

New supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes from matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity

Parinya Karndumri

String Theory and Supergravity Group, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, 254 Phayathai Road, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
E-mail: parinya.ka@hotmail.com

Abstract

We study supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes from matter-coupled $N = (1, 1)$ gauged supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets and $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group. This gauged supergravity admits two supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua preserving all supersymmetries with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ and $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ symmetries. By considering a truncation to $SO(2)_{\text{diag}} \subset SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ invariant sector, we find a number of new supersymmetric $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions by performing a topological twist along \mathcal{M}_4 . For \mathcal{M}_4 being a product of two Riemann surfaces $\Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ and a Kahler four-cycle, the twist is implemented by $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge field while, for \mathcal{M}_4 given by a Clayley four-cycle, the twist is performed by turning on $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge fields. We give numerical black hole solutions interpolating between $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ near horizon geometries and asymptotically locally AdS_6 vacua. Among these solutions, there are solutions interpolating between both of the supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua and near horizon geometries. The solutions can also be interpreted as holographic RG flows from five-dimensional SCFTs to superconformal quantum mechanics.

1 Introduction

The study of supersymmetric asymptotically AdS black holes in various dimensions has attracted much attention since the understanding of the microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy has been acquired by the computation of topologically twisted indices in the dual field theories [1, 2, 3], see also [4]-[16] for results on different types of black holes in various dimensions. The procedure has also been generalized to black strings in five dimensions in [17]. In general, the black hole solutions interpolate between an asymptotically AdS_{d+1} space and a near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_{d-1}$ with \mathcal{M}_{d-1} being the event horizon of the black holes. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence [18], these solutions also describe holographic RG flows across dimensions from d -dimensional SCFTs dual to AdS_{d+1} in the UV to superconformal quantum mechanics dual to the AdS_2 space in the IR via twisted compactifications on \mathcal{M}_{d-1} .

In six dimensions, only the half-maximal non-chiral $N = (1, 1)$ or $F(4)$ gauged supergravity constructed in [19], see also [20, 21] for the matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity, admits supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua, see [22] for general conditions on the existence of supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua. The corresponding AdS_6 black hole solutions with the near horizon geometry of the form $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ from pure $F(4)$ gauged supergravity has been considered in [23] and more recently in [24] and [25]. More general solutions in the matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity have appeared later in [26] and [27]. In [26], the $F(4)$ gauged supergravity is coupled to one vector multiplet leading to $SO(3) \times U(1)$ gauge group with all the fields being uncharged under the $U(1)$ factor. A more general $F(4)$ gauged supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets has been considered in [27]. The resulting gauged supergravity has $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group. However, the black hole solutions with $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ and $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ for \mathcal{M}_4 being a Kahler four-cycle given in [27] have been found by considering a truncation to $SO(2) \times SO(2)$ invariant sector. Within this sector, the effect of the second $SO(3)$ factor in the gauge group is invisible. In particular, the gauge coupling constant for this factor does not appear at all in the BPS equations.

In this work, we look for more interesting supersymmetric AdS_6 black hole solutions within this matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity with three vector multiplets and $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group. This gauged supergravity has been first studied in [28], and further in [29, 30], in which two $N = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ and $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ symmetries have been found. By further reduce the residual symmetry to $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$, we will look for black holes with near horizon geometries of the form $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ for \mathcal{M}_4 being a product of two Riemann surfaces or a Kahler four-cycle. We will see that, for negatively curved \mathcal{M}_4 spaces, there exist a number of supersymmetric black hole solutions in asymptotically AdS_6 spaces described by the two aforementioned AdS_6 vacua. In addition, we will also consider the black hole solutions with \mathcal{M}_4 given by a Cayley four-cycle by performing a topological twist using $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$

gauge fields. Although this has already been studied in [27], we indeed find new supersymmetric AdS_6 black hole solutions interpolating between the near horizon geometry and the two supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua.

The paper is organized as follows. We give a brief review of the matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity in section 2. We then consider the $F(4)$ gauged supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group and review two known supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua. By truncating to $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ invariant sector, we study $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ and find a new class of $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ solutions in section 3. A number of numerical black hole solutions are also given. We subsequently extend the analysis to the case of $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions with \mathcal{M}_4 given by a Kahler four-cycle in section 4. We also consider the case of \mathcal{M}_4 being a Cayley four-cycle by performing a topological twist using $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge fields. In this case, we find a new AdS_6 black hole solution in addition to the solution found previously in [27]. We finally give some conclusions and comments in section 5. In the appendix, all the bosonic field equations of the matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity are recorded.

2 Matter coupled $N = (1, 1)$ gauged supergravity in six dimensions

In this section, we review the structure of matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity in six dimensions obtained by gauging the half-maximal $N = (1, 1)$ supergravity coupled to vector multiplets. We mostly follow the conventions of the original construction in [20, 21] but with the metric signature $(-++++)$. We firstly review the general matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity coupled to an arbitrary number n of vector multiplets and finally consider the case of $n = 3$ and $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group.

2.1 General structure of matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity

The $N = (1, 1)$ supergravity multiplet in six dimensions consists of the following component fields

$$(e_\mu^a, \psi_\mu^A, A_\mu^\alpha, B_{\mu\nu}, \chi^A, \sigma).$$

The bosonic fields are the graviton e_μ^a , a two-form field $B_{\mu\nu}$, four vector fields A_μ^α , $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and the dilaton σ . Space-time and tangent space or flat indices are denoted respectively by $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, 5$ and $a, b = 0, \dots, 5$. The fermionic fields are given by two gravitini ψ_μ^A and two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fields χ^A with indices $A, B, \dots = 1, 2$ denoting the fundamental representation of $SU(2)_R \sim USp(2)_R \sim SO(3)_R$ R-symmetry. Following [20] and [21], we also introduce the $SU(2)_R$ adjoint indices $r, s, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ according to the split of indices $\alpha = (0, r)$.

The vector multiplets are described by the field content

$$(A_\mu, \lambda_A, \phi^\alpha)^I, \quad I = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

consisting of n vectors A_μ^I , $2n$ gaugini λ_A^I , and $4n$ scalars $\phi^{\alpha I}$ parametrizing $SO(4, n)/SO(4) \times SO(n)$ coset manifold. All spinor fields χ^A , ψ_μ^A and λ_A as well as the supersymmetry parameter ϵ^A are eight-component pseudo-Majorana spinors. In addition, the $4 + n$ vector fields from both the gravity and vector multiplets will be collectively denoted by $A^\Lambda = (A^\alpha, A^I)$.

Including the dilaton, there are $4n + 1$ scalar fields described by $\mathbb{R}^+ \times SO(4, n)/SO(4) \times SO(n)$ coset with \mathbb{R}^+ corresponding to the dilaton. The $4n$ vector multiplet scalars can be parametrized by a coset representative $L^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}}$ transforming under the global $SO(4, n)$ and local $SO(4) \times SO(n)$ symmetries by left and right multiplications respectively with indices $\Lambda, \underline{\Sigma} = 0, \dots, n + 3$. We can also split the index $\underline{\Sigma}$ transforming under the local $SO(4) \times SO(n)$ as $\underline{\Sigma} = (\alpha, I) = (0, r, I)$. Accordingly, the coset representative can be written as

$$L^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}} = (L^\Lambda_\alpha, L^\Lambda_I). \quad (1)$$

The inverse of $L^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}}$ will be denoted by $(L^{-1})^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}} = ((L^{-1})^\alpha_\Sigma, (L^{-1})^I_\Sigma)$. $SO(4, n)$ indices will be raised and lowered by the invariant tensor

$$\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} = \eta^{\Lambda\Sigma} = (\delta_{\alpha\beta}, -\delta_{IJ}). \quad (2)$$

We are interested in gauging a compact subgroup of $SO(4, n)$ of the form $G = SO(3) \times G_c$ in which the $SO(3)$ factor is identified with the R-symmetry $SO(3)_R \sim SU(2)_R$. This $SO(3)$ is gauged by three vector fields A^r within the gravity multiplet. G_c is a compact subgroup of $SO(n)$ gauged by the vector fields in vector multiplets with $\dim(G_c) \leq n$. The structure constants of the gauge algebra $f^\Lambda_{\Sigma\Gamma}$ appearing in the Lie algebra of the gauge generators T_Λ as

$$[T_\Lambda, T_\Sigma] = f^\Gamma_{\Lambda\Sigma} T_\Gamma \quad \text{with} \quad f_{[\Lambda\Sigma\Gamma]} = 0 \quad (3)$$

can be chosen to be $(\epsilon_{rst}, C_{IJK})$ with C_{IJK} being the structure constants of G_c .

In addition to the gauging of $G \subset SO(4, n)$, there is also a massive deformation of the two-form field needed for the existence of AdS_6 vacua. With both of these deformations taken into account, the Lagrangian for $N = (1, 1)$ gauged supergravity can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{4} R - e \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - \frac{1}{4} P_\mu^{I\alpha} P_{I\alpha}^\mu - \frac{1}{8} e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{64} e^{4\sigma} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \\ & - V - \frac{1}{64} e^{-1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} B_{\mu\nu} \left(\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\rho\sigma}^\Lambda \widehat{F}_{\lambda\tau}^\Sigma + m B_{\rho\sigma} \widehat{F}_{\lambda\tau}^\Lambda \delta^{\Lambda 0} + \frac{1}{3} m^2 B_{\rho\sigma} B_{\lambda\tau} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with $e = \sqrt{-g}$. Various field strength tensors are defined by

$$\widehat{F}^\Lambda = F^\Lambda - m\delta^{\Lambda 0}B, \quad F^\Lambda = dA^\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}f^\Lambda_{\Sigma\Gamma}A^\Sigma \wedge A^\Gamma, \quad H = dB. \quad (5)$$

It is also useful to note the convention on components of form fields used in [20, 21]

$$F^\Lambda = F^\Lambda_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad H = H_{\mu\nu\rho}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho. \quad (6)$$

In particular, these lead to for example $F^\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}f^\Lambda_{\Sigma\Gamma}A^\Sigma_\mu A^\Gamma_\nu)$.

The scalar kinetic term is written in terms of the vielbein on $SO(4, n)/SO(4) \times SO(n)$ denoted by $P_\mu^{I\alpha} = P_x^{I\alpha} \partial_\mu \phi^x$, $x = 1, \dots, 4n$. This vielbein together with the $SO(4) \times SO(n)$ composite connections ($\Omega^{rs}, \Omega^{r0}, \Omega^{IJ}$) are encoded in the left-invariant 1-form

$$\Omega^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}} = (L^{-1})^\Lambda_{\Pi} \nabla L^\Pi_{\underline{\Sigma}} \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla L^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}} = dL^\Lambda_{\underline{\Sigma}} - f^\Lambda_{\Gamma\Pi} A^\Gamma L^\Pi_{\underline{\Sigma}}. \quad (7)$$

This leads to the vielbein with the following identification

$$P^I_\alpha = (P^I_0, P^I_r) = (\Omega^I_0, \Omega^I_r). \quad (8)$$

The symmetric scalar matrix $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}$ appearing in the kinetic term of the vector fields is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} = L_{\Lambda\alpha}(L^{-1})^\alpha_\Sigma - L_{\Lambda I}(L^{-1})^I_\Sigma = (\eta LL^T \eta)_{\Lambda\Sigma}. \quad (9)$$

As usual, gaugings lead to the scalar potential and modified supersymmetry transformations of fermions by a number of fermion-shift matrices. The explicit form of the scalar potential reads

$$\begin{aligned} V = & -e^{2\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{36}A^2 + \frac{1}{4}B^i B_i + \frac{1}{4}(C^I_t C_{It} + 4D^I_t D_{It}) \right] + m^2 e^{-6\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{00} \\ & - m e^{-2\sigma} \left[\frac{2}{3}A L_{00} - 2B^i L_{0i} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with \mathcal{N}_{00} being the 00 component of $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma}$. Supersymmetry transformation rules for all the fermionic fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\psi_{\mu A} &= D_\mu\epsilon_A - \frac{1}{24}(Ae^\sigma + 6me^{-3\sigma}(L^{-1})_{00})\epsilon_{AB}\gamma_\mu\epsilon^B \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}(B_t e^\sigma - 2me^{-3\sigma}(L^{-1})_{t0})\gamma^7\sigma_{AB}^t\gamma_\mu\epsilon^B \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{16}e^{-\sigma}[\epsilon_{AB}(L^{-1})_{0\Lambda}\gamma_7 + \sigma_{AB}^r(L^{-1})_{r\Lambda}]F_{\nu\lambda}^\Lambda(\gamma_\mu^{\nu\lambda} - 6\delta_\mu^\nu\gamma^\lambda)\epsilon^B \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{32}e^{2\sigma}H_{\nu\lambda\rho}\gamma_7(\gamma_\mu^{\nu\lambda\rho} - 3\delta_\mu^\nu\gamma^{\lambda\rho})\epsilon_A,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\chi_A &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\sigma\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^B + \frac{1}{24}[Ae^\sigma - 18me^{-3\sigma}(L^{-1})_{00}]\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^B \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}[B_t e^\sigma + 6me^{-3\sigma}(L^{-1})_{t0}]\gamma^7\sigma_{AB}^t\epsilon^B \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{16}e^{-\sigma}[\sigma_{AB}^r(L^{-1})_{r\Lambda} - \epsilon_{AB}(L^{-1})_{0\Lambda}\gamma_7]F_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^B \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{32}e^{2\sigma}H_{\nu\lambda\rho}\gamma_7\gamma^{\nu\lambda\rho}\epsilon_A,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\lambda_A^I &= P_{ri}^I\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\phi^i\sigma_{AB}^r\epsilon^B + P_{0i}^I\gamma^7\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\phi^i\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^B - (2i\gamma^7 D_t^I + C_t^I)e^\sigma\sigma_{AB}^t\epsilon^B \\ &\quad + 2me^{-3\sigma}(L^{-1})^I{}_0\gamma^7\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon^B - \frac{i}{2}e^{-\sigma}(L^{-1})^I{}_\Lambda F_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda\gamma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_A\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where $\sigma^{tC}{}_B$ are usual Pauli matrices, and $\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA}$. A, B indices can be raised and lowered by ϵ^{AB} and ϵ_{AB} with the convention $T^A = \epsilon^{AB}T_B$ and $T_A = T^B\epsilon_{BA}$. The covariant derivative of ϵ_A is given by

$$D_\mu\epsilon_A = \partial_\mu\epsilon_A + \frac{1}{4}\omega_\mu^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\epsilon_A + \frac{i}{2}\sigma_{AB}^r\left[\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{rst}\Omega_{\mu st} - i\gamma_7\Omega_{\mu r0}\right]\epsilon^B.\tag{14}$$

Various components of the fermion-shift matrices are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \epsilon^{rst}K_{rst}, & B^i &= \epsilon^{ijk}K_{jk0}, \\ C_I{}^t &= \epsilon^{trs}K_{rIs}, & D_{It} &= K_{0It}\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}K_{rst} &= g_1\epsilon_{lmn}L_r^l(L^{-1})_s^m L_t^n + g_2C_{IJK}L_r^I(L^{-1})_s^J L_t^K, \\ K_{rs0} &= g_1\epsilon_{lmn}L_r^l(L^{-1})_s^m L_0^n + g_2C_{IJK}L_r^I(L^{-1})_s^J L_0^K, \\ K_{rIt} &= g_1\epsilon_{lmn}L_r^l(L^{-1})_I^m L_t^n + g_2C_{IJK}L_r^I(L^{-1})_I^J L_t^K, \\ K_{0It} &= g_1\epsilon_{lmn}L_0^l(L^{-1})_I^m L_t^n + g_2C_{IJK}L_0^I(L^{-1})_I^J L_t^K.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Finally, we note the convention on space-time gamma matrices γ^a which is slightly different from those of [20, 21]. γ^a satisfy the Clifford algebra

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}, \quad \eta^{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1),\tag{18}$$

and the chirality matrix is defined by $\gamma_7 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^4\gamma^5$ with $\gamma_7^2 = -\mathbf{1}$.

2.2 Matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group and supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua

We now consider a specific case of $n = 3$ vector multiplets and $SO(3) \times SO(3) \sim SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauge group. As previously mentioned, the first $SO(3)$ is the R-symmetry gauged by A_μ^r , and the second one gauged by A_μ^I , $I = 1, 2, 3$, from the three vector multiplets. With $C_{IJK} = \epsilon_{IJK}$, the structure constants of the full gauge group are then given by

$$f_{\Pi\Sigma}^\Lambda = (\epsilon_{rst}, \epsilon_{IJK}). \quad (19)$$

The explicit parametrization of the scalar fields in $SO(4, 3)/SO(4) \times SO(3)$ coset can be obtained as in [28] by introducing the 7×7 matrices

$$(e^{\Lambda\Sigma})_{\Gamma\Pi} = \delta_\Gamma^\Lambda \delta_\Pi^\Sigma, \quad \Lambda, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Pi = 0, \dots, 6. \quad (20)$$

The compact $SO(4) \times SO(3)$ generators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} SO(4) : \quad J^{\alpha\beta} &= e^{\beta,\alpha} - e^{\alpha,\beta}, & \alpha, \beta &= 0, 1, 2, 3, \\ SO(3) : \quad \tilde{J}^{IJ} &= e^{J+3,I+3} - e^{I+3,J+3}, & I, J &= 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

while non-compact generators can be identified as

$$Y_{\alpha I} = e^{\alpha,I+3} + e^{I+3,\alpha}. \quad (22)$$

The structure constants given above imply that the $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge generators are given respectively by J^{rs} and \tilde{J}^{IJ} .

This gauged supergravity has been originally studied in [28], and two supersymmetric $N = (1, 1)$ AdS_6 vacua with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ and $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ symmetries have been identified. For convenience, we will also give these two vacua here. With the $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ generated by $J^{rs} + \tilde{J}^{rs}$, the only one singlet scalar from $SO(4, 3)/SO(4) \times SO(3)$ coset corresponds to the non-compact generator $Y_{11} + Y_{22} + Y_{33}$. The coset representative can be written as

$$L = e^{\phi(Y_{11}+Y_{22}+Y_{33})}. \quad (23)$$

The resulting scalar potential reads

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{1}{16} e^{2\sigma} [(g_1^2 + g_2^2)[\cosh 6\phi - 9 \cosh 2\phi] + 8(g_2^2 - g_1^2) + 8g_1 g_2 \sinh^3 2\phi] \\ &\quad + e^{-6\sigma} m^2 - 4e^{-2\sigma} m (g_1 \cosh^3 \phi - g_2 \sinh^3 \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which admits two supersymmetric AdS_6 critical points given by

$$\phi = 0, \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{3m}{g_1} \right], \quad V_0 = -20m^2 \left(\frac{g_1}{3m} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_1 + g_2}{g_2 - g_1} \right], & \sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{3m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}}{g_1 g_2} \right], \\ V_0 &= -20m^2 \left[\frac{g_1 g_2}{3m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{2}}.\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

The first critical point is $SO(4) \sim SO(3) \times SO(3)$ invariant while the second one preserves only $SO(3)_{\text{diag}} \subset SO(3) \times SO(3)$. For later convenience, we will refer to these vacua as AdS_6 critical point i and ii , respectively. We can also set $g_1 = 3m$ to have vanishing dilaton at critical point i .

3 Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes with $\Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ horizons

We first consider black hole solutions with the near horizon geometry of the form $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ for Σ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ being Riemann surfaces. The metric ansatz takes the form of

$$ds^2 = -e^{2f(r)} dt^2 + dr^2 + e^{2h(r)} (d\theta^2 + F_\kappa(\theta)^2 d\phi^2) + e^{2\tilde{h}(r)} (d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})^2 d\tilde{\phi}^2) \quad (27)$$

with the function $F_\kappa(\theta)$ given by

$$F_\kappa(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sin \theta, & \kappa = 1 & \text{for } \Sigma^2 = S^2 \\ \theta, & \kappa = 0 & \text{for } \Sigma^2 = T^2 \\ \sinh \theta, & \kappa = -1 & \text{for } \Sigma^2 = H^2 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

and similarly for $\tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})$.

With the following choice of vielbein

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\hat{t}} &= e^f dt, & e^{\hat{r}} &= dr, & e^{\hat{\theta}} &= e^h d\theta, \\ e^{\hat{\phi}} &= e^h F_\kappa(\theta) d\phi, & e^{\hat{\tilde{\theta}}} &= e^{\tilde{h}} d\tilde{\theta}, & e^{\hat{\tilde{\phi}}} &= e^{\tilde{h}} \tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\phi},\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

non-vanishing components of the spin connection for the above metric are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\hat{t}}_{\hat{r}} &= f' e^{\hat{t}}, & \omega^{\hat{\theta}}_{\hat{r}} &= h' e^{\hat{\theta}}, & \omega^{\hat{\phi}}_{\hat{r}} &= h' e^{\hat{\phi}}, & \omega^{\hat{\tilde{\theta}}}_{\hat{r}} &= \tilde{h}' e^{\hat{\tilde{\theta}}}, \\ \omega^{\hat{\phi}}_{\hat{t}} &= \tilde{h}' e^{\hat{\phi}}, & \omega^{\hat{\phi}}_{\hat{\theta}} &= \frac{F'_\kappa(\theta)}{F_\kappa(\theta)} e^{-h} e^{\hat{\phi}}, & \omega^{\hat{\tilde{\phi}}}_{\hat{\tilde{\theta}}} &= \frac{\tilde{F}'_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})}{\tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})} e^{-\tilde{h}} e^{\hat{\tilde{\phi}}}\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

with hatted indices being flat indices. Throughout the paper, we will use $'$ to denote r -derivatives except for $F'_\kappa(\theta) = \frac{dF_\kappa(\theta)}{d\theta}$ and $\tilde{F}'_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{d\tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})}{d\tilde{\theta}}$. We also note some useful relations

$$F''_\kappa(\theta) = -\kappa F_\kappa(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - F'_\kappa(\theta)^2 = \kappa F_\kappa(\theta)^2 \quad (31)$$

which also hold for $\tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta})$.

In this section, we will consider a truncation to $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ invariant sector. There are four singlet scalars which, for $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ generated by $J^{12} + \tilde{J}^{12}$, can be described by the coset representative

$$L = e^{\phi_0 Y_{03}} e^{\phi_1 (Y_{11} + Y_{22})} e^{\phi_2 Y_{33}} e^{\phi_3 (Y_{12} - Y_{21})}. \quad (32)$$

The resulting scalar potential is rather complicated and will not be needed in the following analysis. Therefore, we refrain from giving it here.

To preserve some supersymmetry, we perform a topological twist by turning on the following gauge fields

$$A^3 = a F'_\kappa(\theta) d\phi + \tilde{a} \tilde{F}'_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad A^6 = b F'_\kappa(\theta) d\phi + \tilde{b} \tilde{F}'_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\phi} \quad (33)$$

with the condition $g_1 A^3 = g_2 A^6$ or equivalently $g_1 a = g_2 b$ and $g_1 \tilde{a} = g_2 \tilde{b}$ implementing the $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ subgroup. We also note the corresponding field strength tensors

$$\begin{aligned} F^3 &= -\kappa a F_\kappa(\theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi - \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{a} \tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\phi}, \\ F^6 &= -\kappa b F_\kappa(\theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi - \tilde{\kappa} \tilde{b} \tilde{F}_{\tilde{\kappa}}(\tilde{\theta}) d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The composite connection can be straightforwardly computed to be

$$\Omega_{\mu r 0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\mu st} = g_1 A_\mu^3 (\delta_{s2} \delta_{t1} - \delta_{s1} \delta_{t2}) \quad (35)$$

which lead to

$$Q_{\mu AB} = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{rAB} \left[\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{rst} \Omega_{\mu st} - i \gamma_7 \Omega_{\mu r 0} \right] = -\frac{i}{2} g_1 A_\mu^3 \sigma_{AB}^3. \quad (36)$$

We also note that only the parts involving gauge fields in the composite connection have been given above. There are additional contributions along the r -direction due to non-vanishing scalars ϕ_0 and ϕ_3 . However, these do not affect the topological twist, so we have omitted them.

We now consider relevant parts of the supersymmetry transformation $\delta\psi_{\hat{\phi}_A}$ and $\delta\psi_{\hat{\tilde{\phi}}_A}$. For $\delta\psi_{\hat{\phi}_A}$, we find

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'_\kappa(\theta)}{F_\kappa(\theta)} e^{-h} \gamma_{\hat{\phi}\hat{\theta}} \epsilon_A - \frac{i}{2} g_1 a \frac{F'_\kappa(\theta)}{F_\kappa(\theta)} e^{-h} \sigma_{AB}^3 \epsilon^B + \dots \quad (37)$$

with ... refers to other terms independent of θ and ϕ . By imposing a projector and a twist condition

$$\gamma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}}\epsilon_A = \mp i\sigma_{AB}^3\epsilon^B \quad \text{and} \quad g_1 a = \pm 1 \quad (38)$$

we can cancel the internal spin connection along Σ . As a result, $\delta\psi_{\hat{\theta}A}$ and $\delta\psi_{\hat{\phi}A}$ conditions reduce to the same BPS equation for the warp factor $h(r)$ as expected. A similar analysis for $\delta\psi_{\hat{\phi}A}$ gives

$$\gamma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}}\epsilon_A = \mp i\sigma_{AB}^3\epsilon^B \quad \text{and} \quad g_1 \tilde{a} = \pm 1. \quad (39)$$

We also note that using the definition of $\gamma_7 = i\gamma^{\hat{t}}\gamma^{\hat{r}}\gamma^{\hat{\theta}}\gamma^{\hat{\phi}}\gamma^{\hat{\theta}}\gamma^{\hat{\phi}}$, the two projectors imply

$$\gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{r}}\epsilon_A = i\gamma_7\epsilon_A. \quad (40)$$

For the gauge fields given in (33), we cannot consistently set the two-form field to zero. Using the field equation (111) given in the appendix, we find that $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ and only $B_{\hat{t}\hat{r}}$ component is non-vanishing and given by

$$m^2 e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{00} B^{\hat{t}\hat{r}} = -\frac{1}{16} \epsilon^{\hat{t}\hat{r}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}\hat{\lambda}\hat{\tau}} \eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} F_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}^\Lambda F_{\hat{\lambda}\hat{\tau}}^\Sigma. \quad (41)$$

With $\epsilon^{\hat{t}\hat{r}\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}} = 1$, we find

$$B_{\hat{t}\hat{r}} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\kappa\tilde{\kappa}(a\tilde{a} - b\tilde{b})}{m^2 \mathcal{N}_{00}} e^{2\sigma - 2h - 2\tilde{h}}. \quad (42)$$

To obtain the BPS equations, we also need to impose a projector involving $\gamma^{\hat{r}}$

$$\gamma^{\hat{r}}\epsilon_A = -\epsilon_A. \quad (43)$$

The sign choice is chosen such that an AdS_6 vacuum appears at $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the solutions. It turns out that consistency of the BPS equations requires $\phi_0 = 0$ as in the solutions studied in [26] and [27]. With all these, we find the following

BPS equations

$$\phi'_1 = -e^\sigma \operatorname{sech} 2\phi_3 \sinh 2\phi_1 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2), \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_2 &= -e^\sigma [g_2 \cosh \phi_2 + g_1 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \sinh \phi_2 - g_2 \cosh \phi_2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \left[\kappa e^{2\tilde{h}} (b \cosh \phi_2 - a \sinh \phi_2) - \tilde{\kappa} e^{2h} (\tilde{b} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{a} \sinh \phi_2) \right], \\ \phi'_3 &= -e^\sigma \cosh 2\phi_1 \sinh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' &= -\frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} e^{-\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \left[\kappa e^{2\tilde{h}} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) + \tilde{\kappa} e^{2h} (\tilde{a} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{b} \sinh \phi_2) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{32m} e^{\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \kappa \tilde{\kappa} (b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= \frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} e^{-\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \left[3\kappa e^{2\tilde{h}} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) - \tilde{\kappa} e^{2h} (\tilde{a} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{b} \sinh \phi_2) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + \frac{1}{32m} e^{\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \kappa \tilde{\kappa} (b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}' &= \frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} e^{-\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \left[3\tilde{\kappa} e^{2h} (\tilde{a} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{b} \sinh \phi_2) - \kappa e^{2\tilde{h}} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + \frac{1}{32m} e^{\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \kappa \tilde{\kappa} (b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}) \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} e^{-\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \left[\kappa e^{2\tilde{h}} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) + \tilde{\kappa} e^{2h} (\tilde{a} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{b} \sinh \phi_2) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} - \frac{3}{32m} e^{\sigma-2h-2\tilde{h}} \kappa \tilde{\kappa} (b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

In deriving these equations, we have chosen the upper sign choice for the conditions given in (38) for definiteness. It can also be verified that these equations are compatible with the second-order field equations. For large r with $f \sim h \sim \tilde{h} \sim r$, these equations admit AdS_6 vacua given in (25) and (26) as asymptotic solutions.

We note that for $\phi_1 = \phi_3 = 0$ and without the $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ condition among the gauge fields A^3 and A^6 , we recover the BPS equations given in [26] and [27] for $SO(2) \times SO(2)$ symmetric black holes up to some notational and conventional differences. However, in the present case, the magnetic charges b and \tilde{b} are not independent but related to a and \tilde{a} via the relations $g_2 b = g_1 a$ and $g_2 \tilde{b} = g_1 \tilde{a}$. The solutions to these equations preserve $\frac{1}{8}$ supersymmetry or 2 supercharges due to three independent projectors involving $\gamma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\phi}}$, $\gamma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\tilde{\phi}}}$, and $\gamma^{\hat{r}}$.

At the horizon given by an $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ critical point, we have the

conditions

$$\sigma' = h' = \tilde{h}' = \phi'_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad f' = \frac{1}{\ell} \quad (50)$$

with an AdS_2 radius ℓ . The constant scalars imply that the $\gamma^{\hat{r}}$ projector is not needed in the BPS equations, and the $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ solutions are $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS preserving four supercharges.

3.1 $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ vacua

We now look for possible $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ vacua from the above BPS equations. The first solution is given by

- AdS_2 critical point I:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 = \phi_3 &= 0, \\ h &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[-\frac{e^{2\sigma} \kappa (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2)}{4m} \right], \\ \tilde{h} &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[-\frac{e^{2\sigma} \tilde{\kappa} (\tilde{a} \cosh \phi_2 - \tilde{b} \sinh \phi_2)}{4m} \right], \\ \sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{m[3(b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}) - (a\tilde{a} + b\tilde{b}) \cosh 2\phi_2 + (a\tilde{b} + b\tilde{a}) \sinh 2\phi_2]}{2g_1 \cosh^3 \phi_2 (a - b \tanh \phi_2) (\tilde{b} \tanh \phi_2 - \tilde{a})} \right], \\ \phi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{2\Phi^{\frac{2}{3}} + (3g_1 + g_2)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}} + 2g_1(3g_1 + 2g_2)}{(g_2 - g_1)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right], \\ \frac{1}{\ell} &= \left[\frac{8mg_1^3 \cosh \phi_2 [3(b\tilde{b} - a\tilde{a}) - (a\tilde{a} + b\tilde{b}) \cosh 2\phi_2 + (a\tilde{b} + b\tilde{a}) \sinh 2\phi_2]}{(a - b \tanh \phi_2) (\tilde{b} \tanh \phi_2 - \tilde{a})} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

with

$$\Phi = 5g_1^3 + 5g_1^2g_2 + g_1g_2^2 + g_1(g_1 + g_2)\sqrt{g_2^2 - 2g_1^2}. \quad (52)$$

To simplify some expressions, we have used the relations $g_1a = g_2b$ and $g_1\tilde{a} = g_2\tilde{b}$ which lead to a less symmetric appearance of (a, \tilde{a}) and (b, \tilde{b}) . A straightforward analysis shows that only $\kappa = \tilde{\kappa} = -1$ leads to valid $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ solutions.

There is also another $AdS_2 \times \Sigma \times \tilde{\Sigma}$ solution of the form

- AdS_2 critical point II:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 + g_1}{g_2 - g_1} \right], \\
h &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[-\frac{e^{2\sigma} \kappa (ag_2 - g_1 b)}{4m \sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}} \right], \quad \tilde{h} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[-\frac{e^{2\sigma} \tilde{\kappa} (\tilde{a}g_2 - \tilde{b}g_1)}{4m \sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}} \right], \\
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{m[a\tilde{a}(2g_2^2 - g_1^2) + b\tilde{b}(g_1^2 - g_2^2) - g_1g_2(a\tilde{b} + \tilde{a}b)]\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}}{g_1g_2(ag_2 - bg_1)(\tilde{a}g_2 - \tilde{b}g_1)} \right], \\
\phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{2(g_1^2 + g_2^2) + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{g_1^4 + 6g_1^2g_2^2 + g_2^4 - (g_2^2 - g_1^2) \cosh 4\phi_3}}{2(g_2^2 - g_1^2) \cosh 2\phi_3} \right], \\
\frac{1}{\ell} &= \left[\frac{16mg_1^3g_2^3[a\tilde{a}(2g_2^2 - g_1^2) + b\tilde{b}(g_2^2 - 2g_1^2) - g_1g_2(a\tilde{b} + \tilde{a}b)]}{(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}(ag_2 - bg_1)(\tilde{a}g_2 - \tilde{b}g_1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

with ϕ_3 being a constant. We also note that apart from ϕ_1 , all other functions including the AdS_2 radius do not depend on the value of ϕ_3 at the critical point. For a particular value of $\phi_3 = 0$, we find

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 + g_1}{g_2 - g_1} \right]. \quad (54)$$

As in the case of critical point I, it turns out that only $\kappa = \tilde{\kappa} = -1$ leads to viable solutions. Therefore, there are only black hole solutions with $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ near horizon geometries.

3.2 Numerical black hole solutions

The BPS equations are too complicated to be solved analytically, so we will numerically find the black hole solutions interpolating between asymptotically locally AdS_6 vacua and near horizon $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ geometries. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence, the solutions also describe RG flows across dimensions from five-dimensional SCFTs in the UV to superconformal quantum mechanics in the IR.

We begin with solutions flowing to AdS_2 critical point I. We will choose the values of various parameters as follows

$$g_1 = 3m \quad \text{and} \quad m = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (55)$$

With these values, the supersymmetric AdS_6 critical point with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ symmetry given in (25) has unit radius. We will also set $\phi_1 = \phi_3 = 0$ along the entire solutions. Examples of solutions with different values of g_2 are shown in

figure 1. We can also compute the corresponding black hole entropy by using the relation

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{4G_{\text{N}}} e^{2h+2\tilde{h}} \text{vol}(\Sigma) \text{vol}(\tilde{\Sigma}) \quad (56)$$

with the volume of a genus- \mathfrak{g} Riemann surface given by

$$\text{vol}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 2\pi\eta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \eta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} 2|\mathfrak{g} - 1|, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} \neq 1 \\ 1, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (57)$$

For the present case of black hole with $H^2 \times H^2$ horizon, we find

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi^2 \eta_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} \left[(a\tilde{a} + b\tilde{b}) \cosh \phi_2 + (a\tilde{a} - 2b\tilde{b}) \text{sech} \phi_2 - (\tilde{a}b + a\tilde{b}) \sinh \phi_2 \right]}{16g_1 m G_{\text{N}}} \quad (58)$$

with the value of ϕ_2 at the horizon given in (51).

We now move to black hole solutions with the near horizon geometry given by $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ critical point II. By setting $\phi_3 = 0$ and $g_2 = 4$ with all other parameters take the same values as in the previous case, we give examples of black hole solutions in figure 2. In this case, there is a solution that flows directly from AdS_6 critical point i to $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ vacuum II (red curve) as well as a solution interpolating between AdS_6 critical point ii and $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ vacuum II (green curve). There are also solutions interpolating between AdS_6 critical point i and $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ vacuum II that flow very close to AdS_6 vacuum ii (blue and purple curves). By fine tuning the boundary conditions, we can find a solution interpolating between AdS_6 critical points i and ii and $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ vacuum II (cyan curve). In the solutions for $f'(r)$, we have also included the values of $f'(r)$ at various critical points (dashed lines), related to AdS_6 and AdS_2 radii, to clearly illustrate the interpolations among different vacua. The corresponding black hole entropy is given by

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi^2 \eta_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} \left[a\tilde{a}(2g_2^2 - g_1^2) + b\tilde{b}(2g_1^2 - g_2^2) - (\tilde{a}b + a\tilde{b})g_1g_2 \right]}{16g_1g_2m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}G_{\text{N}}}. \quad (59)$$

3.3 Solutions with $SO(2)_R$ twist

Another possibility of performing the topological twist is to turn on only $SO(2)_R \subset SO(3)_R$ gauge field. In this case, there are six singlet scalars parametrized by the coset representative

$$L = e^{\varphi_1 Y_{01}} e^{\varphi_2 Y_{02}} e^{\varphi_3 Y_{03}} e^{\phi_1 Y_{31}} e^{\phi_2 Y_{32}} e^{\phi_3 Y_{33}}. \quad (60)$$

Similar to the case of $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ twist, consistency of the BPS equations requires $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = 0$. The analysis of the BPS equations shows that AdS_2 critical points exist only for $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = 0$. This gives the same critical point studied in [24] and [27]. Accordingly, we will not give further detail on this result here to avoid repetition.




Figure 1: Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes interpolating between AdS_6 vacuum i and the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ (critical point I) for $g_2 = 4$ (blue), $g_2 = 6$ (red), $g_2 = 8$ (green).




Figure 2: Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes interpolating between AdS_6 vacua i and ii and the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ (critical point II) for $g_2=4$.

4 Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes with \mathcal{M}_4 horizons

In this section, we consider AdS_6 black holes with a near horizon geometry of the form $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ for \mathcal{M}_4 being an Einstein four-manifold. We will consider two types of \mathcal{M}_4 namely a Kahler four-cycle and a Cayley four-cycle.

4.1 Black holes with Kahler four-cycle horizon

We first consider the case of \mathcal{M}_4 being a Kahler four-cycle with a $U(2) \sim U(1) \times SU(2)$ holonomy. We will perform the twist along the $U(1)$ part by turning on the $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge field as in the previous section. The $SO(4, 3)/SO(4) \times SO(3)$ coset representative is still given by (32). We will choose the metric ansatz to be

$$ds^2 = -e^{2f(r)} dt^2 + dr^2 + e^{2h(r)} ds_{\mathcal{M}_4}^2 \quad (61)$$

with the metric on \mathcal{M}_4 given by

$$ds_{\mathcal{M}_4}^2 = \frac{1}{f_\kappa^2(\rho)} [d\rho^2 + \rho^2 f_\kappa(\rho) (\tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2) + \rho^2 \tau_3^2] \quad (62)$$

for $f_\kappa(\rho) = 1 + \kappa\rho^2$. τ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are $SU(2)$ left-invariant one-forms with the normalization

$$d\tau_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \tau_j \wedge \tau_k. \quad (63)$$

With an obvious choice of vielbein

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\hat{t}} &= e^f dt, & e^{\hat{r}} &= dr, & e^{\hat{1}} &= \frac{e^h \rho}{\sqrt{f_\kappa(\rho)}} \tau_1, \\ e^{\hat{2}} &= \frac{e^h \rho}{\sqrt{f_\kappa(\rho)}} \tau_2, & e^{\hat{3}} &= \frac{e^h \rho}{f_\kappa(\rho)} \tau_3, & e^{\hat{4}} &= \frac{e^h}{f_\kappa(\rho)} d\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

we can determine non-vanishing components of the spin connection

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\hat{t}\hat{r}} &= f' e^{\hat{t}}, & \omega^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{r}} &= h' e^{\hat{\alpha}}, & \hat{\alpha} &= 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \omega^{\hat{1}\hat{4}} &= \omega^{\hat{2}\hat{3}} = \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} e^{\hat{1}}, & \omega^{\hat{2}\hat{4}} &= \omega^{\hat{3}\hat{1}} = \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} e^{\hat{2}}, \\ \omega^{\hat{1}\hat{2}} &= \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (2\kappa\rho^2 + 1) e^{\hat{3}}, & \omega^{\hat{4}\hat{3}} &= \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (\kappa\rho^2 - 1) e^{\hat{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

To implement the topological twist along \mathcal{M}_4 , we turn on the following gauge fields

$$A^3 = 3a\kappa\rho e^{-h} e^{\hat{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad A^6 = 3b\kappa\rho e^{-h} e^{\hat{3}} \quad (66)$$

with $g_2 A^6 = g_1 A^3$ as in the previous section.

We first consider the $\delta\psi_{\hat{3}A}$ condition

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (2\kappa\rho^2 + 1) \gamma_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} \epsilon_A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (\kappa\rho^2 - 1) \gamma_{\hat{4}\hat{3}} \epsilon_A - \frac{3i}{2} g_1 a \kappa \rho e^{-h} \sigma_{AB}^3 \epsilon^B + \dots \quad (67)$$

in which we have used the composite connection given in (36). We now perform the twist by imposing the projectors

$$\gamma_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} \epsilon_A = -\gamma_{\hat{3}\hat{4}} \epsilon_A = i \sigma_{AB}^3 \epsilon^B \quad (68)$$

along with the twist condition

$$g_1 a = 1. \quad (69)$$

On the other hand, the conditions $\delta\psi_{\hat{1}A}$ and $\delta\psi_{\hat{2}A}$ give

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (\gamma_{\hat{1}\hat{4}} + \gamma_{\hat{2}\hat{3}}) \epsilon_A + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-h}}{\rho} (\gamma_{\hat{2}\hat{4}} + \gamma_{\hat{3}\hat{1}}) \epsilon_A + \dots \quad (70)$$

By the projectors (68), these terms vanish identically, and all the conditions from $\delta\psi_{\hat{\alpha}A}$ with $\hat{\alpha} = 1, 2, 3, 4$ lead to the same BPS equation for the warp factor $h(r)$.

With the gauge field strength tensors

$$F^3 = 6\kappa a e^{-2h} (e^{\hat{1}} \wedge e^{\hat{2}} - e^{\hat{3}} \wedge e^{\hat{4}}) \quad \text{and} \quad F^6 = 6\kappa b e^{-2h} (e^{\hat{1}} \wedge e^{\hat{2}} - e^{\hat{3}} \wedge e^{\hat{4}}), \quad (71)$$

we find the non-vanishing component of the two-form field

$$B_{\hat{t}\hat{r}} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{\kappa^2 e^{2\sigma-4h}}{m^2 \mathcal{N}_{00}} (b^2 - a^2). \quad (72)$$

We also note that the projectors in (68) imply

$$\gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{r}} \epsilon_A = -i \gamma_7 \epsilon_A. \quad (73)$$

Together with the $\gamma^{\hat{r}}$ projector (43), we find the following BPS equations

$$\phi'_1 = -e^\sigma \operatorname{sech} 2\phi_3 \sinh 2\phi_1 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2), \quad (74)$$

$$\phi'_2 = -e^\sigma [g_1 \sinh \phi_2 + g_2 \cosh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \sinh \phi_2 - g_2 \cosh \phi_2)] \\ + 6\kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (b \cosh \phi_2 - a \sinh \phi_2), \quad (75)$$

$$\phi'_3 = -e^\sigma \cosh 2\phi_1 \sinh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2), \quad (76)$$

$$\sigma' = -\frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ + \frac{3}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + \frac{9}{2} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) + \frac{9\kappa^2}{8m} e^{\sigma-4h} (b^2 - a^2), \quad (77)$$

$$h' = \frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + \frac{9}{2} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) + \frac{9\kappa^2}{8m} e^{\sigma-4h} (a^2 - b^2), \quad (78)$$

$$f' = \frac{1}{4} e^\sigma [g_1 \cosh \phi_2 + g_2 \sinh \phi_2 + \cosh 2\phi_1 \cosh 2\phi_3 (g_1 \cosh \phi_2 - g_2 \sinh \phi_2)] \\ + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} - \frac{9}{2} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (a \cosh \phi_2 - b \sinh \phi_2) - \frac{27\kappa^2}{8m} e^{\sigma-4h} (a^2 - b^2). \quad (79)$$

From these equations, we find two $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ critical points:

- AdS_2 critical point III:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= \phi_3 = 0, \\
\phi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{290g_1^2 - 164g_1g_2 - 4g_2^2 + (g_2 - 29g_1)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}} + 2\Phi^{\frac{2}{3}}}{9(g_2 - g_1)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right], \\
h &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{3\kappa e^{-2\sigma}(b \coth \phi_2 - a)}{g_1} \right], \\
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{2m(b \coth \phi_2 - a)}{3g_1(b \sinh \phi_2 - a \cosh \phi_2)} \right], \\
\frac{1}{\ell} &= \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + 2g_1 e^\sigma \cosh \phi_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3(b^2 - a^2)g_1^2 e^{4\sigma} + 12bm g_1 \operatorname{csch} \phi_2 (a - b \coth \phi_2)}{8m e^{-\sigma} (a - b \coth \phi_2)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= 9\sqrt{3}(g_1 + g_2) \sqrt{8g_2^4 + 767g_1^2g_2^2 - 1000g_1^4} \\
&\quad + 44g_2^3 + 33g_1g_2^2 + 1689g_1^2g_2 - 1675g_1^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

- AdS_2 critical point IV:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 + g_1}{g_2 - g_1} \right], \quad \kappa = -1, \\
h &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{9a^2(g_1^2 + 8g_2^2) + b^2(8g_1^2 + g_2^2) - 18abg_1g_2}{4m g_1g_2 \sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}} \right], \\
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2} [a^2(g_1^2 + 8g_2^2) + b^2(8g_1^2 + g_2^2) - 18abg_1g_2]}{9g_1g_2(bg_1 - ag_2)^2} \right], \\
\phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{2(g_1^2 + g_2^2) + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{g_1^4 + 6g_1^2g_2^2 + g_2^4} + (g_2^2 - g_1^2)^2 \cosh 4\phi_3}{2(g_2^2 - g_1^2) \cosh 2\phi_3} \right], \\
\frac{1}{\ell} &= \frac{2^{\frac{7}{4}} g_1^{\frac{3}{4}} g_2^{\frac{3}{4}} m^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{3}(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^{\frac{3}{8}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

with ϕ_3 being a constant. As in the previous section, for $\phi_3 = 0$, we find

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 + g_1}{g_2 - g_1} \right]. \tag{83}$$

We note again that only ϕ_1 depends on the value of ϕ_3 .




Figure 3: Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes interpolating between AdS_6 vacuum i and the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ (critical point III) for $g_2 = 2$ (red), $g_2 = 4$ (green), $g_2 = 6$ (blue).

In both of these critical points, $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions exist only for $\kappa = -1$ leading to black holes with \mathcal{M}_4^- horizons. We now give numerical black hole solutions interpolating between these geometries and supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua. With $\phi_1 = \phi_3 = 0$, $m = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\kappa = -1$, we find examples of solutions interpolating between AdS_6 vacua i and $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ critical point III with different values of g_2 as shown in figure 3. Similar to the black hole solutions with $H^2 \times H^2$ horizons given in the previous section, we can compute the black hole entropy as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{BH}} &= \frac{1}{4G_{\text{N}}} e^{4h} \text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_4), \\
&= \frac{27 \sinh \phi_2 (a - b \coth \phi_2) (a \coth \phi_2 - b) \text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_4)}{8g_1 m G_{\text{N}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

with the value of ϕ_2 at the $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ horizon given in (80).

Examples of black hole solutions with the near horizon geometry given by critical point IV are shown in figure 4 for $\phi_3 = 0$ and $g_2 = 4$. As in the previous section, there exist solutions interpolating between the two supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua (critical point i and ii) and $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ geometry IV. In this case, the

entropy of the black hole is given by

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{9[a^2(g_1^2 + g_2^2) + b^2(8g_1^2 + g_2^2) - 18abg_1g_2] \text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_4)}{16g_1g_2m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}G_{\text{N}}}. \quad (85)$$

4.2 Black holes with Cayley four-cycle horizon

In this section, we consider black hole solutions with the horizon \mathcal{M}_4 given by a Cayley four-cycle with the metric ansatz

$$ds^2 = -e^{2f(r)}dt^2 + dr^2 + e^{2h(r)}ds_{\mathcal{M}_4}^2 \quad (86)$$

and

$$ds_{\mathcal{M}_4}^2 = d\rho^2 + F_\kappa(\rho)^2(\tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 + \tau_3^2) \quad (87)$$

in which τ_i are $SU(2)$ left-invariant one-forms as in the case of Kahler four-cycle and $F_\kappa(\rho)$ defined as in (28).

In this section, we will perform a twist by turning on $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge fields and identify this $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ with the self-dual part $SU(2)_+$ of the $SO(4) \sim SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_-$ isometry of the four-cycle. We note that this has already been considered in [27] in which an $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solution has been found. However, we do find a new $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ critical point from the resulting BPS equations. Therefore, we will repeat this analysis here with more detail since this might be useful for further study.

With the vielbein chosen as

$$e^{\hat{t}} = e^f dt, \quad e^{\hat{r}} = dr, \quad e^{\hat{i}} = e^h F_\kappa(\rho) \tau_i, \quad e^{\hat{4}} = e^h d\rho, \quad (88)$$

we find non-vanishing components of the spin connection as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\hat{t}\hat{r}} &= f' e^{\hat{r}}, & \omega^{\hat{i}\hat{r}} &= h' e^{\hat{4}}, & \omega^{\hat{i}\hat{4}} &= h' e^{\hat{i}}, & \hat{i} &= 1, 2, 3, \\ \omega^{\hat{i}\hat{4}} &= e^{-h} \frac{F'_\kappa(\rho)}{F_\kappa(\rho)} e^{\hat{i}}, & \omega^{\hat{j}\hat{k}} &= \frac{e^{-h}}{F_\kappa(\rho)} \epsilon^{\hat{i}\hat{j}\hat{k}} e^{\hat{k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Using the coset representative for the $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ singlet scalar given in (23), we find the composite connection

$$Q_{AB} = -\frac{i}{2} g_1 A^r \sigma_{AB}^r \quad (90)$$

in which we have used the relation $g_2 A^I = g_1 \delta_r^I A^r$ for $r, I = 1, 2, 3$. In order to cancel the internal spin connection on \mathcal{M}_4 , we choose the gauge fields to be

$$A^r = a(F'_\kappa(\rho) + 1) \delta_i^r \tau_i \quad \text{and} \quad A^I = b(F'_\kappa(\rho) + 1) \delta_i^I \tau_i \quad (91)$$




Figure 4: Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes interpolating between AdS_6 vacua i and ii and the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ (critical point IV) for $g_2 = 4$.

with $g_2 b = g_1 a$. We also note that it is possible to begin with three different magnetic charges a_r , but the twist condition will subsequently require all the charges to be equal, see also [27].

We now consider the supersymmetry conditions $\delta\psi_{iA}$ which give

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-h}}{F_\kappa(\rho)} \left(F'_\kappa(\rho) \gamma_{i\hat{4}} \epsilon_A + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i\hat{j}\hat{k}} \gamma_{\hat{j}\hat{k}} \epsilon_A \right) - \frac{i}{2} g_1 A_i^r \sigma_{AB}^r \epsilon^B + \dots \quad (92)$$

We then impose the following projectors

$$\gamma_{i\hat{4}} \epsilon_A = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i\hat{j}\hat{k}} \gamma_{\hat{j}\hat{k}} \epsilon_A = -i \delta_i^r \sigma_{AB}^r \epsilon^B \quad (93)$$

and the twist condition

$$g_1 a = -1. \quad (94)$$

With all these, $\delta\psi_{iA}$ conditions reduce to the same BPS equation for $h(r)$ obtained from $\delta\psi_{4A}$. It should be noted that there are only three independent projectors leading to $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions preserving two supercharges. The full black hole solutions with running scalars will further break supersymmetry to $\frac{1}{16}$ -BPS due to the additional $\gamma^{\hat{r}}$ projector (43).

The gauge field strength tensors in this case are given by

$$F^r = \kappa a \delta_i^r e^{-2h} \left(e^{\hat{i}} \wedge e^{\hat{4}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i\hat{j}\hat{k}} e^{\hat{j}} \wedge e^{\hat{k}} \right) \quad (95)$$

together with $F^I = \frac{g_1}{g_2} \delta_r^I F^r$. The two-form field takes the form

$$B_{\hat{t}\hat{r}} = \frac{3}{8} \frac{\kappa^2 e^{2\sigma-4h}}{m^2 \mathcal{N}_{00}} (a^2 - b^2). \quad (96)$$

With all these and a useful (not independent) relation

$$\gamma^{\hat{t}\hat{r}} \epsilon_A = i \gamma_7 \epsilon_A, \quad (97)$$

we obtain the BPS equations

$$\phi' = -e^\sigma \sinh 2\phi (g_1 \cosh \phi - g_2 \sinh \phi) + \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (a \sinh \phi - b \cosh \phi), \quad (98)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' &= \frac{3}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma (g_1 \cosh^3 \phi - g_2 \sinh^3 \phi) + \frac{3}{4} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (b \sinh \phi - a \cosh \phi) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{32m} \kappa^2 e^{\sigma-4h} (a^2 - b^2), \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma (g_1 \cosh^3 \phi - g_2 \sinh^3 \phi) + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (b \sinh \phi - a \cosh \phi) + \frac{3}{32m} \kappa^2 e^{\sigma-4h} (b^2 - a^2), \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma (g_1 \cosh^3 \phi - g_2 \sinh^3 \phi) + \frac{1}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4} \kappa e^{-\sigma-2h} (b \sinh \phi - a \cosh \phi) - \frac{9}{32m} \kappa^2 e^{\sigma-4h} (b^2 - a^2). \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

There are two $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ critical points to these equations. The first one is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
h &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{3\kappa e^{2\sigma} (a \cosh \phi - b \sinh \phi)}{4m} \right], \\
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{4m(b \cosh \phi - a \sinh \phi)}{\sinh 2\phi (b \sinh \phi - a \cosh \phi) (g_1 \cosh \phi - g_2 \sinh \phi)} \right], \\
\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{2\Phi^{\frac{2}{3}} + (13g_2 - 7g_1)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}} + 2(7g_1^2 - 50g_1g_2 + 52g_2^2)}{3(g_1 - g_2)\Phi^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right], \\
\frac{1}{\ell} &= \frac{3}{2} m e^{-3\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma (g_1 \cosh^3 \phi - g_2 \sinh^3 \phi) + \frac{m(a^2 - b^2)e^{-3\sigma}}{2(a \cosh \phi - b \sinh \phi)^2} \quad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Phi = 3\sqrt{3}(g_2 - g_1) \sqrt{131g_1^2g_2^2 - 192g_2^4 - 2g_1^4 - 17g_1^3 + 213g_2^2g_2 - 537g_1g_2^2 + 368g_2^3}. \quad (103)$$

The solution only exists for $\kappa = -1$. This is the $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ solution found in [27] in which the numerical black hole solution has also been given. Therefore, we will not discuss this solution any further but only give, for completeness, the corresponding black hole entropy

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{9e^{4\sigma} (a \cosh \phi - b \sinh \phi)^2 \text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_4^-)}{64m^2 G_{\text{N}}} \quad (104)$$

with ϕ given in (102).

There is another $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solution that has not been given in [27]. This critical point takes the form

- AdS_2 critical point V:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{g_2 + g_1}{g_2 - g_1} \right], \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{4m\sqrt{g_2^2 - g_1^2}}{3g_1g_2} \right], \\
h &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa m g_1 a (g_2^2 - g_1^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{2(mg_1g_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right], \quad \frac{1}{\ell} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}m}{3^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^{\frac{3}{8}}} \left(\frac{g_1g_2}{m} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (105)
\end{aligned}$$

As in all the other cases, the solution only exists for $\kappa = -1$. Examples of numerical solutions for $m = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_2 = 4$ are given in figure 5. The entropy of the black hole is given by

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{3a^2(g_2^2 - g_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \text{vol}(\mathcal{M}_4)}{16mg_1g_2^3 G_{\text{N}}}. \quad (106)$$




Figure 5: Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes interpolating between AdS_6 vacua i and ii and the near horizon geometry $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ (critical point V) for $m = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_2 = 4$.

We end this section by pointing out that we have also considered topological twists by $SO(2)_R$ and $SO(3)_R$ in the cases of \mathcal{M}_4 being Kahler four-cycle and Cayley four-cycle, respectively. It turns out that there are no new $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4$ solutions apart from those given in [27] with all scalars in the vector multiplets vanishing.

5 Conclusions

We have constructed new supersymmetric AdS_6 black hole solutions from $F(4)$ gauged supergravity in six dimensions coupled to three vector multiplets with $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ gauge group. By considering a truncation to $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ singlet sector and performing a topological twist by the $SO(2)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge field, we find a number of black hole solutions with $AdS_2 \times H^2 \times H^2$ and $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ near horizon geometries with \mathcal{M}_4^- being a negatively curved Kahler four-cycle. On the other hand, by performing a twist by $SO(3)_{\text{diag}}$ gauge fields, we have found a new black hole solution with $AdS_2 \times \mathcal{M}_4^-$ near horizon geometry for \mathcal{M}_4^- being a negatively curved Cayley four-cycle.

We have also given examples of numerical black hole solutions interpolating between these near horizon geometries and the two supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua. Unlike the previously found solutions in [26] and [27], some of the solutions given in this paper interpolate between the near horizon geometries and both of the supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence, these solutions should describe RG flows across dimensions to superconformal quantum mechanics arising from twisted compactifications on \mathcal{M}_4 of five-dimensional SCFTs dual to the AdS_6 vacua. We hope the new black hole solutions given here would be useful in the study of attractor mechanism in six dimensions and provide a holographic description of twisted compactifications of the dual five-dimensional SCFTs on four-manifolds.

It would be interesting to compute the black hole entropy for the solutions given here from the topologically twisted indices of the dual SCFTs in five dimensions using the results of [31, 32] possibly with some extension and modification. It is of particular interest to find possible embedding of the solutions found here and in [26, 27] in ten or eleven dimensions. Along this direction, the results of [33] and [34] might be useful. Finding AdS_6 black hole solutions with the horizons being four-dimensional orbifolds as in the recent results [35, 36, 37, 38, 39] is also worth considering. We leave these issues for future works.

Acknowledgement

This work is funded by National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and Chulalongkorn University under grant N42A650263. The author would also like to thank C. A. for mental supports during hard times in his life.

A Bosonic field equations of matter-coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity

In this appendix, we collect all the bosonic field equations obtained from the Lagrangian given in (4). These are given by

$$D_\mu D^\mu \sigma - \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{16} e^{4\sigma} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} - 6m e^{-6\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{00} + 4m e^{-2\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{3} A L_{00} - L_{0i} B^i \right) - e^{2\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{18} A^2 + \frac{1}{2} B^i B_i + \frac{1}{2} (C_{It} C^{It} + 4 D_{It} D^{It}) \right] = 0, \quad (107)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_\mu P^{\mu I 0} - e^{-2\sigma} L_{0\Lambda} L_{I\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\mu\nu} - 2m e^{-2\sigma} L_{0r} C_{Ir} + e^{2\sigma} (B_i C_{iI} + K_{tIJ} D^{Jt}) = 0, \quad (108)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_\mu P^{\mu Ir} + 2m e^{-2\sigma} (L_{00} C_{Ir} - 2\epsilon_{rst} L_{0s} D_{It}) - e^{-2\sigma} L_{r\Lambda} L_{I\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\mu\nu} + e^{2\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{3} A C_{Ir} + \epsilon_{rst} (B^s D_{It} + C_{Js} K_{tIJ}) \right] = 0, \quad (109)$$

$$D_\nu \left(e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\nu\mu} \right) + 2P_{I\alpha}^\mu (L^{-1})^I{}_\Sigma f_{\Lambda}{}^\Sigma{}_\Pi L^\Pi{}_\alpha - \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\nu\lambda\tau} H_{\rho\sigma\nu} (m B_{\lambda\tau} \delta^{\Lambda 0} + \eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} F_{\lambda\tau}^\Sigma) = 0, \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{3}{8} D_\rho (e^{4\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\rho}) - m^2 e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{00} B^{\mu\nu} + m e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{0\Sigma} F^{\Sigma\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} (\eta_{\Lambda\Sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^\Lambda F_{\lambda\tau}^\Sigma - 2m F_{\lambda\tau}^0 B_{\rho\sigma} + m^2 B_{\rho\sigma} B_{\lambda\tau}) = 0, \quad (111)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\mu\rho}^\Lambda \widehat{F}_{\nu}{}^\Sigma{}_\rho - 4\partial_\mu \sigma \partial_\nu \sigma - P_\mu^{I\alpha} P_{\nu I\alpha} - \frac{9}{16} e^{4\sigma} H_{\mu\rho\sigma} H_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{4} e^{-2\sigma} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda\Sigma} \widehat{F}_{\rho\sigma}^\Lambda \widehat{F}^{\Sigma\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{32} e^{4\sigma} H_{\rho\sigma\lambda} H^{\rho\sigma\lambda} + 2\partial_\rho \sigma \partial^\rho \sigma + \frac{1}{2} P_\rho^{I\alpha} P_{I\alpha}^\rho - 2V \right] = 0 \quad (112)$$

with

$$K_{rIJ} = g_1 \epsilon_{lmn} L^l{}_r (L^{-1})_I{}^m L^n{}_J + g_2 C_{LMK} L^L{}_r (L^{-1})_I{}^M L^K{}_J. \quad (113)$$

References

- [1] F. Benini and A. Zaffaroni, ‘‘A topologically twisted index for three-dimensional supersymmetric theories’’, JHEP 07 (2015) **127**, arXiv:1504.03698.

- [2] F. Benini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, “Black hole microstates in AdS4 from supersymmetric localization”, JHEP 05 (2016) **054**, arXiv: 1511.04085.
- [3] F. Benini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, “Exact microstate counting for dyonic black holes in AdS4”, Phys. Lett. **B771** (2017) 462–466, arXiv: 1608.07294.
- [4] S. M. Hosseini and A. Zaffaroni, “Large N matrix models for 3d $N = 2$ theories: twisted index, free energy and black holes”, JHEP 08 (2016) **064**, arXiv: 1604.03122.
- [5] S. M. Hosseini and N. Mekareeya, “Large N topologically twisted index: necklace quivers, dualities, and Sasaki-Einstein spaces”, JHEP 08 (2016) **089**, arXiv: 1604.03397.
- [6] A. Cabo-Bizet, V. I. Giraldo-Rivera, and L. A. Pando Zayas, “Microstate counting of AdS4 hyperbolic black hole entropy via the topologically twisted index”, JHEP 08 (2017) **023**, arXiv: 1701.07893.
- [7] F. Azzurli, N. Bobev, P. M. Cricigno, V. S. Min, and A. Zaffaroni, “A universal counting of black hole microstates in AdS4”, JHEP 02 (2018) **054**, arXiv: 1707.04257.
- [8] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Passias, “Holographic microstate counting for AdS_4 black holes in massive IIA supergravity”, JHEP 10 (2017) **190**, arXiv: 1707.06884.
- [9] F. Benini, H. Khachatryan, and P. Milan, “Black hole entropy in massive Type IIA”, Class. Quant. Grav. **35** (2018) 035004, arXiv: 1707.06886.
- [10] N. Bobev, V. S. Min, and K. Pilch, “Mass-deformed ABJM and black holes in AdS_4 ”, JHEP 03 (2018) **050**, arXiv: 1801.03135.
- [11] C. Toldo and B. Willett, “Partition functions on 3d circle bundles and their gravity duals”, JHEP 05 (2018) **116**, arXiv: 1712.08861.
- [12] D. Gang and N. Kim, “Large N twisted partition functions in 3d-3d correspondence and Holography”, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 021901, arXiv: 1808.02797.
- [13] J. T. Liu, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. Rathee, and W. Zhao, “One-Loop Test of Quantum Black Holes in anti de Sitter Space”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 221602, arXiv: 1711.01076.
- [14] J. T. Liu, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. Rathee, and W. Zhao, “Toward Microstate Counting Beyond Large N in Localization and the Dual One-loop Quantum Supergravity”, JHEP 01 (2018) **026**, arXiv: 1707.04197.

- [15] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, “An extremization principle for the entropy of rotating BPS black holes in AdS_5 ”, JHEP 07 (2017) **106**, arXiv: 1705.05383.
- [16] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, and A. Zaffaroni, “A note on the entropy of rotating BPS $AdS_7 \times S^4$ black holes”, JHEP 05 (2018) **121**, arXiv: 1803.07568.
- [17] S. M. Hosseini, A. Nedelin, and A. Zaffaroni, “The Cardy limit of the topologically twisted index and black strings in AdS_5 ”, JHEP 04 (2017) **014**, arXiv: 1611.09374.
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231-252, arXiv: hep-th/9711200.
- [19] L. J. Romans, “The $F(4)$ gauged supergravity in six-dimensions”, Nucl. Phys **B269** (1986) 691.
- [20] R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and S. Vaula, “Matter coupled $F(4)$ supergravity and the AdS_6/CFT_5 correspondence”, JHEP 10 (2000) **013**, arXiv: hep-th/0006107.
- [21] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria and S. Vaula, “Matter coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity Lagrangian”, JHEP 05 (2001) **065**, arXiv: hep-th/0104155.
- [22] P. Karndumri and J. Louis, “Supersymmetric AdS_6 vacua in six-dimensional $N = (1, 1)$ gauged supergravity”, JHEP **01** (2017) 069, arXiv: 1612.00301.
- [23] M. Naka, “Various Wrapped Branes from Gauged Supergravities”, arXiv: hep-th/0206141.
- [24] M. Suh, “Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes from $F(4)$ gauged supergravity”, JHEP 01 (2019) **035**, arXiv: 1809.03517.
- [25] N. Bobev and P. M. Cricigno, “Universal RG Flows Across Dimensions and Holography”, JHEP 12 (2017) **065**, arXiv: 1708.05052.
- [26] S. M. Hosseini, K. Hristov, A. Passias, A. Zaffaroni, “6D attractors and black hole microstates”, JHEP 12 (2018) **001**, arXiv: 1809.10685.
- [27] M. Suh, “Supersymmetric AdS_6 black holes from matter coupled $F(4)$ gauged supergravity”, JHEP 02 (2019) **108**, arXiv: 1810.00675.
- [28] P. Karndumri, “Holographic RG flows in six dimensional $F(4)$ gauged supergravity”, JHEP 01 (2013) **134**, Erratum-ibid. JHEP 06 (2015) **165**, arXiv: 1210.8064.

- [29] P. Karndumri, “Gravity duals of 5D N=2 SYM from F(4) gauged supergravity”, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 086009, arXiv: 1403.1150.
- [30] P. Karndumri, “Twisted compactification of $N = 2$ 5D SCFTs to three and two dimensions from $F(4)$ gauged supergravity”, JHEP 09 (2015) **034**, arXiv: 1507.01515.
- [31] S. M. Hosseini, I. Yaakov, and A. Zaffaroni, “Topologically twisted indices in five dimensions and holography”, JHEP 11 (2018) **119**, arXiv: 1808.06626.
- [32] P. M. Crichigno, D. Jain, and B. Willett, “5d Partition Functions with A Twist”, JHEP 11 (2018) **058**, arXiv: 1808.06744.
- [33] “Supersymmetric AdS_7 and AdS_6 vacua and their minimal consistent truncations from exceptional field theory”, Phys. Lett. **B786** (2018) 171, arXiv: 1808.05597.
- [34] E. Malek, H. Samtleben and V. V. Camell, “Supersymmetric AdS_7 and AdS_6 vacua and their consistent truncations with vector multiplets”, JHEP 04 (2019) **088**, arXiv: 1901.11039.
- [35] S. Giri, “Black holes with spindles at the horizon”, JHEP 06 (2022) **145**, arXiv: 2112.04431.
- [36] M. Suh, “M5-branes and D4-branes wrapped on a direct product of spindle and Riemann surface”, JHEP 02 (2024) **205**, arXiv: 2207.00034.
- [37] C. Couzens, H. Kim, N. Kim, Y. Lee, M. Suh, “D4-branes wrapped on four-dimensional orbifolds through consistent truncation”, JHEP 02 (2023) **025**, arXiv: 2210.15695.
- [38] F. Faedo, A. Fontanarossa, D. Martelli, “Branes wrapped on orbifolds and their gravitational blocks”, Lett. Math. Phys. 113 (2023) **51**, arXiv: 2210.16128.
- [39] F. Faedo, A. Fontanarossa, D. Martelli, “Branes wrapped on quadrilaterals”, arXiv: 2402.08724.