

Local existence of classical solution to the chemotaxis-shallow water system with vacuum in \mathbb{R}^2

Li Chen

*School of Business Informatics and Mathematics, University of Mannheim,
Mannheim, 68159, Germany*

Zhen Luo

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University,
Xiamen, 361005, China*

Yucheng Wang

*School of Business Informatics and Mathematics, University of Mannheim,
Mannheim, 68159, Germany*

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the chemotaxis-shallow water system in \mathbb{R}^2 . We establish the local existence of classical solution without assuming the initial height is small or has a small perturbation near a constant. The far field behavior of the height is a constant which could be either vacuum or non-vacuum. The initial data is allowed vacuum and the spatial measure of the set of vacuum can be arbitrarily large.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the chemotaxis-shallow water system which was proposed in [4]

$$\begin{cases} n_t + \operatorname{div}(n\mathbf{u}) = D_n \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (n\chi(c)\nabla c), \\ c_t + \operatorname{div}(c\mathbf{u}) = D_c \Delta c - nf(c), \\ h_t + \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ h\mathbf{u}_t + h\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + h^2 \nabla n + \frac{1}{2}(1+n)\nabla h^2 = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda)\nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t \geq 0$. Here n, c, h, \mathbf{u} represent the bacterial density, substrate concentration, the fluid height and the fluid velocity respectively. The constants μ and λ are the shear viscosity and the bulk viscosity coefficients respectively which satisfy $\mu > 0$, $\mu + \lambda \geq 0$. The two constants D_n and D_c are the corresponding diffusion coefficients for the cells and substrate. The constant $\chi(c)$ represents the chemotactic sensitivity and the given function $f(c)$ represents the consumption rate of the substrate by the cells.

Chemotaxis is a well-known biological phenomenon which describes the movement of cells, bacteria or microorganism in response to the chemical signal. Mathematically, the best-studied model to describe the chemotaxis is the Keller-Segel system [15, 16]. This system is very interesting as it has two different mechanisms, namely attraction and repulsion. For the attractive

¹2020MSC: 35Q35; 35Q92; 92C17; 76N10.

²Keywords: Chemotaxis; Shallow water; Classical solution; Vacuum; Local existence.

³E-mail addresses: li.chen@uni-mannheim.de (L.Chen), zluo@xmu.edu.cn (Z.Luo), yucheng.wang@uni-mannheim.de (Y.Wang).

Keller-Segel system, as the competition between the dissipation and non-local aggregation, the solutions have different behavior depending on the space dimension. For one dimension, [22] established the global classical solution. For two and three dimensions, a threshold of critical mass between the global existence and finite-time blow-up has been conjectured in [12, 13, 14, 21, 29]. For the repulsive Keller-Segel system, the global existence of the solution was established in [7].

In real nature, the chemotaxis process often occurs in a fluid environment. Recently, the chemotaxis coupled with fluid equations have attracted many mathematicians. Based on some rational hypotheses, we can derive the system (1.1) from the three-dimensional chemotaxis-incompressible Navier-Stokes system. The details of the derivation can refer to [4]. The chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system was first proposed in [25] to describe the interaction between bacteria and the surrounding fluid in which their nutrient is dissolved. [19] constructed the local-in-time weak solutions in a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 . For the Cauchy problem in two dimensions, [10] established the global weak solutions to the chemotaxis-Stokes system i.e. the nonlinear convective term $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ does not appear in the fluid equation of chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system. [9] and [18] obtained the global weak solutions to the chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes systems. [9] also established the global existence of classical solution. For some small initial data, the global existence of smooth solution was established in [3]. For the Cauchy problem in three dimensions, the global existence of classical solution near constant steady state was established in [10]. For the initial boundary value problem, in [30], the global existence of classical solution was established in two dimensions. Later, [31] proved that this solution stabilizes to the equilibrium $(\bar{n}_0, 0, \mathbf{0})$ with respect to L^∞ norm. [32, 33] established the global existence and stabilization of this system in three dimensions.

For the chemotaxis-shallow water system (1.1), [4] established the local existence of solutions and the blow-up criterion. Later, [24, 26, 34] established the global existence and decay estimates of the Cauchy problem. For the initial boundary value problem, the global existence of the solution can refer to [27, 28].

In this paper, we consider the case

$$D_n = D_c = 1, \quad \chi(c) = 1, \quad f(c) = c,$$

and establish the existence of classical solution with far field behavior

$$(n, c, \mathbf{u})(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 0, \mathbf{0}), \quad h(x, t) \rightarrow \tilde{h} \geq 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

The initial data

$$(n, c, h, \mathbf{u})(x, 0) = (n_0, c_0, h_0, \mathbf{u}_0)(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $n_0 \geq 0$, $c_0 \geq 0$, $h_0 \geq 0$ satisfy the compatibility condition

$$-\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_0 - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0) + h_0^2 \nabla n_0 + \frac{1}{2} (1 + n_0) \nabla h_0^2 = h_0 g, \quad (1.4)$$

for some $g \in D^1$ with $h_0^{1/2} g \in L^2$. Before stating the main theorem, we introduce the notations and conventions used throughout this paper. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we write

$$\int f dx = \int_{\Omega} f dx.$$

For $1 < r < \infty, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the standard homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L^r = L^r(\Omega), D^{k,r} = \{\mathbf{u} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \mid \|\nabla^k \mathbf{u}\|_{L^r} < \infty\}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{D^{k,r}} := \|\nabla^k \mathbf{u}\|_{L^r}, \\ W^{k,r} = L^r \cap D^{k,r}, \quad H^k = W^{k,2}, \quad D^k = D^{k,2}, \quad D_0^k = \{\mathbf{u} \in D^k \mid \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{0}\}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the material derivative is defined as

$$\dot{f} := f_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla f.$$

The aim of this paper is to establish the local existence of classical solution without any restriction on the smallness of initial data or perturbation in the sense of $\|h_0 - \tilde{h}\|_{H^3}$ which allows the vacuum for the initial height. The main result in this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *For $\tilde{h} \geq 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, assume that the initial data $(n_0, c_0, h_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ satisfy*

$$n_0 \in H^3, c_0 \in H^3, h_0 - \tilde{h} \in H^3, \mathbf{u}_0 \in D^1 \cap D^3, \sqrt{h_0} \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \quad (1.5)$$

and the compatibility condition (1.4). Moreover, if $\tilde{h} = 0$, in addition to (1.4) and (1.5), suppose that

$$|x|^{\alpha/2} n_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} c_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} h_0^2 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h_0} g \in L^2 \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$|x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla^2 n_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla^2 c_0 \in L^2, |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\alpha := \mu / (4(2\mu + \lambda)) \in (0, 1/8]. \quad (1.8)$$

Then there exists a small time $T^ > 0$ and a unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T^*]$ such that for any $\tau \in (0, T^*)$,*

$$\begin{cases} n \in C([0, T^*]; H^3), c \in C([0, T^*]; H^3), \\ (h - \tilde{h}, h^2 - \tilde{h}^2) \in C([0, T^*]; H^3), \\ \mathbf{u} \in C([0, T^*]; L^q \cap D^1 \cap D^3) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^4) \cap L^\infty(\tau, T^*; D^4), \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; D^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; L^q \cap D^2), \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(\tau, T^*; L^{q_1} \cap D^2) \cap H^1(\tau, T^*; D^1), \\ \sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2), \sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \in L^2(0, T^*; L^2), \\ \sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \in L^\infty(\tau, T^*; L^2), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} q = q_1 = 2, & \text{if } \tilde{h} > 0, \\ q = 4/\alpha, q_1 = 8/\alpha, & \text{if } \tilde{h} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2. The two-dimensional case is the critical case for the standard Sobolev embedding theorem. Thus, it is difficult to get the L^p -norm of the velocity \mathbf{u} and the material derivative $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ depending on the gradient of it. When the far field state $\tilde{h} > 0$, in [4], the authors established the local existence of strong solutions to the system (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^2 , where the L^2 -norm of \mathbf{u} was achieved through the following Lemma 2.1. In this paper, we consider the case that includes $\tilde{h} = 0$, where Lemma 2.1 is no longer true. How to establish the L^p -norm is the main difficulty of our paper. We need some new elaborate estimates to overcome this difficulty.

Remark 1.3. If the initial height is not allowed to vanish, for the Cauchy problem in \mathbb{R}^2 , the global existence of strong solutions to the system (1.1) are established in [24, 26, 34]. The solution in our main result can be expected to exist globally if the initial height is allowed to vanish.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we list some known results and inequalities which will be used in latter analysis. Section 3 establishes necessary the a priori estimates on strong solutions for $\tilde{h} = 0$ and obtains the local strong solution to the system (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^2 . Finally, the main result, Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some inequalities, Aubin-Lions lemma and fixed point theorem which will be used later. When the far field state is $\tilde{h} > 0$, it is easy to get the L^2 -norm for \mathbf{u} through the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (see [8, 20]) *If $\tilde{h} > 0$, then there exists some constant $C(\tilde{h}) > 0$ such that the following estimate holds for $h - \tilde{h} \in L^2$, $\mathbf{u} \in D^1$, $h^{1/2}\mathbf{u} \in L^2$,*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left(\int h |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (2.1)$$

When the far field state is $\tilde{h} = 0$, we can use the following Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality to establish the L^p estimate of \mathbf{u} .

Lemma 2.2. (see [1, 2]) *For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, the following estimates hold for all $\mathbf{u} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$,*

$$\int |x|^{\alpha-2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{4/\alpha}}^2 \leq C(\alpha) \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx.$$

We will use frequently the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

Lemma 2.3. (see [17]) *For $p \geq 2$, $q \in (1, \infty)$, and $r \in (2, \infty)$, there exists some generic constant $C > 0$ which may depend on q, r such that for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap D^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p} &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^{2/p} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{(p-2)/p}, \\ \|g\|_{C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^q}^{q(r-2)/(2r+q(r-2))} \|\nabla g\|_{L^r}^{2r/(2r+q(r-2))}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we recall the following Aubin-Lions lemma.

Lemma 2.4. (see [23]) *Let X_0, X , and X_1 be three Banach spaces with $X_0 \subset X \subset X_1$. Suppose that X_0 is compactly embedded in X and that X is continuously embedded in X_1 .*

- (i) *Let G be bounded in $L^p(0, T; X_0)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $\partial G / \partial t$ be bounded in $L^1(0, T; X_1)$. Then G is relatively compact in $L^p(0, T; X)$.*
- (ii) *Let F be bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; X_0)$ and $\partial F / \partial t$ be bounded in $L^r(0, T; X_1)$, where $r > 1$. Then F is relatively compact in $C([0, T]; X)$.*

The local existence of strong solution in a bounded domain will be obtained by the following Schauder fixed point theorem.

Lemma 2.5. (see [11]) *Let \mathfrak{X} be a compact convex set in a Banach space \mathcal{B} and let \mathcal{T} be a continuous mapping of \mathfrak{X} into itself. Then \mathcal{T} has a fixed point, that is $\mathcal{T}x = x$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.*

3 Local existence of strong solution

In this section, we establish the local existence of strong solution to the system (1.1) with the far field behavior (1.2) and initial data (1.3) in \mathbb{R}^2 . In order to do this, we should first derive some uniform local (in time) a priori estimates for the linearized systems in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ as the specific order. Beginning with the equation for the fluid height h ,

$$\begin{cases} h_t + \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{v}) = 0, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ h(x, 0) = h_0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

we then consider the equation for c ,

$$\begin{cases} c_t + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{v}) = \Delta c - mc, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ c = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ c(x, 0) = c_0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Based on the estimates of (3.2), we investigate the equation for the bacterial density n ,

$$\begin{cases} n_t + \operatorname{div}(n\mathbf{v}) = \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (n\nabla c), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ n = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ n(x, 0) = n_0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Finally, using the estimates of (3.1) and (3.3), we consider the equation for the fluid velocity \mathbf{u} ,

$$\begin{cases} h\mathbf{u}_t + h\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + L\mathbf{u} + h^2\nabla n + \frac{1}{2}(1+n)\nabla h^2 = 0, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Here $L := -\mu\Delta - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div}$ is a strongly elliptic operator. The given functions \mathbf{v} and m satisfy

$$\mathbf{v} \in C([0, T]; H_0^1 \cap H^3) \cap L^2(0, T; H^4), \quad \mathbf{v}_t \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$m \in C([0, T]; H_0^1 \cap H^3) \cap L^2(0, T; H^4), \quad m_t \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2). \quad (3.6)$$

Based on the a priori estimates that we established and the Schauder fixed point theorem, we obtain the local strong solution to the system (1.1) in a bounded domain. Then, let the size of the domain tends to infinity and the lower bound of the fluid height tends to zero, we can obtain the local strong solution of the system (1.1) in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 . Before starting to prove, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that the initial data $(n_0, c_0, h_0 - \tilde{h}, \mathbf{u}_0)$ satisfy (1.5) and $n_0 \geq 0, c_0 \geq 0, h_0 \geq \delta$ on $\Omega \times [0, T]$ for some $\delta > 0$. \mathbf{v} and m satisfy the conditions (3.5) and (3.6). Then there exists a unique strong solution (h, c, n, \mathbf{u}) to (3.1)-(3.4) such that for any $T > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} n \in C([0, T]; H_0^3), \quad n_t \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2), \\ c \in C([0, T]; H_0^3), \quad c_t \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2), \\ h - \tilde{h} \in C([0, T]; H^3), \quad h_t \in C(0, T; H^2), \\ \mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; H_0^3), \quad \mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T; H^2). \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. The solution h is represented by the formula

$$h(x, t) = h_0(U(0; x, t)) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}(U(s; x, t), s) ds \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $U \in C([0, T]; \Omega \times [0, T])$ is the solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(s; x, t) = \mathbf{v}(s; U(s; x, t)), & 0 \leq s \leq T, \\ U(t; x, t) = x, & 0 \leq s \leq T, x \in \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

By the characteristic method, we can obtain the existence and regularity of the unique solution to the equation (3.1) (see [6]). Next, solving the linear parabolic equations (3.2) and (3.3), the unique solution which satisfies the regularity in (3.7) can be established. Finally, note that L is a strong elliptic operator (see [5]), by standard method such as a semi-discrete Galerkin method or the method of continuity, together with the regularity of h and n , we can obtain the unique solution to (3.4). We omit the details. \square

3.1 Uniform a priori estimates for the linearized problem

In this subsection, we derive some uniform local (in time) a priori estimates for strong solution to the linear problem (3.1)-(3.4). These estimates are independent of the lower bound δ of h_0 and the size of the domain Ω . According to the Lemma 2.1, it is easy to get the L^2 norm of \mathbf{u} when the far field state $\tilde{h} > 0$. For the far field state $\tilde{h} = 0$, the main difficulty is how to obtain the L^p norm for \mathbf{u} itself and the material derivatives of the velocity $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ for some $p \geq 2$. In the rest of this subsection, we establish some local (in time) a priori estimates in a bounded domain under the assumption that $\tilde{h} = 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 := & 1 + \|n_0\|_{H^3}^2 + \|c_0\|_{H^3}^2 + \|(h_0, h_0^2)\|_{H^3}^2 + \|h_0^{1/2}\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{D^1 \cap D^3}^2 \\ & + \||x|^{\alpha/2}(n_0, c_0, h_0^2, \sqrt{h_0}g)\|_{L^2}^2 + \||x|^{\alpha/2}(\nabla^2 n_0, \nabla^2 c_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \||x|^{\alpha/2}(\nabla n_0, \nabla c_0, \nabla \mathbf{u}_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g\|_{D^1}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\alpha := \mu / (4(2\mu + \lambda)) \in (0, 1/8].$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) := & 1 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{H^1}^2 + \||x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|m_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^{4/\alpha}}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{W^{1,4/\alpha} \cap H^1}^2 + \|\nabla m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla m_t\|_{L^2}^2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

From now on, we always assume that $0 \leq t \leq T \leq 1$ and C are various constants depending on μ and λ but not depending on the lower bound δ of h_0 and the size of the domain Ω . We begin with the estimates of h .

Lemma 3.2. *Let h be a smooth solution of (3.1). For $q = 4/\alpha$, we have*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(h, h^2)\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty}^2 \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/2}\} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla h^2\|_{L^p}^2 + \|h_t\|_{L^p}^2) \leq C c_0 \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/2}\}, \quad (3.12)$$

for all $p \in [2, q]$, where c_0 and Φ are defined in (3.9) and (3.10).

Proof. The equation (3.1)₁ implies that h^2 satisfies the equation

$$h_t^2 + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla h^2 + 2h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(h, h^2)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|(h, h^2)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which together with (3.8) gives that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|(h, h^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(h, h^2)\|_{L^\infty}^2) \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.14)$$

For any $p \in [2, q]$, operating ∇ on both sides of the equation (3.1)₁, multiplying the resulting equation by $p|\nabla h|^{p-2}\nabla h$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla h|^p dx \leq C (\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^p + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^p} \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^{p-1}).$$

We deduce from the Gronwall's inequality that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^2 \leq Cc_0 \exp\{C\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.15)$$

Following the estimate of (3.15), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla h^2\|_{L^p}^2 \leq Cc_0 \exp\{C\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, the equation (3.1)₁ gives that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|h_t\|_{L^p}^2 = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{v})\|_{L^p}^2 \leq Cc_0\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.17)$$

The estimates (3.15)-(3.17) yield (3.12). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let c be a smooth solution of the equation (3.2). Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|c\|_{H^1}^2 + \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t (\|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2 \cap L^4}^2) ds \leq Cc_0 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2 \cap L^4}^2 + \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t (\|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2) ds \\ & \leq Cc_0\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.2)₁ by c and integrating over Ω to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int c^2 dx + \int |\nabla c|^2 dx \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|m\|_{L^\infty}) \|c\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality leads that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|c\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp\{C\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.20)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.2)₁ by c_t and integrating over Ω gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla c|^2 dx + \int c_t^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla c\|_{L^2} \|c_t\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{L^4} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4} \|c_t\|_{L^2} + \|m\|_{L^4} \|c\|_{L^4} \|c_t\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L^4}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|m\|_{L^4}^2 \|c\|_{L^4}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and using Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.21)$$

In order to estimate $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2$, we differentiate the equation (3.2)₁ with respect to t

$$c_{tt} + \operatorname{div}(c\mathbf{v})_t = \Delta c_t - (mc)_t. \quad (3.22)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.22) by c_t and integrating over Ω gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int c_t^2 dx + \int |\nabla c_t|^2 dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} c_t^2 dx - \int \mathbf{v}_t \cdot \nabla c c_t dx - \int c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t c_t dx - \int m_t c c_t dx - \int m c_t^2 dx \\
&\leq C(\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^q} \|\nabla c\|_{L^2} \|c_t\|_{L^p} + \|c\|_{L^4} \|c_t\|_{L^4} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|m_t\|_{L^4} \|c\|_{L^4} \|c_t\|_{L^2} + \|m\|_{L^\infty} \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2} + \|m\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2}^{3/2} + \|m_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla m_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|c\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.23), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}. \tag{3.24}$$

The classical L^p theory asserts that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|m c\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C(\|c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L^4}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|m\|_{L^4}^2 \|c\|_{L^4}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

which together with (3.20), (3.21), and (3.24) implies that

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C c_0 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}. \tag{3.27}$$

In order to estimate $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2$, we multiply the equation (3.22) by c_{tt} and integrate over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla c_t|^2 dx + \int c_{tt}^2 dx \\
&= -\int \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c_t c_{tt} dx - \int c_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} c_{tt} dx - \int \mathbf{v}_t \cdot \nabla c c_{tt} dx - \int c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t c_{tt} dx \\
&\quad - \int m_t c c_{tt} dx - \int m c_t c_{tt} dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|c_t\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\varepsilon (\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^p}^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \|m_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla m_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|m\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla m\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.28), combining with (3.20), (3.21), (3.24), and (3.27), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}. \tag{3.29}$$

Using the classical L^p theory and equation (3.22), together with (3.20), (3.21), (3.24), and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t (\|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4}^2) ds \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^p}^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|m_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|c\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|m\|_{L^4}^2 \|c_t\|_{L^4}^2) ds \\
& \leq C c_0 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. According to the equation (3.2)₁ and elliptic estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 & \leq C(\|c_t\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|mc\|_{L^4}^2) \\
& \leq C(\|c_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\
& \quad + C(\|c\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla m\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate (3.20), (3.21), (3.24), (3.26), (3.27), and (3.29), we have

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C c_0 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}. \tag{3.32}$$

The estimate (3.20), (3.21), (3.24), (3.26), and (3.31) yield (3.18). The estimate (3.19) is given by (3.27), (3.29), (3.30), and (3.32). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let n be a smooth solution of the equation (3.3). Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}\}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2 \cap L^4}^2 ds \leq C c_0^2 \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}\}, \tag{3.34}$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C c_0^2 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}\}. \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. To prove the estimate (3.33), we can use the same method to get (3.18). We remain to deal with the term $-\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) n \, dx$, $-\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) n_t \, dx$, $-\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t n_t \, dx$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) n \, dx \leq C \|\Delta c\|_{L^2} \|n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \|n\|_{L^2}, \\
& -\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) n_t \, dx \leq C(\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} \|n_t\|_{L^2} + \|n\|_{L^4} \|\Delta c\|_{L^4} \|n_t\|_{L^2}) \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon \|n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n\|_{L^4}^2 \|\Delta c\|_{L^4}^2), \\
& -\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t n_t \, dx \leq C(\|\Delta c\|_{L^2} \|n_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2} + \|n\|_{L^4} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}) \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \|n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n\|_{L^4}^2 \|c_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimates and Lemma 3.3 give (3.33). Finally, for any $p \in [2, 4]$, the L^p theory asserts

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^p}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|n_t\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n\|_{L^p}^2 + \|n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla n \cdot \nabla c\|_{L^p}^2 + \|n \Delta c\|_{L^p}^2) \\ & \leq C(\|n_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{p}} \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{4}{p}} + (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla n\|_{L^p}^2 + \|n\|_{L^{\frac{4p}{4-p}}}^2 (\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^4}^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Choosing $p = 2$ in (3.36), together with (3.33) and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0^2 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\} \quad (3.37)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Cc_0^2 \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.38)$$

Choosing $p = 4$ in (3.36), together with (3.33), (3.37), and Lemma 3.3 gives

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2 ds \leq Cc_0^2 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.39)$$

The inequalities (3.34) and (3.35) follow directly from (3.37)-(3.39). \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathbf{u} be a smooth solution of the equation (3.4). Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{h}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.40)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.4)₁ by \mathbf{u} and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int h \mathbf{u}^2 dx + \mu \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})^2 dx \\ & = - \int h^2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n dx - \frac{1}{2} \int (1+n) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^q} \|n\|_{L^p}) \\ & \leq C(\|h\|_{L^\infty}^3 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^q}^2) \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{4}{p}} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{p}} + \|h\|_{L^\infty}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Applying the Gronwall's inequality to (3.41), together with Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.42)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.4)₁ by $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})^2 dx + \int h \dot{\mathbf{u}}^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \|\sqrt{h}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \|\sqrt{h}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^q} \|n\|_{L^p} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\sqrt{h}\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|h\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^q}^2 \|n\|_{L^p}^2) \\ & \quad + C \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Using the Gronwall's inequality to (3.43), together with Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.44)$$

Combining the estimates (3.42) and (3.44), we complete the proof of (3.40). \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let (h, \mathbf{u}) be a smooth solution to the equation (3.1) and (3.4). Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\} \quad (3.45)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq Cc_0^2 \exp \{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \quad (3.46)$$

Proof. Taking the operator $\partial_t + \partial_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot)$ on both sides of equation (3.4)₁, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} + h \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t + h_t^2 \nabla n + h^2 \nabla n_t + \frac{1}{2} n_t \nabla h^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1+n) \nabla h_t^2 + \operatorname{div}(h \dot{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ & + \operatorname{div}(h^2 \nabla n \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}((1+n) \nabla h^2 \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ & = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_t + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_t + \mu \operatorname{div}(\Delta \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + (\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \otimes \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.47) by $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int h \dot{\mathbf{u}}^2 dx \\ & = - \int h_t^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n dx - \int h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n_t dx - \frac{1}{2} \int n_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int (1+n) \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h_t^2 dx \\ & - \int \operatorname{div}(h^2 \nabla n \otimes \mathbf{v}) \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{div}((1+n) \nabla h^2 \otimes \mathbf{v}) \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx + \mu \int \Delta \mathbf{u}_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ & + (\mu + \lambda) \int \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx + \mu \int \operatorname{div}(\Delta \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int \operatorname{div}(\nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \otimes \mathbf{v}) \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ & := \sum_{i=1}^{10} E_i. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will estimate E_i for each i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$). First we consider $E_1 + E_5$. By Hölder's inequality and equation (3.1)₁, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 + E_5 & = 2 \int h \operatorname{div}(h \mathbf{v}) \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n dx + \int h^2 \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ & = \int h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n dx - \int \mathbf{v} h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla^2 n dx \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2} \|h^{3/2} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate $E_2 + E_3$. Using the integrating by parts and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 + E_3 & = \frac{1}{2} \int n_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h^2 dx + \int h^2 \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} n_t dx \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^q} \|n_t\|_{L^p} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|n_t\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Then we consider $E_7 + E_9$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_7 + E_9 &= -\frac{\mu}{2} \int |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + \mu \int \nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - \mu \int \Delta \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ &= -\frac{\mu}{2} \int |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + \mu \int \nabla \mathbf{v} \nabla \mathbf{u} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \int |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Through the similar calculation as $E_7 + E_9$, we can get the estimate of $E_8 + E_{10}$. That is

$$E_8 + E_{10} \leq -\frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \int (\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}})^2 dx + C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}.$$

Finally, we consider $E_4 + E_6$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_4 + E_6 &= \int \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n h h_t dx - \int (1 + n) \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx \\ &= - \int \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n h \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla h dx - \int \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx - \int (1 + n) \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla h\|_{L^q} \|\nabla n\|_{L^p} \|\sqrt{h} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} h^{3/2}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \|\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \|h^2(1 + n)\|_{L^\infty}), \end{aligned}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. Combining all these estimates about E_i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|h\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^3 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty} + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^q}^2 \|n_t\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla n\|_{L^p}^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \|h^2(1 + n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \mu/2$ and applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.48), together with Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we can get (3.45). According to the L^p theory, Lemma 3.2, 3.4, equation (3.4), and (3.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1 + n)h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C c_0^2 \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Through the definition of material derivation, together with the estimate (3.45), (3.49), and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C c_0^2 \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimate combined with (3.49) yields (3.46). \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let h be as in Lemma 3.2. Then*

$$\| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C c_0 \exp\{C \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/2}\}. \tag{3.50}$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.13) by $|x|^\alpha h^2$ and integrating over Ω , using Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha h^4 dx &= -\alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \mathbf{v} h^4 dx - \int |x|^\alpha \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} h^4 dx \\ &\leq C(\| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(1 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to (3.51), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C c_0 \exp\{C\Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\},$$

which yields the inequality (3.50). \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let c be as in Lemma 3.3. Then*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C c_0 \exp\{C\Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.52)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.2)₁ by $|x|^\alpha c$ and integrating over Ω , together with Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha c^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla c|^2 dx \\ &= - \int |x|^\alpha c \operatorname{div} (c\mathbf{v}) dx - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla c c dx - \int |x|^\alpha m c^2 dx \\ &\leq C(\| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2} \| c \|_{L^\infty} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} c \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} + \| m \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \| m \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C \| c \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to (3.53), together with Lemma 3.3 and (1.8) gives (3.52). \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let n be as in Lemma 3.4. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq C c_0^2 \Phi(\mathbf{v}, m, t) \exp\{C c_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/4}\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

Proof. The proof of (3.54) is similar to (3.52). It remains to deal with the term $-\int |x|^\alpha n \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) dx$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &- \int |x|^\alpha n \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) dx \\ &\leq \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} n \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| n \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| n \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha^4}{4} + \varepsilon\right) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 \| n \|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Through the estimate (3.55) and Lemma 3.8, we obtain (3.54). \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let c be as in Lemma 3.3. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq C c_0 \exp \{ C c_0^2 \exp \{ C \Phi^2(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/4} \} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.2)₁ by $|x|^\alpha \dot{c}$ and integrating over Ω , together with Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla c|^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c}^2 dx \\ & = - \int |x|^\alpha c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \dot{c} dx - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla c \dot{c} dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \mathbf{v} |\nabla c|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} |\nabla c|^2 dx - \int |x|^\alpha m c \dot{c} dx \\ & \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| m \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 (\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \| m \|_{L^\infty}) + (\alpha^4/4) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + 1) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Using the operator $\partial_t + \partial_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot)$ to equation (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{c}_t + (c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v})_t + \operatorname{div}(\dot{c} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ & = \Delta c_t - (m c)_t + \operatorname{div}(\Delta c \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div}(m c \otimes \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.58) by $|x|^\alpha \dot{c}$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c}^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \dot{c}|^2 dx \\ & = - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} (c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v})_t dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} \operatorname{div}(\dot{c} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} \operatorname{div}(c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx \\ & \quad - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla \dot{c} c dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} \Delta(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c) dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} (m c)_t dx \\ & \quad + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} \operatorname{div}(\Delta c \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} \operatorname{div}(m c \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx \\ & := \sum_{i=1}^8 F_i. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we estimate F_i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$). First, we consider F_1 . By Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 & \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^4} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| c_t \|_{L^4} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^4} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^4} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2} \\ & \leq (\varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| c_t \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we consider F_2 . A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 & \leq \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq (\varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The nonlinear terms F_3 and F_4 can be calculated as follow

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \mathbf{c} \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{c} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \mathbf{c} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_4 \leq \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Using the Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and Young's inequality, the nonlinear term F_6 can be estimated as follow

$$\begin{aligned} F_6 &= - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} m_t c \, dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c}^2 m \, dx + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{c} m \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \, dx \\ &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^4} \| m_t \|_{L^4} + \| m \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| m \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1 + \| m \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla m_t \|_{L^2}) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \| m_t \|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C(\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla m_t \|_{L^2} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For the nonlinear term F_8 , a straightforward computation shows that

$$F_8 \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2} \| m \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \leq (\varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \| m \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2.$$

The nonlinear term $F_5 + F_7$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_5 + F_7 &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2} \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates about F_i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon (\| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2} + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| m \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla m_t \|_{L^2} + 1) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \right) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| c_t \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (3.59) \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \mathbf{c} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \| m_t \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \| m \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \\ &\quad + (\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| \nabla m_t \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla c \|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the inequalities (3.57) and (3.59), choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$, together with the fact

$$\| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \| c \|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla^2 c \|_{L^4}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \| c \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \| \nabla c \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \| \nabla^2 c \|_{L^4}^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2) + (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 + \| m \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| m_t \|_{H^1} \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 + 1) (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2) \quad (3.60) \\ &\quad + C(\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| m_t \|_{L^2} + \| m \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} c \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\| c_t \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \| \mathbf{c} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.60), together with Lemmas 3.3 and 3.8 yields (3.56). \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let n be as in Lemma 3.4. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq C c_0^2 \exp \{ C c_0^2 \exp \{ C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/5} \} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.3)₁ by $|x|^\alpha \dot{n}$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla n|^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n}^2 dx \\ & = - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla n \dot{n} dx + \int |x|^\alpha \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n \Delta n dx \\ & \quad - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

The terms $-\int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx$, $-\alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla n \dot{n} dx$ and $\int |x|^\alpha \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n \Delta n dx$ can be estimated as (3.57) in Lemma 3.10. We only give the estimate of the nonlinear term $-\int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) dx$. By Hölder's inequality and Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) dx \\ & \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^4} \| \Delta c \|_{L^4} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \Delta c \|_{L^4}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^2}^2 \| \Delta c \|_{L^4}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Using the operator $\partial_t + \partial_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot)$ on equation (3.3)₁, multiplying the resulting equation by $|x|^\alpha \dot{n}$, integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n}^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \dot{n}|^2 dx \\ & = - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} (n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v})_t dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \operatorname{div} (\dot{n} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \operatorname{div} (n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx \\ & \quad - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla n \dot{n} dx - \int |x|^\alpha \Delta (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n) \dot{n} dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t dx \\ & \quad + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \operatorname{div} (\Delta n \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{n} \operatorname{div} (\nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx \\ & := \sum_{i=1}^8 G_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

The estimates of G_1 to G_4 , $G_5 + G_7$ are similar as F_1 to F_4 and $F_5 + F_7$ in Lemma 3.10. Here we omit. For the nonlinear term G_6 , by Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_6 & = \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla c \dot{n}^2 dx - \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla c \dot{n} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n dx + \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla c_t n \dot{n} dx \\ & \quad + \int |x|^\alpha \nabla \dot{n} \cdot \nabla c \dot{n} dx - \int |x|^\alpha \nabla \dot{n} \cdot \nabla c \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n dx + \int |x|^\alpha \nabla \dot{n} \cdot \nabla c_t n dx \\ & \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^4} \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^4} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^4} \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^4} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{5} + \frac{3}{4} \alpha^2 \right) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 (\| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^4}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For the nonlinear term G_8 , a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_8 &= \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \nabla n \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \dot{n} \, dx + \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \mathbf{v} \dot{n} n \Delta c \, dx + \int |x|^\alpha \nabla \dot{n} \nabla n \nabla c \mathbf{v} \, dx \\
&\quad + \int |x|^\alpha \nabla \dot{n} n \Delta c \mathbf{v} \, dx \\
&\leq (\alpha^2/2 + 1) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^4} \| \Delta c \|_{L^4} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}) \\
&\leq (\alpha^4/4 + \varepsilon/5) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 (\| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \Delta c \|_{L^4}^2 \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting all these estimates of G_i into (3.64), combining the estimate of (3.62), then choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2) + (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq C(1 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 + (\| \Delta c \|_{L^4}^2 + \| \nabla c \|_{L^\infty}^2)(1 + \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2) + \| \nabla c_t \|_{L^4}^2 \\
&\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C(\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_t \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| \Delta c \|_{L^4}^2 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} n \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| n \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{c} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla n \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| n_t \|_{L^2} \| \nabla n_t \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.65), together with Lemmas 3.3-3.4, 3.9-3.10 yields (3.61). \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let (h, \mathbf{u}) be as in Lemma 3.6. For $q = 4/\alpha$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 \, ds \\
&\leq C c_0^2 \exp \{ C c_0^2 \exp \{ C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/5} \} \}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

and

$$\int_0^t (\| \mathbf{u}_t \|_{L^q}^2 + \| \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^2) \, ds \leq C c_0^2 \exp \{ C c_0^2 \exp \{ C \Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t) t^{1/5} \} \}. \tag{3.67}$$

Proof. Multiplying the equation (3.4)₁ by $|x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}$ and integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int |x|^\alpha h \dot{\mathbf{u}}^2 \, dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla ((1+n)h^2) \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n \, dx + \mu \int |x|^\alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \, dx \\
&\quad + (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^\alpha \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \, dx \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^4 H_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

In the following, we estimate H_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$). First, we estimate H_1 . By integrating by parts and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &\leq \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2} (1 + \| n \|_{L^\infty}) + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2} (1 + \| n \|_{L^\infty}) \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha^4}{4} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| n \|_{L^2} \| \nabla^2 n \|_{L^2}).
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that H_2 satisfies

$$H_2 \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| h^{\frac{3}{2}} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3.$$

For the nonlinear term H_3 , using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 &\leq -\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \mu \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \mu \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar as the calculation of H_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_4 &\leq -\frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})^2 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to further estimate H_3 and H_4 , we should first estimate $\| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}^2$. Through the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we have

$$\| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq C \| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \| \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^{1+\frac{2}{q}}, \quad (3.69)$$

where $q = 4/\alpha$. Using the L^p elliptic estimate to equation (3.4)₁ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^2 &\leq C (\| h \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h^2 \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 + \| n h \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2) \\ &\leq C (\| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^4 \| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 + \| n h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Lemma 2.2 and (3.69) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}^2 &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{2+\frac{4}{q}} \| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{q}} \| n h \|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^{1+\frac{2}{q}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4(q+2)}{(q-2)}}) \\ &\quad + \| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 + \| n h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

Putting the estimate (3.70) and all the estimates of H_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) into (3.68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq 2(2\mu + \lambda) \alpha^4 \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| n \|_{L^2} \| \nabla^2 n \|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad + C (1 + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4(q+2)}{(q-2)}}) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C (\| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 + \| n h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3 \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

Multiplying the equation (3.47) by $|x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}$, integrating over Ω to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^\alpha h \dot{\mathbf{u}}^2 dx + \mu \int |x|^\alpha |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^\alpha (\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}})^2 dx \\
&= - \int |x|^\alpha h_t^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n dx - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n_t h^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h^2 n_t dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h_t^2 (1+n) dx \\
&\quad - \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \operatorname{div} (h^2 \nabla n \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \operatorname{div} ((1+n) \nabla h^2 \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \mu \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \Delta (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&\quad - (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \nabla (\operatorname{div} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})) dx + \mu \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \operatorname{div} (\Delta \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx \\
&\quad + (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \operatorname{div} (\nabla (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \otimes \mathbf{v}) dx - \alpha \mu \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\
&\quad - \alpha (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - \alpha^2 \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \mathbf{v} h |\dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^{13} I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we establish the estimates of I_i ($i = 1, \dots, 13$). First we consider $I_1 + I_2 + I_5$. By integrating by parts, equation (3.13), Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_1 + I_2 + I_5 \\
&\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty}) + C (\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we consider $I_3 + I_4 + I_6$. Through Lemma 2.2, equation (3.13), integration by parts, Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(I_3 + I_4 + I_6) \\
&= \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n_t h^2 dx + \int n_t h^2 \operatorname{div} (|x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}) dx + \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n h_t^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int (1+n) h_t^2 \operatorname{div} (|x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}) dx + \int (1+n) \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla h^2 \operatorname{div} (|x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}}) dx \\
&= \int |x|^\alpha \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \dot{n} h^2 dx + \int |x|^\alpha h^2 \dot{n} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx + \alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} h^2 \dot{n} dx - 2 \int |x|^\alpha h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int |x|^\alpha (1+n) h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - 2\alpha \int |x|^{\alpha-2} x_i \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}} (1+n) h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx \\
&\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
&\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla n \|_{L^\infty} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| h^2 (1+n) \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| h^2 (1+n) \|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^4 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h^2 (1+n) \|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
&\quad + C (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3 + \| \nabla n \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

By integrating by parts, it is easy to get

$$I_7 + I_9 \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$I_8 + I_{10} \leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2.$$

For the nonlinear terms I_{11} to I_{13} , using Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\leq \alpha \mu \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \leq \mu \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2, \\ I_{12} &\leq \alpha (\mu + \lambda) \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \leq (\mu + \lambda) \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2, \\ I_{13} &\leq \alpha \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/2-1} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\alpha^3}{4} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates of I_i ($i = 1 \dots, 13$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \mu \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + (3\varepsilon + (2\mu + \lambda) \frac{\alpha^2}{2}) \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^4 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h^2(1+n) \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla n \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

Adding (3.71) and (3.72), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(1 + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{4(q+2)/(q-2)} + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2) (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^\infty} \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla n \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2) \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3 \\ &\quad + C(\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^\infty}^4 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2}^2 (\| h^2(1+n) \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^3) + \| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| n \|_{L^2} \| \nabla^2 n \|_{L^2}) + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2 (1 + \| n \|_{L^\infty}^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to (3.73), together with Lemma 3.2, 3.4, 3.7, and 3.11 gives (3.66). According to the definition of the material derivative and elliptic estimate, we have

$$\int_0^t \| \mathbf{u}_t \|_{L^q}^2 ds \leq \int_0^t \| \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^q}^2 ds + \int_0^t \| \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^2 ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \| \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^q}^2 ds &\leq C \int_0^t (\| h \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h^2 \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| (1+n) h \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (\| h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^q}^2 + \| h \|_{L^\infty}^4 \| \nabla n \|_{L^q}^2 + \| (1+n) h \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \nabla h \|_{L^q}^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (3.66), Lemma 2.2, 3.2, 3.4-3.6 yield (3.67). \square

Lemma 3.13. *Assume that (h, c, n, \mathbf{u}) is the solution of equation (3.1)-(3.4). Then there exists a time $T^* \in (0, 1]$ depending only on $c_0, \mu, \lambda, \alpha$ such that*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}, T^*) \leq M,$$

provided $\Phi(\mathbf{v}, T^*) \leq M$ with some given $M = M(\mu, \lambda, c_0) > 1$.

Proof. Through Lemmas 3.4-3.6 and Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}, n, t) \leq Cc_0^2 \exp\{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\Phi^3(\mathbf{v}, m, t)t^{1/5}\}\},$$

which yields that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}, n, T^*) \leq M$$

by choosing $M = Cc_0^2 \exp\{Cc_0^2 \exp\{C\}\}$ and

$$T^* = \min\{M^{-15}, 1\}.$$

□

3.2 The local existence of strong solution

In the following, based on the uniform estimates obtained in the previous subsection of solutions to the linearized problem (3.1)-(3.4), we use the Schauder fixed point theory to establish the unique local strong solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain and the far field state $\tilde{h} = 0$. Suppose that the initial data $(n_0 \geq 0, c_0 \geq 0, h_0 \geq \delta, \mathbf{u}_0)$ satisfy (1.4)-(1.7), where $\delta > 0$. Then for T^* as in Lemma 3.13, there exists a unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) to the problem (1.1) on $\Omega \times [0, T^*]$ with*

$$(n, c, h, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (n_0, c_0, h_0, \mathbf{u}_0), \quad (n, c, \mathbf{u})|_{\partial\Omega} = (0, 0, \mathbf{0}), \quad (3.74)$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} n \in C(0, T^*; H_0^2), \quad n_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H^1), \\ c \in C(0, T^*; W^{2,4}), \quad c_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H^2), \\ (h, h^2) \in C(0, T^*; W^{1,p}), \quad (h, h^2)_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^p), \\ \mathbf{u} \in C(0, T^*; L^q \cap D^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^{2,q}), \\ \mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T^*; L^q \cap D^1), \quad \sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2), \end{cases} \quad (3.75)$$

for $q = 4/\alpha$ and any $p \in [2, q]$. Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|n\|_{H^2}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|c_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|(h, h^2)\|_{W^{1,p}}^2 + \|(h, h^2)_t\|_{L^p}^2) + \int_0^{T^*} (\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ & + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \int_\Omega |x|^\alpha (n^2 + c^2 + |\nabla n|^2 + |\nabla c|^2 + |\dot{n}|^2 + |\dot{c}|^2) dx \\ & + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \int_\Omega (1 + |x|^\alpha) (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + h^4 + h|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + h|\mathbf{u}|^2) dx + \int_0^{T^*} \int_\Omega (1 + |x|^\alpha) |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx dt \\ & + \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^2 dt + \int_0^{T^*} \int_\Omega |x|^\alpha (|\nabla \dot{n}|^2 + |\nabla \dot{c}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C(\mu, \lambda, c_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Proof. Setting

$$\mathcal{B} := L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \times L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} := \{ & (m, \mathbf{v}) | (m, \mathbf{v}) \in L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^2) \times L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^{2,q}), \\ & (m_t, \mathbf{v}_t) \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \times L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1), \Phi(m, \mathbf{v}, T^*) < M \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, it is easy to get that \mathfrak{A} is a convex and compact subset of the Banach space \mathcal{B} . For any $(m, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathfrak{A}$, through Lemma 3.1, there exists a unique solution $h = h(\mathbf{v})$ of the linearized equation (3.1) on $\Omega \times [0, T^*]$. Besides, the linearized equation (3.2) admits a unique solution $c = c(m, \mathbf{v})$ on $\Omega \times [0, T^*]$ as well. Moreover, a unique $n = \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}, c(m, \mathbf{v}))$ solves the linearized equation (3.3) on $\Omega \times [0, T^*]$ and thus the linearized equation (3.4) has a unique solution $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{T}_2(\mathbf{v}, h(\mathbf{v}), \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}, c(m, \mathbf{v})))$ on $\Omega \times [0, T^*]$. Therefore, we write $(n, \mathbf{u}) := \mathcal{T}(m, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ with \mathcal{T} mapping from \mathfrak{A} to \mathfrak{A} . Next, we will show \mathcal{T} is a continuous operator in \mathcal{B} . First, using the Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|h(\mathbf{v})\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|h(\mathbf{v})_t\|_{L^q}) \leq C. \quad (3.77)$$

Let $\{(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k) \rightarrow (m, \mathbf{v})$ in \mathcal{B} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$m_k \rightarrow m \text{ in } L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \text{ in } L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which together with $m_k, \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathfrak{A}$ implies

$$m_k \rightharpoonup m \quad \omega^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^2) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty \quad (3.78)$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_k \rightharpoonup \mathbf{v} \quad \omega^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^{2,q}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.79)$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.4 and (3.77) that up to a subsequence,

$$h(\mathbf{v}_{k_j}) \rightarrow h \text{ in } C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T^*]) \text{ as } k_j \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.80)$$

Taking the limits in (3.1) where h, \mathbf{v} are replaced by $h(\mathbf{v}_{k_j})$ and \mathbf{v}_{k_j} respectively, we obtain that h is a weak solution to (3.1). Then by the uniqueness of the weak solutions due to (3.79) and (3.80), we have $h = h(\mathbf{v})$, which again together with (3.77) and (3.80) implies

$$h(\mathbf{v}_k) \rightarrow h \text{ in } C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T^*]) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$h(\mathbf{v}_k) \rightharpoonup h \quad \omega^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; W^{1,q}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By the virtue of Lemmas 2.4 and 3.3, we get that up to a subsequence,

$$c(m_{k_j}, \mathbf{v}_{k_j}) \rightarrow c \text{ in } C(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k_j \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.81)$$

Taking the limits in equation (3.2), where m, c, \mathbf{v} are replaced by $m_{k_j}, c(m_{k_j}, \mathbf{v}_{k_j}), \mathbf{v}_{k_j}$, we obtain that c is a weak solution of (3.2). By the uniqueness of the weak solution, due to (3.78), (3.79), and (3.81), we have $c = c(m, \mathbf{v})$, which again together with (3.81) and Lemma 3.3 obtains

$$c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k) \rightarrow c \text{ in } C(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty \quad (3.82)$$

and

$$c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k) \rightharpoonup c \quad \omega^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; W^{2,4}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.83)$$

After that, let $n_k = \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}_k, c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k))$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 3.4, we have that up to a subsequence,

$$n_{k_j} \rightarrow n \text{ in } C(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k_j \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.84)$$

Taking the limits in equation (3.3), where n, c, \mathbf{v} are replaced by $n_{k_j}, c_{k_j}, \mathbf{v}_{k_j}$, we have n is a weak solution to (3.3). By the uniqueness of the weak solution, due to (3.79) and (3.83), we have $n = \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}, c)$, which together with (3.84) and Lemma 3.4 again to get

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}_k, c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k)) \rightarrow n \text{ in } C(0, T^*; H_0^1) \cap L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}_k, c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k)) \rightarrow n \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; W^{2,4}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

At last, let $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathcal{T}_2(\mathbf{v}_k, h(\mathbf{v}_k), \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}_k, c(m_k, \mathbf{v}_k)))$. By Lemma 2.4, 3.5, 3.6, and 3.12, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\mathbf{u}_{k_j} \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ in } L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k_j \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}_{k_j} \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \quad \omega^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T^*; H_0^1 \cap D^2) \cap L^2(0, T^*; D^{2,q}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Taking the limits in equation (3.4), where $h, \mathbf{u}, n, \mathbf{v}$ are replaced by $h(\mathbf{v}_{k_j}), \mathbf{u}_{k_j}, n_{k_j}$, and \mathbf{v}_{k_j} , we obtain that $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{T}_2(\mathbf{v}, h(\mathbf{v}), n) = \mathcal{T}_2(\mathbf{v}, h(\mathbf{v}), \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{v}, c(\mathbf{v}, m)))$ is a weak solution to (3.4) with

$$\mathbf{u}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ in } L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore $(n, \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{T}(m, \mathbf{v})$ is a continuous operator in $\mathcal{B} = (L^2(0, T^*; H_0^1))^2$. By Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists $(n, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that

$$(\mathcal{T}_2(\mathbf{u}, h(\mathbf{u}), \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{u}, c(\mathbf{u}, n))), \mathcal{T}_1(\mathbf{u}, c(\mathbf{u}, n))) = (\mathbf{u}, n),$$

which together with Lemmas 3.2-3.12 implies (3.76). Then the uniqueness of the solution (n, \mathbf{u}) and (3.75) follows from (3.76), Sobolev embedding theory and Lemma 2.2. \square

Now, we can prove the local existence of unique strong solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T^*]$.

Proposition 3.15. *For $\tilde{h} = 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, assume that $(n_0 \geq 0, c_0 \geq 0, h_0 \geq 0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ satisfies (1.4)-(1.7). Then for T^* as in Lemma 3.13, there exists a unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) to the problem (1.1)-(1.3) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T^*]$ satisfying (3.75) and (3.76).*

Proof. Define $B_R = \{x \mid |x| < R\}$ and

$$\psi^R(x) = \psi(x/R), \quad g^R(x) = \psi^R(x)g(x), \quad h_0^R = h_0 + R^{-2},$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T^*]$, where $0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(B_1)$ is a smooth cut-off function such that $\psi = 1$ in $B_{1/2}$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0^R \in H_0^1(B_R) \cap H^3(B_R)$ be the unique solution to the elliptic boundary value problem

$$L\mathbf{u}_0^R = F_0^R \text{ in } B_R \text{ and } \mathbf{u}_0^R|_{\partial B_R} = \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$F_0^R = -(h_0^R)^2 \nabla n_0^R - \frac{1}{2}(1 + n_0^R) \nabla (h_0^R)^2 + h_0^R g^R.$$

By defining \mathbf{u}_0^R to be zero outside B_R , we can extend \mathbf{u}_0^R to \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e.

$$\mathbf{u}_0^R \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } D^1(B_R) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is similar as in [6], so we omit here. By Lemma 3.14, there exists some $0 < T^* \leq 1$ such that for each $R > 1$, the initial-boundary-value problem (1.1) and (3.74) with $\Omega = B_R$ and $(n_0, c_0, h_0, \mathbf{u}_0) = (n_0^R, c_0^R, h_0^R, \mathbf{u}_0^R)$ for $n_0^R, c_0^R, h_0^R, \mathbf{u}_0^R$ defined as above, has a unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) satisfying (3.75) and (3.76). We denote $(n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) = (n^R, c^R, h^R, \mathbf{u}^R)$. By defining zero outside B_R , we extend $(n^R, c^R, h^R, \mathbf{u}^R)$ to \mathbb{R}^2 . Then let $R \rightarrow \infty$, a direct computation shows that there exists a unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) to problem (1.1)-(1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T^*]$ which satisfies (3.75)-(3.76). Please refer to [8] and [20] for details. \square

4 Regularity analysis

In this section, we establish the higher order estimates of the unique strong solution (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) obtained in Proposition 3.15 for $\tilde{h} = 0$ and finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.1. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Adding the equations (1.1)₃ and (3.13), using the operator ∇^2 on the resulting equation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2) + C\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2) + C(1 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where we have used the following elliptic estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^2 & \leq C(\|h \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|h^2 \nabla n\|_{H^1}^2 + \|(1+n) \nabla h^2\|_{H^1}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\dot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h^2 \nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h^2 \nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \quad + C(\|(1+n) |\nabla h|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1+n) h \nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^2 \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^q}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla h^2\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla n\|_{L^4}^2) \\ & \quad + C(\|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^4 + \|(1+n) h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to (4.2), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (4.4)$$

Integrating the estimate (4.3) with respect to t , together with (4.4) yields

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.5)$$

The estimate (4.1) can be given by (4.4) and (4.5). \square

Lemma 4.2. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Setting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$, then the method to deal with (4.10) is similar as the way to get the estimate (3.29). We need to establish additionally the estimate of $-\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t n_{tt} dx$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} -\int \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t n_{tt} dx & \leq C(\|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|_{L^4} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^4} \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad + C(\|n_t\|_{L^4} \|\Delta c\|_{L^4} \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} + \|n\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta c_t\|_{L^2} \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon (\|\nabla n\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^4}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\Delta c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta c_t\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Then using the Gronwall's inequality, we can get (4.10). \square

Lemma 4.3. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\nabla^3 c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 n\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^4 c\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Using the L^p theory to equation (1.1)₂, it is easy to get

$$\|\nabla^2 c\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C(\|c_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{u})\|_{H^1}^2 + \|nc\|_{H^1}^2) \leq C \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2 c\|_{H^2}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (\|c_t\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{u})\|_{H^2}^2 + \|nc\|_{H^2}^2) dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (1 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Based on the estimate (4.9) and Lemma 4.2, using the L^p theory to equation (1.1)₁ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^1}^2 & \leq C(\|n_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{u})\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot (n\nabla c)\|_{H^1}^2) \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 c\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The estimate (4.8) can be given by (4.9)-(4.11). \square

Lemma 4.4. *The following estimate holds*

$$\int_0^{T^*} (\|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 n\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Setting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ in (3.30), then the estimate of $\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt$ is the same as (3.30). We need to check $\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla \cdot (n\nabla c)_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt$. Through Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla \cdot (n\nabla c)_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (\|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\Delta c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta c_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimate and (3.30), we get $\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C$. Using the L^p theory

to equation (1.1)₁, together with Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^2}^2 dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (\|n_t\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}(n\mathbf{u})\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot (n\nabla c)\|_{H^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (1 + \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^{T^*} (\|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 n\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^4}^2) dt \\
& \quad + C \int_0^{T^*} (\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^4 c\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Taking the operator ∇ on equation (1.1)₃, together with Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \tag{4.15}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to t on equation (1.1)₃, together with (4.15) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{T^*} \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
& \leq C \int_0^{T^*} (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\
& \leq C,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/q + 1/p = 1/2$. The estimates (4.15) and (4.16) yield (4.14). □

Lemma 4.6. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} (\|\sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Taking the equation (1.1)₄ with respect to t , multiplying the resulting equation by \mathbf{u}_{tt} and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t)^2 dx + \int h \mathbf{u}_{tt}^2 dx \\
& = \frac{d}{dt} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int h_t |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx - \int h_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx + \frac{1}{2} \int ((1+n)h^2)_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t dx \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int h_{tt} |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \int (h_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})_t \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx - \int h \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx - \int h \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int ((1+n)h^2)_{tt} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t dx - \frac{1}{2} \int (h^2 \nabla n)_t \cdot \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx \\
& := \frac{d}{dt} J_1 + \sum_{i=2}^7 J_i.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we estimate J_i ($i = 1, \dots, 7$) respectively. First we consider J_1 . Through the equation (1.1)₃ and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\quad + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|h\mathbf{u}^2\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|n_t\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|(1+n)h\|_{L^\infty} \|h_t\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \eta \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates of J_2 to J_5 can refer to [20]. Here we only give the result. i.e.

$$J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 \leq \varepsilon \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Then we estimate J_6 . By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_6 &\leq C (\|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|n_t\|_{L^4} \|h\|_{L^\infty} \|h_t\|_{L^4} \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|(1+n)\|_{L^\infty} \|h_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|(1+n)h\|_{L^\infty} \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C (1 + \|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate J_7 . Using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_7 &\leq C (\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|h_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla n\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty}^3) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates of J_i ($i = 1, \dots, 7$), choosing $\eta = \mu/4$ and $\varepsilon = 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_t)^2 dx + \int h\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2 dx \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Taking into account the compatibility condition (1.4), we can define

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}(x, t = 0) = g,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\nabla\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, 0) - \nabla(\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\nabla g\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to inequality (4.18), together with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.19)$$

Differentiating the equation (1.1)₄ with respect to t , using the L^p estimate to the resulting equation, together with Lemma 4.1, 4.5, and (4.19) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^{T^*} (\|h_t\|_{L^p}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p}^2) dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^{T^*} (\|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|h_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla n\|_{L^4}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^{T^*} (\|n_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 + \|(1+n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1+n)h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/q + 1/p = 1/2$. The above estimate combined with (4.19) gives (4.17). \square

Lemma 4.7. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. Taking the operator ∇^3 on equation (1.1)₃, using Lemma 4.1, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + 1) \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where we have used the following fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^8}^4 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|h\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 h^2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla h^2\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|\nabla^3 n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^4}^2 \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^4) \\ &\quad + C(\|(1+n)h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Using the Gronwall's inequality to (4.21), together with Lemmas 4.1 and 4.6 to get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (4.23)$$

Furthermore, integrating (4.22) with respect to t , combining with Lemma 4.1, 4.6, and (4.23) to get

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.24)$$

Differentiating the equation (1.1)₄ with respect to t , multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla^2(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})|^2 dx \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_t\|_{L^p}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|h\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{h} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|h\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|h_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla n\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\quad + C(\|h\|_{L^\infty}^4 \|\nabla n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2 + \|(1+n)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|h_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla h\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\quad + C\|(1+n)h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where $q = 4/\alpha, 1/q + 1/p = 1/2$. According to (4.19), (4.24), Lemma 4.1, 4.2, and 4.5, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (4.26)$$

Taking the operator ∇^2 on equation (1.1)₃, combining with (4.23), (4.26), and Lemma 4.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla^2 h_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\nabla^2 h\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^3 h\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

which together with (4.23), (4.24), and (4.26) yield the estimate (4.20). \square

Lemma 4.8. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^{8/\alpha} \cap D^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^{T^*} t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. Differentiating the equation (1.1)₄ with respect to t twice to get

$$\begin{aligned} & h\mathbf{u}_{ttt} + h\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_{tt} - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_{tt} \\ & = 2 \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_{tt} + \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{u})_t \mathbf{u}_t - (h_{tt}\mathbf{u} + 2h_t \mathbf{u}_t) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - 2(h\mathbf{u})_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t - h\mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \\ & \quad - 2h_t^2 \nabla n - 2hh_{tt} \nabla n - 4hh_t \nabla n_t - h^2 \nabla n_{tt} - n_{tt} h \nabla h - 2n_t h_t \nabla h - 2n_t h \nabla h_t \\ & \quad - (1+n)h_{tt} \nabla h - 2(1+n)h_t \nabla h_t - (1+n)h \nabla h_{tt}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Multiplying the equation (4.29) by \mathbf{u}_{tt} and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int h\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2 dx + \mu \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}|^2 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt})^2 dx \\ & = 2 \int \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2 dx + \int \operatorname{div}(h\mathbf{u})_t \mathbf{u}_t \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx - \int h_{tt} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx - 2 \int h_t \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int (h\mathbf{u})_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t \mathbf{u}_{tt} dx - \int h\mathbf{u}_{tt}^2 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx - 2 \int h_t^2 \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla n dx - 2 \int hh_{tt} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla n dx \\ & \quad - 4 \int hh_t \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla n_t dx - \int h^2 \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla n_{tt} dx - \int n_{tt} h \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h dx - 2 \int n_t h_t \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int n_t h \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h_t dx - \int (1+n)h_{tt} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h dx - 2 \int (1+n)h_t \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h_t dx \\ & \quad - \int (1+n)h \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h_{tt} dx \\ & := \sum_{i=1}^{16} K_i. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates of K_1 to K_6 can refer to Lemma 4.6 in [20]. Here we only list the result. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5 + K_6 \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.2, 4.3, and 4.5, a straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & K_7 \leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} \|h_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla n\|_{L^4} \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1), \\ & K_8 \leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_9 \leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|h_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla n_t\|_{L^4}\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Then we estimate $K_{10} + K_{11}$. Through the integrating by parts and Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{10} + K_{11} &= \int h\mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h n_{tt} dx + \int h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt} n_{tt} dx \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla h\|_{L^\infty}\|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate K_{12} . By Lemma 4.2, 4.5, and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{12} &= 2 \int \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla n_t h_t h dx + 2 \int \mathbf{u}_{tt} \cdot \nabla h_t n_t h dx + 2 \int n_t h_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt} h dx \\ &\leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|h_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla n_t\|_{L^4}\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty}\|n_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^4} \\ &\quad + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|n_t\|_{L^4}\|h_t\|_{L^4}\|h\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_{13} \leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|n_t\|_{L^4}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^4}\|\sqrt{h}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Then we estimate K_{14} . Using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{14} &\leq \int h|\mathbf{u}|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|\|\nabla n\|\|\nabla h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h\mathbf{u}^2\|\nabla^2 n\|\|\nabla h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + 2 \int h\mathbf{u}^2\|\nabla n\|\|\nabla^2 h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx \\ &\quad + \int h\mathbf{u}^2\|\nabla n\|\|\nabla h\|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|(1+n)\|\nabla^2 h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h\mathbf{u}^2(1+n)\|\nabla^3 h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx \\ &\quad + \int h\mathbf{u}^2(1+n)\|\nabla^2 h\|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h_t|\mathbf{u}|\|(1+n)\|\nabla h\|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|\|\nabla n\|\|\nabla h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx \\ &\quad + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|(1+n)\|\nabla^2 h\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|(1+n)\|\nabla h\|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate K_{15} . By Lemma 4.3, 4.5, and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{15} &\leq \int h|\mathbf{u}|\|\nabla n\|\|\nabla h_t\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|\|(1+n)\|\nabla^2 h_t\|\|\mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|\|(1+n)\|\nabla h_t\|\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\| dx \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}\nabla n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2 h_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}(1+n)\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla h_t\|_{L^2}\|h\mathbf{u}(1+n)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon(\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate K_{16} . Using Lemma 4.1, 4.3, and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{16} &\leq \int h|h_{tt}|\mathbf{u}_{tt}|\nabla n| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|\nabla \mathbf{u}|\nabla n|\nabla h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla^2 n|\nabla h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\quad + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla n|\nabla^2 h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla n|\nabla h|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\quad + \int h|\mathbf{u}|\nabla \mathbf{u}(1+n)|\nabla^2 h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2|\nabla n|\nabla^2 h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\quad + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2(1+n)|\nabla^3 h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}|^2(1+n)|\nabla^2 h|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\quad + \int |h_t|\mathbf{u}(1+n)|\nabla h|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|\nabla n|\nabla h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\quad + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|(1+n)|\nabla^2 h|\mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int h|\mathbf{u}_t|(1+n)|\nabla h|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx + \int (1+n)h|h_{tt}|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = 1/6$, and then combining all these estimates about K_i ($i = 1, \dots, 16$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C (\|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Multiplying (4.30) by t , integrating the resulting equation with respect to t , using Lemma 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6 to get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\sqrt{h}\mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{4.31}$$

Setting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ in equation (3.47), multiplying the resulting equation by $|x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t$, and then integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dx + \frac{(\mu + \lambda)}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} (\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}})^2 dx + \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h |\dot{\mathbf{u}}_t|^2 dx \\
&= - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h_t \dot{\mathbf{u}} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t dx - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h_t^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n dx - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n_t dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} n_t \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla h^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} (1+n) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla h_t^2 dx - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \operatorname{div} (h \dot{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&\quad - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \operatorname{div} (h^2 \nabla n \otimes \mathbf{u}) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \operatorname{div} ((1+n) \mathbf{u} \otimes \nabla h^2) dx \\
&\quad - \mu \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \Delta (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx - \mu \int \nabla (|x|^{\alpha/2}) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx - (\mu + \lambda) \int \nabla (|x|^{\alpha/2}) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}} dx \\
&\quad - (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla (\operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t dx + \mu \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{u} \otimes \Delta \mathbf{u}) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t dx \\
&\quad + (\mu + \lambda) \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \operatorname{div} (\nabla (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \otimes \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^{14} L_i.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates of $L_1 + L_6, L_9$ to L_{14} can refer to Lemma 4.6 in [20]. Here we only list the result. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_1 + L_6 + L_9 + L_{10} + L_{11} + L_{12} + L_{13} \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) + C (\|\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \||x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\Psi(t)| \leq 2(2\mu + \lambda)\alpha^2 \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + C \| \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then we consider $L_2 + L_7$. By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 + L_7 &= \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n \, dx + 2 \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n \, dx \\ &\quad - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n \operatorname{div} (h^2 \mathbf{u}) \, dx - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t h^2 \mathbf{u} \Delta n \, dx \\ &= \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n \, dx - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t h^2 \mathbf{u} \Delta n \, dx \\ &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} (\| |x|^{\alpha/4} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \|_{L^2} \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \nabla n \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{u} \Delta n \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \| h \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla^4 n \|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate L_3 . By Lemma 4.2 and the definition of material derivative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 &= - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h^2 \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla \dot{n} \, dx + \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n) h^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} (\| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| h \|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \| h^{\frac{3}{2}} \nabla n \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{u} \Delta n \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla^4 n \|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate L_4 . By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_4 &= - \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla h h \, dx + \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n h \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla h \, dx \\ &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \dot{n} \|_{L^2} \| \sqrt{h} \nabla h \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \sqrt{h} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate $L_5 + L_8$. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L_5 + L_8 \\ &= \int |x|^{\alpha/2} (1+n) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla h^2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \, dx + \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} (1+n) h^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \cdot \nabla n \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} (\| |x|^{\alpha/4} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2} \| (1+n) \sqrt{h} \nabla h \|_{L^\infty} + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \|_{L^2} \| (1+n) \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2} \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla n \|_{L^2} \| \sqrt{h} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla h \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla^4 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates about L_i ($i = 1 \dots, 14$), together with (1.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \, dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int |x|^{\alpha/2} (\operatorname{div} \dot{\mathbf{u}})^2 \, dx + \int |x|^{\alpha/2} h |\dot{\mathbf{u}}_t|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq C (\| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla^4 n \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla^4 \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \dot{n} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \nabla n \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \mathbf{u}_t \|_{L^q}^2 + \| \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t \|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Multiplying the inequality (4.32) by t , integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 , combining with Lemma 4.4, 4.6, 4.7, and (4.31) to get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \| |x|^{\alpha/4} |\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} t \| |x|^{\alpha/2} \sqrt{h} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_t \|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \leq C. \tag{4.33}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (4.33) that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^{8/\alpha}}^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \| |x|^{\alpha/4} \nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C,$$

which yields that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^{8/\alpha}}^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t (\|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^{8/\alpha}}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{8/\alpha}}^2) \leq C. \quad (4.34)$$

Using the L^p theory to equation (1.1)₄, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^4 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (4.35)$$

The estimate (4.36) can be given by (4.31), (4.34), and (4.35). \square

Lemma 4.9. *The following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t (\|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 c\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (4.36)$$

Proof. It is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T^*} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt &\leq \int_0^{T^*} \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \int_0^{T^*} \|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c)_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{T^*} (\|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the equation (1.1)₂ with respect to t twice to get

$$\dot{c}_{tt} + (c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_{tt} = \Delta c_{tt} - (nc)_{tt}. \quad (4.37)$$

Multiplying the equation (4.37) by \dot{c}_t and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \dot{c}_t^2 dx + \int |\nabla c_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int (c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_{tt} \dot{c}_t dx + \int \nabla(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c)_t \nabla \dot{c}_t dx - \int (nc)_{tt} \dot{c}_t dx \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^3 M_i. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we estimate M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) respectively. First, we consider M_1 . By Lemma 4.7, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= - \int c_{tt} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \dot{c}_t dx - 2 \int c_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t \dot{c}_t dx - \int c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt} \dot{c}_t dx \\ &\leq \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|c_t\|_{L^4} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|c\|_{L^\infty} \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we consider M_2 . Using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= - \int \nabla \mathbf{u}_t \nabla c \nabla \dot{c}_t dx - \int \mathbf{u}_t \nabla^2 c \nabla \dot{c}_t dx - \int \nabla \mathbf{u} \nabla c_t \nabla \dot{c}_t dx - \int \mathbf{u} \nabla^2 c_t \nabla \dot{c}_t dx \\ &\leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q} \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the nonlinear term M_3 . Through Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &= - \int n_{tt} c \dot{c}_t \, dx - \int n_t c_t \dot{c}_t \, dx - \int n c_{tt} \dot{c}_t \, dx \\ &\leq \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|c\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|n_t\|_{L^4} \|c_t\|_{L^4} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} + \|n\|_{L^\infty} \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these estimates about M_i and choosing $\varepsilon = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(\|c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Multiplying the inequality (4.38) by t , then using the Gronwall's inequality, together with Lemma 4.2, 4.6, and 4.8 to get

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} t \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \leq C. \quad (4.39)$$

Through the elliptic estimate to equation (1.1)₂, together with (4.39) and Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t (\|\dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c_t \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_t c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n c_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq C \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^4 c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} C t (\|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3(c\mathbf{u})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2(nc)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (4.41)$$

Combining the estimates (4.40) and (4.41), we complete the proof of (4.36). \square

Lemma 4.10. *The following estimate hold*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t (\|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4 n\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (4.42)$$

Proof. According to Lemma 4.2 and the definition of the material derivative, it is easy to get

$$\int_0^{T^*} \|\dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \leq C.$$

Differentiating the equation (1.1)₁ with respect to t twice, then multiplying the resulting equation by \dot{n}_t and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \dot{n}_t^2 \, dx + \int |\nabla \dot{n}_t|^2 \, dx \\ &= - \int (n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_{tt} \dot{n}_t \, dx - \int \Delta(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla n)_t \dot{n}_t + \int (n \cdot \nabla c)_{tt} \nabla \dot{n}_t \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

For the nonlinear term $\int (n \cdot \nabla c)_{tt} \nabla \dot{n}_t \, dx$, through Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int (n \cdot \nabla c)_{tt} \nabla \dot{n}_t \, dx \\ &\leq \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2} + \|n_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2} + \|n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla c_{tt}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\varepsilon (\|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla c_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t \nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u} \nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C(\|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 \|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \leq C(\|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

The estimates of the other two nonlinear terms on the right side of (4.43) are the same as M_1 and M_2 . Here we omit. (4.43) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C(\|\dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^q}^2) \\
& + C(\|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 c_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \dot{c}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Multiplying (4.45) by t , together with Lemma 4.2, 4.4, 4.6, 4.8, and 4.9, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T^*} t \|\nabla \dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{4.46}$$

Through elliptic estimate, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t(\|\dot{n}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(n \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot (n \nabla c)_t\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C \tag{4.47}$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t \|\nabla^4 n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} t(\|\nabla^2 n_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3(n \mathbf{u})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3(n \nabla c)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \tag{4.48}$$

Combining the estimates (4.47) and (4.48), we obtain (4.42). \square

Now we prove our main result about Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 If $\tilde{h} = 0$, Proposition 3.15 and Lemmas 4.1-4.10 show Theorem 1.1. It remains to prove that (n, c, h, \mathbf{u}) becomes a classical solution for positive time, that is for any $\tau \in (0, T^*]$,

$$n_t, \nabla^2 n, c_t, \nabla^2 c, h_t, \nabla h, \mathbf{u}_t, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [\tau, T^*]).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.15, Lemma 4.7, and 4.8 that for any $\tau \in (0, T^*]$,

$$\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T^*} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{H^2} \leq C(\tau), \tag{4.49}$$

which together with $(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u})_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T^*))$ gives that for $p \in (2, +\infty)$,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \in C([\tau, T^*]; H^1 \cap W^{1,p}) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [\tau, T^*]). \tag{4.50}$$

Lemmas 4.6 and 4.8 give that

$$\sup_{\tau \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^{8/\alpha}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}) + \int_\tau^{T^*} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C(\tau), \tag{4.51}$$

which implies for $p \in (2, +\infty)$, we have

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}_t \in C([\tau, T^*]; L^2 \cap L^p). \tag{4.52}$$

It follows from (4.51) and (4.52) that

$$\mathbf{u}_t \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [\tau, T^*]). \quad (4.53)$$

The proof of $n_t, c_t \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [\tau, T^*])$ is the same as (4.53) and $\nabla^2 n, \nabla^2 c \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [\tau, T^*])$ is the same as (4.50). Here we omit. Lemma 4.1, 4.5, and 4.7 show that

$$\nabla h \in L^\infty(0, T^*; H^2), \quad \nabla h_t \in L^\infty(0, T^*; L^2),$$

which yields that for $p \in (2, +\infty)$,

$$\nabla h \in C([0, T^*]; H^1 \cap W^{1,p}) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [0, T^*]). \quad (4.54)$$

It follows from (1.1)₃, (4.50), and (4.54) that

$$h_t \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} \times [0, T^*]).$$

If $\tilde{h} > 0$, after bounding $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\dot{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2}$ through Lemma 2.1, we obtain Theorem 1.1 as above. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

Acknowledgments

The research of Zhen Luo was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12271455, 12171401). The research of Yucheng Wang was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12271357), Shanghai Science and Technology Innovation Action Plan (No.21JC1403600), and the CSC-DAAD Postdoc Scholarship. Yucheng Wang thanks the School of Business Informatics and Mathematics, University of Mannheim for kindly host.

References

- [1] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, *Compositio Math.*, 53 (1984), 259–275.
- [2] F. Catrina and Z.-Q. Wang, On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 54 (2001), 229–258.
- [3] M. Chae, K. Kang, and J. Lee, Global existence and temporal decay in Keller-Segel models coupled to fluid equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39 (2014), 1205–1235.
- [4] J. Che, L. Chen, B. Duan, and Z. Luo, On the existence of local strong solutions to chemotaxis–shallow water system with large data and vacuum, *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (2016), 6758–6789.
- [5] Y. Cho, H. J. Choe, and H. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluids, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 83 (2004), 243–275.
- [6] Y. Cho and H. Kim, On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities, *Manuscripta Math.*, 120 (2006), 91–129.
- [7] T. Cieřlak, P. Laurençot, and C. Morales-Rodrigo, Global existence and convergence to steady states in a chemorepulsion system, In *Parabolic and Navier-Stokes equations. Part 1*, volume 81 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 105–117. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2008.

- [8] B. Duan, Z. Luo, and Y. Zheng, Local existence of classical solutions to shallow water equations with Cauchy data containing vacuum, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44 (2012), 541–567.
- [9] R. Duan, X. Li, and Z. Xiang, Global existence and large time behavior for a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system, *J. Differential Equations*, 263 (2017), 6284–6316.
- [10] R. Duan, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35 (2010), 1635–1673.
- [11] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, volume 224 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [12] M. Herrero and J. Velázquez, Singularity patterns in a chemotaxis model, *Math. Ann.*, 306 (1996), 583–623.
- [13] M. Herrero and J. Velázquez, A blow-up mechanism for a chemotaxis model, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 24 (1997), 633–683.
- [14] D. Horstmann and G. Wang, Blow-up in a chemotaxis model without symmetry assumptions, *European J. Appl. Math.*, 12 (2001), 159–177.
- [15] E. Keller and L. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 26 (1970), 399–415.
- [16] E. Keller and L. Segel, Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 30 (1971), 225–234.
- [17] O. Ladyženskaja, V. Solonnikov, and N. Ural’ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith.
- [18] J.-G. Liu and A. Lorz, A coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: global existence, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 28 (2011), 643–652.
- [19] A. Lorz, Coupled chemotaxis fluid model, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 20 (2010), 987–1004.
- [20] Z. Luo, Local existence of classical solutions to the two-dimensional viscous compressible flows with vacuum, *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 10 (2012), 527–554.
- [21] T. Nagai, T. Senba, and K. Yoshida, Application of the Trudinger-Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 40 (1997), 411–433.
- [22] K. Osaki and A. Yagi, Finite dimensional attractor for one-dimensional Keller-Segel equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 44 (2001), 441–469.
- [23] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 146 (1987), 65–96.
- [24] Q. Tao and Z.-a. Yao, Global existence and large time behavior for a two-dimensional chemotaxis-shallow water system, *J. Differential Equations*, 265 (2018), 3092–3129.
- [25] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. Wolgemuth, J. Kessler, and R. Goldstein, Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 102 (2005), 2277–2282.

- [26] W. Wang and Y. Wang, The L^p decay estimates for the chemotaxis-shallow water system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 474 (2019), 640–665.
- [27] W. Wang and Y. Wang, Global existence and large time behavior for the chemotaxis-shallow water system in a bounded domain, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 40 (2020), 6379–6409.
- [28] W. Wang and Y. Wang, Global existence of strong solution to the chemotaxis-shallow water system with vacuum in a bounded domain, *J. Differential Equations*, 307 (2022), 517–555.
- [29] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model, *J. Differential Equations*, 248 (2010), 2889–2905.
- [30] M. Winkler, Global large-data solutions in a chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37 (2012), 319–351.
- [31] M. Winkler, Stabilization in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 211 (2014), 455–487.
- [32] M. Winkler, Global weak solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire*, 33 (2016), 1329–1352.
- [33] M. Winkler, How far do chemotaxis-driven forces influence regularity in the Navier-Stokes system? *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369 (2017), 3067–3125.
- [34] Y. Yang, Global classical solutions to two-dimensional chemotaxis-shallow water system, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 26 (2021), 2625–2643.