) \prod_{i=1}^k \eta(H/D, H/H_i).$$

As an application of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, we prove the following Theorem:

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  be pairwise coprime polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  and  $H = H_1 \cdots H_k$ . Let  $T_{ij} \mid H_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Then the number of solutions of the system of congruences represented by (12) with the restrictions  $(X_j, H_i) = T_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , is given by*

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{H}{T_j}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{H}{T_j D_j}\right)} \sum_{D|H} \eta(B, D) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta\left(\frac{H}{D}, \frac{H}{T_i D_i}\right),$$

where  $T_j = \prod_{i=1}^k T_{ij}$  and  $D_j = \prod_{i=1}^k D_{ij}$  with  $D_{ij} = (A_{ij}, \frac{H_i}{T_{ij}})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Here  $B$  represents the unique solution of the following system of congruences

$$X \equiv B_i \pmod{H_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

## 6. RAMANUJAN SUMS AND DFT

In [18], Z. Zheng introduced the concept of the Ramanujan sum within the context of  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\langle H \rangle$ , where  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  represents a fixed non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . Let  $A$  be any polynomial such that  $A \equiv a_{m-1}t^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1t + a_0 \pmod{H}$ , and  $\tau$  be a function from  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/\langle H \rangle$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$  defined by  $\tau(A) = a_{m-1}$ . Then,  $\tau$  is an additive function modulo  $H$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , that is, for any  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , we have  $\tau(A+B) = \tau(A) + \tau(B)$ , and if  $A \equiv B \pmod{H}$  then  $\tau(A) = \tau(B)$ . In particular  $\tau(A) = 0$  whenever  $H \mid A$ . In general  $\tau_G(A) = \tau(GA)$ , then  $\tau_G(A)$  is also an additive function modulo  $H$ .

Next, let  $\lambda$  be a fixed non-principal character on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; for example, one may choose  $\lambda(a) = e\left(\frac{tr(a)}{p}\right)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $tr$  is the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ . We define a complex valued function  $E(G, H)$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  by

$$E(G, H)(A) = \lambda(\tau_G(A)).$$

It is easy to see that  $E(G, H)$  is an additive character modulo  $H$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  and

$$E(G, H)(A) = E(A, H)(G).$$

Moreover, the following lemma shows that any additive character modulo  $H$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  is of the form  $E(G, H)$  for some  $G \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** (Lemma 2.1, [18]) *For any  $\psi$ , an additive character modulo  $H$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , there exists a unique polynomial  $G$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  such that  $\psi = E(G, H)$ , and  $\deg G < \deg H$ .*

The next lemma gives the orthogonal relation for the additive characters modulo  $H$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** (Lemma 2.3, [18]) *Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , then we have*

$$\sum_{G \pmod{H}} E(G, H)(A) = \begin{cases} |H|, & \text{if } H \mid A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In what follows,  $D \mid H$  means  $D$  is a monic divisor of  $H$  and  $\sum_{D|H}$  means  $D$  extending over all of monic divisors of  $H$ .

The polynomial Ramanujan sum modulo  $H$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  is given by (see Section 4.1, [5])

$$\eta(G, H) = \sum_{\substack{A \pmod{H} \\ (A, H)=1}} E(G, H)(A) \quad (13)$$

where the summation extends over a complete residue system modulo  $H$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . Before we discuss the properties of the Ramanujan sum, let us introduce the following definition.

**Definition 6.3.** *The Möbius function  $\mu$  from  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  to  $\{0, 1, -1\}$  as follows:  $\mu(0) = 0$  and for  $H \neq 0$*

$$\mu(H) = \begin{cases} (-1)^h, & \text{if } H = P_1 \cdots P_h \text{ where } P_j\text{'s are pairwise non-associate} \\ & \text{irreducible polynomials,} \\ 0, & \text{if } H \text{ is not square free,} \\ 1, & \text{if } H \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  denotes the set of units of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Clearly,  $\mu(H)$  is a multiplicative function on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . Furthermore, it satisfies the following identity:

$$\sum_{D|H} \mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \deg H = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \deg H \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 6.4.** *(Euler's totient function) If  $H$  is not constant,  $\varphi(H)$  is defined to be the number of polynomials of degree less than  $\deg H$  that are coprime to  $H$ . Put also  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Further, it is easy to verify that  $\varphi(H) = \sum_{D|H} \mu(H/D)|D|$ .*

It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is a multiplicative function. Now, we proceed to list several properties of the polynomial Ramanujan sum that are analogous to the classical Ramanujan sum.

(i) For any polynomials  $G, H_1, H_2$ , we have

$$\eta(G, H_1 H_2) = \eta(G, H_1) \eta(G, H_2) \quad \text{if } (H_1, H_2) = 1.$$

(ii) For any polynomials  $G, H$  with  $H \neq 0$ , we have

$$\eta(G, H) = \sum_{D|(H, G)} |D| \mu\left(\frac{H}{D}\right).$$

(iii) For any polynomials  $G, H$  with  $H \neq 0$ , we have

$$\eta(G, H) = \frac{\varphi(H)\mu(N)}{\varphi(N)}, \quad \text{where } N = \frac{H}{(G, H)}.$$

A complex-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  is called an arithmetic function on  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  (or) simply an arithmetic function if  $f(aA) = f(A)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . More generally, an arithmetic function of  $n$  variables is a function  $f : (\mathbb{F}_q[t])^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(a_1 A_1, \dots, a_n A_n) = f(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  for any  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  denotes the set of all arithmetic function of  $n$  variables. If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ , then their convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(H_1, \dots, H_n) = \sum_{D_1 | H_1, \dots, D_n | H_n} f(D_1, \dots, D_n) g\left(\frac{H_1}{D_1}, \dots, \frac{H_n}{D_n}\right).$$

The set  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  forms a ring with point-wise addition and convolution product with unit element  $\mathcal{E}_n(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_n(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *An arithmetic function  $\delta$  on  $(\mathbb{F}_q[t])^n$  is invertible if and only if  $\delta(A_1, \dots, A_n) \neq 0$  for any  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .*

**Lemma 6.6.** (*Möbius inversion formula*) *If  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  are two arithmetic functions of  $n$  variables satisfying*

$$\Delta(H_1, \dots, H_n) = \sum_{D_1 | H_1, \dots, D_n | H_n} \delta(D_1, \dots, D_n)$$

then

$$\delta(H_1, \dots, H_n) = \sum_{D_1 | H_1, \dots, D_n | H_n} \Delta(D_1, \dots, D_n) \mu(H_1/D_1) \cdots \mu(H_n/D_n)$$

An arithmetic function  $\Delta$  is said to be periodic with period  $H$  (or  $H$ -periodic) for some  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  if  $\Delta(H_1) = \Delta(H_2)$  for every  $H_1, H_2$  satisfying  $H_1 \equiv H_2 \pmod{H}$ . For an  $H$ -periodic arithmetic function  $\Delta$ , its discrete (finite) Fourier transform (DFT) is defined to be the function

$$\widehat{\Delta}(G) = \sum_{A \pmod{H}} \Delta(A) E(G, H)(A).$$

Also, the inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) of  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\Delta(G) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{A \pmod{H}} \widehat{\Delta}(A) E(-G, H)(A).$$

We say that an arithmetic function  $\Delta$  is  $H$ -even if  $f(G) = f((G, H))$ , for every  $G \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . Clearly, if a function  $\Delta$  is  $H$ -even then it is  $H$ -periodic. Further, for a  $H$ -even function  $\Delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Delta}(G) &= \sum_{D|H} \Delta(D) \sum_{\substack{A \pmod{H} \\ (A, H/D)=1}} E(G, H/D)(A) \\ &= \sum_{D|H} \Delta(D) \eta(G, H/D). \end{aligned}$$

The Cauchy convolution of two  $H$ -periodic function  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  is defined by

$$(\Delta \otimes \delta)(G) = \sum_{\substack{A, B \pmod{H} \\ A+B \equiv G \pmod{H}}} \Delta(A) \delta(B) = \sum_{A \pmod{H}} \Delta(A) \delta(G - A).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(\Delta \otimes \delta)}(G) &= \sum_{A \pmod{H}} \sum_{B \pmod{H}} \Delta(B) \delta(A - B) E(G, H)(A) \\ &= \sum_{B \pmod{H}} \Delta(B) E(G, H)(B) \sum_{A \pmod{H}} \delta(A) E(G, H)(A) \\ &= \widehat{\Delta}(G) \widehat{\delta}(G). \end{aligned}$$



Similarly, we can define the Cauchy convolution of a finite number of  $H$ -periodic functions. Next, for every  $B \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]/\langle H \rangle$ , we define a  $H$ -periodic function as

$$\rho_{H, H_1}(B) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (B, H) = H_1 \\ 0, & \text{if } (B, H) \neq H_1. \end{cases}$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$\widehat{\rho_{H, H_1}}(B) = \eta(B, H/H_1).$$

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_n, A \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . For any  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$  such that  $[H_1, \dots, H_n] \mid H$ , we define

$$I(A; H_1, \dots, H_n) := \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{C \pmod{H}} \eta(C, H_1) \cdots \eta(C, H_n) E(A, H)(C),$$

and

$$J(A; H_1, \dots, H_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{|H_1 \cdots H_n|}{|[H_1, \dots, H_n]|}, & \text{if } \frac{H}{[H_1, \dots, H_n]} \mid A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 6.7.** *For any  $H_1, \dots, H_n, A \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , we have*

$$\sum_{D_1 \mid H_1, \dots, D_n \mid H_n} I(A; D_1, \dots, D_n) = J(A; H_1, \dots, H_n).$$

The proof of Lemma 6.7 follows similarly to the Lemma 3.8 in Section 3.1.

**6.8. Proof of the Theorems.** By using the above notations, the proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 follows similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 in [1], respectively. The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2, but with the use of Lemma 6.7 instead of Lemma 3.8 (see Section 3.1). The proof of Theorem 7 follows similarly to the proof of Theorem 3 (see Section 4).

**6.9. Some examples.** Here, we examine the solution count given by Theorem 4 and Theorem 7 through some examples and also verify them by the first principle.

**Example for Theorem 4.**

Consider the solutions of the congruence

$$tf(t) + t^2g(t) \equiv 2t + t^3 \pmod{t^4}.$$

We are looking for solutions  $f, g$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^4)$ . It follows from Theorem 4 that the number of solutions is  $|t^4| |(t, t^2, t^4)| = |t^4| |t| = q^5$ .

To check this by first principle, we note that  $(2, t)$  is a solution. So, if  $(f, g)$  is an arbitrary solution with  $\deg(f), \deg(g) \leq 3$ , then

$$t(f(t) - 2) + t^2(g(t) - t) = t^4h(t),$$

where  $h(t) = h_0 + h_1t + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ . So, writing  $g(t) = b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2 + b_3t^3$ , we have

$$f(t) = 2 - b_0t + (1 - b_1)t^2 + (h_0 - b_2)t^3 \pmod{t^4}.$$

So, the coefficient of  $t^3$  for  $f$  is arbitrary, and others are determined. The coefficients of  $g$  are arbitrary. Hence, the number of solutions is  $q^5$ .

Note that  $(f, g)$  varies in  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^4) \times \mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^4)$  which has cardinality  $q^8$ .

**Example for Theorem 7.**

Let  $q$  be an odd prime. Consider the following system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 + (1+t)X_2 &\equiv 3t + 1 \pmod{t^2} \\ X_1 + X_2 &\equiv -2 \pmod{t+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with the restrictions

$$(X_1, t^2) = 1, (X_1, t+1) = 1, (X_2, t^2) = t, (X_2, t+1) = 1.$$

Then we observe that  $(2t+1, t)$  is one solution of the system (14). We shall prove that the number of solutions for  $X_1, X_2$  modulo  $t^2(t+1)$  is  $(q-1)(q-2)$ . We first use Theorem 7 to determine the number and verify it by first principles as a check.

In the notation of the theorem, then  $H = t^2(t+1)$ ,  $T_{11} = 1, T_{12} = t, T_{21} = 1, T_{22} = 1$ , so  $T_1 = 1, T_2 = t$  and  $D_{ij} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ , hence  $D_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . To find all the solutions of (14), we need only consider the following congruences

$$Y_1 + Y_2 \equiv B \pmod{t^2(t+1)},$$

satisfying  $(Y_1, t^2(t+1)) = 1$  and  $(Y_2, t^2(t+1)) = t$ , where  $B = 3t+1$  is the unique solution of the congruences  $B \equiv 3t+1 \pmod{t^2}, B \equiv -2 \pmod{t+1}$ . Recall that  $\varphi(H) = \sum_{D|H} \mu(D) \left| \frac{H}{D} \right|$  and  $\eta(G, H) = \frac{\varphi(H)\mu(N)}{\varphi(N)}$ , where  $N = \frac{H}{(G, H)}$ .

We first compute the number of solutions using Theorem 7. For any prime  $q$ , the number of solutions of the system of congruences (14) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|t^2(t+1)|} \times \frac{\varphi(t^2(t+1))\varphi(t(t+1))}{\varphi(t^2(t+1))\varphi(t(t+1))} \times \\ & \sum_{D|t^2(t+1)} \eta(3t+1, D) \prod_{j=1}^2 \eta\left(\frac{t^2(t+1)}{D}, \frac{t^2(t+1)}{T_j D_j}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{q^3} \sum_{D|t^2(t+1)} \eta(3t+1, D) \prod_{j=1}^2 \eta\left(\frac{t^2(t+1)}{D}, \frac{t^2(t+1)}{T_j D_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we compute the product of the Ramanujan sums for each divisor  $D$  of  $t^2(t+1)$  in the following table:

| Sl. no | $D =$      | $\eta(3t+1, D)$ | $\eta\left(\frac{t^2(t+1)}{D}\right)$ | $\eta\left(\frac{t^2(t+1)}{T_j D_j}\right)$ | Product     |
|--------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| 1      | 1          | 1               | $q(q-1)^2$                            | $(q-1)^2$                                   | $q(q-1)^4$  |
| 2      | $t$        | -1              | $-q(q-1)$                             | $(q-1)^2$                                   | $q(q-1)^3$  |
| 3      | $t+1$      | -1              | $-q(q-1)$                             | $-(q-1)$                                    | $-q(q-1)^2$ |
| 4      | $t^2$      | 0               | -                                     | -   | 0           |
| 5      | $t(t+1)$   | 1               | $q$                                   | $-(q-1)$                                    | $-q(q-1)$   |
| 6      | $t^2(t+1)$ | 0               | -                                     | -   | 0           |

Hence, the number of solutions is equal to

$$\frac{1}{q^3} [q(q-1)^4 + q(q-1)^3 - q(q-1)^2 - q(q-1)] = (q-1)(q-2).$$

Let us compute the number of solutions by first principles now. As we observed above, we are looking for solutions of

$$Y_1 + Y_2 \equiv 3t+1 \pmod{t^2(t+1)},$$

satisfying  $(Y_1, t^2(t+1)) = 1$  and  $(Y_2, t^2(t+1)) = t$ . For  $Y_2 = at$  (with  $1 \leq a \leq q-1$ ), the unique solution  $Y_1 \equiv 3t+1-at$  modulo  $t^2(t+1)$  satisfies the restriction  $(Y_1, t^2(t+1)) = 1$  if and only if,  $a \neq 0, 2$ . Therefore,  $(3t+1-at, at)$  are solutions for  $1 \leq a \leq q-1$  and  $a \neq 0, 2$ . These give  $q-2$  solutions.

For  $Y_2 = at^2 + bt$  with  $a \neq 0$ , the unique solution  $Y_1 = 3t+1-at^2-bt$  modulo  $t^2(t+1)$  satisfies  $(Y_1, t^2(t+1)) = 1$  if and only if,  $b \neq 0, a, a+2$ . Note that when  $a = q-2$ , the restrictions  $b \neq 0$  and  $b \neq a+2$  coincide. Therefore, the solutions are  $(3t+1-at^2-$

$bt, at^2 + bt)$  with  $a \neq 0, q - 2$  and  $b \neq 0, a, a + 2$ ; and  $(3t + 1 - (q - 2)t^2 - bt, (q - 2)t^2 + bt)$  with  $b \neq 0, a$ . Thus, the total number of solutions is

$$(q - 2) + (q - 2)(q - 3) + (q - 2) = (q - 2)(q - 1).$$

### Acknowledgment.

This work was done in June 2023. The first and second authors would like to thank the Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore centre for providing an ideal environment to carry out this work. The second author expresses his gratitude to NBHM for financial support during the period of this work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Khodakhist Bibak, Bruce M. Kapron, Venkatesh Srinivasan, Roberto Tauraso, and László Tóth. Restricted linear congruences. *J. Number Theory*, 171:128–144, 2017.
- [2] Khodakhist Bibak, Bruce M. Kapron, Venkatesh Srinivasan, and László Tóth. On an almost-universal hash function family with applications to authentication and secrecy codes. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 29(3):357–375, 2018.
- [3] A. Brauer. Lösung der aufgabe 30. *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.*, 35:92–94, 1926.
- [4] A. T. Butson and B. M. Stewart. Systems of linear congruences. *Can. J. Math.*, 7:358–368, 1955.
- [5] Leonard Carlitz. The singular series for sums of squares of polynomials. *Duke Math. J.*, 14:1105–1120, 1947.
- [6] Eckford Cohen. A class of arithmetical functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 41:939–944, 1955.
- [7] D. N. Lehmer. Certain theorems in the theory of quadratic residues. *Am. Math. Mon.*, 20:148–157, 1913.
- [8] Valery A. Liskovets. A multivariate arithmetic function of combinatorial and topological significance. *Integers*, 10(1):155–177, a12, 2010.
- [9] P. J. McCarthy. The number of restricted solutions of some systems of linear congruences. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 54:59–68, 1976.
- [10] Alexander Mednykh and Roman Nedela. Enumeration of unrooted maps of a given genus. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 96(5):706–729, 2006.
- [11] C. A. Nicol and H. S. Vandiver. A von Sterneck arithmetical function and restricted partitions with respect to a modulus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 40:825–835, 1954.
- [12] Øystein Ore. The general Chinese remainder theorem. *Am. Math. Mon.*, 59:365–370, 1952.
- [13] H. Rademacher. Aufgabe 30. *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.*, 34:64–79, 1925.
- [14] K. G. Ramanathan. Some applications of Ramanujan’s trigonometrical sum  $C_m(n)$ . *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A*, 20:62–69, 1944.
- [15] H. J. S. Smith. On systems of linear indeterminate equations and congruences. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 151:293–326, 1861.
- [16] Jürgen Spilker. A unified method for treating a linear congruence with constraints. *Elem. Math.*, 51(3):108–116, 1996.
- [17] B Sury. Multivariable chinese remainder theorem. *Reson*, 20:206–216, 2015.
- [18] Zhiyong Zheng. On the polynomial Ramanujan sums over finite fields. *Ramanujan J.*, 46(3):863–898, 2018.

*Email address:* cgkarthick24@gmail.com

*Email address:* ranjan.math.rb@gmail.com

*Email address:* surybang@gmail.com