

Constructions of Control Sequence Set for Hierarchical Access in Data Link Network

Xianhua Niu, Jiabei Ma, Enzhi Zhou, Yaoxuan Wang, Bosen Zeng, and Zhiping Li

Abstract

Time slots are a valuable channel resource in the data link network with time division multiple access architecture. The need for finding a secure and efficient way to meet the requirements of large access capacity, differentiated access, maximum utilization of time slot resource and strong anti-eavesdropping ability in data link networks is well motivated. In this paper, a control sequence-based hierarchical access control scheme is proposed, which not only achieves differentiated time slots allocation for the different needs and levels of nodes, but also enhances randomness and anti-interception performance in data link networks. Based on the scheme, a new theoretical bound is derived to characterize parameter relationships for designing optimal hierarchical control sequence(HCS) set. Moreover, two flexible classes of optimal hierarchical control sequence sets are constructed. By our construction, the terminal user in the data link can access hierarchically and randomly and transmit data packets during its own hopping time slots of the successive frames to prevent eavesdropping while maintaining high throughput.

Keywords: Data link; Access control; Control sequence; Hierarchical access

1 Introduction

High capacity, high data transmission rate, and strong anti-eavesdropping capability play a crucial role in ensuring communication quality [1]. Specifically, as a wireless communication system, the well-known data links like Link4, Link11, Link16 [2], Link22 [3], and the data link DTS-03 developed by China, all of which possess the aforementioned characteristics. Moreover, future research will continue to focus on achieving differentiated access communication. The issue of differentiated access communication refers to the varying communication effects that occur in practical application scenarios due to factors such as different nodes, different communication channels, and different channel conditions, even under a unified communication or access rule. For example, the bandwidth levels and transmission rates of different communication channels to some extent influence the duration of transmitting messages of varying lengths. Furthermore, there are varying interception risks associated with the transmission of messages

in channels of different security levels. In the literature, several methods have been proposed to improve communication quality for differentiated access communication. For instance, in [4], Zhang, *et al.* proposed an Opportunistic User Selection (OUS) scheme to address the problem of different user transmission rates in a bidirectional relay channel. In this scheme, users with better instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio in the end-to-end channel are given priority for access and transmission. Block, *et al.* [5] proposed an adaptive transmission protocol that provides corresponding channel state information before each data transmission. The protocol utilizes the received channel information to adapt the transmission parameters in direct sequence spread spectrum wireless networks, thereby improving system performance during data transmission, such as throughput efficiency. And in [6], Zhang, *et al.* proposed using a Layered Division Multiplexing (LDM) based hierarchical multicast scheme to improve network performance, such as throughput. The approach involves assigning each user corresponding to the broadcast content to the appropriate LDM layer and finding the optimal transmit power and data rate for each layer. We can see from those papers that it is also extremely important to achieve differentiated access communication in the data link system.

1.1 Access in Data Link

In wireless communication, achieving secure and interference-free access and data transmission between nodes has always been an important issue. Previous studies have also proposed various solutions to address the challenges of node access and transmission process encountering interferences. For example, complementary sequences have been proposed in the code division multiple access (CDMA) architecture to separate and reduce signal interference between communication nodes [7–9]. Furthermore, a channel hopping sequence is proposed to ensure that communication nodes converge at least once on a common channel within a specific period of time [10]. There are two main types of data link access strategies based on time division multiple access (TDMA) architecture as follows: "contention-based" channel access schemes and "collision avoidance" channel access schemes. The contention-based channel access scheme primarily use the techniques of carrier sensing, backoff waiting, and error frames retransmission, nodes that need to access the channel compete for access to the channel through a backoff algorithm. In recent years, the main studies on contention-based channel access schemes include ALOHA, Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA), Floor Acquisition Multiple Access (FAMA), Dual Busy Tone Multiple Access (DBTMA) [11–15], etc. The "collision avoidance" channel access schemes include static, dynamic, and sequence-based channel access techniques. The static access scheme uses a centralized time slot allocation method, which assigns fixed time slot resources to each network node [16]. The dynamic channel access scheme dynamically calculates the number of time slots required by each node, using a distributed time slot allocation method to enable each node to obtain the necessary time slot resources, reducing end-to-end message delay, and improving channel utilization. The research findings of various types of

dynamic channel access schemes have been publicly published for the past few years [17–20]. The sequence-based channel access techniques include protocol sequences, conflict-avoiding codes, and hopping sequences. Protocol sequence is a periodic binary sequence designed to control node access to the channel for a multi-node access model on a collision channel without feedback [21, 22]. Each node sends data according to the assigned protocol sequence, ensuring that the data is successfully transmitted within a fixed delay and providing good stability for short-term communication performance. Up to now, there have been a lot of constructions of protocol sequence, such as Chinese Remainder Theorem(CRT) sequence, prime sequence, extended prime sequence [23–26], etc. Conflict-avoiding code is used to ensure that each node can successfully send data at least once within a sequence cycle under time slot synchronization [?, 27, 28]. Hopping sequence is q -ary pseudo-random sequence with good anti-interference performance. It is designed to ensure that within one period, any pair of transmitting and receiving devices can converge on a common channel for all delays [29–31]. However, the aforementioned techniques have not effectively addressed the issue of collision-free node access due to their limitations, such as allowing for time slots conflicts, unstable communication links, and low slot resource utilization efficiency. Consequently, a new type of sequence, known as Control Sequence(CS), was proposed. CS is a kind of q -ray pseudo-random sequence with zero correlation, zero time delay and non-periodic properties oriented to the data link. In 2018, Liu, *et al.* [32] first proposed the control sequence to solve the access control problems in data link network. In 2020, Niu, *et al.* [33] proposed an access application control model access control scheme for the situation where all terminal users' frames are synchronised on the data link, and constructed a new control sequence set based on the model. In 2022, Tan, *et al.* [34] proposed a self-organizing model access control scheme and constructed a new control sequence set based on the scheme. These CS-based schemes allow each communication node to access in data link once in a time frame without conflict. However, in actual applications, due to the differences in task content between nodes, the priority of each node's data packets will vary, which means that nodes with higher packet priority need to occupy more time slots in a frame to meet the demand for rapid and efficient real-time data transmission(i.e., the node has a higher access level). As shown in Fig.1, assuming a Unmanned Aerial Vehicle(UAV) cluster exercise is taking place, the information exchange between the UAV and the control center becomes more frequent. In other words, the UAV access the data link more frequently within one frame, indicating a higher access level. Therefore, finding a solution to the problem of collision-free access between nodes under different conditions and states (i.e., the differentiated access communication problem) is what we need to focus. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The main notations and definitions are introduced in Section 2. Section 3 introduces the new hierarchical access model based on hierarchical control sequence and enumerates the conditions that hierarchical control sequences must satisfy. Section 4 presents the main theorem of our proposed new bound on the control sequence for hierarchical access. Two classes of HCS sets are constructed in Section 5. Performance




Fig. 1: Data Link Networks

evaluation is given in Section 6. The last section concludes the paper.

2 Notations and Definitions

For convenience, the main notations used in this paper are shown as follows:

- t : The number of time slots in a time frame;
- λ : The number of access levels;
- r_i : The number of time that a user with level i accesses the channel in a time frame, i.e., the level value, $0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1$;
- u_i : The number of users with level i ;
- $\gcd(x, y)$: the greatest common divisor of x and y ;
- $\langle x \rangle_y$: x modulo y ;

Let \mathcal{T} be a time slot set with size $|\mathcal{T}| = t$, \mathbf{S} be a set of n sequences of length l over \mathcal{T} . For any two sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{l-1})$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{l-1}) \in \mathbf{S}$,

the Hamming correlation function $H_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$ of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is defined as follows:

$$H_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} h(x_i, y_{i+\tau}), 0 \leq \tau < l \quad (1)$$

where $h(a, b) = 1$ if $a=b$, and $h(a, b) = 0$ otherwise. As the new model is based on HCS, to distinguish between HCS and CS, we provide the definitions of HCS and CS.

Definition 1: A sequence set \mathcal{S} is called a (l, M, t) CS set over time slot set with size t which includes M sequences of length l if the Hamming correlation $H_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(0) = 0$ for any two control sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$.

Note that a CS set is applied for a group of users with the same access level.

Definition 2: A sequence set \mathcal{S} is called a $(l, M, t; \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, \lambda)$ HCS set with M sequences of length l over time slot set of size t , which includes $\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$ users with λ access levels if the Hamming correlation $H_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}(0) = 0$ for any two sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$.

3 New Hierarchical Access Control Model

Based on the considerations of the aforementioned problem in Section 1, a Hierarchical Control Sequence(HCS)-based new hierarchical access control mode under TDMA architecture is proposed for nodes with different access levels. As shown in Fig.2, four users with three different access levels are given. User \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have the same access level. User \mathbf{B} is assigned to \mathcal{S}_Y and transmits data from time slot $\mathcal{S}_Y(1)$ to $\mathcal{S}_Y(n-1)$, when the transmission completed, user \mathbf{B} would release the \mathcal{S}_Y . User \mathbf{A} is assigned to \mathcal{S}_Y after completing system synchronization, and starts data transmission from time slot $\mathcal{S}_Y(n)$. The level value of user \mathbf{C} is 2. After being assigned to \mathcal{S}_X , the user starts data transmission from time slot $\mathcal{S}_{X1}(2), \mathcal{S}_{X2}(2)$. The access process of user \mathbf{D} is the same as above. We can also see from Fig.2 that for the given number of time slots in a frame and access times of users, the number of users with each access level needs to be determined to ensure the maximum utilization of time slots. Therefore, a new theoretical bound on the access times of users, the number of users with different levels and the number of time slots is proposed. In addition, two classes of new HCS sets are constructed. To meet the demand of hierarchical access to the data link network, the HCSs should meet the following conditions:

- (1) Every time slot in a frame can be used and the utilization of each time slot occurrences in a cycle would be equal;
- (2) The total number of time slots occupied by all users with different access levels should not be greater than the number of time slots in a time frame;
- (3) The length of sequence l should be large enough to ensure the randomness of the sequence;




Fig. 2: Hierarchical Access Control Model.

- (4) The sequences should have enough randomness and complexity to improve the anti-interference ability and guarantee strictly conflict-free communication between nodes.

4 Theoretical Bound

It is known in the research of frequency hopping sequence that the theoretical bound plays an important role in evaluating the performance of sequence sets. The sequence set is considered optimal only when its parameters meet the relevant theoretical bound. Therefore, we derived a new theoretical bound to better measure the excellence of HCS set in this section. From the definition of HCS set in section 2, it can be seen that for a given number of time slots, user access level, and number of access levels, it is necessary to know the number of users with different access levels. So, we state the main theorem on the new bound on HCS.

Theorem 1: If there are t time slots in a time frame and λ levels, for any level i ($0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1$) and the number of users with level i , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} r_i \cdot u_i \leq t \quad (2)$$

Proof 1: For any two levels $i, j (0 \leq i \neq j \leq \lambda - 1)$, the corresponding level values in a frame are r_i, r_j , and the number of users are u_i, u_j . Let $d = \gcd(\lfloor \frac{t}{r_i} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{t}{r_j} \rfloor)$. So the number of users with level i that can be accommodated is

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \lfloor \frac{t}{r_i d} \rfloor \cdot (d - \lceil \frac{u_i r_i d}{t} \rceil) \\ &\leq \frac{t}{r_j d} \cdot (d - \frac{u_i r_i d}{t}) \\ &= \frac{t}{r_j} - \frac{u_i r_i}{r_j} \end{aligned}$$

After the transfer of both sides of the equal sign, we have

$$u_i r_i + u_j r_j \leq t \quad (3)$$

Let $0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1$, we obtain a series of inequalities in form of (3). Since index of each access levels occurs $(\lambda - 1)$ times, we have

$$(\lambda - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} r_i \cdot u_i \leq t(\lambda - 1) \quad (4)$$

Then (2) is proved from dividing both sides of (4) by $(\lambda - 1)$.

There are two examples of this bound shown in Fig.3. It shows the feasible number and optimal number of users of three different access levels with respect to the new bound. All optimal numbers are on the plane of the upper bound. In Fig.3a, the values of the x,y, and z coordinates indicate the feasible and maximum number of users with three different levels. The points that fall on the plane denote that the value obtained by multiplying each of the three coordinate values of the point by the corresponding level values is equal to t . These points are the optimal number of users with three different access levels.

5 Constructions of HCS Set

In this section, we present two constructions of hierarchical control sequence sets. These two constructions of HCS sets, while ensuring conflict-free user access to data links as implemented by existing control sequences, address the user differentiated access communication issue raised in Section 1. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{t-1}\}$ be a time slot set with size $|\mathcal{T}| = t$.

5.1 The First Construction

This construction is proposed based on a condition that multiplicative relationship between each access level and the number of time slots. Also, each level is multiplied by the maximum level. *Step 1:* Let the level values are

Tab. 1: The total permutations of elemets over \mathbf{A}

$\mathbf{a}^0 = (0, 1, 2, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^6 = (1, 0, 2, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^{12} = (2, 0, 1, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^{18} = (3, 0, 1, 2)$
$\mathbf{a}^1 = (1, 0, 2, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^7 = (1, 0, 3, 2)$	$\mathbf{a}^{13} = (2, 0, 3, 1)$	$\mathbf{a}^{19} = (3, 0, 2, 1)$
$\mathbf{a}^2 = (0, 2, 1, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^8 = (1, 2, 0, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^{14} = (2, 1, 0, 3)$	$\mathbf{a}^{20} = (3, 1, 0, 2)$
$\mathbf{a}^3 = (0, 2, 3, 1)$	$\mathbf{a}^9 = (1, 2, 3, 0)$	$\mathbf{a}^{15} = (2, 1, 3, 0)$	$\mathbf{a}^{21} = (3, 1, 2, 0)$
$\mathbf{a}^4 = (0, 3, 1, 2)$	$\mathbf{a}^{10} = (1, 3, 0, 2)$	$\mathbf{a}^{16} = (2, 3, 0, 1)$	$\mathbf{a}^{22} = (3, 2, 0, 1)$
$\mathbf{a}^5 = (0, 3, 2, 1)$	$\mathbf{a}^{11} = (1, 3, 2, 0)$	$\mathbf{a}^{17} = (2, 3, 1, 0)$	$\mathbf{a}^{23} = (3, 2, 1, 0)$

listed in ascending order(i.e., $r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_{\lambda-1}$). Let $R = r_{\lambda-1}$, \mathcal{G}_m be an abelian group with size $\frac{t}{R}$, and the set $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{a}^0, \mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{\frac{t}{R}-1} | \mathbf{a}^\gamma = (a^\gamma(0), a^\gamma(1), \dots, a^\gamma(\frac{t}{R}-1)), 0 \leq \gamma \leq (\frac{t}{R}-1)\}$ consists of a total permutation of elemets of the group \mathcal{G}_m . Choose a pseudo-random sequence $\mathbf{b}^m = (b^m(0), b^m(1), \dots, b^m(tR-1))$ over the set $\{0, 1, \dots, (\frac{t}{R}-1)\}$. For example of $t = 24$, $\lambda = 3$, $r_0 = 2$, $u_0 = 3$, $r_1 = 3$, $u_1 = 4$, $r_2 = R = 6$, $u_2 = 1$. For $\mathcal{G}_m = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{a}^0, \mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{23}\}$, and the total number of permutations are shown in Table 1. Choose a pseudo-random sequence $\mathbf{b}^m = (19, 16, 9, 18, 7, \dots, 22, 5)$. For the users with r_0 and r_1 , choose the pseudo-random sequences over the abelian group \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G}_1 respectively. The pseudo-random sequences over \mathcal{G}_0 :

$$b^{0,0} = (1, 2, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 2, 0)$$

$$b^{0,1} = (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$$

$$b^{0,2} = (2, 1, 0, 2, 2, \dots, 0, 2)$$

The pseudo-random sequences over \mathcal{G}_1 :

$$b^{1,0} = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$$

$$b^{1,1} = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$$

Step 2: For any user with level i ($0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1$), we can generate a HCS, and then construct the HCS set with $\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$ sequences of length tR as $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_j^i = \mathbf{s}_j^i(\alpha) | 0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1, 0 \leq j \leq u_i - 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq tR - 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_j^i(\alpha) &= (z_{j,0}^i(\alpha), z_{j,1}^i(\alpha), \dots, z_{j,r_i-1}^i(\alpha)) \\ &= (z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) | 0 \leq \theta \leq r_i - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) = a^{b^m(\alpha)}(\langle \beta + \omega + \lfloor \frac{j}{\eta_i} \rfloor \frac{t}{R} \rangle) + \beta \cdot \frac{t}{R} \quad (5)$$

Tab. 2: The hierarchical control sequence set1

$\mathbf{s}_0^0 = ((4, 19), (8, 23), (6, 17), \dots, (8, 22), (0, 13))$
$\mathbf{s}_1^0 = ((3, 13), (2, 13), (11, 22), \dots, (6, 19), (7, 16))$
$\mathbf{s}_2^0 = ((10, 20), (7, 18), (1, 12), \dots, (3, 13), (10, 23))$
$\mathbf{s}_0^1 = ((0, 9, 16), (3, 9, 19), (7, 13, 23), \dots, (4, 15, 20), (6, 12, 22))$
$\mathbf{s}_1^1 = ((6, 15, 22), (4, 14, 20), (2, 8, 18), \dots, (2, 9, 18), (3, 9, 19))$
$\mathbf{s}_2^1 = ((2, 11, 18), (0, 10, 16), (4, 14, 20), \dots, (5, 14, 21), (5, 15, 21))$
$\mathbf{s}_3^1 = ((5, 12, 21), (5, 15, 21), (3, 9, 19), \dots, (0, 11, 16), (2, 8, 18))$
$\mathbf{s}_0^2 = ((1, 7, 8, 14, 17, 23), (1, 6, 11, 12, 17, 22), (0, 5, 10, 15, 16, 21), \dots, (1, 7, 10, 12, 17, 23), (1, 4, 11, 14, 17, 20))$

where $\eta_i = \frac{R}{r_i}$, $\beta = b^{i, \langle j \rangle \eta_i}(\alpha) + \theta \cdot \eta_i$, $\omega = \frac{\sum_{\zeta=0}^{i-1} u_\zeta \cdot r_\zeta}{R}$. $\mathbf{b}^{i,0} = (b^{i,0}(0), b^{i,0}(1), \dots, b^{i,0}(tR-1))$ is a pseudo-random sequences over an abelian group \mathcal{G}_i with size η_i , and $\mathbf{b}^{i,\varepsilon} = \langle \mathbf{b}^{i,0} + 1 \rangle_{\eta_i}$, $\varepsilon \leq \eta_i - 1$. For the example in Step 1, applying (5), the (144,8,24;8,3) HCS set \mathbf{S} is obtained and shown in Table 2.

Theorem 2: The sequence set \mathbf{S} with parameters $(tR, \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, t; \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, \lambda)$ obtained from above construction is a HCS set and each time slot T_τ ($T_\tau \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t-1$) appears tR times in \mathbf{S} .

Proof 2: It can be obtained from the above construction that the sequence set \mathbf{S} is with t sequences of length tR over a time slot set of size t . Then we will prove the Hamming correlation $H(\mathbf{S}) = 0$. For any two sequences $\mathbf{s}_j^i, \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'} \in \mathbf{S}$, the Hamming correlation can be expressed as follows:

$$H_{\mathbf{s}_j^i, \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}}(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{tR-1} h(\mathbf{s}_j^i(l), \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}(l))$$

We can prove the above equation by dividing it into the following three cases:

- (1) $\mathbf{s}_j^i \neq \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}$, for any $j_i \neq j'$;
- (2) $\mathbf{s}_j^i \neq \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}$ for any $i \neq i'$;
- (3) $\mathbf{s}_j^i \neq \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}$ for any $i \neq i', j \neq j'$.

According to (5), we have

$$z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) = a^{b^m(\alpha)}(\beta + \omega + \lfloor \frac{j}{\eta_i} \rfloor \frac{t}{R}) + \beta \cdot \frac{t}{R}$$

$$z_{j',\theta'}^i(\alpha) = a^{b^m(\alpha)} \left(\beta + \omega + \lfloor \frac{j'}{\eta_i} \rfloor \frac{t}{R} \right) + \beta \cdot \frac{t}{R}$$

Since $j \neq j'$, whether $\theta = \theta'$ or not, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & b^{i,\langle j \rangle_{\eta_i}}(\alpha) + \theta \cdot \eta_i \\ & \neq b^{i,\langle j' \rangle_{\eta_i}}(\alpha) + \theta \cdot \eta_i \end{aligned}$$

So we can obtain that

$$z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) \neq z_{j',\theta'}^i(\alpha)$$

It is obvious that:

$$\mathbf{s}_j^i \neq \mathbf{s}_{j'}^{i'}$$

for any $i, i' (0 \leq i \neq i' \leq \lambda - 1)$. For the second and third case, we can prove them according to the equation(5) similarly. So we get the Hamming correlation $H(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.

Thus, we can obtain that for any two subsequences $\mathbf{z}_{j,\theta}^i, \mathbf{z}_{j',\theta'}^{i'}$, we have

$$z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) \neq z_{j',\theta'}^{i'}(\alpha)$$

There are t subsequences in \mathbf{S} , so each time slot T_τ ($T_\tau \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t - 1$) occurs once respectively in the corresponding position of each of these t subsequences. Since the length of these subsequences is tR , we can obtain that each time slot T_τ ($T_\tau \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t - 1$) appears tR times in \mathbf{S} .

Theorem 3: The HCS set \mathbf{S} obtained from the above construction is optimal with respect to the new bound (2).

Proof 3: For the given access levels and the number of time slots, if a set of the number of users such that the number of time slots occupied by all users in a frame is equal to t , then it is optimal on the theoretical bound with respect to the number of users. Assume that there are λ access levels, the maximum level value is R and the corresponding number of users is u_R . Since R and the number of time slots t are multiplicative (*i.e.* $R|t$), so the maximum number of users with R is equal to $\frac{t}{R}$. Meanwhile, any level $i (0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1)$ and R are multiplicative, so we can find the number u_i of users with any level i such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} \frac{u_i}{\eta_i} = \frac{t}{R}$$

by $\eta_i = \frac{R}{r_i}$, we can obtain that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i \cdot r_i = t$$

It is clearly the hierarchical control sequence set obtained from above construction is optimal with respect to the new theoretical bound.

Algorithm 1 The First Construction

Input: the number of time slots t , the number of access levels λ , the level values $r_i (0 \leq i \leq \lambda)$, the number of users u_i with level i , $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{a}^0, \mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{\frac{t}{R}-1} | \mathbf{a}^\gamma = (a^\gamma(0), a^\gamma(1), \dots, a^\gamma(\frac{t}{R}-1)), 0 \leq \gamma \leq (\frac{t}{R}-1)\}$, $\mathbf{b}^m = (b^m(0), b^m(1), \dots, b^m(tR-1))$, $\mathbf{b}^{i,\varepsilon} = \langle \mathbf{b}^{i,0} + 1 \rangle_{\eta_i}, \varepsilon \leq \eta_i - 1$

Output: a $(tR, \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, t; \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, \lambda)$ HCS set \mathcal{S}

- 1: Initial $R = \max\{r_i\}, \eta_i = \frac{R}{r_i}, \omega = \frac{\sum_{\zeta=0}^{i-1} u_\zeta \cdot r_\zeta}{R}, \beta = b^{i, \langle j \rangle_{\eta_i}}(\alpha) + \theta \cdot \eta_i, \mathbf{z}_{j,\theta}^i = \phi, \mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_j^i\} = \phi;$
- 2: **for** $\alpha = 0$ to $tR - 1$ **do**
- 3: **for** $\theta = 0$ to $r_i - 1$ **do**
- 4: $z_{j,\theta}^i(\alpha) = \beta \cdot \frac{t}{R} + a^{b^m(\alpha)}(\langle \beta + \omega + \lfloor \frac{j}{\eta_i} \rfloor \frac{t}{R} \rangle);$
- 5: **if** $\alpha == tR - 1$ **then**
- 6: $\mathbf{s}_j^i = \mathbf{z}_{j,\theta}^i;$
- 7: Update $\mathcal{S};$
- 8: **end if**
- 9: **end for**
- 10: **end for**

5.2 The Second Construction

Step 1: Define the initial state of the control sequence set as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}^0 = \left\{ \mathbf{c}_k^0 = (c_k^0(0), c_k^0(1), \dots, c_k^0(t-1)) : 0 \leq k \leq t-1 \right\}$$

where $c_k^0(b_0) = k + b_0 \bmod t, 0 \leq b_0 \leq t-1$. For example $t = 8$, the number of access levels $\lambda = 3$. Let $r_0 = 1, u_0 = 1; r_1 = 3, u_1 = 1; r_2 = 4, u_2 = 1$. By Step 1 we obtain the initial set of sequence set as follows:

$$\mathbf{c}_0^0 = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_1^0 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 0)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_2^0 = (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 0, 1)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_3^0 = (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 0, 1, 2)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_4^0 = (4, 5, 6, 7, 0, 1, 2, 3)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_5^0 = (5, 6, 7, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_6^0 = (6, 7, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_7^0 = (7, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$$

Step 2: Choose $g \in \mathbb{Z}_t^*$ such that g has the largest multiplicative order in \mathbb{Z}_t^* . Assume that $\text{ord}(g) = d$, note that d is upper bounded by $\phi(t)$, where ϕ is the Euler function. In general, we have $d = \Omega(t)$. For the example in Step 1, choose $g = 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_8^*$ such that g has the largest multiplicative order

Tab. 3: The hierarchical control sequence set2

$\mathbf{s}_0^0 = (0, 1, 2, 3 \dots, 1, 4, 7, \dots, 0, 1, 2)$
$\mathbf{s}_0^1 = ((1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 6), \dots, (4, 7, 2), (7, 2, 5), (2, 5, 0), \dots, (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5))$
$\mathbf{s}_0^2 = ((4, 5, 6, 7), (5, 6, 7, 0), (6, 7, 0, 1), (7, 0, 1, 2), \dots, (5, 0, 3, 6), (0, 3, 6, 1), (3, 6, 1, 4), \dots, (4, 5, 6, 7), (5, 6, 7, 0), (6, 7, 0, 1), (7, 0, 1, 2), \dots)$

$ord(g) = d = \phi(8) = 4 \in \mathbb{Z}_8^*$. *Step 3:* For any user with level i ($0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1$), we can generate a HCS by n -rounds of iterative operation, and then construct the desired HCS set with $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_j^i = \mathbf{s}_j^i(b_n) | 0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1, 0 \leq j \leq u_i - 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_j^i(b_n) &= (z_{j,0}^i(b_n), z_{j,1}^i(b_n), \dots, z_{j,r_i-1}^i(b_n)) \\ &= (z_{j,\theta}^i(b_n) | 0 \leq \theta \leq r_i - 1) \\ z_{j,\theta}^i(b_n) &= c_{\omega+j \cdot r_i + \theta}^n(b_n) \\ &= g^{a_n} g^{a_{n-1}} \dots g^{a_1} c_{(\omega+j \cdot r_i + \theta + a_1)_t}^0(b_0) \pmod{t} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\omega = \frac{\sum_{\zeta=0}^{i-1} u_\zeta \cdot r_\zeta}{R}$, $b_n = a_n \cdot d^{n-1}t + b_{n-1}$, $0 \leq a_n \leq d - 1$, $0 \leq b_n \leq d^n t - 1$. For the example in Step 2, choose $n = 2$, construct the control sequence set $\mathbf{C}^2 = \{\mathbf{c}_k^2 : 0 \leq k \leq 7\}$ by 2-round iterations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_k^2 &= (3^0 \mathbf{c}_k^1, 3^1 \mathbf{c}_k^1, 3^2 \mathbf{c}_k^1, 3^3 \mathbf{c}_k^1) \\ &= (3^{(0+0)_4} \mathbf{c}_k^0, 3^{(0+1)_4} \mathbf{c}_{(k+1)_8}^0, 3^{(0+2)_4} \mathbf{c}_{(k+2)_8}^0, \dots \\ &\quad 3^{(3+2)_4} \mathbf{c}_{(k+2)_8}^0, 3^{(3+3)_4} \mathbf{c}_{(k+3)_8}^0) \end{aligned}$$

According to (6), construct the desired $(128, 3, 8; 3, 3)$ HCS set \mathbf{S} , shown in Table 3.

Theorem 4: Each time slot T_τ ($T_\tau \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t - 1$) appears d^n times in \mathbf{S} .

Proof 4: Let α be an element of \mathbb{Z}_t . We count the number of indices b_n such that $c_k^n(b_n) = \alpha$, i.e. $g^{a_n} g^{a_{n-1}} \dots g^{a_1} (\langle k + a_1 \rangle_t + b_0) \equiv \alpha \pmod{t}$, where $0 \leq a_n \leq d - 1$, $0 \leq b_n \leq d^n t - 1$. Thus, we have $b_0 \equiv \alpha \cdot g^{-(a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1)_d} \pmod{t}$. For each $0 \leq a_n \leq d - 1$, there exists a unique b_0 with $0 \leq b_0 \leq t - 1$ such that $b_0 \equiv \alpha \cdot g^{-(a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1)_d} - (k + a_1) \pmod{t}$, it implies that there are exactly p^n indices b_n such that $z_{j,k}^i(b_n) = \alpha$.

Theorem 5: The sequence set \mathbf{S} with parameters $(d^n t, \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, t; \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, \lambda)$ obtained from above construction is an optimal HCS set with respect to the new bound.

Proof 5: It can be obtained from the above construction that the control sequence set \mathbf{C}^n is with t sequences of length $d^n t$ over a time slot set of size t . For any two sequences $\mathbf{c}_{k_1}^n, \mathbf{c}_{k_2}^n \in \mathbf{C}^n$, suppose $H(\mathbf{c}_{k_1}^n, \mathbf{c}_{k_2}^n) \neq 0$, so there exists any $0 \leq k_1 \neq k_2 \leq t-1$, and $0 \leq b_n \leq d^n t - 1$ satisfying $c_{(k_1+a_1)_t}^n(b_n) = c_{(k_2+a_1)_t}^n(b_n) \pmod t$. So, we have

$$g^{a_n} g^{a_{n-1}} \dots g^{a_1} (k_1 + a_1 + b_0) \equiv g^{a_n} g^{a_{n-1}} \dots g^{a_1} (k_2 + a_1 + b_0) \pmod t.$$

This gives

$$g^{(a_n+a_{n-1}+\dots+a_1)d} (k_1 - k_2) \equiv 0 \pmod t.$$

Then we obtain $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod t$ for $g^{(a_n+a_{n-1}+\dots+a_1)d} \in \mathbb{Z}_t^*$. A contradiction. So we get the Hamming correlation $H(\mathbf{S}) = 0$. By step 1 of the construction, we can obtain that there are t sequences in initial sequence set \mathbf{C} , it is obvious that every time slot in a time frame will be occupied. So the equal sign in the theoretical bound holds, i.e. the HCS set obtained from above construction is optimal with respect to the new bound.

Algorithm 2 The Second Construction

Input: integer $g \in \mathbb{Z}_t^*$, integer n , the number of time slots t , the number of access levels λ , the level values $r_i (0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1)$, the number of users u_i with level i , initial set $\mathbf{C}^0 = \{\mathbf{c}_k^0 | 0 \leq k \leq t - 1\}$

Output: a $(d^n t, \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, t; \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i, \lambda)$ HCS set \mathbf{S}

- 1: Initial $\mathbf{c}_k^0 = k + b_0 \pmod t$, $0 \leq b_0 \leq t - 1$, $\text{ord}(g) = d = \varphi(t)$, $\omega = \frac{\sum_{\zeta=0}^{i-1} u_\zeta \cdot r_\zeta}{R}$,
 $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_j^i\} = \phi$, $\mathbf{z}_{j,\theta}^i = \phi$;
 - 2: **for** $b_n = 0$ to $d^n t - 1$ **do**
 - 3: **for** $\theta = 0$ to $r_i - 1$ **do**
 - 4: $c_{\omega+j \cdot r_i + \theta}^n(b_n)$
 - 5: $= g^{a_n} g^{a_{n-1}} \dots g^{a_1} c_{(\omega+j \cdot r_i + \theta + a_1)_t}^0(b_0) \pmod t$;
 - 6: $z_{j,\theta}^i = c_{\omega+j \cdot r_i + \theta}^n(b_n)$;
 - 7: **if** $b_n == d^n t - 1$ **then**
 - 8: $\mathbf{s}_j^i = \mathbf{z}_{j,\theta}^i$;
 - 9: Update \mathbf{S} ;
 - 10: **end if**
 - 11: **end for**
 - 12: **end for**
-

Since there can be different numbers of users satisfying the theoretical bounds in Section 4 for the same set of time slots, number of access levels, and access level values, the above examples of the two constructions are only an optimization case. From the above two constructions, we can obtain that the first one emphasizes the time slots occupied by users within a frame, which are relatively evenly distributed, while the time slots occupied by users between frames are random. The second construction is that the time slots occupied by users are random both within a frame and between frames.

5.3 Access Control Protocol Based on HCS

In this part, we describe a rule of access control based on HCS generated by the above constructions. First of all, we define an HCS set $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_j^i | 0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1, 0 \leq j \leq u_i - 1\}$ to achieve the hierarchical access mechanism of data link. Once a user applies for network access, the Sequence Assignment Center(SAC) will randomly assign a sequence corresponding to the access level they belong to. We assume that the period of time is divided into N time frames, and each of which is divided into t time slots. Every element in $\mathbf{s}_j^i(\delta) = (z_{j,0}^i(b_n), z_{j,1}^i(b_n), \dots, z_{j,r_i-1}^i(b_n))$ is used to determine the time slot position of the user to transmit data. Then, we will describe this rule from the process of entering the network. SAC initializes the HCS set as $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}^i | 0 \leq i \leq \lambda - 1\}$ and $|\mathbf{S}^i| = u_i$. And the specific process is shown in Fig.4:

- A certain user with $r_2 = 3$ applies for network access at a random frame(j -th frame);
- SAC checks if there is an idle sequence in \mathbf{S}^2 ;
- If there is an idle sequence, then it will be allocated to the user, who will start data transmission using the corresponding time slots in the sequence from j -th frame after completing system synchronization;
- If there is no available sequence, the user must wait until another user at the same level exits the network and releases sequence. SAC will then assign the reclaimed sequence to the user;
- After the sequence is allocated, the user will synchronize with the system, and then use the time slots corresponding to the j -th frame in the sequence for access.

6 Performance Evaluation of HCS

In this section, we analyze and evaluate HCSs from two perspectives: communication applicability and anti-interference performance in data link networks with TDMA architecture.

6.1 Sequence Parameters Comparison

We compare the protocol sequences, frequency hopping sequences, and existing control sequences mentioned earlier in this paper with the HCSs presented in Section 5. The comparison primarily focuses on the applicability in data link with TDMA architecture, suitability for collision-free communication among users, and suitability for multi-level access communication among users, which is shown in Table 4. Combining the information provided in the table and the preceding descriptions in Section 1, it can be observed that protocol sequences and frequency hopping sequences are not suitable for data link communication with TDMA architecture. Furthermore, both of them allow for collisions and are

Tab. 4: Comparison of Parameters

Ref	Length	Family Size	Time Slot Size/ Alphabet Size	Number of Users	applicability in data link	collision-free communication	Hierarchical Access
Protocol Sequence [25]	pq	p	/	K	✗	✗	✗
Frequency Hopping Sequence [31](Con.A)	$q^m - 1$	q^{m-t}	q^{m-t}	q^{m-t}	✗	✗	✗
Frequency Hopping Sequence [31](Con.B)	$q^m - 1$	$\frac{q^{m-t}-1}{r}$	$\frac{q^{m-t}-1}{r} + 1$	$\frac{q^{m-t}-1}{r}$	✗	✗	✗
Control Sequence [32](Con.A)	l	n	m	n	✓	✓	✗
Control Sequence [32](Con.B)	l	n	m	n	✓	✓	✗
Control Sequence [33]	d^m	m	m	m	✓	✓	✗
Control Sequence [34]	$MZ(M-1)$	M	MZ	M	✓	✓	✗
This paper(Con.1)	tR	$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$	t	$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$	✓	✓	✓
This paper(Con.2)	$d^m t$	$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$	t	$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} u_i$	✓	✓	✓

not suitable for collision-free communication among users or hierarchical access communication. In contrast, HCSs build upon existing control sequences, and enables the collision-free hierarchical access communication within the context of a data link time-division multiple access architecture.

6.2 Simulation Results

We present the simulation results based on the hierarchical access control schemes and the fixed time slot assignment scheme under different interference numbers and powers, respectively. Since the proposed HCSs concern slot utilization and anti-interference performance, users will benefit from the full slot utilization as well as the improved interference immunity. Assume that all users are communicating via the Additive Gaussian White Noise(AGWN) channel and that each user accesses one channel. We simulate the symbol error ratio(SER) under the following conditions: (a) the number of time slots is $t = 8$; (b) the access level of users is $r = 4$; (c) the lengths of the HCSs generated by the above two constructions are 32 and 128, respectively. (d) the time slots in the fixed time slot assignment scheme are $[0,2,4,5]$; (e) the interference point for single-interference case is set in time slot $[2]$; (f) the interference point for multiple-interference case is set in time slots $[1,4,5]$; (g) the interference powers are 10dB and 15dB respectively. First of all, we can see from Fig.5 that the SER of the HCS-based hierarchical access control scheme is lower than that of the fixed time slot assignment scheme. Furthermore, when the SNR is 5dB, the maximum difference in SER between the two schemes is the greatest(about 7%), which means that in the case of single-interference and interference power of 10dB, the anti-interference performance of the hierarchical access control scheme can be improved by up to about 7% compared to the fixed time slot assignment scheme. And in the case of interference power of 15dB, the anti-interference performance of the hierarchical access control scheme can be improved by up to about 8%. In a multiple-interference environment(Fig.6), compared to the fixed time-slot assignment scheme, the hierarchical access control scheme has the maximum anti-interference improvement of approximately 7% and 8% at different interference powers. Additionally, we compare the SER of different

lengths of sequences generated by the above two constructions under the same parameters of $t = 8, r = 4$. As can be seen from these figures, on the basis of better anti-interference performance than the fixed time slot assignment scheme, the longer the HCS, the better its anti-interference performance. Based on this simulation result, we can conclude that longer HCSs can be used for sending and receiving long messages between users, while shorter HCSs are more suitable for transmitting short messages.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we analyzed the significance of hierarchical access control in data link networks, and proposed a HCS-based hierarchical access control model to address this problem. Then, in order to better measure the performance of HCSs, we derived a new theoretical bound for HCS in terms of access levels of users, number of users and number of time slots. Based on the new bound, two classes of optimal HCS sets are constructed. Using the HCSs, users with different access levels can achieve hierarchical, random, and secure access in data link for communication, and ensure maximum utilization of time slots without conflicts. Finally, through the performance evaluation, it is shown that HCSs is the optimal choice for hierarchical access communication among users in data link with TDMA architecture. Moreover, the excellent anti-interference performance of the HCS-based hierarchical access control scheme is demonstrated, and the longer the HCS, the better the anti-interference performance it exhibits. It is expected that the new bound and new constructions will be useful for future research on the problems of hierarchical access control in data link networks.

Acknowledgment

The work of this paper was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 62171387), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2019M663475).

References

- [1] James T Sturdy. Military data link integration application. *Honeywell Defense and Space Electronic Systems, Albuquerque, New Mexico*, 2004.
- [2] Chi-Han Kao, Frank Kragh, and Clark Robertson. Performance analysis of a jtids/link-16-type waveform transmitted over nakagami fading channels with pulsed-noise interference. In *MILCOM 2008-2008 IEEE Military Communications Conference*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2008.
- [3] Ron LeFever and RC Harper. Performance of high rate link-22 operation obtained by using quadrature amplitude modulation (qam). In *MILCOM 1999. IEEE Military Communications. Conference Proceedings (Cat. No. 99CH36341)*, volume 2, pages 979–983. IEEE, 1999.

-
- [4] Xuehua Zhang, Ali Ghrayeb, and Mazen Hasna. On hierarchical network coding versus opportunistic user selection for two-way relay channels with asymmetric data rates. *IEEE transactions on communications*, 61(7):2900–2910, 2013.
 - [5] Frederick J Block and MB Pursley. An adaptive-transmission protocol for direct-sequence spread-spectrum packet radio networks. In *MILCOM 2002. Proceedings*, volume 2, pages 844–849. IEEE, 2002.
 - [6] Yiwei Zhang, Dazhi He, Yihang Huang, Yin Xu, and Wenjun Zhang. Performance analysis and optimization of ldm-based layered multicast. *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting*, 68(1):132–142, 2021.
 - [7] Zhengchun Zhou, Fangrui Liu, Avik Ranjan Adhikary, and Pingzhi Fan. A generalized construction of multiple complete complementary codes and asymptotically optimal aperiodic quasi-complementary sequence sets. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 68(6):3564–3571, 2020.
 - [8] Bingsheng Shen, Yang Yang, Yanghe Feng, and Zhengchun Zhou. A generalized construction of mutually orthogonal complementary sequence sets with non-power-of-two lengths. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 69(7):4247–4253, 2021.
 - [9] Avik Ranjan Adhikary, Yanghe Feng, Zhengchun Zhou, and Pingzhi Fan. Asymptotically optimal and near-optimal aperiodic quasi-complementary sequence sets based on florentine rectangles. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 70(3):1475–1485, 2021.
 - [10] Yu-Hsiang Wang, Guu-Chang Yang, and Wing C Kwong. Asynchronous channel-hopping sequences with maximum rendezvous diversity and asymptotic optimal period for cognitive-radio wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 70(9):5853–5866, 2022.
 - [11] Ilker Demirkol, Cem Ersoy, and Fatih Alagoz. Mac protocols for wireless sensor networks: a survey. *IEEE Communications magazine*, 44(4):115–121, 2006.
 - [12] Hyun-Ho Choi, Gyung-Ho Hwang, and Dong-Ho Cho. Adaptive random access and resource allocation scheme based on traffic load in hiperlan type2 system. In *2003 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking, 2003. WCNC 2003.*, volume 2, pages 1312–1316. IEEE, 2003.
 - [13] Weihong Hu, Xiaolong Li, and Homayoun Yousefi’zadeh. La-mac: A load adaptive mac protocol for manets. In *GLOBECOM 2009-2009 IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2009.
 - [14] Ahmad Naseem Alvi, Safdar Hussain Bouk, Syed Hassan Ahmed, Muhammad Azfar Yaqub, Nadeem Javaid, and Dongkyun Kim. Enhanced tdma based mac protocol for adaptive data control in wireless sensor networks. *Journal of communications and networks*, 17(3):247–255, 2015.

-
- [15] Hyun-Ho Choi. Adaptive and prioritized random access and resource allocation schemes for dynamic tdma/tdd protocols. *Journal of information and communication convergence engineering*, 15(1):28–36, 2017.
- [16] Liu Xing, ZX He, and Zhou Jing-Lun. A minimum-jitter fixed timeslot allocation algorithm for tdma tactical data link. *System Engineering*, 30(6):90–94, 2012.
- [17] Zhe Guo and Zheng Yan. Dynamic mac protocol for tactical data links. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (CIT)*, pages 87–92. IEEE, 2017.
- [18] Arianne M Lewis and Steven V Pizzi. Quality of service for tactical data links: Tdma with dynamic scheduling. In *MILCOM 2005-2005 IEEE Military Communications Conference*, pages 2350–2359. IEEE, 2005.
- [19] Tianjiao Zhang and Qi Zhu. Evc-tdma: An enhanced tdma based cooperative mac protocol for vehicular networks. *Journal of Communications and Networks*, 22(4):316–325, 2020.
- [20] Feng Lyu, Ju Ren, Peng Yang, Nan Cheng, Wenjuan Tang, Yaoxue Zhang, and Xuemin Sherman Shen. Fine-grained tdma mac design toward ultra-reliable broadcast for autonomous driving. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 26(4):46–53, 2019.
- [21] JL Massey. The capacity of the collision channel without feedback. In *Papers, IEEE Int. Symp. Inform. Theory*, page 101, 1982.
- [22] J Massey and Peter Mathys. The collision channel without feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 31(2):192–204, 1985.
- [23] Kenneth W Shum and Wing Shing Wong. Construction and applications of crt sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 56(11):5780–5795, 2010.
- [24] Yijin Zhang, Yuan-Hsun Lo, Wing Shing Wong, and Feng Shu. Protocol sequences for the multiple-packet reception channel without feedback. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 64(4):1687–1698, 2016.
- [25] Linqing Gui, Xinyu Huang, Fu Xiao, Yijin Zhang, Feng Shu, Jun Wei, and Thierry Val. Dv-hop localization with protocol sequence based access. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 67(10):9972–9982, 2018.
- [26] Fang Liu, Kenneth W Shum, and Wing Shing Wong. Sequence-based unicast in wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 68(1):429–444, 2019.
- [27] Hung-Lin Fu, Yi-Hean Lin, and Miwako Mishima. Optimal conflict-avoiding codes of even length and weight 3. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 56(11):5747–5756, 2010.

-
- [28] Miwako Mishima and Koji Momihara. A new series of optimal tight conflict-avoiding codes of weight 3. *Discrete Mathematics*, 340(4):617–629, 2017.
 - [29] Hua Shao and Norman C Beaulieu. Direct sequence and time-hopping sequence designs for narrowband interference mitigation in impulse radio uwb systems. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 59(7):1957–1965, 2011.
 - [30] Xianhua Niu and Chaoping Xing. New extension constructions of optimal frequency-hopping sequence sets. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 65(9):5846–5855, 2019.
 - [31] Xianhua Niu, Chaoping Xing, Yang Liu, and Liang Zhou. A construction of optimal frequency hopping sequence set via combination of multiplicative and additive groups of finite fields. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 66(8):5310–5315, 2020.
 - [32] X Liu, L Zhou, Q Zeng, and L Zhou. Control sequences for access mechanism of data link. In *International Conference on Sequences and Their Applications (SETA)*, 2018.
 - [33] Xianhua Niu, L Xing, and Liang Zhou. Construction of control sequence set for access control in data link. In *International Conference on Sequences and Their Applications (SETA)*, 2020.
 - [34] Xin Tan, Xianhua Niu, Jiabei Ma, Jianan Wang, Xing Liu, and Jianhong Zhou. A construction of control sequences set for access control in data link. In *2022 10th International Workshop on Signal Design and Its Applications in Communications (IWSDA)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2022.



(a) Access levels $r_0, r_1, r_2 = 1, 2, 6$ (b) Access levels $r_0, r_1, r_2 = 3, 5, 15$

Fig. 3: Feasible and optimal number of users with three access levels






Fig. 4: Access to the group in data link. The solid line represents that the node has been entered, while the dotted line indicates that the node is applying for access in.




(a) Interference power of 10dB




(b) Interference power of 15dB

Fig. 5: The SER under the case of single-interference



(a) Interference power of 10dB



(b) Interference power of 15dB

Fig. 6: The SER under the case of multiple-interference