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#### Abstract

We address a prime counting problem across the homology classes of a graph, presenting a graph-theoretical Dirichlet-type analogue of the prime number theorem. The main machinery we have developed and employed is a spectral antisymmetry theorem, revealing that the spectra of the twisted graph adjacency matrices have an antisymmetric distribution over the character group of the graph. Additionally, we derive some trace formulas based on the twisted adjacency matrices as part of our analysis.


## 1. Introduction

The study of prime number distributions dates back to Euclid's initial proof of the existence of infinitely many primes. At a young age, Gauss recognized by observing the table of primes that the density of primes closely resembles $1 / \log x$ around the number $x$. Formally, if $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes up to $x$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Riemann first laid out the strategy to prove this density result by introducing the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p \text { prime }}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ in his only memoir in the area of number theory. The function $\zeta(s)$ can be extended meromorphically to the entire complex plane. Notably, $\zeta(s)$ exhibits trivial zeros at the negative even integers and complex zeros in the region $0<\operatorname{Re}(s)<1$. In the same memoir, Riemann provided an explicit formula (later reformulated and proved by von Mangoldt) for non-integer $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)=x-\log (2 \pi)-\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}-\log \left(1-x^{-2}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(n)=\log p$ if $n=p^{m}$ for some prime $p$ and $\Lambda(n)=0$ otherwise, with $\rho$ running over the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$. This formula is the key to proving the asymptotic behavior of $\pi(x)$ - otherwise known as the prime number theorem.

[^0]Next big question lies in comprehending the distributions of primes within a suitable subset of positive integers. One of the first known results is the Dirichlet theorem, asserting that there are infinitely many primes in an arithmetic progression $A:=\{a+q n: n=0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ for any pair of relatively prime positive integers $a$ and $q$. Denoting by $\pi(x ; q, a)$ the counting function of primes in $A$ up to $x$, the analogue of prime number theorem in such an arithmetic progression is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x ; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \frac{x}{\log x} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the Euler's totient function. The proof of the infinitude of primes in an arithmetic progression hinges primarily on the notions of the Dirichlet characters and Dirichlet L-functions. For a given positive integer $q$, a Dirichlet character $\chi$ modulo $q$ is essentially a character of $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$ (the unit group of $\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$ ) while setting $\chi(n)=0$ for $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)>1$. The set of all such characters, denoted by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}}$, is a multiplicative group. The most significant property of characters is the orthogonal relation, stated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}}} \chi(n) \overline{\chi(m)}=\delta_{m n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{*}$. Dirichlet $L$-function, a character analogue of the Riemann zeta function, is defined by $L(s, \chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p \text { prime }}\left(1-\frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$. The corresponding explicit formula, which is crucial for proving the prime number theorem in arithmetic progression, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \Lambda(n)=-\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}-(1-a) \log x-b(\chi)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{a-2 m}}{2 m-a} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=1$ and $b(\chi)=\frac{L^{\prime}(0, \chi)}{L(0, \chi)}$ if $\chi(-1)=-1$ and $a=0$ and $b(\chi)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}(s, \chi)}{L(s, \chi)}-\frac{1}{s}\right)$ if $\chi(-1)=1$. Here $\rho$ runs over the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$.

The prime number theorem was extended beyond the traditional number system, such as prime ideal theorem in algebraic number theory and prime geodesic theorem in Riemannian geometry. In these generalizations, analogous objects to the Riemann zeta function, like the Dedekind zeta function and the Selberg zeta function, play crucial roles in establishing the corresponding prime number theorems. In this article, we will concentrate on the prime number theorem of graph theory. Our objective is to establish some analogy of $\sqrt[1.3]{ }, \sqrt{1.4}$, and 1.5 in graph theory by exploring spectral behaviors over the character group. To define the prime number theorem for graph theory and make the paper self-contained, we will first lay the necessary groundwork of graph theory.

Throughout this paper, a graph means a connected finite graph $G$ without multiple edges and loops, and we let the vertex set of $G$ be $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$ and the (unoriented) edge set of $G$ be $E(G)=\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$. The genus $g$ of $G$ is the first Betti number of $G$ which can be computed by $g=m-n+1$. For an orientation $O$ of $G$, let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{m+i}$ be respectively the positively and negatively oriented edges corresponding to $e_{i}$ with respect to $O$. Then $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G):=\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ is the set of all positively oriented edges and $\mathbf{E}(G):=\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{2 m}\right\}$ is the set of all oriented edges. For each oriented edge $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, denote the the initial and terminal vertices of a
by $\mathbf{a}(0)$ and $\mathbf{a}(1)$ respectively, and the inverse $\mathbf{a}^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{a}$ is the oriented edge with $\mathbf{a}^{-1}(0)=\mathbf{a}(1)$ and $\mathbf{a}^{-1}(1)=\mathbf{a}(0)$. A path $\Delta$ on $G$ of length $N$ is a sequence of oriented edges $\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}$ such that $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}(0)=\mathbf{a}_{i}(1)$ for $i=1, \cdots, N-1$, of which the initial vertex is $\Delta(0):=\mathbf{a}_{1}(0)$ and the terminal vertex is $\Delta(1):=\mathbf{a}_{N}(1)$. Alternatively, $\Delta$ can be represented by $\left(w_{0} \cdots w_{N}\right)$ where $w_{0}=\mathbf{a}_{1}(0)$ and $w_{i}=\mathbf{a}_{i}(1)$ for $i=1, \cdots$. The inverse of $\Delta$ is the path $\Delta^{-1}:=\mathbf{a}_{N}^{-1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{1}^{-1}$. A path $\Delta^{\prime}=\mathbf{b}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{b}_{M}$ is a sub-path of $\Delta$ if $\mathbf{b}_{j}=\mathbf{a}_{i+j}$ for all $j=1, \cdots, M$ and some $i \in\{0, \cdots, N-1\}$. We say $\Delta$ has a tail if $\mathbf{a}_{N}=\mathbf{a}_{1}^{-1}$, and has a backtrack if $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}=\mathbf{a}_{i}^{-1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. We say $\Delta$ is a closed path at the base vertex $v$ if $\Delta$ has no tail and backtracks, and $v=\mathbf{a}_{N}(1)=\mathbf{a}_{1}(0)$. A prime path is a closed path $C$ which cannot be written as $C=D^{r}$ where $D$ is a closed path and $r>1$. For any closed path $C$, there exists a unique prime decomposition $C=P^{r}$ where $P$ is a prime path. Two closed paths are said to be equivalent if the only possible difference is the initial vertex. Denote by $[C]$ the equivalence class of closed paths equivalent to $C$. We call $[C]$ a cycle and $[P]$ a prime cycle if $P$ is a prime path. For $C=P^{r}$ with $P$ prime, denote $r([C])=r(C):=r$, which can be considered as the number of automorphisms of $C$ by translation. Denote the set of closed paths by $\mathcal{C}$, the set of prime paths by $\mathcal{P}$, the set of cycles by $\overline{\mathcal{C}}=\{[C] \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, and the set of prime cycles by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}=\{[P] \mid P \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

The prime number theorem for graphs evaluates the following counting function (in a fashion of equality instead of inequality for the standard prime number theorem)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(n)=\pi_{G}(n):=\#\{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}: l(P)=n\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hashimoto was the first to give an asymptotic formula of $\pi_{G}(n)$ Has89, Has92, relying on a zeta function defined by Ihara Iha66 on $p$-adic groups. Notably, the Ihara zeta function can be interpreted graph-theoretically, as highlighted by Serre Ser80]. Overtime, efforts have been made in eliminating the constraint of graph regularity in Ihara's initial formulation and providing satifying determinant expressions of the zeta function, as evidenced by Hashimoto [Has89, Has92] and Bass Bas92. For more details readers are referred to Hejhal-Friedman-GutzwillerOdlyzko [HFGO99, Kotani-Sonata KS00, Stark-Terras [ST96, ST00, TS07], Horton-Stark-Terras HST06, Terras Ter11], etc.

As an analogue of the Riemann zeta function and the Selberg zeta function, the Ihara zeta function of $G$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(u)=z_{G}(u):=\prod_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l(P)$ is the length of $P$. Let $R_{G}$ be the radius of largest circle of convergence of $z_{G}(u)$ and $\nu_{G}=\operatorname{gcd}\{l(P):[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}\}$. Then an asymptotic formula HST06] of $\pi_{G}(n)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{G}(n) \sim \frac{\nu_{G}}{n R_{G}^{n}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a graph-theoretic analogue of (1.1). The (vertex) adjacency matrix $A$ of $G$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries 0 and 1 such that for $v, w \in V(G), A_{v w}=1$ if $v$ is adjacent to $w$, and $A_{v w}=0$ otherwise. The edge adjacency matrix $W_{1}$ of $G$ is a $2 m \times 2 m$ matrix with entries 0 and 1 such that for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{E}(G),\left(W_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=1$ if
$\mathbf{a}(1)=\mathbf{b}(0)$ and $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{a}^{-1}$ (meaning that $\mathbf{a}$ feeds into $\mathbf{b}$, denoted by $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ ), and $\left(W_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=0$ otherwise. To prove 1.8 , one can apply the following trace formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(n)=\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}} \lambda^{n} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(n)=\#\{$ closed paths $C \in \mathcal{C} \mid l(C)=n\}=\sum_{d \mid n} d \cdot \pi(d)$. This is an analogue of the explicit formula 1.2 .

There are a few studies that further explored on the distributions of prime paths. In Has92, Hashimoto derived a related result. He considered a finite graph $G$ and a subgroup $S$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Then he obtained the distribution of $\pi(S, G ; l)$, which is the number of $S$-equivalence classes of prime paths up to length $l$. He also obtained an analogue of the Chebotarev's density theorem on the distribution of $\pi(S, G ; l,[\tau])$, which is the number of $S$-equivalence classes of prime paths up to length $l$ whose Frobenius is a conjugate of $\tau$. In HS15, Hasegawa and Saito considered an average result of 1.8 and then generalized that result in an arithmetic progression setting. In particular they obtained an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{\substack{n \equiv \alpha(\bmod m)}} \pi_{G}(n)$ for fixed $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$ with $(\alpha, m)=1$. They have also obtained a density result. Let $S$ be a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ and define the counting function $\Pi_{S}(N):=\#\{[P] \in S: l(P) \leq N\}$ and the prime zeta-function for $S$ by $P_{S}(u):=\sum_{[P] \in S} u^{l(p)}$. Then their density result can be stated as $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Pi_{S}(N)}{\Pi_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}(N)}=\lim _{u \uparrow R_{G}} \frac{P_{S}(u)}{P_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}(u)}$ provided the limit on the left hand side exits.

In this paper, we delve into the prime distributions of a graph within a distinct framework, akin to a character-based analogy of the prime number theorem in graphs (1.8). Specifically, our focus lies on enumerating prime paths within homology classes. To obtain asymptotic formulas for this prime counting function, we will define the characters for a graph and show that these characters also satisfy an orthogonal relation like 1.4 . Unlike the Dirichlet characters, we will show that the character group for a non-bipartite graph contains a non-trivial canonical character which resembles some properties of the trivial character in an opposite manner in sense of the spectra of twisted adjacency matrices. Subsequently, we derive some trace formulas, which serve as another key ingredient in our analysis of the prime counting problem.
1.1. Related work. Our results have resemblances on some established work on counting closed and prime geodesics within homology classes in hyperbolic geometry. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the Poincaré upper half-plane, $\Gamma$ be a Fuchsian group, i.e. a discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}), M=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ be the corresponding Riemann surface, and $\pi_{M}(x)$ be the number of prime geodesics on $M$ of length up to $x$. If $\Gamma$ is cocompact, Delsarte Del42] showed that $\pi_{M}(x) \sim \operatorname{li}(x)$, and Huber Hub61] accounted for an error term and demonstrated that $\pi_{M}(x)=\operatorname{li}\left(e^{x}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{li}\left(e^{s_{i} x}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(x^{-1 / 2} e^{3 x / 4}\right)$ where $\operatorname{li}(\cdot)$ is the logarithmic integral function and the $s_{i}$ 's (with $1 / 2<s_{i} \leq 1$ ) are the exceptional eigenvalues for the Selberg zeta function Hej76. This is known as the prime geodesic theorem. It has similar expressions when $\Gamma$ is cofinite, as demonstrated by Sarnak Sar82, Iwaniec Iwa84, Luo-Sarnak LS95], Soundararajan-Young[SY13], and others. Notably, Sarnak [Sar82] also presented a Chebotarev density theorem for the co-finite model. Now let $M$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of negative curvature, $h$ be the topological entropy of $M$, and $\phi: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ be the projection of the fundamental group to the first
integral homology group. Adachi and Sunada AS87a delved into the counting problem of $\pi(\alpha, x)$ for $\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, which is the number of prime geodesics $\gamma$ on $M$ of length up to $x$ such that $\phi(\gamma)=\alpha$, and proved that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \pi(\alpha, x)}{x}=h$. This finding was improved shortly thereafter by Philips-Sarnak PS87, KatsudaSunada KS87, Lalley Lal89, Pollicott Pol91 and others. In particular, if $M$ is a hyperbolic surface of genus $g$, then $\pi(\alpha, x) \sim \frac{(g-1)^{g} e^{x}}{x^{g+1}}$ as shown in PS87, KS87]. As a consequence, prime/closed geodescis are uniformly distributed across the homology classes as the length tends to infinity.

On the other hand, our work is also related to spectral graph theory, which explores the graph properties through the analysis of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix, Laplacian matrix, and other matrices derived from the graph structure. For a comprehensive overview and in-depth insights of spectral graph theory, readers are referred to classical sources such as Chu97, CRS10, BH12. In our work, we will focus on analyzing the twisted versions of the adjacency matrices.
1.2. Our contributions and paper organization. We summarize our results as follows:
(1) Our primary discovery unveils an intriguing antisymmetrical phenomenon in the distribution of the spectra of the twisted vertex/edge adjacency matrices over the character group of a finite graph, as stated in Theorem 3.1. It is noteworthy that there is no requirement to impose the constraint of graph regularity. Specifically, consider a finite graph $G$ of genus $g$. Its character group $\mathcal{X}(G)$ is defined as the Pontryagin dual of the integral homology group $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Geometrically, $\mathcal{X}(G)$ is a $g$-dimensional real torus. We showed that there exists a unique character $\theta \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ known as the canonical character of $G$ such that for any character $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, the spectra (the multiset of eigenvalues) of the twisted vertex/edge adjacency matrix with respect to $\chi$ and with respect to $\theta-\chi$ are negations of each other. Moreover, with the exception of certain special cases, the maximum spectral radii of all twisted vertex/edge adjacency matrices are only achieved at the trivial character (non-twisted) and the canonical character. In this context, the trivial character and the canonical character can be viewed as two extremes on the character group, governing the spectral behavior over the character group in an antisymmetric manner. As an illustrative example, we show in Figure 2 the distributions of spectral radii over the character group for specific graphs. With potential applications (including those related to the cycle counting problem shown in this paper), we also expect that this discovery will open up a new avenue for exploration in spectral graph theory. Although our investigation on the spectra of the twisted vertex/edge adjacency matrices was originally motivated by the prime counting problem on graphs, we note that a graph endowed with a specific twisted vertex adjacency matrix is essentially a complex unit gain graph Zas89, Ref12, a subject that has drawn significant interest in recent years. Indeed, we provide a top view from a more geometric aspect by examining complex unit gain graphs as a unified entity, as it can be easily shown that the character group of a graph $G$ is exactly the space of switching equivalence classes of complex unit gain graphs with the same underlying graph $G$.
(2) We present some trace formulas with respect to twisted edge adjacency matrices in Theorem 3.11. The key observation is that the trace of the $n$-th power of the edge adjacency matrix has a Fourier expansion over $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, where the coefficients correspond to the number of closed paths in each homology class (see Theorem 3.12 (a)(i)). As an example, some identities based on the trace formulas are presented in Subsection 3.5 .
(3) Leveraging the spectral antisymmetry theorem and trace formulas, we delved into the counting problem of cycles and prime cycles in homology classes of the graph, providing some asymptotic formulas as outlined in Theorem 3.17. It is noteworthy that, unlike the uniform cycle distribution over the homology classes in hyperbolic geometry, there are instances where cycles of certain lengths within specific homology classes of the graph vanish (refer also to Corollary 3.13), essentially a consequence of spectral antisymmetry. An example of cycle counting is also presented in Subsection 3.5.
We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we provide an introduction to some primitives such as the notions of orthogonal decomposition of the 1 -forms, the character group, twisted adjacency matrices and L-functions within a the graphtheoretical framework. Section 3 presents our main results, including the spectral antisymmetry theorem, trace formulas related to the twisted edge adjacency of graphs, and asymptotic prime distribution formulas within homology classes. Illustrative examples are also provided in dedicated subsections. The proof of the spectral antisymmetry theorem, which constitutes the most intricate aspect of this paper, is detailed in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries: orthogonal decomposition, characters, twisted ADJACENCY MATRICES AND L-FUNCTIONS

2.1. Orthogonal decomposition. Let $\mathcal{T}(G)$ be the real linear space on $\mathbf{E}(G)$ such that $1 \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}=-1 \cdot \mathbf{e}$ for any oriented edge $\mathbf{e}$. Alternatively, by fixing an orientation on the edges, we may also consider $\mathcal{T}(G)$ as a real linear space on $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G)=\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ where $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{m}$ are the positively oriented edges. Geometrically, one may consider an element of $\mathcal{T}(G)$ as a "vector field" on $G$. Then a " 1 -form" $\omega$ is an element of the linear dual $\Omega(G)$ of $\mathcal{T}(G)$. We call $\mathcal{T}(G)$ the tangent space of $G$, and $\Omega(G)$ the cotangent space of $G$, sometimes also written as $\mathcal{T}$ and $\Omega$ respectively for simplicity when $G$ is provided. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we use $\omega(\alpha)$ to represent the value of the pairing between $\omega$ and $\alpha$. For $i=1, \cdots, m$, let $d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ be a 1-form such that $d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $j=1, \cdots, m$. Then $\left\{d \mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, d \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ is a basis of $\Omega$. In addition, the standard inner product on $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ and the standard inner product on $\Omega$ with respect to $\left\{d \mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, d \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ are defined by $\left\langle\mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle d \mathbf{e}_{i}, d \mathbf{e}_{j}\right\rangle:=d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, m$.

For a path $\Delta=\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}$, let the abelianization of $\Delta$ be $\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathbf{a}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{a}_{N} \in \mathcal{T}$, and the integral of a 1-form $\omega$ along $\Delta$ is $\int_{\Delta} \omega:=\omega\left(\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\omega\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\omega\left(\mathbf{a}_{N}\right)$.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity. Let $C_{0}(G, R)$ be the free $R$-module generated by $V(G)$ and $C_{1}(G, A)$ be the free $R$-module generated by $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G)$. Let $C^{0}(G, R)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(C_{0}(G, R), R\right)$ and $C^{1}(G, R)=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(C_{1}(G, R), R\right)$. As in convention, an element of $C_{0}(G, R)$ (resp. an element of $C_{1}(G, R)$ ) is called a 0-chain (resp. 1-chain) with coefficients in $R$, and an element of $C^{0}(G, R)$ (resp. an element of $C^{1}(G, R)$ ) is called a 0 -cochain (resp. 1-cochain) with coefficients in
R. Note that $C_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a full-rank lattice in $C_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})=\mathcal{T}(G)$, and $C^{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a full-rank lattice in $C^{1}(G, \mathbb{R})=\Omega(G)$.

There is a canonical isomorphism $i_{R}^{0}: C_{0}(G, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{0}(G, R)$ sending a 0-chain $\sum_{v \in V(G)} c_{v} \cdot v \in C_{0}(G, R)$ to a 0 -cochain $f \in C^{0}(G, R)$ given by $f(v)=c_{v}$, and a canonical isomorphism $i_{R}^{1}: C_{1}(G, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{1}(G, R)$ sending a 1-chain $\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} c_{\mathbf{e}}$. $\mathbf{e} \in C_{1}(G, R)$ to a 1-cochain $\omega \in C^{1}(G, R)$ given by $\omega(\mathbf{e})=c_{\mathbf{e}}$. Note that $i_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=$ $d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$.

Let $\partial_{R}: C_{1}(G, R) \rightarrow C_{0}(G, R)$ be the boundary map defined by

$$
\partial_{R}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} c_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{e}\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)}\left(c_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{e}(1)-c_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{e}(0)\right),
$$

and $d_{R}: C^{0}(G, R) \rightarrow C^{1}(G, R)$ be the differential map defined by

$$
d_{R} f=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)}(f(\mathbf{e}(1))-f(\mathbf{e}(0))) \cdot d \mathbf{e}
$$

for each $f \in C^{0}(G, R)$. Then the adjoint operator $\partial_{R}^{*}: C_{1}(G, R) \rightarrow C_{0}(G, R)$ of $\partial$ is defined by $\partial_{R}^{*}=\left(i_{R}^{1}\right)^{-1} \circ d \circ i_{R}^{0}$, and the adjoint operator $d_{R}^{*}: C^{1}(G, R) \rightarrow C^{0}(G, R)$ is defined by $d_{R}^{*}=i_{R}^{0} \circ \partial \circ\left(i_{R}^{1}\right)^{-1}$. For simplicity of notation, we also write $d_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $d_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ as $d$ and $d^{*}$ respectively. We say $d^{*} d$ is the Laplacian on functions and $d d^{*}$ is the Laplacian on 1-forms, both denoted by $\Delta$.

In the following, we will introduce the notion of harmonic 1-form and present a proposition of "Hodge" orthogonal decomposition which states that any 1-form can be uniquely decomposed into a harmonic 1-form and an exact 1-form. More detailed discussions can be found in [BF11.

Definition 2.1. We say $\omega \in \Omega(G)$ is a harmonic 1 -form if $\Delta \omega=0$. For each $f \in C^{0}(G, \mathbb{R})$, we say $d f$ is an exact 1 -form. Denote the space of all harmonic 1 -forms by $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ and the space of all exact 1 -forms by $\operatorname{Im}(d)$.

Lemma 2.2. $\omega=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} \omega_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot d \mathbf{e}$ is a harmonic 1 -form if and only if $d^{*} \omega=0$ if and only if $\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G), \mathbf{e}(1)=v} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G), \mathbf{e}(0)=v} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Proof. Note that the kernel of $d$ is made of constant functions and $d^{*} \omega$ can be a constant function only if $d^{*} \omega=0$.

Proposition 2.3 (Hodge orthogonal decomposition). There is a canonical Hodge decomposition $\Omega(G)=\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(d)$. That is, any 1-form $\omega$ can be written uniquely as $\omega=\phi_{1}(\omega)+\phi_{2}(\omega)$ where $\phi_{1}(\omega)$ is a harmonic 1 -form and $\phi_{2}(\omega)$ is an exact 1-form. In addition, $\left\langle\phi_{1}(\omega), \phi_{2}(\omega)\right\rangle=0$.

Proof. Consider the function $d^{*} \omega$. It is clear that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d^{*} \omega(v)=0$. Therefore, the equation $\Delta f=d^{*} d f=d^{*} \omega$ has a unique solution up to addition by a constant, since the Laplacian matrix $\Delta$ has rank $n-1$ (the sum of each column of $\Delta$ is 0 , and $G$ is connected) whose null space is consisted of the constant functions. Then $d f$ is an exact form and $\omega-d f$ is a harmonic 1-form. Let $d f=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime} \cdot d \mathbf{e}$ and $\omega-d f=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime} \cdot d \mathbf{e}$. It remains to show that $\langle d f, \omega-d f\rangle=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime}=$ 0 . Note that $\omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime}=f(\mathbf{e}(1))-f(\mathbf{e}(0))$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)}(f(\mathbf{e}(1))-f(\mathbf{e}(0))) \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime} \\
= & \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)\left(\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G), \mathbf{e}(1)=v} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G), \mathbf{e}(0)=v} \omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.4. Since the first real cohomology group is computed as $H^{1}(G, \mathbb{R})=$ $\Omega(G) / \operatorname{Im}(d)$, we have $H^{1}(G, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$. This means that any 1-cocycle in $H^{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented canonically by a unique harmonic 1 -form.

The first real and integral homology groups are $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})=$ ker $\partial_{\mathbb{Z}}$ respectively. In particular, $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})=H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R}) \bigcap C_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that integral 1-cycles (elements of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ ) are also called circulations in graph theory.
Lemma 2.5. We have the following properties of $H_{1}(G, R)$ :
(a) $H_{1}(G, R)$ is isomorphic to $R^{g}$;
(b) $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a full-rank lattice in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$;
(c) $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) / t H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. Then $G \backslash T$ contains $g$ edges. Fix an orientation of $G$ and without loss of generality, we may assume the positively oriented edges in $G \backslash T$ to be $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{g}$ and the positively oriented edges in $T$ to be $\mathbf{e}_{g+1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{m}$. Let $K$ be the free $R$-module on $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}$. Clearly $K \simeq R^{g}$ and we claim that $K \simeq H_{1}(G, R)$. We just need to show that for each $\sum_{i=1}^{g} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in K$, there exist unique $c_{g+1}, \cdots, c_{m} \in R$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in H_{1}(G, R)$. We will find the the solution $c_{g+1}, \cdots, c_{m}$ recursively. Choose a root vertex $r(T)$ of $T$ and let $d(v)$ be the length of the unique path on $T$ between the vertex $v$ to $r(T)$. We let $V_{i}=\{v \in V(G) \mid d(v)=i\}$ for $i=0, \cdots L$ where $L=\max _{v \in V(G)} d(v)$. Then each edge of $T$ has endpoints on $V_{i-1}$ and $V_{i}$ for some $i$. Assume all the oriented edges $\mathbf{e}_{g+1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{m}$ of $T$ are away from $r(T)$. Then we can make a partition of $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{g+1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}$ into $\mathbf{E}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{E}_{L}$ where $\mathbf{E}_{i}=\left\{\mathbf{e}(1) \in V_{i} \mid \mathbf{e} \in\left\{\mathbf{e}_{g+1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{m}\right\}\right\}$. Now using the restrictions of elements in $H_{1}(G, R)$, for $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{i}$, if $v=\mathbf{e}(1)$, then we have the equation $c_{\mathbf{e}}=\sum_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}_{i+1}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)=v} c_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}}+\sum_{i=1}^{g} c_{i} \sigma\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$ where

$$
\sigma(\mathbf{a})= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \mathbf{a}(0)=v \text { and } \mathbf{a}(1) \neq v  \tag{2.1}\\ -1, & \text { if } \mathbf{a}(1)=v \text { and } \mathbf{a}(0) \neq v \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since the second part $\sum_{i=1}^{g} c_{i} \sigma\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$ is known, this means that all the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{e}}$ for $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{i}$ can be uniquely derived when all the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}}$ for $\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}_{i+1}$ are known. Clearly this can be solved recursively and we can find a unique desirable $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ in $H_{1}(G, R)$.
(b) is straightforward to see.

For (c), consider the canonical homomorphism $\phi: H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$ which sends $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{c}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ where $\bar{c}_{i}=c_{i} \bmod t$. Then it is easy to see that $\operatorname{ker} \phi=t H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and it remains to show that $\phi$ is surjective. As in the proof of (1), we let $T$ be a spanning tree where the oriented edges of $G \backslash T$ are $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{g}$. By the argument in the proof of (a), we see that there is an isomorphism between $H_{1}(G, A)$
and the free $A$-module on $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}$. This means we can lift each $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{c}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in$ $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$ to some $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ by first lifting $\bar{c}_{1}, \cdots \bar{c}_{g} \in \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z}$ to $c_{1}, \cdots c_{g} \in \mathbb{Z}$ freely.

Remark 2.6. Since $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a full-rank lattice in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$ (or a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\left.H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})\right)$ and the pairing $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) \times H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is perfect, the dual lattice $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is a full-rank lattice in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$. It can also be easily verified that $i_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})\right)$ is a subgroup of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ of finite index.

The following proposition provides an approach to generating a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$.

Proposition 2.7. For a graph $G$ of genus $g$ and $T$ a spanning tree of $G$, let $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{e}_{g} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)$ be the positively oriented edges in $G \backslash T$ with respect to some orientation $O$ on $G$. Then $\left\{\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{g}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$.

Proof. Using orthogonal decomposition, we have $d \mathbf{e}_{i}=\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$ where $\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ is a harmonic 1-form and $\phi_{2}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(d)$ is an exact form.

Now we will construct a basis $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{g}\right\}$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, g$. Note that since $d \mathbf{e}_{i}(u)=\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)(u)$ for all $u \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we must have $\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)\left(u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. This will simply mean that $\left\{\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{g}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ as desired, which is the dual to the basis $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{g}\right\}$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

For each $\mathbf{e}_{i}$, consider its initial vertex $\mathbf{e}_{i}(0)$ and terminal vertex $\mathbf{e}_{i}(1)$. Then there is a unique non-backtracking path $A_{i}$ from $\mathbf{e}_{i}(1)$ to $\mathbf{e}_{i}(0)$ which is contained in the spanning tree $T$. Then $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{g}\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ where $u_{i}=$ $\mathbf{e}_{i}+A_{i}^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ for $i=1, \cdots, g$. Moreover, since $e_{i}$ is disjoint from $A_{j}$ for all $i, j=1, \cdots, g$, we must have $d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(A_{j}^{a b}\right)=0$.

It remains to verify that $d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, g$. But this simply follows from the fact that $d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(u_{j}\right)=d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}+A_{j}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)+d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(A_{j}^{a b}\right)=d \mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$.

Corollary 2.8. $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}=\phi_{1}\left(C^{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})\right)$.

Proof. Note that elements in $C^{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \cdot d \mathbf{e}_{i}$. Thus the statement follows from Proposition 2.7 .
2.2. The character group. A (unitary) character $\chi_{\omega}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow S^{1}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ can be associated to each 1-form $\omega \in \Omega$ by letting $\chi_{\omega}(\alpha)=e(\omega(\alpha))$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$. Here $e(\theta):=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \theta)$ and we will use this conventional notation throughout the paper. Note that $\chi_{-\omega}=\overline{\chi_{\omega}}$ where $\overline{\chi_{\omega}}$ is the conjugate character of $\chi_{\omega}$.

For a locally compact group $\Gamma$, denote by $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the Pontryagin dual of $\Gamma$, which is the group of unitary characters (continuous group homomorphisms from $\Gamma$ to the unit circle $S^{1}$ ) on $\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.9. We have the following commutative diagram where the bottom row is exact and $\mathcal{X}(G)=\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ :


Proof. We will concretize the well-known results about Pontryagin duals in our setting: the Pontryagin dual of a finite dimensional real vector space is a real vector space of the same dimension, and the Pontryagin dual of a rank- $g$ lattice is a $g$-dimensional real torus.

First note that since the unit circle $S^{1} \simeq \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ is an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the sequence $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(G)$ induces the sequence on their Pontryagin duals $\widehat{\mathcal{T}(G)} \rightarrow \widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}$.

Now we will show that the homomorphism from $\Omega(G)$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{T}(G)}$ defined by $\omega \mapsto \chi_{\omega}$ is actually an isomorphism. Let $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega_{i} \cdot d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ be a nontrivial 1-form and we may assume $\omega_{1}$ is nonzero. Choose a real number $c$ such that $c \omega_{1}$ is not an integer. Then $\chi_{\omega}\left(c \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)=e\left(\omega\left(c \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right)=e\left(c \omega_{1}\right) \neq 1$ which means $\chi_{\omega}$ cannot be the identity in $\widehat{\mathcal{T}(G)}$. It remains to show that for any character $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}(G)}$. There exists a 1 -form such that $\chi=\chi_{\omega}$. Consider the function $f\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{m}\right)=\chi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$. Then $\chi$ being a character of $\mathcal{T}$ guarantees that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{i}}=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \omega_{i} f$ where $\omega_{i}$ 's are constant real numbers. Let $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega_{i} \cdot d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ and it follows clearly that $\chi=\chi_{\omega}$.

By Proposition 2.3 $\omega=\phi_{1}(\omega)+\phi_{2}(\omega)$ where $\phi_{1}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ and $\phi_{2}(\omega) \in$ $\operatorname{Im}(d)$. Then $\left.\chi_{\omega}\right|_{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})}=\left.\chi_{\phi_{1}(\omega)}\right|_{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})}$, which induces a compatible isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ and $\widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})}$.

It remains to show that there is a compatible isomorphism between $\mathcal{X}(G)$ and $\widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}$. For $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega(G),\left.\chi_{\omega}\right|_{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}=\left.\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\right|_{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}$, if and only if $e(\omega(\alpha))=$ $e\left(\omega^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$ for all $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, if and only if $\omega(\alpha)-\omega^{\prime}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in$ $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, if and only if $\phi_{1}(\omega)-\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$. Thus, we have $\widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \simeq$ $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ 。

Remark 2.10. For the rest of the paper, we will identify $\widehat{\mathcal{T}(G)}, \widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})}$ and $\widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}$ with $\Omega(G), \mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ and $\mathcal{X}(G)$ respectively. In particular, we call $\mathcal{X}(G)$ the character group of $G$, which is a $g$-dimensional real torus. Provided that $G$ is known, we also simply write $\mathcal{X}(G)$ as $\mathcal{X}$. For $\omega \in \Omega(G)$, we write $\underline{\omega}:=\phi_{1}(\omega)+H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \in$ $\mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 2.9 when restricted to $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we also write $\chi_{\omega}$ as $\chi_{\underline{\omega}}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega(G)$. In comparison to the character group, it is worth mentioning some other related groups. Note that both being lattices in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R}), H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is subgroup of $\left(i_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}\right)$ of finite index (Remark 2.6$)$. Then $\left(i_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\right)^{-1}\left(H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}\right) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Jacobian group of the graph $G$ BN07, and the $g$-dimensional real torus $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R}) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the (tropical) Jacobian group of the metric graph obtained from $G$ by identifying edges with the unit interval BF11.

For elements $x$ and $y$ in some set $X$, let $\delta_{x y}$ be the delta function, i.e, $\delta_{x y}=1$ if $x=y$, and $\delta_{x y}=0$ otherwise.

Proposition 2.11. Fixing $\alpha, \beta \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we have the following orthogonal relation

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)} d V_{\omega}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

where $\chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)}$ is considered as a function on $\mathcal{X}$ with variable $\omega, d V_{\omega}$ is the volume $g$-form on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})$ is the total volume of $\mathcal{X}$.
Proof. We identify the domain of integration $\mathcal{X}$ with the fundamental domain of the lattice $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$.

Let $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{g}\right\}$ be a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\left\{u^{1}, \cdots, u^{g}\right\}$ be the corresponding dual basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$, i.e., $u^{i}\left(u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Then we can write $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_{i} u_{i}$, $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \beta_{i} u_{i}$ and $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} u^{i}$.

Now
and

$$
\chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)}=e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)\right)
$$

$$
d V_{\omega}=\sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\left\langle u^{i}, u^{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}\right)\right|} d \omega_{1} \cdots d \omega_{g}
$$

Since the integration is over the fundamental domain of the lattice $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)} d V_{\omega} \\
& =\int_{\omega_{1}=0}^{1} \cdots \int_{\omega_{g}=0}^{1} e\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)\right) \sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\left\langle u^{i}, u^{j}\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}\right)\right|} d \omega_{1} \cdots d \omega_{g} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X}) \delta_{\alpha \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us consider a subgroup $\Lambda$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of finite index. Then $\Lambda$ is also a rank- $g$ lattice in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$, and the corresponding dual lattice $\Lambda^{\vee}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ contains $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ as a sub-lattice. Let $Q_{\Lambda}:=H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) / \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}:=\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / \Lambda^{\vee}$. For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\omega \in \Omega(G)$, we write $\underline{\alpha}=\alpha+\Lambda \in Q_{\Lambda}$ and $\underline{\underline{\omega}}:=\phi_{1}(\omega)+\Lambda^{\vee} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$.

Lemma 2.12. There exists a commutative diagram with the rows being exact:


Proof. Since the unit circle is $S^{1} \simeq \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ is an injective $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \hookrightarrow H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Q_{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence on their Pontryagin duals $0 \rightarrow \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \rightarrow \widehat{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}:=$ $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / \Lambda^{\vee}$, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{\vee} / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow 0$.

The isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \simeq \widehat{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}$ defined by $\underline{\omega} \mapsto \chi_{\underline{\omega}}$ is the same as in Lemma 2.9. which naturally induces compatible isomorphisms $\Lambda^{\vee} / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \simeq \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda} \simeq$
$\widehat{\Lambda}$. In particular, for each $\omega \in \Lambda^{\vee}, \chi_{\underline{\omega}}$ is a character of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ such that for each $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha-\alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda$, we have $\chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\alpha)=\chi_{\underline{\omega}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. This means that $\chi_{\underline{\omega}}$ can be considered as a character of $Q_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, for $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega(G)$, $\left.\chi_{\omega}\right|_{\Lambda}=\left.\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\right|_{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\phi_{1}(\omega)-\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \in \Lambda^{\vee}$.

Remark 2.13. As in Remark 2.10, for the rest of the paper, we will identify $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$ with $\Lambda^{\vee} / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ respectively. Note that $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ is finite abelian group non-canonically isomorphic to $Q_{\Lambda}$. In addition, by Lemma 2.12, when restricted to $\Lambda$, we also write $\chi_{\omega}$ as $\chi_{\underline{\underline{\omega}}}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega(G)$.

The following proposition is a generalization of Proposition 2.11.
Proposition 2.14. (a) Fixing $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, we have the following orthogonal relation

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)} d V_{\omega}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

where $\chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\beta)}$ is considered as a function on $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ with variable $\omega, d V_{\omega}$ is the volume $g$-form on $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)$ is the total volume of $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$.
(b) Fixing $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta} \in Q_{\Lambda}$, we have the following orthogonal relation

$$
\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\beta)}=\delta_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}}
$$

Proof. (a) follows from an analogous argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.11,
Since $\sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\alpha) \overline{\chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\beta)}=\sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\alpha-\beta)$, (b) follows from the classical fact of characters on finite abelian groups.
2.3. Twisted adjacency matrices and twisted edge adjacency matrices. For $\omega \in \Omega(G)$, the adjacency and edge adjacency matrices can be twisted by the corresponding character $\chi_{\omega}$.

Definition 2.15. (i) The (vertex) adjacency matrix of $G$ twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$, denoted by $A_{\omega}$, is defined as follows: for $v, w \in V(G),\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{v w}=e(\omega(\mathbf{e}))$ if $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ with $\mathbf{e}(0)=v$ and $\mathbf{e}(1)=w ;\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{v w}=0$ otherwise.
(ii) The edge adjacency matrix of $G$ twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$, denoted by $W_{1, \omega}$, is defined as follows: $\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{b})=e(\omega(\mathbf{b}))$ if $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$, and $\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=0$ otherwise.
We also define the variants $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$ of $W_{1, \omega}$ as: $\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=\chi_{\omega / 2}(\mathbf{a})_{\omega / 2}(\mathbf{b})$ if $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}$, and $\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=0$ otherwise.

Let $B_{\omega}$ be a $2 m \times 2 m$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal entry corresponding to $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ is $e(\omega(\mathbf{e}))$. The following lemma says that $W_{1, \omega}, W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$ are similar matrices.

Lemma 2.16. $W_{1, \omega}=W_{1} B_{2 \omega}$, $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}=B_{2 \omega} W_{1}=B_{2 \omega} W_{1, \omega} B_{2 \omega}^{-1}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}=$ $B_{\omega} W_{1} B_{\omega}=B_{\omega} W_{1, \omega} B_{\omega}^{-1}$.

Proof. Straightforwardly verifiable by definitions of $W_{1, \omega}, W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$.
In this paper, the twisted adjacency matrix $A_{\omega}$ and twisted edge adjacency matrix $W_{1, \omega}$ are employed for computation of the L-functions using the determinant formulas (Theorem 2.24) in Subsection 2.5.

Remark 2.17. As in convention, the spectrum of a square matrix $M$, denoted by Spec $M$, is the multiset of eigenvalues of $M$ counting multiplicity. For two square matrices $M$ and $N$, we say $M$ is isospectral to $N$ if $\operatorname{Spec} M=\operatorname{Spec} N$, and we say the spectrum of $M$ is the complex conjugate (resp. negation) of the spectrum of $N$, written as $\operatorname{Spec} M=\overline{\operatorname{Spec} N}$ (resp. Spec $M=-\operatorname{Spec} N$ ), if for each $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} M$ of multiplicity $m$, we have $\bar{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Spec} N$ of multiplicity $m$ (resp. $-\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} N$ of multiplicity $m$ ). We say $\operatorname{Spec} M$ is invariant under complex conjugate (resp. negation), if Spec $M=\overline{\operatorname{Spec} M}$ (resp. Spec $M=-\operatorname{Spec} M$ ).

Some basic properties of $A_{\omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega}$ are stated in the following lemma.
Lemma 2.18. (a) $A_{\omega}$ is a Hermitian matrix and the eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ are all real. In particular, $\overline{A_{\omega}}=A_{\omega}^{T}=A_{-\omega}$, and $A_{\omega}$ and $A_{-\omega}$ are similar matrices.
(b) $W_{1, \omega}$ and $W_{1,-\omega}$ are similar matrices.
(c) $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ is invariant under complex conjugate.

Proof. For (a), note that if there is an edge between vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, then $\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}=$ $e\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)\right)=e\left(-\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{j i}\right)\right)=\overline{\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{j i}}$ and $\left(\overline{A_{\omega}}\right)_{i j}=e\left(-\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)\right)=\left(A_{\omega}^{T}\right)_{i j}=\left(A_{-\omega}\right)_{i j}$. Otherwise, $\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}=\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{j i}=0$. Hence $A_{\omega}$ is a Hermitian matrix which also means that the eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ are all real, and $\overline{A_{\omega}}=A_{\omega}^{T}=A_{-\omega}$. It also follows that $A_{\omega}$ and $A_{-\omega}$ are similar since a matrix is similar to its transpose.

For (b), by Lemma 2.16 , we only need to show that $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$ and $W_{1,-\omega}^{\prime \prime}$ are similar. Note that whenever $\mathbf{a}$ feeds into $\mathbf{b},\left(W_{1,-\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=e(-\omega(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) / 2)=\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\mathbf{b}^{-1} \mathbf{a}^{-1}}=$ $\left(W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime T}\right)_{\mathbf{a}^{-1} \mathbf{b}^{-1}}$. Consequently, $W_{1,-\omega}^{\prime \prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$ are similar, since $W_{1,-\omega}^{\prime \prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime T}$ are similar by a permutation matrix switching $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{-1}$ for all $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

For (c), note that $W_{1,-\omega}=\overline{W_{1, \omega}}$ which means that $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1,-\omega}=$ $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{W_{1, \omega}}=\overline{\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}$.
2.4. Basic properties of the L-functions. To simplify the notation, let $\chi_{\omega}(P):=$ $\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$.

Definition 2.19. The L-function with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$ is defined to be the following function:

$$
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L_{G}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\prod_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1}
$$

## Lemma 2.20.

$$
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\chi_{\omega}(C)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)
$$

and in particular

$$
z(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{1}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) & =\prod_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}} \log \left(\left(1-\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{n}}{n}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{n}\right) u^{l\left(P^{n}\right)}}{n}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\chi_{\omega}(C)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which also yields the second identity since $z(u)=L\left(u, \chi_{0}\right)$.
Lemma 2.21. $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L\left(u, \chi_{-\omega}\right)$.
Proof. Note that $[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ if and only if $\left[P^{-1}\right] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) & =\prod_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\prod_{\left[P^{-1}\right] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{-1}\right) u^{l\left(P^{-1}\right)}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\prod_{[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\chi_{-\omega}(P) u^{l(P)}\right)^{-1} \\
& =L\left(u, \chi_{-\omega}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.22. Consider $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$.
(a) $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=z(u)$ if and only if $\omega \in \operatorname{ker}(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X})=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ if and only if $\phi_{1}(\omega) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$.
(b) $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L\left(u, \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)$ if $\phi_{1}(\omega)-\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$.

Proof. For (a), first note that for any exact 1 -form $d f$ and any closed path $C$, we must have $\int_{C}(\omega+d f)=\int_{C} \omega+\int_{C} d f=\int_{C} \omega$, which means that $\chi_{\omega}(C)=\chi_{\omega+d f}(C)$.

Choose $g$ prime paths $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{g}$ such that $C_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}, \cdots, C_{g}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ form a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have the equivalence of the following statements:
(1) $\omega \in \operatorname{ker}(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X})=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$;
(2) $\phi_{1}(\omega) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$;
(3) $\left.\chi_{\omega}\right|_{H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})}=1$;
(4) $\left.\chi_{\omega}\right|_{\left\{C_{1}, \cdots, C_{g}\right\}}=1$;
(5) $\chi_{\omega}(P)=1$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
(6) $\chi_{\omega}(C)=1$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Now we claim that the statements (1)-(6) are equivalent to (7): $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=z(u)$. It is easy to see by definition that the statements (1)-(6) imply (7). Now suppose $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=z(u)$. Note that

$$
\log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\chi_{\omega}(C)\right)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{l(C)=l,[C] \in \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\chi_{\omega}(C)\right)}{r(C)}\right) u^{l}
$$

This means for all $l$, we have $\sum_{l(C)=l,[C] \in \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(\chi_{\omega}(C)\right)}{r(C)}=\sum_{l(C)=l,[C] \in \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{1}{r(C)}$. But since $\left|\chi_{\omega}(C)\right|=1$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$, this actually means that $\chi_{\omega}(C)=1$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$. As a conclusion, statements $(1)-(6)$ are equivalent to each other.

For (b), similarly we see that $\phi_{1}(\omega)-\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(C)=$ $\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}(C)$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(P)=\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore, $\phi_{1}(\omega)-\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ implies $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L\left(u, \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)$. (It should be emphasized that the converse statement is not true, unlike in (a). For example, by Lemma 2.21, $\left.L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L\left(u, \chi_{-\omega}\right)\right)$

Remark 2.23. Proposition 2.22 is employed in the proof of spectral antisymmetry in Section 4 (see Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8.
2.5. The Ihara determinant formula and computation of the L-functions. The Ihara zeta function $z(u)$ of a graph $G$ of genus $g$ can be computed from its edge adjacency matrix $W_{1}$ and adjacency matrix $A$ by $z(u)^{-1}=\operatorname{det}\left(I-u W_{1}\right)^{-1}$ and the celebrated Ihara determinant formula $z(u)^{-1}=\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{g-1} \operatorname{det}\left(I-A u+Q u^{2}\right)$ respectively. Here $Q$ is the diagonal matrix with the $j$-th diagonal entry being $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{j}\right)-1$ where $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{j}\right)$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{j} \in V(G)$. Such determinant formulas are also true for the L-functions, as summarized in the following theorem (an elementary proof is available in Ter11).

Theorem 2.24 (Hashimoto Has89, Bass Bas92]). L-functions have the following determinantal expressions:
(a) Let $p_{\omega}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $W_{1, \omega}$. Then

$$
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{det}\left(I-u W_{1, \omega}\right)=u^{2 m} p_{\omega}\left(u^{-1}\right)
$$

(b) (The Ihara Determinant Formula)

$$
L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)^{-1}=\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{g-1} \operatorname{det}\left(I-A_{\omega} u+Q u^{2}\right)
$$

## 3. Main Results

We stick to the terms and notations introduced in Subsection 2.1 and 2.2 .
3.1. The canonical character and spectral antisymmetry. For a square matrix $M$, the spectral radius $\rho(M)$ of $M$ is defined as $\max \{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} M\}$ as in convention.

Theorem 3.1 (Spectral antisymmetry of twisted graph adjacency). For $a$ graph $G$ of genus $g$, let $A$ be its adjacency matrix, $W_{1}$ its edge adjacency matrix and $\mathcal{X}$ its character group. For any $\omega \in \Omega$, let $A_{\omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega}$ be respectively the adjacency matrix and edge adjacency matrix of $G$ twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$.
(a) There exists a unique character $\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ such that for all $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ with $\underline{\omega}+\underline{\omega^{\prime}}=\theta$, we have
(i) $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ is similar to $-A_{\omega}$, $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ is the negation of $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$, and
(ii) $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ is the negation of $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$.

Moreover, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\underline{\omega}=\theta$ if $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$.
(b) $\theta$ is a 2-torsion of $\mathcal{X}$.
(c) $\theta=0$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite. In this case, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ and Spec $W_{1, \omega}$ are both invariant under negation.
(d) $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right) \leq \rho(A)$ and $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right) \leq \rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.
(e) $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$.
(f) If $g=1$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.
(g) If $G$ is non-bipartite and has genus $g \geq 2$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$.
(h) If $G$ is bipartite and has genus $g \geq 2$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega}$ is not a 2 -torsion of $\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 3.2. We call $\theta$ the canonical character of $G$ and $\omega \in \Omega(G)$ a canonical 1 -form of $G$ if $\underline{\omega}=\theta$. For any character $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}$, we say $\underline{\omega}^{\prime}=\theta-\underline{\omega}$ is the dual character of $\underline{\omega}$, and $\omega^{\prime}$ is a dual 1 -form of $\omega$. Therefore, $\theta$ itself is the dual of the trivial character. Note that the canonical character is unique, while there are infinitely many canonical 1 -forms.

Remark 3.3. Note that unlike the vertex adjacency matrices, which are all Hermitian, the edge adjacency matrices do not necessarily have real spectra general. They exhibit a more intricate spectral behavior, as can be seen by comparing Theorem 3.1 (e) to Theorem $3.1(\mathrm{f})(\mathrm{g})(\mathrm{h})$. In particular, additional investigations are required to provide a more refined version of Theorem 3.1(h) in the scenario where $G$ is bipartite, accounting for the possible non-trivial 2 -torsions $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ (see Figure 2 (c) for an illustrative example).

We defer the technical and lengthy proof of Theorem 3.1 to Section 4.
For a special case of $G$ being a regular graph, as a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we have the following characterization of the spectra of the twisted adjacency matrices of $G$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ be $a(q+1)$-regular graph of genus $g$, and $\theta$ be the canonical character of $G$. Then for $\omega \in \Omega$, we have $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right) \leq q+1$ and $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right) \leq q$. Specifically,
(a) if $\underline{\omega}=0$, then $q+1 \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ and $q \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$, both with multiplicity 1 ;
(b) if $\underline{\omega}=\theta$, then $-(q+1) \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ and $-q \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$, both with multiplicity 1;
(c) if $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$, then $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<q+1$, and if in addition $g \geq 2$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<q$;
(d) if $G$ is bipartite, then $\theta=0$, and if in addition $g \geq 2$, then $|\lambda|<q$ for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega} \backslash\{ \pm q\}$ with $\underline{\omega}=0$;
(e) if $G$ is non-bipartite, then $\theta \neq 0$, and we have
(i) if $\underline{\omega}=0$, then $-(q+1) \notin \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$, and if in addition $g \geq 2$, then $|\lambda|<q$ for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega} \backslash\{q\}$, and
(ii) if $\underline{\omega}=\theta$, then $q+1 \notin \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$, and if in addition $g \geq 2$, then $|\lambda|<q$ for all $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega} \backslash\{-q\}$.

Proof. Let us first consider the twisted adjacency matrices $A_{\omega}$. Note that $A_{\omega}$ is Hermitian and its eigenvalues are all real (Lemma 2.18(a)). Now since $G$ is $(q+1)$ regular, we get (see, e.g., Ter11])
(1) $\rho(A)=q+1$,
(2) $q+1$ is an eigenvalue of $A$ of multiplicity 1 , and
(3) $-(q+1) \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite.

Consider $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega}=0$ and $\underline{\omega}^{\prime}=\theta$. Now since $\underline{\omega}+\omega^{\prime}=\underline{\omega}^{\prime}=\theta$, we must have $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}=\operatorname{Spec} A=-\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ by Theorem 3.1(a). Then it follows that
(1) $q+1 \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ with multiplicity 1 ,
(2) $-(q+1) \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ with multiplicity 1 , and
(3) $-(q+1) \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ if and only if $q+1 \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite if and only if $\theta=0$ (Theorem 3.1(c)).
In addition, by Theorem 3.1 (e), $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<q+1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$. Now let us consider the twisted edge adjacency matrices $W_{1, \omega}$.
Using the determinant formulas for L-functions in Theorem 2.24 , since $G$ is $(q+1)$-regular which implies $Q=q I_{n}$ where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, we have the following relation between $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ :

$$
\prod_{\kappa \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(1-\kappa u)=\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{g-1} \prod_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}}\left(1-\lambda u+q u^{2}\right)
$$

Let $\left(1-\kappa_{1} u\right)\left(1-\kappa_{2} u\right)=1-\lambda u+q u^{2}$ where $\left|\kappa_{1}\right| \geq\left|\kappa_{2}\right|$. Then by the quadratic formula, we get
(1) if $\lambda=q+1$, then $\kappa_{1}=q$ and $\kappa_{2}=1$;
(2) if $\lambda=-(q+1)$, then $\kappa_{1}=-q$ and $\kappa_{2}=-1$;
(3) if $2 \sqrt{q}<|\lambda|<q+1$, then $\kappa_{1,2}=2 q /\left(\lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4 q}\right),\left|\kappa_{1}\right| \in(\sqrt{q}, q)$, and $\left|\kappa_{2}\right| \in(1, \sqrt{q}) ;$ and
(4) if $|\lambda| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}$, then $\kappa_{1,2}=2 q /\left(\lambda \pm \sqrt{4 q-\lambda^{2}} \sqrt{-1}\right)$ and $\left|\kappa_{1}\right|=\left|\kappa_{2}\right|=\sqrt{q}$.

Therefore, we further conclude that
(1) if $q+1 \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ with multiplicity 1 , then $q \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ with multiplicity 1 ;
(2) if $-(q+1) \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ with multiplicity 1 , then $-q \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ with multiplicity 1 ; and
(3) if $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<q+1$ and $g \geq 2$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<q$.

Then the properties of Spec $W_{1, \omega}$ stated in the theorem follow from the properties of $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ as shown above.

Remark 3.5. For some irregular graphs $G$ of genus at least 2, the condition $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$ can only guarantee that $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$ (Theorem 3.1(e)), but cannot fully guarantee that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ if $G$ is bipartite (Theorem 3.1(h)). (In Subsection 3.4. we give an example of this elusive case, illustrated in Figure 2(c) and Figure 3(c).) However, this assurance is unexceptionally true for regular bipartite graphs of genus at least 2 (Theorem 3.4(c)).
3.2. Some trace formulas. For a path $\Delta=\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}$, let the abelianization of $\Delta$ be $\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathbf{a}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{a}_{N}$, and the integral of a 1-form $\omega$ along $\Delta$ is $\int_{\Delta} \omega:=\omega\left(\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=$ $\omega\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\omega\left(\mathbf{a}_{N}\right)$.
Definition 3.6. We define the following counting functions of closed paths:
(i) For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), N(\alpha, n)=\#\left\{\right.$ closed paths $\left.C \in \mathcal{C} \mid l(C)=n, C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha\right\}$.
(ii) For $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}, N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\#\left\{\right.$ closed paths $\left.C \in \mathcal{C} \mid l(C)=n, \underline{C^{\text {ab }}}=\underline{\alpha}\right\}$.

Definition 3.7. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, define $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ as the trace of the twisted edge adjacency matrix $W_{1, \omega}^{n}$, which we call the trace distribution function of order $n$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ be the set of all closed paths of length $n$.
Lemma 3.8. The following are some equivalent definitions of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ :
(a) $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}} \chi_{\omega}(C)$;
(b) $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \lambda^{n}$.

To prove this Lemma we need the notion of edge-path. An edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ of length $N$ which is a sequence of oriented edges $\mathbf{a}_{0} \downarrow \mathbf{a}_{1} \downarrow \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}$ such that $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}(0)=$ $\mathbf{a}_{i}(1)$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, of which the initial oriented edge is $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(0):=\mathbf{a}_{0}$ and the terminal oriented edge is $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(1):=\mathbf{a}_{N}$. We also say the path $\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N}$ is the associated path of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$, and the path $\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{N-1}$ is the interior path of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$. The inverse of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{-1}:=\mathbf{a}_{N}^{-1} \rightarrow \cdots \mathbf{a}_{0}^{-1}$. An edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}=\mathbf{b}_{0} \downarrow \cdots \mathbf{b}_{M}$ is a sub-edge-path of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ if $\mathbf{b}_{j}=\mathbf{a}_{i+j}$ for all $j=0, \cdots, M$ and some $i \in\{0, \cdots, N\}$. We say $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ has a backtrack if $\mathbf{a}_{i+1}=\mathbf{a}_{i}^{-1}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq N-1$. We say $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is a closed edge-path at the base oriented edge $\mathbf{e}$ if $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ has no backtracks and $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{a}_{0}=\mathbf{a}_{N}$. Note that the associated path of a closed edge-path is always a closed path. (An alternative way of describing edge-paths is to use the notion of oriented line graphs, see Kotani and Sunada KS00. A non-backtracking edge-path on $G$ is a path on the oriented line graph associated with $G$. Here we will stick to the term "edge-path" throughout the paper). We also write $\chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})=\chi_{\omega}(\Delta):=\chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ for a path $\Delta$ and an edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ whose associated path is $\Delta$. Clearly, $\chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta^{-1}\right)=\chi_{-\omega}(\Delta)=\overline{\chi_{\omega}(\Delta)}$.
proof of Lemma 3.8. For (a), First note that by definition of the twisted edge adjacency matrix $W_{1, \omega}$, the (e, e)-entry of Spec $W_{1, \omega}^{n}$ is the sum over the closed edge paths at the base oriented edge $\mathbf{e}$, each twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$. Therefore, being the trace of $W_{1, \omega}^{n}, \mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ is exactly $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}} \chi_{\omega}(C)$.
(b) follows from the facts that $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{\lambda^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}^{n}} \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}^{n}=\left\{\lambda^{n} \mid\right.$ $\left.\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}\right\}$ (as a multiset).

By Lemma 3.8 (a), it is clear that as a function of $\omega \in \Omega, \mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ is continuous and differentiable.

Lemma 3.9. For $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$, if $\underline{\omega}=\underline{\omega}^{\prime}$, then $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\mathcal{K}\left(\omega^{\prime}, n\right)$ for all $n$.
Proof. We see from Lemma 4.7 that $W_{1, \omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ are isospectral whenever $\underline{\omega}=$ $\underline{\omega}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\mathcal{K}\left(\omega^{\prime}, n\right)$ by Lemma 3.8(b).

By this lemma, for each $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}$, we may also write $\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)=\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$, considered as a function over the character group $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 3.10. The following are some basic properties of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ of a graph $G$ with canonical character $\theta$.
(a) $\max _{\omega \in \Omega}|\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)|=\mathcal{K}(0, n)=N(n)$, which is a nonnegative integer.
(b) $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ is real and $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\mathcal{K}(-\omega, n)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.
(c) $\mathcal{K}(\theta-\underline{\omega}, n)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In particular, $\mathcal{K}(\theta, n)=\mathcal{K}(0, n)$ when $n$ is even, and $\mathcal{K}(\theta, n)=-\mathcal{K}(0, n)$ when $n$ is odd.
(d) If $G$ is bipartite, then $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ when $n$ is odd.

Proof. For (a), note that $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}} \chi_{\omega}(C)$ (Lemma 3.8(a)). Therefore, $\mathcal{K}(0, n)=\left|\mathcal{C}_{n}\right|=N(n)$ and $|\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)| \leq \mathcal{K}(0, n)$.
(b) follows from Lemma 2.18 (b)(c).
(c) follows from Theorem 3.1 (a).
(d) follows from Theorem 3.1 (c).

Theorem 3.11 (Trace formulas). Let $h$ be a function analytic on the open disk $D=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z<\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)+\epsilon\right\}$ for some $\epsilon>0$. Let $\hat{h}$ be the inverse $Z$-transform of $h$, i.e., $\hat{h}(n)=1 /(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}) \oint_{C} h(z) z^{-n-1} d z$ where $C$ is a counterclockwise simple closed path entirely in $D$ and about the origin. We have the following identities:
(a) $\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n) \hat{h}(n)$,
(b) $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))\right) d V_{\omega}=\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} N(\alpha, n) \hat{h}(n)$,
(c) for $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) N(\underline{\alpha}, n) \hat{h}(n)$, and
(d) for $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}, \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))=\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right| \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} N(\underline{\alpha}, n) \hat{h}(n)$.

Applying Theorem 3.11 to a special case of $h(z)=z^{n}$, we derive some Fourier transform formulas as follows.

Theorem 3.12. We have the following identities:
(a) The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms between $N(\alpha, n)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ :
(i) $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n)$, and
(ii) $N(\alpha, n)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) d V_{\omega}$.
(b) The Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms between $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)$ for $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$ and $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ :
(i) $\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$, and
(ii) $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12. We prove Theorem 3.12first. Note that $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}} \chi_{\omega}(C)$ by Lemma 3.8(a), and $\chi_{\omega}(C)=\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\text {ab }}\right)$ where $C^{\text {ab }} \in$ $H^{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is the abelianization of $C$. As a result, for all $C^{\text {ab }}=\alpha$, we have the identity $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}, C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha} \chi_{\omega}(C)=\chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n)$. This implies (a)(i), i.e., $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=$ $\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n)$. If in addition, $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$, then for each $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in H^{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\underline{\alpha}=\underline{\alpha}^{\prime} \in Q_{\Lambda}$ (meaning that $\alpha-\alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ ), we have $\chi_{\omega}(\alpha)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, which can be written also as $\chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha})$. Hence (b)(i) follows, i.e., $\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$.

For (a)(ii), applying (a)(i) and the orthogonal relation in Proposition 2.11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi-\omega(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) d V_{\omega} \\
= & \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{\beta \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\beta) N(\beta, n)\right) d V_{\omega} \\
= & \sum_{\beta \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} N(\beta, n)\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) d V_{\omega}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\beta \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} N(\beta, n) \delta_{\alpha \beta} \\
= & N(\alpha, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, for (b)(ii), applying (b)(i) and the orthogonal relation in Proposition 2.14 (b), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) \\
= & \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha})\left(\sum_{\underline{\beta} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\beta}) N(\underline{\beta}, n)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\underline{\beta} \in Q_{\Lambda}} N(\underline{\beta}, n)\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\beta})\right) \\
= & \sum_{\underline{\beta} \in Q_{\Lambda}} N(\underline{\beta}, n) \delta_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta}} \\
= & N(\underline{\alpha}, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can prove the trace formulas in Theorem 3.11. Note that on the open disk $D$, we can write $h(z)=h(0)+\sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{h}(n) z^{n}$. Since Spec $W_{1, \omega} \subset D$, we have $h(\lambda)-h(0)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{h}(n) \lambda^{n}$ for each $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$. Note that $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)=$ $\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \lambda^{n}$ by Lemma 3.8(b). Hence, using Theorem 3.12(a)(i),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0)) & =\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{h}(n) \lambda^{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \lambda^{n}\right) \hat{h}(n) \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) \hat{h}(n) \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n) \hat{h}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, by Theorem 3.12 (a)(ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))\right) d V_{\omega} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) \hat{h}(n)\right) d V_{\omega} \\
& =\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X}) \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} N(\alpha, n) \hat{h}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$, by Theorem 3.12 (b)(i),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0)) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) \hat{h}(n) \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) N(\underline{\alpha}, n) \hat{h}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$, by Theorem 3.12 (b)(ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0)) \\
&=\sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) \hat{h}(n) \\
&= \sum_{n \leq 1}\left(\sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\omega, n)\right) \hat{h}(n) \\
&=\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right| \cdot \sum_{n \leq 1} N(\underline{\alpha}, n) \hat{h}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a corollary of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.12, we have the following result about some vanishing behaviors of $N(\alpha, n)$ and $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$.

Corollary 3.13. Let $\theta$ be the canonical divisor of $G$.
(a) For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, if $\chi_{\theta}(\alpha)=1$, then $N(\alpha, n)=0$ when $n$ is odd, and if $\chi_{\theta}(\alpha)=-1$, then $N(\alpha, n)=0$ when $n$ is even.
(b) If $\theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$, then for $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$, if $\chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=1$, then $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0$ when $n$ is odd, and if $\chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=-1$, then $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0$ when $n$ is even.
(c) If $G$ is bipartite, then for all $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), N(\alpha, n)=0$ when $n$ is odd, and for all $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}, N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0$ when $n$ is odd.

Proof. By Theorem 3.12(a)(ii) and (b)(ii), we have

$$
N(\alpha, n)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) d V_{\omega}
$$

and

$$
N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) .
$$

By Lemma 3.10(b)(c), we have $\mathcal{K}(\theta-\underline{\omega}, n)=\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}-\theta, n)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)=$ $(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(-\underline{\omega}, n)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{-(\theta-\underline{\omega})}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\theta-\underline{\omega}, n)+\chi_{-(\underline{\omega}-\theta)}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}-\theta, n) \\
= & (-1)^{n} \chi_{\theta}(\alpha)\left(\chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)+\chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(-\underline{\omega}, n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This just means that if $(-1)^{n} \chi_{\theta}(\alpha)=-1$, then $N(\alpha, n)=0$, and (a) follows.
Analogously, if in addition $\theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$, then using the same argument, (b) follows. If $G$ is bipartite, then $\theta=0$ by Theorem 3.1(c), and (c) follows from (a) and (b). Note that (c) is also a direct consequence of the combinatorial fact that there are no closed paths of odd length on a bipartite graph.

### 3.3. Counting cycles in homology classes.

Definition 3.14. Let $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and then $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$. We define the following counting functions of cycles and prime cycles:
(i) $\pi(n)=\#\{$ prime cycles $[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \mid l(P)=n\}$, $\pi_{c}(n)=\#\{$ cycles $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}} \mid l(C)=n\}$.
(ii) $\pi(\alpha, n)=\#\left\{\right.$ prime cycles $\left.[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \mid l(P)=n, P^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha\right\}$, $\pi_{c}(\alpha, n)=\#\left\{\right.$ cycles $\left.[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}} \mid l(C)=n, C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha\right\}$.
(iii) $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\#\left\{\right.$ prime cycles $\left.[P] \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \mid l(P)=n, \underline{P^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\underline{\alpha}\right\}$, $\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\#\left\{\operatorname{cycles}[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}} \mid l(C)=n, \underline{C^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\underline{\alpha}\right\}$.

Let $[\alpha \mid k]:=\left\{\beta \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \mid k \beta=\alpha\right\}$ and $[\underline{\alpha} \mid k]:=\left\{\underline{\beta} \in Q_{\Lambda} \mid k \underline{\beta}=\underline{\alpha}\right\}$. Let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function.

Proposition 3.15. We have the following identities:
(a) $\pi_{c}(\alpha, n)=\sum_{d \mid n}\left(\sum_{\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]} \pi(\beta, d)\right)$;
(b) $\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\sum_{d \mid n}\left(\sum_{\underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)]} \pi(\underline{\beta}, d)\right)$;
(c) $N(\alpha, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d \cdot\left(\sum_{\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]} \pi(\beta, d)\right)$;
(d) $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d \cdot\left(\sum_{\underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)]} \pi(\underline{\beta}, d)\right)$;
(e) $\pi(\alpha, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]} N(\beta, d)$;
(f) $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)]} N(\underline{\beta}, d)$.

Proof. Note that when $[\alpha \mid(n / d)]$ is nonempty, for each $\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $l(P)=d$ and $P^{\mathrm{ab}}=\beta$ (resp. $\underline{P^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\beta$ ), we have $P^{n / d} \in \mathcal{C}, l\left(P^{n / d}\right)=n$ and $\left(P^{n / d}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\underline{\left(P^{n / d}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\underline{\alpha}\right)$. Then (a)-(d) follow from the definitions of the counting functions.
(e) and (f) are Möbius inversions of (c) and (d) respectively. More precisely, for (e),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]} N(\beta, d) \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]} \sum_{d^{\prime} \mid d} d^{\prime}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in\left[\beta \mid\left(d / d^{\prime}\right)\right]} \pi\left(\gamma, d^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\left(\text { With } n^{\prime}=n / d\right)= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d^{\prime} \mid n} \sum_{\gamma \in\left[\alpha \mid\left(n / d^{\prime}\right)\right]} \sum_{n^{\prime} \mid\left(n / d^{\prime}\right)} \mu\left(n^{\prime}\right) \cdot d^{\prime} \cdot \pi\left(\gamma, d^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d^{\prime} \mid n} d^{\prime} \sum_{\gamma \in\left[\alpha \mid\left(n / d^{\prime}\right)\right]} \pi\left(\gamma, d^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{n^{\prime} \mid\left(n / d^{\prime}\right)} \mu\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & \pi(\alpha, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the property of Möbius function that if $n=1$, then $\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)=1$, and otherwise $\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)=0$.
(f) follows from an analogous argument.

Remark 3.16. By (a)-(d) of Proposition 3.15, we see that

$$
N(\alpha, n)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \pi_{c}(\alpha, n)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(\beta, d)=0 \text { for all } d \mid n \text { and } \beta \in[\alpha \mid(n / d)]
$$

and

$$
N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \pi(\beta, d)=0 \text { for all } d \mid n \text { and } \underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)] .
$$

Recall that by Theorem 3.1 (b), the canonical character $\theta$ of $G$ must be a 2 -torsion of the character group $\mathcal{X}$ of $G$, or equivalently $\chi_{\theta}\left(H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})\right) \subseteq\{ \pm 1\}$. Again, for a full-rank sublattice $\Lambda$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, let $Q_{\Lambda}=H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) / \Lambda$, and accordingly $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}=\Lambda^{\vee} / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{X}$. Let $\rho_{G}:=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ be the spectral radius of the edge adjacency matrix $W_{1}$ of $G, M_{G}=\left\{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}| | \lambda \mid=\rho_{G}\right\}$, and $\nu_{G}$ the cardinality of $M_{G}$. Note that $\nu_{G}$ can be interpreted as the greatest common divisor of the lengths of all closed paths on $G$. In particular, if $G$ is $(q+1)$-regular, then $\rho_{G}=q$; if $G$ is bipartite, then $\nu_{G}$ is even; if $G$ is regular and non-bipartite, then $\nu_{G}=1$; if $G$ is regular and bipartite, then $\nu_{G}=2$.

Theorem 3.17. Suppose the genus $g$ of the graph $G$ is at least 2 . Let $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and correspondingly $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$.
(a) $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0$ if one of the following cases occurs:
(i) $\nu_{G} \nmid n$;
(ii) $\theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=1$ and $n$ is odd;
(iii) $\theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=-1$ and $n$ is even.
(b) As $n$ goes to infinity,

$$
\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{\nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n}}{n\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|}
$$

if one of the following cases occurs:
(i) $\nu_{G} \mid n$ and $\theta \notin \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$;
(ii) $G$ is bipartite, $\nu_{G} \mid n$, and every nonzero element in $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ is not a 2-torsion of $\mathcal{X}$;
(iii) $G$ is regular and bipartite, and $\nu_{G} \mid n$.
(c) As $n$ goes to infinity,

$$
\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{2 \nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n}}{n\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|}
$$

if one of the following cases occurs:
(i) $G$ is not bipartite, $\nu_{G} \mid n, \theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=1$ and $n$ is even;
(ii) $G$ is not bipartite, $\nu_{G} \mid n, \theta \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})=-1$ and $n$ is odd.

Proof. First, we observe that the vanishing behavior of $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ and $\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ is determined by that of $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ (Remark 3.16).

Note that $N(n)=\mathcal{K}(0, n)=\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}} \lambda^{n}$. The following facts are known:
(1) If $\nu_{G} \nmid n$, then $N(n)=0$, which also implies $N(\alpha, n)=N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0$ as stated in (a)(i);
(2) If $\nu_{G} \mid n$, then $\mathcal{K}(0, n) \sim \sum_{\lambda \in M_{G}} \lambda^{n}=\nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n}$ as $n$ goes to infinity.
(a)(ii) and (a)(iii) follow from Corollary 3.13(b).

For all the three cases of (b), note that for each $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}} \backslash\{\underline{0}\}$, we must have $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho_{G}$ by Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4. Therefore, by Theorem 3.11, in
either of the three cases of (b),

$$
N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) \sim \frac{\mathcal{K}(0, n)}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sim \frac{\nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n}}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|}
$$

as $n$ goes to infinity. Now since $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ goes to infinity exponentially as a function of $n$, by Proposition 3.15, (b) follows.

For both cases of (c), note that the canonical character $\theta$ is nontrivial and in $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$. Then by Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{K}(\theta)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(0, n)$, and for each $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}} \backslash\{\underline{0}, \theta\}$, we must have $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho_{G}$. Then in either case of $c$,

$$
N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) \sim \frac{\left(1+\chi_{\theta}(\underline{\alpha})(-1)^{n}\right) \mathcal{K}(0, n)}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sim \frac{2 \nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n}}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|}
$$

as $n$ goes to infinity, and again by Proposition 3.15. (c) follows.
For a special case, now we let $G$ be regular and $\Lambda=t H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. As can be seen from Lemma $2.5(\mathrm{c}), H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})=H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) / t H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})=Q_{\Lambda}$. As in convention, elements in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$ are called $t$-circulations on $G$. Consider $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and its corresponding $t$-circulation $\underline{\alpha} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 3.18. Let $G$ be a $(q+1)$-regular graph of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and its corresponding $t$-circulation $\underline{\alpha} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z} / t \mathbb{Z})$.
(a) If $G$ is non-bipartite and $t$ is odd, then

$$
\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{q^{n}}{n t^{g}}
$$

as $n$ goes to $\infty$.
(b) If $G$ is non-bipartite and $t$ is even, then

$$
\text { (i) if } \chi_{\theta}(\alpha)=1 \text {, then } \chi_{\theta}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=1 \text { for all } \alpha^{\prime} \in \underline{\alpha} \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0, & \text { when } n \text { is odd; } \\ \pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{2 q^{n}}{n t^{g}}, & \text { when } n \text { is even and goes to } \infty ;\end{cases}
$$

(ii) if $\chi_{\theta}(\alpha)=-1$, then $\chi_{\theta}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=-1$ for all $\alpha^{\prime} \in \underline{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{cases}\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0, & \text { when } n \text { is even; } \\ \pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{2 q^{n}}{n t^{g}}, & \text { when } n \text { is odd and goes to } \infty .\end{cases}
$$

(c) If $G$ is bipartite, then

$$
\begin{cases}\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=0, & \text { when } n \text { is odd; } \\ \pi(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n) \sim \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} \sim \frac{2 q^{n}}{n t^{g}}, & \text { when } n \text { is even and goes to } \infty .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|=t^{g}$, and if $G$ is non-bipartite, then the 2-torsion subgroup of $\chi$ is a subgroup of $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ if $t$ is even, and intersects $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ only at $\underline{0}$ if $t$ is odd. Therefore, the statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.17

Next, we will explore two examples: the first example is to demonstrate the spectral antisymmetry (Theorem 3.1) and its impacts on the distribution of $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ for certain genus- 2 graphs, and the second example is about some concrete identities based on the trace formulas and counting functions for the complete graph $K_{4}$.


Figure 1. A genus-2 graph: two vertices $v$ and $w$ are connected by three paths $\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ of length $l_{0}, l_{1}$, and $l_{2}$ respectively.
3.4. An example on distributions of spectral radii and trace function. For this example, note that a genus- 2 graph $G$ is made of three paths $\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ with identical initial vertex $v$ and terminal vertex $w$ (Figure 1). Let $l_{j}$ be the length of $\Delta_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertices adjacent to $v$ on $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ respectively. Correspondingly, let $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ be the oriented edges from $v$ to $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ respectively. Then $\left\{\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right), \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ (Proposition 2.7) and the character group $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ is a 2-dimensional real torus such that each $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}$ has a unique representative $\omega=$ $\omega_{1} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+\omega_{2} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ with $0 \leq \omega_{1,2}<1$.

We consider three cases of this type of graphs: a non-bipartite graph $G_{1}$ with $l_{0}=1, l_{1}=2$ and $l_{2}=3$; a bipartite graph $G_{2}$ with $l_{0}=1, l_{1}=3$ and $l_{2}=5$; and a bipartite graph $G_{3}$ with $l_{0}=2, l_{1}=2$ and $l_{2}=4$.

Distribution of $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)$. Figure 2 (a), $2(\mathrm{~b})$ and 2 (c) show the distributions of spectral radii $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)$ over the character groups $\mathcal{X}\left(G_{1}\right), \mathcal{X}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{X}\left(G_{3}\right)$ respectively. For each of the three cases $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}\left(G_{1}\right), \mathcal{X}\left(G_{2}\right)$, or $\mathcal{X}\left(G_{3}\right)$, the horizontal and vertial axes correspond to $\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ respectively, i.e., each $\omega=\omega_{1} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+\omega_{1} \cdot \phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{X}$ has coordinates $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ with $0 \leq \omega_{1,2}<1$.

For the graph $G_{1}$, by spectral antisymmetry (Theorem 3.1), there is a unique canonical character $\theta \in \mathcal{X}\left(G_{1}\right)$ which is a nontrivial 2-torsion since $G_{1}$ is nonbipartite. Actually the canonical $\theta$ has coordinates $(0.5,0)$ by Construction 4.2 , which can also be observed in Figure 2(a). In particular, $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ (of value approximately 1.42405$)$ if $\underline{\omega} \in\{0, \theta\}$, and $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ otherwise. Also, one can observe that the distribution of $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)$ is symmetric with respect to either the trivial character or the canonical character, as expected from Lemma 2.18(b) and Theorem 3.1(a).

Graphs $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are both bipartite, which implies by Theorem3.1(c) that their canonical characters are both trivial. On the other hand, since $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are not regular graphs (meaning that Theorem3.4(c) is not applicable), $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ is not guaranteed for all nontrivial characters $\underline{\omega}$ but only for characters which are not 2 -torsions by Theorem 3.1(h). For the 2 -torsions $\underline{\omega}$ of $\mathcal{X}$, either $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ or $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ can happen. In our example, as shown in Figure 2 (b) (c), for graph $G_{2}, \rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ (of value approximately 1.27065) is true for all nontrivial


Figure 2. Distributions of spectral radii $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)$ over the character groups of graphs (a) $G_{1}$ with $l_{0}=1, l_{1}=2, l_{2}=3$, (b) $G_{2}$ with $l_{0}=1, l_{1}=3, l_{2}=5$ and (c) $G_{3}$ with $l_{0}=2, l_{1}=2, l_{2}=4$. For each case, the horizontal and vertial axes correspond to $\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ respectively.
characters $\underline{\omega}$, while for graph $G_{3}, \rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ (of value approximately 1.30216) is satisfied for a nontrivial 2-torsion character $\underline{\omega}$ with coordinates $(0,0.5)$.

Distribution of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$. Figure 3 shows the distributions of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ (defined as the trace of $W_{1, \omega}^{n}$, see Subsection 3.2 over the character groups of graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$.

For $G_{1}$ (Figure 3 (a)), we show the cases of $n=3,4,20,21$. In particular, $\mathcal{K}(0,3)=6, \mathcal{K}(0,4)=8, \mathcal{K}(0,20)=1278$, and $\mathcal{K}(0,21)=1574$, which correspond to the number of closed paths of length $3,4,20$ and 21 respectively (Lemma 3.10 (a)). One may observe that the distribution of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ is symmetric with respect to the trivial character for all cases (Lemma 3.10 (b)). Note that as shown previously, the canonical character $\theta$ has coordinates $(0.5,0)$. One can also observe that the distribution of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ is symmetric with respect to $\theta / 2$ at $(0.25,0)$ when $n=4,20$, and antisymmetric with respect to $\theta / 2$ when $n=3,21$ (Lemma 3.10 (c)). It is noticeable that when $n$ is small (3 and 4 in this example), it is possible that $|\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)|=\mathcal{K}(0, n)$ for $\underline{\omega} \notin\{0, \theta\}$ : in the case $n=3, \mathcal{K}(\omega, 3)=6$ for all characters $\underline{\omega}$ with the first coordinate 0 , and $\mathcal{K}(\omega, 3)=-6$ for all characters $\underline{\omega}$ with the first coordinate 0.5 ; in the case $n=4, \mathcal{K}(\omega, 4)=8$ for all characters $\underline{\omega}$ with the second coordinate 0 , and $\mathcal{K}(\omega, 4)=-8$ for all characters $\underline{\omega}$ with the second coordinate 0.5 . Note that for $G_{1}$, there is exactly one dominant eigenvalue $\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ of $W_{1}$, which means by Theorem 3.1 that there is exactly one dominant eigenvalue $-\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ of $W_{1, \omega}$ for $\underline{\omega}=\theta$, and $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all other characters $\omega \notin\{0, \theta\}$. Then as $n$ goes to infinity, $\mathcal{K}(0, n) \sim \rho\left(W_{1}\right)^{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}(\theta, n)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(0, n) \sim(-1)^{n} \rho\left(W_{1}\right)^{n}$. As a result, for $n$ sufficiently large ( 20 and 21 in this example), only when $\underline{\omega} \in\{0, \theta\}$, we can have $|\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)|=\mathcal{K}(0, n)$.

Graphs $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ are bipartite. Then the corresponding canonical characters are both trivial, and by Lemma $3.10(\mathrm{~d})), \mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ vanishes for all characters $\underline{\omega}$ whenever $n$ is odd. Therefore, here we only show cases for even $n$ 's. For $G_{2}$ (Figure 3(b)) and $G_{3}$ (Figure 3(c)), we show the cases of $n=4,6,8,30$ and of $n=$


Figure 3. Distributions of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ over the character groups of graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$. For each case, the horizontal and vertial axes correspond to $\phi_{1}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)$ respectively.

4, 6, 20, 22 respectively. Recall that we've shown in our explanation of Figure 2 that for $G_{2}, \rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all nontrivial characters $\underline{\omega}$, and for $G_{3}$, there exist a nontrivial 2-torsion character $\underline{\omega}$ with coordinates $(0.5,0)$ such that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ (actually $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$ ). As a result, for $G_{2}$, when $n$ is a sufficiently large even number (30 in this example), $|\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)|<\mathcal{K}(0, n)$ for all nontrivial characters $\underline{\omega}$, while for $G_{3}$, we see a similar alternating behavior of the distribution of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ with respect to consecutive large enough even numbers (20 and 22 in this example) as for $G_{1}$ with respect to consecutive large enough natural numbers.
3.5. An example on trace formulas and cycle counting. For this example, we consider the complete graph $G=K_{4}$ with 4 vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ as shown in Figure 4.

Denote by $\mathbf{e}_{i j}$ the oriented edge from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$. Consider a 1-form $\omega=\frac{\varphi}{2 \pi}\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+\right.$ $\left.d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right)$ and the corresponding character $\chi_{\omega}$ with $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{12}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{23}\right)=$


Figure 4. An example for $K_{4}$.
$\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{34}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{41}\right)=e^{\sqrt{-1} \varphi}$ and $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{13}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{24}\right)=1$. Then the twisted adjacency matrix $A_{\omega}$ (indexed with respect to the vertex ordering $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ ) is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & e^{\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 1 & e^{-\sqrt{-1} \varphi} \\
e^{-\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 0 & e^{\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 1 \\
1 & e^{-\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 0 & e^{\sqrt{-1} \varphi} \\
e^{\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 1 & e^{-\sqrt{-1} \varphi} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 3.19 (Eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ ). Note that $A_{\omega}$ is a circulant matrix, whose eigenvalues can be easily computed as $\mu_{1}=1+2 \cos \varphi, \mu_{2}=-1-$ $2 \sin \varphi, \mu_{3}=1-2 \cos \varphi$, and $\mu_{4}=-1+2 \sin \varphi$. Based on the determinantal expressions of the L-functions in Theorem 2.24 , since $K_{4}$ is 3-regular which implies $Q=2 I$ where $I$ is the $4 \times 4$ identity matrix, we see that $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=\left\{4 /\left(\mu_{i} \pm\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\sqrt{\mu_{i}^{2}-8}\right) \mid i=1, \cdots, 4\right\} \bigcup\{1,1,-1,-1\}$ as multisets.

Some identities based on the trace formulas. First consider the case $\varphi=0$, which implies $\mu_{1}=3, \mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}=-1$, and $W_{1, \omega}=W_{1}$ has eigenvalues 2 of multiplicity $1,-1 / 2+\sqrt{-7} / 2$ of mutiplicity $3,-1 / 2-\sqrt{-7} / 2$ of mutiplicity 3 , 1 of multiplicity 3 and -1 of multiplicity 2 . Now the trace formula in Theorem 3.11 (a) degenerates to $\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))=\sum_{n \geq 1} N(n) \hat{h}(n)$ where $N(n)=\mathcal{K}(0, n)=\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}} \lambda^{n}$ (Lemma 3.8(b) and Lemma 3.10(a)). By the above computation of Spec $W_{1}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(n)=\mathcal{K}(0, n) \\
& =2^{n}+3\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n}+3\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n}+3+2(-1)^{n} \\
& =0,0,24,24,0,96,168,168,528,1200,1848,3960,8736,16128,31944, \cdots \\
& \quad \text { for } n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $h(z)$ to be the exponential function $h(z)=e^{z}$ where $\hat{h}(n)=1 /(n!)$. Then the trace formula affords the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{2}+3 e+2 e^{-1}+6 e^{-1 / 2} \cos (\sqrt{7} / 2)-12 \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N(n) /(n!)=\frac{24}{3!}+\frac{24}{4!}+\frac{96}{6!}+\frac{168}{7!}+\cdots \\
& =5.172675227 \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\omega_{0}=(1 / 2) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right), \omega_{1}=(1 / 6) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+\right.$ $\left.d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right)$ and $\omega_{2}=(1 / 3) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right)$ (corresponding to $\phi=\pi, \pi / 3,2 \pi / 3$ respectively). Then first we note that $\omega_{0} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ which means $\underline{\omega_{0}}=0$ and $\underline{\omega_{2}}=-\omega_{1}$. Actually using the expressions of the eigenvalues of $A_{\omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega}$ in Remark 3.19, we derive $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega_{1}}=\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega_{2}}=\{0,2,-1+\sqrt{3},-1-\sqrt{3}\}$ and
$\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{1}}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{2}}=\{ \pm \sqrt{-2}, 1 \pm \sqrt{-1}$,

$$
\left.-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \pm \sqrt{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1},-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \pm \sqrt{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1}, 1,1,-1,-1\right\}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}, n\right)=\mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{2}, n\right) \\
& =\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right)\left(2^{n / 2}+2\right)+(1+\sqrt{-1})^{n}+(1-\sqrt{-1})^{n}+ \\
& \quad\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\sqrt{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1}\right)^{n}+\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}-\sqrt{1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1}\right)^{n}+ \\
& \quad\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\sqrt{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1}\right)^{n}+\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}-\sqrt{1-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}} \sqrt{-1}\right)^{n} \\
& =0,0,-12,12,0,-12,0,36,96,-60,0,-252,0,-252,768, \cdots \\
& \quad \text { for } n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Lambda=\left\{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \chi_{\omega_{1}}(\alpha)=1\right\}, \alpha_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{12}+\mathbf{e}_{23}+\mathbf{e}_{31}$, and $\alpha_{2}=$ $\mathbf{e}_{14}+\mathbf{e}_{43}+\mathbf{e}_{31}$. Then one may verify that $\Lambda$ is a sublattice of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of index $3, Q_{\Lambda}=\left\{\underline{\alpha_{0}}, \underline{\alpha_{1}}, \underline{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$ where $\underline{\alpha_{0}}=\Lambda, \underline{\alpha_{1}}=\alpha_{1}+\Lambda$ and $\underline{\alpha_{2}}=\alpha_{2}+\Lambda$, and $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}=$ $\left\{0, \underline{\omega_{1}}, \underline{\omega_{2}}\right\}$. Moreover, $\chi_{\underline{\omega_{1}}}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}}\right)=\chi_{\underline{\omega_{2}}}\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}}\right)=e^{\sqrt{-1} \cdot 2 \pi / 3}$ and $\chi_{\underline{\omega_{1}}}\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}}\right)=\chi_{\underline{\omega_{2}}}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}}\right)=$ $e^{\sqrt{-1} \cdot 4 \pi / 3}$. Then evaluating the formula $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)$ (Theorem 3.12 (b)(ii)) in this setting, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right)=\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)+2 \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}, n\right)\right) / 3 \\
& =0,0,0,16,0,24,56,80,240,360,616,1152,2912,5208,11160, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}}, n\right)=N\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}}, n\right)=\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)-\mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}, n\right)\right) / 3 \\
& =0,0,12,4,0,36,56,44,144,420,616,1404,2912,5460,10392, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots$.

Again let $h(z)=e^{z}$. Applying the trace formula in Theorem 3.11(d) to $\underline{\alpha_{0}}$, we get

$$
\sum_{\omega \in\left\{0, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}} \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}}(h(\lambda)-h(0))=3 \sum_{n \geq 1} N\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right) \hat{h}(n) .
$$

Using the computation results of Spec $W_{1}$, $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{1}}, \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{2}}$ and $N\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right)$ derived above, we come to the following concrete identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e^{2}+3 e+2 e^{-1}+6 e^{-1 / 2} \cos (\sqrt{7} / 2)-12\right)+ \\
& 2\left(2 \cos (\sqrt{2})+2 e \cos (1)+2 e^{(-1+\sqrt{3}) / 2} \cos (\sqrt{1+\sqrt{3} / 2})+\right. \\
& \left.\quad 2 e^{(-1-\sqrt{3}) / 2} \cos (\sqrt{1-\sqrt{3} / 2})+2 e+2 e^{-1}-12\right) \\
& =3 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{N\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right)}{n!}=3\left(\frac{16}{4!}+\frac{24}{6!}+\frac{56}{7!}+\frac{80}{8!}+\cdots\right)=2.141622583 \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Cycle counting. To compute the prime-cycle counting function $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ and the closed-cycle counting function $\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ for $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}=\left\{\underline{\alpha_{0}}, \underline{\alpha_{1}}, \underline{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$, we will use the identities

$$
\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)]} N(\underline{\beta}, d)
$$

and

$$
\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)=\sum_{d \mid n}\left(\sum_{\underline{\beta} \in[\underline{\alpha} \mid(n / d)]} \pi(\underline{\beta}, d)\right)
$$

in Proposition 3.15 respectively.
Define $\delta_{m}(a, b)=1$ if $a \equiv b \bmod m$ and $\delta_{m}(a, b)=0$ otherwise. Then actually $\left[\underline{\alpha_{i}} \mid k\right]=\left\{\underline{\alpha_{j}} \mid \delta_{3}(i, j k)\right\}$ for $i, j=0,1,2$ in this example. Therefore, we get

$$
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}}, n\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{j=0,1,2} \delta_{3}(i, j n / d) N\left(\underline{\alpha_{j}}, d\right)
$$

and

$$
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}}, n\right)=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{j=0,1,2} \delta_{3}(i, j n / d) \pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}}, d\right)
$$

for $i=0,1,2$. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,4,0,4,8,8,24,36,56,92,224,368,744, \cdots, \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,4,0,4,8,12,32,36,56,102,224,376,744, \cdots, \\
\left.\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}}, n\right)=\pi_{\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}}\right.}, n\right) & =0,0,4,1,0,4,8,5,16,42,56,114,224,386,692, \cdots, \text { and } \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}}, n\right)=\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}}, n\right) & =0,0,4,1,0,8,8,6,16,42,56,122,224,394,696, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots$.
For the asymptotic behavior of $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ and $\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ for $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$, we note that Case (b)(i) of Theorem 3.17 applies, since $\nu_{G}=1$ and $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ does not contain the canonical character $\theta$ (elements of $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ are all 3 -torsions of the character group, while $\theta$ is a 2 -torison). More precisely, we conclude that as $n$ goes to infinity, $\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)$
(or $\left.\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)\right)$ approximates an equal distribution of value $\nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n} /\left(n\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|\right)=2^{n} /(3 n)$ over all $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$.

Now let us consider a case where the canonical character $\theta$ is taken into account. Let $\omega_{3}=(1 / 4) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right), \omega_{4}=(1 / 12) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right)$ and $\omega_{5}=(5 / 12) \cdot\left(d \mathbf{e}_{12}+d \mathbf{e}_{23}+d \mathbf{e}_{34}+d \mathbf{e}_{41}\right)$ (corresponding to $\phi=\pi / 2, \pi / 6,5 \pi / 6$ respectively). Then it is easily verifiable that
(i) $2 \cdot \underline{\omega}_{4}=\underline{\omega}_{1}, 3 \cdot \underline{\omega_{4}}=\underline{\omega}_{3}, 4 \cdot \underline{\omega_{4}}=\underline{\omega_{2}}, 5 \cdot \underline{\omega_{4}}=-\underline{\omega}_{4}=\omega_{5}$,
(ii) $\omega_{3}$ is a canonical 1-form, i.e., $\omega_{3}=\theta$ (guaranteed by the uniqueness of canonical character and the fact that $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{3}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$ which can be derived from the formulas in Remark 3.19,
(iii) $\omega_{1}$ is a dual 1-form of $\omega_{4}$, and $\omega_{2}$ is a dual 1-form of $\omega_{5}$, and
(iv) $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{4}}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{5}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{1}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{2}}$ (by Theorem 3.1(a) and our computation of $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{1}}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega_{2}}$ above).
Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{3}, n\right)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}(0, n) \\
& =0,0,-24,24,0,96,-168,168,-528,1200,-1848,3960,-8736,16128,-31944, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{4}, n\right)=\mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{5}, n\right)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}, n\right)=(-1)^{n} \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{2}, n\right) \\
& =0,0,12,12,0,-12,0,36,-96,-60,0,-252,0,-252,-768, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots$.
Let $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \chi_{\omega_{4}}(\alpha)=1\right\}$, and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=i \cdot \alpha_{1}+\Lambda^{\prime}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, 5$. Then $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a sublattice of $\Lambda$ of index $2, Q_{\Lambda^{\prime}}=\left\{\underline{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}}, \underline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}, \cdots, \underline{\alpha_{5}^{\prime}}\right\}$ is a cyclic group generated by $\underline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}$, and $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda^{\prime}}}=\left\{0, \underline{\omega_{1}}, \cdots, \underline{\omega_{5}}\right\}$ is a cyclic group generated by $\underline{\omega_{4}}$. Moreover, $\chi_{\underline{\omega_{4}}}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=e^{\sqrt{-1} \cdot \pi / 3}$, and $\underline{\alpha_{i}}=\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}} \sqcup \alpha_{i+3}^{\prime}$ for $i=0,1,2$.

Again, using the formula in Theorem 3.12 b)(ii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{6}\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)+2 \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=0,0,0,16,0,24,0,80,0,360,0,1152,0,5208,0, \cdots \\
& N\left(\underline{\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right.}, n\right)=N\left(\underline{\alpha_{5}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{6}\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)-\mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=0,0,12,0,0,0,56,0,144,0,616,0,2912,0,10392, \cdots \\
& N\left(\underline{\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right.}, n\right)=N\left(\underline{\alpha_{4}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{6}\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)-\mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=0,0,0,4,0,36,0,44,0,420,0,1404,0,5460,0, \cdots, \text { and } \\
& N\left(\underline{\left(\alpha_{3}^{\prime}\right.}, n\right)=\frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{6}\left(\mathcal{K}(0, n)+2 \mathcal{K}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=0,0,0,0,0,0,56,0,240,0,616,0,2912,0,11160, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots$.
Analogous to the computation in the previous case, we have

$$
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{j=0, \cdots, 5} \delta_{6}(i, j n / d) N\left(\underline{\alpha_{j}^{\prime}}, d\right)
$$

and

$$
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{j=0, \cdots, 5} \delta_{3}(i, j n / d) \pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, d\right)
$$

for $i=0, \cdots, 5$. In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,4,0,4,0,8,0,36,0,92,0,368,0, \cdots \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,4,0,4,0,12,0,36,0,102,0,376,0, \cdots \\
\pi\left(\underline{\left(\alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right.}, n\right)=\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{5}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,4,0,0,0,8,0,16,0,56,0,224,0,692, \cdots \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{5}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,4,0,0,0,8,0,16,0,56,0,224,0,696, \cdots \\
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{4}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,1,0,4,0,5,0,42,0,114,0,386,0, \cdots \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{4}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,1,0,8,0,6,0,42,0,122,0,394,0, \cdots \\
\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{3}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,0,0,0,8,0,24,0,56,0,224,0,744, \cdots, \text { and } \\
\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{3}^{\prime}}, n\right) & =0,0,0,0,0,0,8,0,32,0,56,0,224,0,744, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1, \cdots, 15, \cdots$.
Now since $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda^{\prime}}}$ contains the canonical character $\theta=\frac{\omega_{3}}{}$, we have $\chi_{\theta}\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\chi_{\theta}\left(\underline{\alpha_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=\chi_{\theta}\left(\underline{\alpha_{4}^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\chi_{\theta}\left(\underline{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=\chi_{\theta}\left(\underline{\alpha_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=\chi_{\theta}\left(\underline{\alpha_{5}^{\prime}}\right)=-1$. Using Theorem 3.17(a) and (c), we obtain the asymptotic behavior of $\left.\overline{\pi\left(\underline{\alpha}^{\prime}\right.}, n\right)$ and $\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha}^{\prime}, n\right)$ for $\underline{\alpha}^{\prime} \in Q_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ :
(i) $\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)=\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)=0$ if $i+n$ is odd;
(ii) as $\bar{n}$ goes to infinity, $\pi\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\pi_{c}\left(\underline{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}}, n\right)\right)$ approaches $2 \nu_{G} \rho_{G}^{n} /\left(n\left|Q_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right|\right)=$ $2^{n} /(3 n)$ if $i+n$ is even.
4. Proof of the spectral antisymmetry theorem (Theorem 3.1)

We will break down the proof of Theorem 3.1 into the construction of certain special canonical 1-forms in Construction 4.1 and Construction 4.2, and the proofs of a series of lemmas (Lemma 4.7 to 4.17).

Construction 4.1. (Find a canonical 1-form with respect to an orientation).
Input: A graph $G$, an orientation $O$ of $G$, and the set $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G)$ of edges positively oriented with respect to $O$.
Output: A canonical 1-form $\omega_{O}=(1 / 2) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} d \mathbf{e}$ of $G$. (Its canonicity will be shown in the proof of Theorem 3.1.)
For a spanning tree $T$ of $G$, denote by $E(G) \backslash T$ the set of edges of $G$ not contained in $T, \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$ the set of oriented edges of $G$ not contained in $T$, and $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$ the set of oriented edges of $G$ not contained in $T$ which are positively oriented with respect to $O$.

Construction 4.2. (Find a canonical 1-form with respect to a spanning tree and an orientation).

Input: A graph $G$ of genus $g$, a spanning tree $T$ of $G$, and an orientation $O$ of $G$.
Output: A canonical 1-form $\omega_{(T, O)}$ of $G$ derived as follows: (Its canonicity will be shown in the proof of Theorem 3.1.)
(1) Since $T$ is a tree, there exists a unique partition $V(G)=V_{1} \bigcup V_{2}$ of the vertices of $G$ with respect to which $T$ is bipartite. (Such a partition can be derived using the parity of the distance between each two vertices of $T$.)
(2) Construct a function $\kappa: \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T \rightarrow\{0,1 / 2\}$ in the following way: for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$, if the two end vertices of $\mathbf{e}$ lie in $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively, then $\kappa(\mathbf{e})=0$; otherwise, $\kappa(\mathbf{e})=1 / 2$.
(3) $\omega_{(T, O)}=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T} \kappa(\mathbf{e}) d \mathbf{e}$.

Remark 4.3. Let $\mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i} \mid 0 \leq \omega_{i}<1\right\}$ where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=$ $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$. Then $\phi_{1}$ restricted to $\mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ by Proposition 2.7. Since $\omega_{(T, O)} \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$, using $\omega_{(T, O)}$ instead of $\omega_{O}$ as a canonical 1form is more effective in many applications (e.g., in the proofs of Lemma 4.12 and Lemma 4.17).

Lemma 4.4. Let $\omega_{O}$ be the output of Construction 4.1 and $\omega_{(T, O)}$ be the output of Construction 4.2. Then $\underline{\omega_{O}}=\underline{\omega_{(T, O)}}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7. we construct a basis $\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{g}\right\}$ of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ as $u_{i}=\mathbf{e}_{i}+A_{i}^{\text {ab }} \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ for $i=1, \cdots, g$. Here $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=$ $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$ and $A_{i}$ is the unique non-backtracking path $A_{i}$ from $\mathbf{e}_{i}(1)$ to $\mathbf{e}_{i}(0)$ contained in the spanning tree $T$.

To show that $\underline{\omega_{O}}=\underline{\omega_{(T, O)}}$, it suffices to show that for $i=1, \cdots, g, \omega_{O}\left(u_{i}\right)-$ $\omega_{(T, O)}\left(u_{i}\right)$ is an integer. By Construction 4.1 and Construction 4.2, we have $\omega_{O}=(1 / 2) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} d \mathbf{e}$ and $\omega_{(T, O)}=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T} \kappa(\mathbf{e}) d \mathbf{e}$. Then $\omega_{O}\left(u_{i}\right)=$ $1 / 2+\omega_{O}\left(A_{i}^{\text {ab }}\right)$ and $\omega_{(T, O)}\left(u_{i}\right)=\kappa\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$. Note that $2 \cdot \omega_{O}\left(A_{i}^{\text {ab }}\right)$ is an integer with the same parity as $l\left(A_{i}\right)$.

If the two end vertices of $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ lie in $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ respectively, then $l\left(A_{i}\right)$ must be odd, which implies $\omega_{O}\left(u_{i}\right)$ is an integer. By Construction 4.2, $\omega_{(T, O)}\left(u_{i}\right)=\kappa\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=0$ in this case. Otherwise, both the two vertices of $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ lie in either $V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$. In this case, $l\left(A_{i}\right)$ must be even. Then $\omega_{O}\left(u_{i}\right)-1 / 2$ is an integer and $\omega_{(T, O)}\left(u_{i}\right)=\kappa\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=1 / 2$. Again, $\omega_{O}\left(u_{i}\right)-\omega_{(T, O)}\left(u_{i}\right)$ is an integer as desired.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\omega_{O}$ be the output of Construction 4.1. Then for all $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ such that $\omega^{\prime}=\omega+\omega_{O}$, we have $A_{\omega^{\prime}}=-A_{\omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=-W_{1, \omega}$.

Proof. Since $\omega_{O}=(1 / 2) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G)} d \mathbf{e}$, we have $\chi_{\omega_{O}}(\mathbf{e})=-1$ for all $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.
$\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j}=\chi_{\omega_{O}}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right) \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)=-\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)=-\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}$ if $\mathbf{e}_{i j} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ with $\mathbf{e}_{i j}(0)=v_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{i j}(1)=v_{j} ;\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j}=-\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}=0$ otherwise. Hence $A_{\omega^{\prime}}=-A_{\omega}$.
$\left(W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}(\mathbf{b})=\chi_{\omega_{O}}(\mathbf{b}) \chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{b})=-\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{b})=-\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}$ if $\mathbf{a}$ feeds into $\mathbf{b} ;$ $\left(W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=-\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)_{\mathbf{a b}}=0$ otherwise. Hence $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=-W_{1, \omega}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\omega_{(T, O)}$ be the output of Construction 4.2. Let $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$, and $\omega^{\prime}=\omega_{(T, O)}-\omega$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be as in Construction 4.2 where $V_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$; let $\mathbf{E}_{1}=\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \mid$ $\left.\mathbf{e}(1) \in V_{1}\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{h}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \mid \mathbf{e}(1) \in V_{2}\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{h+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{2 m}\right\}$.
(a) $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ is similar to $-A_{\omega}$. Specifically, $\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $A_{\omega}$ with $\lambda$ being the associated eigenvalue where each $x_{i}$ corresponds to the vertex $v_{i}$, if and only if

$$
\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k},-x_{k+1}, \cdots,-x_{n}\right]^{T}
$$

is an eigenvector of $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$.
(b) $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$. Specifically, $\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 m}\right]^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $W_{1,-\omega}$ with $\lambda$ being the associated eigenvalue, if and only if

$$
\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{h},-x_{h+1}, \cdots,-x_{2 m}\right]^{T}
$$

is an eigenvector of $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$.
Proof. Note that by construction, $\omega_{(T, O)}=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \kappa\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) d \mathbf{e}_{i}, \omega=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\omega^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left(\kappa\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)-\omega_{i}\right) d \mathbf{e}_{i}$.

For (a), consider an eigenvector $\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]^{T}$ of $A_{\omega}$ with $\lambda$ being the associated eigenvalue. Then $\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} x_{j}=\lambda x_{i}$ for each $i=1, \cdots, n$.

We claim that $\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right]^{T}=\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k},-x_{k+1}, \cdots,-x_{n}\right]^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$. Consider an oriented edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ such that $\mathbf{e}(0)=v_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}(1)=v_{j}$. If $\mathbf{e}$ is contained in $T$, then $\omega_{(T, O)}(\mathbf{e})=1$. In this case, we note that one of $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ lies in $V_{1}$ and the other in $V_{2}$. If $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$, then

$$
\omega_{(T, O)}(\mathbf{e})=e(\kappa(\mathbf{e}))= \begin{cases}1, & \text { one of } v_{i} \text { and } v_{j} \text { lies in } V_{1} \text { and the other in } V_{2} \\ -1, & \text { both } v_{i} \text { and } v_{j} \text { lie in either } V_{1} \text { or } V_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, since $\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j}=\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} \omega_{(T, O)}(\mathbf{e})$, we conclude that

$$
\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j}= \begin{cases}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}, & \text { one of } v_{i} \text { and } v_{j} \text { lies in } V_{1} \text { and the other in } V_{2} \\ -\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}, & \text { both } v_{i} \text { and } v_{j} \text { lie in either } V_{1} \text { or } V_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Now for each $i=1, \cdots, k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} & =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j \leq k}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime}+\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j>k}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j \leq k}\left(-\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}\right) x_{j}+\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j>k}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}\left(-x_{j}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} x_{j}=-\lambda x_{i}=-\lambda x_{i}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $i=k+1, \cdots, n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} & =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j \leq k}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime}+\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j>k}\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j \leq k}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} x_{j}+\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}, j>k}\left(-\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}\right)\left(-x_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{v_{j} \sim v_{i}}\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} x_{j}=\lambda x_{i}=-\lambda x_{i}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we conclude that $\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right]^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$ as claimed. Note that the procedure also works reversely. Therefore, $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega^{\prime}}=-\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$. Since $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ and $A_{\omega}$ are Hermitian matrices (Lemma 2.18), $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ is similar to $-A_{\omega}$.

An analogous argument can be applied to (b). Let $\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 m}\right]^{T}$ be an eigenvector of $W_{1,-\omega}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $\lambda$. Then $\sum_{j}\left(W_{1,-\omega}\right)_{i j} x_{j}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}} \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}=$ $\lambda x_{i}$. We verify that

$$
\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots,-x_{2 m}^{\prime}\right]^{T}=\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{h},-x_{h+1}, \cdots,-x_{2 m}\right]^{T}
$$

is an eigenvector of $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$ as follows.
By construction, $\chi_{\omega_{(T, O)}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)=-1$ if $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{j}(0), \mathbf{a}_{j}(1)\right\} \subset V_{1}$ or $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{j}(0), \mathbf{a}_{j}(1)\right\} \subset V_{2}$; otherwise, $\chi_{\omega_{(T, O)}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)=1$. Note that if $\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}$, then $\mathbf{a}_{i}(1)=\mathbf{a}_{j}(0)$. Therefore, we conclude that for $\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}$,

$$
\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)=\chi_{\omega_{(T, O)}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}\chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right), & \text { if } i \leq h, j>h \text { or } i>h, j \leq h ; \\ -\chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right), & \text { if } i \leq h, j \leq h \text { or } i>h, j>h\end{cases}
$$

Now for each $i=1, \cdots, h$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j}\left(W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}} \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}, j \leq h}\left(-\chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)\right) x_{j}+\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}, j>h} \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)\left(-x_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}} \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}=\lambda x_{i}=-\lambda x_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $i=h+1, \cdots, 2 m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j}\left(W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} x_{j}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}} \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}, j \leq h} \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}+\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}, j>h}\left(-\chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right)\right)\left(-x_{j}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{\mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{j}} \chi_{-\omega}\left(\mathbf{a}_{j}\right) x_{j}=-\lambda x_{i}=-\lambda x_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we conclude that $\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{2 m}^{\prime}\right]^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ whose associated eigenvalue is $-\lambda$. As in the proof of (a), this procedure also works reversely. Since $W_{1,-\omega}$ is similar to $W_{1, \omega}$ by Lemma 2.18, this means $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=$ $-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$.

Lemma 4.7. For $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$, if $\underline{\omega}=\underline{\omega}^{\prime}$, then
(a) $A_{\omega}$ is similar to $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$;
(b) $W_{1, \omega}$ is isospectral to $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$.

Proof. For (a), we first consider the case $\phi_{1}(\omega)=\phi_{1}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., $\omega^{\prime}=\omega+d f$ where $d f$ is an exact 1-form. Then note that $\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}=e\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j} & =e\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)+d f\left(\mathbf{e}_{i j}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j} e\left(f\left(v_{j}\right)\right) e\left(f\left(v_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\mathbf{e}_{i j} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ with $\mathbf{e}_{i j}(0)=v_{i}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{i j}(1)=v_{j}$. Otherwise, $\left(A_{\omega}\right)_{i j}=\left(A_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)_{i j}=0$.
Let $B_{f}$ be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with the $i$-th diagonal entry being $e\left(f\left(v_{i}\right)\right)$. Then it follows that $A_{\omega}$ is similar to $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ by $A_{\omega^{\prime}}=B_{f}^{-1} A_{\omega} B_{f}$.

Now we assume in general that $\underline{\omega}=\underline{\omega^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 2.7, this means that there exist an exact form $d f$ such that $(\omega+d f)-\omega^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{g} c_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i}$ with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $A_{\omega+d f}=A_{\omega^{\prime}}$, and consequently $A_{\omega}$ is similar to $A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ since $A_{\omega}$ is similar to $A_{\omega+d f}$ as shown above.

For (b), we note that by Proposition 2.22 (b), $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L\left(u, \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $W_{1, \omega}$ and $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}$ are isospectral since they must have the same characteristic polynomial by Theorem 2.24(a).

Lemma 4.8. Consider a graph $G$ and its space of characters $\mathcal{X}$. For each character $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}$, if $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$, then we must have $\underline{\omega}=0$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$, then $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=z(u)$ by Theorem 2.24(a). Hence by Proposition 2.22 (a), we conclude that $\underline{\omega}=0$.

Remark 4.9. Lemma 4.8 actually also follows from the twisted Perron-Frobenius theorem by Adachi and Sunada AS87b. An alternative proof of the above lemma comes from Theorem $\mathrm{A}(3)(4)$ and of AS87b which can be restated in our setting as follows: Let $R$ be the spectral radius of $W_{1}$. Then
(i) $R$ is an eigenvalue of $W_{1}$ of multiplicity 1 ; and
(ii) for $\omega \in \Omega(G), W_{1, \omega}$ has an eigenvalue at $R \cdot e(t)$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(P)=e(t \cdot l(P))$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. In this case, $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=e(t) \cdot \operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$ and every eigenvalue of $W_{1, \omega}$ of absolute value $R$ has multiplicity 1 .
By this theorem, if $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$, then by (i), $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$ has an eigenvalue of multiplicity 1 at $R$, and by (ii), $\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=1$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Since we can always choose $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{g} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\left\{P_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}, \cdots, P_{g}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we conclude that $\chi_{\omega}(\alpha)=1$ for all $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$. (For example, we may let $P_{i}=\mathbf{e}_{i} A_{i}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.7.) As a result, $\underline{\omega}$ must be the trivial character of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ as claimed.

Lemma 4.10. Consider a graph $G$ and an arbitrary path $\Delta$ on $G$. There exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each pair of vertices $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ (not necessarily distinct), and all non-negative integers $b$, we have either
(i) there exists no path from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$; or
(ii) there exists some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x>x_{0}$, there exists a path from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ which contains $\Delta$ as a sub-path.

Proof. First, we claim that there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each vertex $v$, there exists a path $\Upsilon_{v}$ from $v$ to $v$ of length $a$ which contains $\Delta$ as a sub-path (we may call such a path a general closed path at $v$ to distinguish it from a closed path which is non-backtracking and tailless).

For each vertex $v$, let $\Upsilon_{v}^{\prime}$ be a general closed path at $v$ which is constituted of a path from $v$ to $\Delta(0)$, the path $\Delta$, and a path from $\Delta(1)$ to $v$. Then we may let $a$ be a common multiple of the lengths of all $\Upsilon_{v}^{\prime}$, and $a_{v}=a / l\left(\Upsilon_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. As a result, $\Upsilon_{v}=\left(\Upsilon^{\prime} v\right)^{a_{v}}$ is a general closed path at $v$ of length $a$ which contains $\Delta$ as a sub-path as desired.

Now for each $v, v^{\prime} \in V(G)$, suppose (i) is not satisfied, i.e., for some $x_{0}$, there exists a path $\Upsilon_{v, v^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ of length $a x_{0}+b$. Then for all $x>x_{0}$, the path $\Upsilon_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right), a x+b}$ constituted of $\left(\Upsilon_{v}\right)^{x-x_{0}}$ followed by $\Upsilon_{v, v^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a path from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ which contains $\Delta$ as a sub-path.

We say two paths $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ of the same length with the same initial vertex and the same terminal vertex are coherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$ if $\chi_{\omega}(\Delta)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$, and incoherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$ if $\chi_{\omega}(\Delta) \neq \chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$.
Lemma 4.11. $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right) \leq \rho(A)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In addition, $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$ if and only if there exist a pair of incoherent paths with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$.
Proof. We will employ Gelfand's formula which is an identity relating the spectral radius of a matrix to a limit of matrix norms, namely,
(Gelfand's formula.) for any square matrix $M$ and any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, we have $\rho(M)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|M^{k}\right\|^{1 / k}$.
For convenience, we will use the $\infty$-norm of $A_{\omega}^{k}$,

$$
\left\|A_{\omega}^{k}\right\|:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k}\right)_{i j}\right|
$$

This affords

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{\omega}^{k}\right\|^{1 / k} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k}\right)_{i j}\right|\right)^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the set of all paths from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ by $\Gamma(i, j)$ and the set of all paths from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ of length $k$ by $\Gamma_{k}(i, j)$. Then we observe that

$$
\left(A_{\omega}^{k}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{\Delta \in \Gamma_{k}(i, j)} \chi_{\omega}(\Delta) .
$$

Immediately, we can get that $\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq \# \Gamma_{k}(i, j)=A_{i j}^{k}$, which implies that $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right) \leq \rho(A)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In addition, note that if all paths in $\Gamma_{k}(i, j)$ are coherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$, then $\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k}\right)_{i j}\right|=\# \Gamma_{k}(i, j)=A_{i j}^{k}$. Therefore, by Gelfand's formula, we conclude that $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)=\rho(A)$ if there are no pairs of incoherent paths with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$.

It remains to show that conversely we only need to find one pair of incoherent paths to make $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$.

Suppose now that paths $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{k}(s, t)$ are incoherent for some $v_{s}, v_{t} \in V(G)$. Then by Lemma 4.10, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $v_{i}, v_{j} \in V(G)$, we have either
(i) $\Gamma_{a x}(i, j)=\emptyset$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, or
(ii) there exists a path $\Upsilon \in \Gamma_{a x}(i, j)$ containing $\Delta$ as a sub-path for all $x$ large enough.

For Case (i), we have $\left(A_{\omega}^{a x}\right)_{i j}=A_{i j}^{a x}=0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. For Case (ii), we note that we can always get another path $\Upsilon^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{a x}(i, j)$ by replacing a sub-path $\Delta$ in $\Upsilon$ (if there are several copies of $\Delta$ contained in $\Upsilon$, just choose one) by $\Delta^{\prime}$ and leaving the remaining part unchanged. By this construction, $\Upsilon$ and $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ must be incoherent, since $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ are incoherent. As a result, we see that $\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{a x}\right)_{i j}\right|<A_{i j}^{a x}$ for all $x$ large enough. Furthermore, combining Case (i) and Case (ii), we conclude that, there exists $0<c<1$ and a large enough $y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{y}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq c A_{i j}^{y}$ for all $i, j=1, \cdots, n$.

Therefore, $\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k y}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq c^{k} A_{i j}^{k y}$ and $\left\|A_{\omega}^{k y}\right\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\left(A_{\omega}^{k y}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq c^{k}\left\|A^{k y}\right\|$.
Finally, we have

$$
\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{\omega}^{k y}\right\|^{1 /(k y)} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(c^{k}\left\|A^{k y}\right\|\right)^{1 /(k y)}=c^{1 / y} \rho(A)<\rho(A)
$$

as stated.

Lemma 4.12. $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)} \backslash\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$ (see Remark 4.3). ${ }^{1}$
Proof. We only consider $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$ here, i.e., $\omega=\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T} \omega(\mathbf{e})$ de with $0 \leq$ $\omega(\mathbf{e})<1$ for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$. First note that it follows from Lemma 4.6 that $\rho\left(A_{\omega_{(T, O)}}\right)=\rho(A)$. We will show that for all other $\omega$, we have a strict inequality $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$.

By Lemma 4.11, to make $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$, it will be enough to find a pair of incoherent paths with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$, or equivalently, find a general closed path $C$ of even length such that $\chi_{\omega}(C) \neq 1$. Let us inspect the closed paths containing edges in $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$. For vertices $v$ and $v^{\prime}$, denote by $T\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ the unique path from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ on $T$. For $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$, let $C_{\mathbf{e}}$ be the closed path constituted of $\mathbf{e}$ and $T(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}(0))$. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be as in Construction 4.2.
(i) Let $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T \mid\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \bigcap V_{1} \neq \emptyset\right.$ or $\left.\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \bigcap V_{2} \neq \emptyset\right\}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T \mid\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \subseteq V_{1}\right.$ or $\left.\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \subseteq V_{2}\right\}$. Then for $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$, we have $\omega \in\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$ if and only if $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}\right\}=\{1\}$ and $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a singleton $\{1\}$ or $\{-1\}$.
(ii) For $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$, note that $2 l\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}\right)$ is even and we have $\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}^{2}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}\right)^{2}=$ $\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e})^{2}=1$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e})= \pm 1$.
(iii) For $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$, then the length of $T(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}(0))$ is odd. Then $l\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}\right)=l(T(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}(0)))+$ 1 is even. Note that $\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}\right)=\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e})$. Thus $\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}}\right)=1$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e})=1$.
(iv) For $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$, consider a closed path $C_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}$ constituted of $\mathbf{e}, T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$, $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ and $T\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1), \mathbf{e}(0)\right)$. Since $l\left(T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)\right)$ and $T\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1), \mathbf{e}(0)\right)$ are both even or both odd, the length of $C_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}$ must be even. Note that $\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}\right)=\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\chi_{\omega}\left(C_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}\right)=1$ if and only if $\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

It follows from (i)-(iv) that if $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)} \backslash\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$, we may also find a closed path $C$ of even length such that $\chi_{\omega}(C) \neq 1$, which means that $\rho\left(A_{\omega}\right)<\rho(A)$.

We say a graph $G$ is edge-path-connected $\square^{2}$ if for each pair of oriented edges $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ (not necessarily distinct), there exists a non-backtracking edge-path from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.13. Consider an edge-path-connected graph $G$ and an arbitrary nonbacktracking edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ on $G$. There exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ (not necessarily distinct) and all non-negative integers $b$, we have either
(i) there exists no non-backtracking edge-path from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$; or
(ii) there exists some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x>x_{0}$, there exists a nonbacktracking edge-path from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ which contains $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ as a sub-edge-path.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.10, we first claim that there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, there exists a non-backtracking edge-path $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}$ from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}$ (equivalently this means that $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$ is a closed path/edge-path at $\mathbf{e}$ ) of length $a$ which contains $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ as a sub-edge-path.

[^1]For each $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, let $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime}$ be a closed edge-path at $\mathbf{e}$ which is constituted of a non-backtracking edge-path from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(0)$, the non-backtracking edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$, and a non-backtracking edge-path from $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(1)$ to $\mathbf{e}$. Then we may let $a$ be a common multiple of the lengths of all $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime}$, and $a_{\mathbf{e}}=a / l\left(\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime}\right)$. As a result, $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}=\left(\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\prime}\right)^{a_{\mathbf{e}}}$ is a closed edge-path at $\mathbf{e}$ of length $a$ which contains $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ as a sub-edge-path as desired.

Now for each $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, suppose (i) is not satisfied, i.e., for some $x_{0}$, there exists a non-backtracking edge-path $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ of length $a x_{0}+b$. Then for all $x>x_{0}$, the edge-path $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right), a x+b}$ constituted of $\left(\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}}\right)^{x-x_{0}}$ followed by $\mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a non-backtracking edge-path from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ of length $a x+b$ which contains $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ as a sub-edge-path.

Clearly graphs of genus at most 1 cannot be edge-path-connected. The following lemma says that a graph of genus at least 2 is "almost" edge-path-connected.

Lemma 4.14. A graph $G$ of genus at least 2 contains uniquely a subgraph of the same genus which is edge-path-connected.

Proof. We perform repeatedly the following trimming process on $G$ : whenever there is a degree 1 vertex, remove this vertex and the unique edge adjacent to the vertex. So when the process terminates, we derive a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ which has no degree 1 vertex and has the same genus as $G$. Note that each component of $G \backslash G^{\prime}$ is a tree with an open end corresponding to the attaching vertex at $G^{\prime}$. This construction guarantees the uniqueness of $G^{\prime}$, since if there is another $G^{\prime \prime}$ derived in this way, then $G \backslash G^{\prime \prime}$ must be disjoint from $G^{\prime}$ and $G \backslash G^{\prime}$ must be disjoint from $G^{\prime \prime}$.

We claim that $G^{\prime}$ is edge-path-connected. For a tree $T$ which is a subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ and vertices $v, v^{\prime} \in V(T)$, let $T\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ denote the unique path on $T$ from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$. For a graph $G^{\prime \prime}$ of genus at least 1 which is a subgraph of $G^{\prime}$, it is also clear that for any $v \in V\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$, there is a non-backtracking (not necessarily tailless) path from $v$ to $v$ on $G^{\prime \prime}$. We use $G_{v}^{\prime \prime}$ to denote the set of such paths.

Consider two oriented edges $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ being the underlying (non-oriented) edges respectively. First note that by our construction of $G^{\prime}$,
(1) if $e$ is a cut-edge of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash e$ must have exactly two connected components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, each of genus at least 1 ;
(2) if both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ are cut-edges of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ must have exactly three connected components $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ such that $G_{1}$ and $G_{3}$ have genus at least 1 , and both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ are in contact with $G_{2}$.
(3) if $\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ is a cut of $G^{\prime}$ while neither $e$ nor $e^{\prime}$ is a cut-edge of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ must have exactly two connected components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, while at least one of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ has genus at least 1 .

For each of the following cases, we will construct explicitly a non-backtracking edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}$ from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$.

First, consider the case $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=\mathbf{e}$ or $\mathbf{e}^{-1}$.
(a) If $G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime} \backslash e$ is connected, then $G^{\prime \prime}$ must have genus at least 1 . We can let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}}^{\times}\left(\right.$the interior of $\left.\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}}\right)$ be $T(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}(0))$ where $T$ is a spanning tree of $G^{\prime \prime}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{-1}}^{\times}$be any path in $G_{\mathbf{e}(1)}^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) If $e$ is a cut-edge of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash e=G_{1} \sqcup G_{2}$ where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are both connected with genus at least 1 . Suppose $\mathbf{e}(0) \in G_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}(1) \in G_{2}$, and let
$\Delta \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(0)}$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in\left(G_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(1)}$. Then we can let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}}^{\times}=\Delta^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1} \cdot \Delta$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{-1}}^{\times}=\Delta^{\prime}$.

Now suppose $\left\{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{-1}\right\} \neq\left\{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime-1}\right\}$.
(a) If $G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ is connected, let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G^{\prime \prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=$ $T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.
(b) If $e$ is a cut-edge of $G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime} \backslash e=G_{1} \sqcup G_{2}$ and $e^{\prime}$ is an edge of $G_{1}$, suppose $e^{\prime}$ is not a cut-edge of $G_{1}$ and let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G_{1} \backslash e^{\prime}$.
(i) If $\mathbf{e}(1)$ is a vertex of $G_{1}$, then let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.
(ii) If $\mathbf{e}(1)$ is a vertex of $G_{2}$, then $\mathbf{e}(0)$ is a vertex of $G_{1}$ and let $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=\Delta \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}$. $T\left(\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ for some $\Delta \in\left(G_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(1)}$.
(c) If both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ are cut-edges of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}=G_{1} \sqcup G_{2} \sqcup G_{3}$ with $G_{1}$, $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ as in Case (2) stated above. Without loss of generality, suppose $e$ is in contact with $G_{1}$ and $e^{\prime}$ is in contact with $G_{3}$. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G_{2}$.
(i) If $\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0) \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$, let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.
(ii) If $\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1) \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$, then $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)$ is a vertex of $G_{3}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1)\right)$. $\mathbf{e}^{\prime-1} \cdot \Delta$ for some $\Delta \in\left(G_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)}$.
(iii) If $\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0) \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$, then $\mathbf{e}(1)$ is a vertex of $G_{1}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=\Delta \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}$. $T\left(\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ for some $\Delta \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(1)}$.
(iv) If $\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1) \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$, then $\mathbf{e}(1)$ is a vertex of $G_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)$ is a vertex of $G_{3}$. Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=\Delta \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{\prime-1} \cdot \Delta^{\prime}$ for some $\Delta \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(1)}$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \in\left(G_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)}$.
(d) If $\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ is a cut of $G^{\prime}$ while neither $e$ nor $e^{\prime}$ is a cut-edge of $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} \backslash\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ has exactly two connected components $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. By Case (3) stated above, we may assume $G_{1}$ has genus at least 1 . Let $T_{1}$ be a spanning tree of $G_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be a spanning tree of $G_{2}$.
(i) If $\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0) \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.
(ii) If $\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1) \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=\Delta \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1} \cdot T_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ for some $\Delta \in$ $\left(G_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{e}(1) \text {. }}$
(iii) If $\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0) \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, let $\Delta_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{\prime-1} \cdot \Delta$ for some $\Delta \in$ $\left(G_{1}\right)_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)}$.
(iv) If $\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(1) \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}}^{\times}=T_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}^{\prime}(0)\right)$.

We say two edge-paths $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}$ of the same length with the same initial oriented edge and the same terminal oriented edge are coherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$ if $\chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})=\chi_{\omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}\right)$, and incoherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$ if $\chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}) \neq \chi_{\omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 4.15. $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right) \leq \rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. In addition, $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ if and only if there exist a pair of incoherent non-backtracking edge-paths with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.11. we will apply Gelfand's formula to $W_{1, \omega}$. Again, using the $\infty$-norm of $W_{1, \omega}^{k}$,

$$
\left\|W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right\|:=\max _{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)}\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right|,
$$

the spectral radius of $W_{1, \omega}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right\|^{1 / k} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\max _{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)}\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right|\right)^{1 / k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{k}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of all non-backtracking edge-paths and the set of all length- $k$ non-backtracking edge-paths from $\mathbf{e}$ to $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ respectively. Then

$$
\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\Delta} \in \Gamma_{k}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)} \chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})
$$

Clearly $\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right| \leq \# \Gamma_{k}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)=\left(W_{1}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e ^ { \prime }}}$. Consequently $\rho\left(W_{1 \omega}\right) \leq \rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Also, if all non-backtracking edge-paths in $\Gamma_{k}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$ are coherent with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$, then $\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right|=\# \Gamma_{k}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)=\left(W_{1}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}$. Again, by Gelfand's formula, this means that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ if there are no pairs of incoherent nonbacktracking edge-paths with respect to $\chi_{\omega}$.

Conversely, suppose there are a pair of incoherent non-backtracking paths $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime} \in$ $\Gamma_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$. Then by Lemma 4.13, there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$, we have either
(i) $\Gamma_{a x}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, or
(ii) there exists a non-backtracking edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \in \Gamma_{a x}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ as a sub-edge-path for all $x$ large enough.

Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.11. Case (i) means that $\left(W_{1, \omega}^{a x}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}=\left(W_{1}^{a x}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}}{ }^{\prime}=$ 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$, and Case (ii) means that for all $x$ large enough, we can always get another non-backtracking edge-path $\mathbf{\Upsilon}^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{a x}\left(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}\right)$ incoherent to $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ by replacing a sub-edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ in $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ by $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}$ and leaving the remaining part unchanged, which further implies that $\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{a x}\right)_{\mathbf{e e ^ { \prime }}}\right|<\left(W_{1}^{a x}\right)_{\mathbf{e e ^ { \prime }}}$.

Conclusively, there exists $0<c<1$ and a large enough $y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{y}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right| \leq c\left(W_{1}^{y}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}$ for all $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)$.

Therefore, $\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k y}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right| \leq c^{k}\left(W_{1}^{k y}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}$ and $\left\|W_{1, \omega}^{k y}\right\|=\max _{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G)} \sum_{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}(G)}\left|\left(W_{1, \omega}^{k}\right)_{\mathbf{e e}^{\prime}}\right| \leq$ $c^{k}\left\|W_{1}^{k y}\right\|$.

Finally, we have

$$
\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|W_{1, \omega}^{k y}\right\|^{1 /(k y)} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(c^{k}\left\|W_{1}^{k y}\right\|\right)^{1 /(k y)}=c^{1 / y} \rho\left(W_{1}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 4.16. If $g=1$, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.
Proof. If $g=1$, then $G$ must contain uniquely a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ which is a cycle graph with exactly two primes $P$ and $P^{-1}$. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ has $n^{\prime}$ vertices. Then
$l(P)=l\left(P^{-1}\right)=n^{\prime}$, and for each $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{G}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)^{-1} & =L_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(1-\chi_{\omega}(P) u^{n^{\prime}}\right)\left(1-\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{-1}\right) u^{n^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.24 (a), the characteristic polynomial of $W_{1, \omega}$ is

$$
p_{\omega}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2 m-2 n^{\prime}}\left(\lambda^{n^{\prime}}-\chi_{\omega}(P)\right)\left(\lambda^{n^{\prime}}-\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{-1}\right)\right) .
$$

Then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, since $\left|\chi_{\omega}(P)\right|=\left|\chi_{\omega}\left(P^{-1}\right)\right|=1$.

Lemma 4.17. If $g \geq 2$, then
(i) if $G$ is non-bipartite, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)} \backslash\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$; and
(ii) if $G$ is bipartite, then $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$ such that $\omega \notin$ $\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i} \mid \omega_{i} \in\{0,1 / 2\}\right\}$ where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.14, when $g \geq 2, G$ must contain uniquely a subgraph $G^{\prime}$, also of genus $g$, which is edge-path-connected. By definition of dynamical L functions, it is clear that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have the same L-function for the same character $\chi_{\omega}$, i.e., $L_{G}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=L_{G^{\prime}}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$. Then by Theorem 2.24 (a), the edge adjacency matrices twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$ of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ must have the same spectral radius. Thus for further discussions here, we may assume $G$ itself is edge-path-connected.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.12, let $T\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ denote the unique path from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ contained in $T$ for $v, v^{\prime} \in V(G)$, and we will inspect the closed paths $C_{\mathbf{e}}$ constituted of $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$ and $T(\mathbf{e}(1), \mathbf{e}(0))$. Actually here we will consider pairs of $\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{e}_{b} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$ such that $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{e}_{a}^{-1}\right\} \neq\left\{\mathbf{e}_{b}, \mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1}\right\}$ (existence guaranteed by the assumption of $g \geq 2)$. For simplicity of notation, we write $T_{a}=T\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}(1), \mathbf{e}_{a}(0)\right)$, $T_{b}=T\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}(1), \mathbf{e}_{b}(0)\right), C_{a}=C_{\mathbf{e}_{a}}$ and $C_{b}=C_{\mathbf{e}_{b}}$. As shown in Figure 5 there are three possible cases based on the topological relations among $C_{a}, C_{b}$ and $T$.

Case (a): There is a maximal overlapping path $T(v, w)$ between $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ with vertices $v$ and $w$ in both $T_{a}$ and $T_{b}$.
Case (b): $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ are in contact at exactly one vertex $v$.
Case (c): $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ are disjoint, and in this case there exists a minimal path $T(v, w)$ from a vertex $v$ in $T_{a}$ to vertex $w$ in $T_{b}$.

Note that here for convenience of discussion, switching $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{-1}$ if necessary for $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{e}_{a}$ or $\mathbf{e}_{b}$, the orientations of $\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{e}_{b}, C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ are chosen as in Figure 5 .

Again, for $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ defined in Construction 4.2, we let $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}=\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash$ $T \mid\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \bigcap V_{1} \neq \emptyset$ or $\left.\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \bigcap V_{2} \neq \emptyset\right\}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}=\{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T \mid$ $\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \subseteq V_{1}$ or $\left.\{\mathbf{e}(0), \mathbf{e}(1)\} \subseteq V_{2}\right\}$. Then for $\omega \in \mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$, we have $\omega \in$ $\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$ if and only if $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}\right\}=\{1\}$ and $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a singleton $\{1\}$ or $\{-1\}$. Now based on the parity of the length of $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$, we have four cases:

Case (1): $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is odd and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is odd. Equivalently, $\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{e}_{b} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$.
Case (2): $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is even and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is odd. Equivalently, $\mathbf{e}_{a} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{b} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$.
Case (3): $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is odd and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is even. Equivalently, $\mathbf{e}_{a} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{b} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$.
Case (4): $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is even and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is even. Equivalently, $\mathbf{e}_{a}, \mathbf{e}_{b} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}$.


Figure 5. Cases of two closed paths $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$, containing respectively the orientated edges $\mathbf{e}_{a}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{b}$ in $\mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$ : (a) $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ have an overlapping subpath; (b) $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ are in contact at a single vertex; (c) $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$ are disjoint.

By Lemma 4.6, we see that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega_{(T, O)}}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$. Now consider $W_{1, \omega}$ for $\omega \in$ $\mathcal{X}_{(T, O)}$ and assume that $\rho\left(W_{1, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(W_{1}\right)$, which means that any pair of nonbacktracking edge-paths of the same length with the same initial edge and the same terminal edge are coherent by Lemma 4.15 .

First, we will show that $\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e})= \pm 1$ for all $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$. Applied to all the three cases in Figure 5, consider two non-backtracking edge-paths $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}$, both from $\mathbf{e}_{a}$ to $\mathbf{e}_{a}^{-1}$, whose interior paths are the path $T\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}(1), \mathbf{e}_{b}(0)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{b} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}(1), \mathbf{e}_{a}(0)\right)$ and the path $T\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}(1), \mathbf{e}_{b}(1)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}(0), \mathbf{e}_{a}(0)\right)$ respectively. Then it is clear that $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}$ are of the same length. In addition, $\chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)$ and $\chi_{\omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1}\right)=\overline{\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)}$. Since $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}$ are coherent by assumption, we must have $\chi_{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\Delta})=\chi_{\omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\prime}\right)$, which implies that $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)=\overline{\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)}= \pm 1$. This argument applies to all $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}(G) \backslash T$. Therefore, we can conclude that $\omega \in\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i} \mid\right.$ $\left.\omega_{i} \in\{0,1 / 2\}\right\}$ where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$, and we've proved (ii).

Now we will let $G$ be a non-bipartite graph and further refine the conclusion as stated in (i). In particular, we will construct closed edge-paths from $\mathbf{e}_{a}$ to $\mathbf{e}_{a}$, or equivalently closed paths going through $\mathbf{e}_{a}$, using two strategies:
Strategy (I): Let $\Delta_{k}:=\left(\mathbf{e}_{a} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}(1), \mathbf{e}_{a}(0)\right)\right)^{k}$, i.e., a closed path constructed by winding $k$ times around $C_{a}$. Then $l\left(\Delta_{k}\right)=k \cdot l\left(C_{a}\right)$.
Strategy (II): Let $\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}:=\left(\mathbf{e}_{a} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}(1), \mathbf{e}_{b}(1)\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1} \cdot T\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}(0), \mathbf{e}_{a}(0)\right)\right)^{k^{\prime}}$. Depending on the cases in Figure 5 we have $l\left(\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=k^{\prime} l_{a b}$ where

$$
l_{a b}= \begin{cases}l\left(C_{a}\right)+l\left(C_{b}\right)-2 l(T(v, w)), & \text { for Case (a) } \\ l\left(C_{a}\right)+l\left(C_{b}\right), & \text { for Case (b), } \\ l\left(C_{a}\right)+l\left(C_{b}\right)+2 l(T(v, w)), & \text { for Case (c) }\end{cases}
$$

Now we want to make $\Delta_{k}$ and $\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ a coherent pair. Then we need to choose proper winding numbers $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ such that $l\left(\Delta_{k}\right)=k l\left(C_{a}\right)=l\left(\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)=k^{\prime} l_{a b}$. Thus
we may let $k=l_{a b} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(l\left(C_{a}\right), l_{a b}\right)$ and $k^{\prime}=l\left(C_{a}\right) / \operatorname{gcd}\left(l\left(C_{a}\right), l_{a b}\right)$. Moreover, since $\Delta_{k}$ and $\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ are coherent, we must have $\chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta_{k}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\Delta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. This actually means that $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)^{k}=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1}\right)^{k^{\prime}}=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)^{k^{\prime}}$. Note that the second equality follows from $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}^{-1}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}^{-1}\right)= \pm 1$. Thus, based on the cases of parity of the length of $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$, we have further conclusions as follows.

Case (1): In this case, $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is odd and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is odd. Then $l_{a b}$ is even (for all cases of (a), (b) and (c)), $k$ is even and $k^{\prime}$ is odd, which means that $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)$ (by the equality $\left.\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)^{k}=\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)^{k^{\prime}} \chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)^{k^{\prime}}\right)$.
Case (2): In this case, $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is even and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is odd. Then $l_{a b}$ is odd, $k$ is odd and $k^{\prime}$ is even, which means $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}\right)=1$.
Case (3): In this case, $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is odd and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is even. Then $l_{a b}$ is odd, $k$ is odd and $k^{\prime}$ is odd, which means $\chi_{\omega}\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}\right)=1$.
Case (4): In this case, $l\left(C_{a}\right)$ is even and $l\left(C_{b}\right)$ is even. Then $l_{a b}$ is even, $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ can be either even or odd.

Note that here by assumption, $G$ is non-bipartite, which means $\mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}$ is nonempty. Therefore, the above conclusion for Case (1) guarantees that $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a singleton $\{1\}$ or $\{-1\}$, the conclusions for Case (2) and (3) guarantee that $\left\{\chi_{\omega}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}^{\prime}\right\}=\{1\}$. As a result, we must have $\omega \in\left\{0, \omega_{(T, O)}\right\}$ as claimed.

Now we can get a complete proof of Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. For (a), as in Lemma 4.4, we let the canonical character be $\theta=\underline{\omega_{O}}=\omega_{(T, O)}$. Then by Lemma 2.18, Lemma 4.5. Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, a generalization can be made as for all $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ such that $\underline{\omega}+\underline{\omega^{\prime}}=\theta, A_{\omega^{\prime}}$ is similar to $-A_{\omega}$, and Spec $W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$.

To show such a $\theta \in \mathcal{X}$ is unique, suppose that there exists $\theta^{\prime}=\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{X}$ also satisfying the above property. Then $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$. Let $\omega=\omega_{(T, O)}-\omega^{\prime}$ which means $\underline{\omega}=\theta-\theta^{\prime}$. We must have $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Spec} W_{1}$. Then we must have $\theta-\theta^{\prime}=0$ by Lemma 4.8 .

For (b), by our construction, it is clear that $\theta$ is a 2 -torsion in $\mathcal{X}$.
For (c), by the construction in Construction 4.2 , we conclude that $\omega_{(T, O)}=0$ if and only if $G$ is bipartite. Therefore, $\theta=\omega_{(T, O)}=0$ and by Lemma 2.18. Spec $A_{\omega}=$ $-\operatorname{Spec} A_{-\omega}=-\operatorname{Spec} A_{\omega}$ and $\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega} \overline{=-\operatorname{Spec}} W_{1,-\omega}=-\operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}$.

Finally, (d)-(h) follow from (a), (b), Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.12 Lemma 4.15 Lemma 4.16 and Lemma 4.17 respectively.
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Appendix A. Notation guide

| Section 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| G | a connected finite graph without multiple edges or loops the genus (first Bettie number) of $G$ |
| $V(G)$ | the vertex set of $G$ |
| $E(G)$ | the edge set of $G$ |
| $\mathbf{E}(G)$ | the set of all oriented edges of $G$ |
| $\mathbf{E}_{O}(G)$ | the set of all positively oriented edges with respect to an orientation $O$ of $G$ |
| $\mathbf{a}(0)$ and $\mathbf{a}(1)$ | the initial and terminal vertices of an oriented edge a |
| $\Delta(0)$ and $\Delta(1)$ | the initial and terminal vertices of a path $\Delta$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(0)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(1)$ | the initial and terminal oriented edges of an edge-path $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ |
| $z(u)$ or $z_{G}(u)$ | the Ihara zeta function of $G$ |
| $\mathcal{T}(G)$ or $\mathcal{T}$ | the tangent space of $G$ (elements being vector fields) |
| $\Omega(G)$ or $\Omega$ | the cotangent space of $G$ (elements being 1-forms) |
| $\Delta^{\text {ab }}$ | the abelianization of a path $\Delta$ |
|  | the unit character associated to a 1-form $\omega$ |
| $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$ or $L_{G}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right.$ | ) the L-function with respect to a character $\chi_{\omega}$ |
| Section ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ | the space of harmonic 1-forms on $G$ |
| $\operatorname{Im}($ d $)$ | the space of exact 1-forms on $G$ |
| $\omega=\phi_{1}(\omega)+\phi_{2}(\omega)$ | orthogonal decomposition of a 1 -form $\omega$ with $\phi_{1}(\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ and $\omega_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}(d)$ |
| $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$ | the 1st real homomogy group of $G$ |
| $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ | the 1st integral homomogy group of $G$, which is a full-rank lattice in $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ | the dual of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ which is a full rank lattice in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$ |
| $e(\cdot)$ | $e(x):=\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} x)$ |
| $\mathcal{X}(G)$ or $\mathcal{X}$ | $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$, the character group of $G$ |
| $\underline{\omega}$ | $\phi_{1}(\omega)+H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$, the projection of a 1 -form $\omega$ to $\mathcal{X}$ |
| $\Lambda$ | a full-rank sublattice of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ |
| $\Lambda^{\vee}$ | the dual lattice of $\Lambda$ |
| $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ | $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G) / \Lambda^{\vee}$ |
|  | $\phi_{1}(\omega)+\Lambda^{\vee}$, the projection of a 1-form $\omega$ to $\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ |
| $\bar{Q}_{\Lambda}$ | $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) / \Lambda$ |
| $\underline{\underline{\alpha}}$ | $\alpha+\Lambda \in Q_{\Lambda}$ for $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ |
| $\widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ | $\Lambda^{\vee} / H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ |
| A | the adjacency matrix of $G$ |
| $W_{1}$ | the edge adjacency matrix of $G$ |
| $A_{\omega}$ | the adjacency matrix of $G$ twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$ |
| $W_{1, \omega}$ | the edge adjacency matrix of $G$ twisted by $\chi_{\omega}$ |
| $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1, \omega}^{\prime \prime}$ | variants of $W_{1, \omega}$ |
| Spec $M$ | the spectrum of a square matrix $M$ |

Section 3
$\rho(M) \quad$ the spectral radius of a square matrix $M$
$\theta \quad$ the canonical character of $G$
$N(n) \quad$ the number of closed paths of length $n$
$N(\alpha, n)$ the number of closed paths $C$ of length $n$ such that $C^{\text {ab }}=\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$
$N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ the number of closed paths $C$ of length $n$ such that $\underline{C}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$
$\mathcal{K}(\omega, n) \quad$ the trace distribution function of order $n$
$\pi(n) \quad$ the number of prime cycles of length $n$
$\pi_{c}(n) \quad$ the number of closed cycles of length $n$
$\pi(\alpha, n) \quad$ the number of prime cycles $P$ of length $n$ such that $P^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$
$\pi_{c}(\alpha, n)$ the number of cycles $C$ of length $n$ such that $C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$
$\pi(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ the number of prime cycles $P$ of length $n$ such that $\underline{P^{\text {ab }}}=\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$
$\pi_{c}(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ the number of cycles $C$ of length $n$ such that $\underline{C^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$
Section 4.
$\omega_{O}$ a canonical 1-form with respect to an orientation $O$, which is the output of Construction 4.1
$\omega_{(T, O)}$ a canonical 1-form with respect to an orientation $O$ and a spanning tree $T$, which is the output of Construction 4.2
$\mathcal{X}_{(T, O)} \quad\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_{i} d \mathbf{e}_{i} \mid 0 \leq \omega_{i}<1\right\}$ where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{g}\right\}=\mathbf{E}_{O}(G) \backslash T$

## Appendix B. Some transform formulas

Based on technical considerations with respect to sublattices of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, we introduce new notions of zeta functions and L-functions, and show a theorem about some transform formulas on these functions (Theorem B.2), and provide an alternative proof of Theorem 3.12 .

Again, let $\Lambda$ be a full rank sublattice of $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and use the following notations: for $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, let $\underline{\omega}=\phi_{1}(\omega)+H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \in \mathcal{X}, \underline{\underline{\omega}}=\phi(\omega)+\Lambda^{\vee} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$, and $\underline{\alpha}=\alpha+\Lambda \in Q_{\Lambda}$. We introduce the following notions of zeta functions and L-functions:
(i) $z_{\alpha}(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha} \frac{1}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)$.
(ii) $z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{C^{\mathrm{ab}}}=\underline{\alpha}} \frac{1}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)$.
(iii) For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$, let

$$
L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha \in \Lambda} \frac{\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha\right)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right)
$$

Note that if $\alpha-\alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda$, then $\log L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}(u, \chi)=\chi\left(\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right) \cdot \log L_{\left(\Lambda, \alpha^{\prime}\right)}(u, \chi)$. (iv) $z_{\Lambda}(u):=z_{\underline{0}}(u)$ and $L_{\Lambda}(u, \chi):=L_{(\Lambda, 0)}(u, \chi)$.

Remark B.1. Using the counting functions $N(n), N(\alpha, n)$ and $N(\underline{\alpha}, n)$ defined in 3.6, a classical result is that the Ihara zeta function has an alternative expression as $z(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(n)}{n} u^{n}\right)$, and it is can also be easily verified by definition that similar expressions hold for $z_{\alpha}(u)$ and $z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)$ as $z_{\alpha}(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(\alpha, n)}{n} u^{n}\right)$ and $z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(\underline{\alpha}, n)}{n} u^{n}\right)$ respectively.

Proposition B.2. We have the following identities:
(a) Transforms between $L_{\Lambda}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$ and $z_{\alpha}(u)$ for $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$ :
(i) For $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}, \log L_{\Lambda}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \log \left(z_{\alpha}(u)\right)$. In particular, $\log z_{\Lambda}(u)=$ $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \log \left(z_{\alpha}(u)\right)$.
(ii) For $\alpha \in \Lambda, \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \log L_{\Lambda}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) d V_{\omega}=\log z_{\alpha}(u)$.
(b) Transforms between $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$ and $z_{\alpha}(u)$ :
(i) For $\underline{\omega} \in \mathcal{X}, \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \log \left(z_{\alpha}(u)\right)$. In particular, $\log z(u)=\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \log \left(z_{\alpha}(u)\right)$.
(ii) For $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, $\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) d V_{\omega}=\log z_{\alpha}(u)$.
(c) Transforms between $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\right)$ and $L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$ for $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}, \underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ and $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}):$
(i) For $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ and $\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$,

$$
\log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}(\alpha) \log L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) .
$$

(ii) For $\underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$ and $\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z}), \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right)}(\alpha) \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\right)=$ $\log L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$.
(d) Transforms between $L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$ and $z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)$ for $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$ and $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}$ :
(i) For $\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}, \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \log z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)$.
(ii) For $\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}, \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\log z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)$.
(e) $\operatorname{For} \underline{\underline{\omega}} \in \mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}$, we have
(i) $\log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) \log L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$, and
(ii) $\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega}^{\prime} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \log \bar{L}\left(u, \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\right)=\log L_{\Lambda}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)$.
(f) We have
(i) $\log z(u)=\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \log z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u)$, and
(ii) $\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)=\log z_{\Lambda}(u)$.

Proof. (a)(i) follows from the definitions directly. (a)(ii) follows from the orthogonality relation in Proposition 2.14(a) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \log L_{\Lambda}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) d V_{\omega} \\
= & \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \Lambda} \frac{\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}\right) d V_{\omega} \\
= & \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \Lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r(C) \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) d V_{\omega}\right) u^{l(C)} \\
= & \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \Lambda}\left(\frac{1}{r(C) \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\Lambda}} \overline{\chi_{\omega}(\alpha)} \chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) d V_{\omega}\right) u^{l(C)} \\
= & \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \Lambda} \frac{\delta_{C^{\mathrm{ab}} \alpha}^{r(C)} u^{l(C)}=\sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}, C^{\mathrm{ab}}=\alpha} \frac{1}{r(C)} u^{l(C)}=\log z_{\alpha}(u) .}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) is a special case of (a) when $\Lambda=H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})$.
(c)(i) follows from the definitions. For (c)(ii), we will use the orthogonality relation in Proposition 2.14 (b).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right)}(\alpha) \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right)}(\alpha) \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{\chi_{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)} \\
&= \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}}\left(\frac{\chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)}{r(C)\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\omega^{\prime}}(\alpha) \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right) u^{l(C)} \\
&= \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}}\left(\frac{\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha\right)}{r(C)\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \overline{\chi_{\omega^{\prime}}(\alpha)} \chi_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)\right) u^{l(C)} \\
&= \sum_{[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} \delta_{C^{\mathrm{ab}}} \underline{\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha\right)} \\
& r(C)
\end{aligned} u^{l(C)} \quad \begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{[C] \in \bar{c}, C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha \in \Lambda} \frac{\chi_{\omega}\left(C^{\mathrm{ab}}-\alpha\right)}{r(C)} u^{l(C)} \\
& = \\
& =\log L_{(\Lambda, \alpha)}\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) is a special case of (c) by restricting $\underline{\omega+\omega^{\prime}}=\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}$.
(e)(i) is a special case of (c)(i) by setting $\omega^{\prime}=0$.
(e)(ii) is a special case of (c)(ii) by setting $\alpha=0$.
(f)(i) is a special case of (c)(i) by setting $\omega=\omega^{\prime}=0$.
(f)(ii) is a special case of (c)(ii) by setting $\omega=0$ and $\alpha=0$.

As an application of the transform formulas in Proposition B.2, here we provide another proof of Theorem 3.12 without referring to the interpretation of $\mathcal{K}(\omega, n)$ as $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n}} \chi_{\omega}(C)$ (Lemma 3.8(a)).

An alternative proof of Theorem 3.12. For (a)(i), note that $z_{\alpha}(u)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(\alpha, n)}{n} u^{n}\right)$. Therefore, $u \frac{d}{d u} \log z_{\alpha}(u)=\sum_{n \geq 1} N(\alpha, n) u^{n}$. Using the relation $\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) d V_{\omega}=$ $\log z_{\alpha}(u)$ (Proposition B.2(b)(ii)), we have

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} N(\alpha, n) u^{n}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(u \frac{d}{d u} \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)\right) d V_{\omega}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u \frac{d}{d u} \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right) & =u \frac{d}{d u} \sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \log (1-\lambda u) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \sum_{n \geq 1}(\lambda u)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} W_{1, \omega}} \lambda^{n}\right) u^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) u^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} N(\alpha, n) u^{n} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) u^{n}\right) d V_{\omega} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) d V_{\omega}\right) u^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
N(\alpha, n)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}(\omega, n) d V_{\omega}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
For (a)(ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha) N(\alpha, n) & =\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega}(\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \chi_{-\omega^{\prime}}(\alpha) \mathcal{K}\left(\omega^{\prime}, n\right) d V_{\omega^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})} \chi_{\omega-\omega^{\prime}}(\alpha)\right) \mathcal{K}\left(\omega^{\prime}, n\right) d V_{\omega^{\prime}} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}} \delta\left(\omega-\omega^{\prime}-\gamma\right)\right) \mathcal{K}\left(\omega^{\prime}, n\right) d V_{\omega^{\prime}} \\
& =\mathcal{K}(\omega, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we note that the last identity follows from the fact that $\mathcal{X}$ is the fundamental domain of the lattice $H_{1}(G, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}(G)$.

For (b), we may use an analogous argument as in the proof of (a). More specifically, (b)(i) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} N(\underline{\alpha}, n) u^{n} & =u \frac{d}{d u} \log z_{\underline{\alpha}}(u) \\
(\text { Proposition } \overline{\mathrm{B} .2}(\mathrm{~d})(\mathrm{ii})) & =\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha})\left(u \frac{d}{d u} \log L\left(u, \chi_{\omega}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha})\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n) u^{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)\right) u^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

and (b)(ii) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) N(\underline{\alpha}, n) & =\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha})\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \chi_{-\underline{\omega^{\prime}}}(\underline{\alpha}) \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{\omega}^{\prime}, n\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}}\left(\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\Lambda}\right|} \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in Q_{\Lambda}} \chi_{\underline{\omega}}(\underline{\alpha}) \chi_{-\underline{\omega^{\prime}}}(\underline{\alpha})\right) \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{\omega^{\prime}}, n\right) \\
& =\sum_{\underline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \widehat{Q_{\Lambda}}} \delta_{\underline{\omega}} \underline{\omega^{\prime}} \mathcal{K}\left(\underline{\omega}^{\prime}, n\right)=\mathcal{K}(\underline{\omega}, n)
\end{aligned}
$$
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