

Analytic shape recovery of an elastic inclusion from elastic moment tensors*

Daehee Cho[†] Mikyoung Lim[†]

March 5, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we present an analytic non-iterative approach for recovering a planar isotropic elastic inclusion embedded in an unbounded medium from the elastic moment tensors (EMTs), which are coefficients for the multipole expansion of field perturbation caused by the inclusion. EMTs contain information about the inclusion's material and geometric properties and, as is well known, the inclusion can be approximated by a disk from leading-order EMTs. We define the complex contracted EMTs as the linear combinations of EMTs where the expansion coefficients are given from complex-valued background polynomial solutions. By using the layer potential technique for the Lamé system and the theory of conformal mapping, we derive explicit asymptotic formulas in terms of the complex contracted EMTs for the shape of the inclusion, treating the inclusion as a perturbed disk. These formulas lead us to an analytic non-iterative algorithm for elastic inclusion reconstruction using EMTs. We perform numerical experiments to demonstrate the validity and limitations of our proposed method.

Keywords. Lamé system; Elastic moment tensors; Inverse shape problem

1 Introduction

We consider the inverse problem of reconstructing a planar isotropic elastic inclusion embedded in a homogeneous isotropic background medium from exterior measurements. Let the unbounded background medium be isotropic with the Lamé constants λ , the bulk modulus, and μ , the shear modulus. Let the isotropic elastic inclusion Ω have the Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$. Let Ω be a bounded simply-connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with a C^2 -boundary. We assume that λ , μ , $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are known. We denote by \mathbb{C}_0 and \mathbb{C}_1 the elastic tensors in the background and Ω , respectively (see Subsection 2.1 for details). Let $\mathbf{H} = (H_1, H_2)$ be a background displacement field, which is a vector-valued solution to $\nabla \cdot (\mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{H}) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The displacement \mathbf{u} in the presence of Ω satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left((\mathbb{C}_0 \chi_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega} + \mathbb{C}_1 \chi_{\Omega}) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u} \right) = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) - \mathbf{H}(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2021R1A2C1011804).

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea (dhcho2440@kaist.ac.kr, mklim@kaist.ac.kr).

where $\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}$ represents the strain, that is, $\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T)$. The solution \mathbf{u} admits the multipole expansion (see, for instance, [4, Theorem 10.2]) as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{H}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} \sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha! \beta!} \partial^\alpha H_j(0) \partial^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}(x) M_{\alpha\beta}^j, \quad |x| \gg 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where α, β are multi-indices, $M_{\alpha\beta}^j = (m_{\alpha\beta 1}^j, m_{\alpha\beta 2}^j)$, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is the fundamental solution corresponding to the background elastostatic problem. The constant coefficients $m_{\alpha\beta 1}^j, m_{\alpha\beta 2}^j$ are called the elastic moment tensors (EMTs). Containing information about the inclusion's material and geometric properties, EMTs have been used as building blocks for the recovery of elastic inclusions from exterior measurements [7, 15, 17]. In this paper, we develop an analytic non-iterative method for recovering a bounded simply-connected inclusion Ω from EMTs.

Iterative methods for identifying an elastic inclusion from EMTs have been investigated using optimization approaches, where objective functions are defined based on the discrepancies between the measurement data of the target and reconstructed inclusions. A bounded simply-connected inclusion can be analytically approximated as a disk, or a ball in three dimensions, using leading-order EMTs [7, 15]; this disk can be used as an initial guess. With the help of higher-order EMTs, one can further refine the shape details through iterative numerical computations [17]. An essential aspect of this optimization approach lies in the shape derivative of the objective function, which represents the derivative of the objective function with respect to the deformation of the inclusion's boundary. In [16, 17] (see also [1]), for the contracted EMTs—the linear combinations of EMTs with coefficients of real-valued polynomial background solutions—the shape derivative was asymptotically expressed as an boundary integral; the integrand is given by using the transmission problem solutions, with various background displacement fields, corresponding to the initial guess.

In the context of the conductivity inclusion problem, optimization approaches have been developed by utilizing the generalized polarization tensors (GPTs) in place of EMTs [2, 6]. We refer the readers to [5] for GPTs' shape derivative, which is in the form of boundary integral involving solutions to the transmission problem with conductivity inclusions. Furthermore, in two dimensions, explicit series expressions for the shape derivative of GPTs have been derived by considering the inclusion as a perturbed disk or perturbed ellipse [5, 11]. Notably, these explicit formulas do not involve transmission problem solutions and enable the implementation of non-iterative conductivity inclusion recovery. But when it comes to the elastostatic problem, deriving an explicit expression for the shape derivative of EMTs becomes much more complicated due to the vector-valued nature of elastic problems. To our knowledge, explicit formulas for the shape derivative of EMTs have not yet been reported in the literature.

A powerful approach for solving plane elastostatic inclusion problems has been to apply the theory of conformal mapping, which transformations the original vector-valued problems into complex scalar-valued problems. For instance, the complex formulation for the elastostatic solution was derived by Muskhelishvili [20] (see also the book by Ammari–Kang [3]). Movchan–Serkov obtained asymptotic formulas for the effective parameters of dilute composites based on complex analysis theory [19]. Furthermore, Kang et al. studied the spectral structure for the layer potential operator of an elastostatic inclusion problem [8]. More recently, Mattei–Lim introduced a series solution expansion for the elastic system with a rigid boundary condition [18]; we also refer the readers to [9, 10, 11, 14] for the conductivity inclusion problems.

In the present paper, to deal with the inclusion problem (1.1), we utilize the layer potential technique and the complex formulation for the elastostatic problem. We introduce the concept of complex contracted EMTs that are linear combinations of EMTs where the expansion coefficients are given from complex-valued background polynomial solutions. By considering the inclusion as a perturbation of a disk, we derive explicit expressions for the shape derivative of complex contracted EMTs. Based on these formulas, we propose an analytic non-iterative method to recover a bounded simply-connected elastic inclusion from EMTs (refer to Theorem 5.2 and Section 6).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we outline the layer potential approach for the elastostatic problem in two dimensions and introduce the concept of EMTs. Section 3 is devoted to the complex formulation for the elastostatic problem. We derive solutions to the transmission problem with a circular inclusion in Section 4. We derive the main asymptotic results in Section 5 and present our recovery method for an elastic inclusion with numerical examples in Section 6. The paper ends with some concluding remarks in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Layer potential technique for the Lamé system in two dimensions

Let $\Omega, \lambda, \mu, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}$ be given as in the introduction. The elastic tensor \mathbb{C}_0 associated with the Lamé constants (λ, μ) admits the tensor expression, employing the Einstein summation convention, that

$$\mathbb{C}_0 = C_{kl}^{ij} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k \otimes e_l \quad \text{with} \quad C_{kl}^{ij} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}),$$

δ_{ij} being the Kronecker's delta, $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$ and $(e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k \otimes e_l) \mathbf{a} = a_{kl} e_i \otimes e_j$ for $\mathbf{a} = (a_{kl})$. We also define $\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{c} = b_{ij} c_{kl} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k \otimes e_l$ for two tensors $\mathbf{b} = (b_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_{kl})$. If \mathbf{a} is symmetric, we have

$$\mathbb{C}_0 \mathbf{a} = \lambda \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{a}) \mathbb{I}_2 + 2\mu \mathbf{a}, \quad (2.1)$$

'tr' standing for the trace of a matrix and \mathbb{I}_2 being the identity matrix.

Consider the displacement field \mathbf{u} in the presence of Ω with the far-field loading \mathbf{u}_0 . Assuming that there are no body forces, the background solution \mathbf{u}_0 satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}_0) = \mathbb{C}_0 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{u}_0) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are called the strain and stress field, respectively. It implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \mathbf{u} := \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

We define the conormal derivative—the traction term—as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \nu} = \left(\mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u} \right) N = \lambda (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) N + \mu (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^\top) N \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \quad (2.3)$$

where $N = (N_1, N_2)$ stands for the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$.

We similarly denote by \mathbb{C}_1 and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}}$ the elastic tensor and differential operator associated with the Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$, respectively.

We assume $\mu > 0$, $\lambda + \mu > 0$ and $\tilde{\mu} > 0$, $\tilde{\lambda} + \tilde{\mu} > 0$ so that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}}$ are elliptic. We further assume

$$(\lambda - \tilde{\lambda})(\mu - \tilde{\mu}) \geq 0, \quad (\lambda - \tilde{\lambda})^2 + (\mu - \tilde{\mu})^2 \neq 0, \quad 0 < \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu} < \infty.$$

Let $\mathbf{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ be the Kelvin matrix of the fundamental solution to the Lamé system $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ij}(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \delta_{ij} \log|x| - \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2}, \quad x \neq 0, \\ \alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \right), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We also set $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ as in (2.4) with $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\lambda}$ in the place of μ, λ .

The single- and double-layer potentials for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](x) &:= \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](x) &:= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_y} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^2$, $\partial/\partial\nu$ being the conormal derivative. For $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](x))_i = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\lambda \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}}{\partial y_j} (x-y) \varphi(y) \cdot N(y) + \mu \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}}{\partial y_k} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}}{\partial y_j} \right) (x-y) N_j(y) \varphi_k(y) \right) d\sigma(y).$$

The following jump relations hold [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]^\pm &= \left(\mp \frac{1}{2} I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega} \right) [\varphi] \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]^\pm &= \left(\pm \frac{1}{2} I + \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^* \right) [\varphi] \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where the so-called Neumann–Poincaré operators $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](x) &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_y} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\partial\Omega}^*[\varphi](x) &= \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_x} \mathbf{\Gamma}(x-y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

The subscripts $+$ and $-$ indicate the limits from the outside and inside of Ω , respectively, and p.v. means the Cauchy principle value.

We denote by Ψ the space of rigid displacement, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \{ \boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2) : \partial_i \psi_j + \partial_j \psi_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \} \\ &= \text{span} \{ (1, 0), (0, 1), (x_2, -x_1) \} \end{aligned}$$

and set $L_\Psi^2(\partial\Omega) = \{ \mathbf{f} \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^2 : \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} d\sigma = 0 \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \Psi \}$.

Now, we consider the solution \mathbf{u} to (1.1). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}$ be the single-layer potential on $\partial\Omega$ corresponding to the Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$. It is well known that (see [3, 13])

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(x) + \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi](x), & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

with the unique pair $(\psi, \varphi) \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^2 \times L^2_{\Psi}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi]|^- - \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]|^+ = \mathbf{H}|_{\partial\Omega} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi]|^- - \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi]|^+ = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial\nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be multi-indices. We set $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$. We can expand the background solution \mathbf{H} and the fundamental solution $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ as

$$\mathbf{H}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha H_j(0) x^\alpha e_j, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(x - y) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!} \partial^\beta \mathbf{\Gamma}(x) y^\beta, \quad (2.8)$$

where y is supported in a compact set and $|x|$ is sufficiently large.

Definition 1 ([7]). *We define the elastic moment tensors (EMTs) associated with Ω , (λ, μ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ as*

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^j = \left(m_{\alpha\beta 1}^j, m_{\alpha\beta 2}^j \right) = \int_{\partial\Omega} y^\beta \mathbf{g}_\alpha^j(y) d\sigma(y), \quad (2.9)$$

where $(\mathbf{f}_\alpha^j, \mathbf{g}_\alpha^j) \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\partial\Omega)^2$ is the solution to

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathbf{f}_\alpha^j]|^- - \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathbf{g}_\alpha^j]|^+ = x^\alpha e_j \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathbf{f}_\alpha^j]|^- - \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\mathbf{g}_\alpha^j]|^+ = \frac{\partial(x^\alpha e_j)}{\partial\nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega}. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

In view of (2.5), (2.7), (2.8) and the definition of EMTs, one can easily derive (1.2).

2.2 Shape derivative of the contracted EMTs: an asymptotic integral formula

Let Ω be a ε -perturbation of a smooth domain Ω_0 given by

$$\partial\Omega = \{x + \varepsilon h(x) N_0(x) : x \in \partial\Omega_0\},$$

where h is a real-valued C^2 function on $\partial\Omega_0$, ε is a small parameter, and N_0 is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega_0$. We assume that Ω_0 has the same Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ as Ω .

Consider two vector-valued polynomials \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{F} satisfying the background elastic equation associated with (λ, μ) in \mathbb{R}^2 . They admit the Taylor expansions: for some $a_j^\alpha, b_k^\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{H}(x) = \sum_{j=1,2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_j^\alpha x^\alpha e_j, \quad \mathbf{F}(x) = \sum_{k=1,2} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^2} b_k^\beta x^\beta e_k. \quad (2.11)$$

We consider the contracted EMTs, corresponding to Ω and Ω_0 , with the expansion coefficients $a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta$. It holds the following asymptotic relation:

Theorem 2.1 ([17]). *Let \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{F} be background solutions whose components are real-valued polynomials given by (2.11). Let \mathbf{u}_0 and \mathbf{v}_0 be the solutions to (1.1) with Ω_0 in the place of Ω , where the background functions are given by \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{F} , respectively. We denote by \mathbf{u}_0^e (resp. \mathbf{v}_0^e) and \mathbf{u}_0^i (resp. \mathbf{v}_0^i) the restrictions of \mathbf{u}_0 (resp. \mathbf{v}_0) to the exterior and interior of Ω_0 . For some positive $\delta > 0$, it holds that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega) - \sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega_0) &= \varepsilon \int_{\partial(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) \cap \partial\Omega_0} h(\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_0^i : \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}_0^e d\sigma(x) \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_{\partial(\Omega_0 \setminus \Omega) \cap \partial\Omega_0} h(\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_0^e : \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}_0^i d\sigma(x) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A : B = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} B_{ij}$ for two matrices A, B .

Proof. Let \mathbf{u}_ε and \mathbf{v}_ε are defined for Ω instead of Ω_0 . We have (refer to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [17] for the details)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega) - \sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega_0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} (\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^i : \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}_0^e dx - \int_{\Omega_0 \setminus \Omega} (\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon^e : \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}_0^i dx. \end{aligned}$$

Following the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [1], one can prove the theorem. \square

3 Complex-variable formulation

We identify the coordinate vector $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with the complex variable $z = x_1 + ix_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\text{Re}\{\cdot\}$ and $\text{Im}\{\cdot\}$ denote the real and imaginary parts of a complex number, respectively, and $(\cdot)_j$ the j -th component of a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 . We rewrite a vector valued function $\mathbf{u}(x)$ as the complex function

$$u(z) := ((\mathbf{u})_1 + i(\mathbf{u})_2)(x)$$

and, by the same way, define the complex valued functions φ , ψ , H and F corresponding to φ , ψ , \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{F} , respectively. We also define the complex valued single-layer potentials for complex valued density functions φ , ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](z) &:= ((\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi])_1 + i(\mathcal{S}_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi])_2)(x), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi](z) &:= ((\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi])_1 + i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial\Omega}[\psi])_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Complex contracted EMTs

One can express the solution to the Lamé system in terms of complex holomorphic functions as follows.

Lemma 3.1 ([4, 20]). *Let Ω be a simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 with Lamé constants (λ, μ) . Let $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^2$ satisfy $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu} \mathbf{v} = 0$ in Ω . Then there are holomorphic functions f and g in Ω such that*

$$((\mathbf{v})_1 + i(\mathbf{v})_2)(z) = \kappa f(z) - \overline{zf'(z)} - \overline{g(z)} \quad \text{with } \kappa = \frac{\lambda + 3\mu}{\lambda + \mu}. \quad (3.1)$$

The conormal derivative of \mathbf{v} satisfies

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu} \right)_1 + i \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu} \right)_2 \right] d\sigma(z) = -2i\mu \partial \left[f(z) + z\overline{f'(z)} + \overline{g(z)} \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} dx_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z}$ and $f'(z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z)$.

Recall that \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{F} are solutions to $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}\mathbf{v} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . In view of Lemma 3.1, one can expand the complex functions $H(z)$ and $F(z)$ into the basis functions

$$\kappa p z^n - z \overline{pn z^{n-1}} + \overline{q z^n} \quad (3.3)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(p, q) = (0, 1), (0, i), (1, 0), (i, 0)$ using real-valued expansion coefficients, neglecting the constant term. In other words, we have the basis functions

$$\begin{cases} h_n^{(1)}(z) = \overline{z^n}, \\ h_n^{(2)}(z) = \overline{iz^n}, \\ h_n^{(3)}(z) = \kappa z^n - z \overline{nz^{n-1}}, \\ h_n^{(4)}(z) = \kappa iz^n - z \overline{inz^{n-1}}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Definition 2 (Complex contracted EMTs). *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let the complex expressions of \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F} be given by $H = h_n^{(t)}, F = h_m^{(s)}$. We define*

$$\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) = \sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega) \quad (3.5)$$

where a_j^α, b_k^β are the coefficients of the Taylor series expansions of \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F} as in (2.11). We call $\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega)$ the complex contracted EMTs associated with Ω .

From the definition of $m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega)$ in (2.9), one can easily find that

$$\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\sigma, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is a density function corresponding \mathbf{H} given by (2.5).

3.2 Complex integral expression for the single-layer potential

One can express the complex single-layer potential $S_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](z)$ as in (3.1). It was shown in [4, Chapter 9.4] and [8] that in Ω (or in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$),

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](z) &= \kappa f(z) - z\overline{f'(z)} - \overline{g(z)}, \\ f(z) &= \beta \mathcal{L}[\varphi](z), \\ g(z) &= -\alpha \mathcal{L}[\overline{\varphi}](z) - \beta \mathcal{C}[\overline{\zeta\varphi}](z) + c \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

with the complex operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[\varphi](z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \log(z - \zeta) \varphi(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \\ \mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} d\sigma(\zeta), \\ \mathcal{C}[\overline{\zeta\varphi}](z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} \overline{\zeta} d\sigma(\zeta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and $c = \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{\varphi(\zeta)} d\sigma(\zeta)$. Note that $\mathcal{L}[\varphi]'(z) := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{L}[\varphi](z) = \mathcal{C}[\varphi](z)$. If $\varphi \in L^2_{\Psi}(\partial\Omega)$, then $c = 0$.

We can rewrite (3.7) as

$$2S_{\partial\Omega}[\varphi](z) = 2\alpha L[\varphi](z) - \beta z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi]} + \beta \overline{\mathcal{C}[\overline{\varphi}]}(z) - c \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C} \quad (3.9)$$

by using the single-layer potential for the Laplacian

$$L[\varphi](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \ln |z - \zeta| \varphi(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta). \quad (3.10)$$

4 Transmission problem for a disk

We consider the case that the elastic inclusion is a disk, namely D , centered at $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with the radius $\gamma > 0$. Then the mapping Ψ defined by

$$\Psi(w) = w + a_0, \quad |w| \geq \gamma \quad (4.1)$$

is a conformal mapping from the exterior of the unit disk centered at 0 to the exterior of D . We denote by F_m be the Faber polynomials associated with Ψ given by (4.1), that is,

$$F_m(z) = (z - a_0)^m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

For $z = \Psi(w) \in \partial D$ with $w = \gamma e^{i\theta}$, we define density basis functions

$$\varphi_k(z) = \gamma^{-1} e^{ik\theta} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

4.1 Single-layer potential

The operator \mathcal{L} satisfies that for $m \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}[\varphi_m](z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{m} \gamma^{-m} F_m(z) & \text{in } D, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\varphi_{-m}](z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } D, \\ -\frac{1}{m} \gamma^m (z - a_0)^{-m} & \text{in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}. \end{cases}$$

As shown in [14], the operator L satisfies that for $m \geq 1$,

$$L[\varphi_{-m}](z) = \overline{L[\varphi_m](z)},$$

$$L[\varphi_m](z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2m} \gamma^{-m} F_m(z), & z \in \overline{D}, \\ -\frac{1}{2m} \gamma^m \overline{w^{-m}}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

For notational convenience, we define for $m \geq 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_0(z) &= 0, \\ \tilde{F}_m(z) &= \gamma^{-m} (z - a_0)^{m-1} && \text{for } z \in D, \quad m \neq 0, \\ G_{-m}(z) &= \gamma^m (z - a_0)^{-m-1} = \gamma^m \overline{w^{-m-1}} && \text{for } z = \Psi(w) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [18], it holds that for $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\varphi_m](z) &= \begin{cases} -\tilde{F}_m(z) & \text{in } D, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{C}[\varphi_{-m}](z) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } D, \\ G_{-m}(z) & \text{in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta} \varphi_k] = \gamma \mathcal{C}[\varphi_{k-1}] + \bar{a}_0 \mathcal{C}[\varphi_k] \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

4.1.1 Exterior expansion

Let $\varphi \in L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D)$ be given by

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \varphi_k(\theta) \quad \text{with } c_k \in \mathbb{C}, c_0 = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We have from (4.3) and (4.4) that for $z = \Psi(w) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$,

$$2L[\varphi](z) = -\sum \left(\frac{c_{-m}}{m} \gamma^m (z - a_0)^{-m} + \frac{c_m}{m} \gamma^m \overline{(z - a_0)^{-m}} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) = \sum c_{-m} G_{-m}(z) = \sum c_{-m+1} \gamma^{m-1} (z - a_0)^{-m}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta} \varphi](z) &= \gamma c_1 G_0(z) + \sum \gamma c_{-m} G_{-m-1}(z) + \bar{a}_0 \mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) \\ &= \sum (c_{-m+2} \gamma^m + \bar{a}_0 c_{-m+1} \gamma^{m-1}) (z - a_0)^{-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where \sum means $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}$.

We express $2\mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi](z)$ in terms of $z - a_0$ by using (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi](z) &= -\alpha \sum \left(\frac{c_{-m}}{m} \gamma^m (z - a_0)^{-m} + \frac{c_m}{m} \gamma^m \overline{(z - a_0)^{-m}} \right) \\ &\quad - \beta z \sum \frac{\bar{c}_{-m+1}}{\bar{c}_{-m+1}} \gamma^{m-1} \overline{(z - a_0)^{-m}} \\ &\quad + \beta \sum (\bar{c}_{-m+2} \gamma^m + a_0 \bar{c}_{-m+1} \gamma^{m-1}) \overline{(z - a_0)^{-m}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and, from the continuity of $\mathcal{S}_{\partial D}$ to the boundary of D ,

$$2\mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi] \Big|_{\partial D}^+ (z) = \beta \bar{c}_1 \gamma \varphi_1 - \alpha \sum \frac{c_{-m}}{m} \gamma \varphi_{-m} - \alpha \sum \frac{c_m}{m} \gamma \varphi_m, \quad (4.10)$$

where $+$ indicates the limit from the exterior of D .

Using $z = \Psi(w) = w + a_0 \in \partial D$ with $w = \gamma e^{i\theta}$ on ∂D , one can easily find that

$$dz = \gamma i e^{i\theta} d\theta = i w d\theta, \quad d\bar{z} = -\gamma i e^{-i\theta} d\theta = -i \bar{w} d\theta \quad \text{on } \partial D. \quad (4.11)$$

By (3.2) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi])_1 + i (\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi])_2 \right) \Big|_{\partial D}^+ (z) d\sigma(z) \\ &= -i\beta\mu \left[\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) + \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z)} \right] dz + i\mu \left[\alpha \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\varphi}](z)} - \beta \left(z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi]'(z)} - \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta} \varphi]'(z)} \right) \right] d\bar{z} \\ &= \beta\mu w \left[\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) + \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z)} \right] d\theta + \mu \bar{w} \left[\alpha \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\varphi}](z)} - \beta \left(z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi]'(z)} - \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta} \varphi]'(z)} \right) \right] d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain on ∂D that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{w} \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\varphi}]}(z) &= \sum c_m \gamma \varphi_m, \\ w \left(\mathcal{C}[\varphi](z) + \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi]}(z) \right) &= -\bar{c}_1 \gamma \varphi_1 + \sum c_{-m} \gamma \varphi_{-m} + \sum \overline{c_{-m+2}} \gamma \varphi_m, \\ \bar{w} \left(z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\varphi]'(z)} - \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta}\varphi]'(z)} \right) &= \sum \overline{c_{-m+2}} \gamma \varphi_m.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left((\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi])_1 + i(\partial_\nu \mathcal{S}_{\partial D}[\varphi])_2 \right) \Big|_{\partial D}^+(z) d\sigma(z) = \mu \gamma \left[-\beta \bar{c}_1 \varphi_1 + \beta \sum c_{-m} \varphi_{-m} + \alpha \sum c_m \varphi_m \right] d\theta. \quad (4.13)$$

4.1.2 Interior expansion

Let $\psi \in L^2(\partial D)$ given by

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_k \varphi_k(\theta) \quad \text{with } d_k \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.14)$$

We have from (4.3) and (4.4) that for $z \in D$,

$$2L[\psi](z) = -\sum \left(\frac{d_m}{m} \gamma^{-m} F_m(z) + \frac{d_{-m}}{m} \gamma^{-m} \overline{F_m(z)} \right), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\mathcal{C}[\psi](z) = -\sum d_m \tilde{F}_m(z) = -d_1 \gamma^{-1} - \sum d_{m+1} \gamma^{-m-1} (z - z_0)^m, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta}\psi](z) &= \sum d_m \left(-\gamma \tilde{F}_{m-1}(z) - \bar{a}_0 \tilde{F}_m(z) \right) \\ &= -d_1 \bar{a}_0 \gamma^{-1} - d_2 - \sum (d_{m+2} \gamma^{-m} + d_{m+1} \bar{a}_0 \gamma^{-m-1}) (z - a_0)^m,\end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where \sum means $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}$.

One can easily derive by using (3.9) and (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}2\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi](z) &= -\tilde{\alpha} \sum \left(\frac{d_m}{m} \gamma^{-m} (z - a_0)^m + \frac{d_{-m}}{m} \gamma^{-m} \overline{(z - a_0)^m} \right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\beta} ((z - a_0) + a_0) \left[\bar{d}_1 \gamma^{-1} + \sum \bar{d}_{m+1} \gamma^{-m-1} \overline{(z - a_0)^m} \right] \\ &\quad - \tilde{\beta} \bar{d}_1 a_0 \gamma^{-1} - \tilde{\beta} \bar{d}_2 - \tilde{\beta} \sum (\bar{d}_{m+2} \gamma^{-m} + \bar{d}_{m+1} a_0 \gamma^{-m-1}) \overline{(z - a_0)^m} - \tilde{\beta} d_0\end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

and, thus,

$$2\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi] \Big|_{\partial D}^-(z) = -\tilde{\beta} d_0 + \tilde{\beta} \bar{d}_1 \gamma \varphi_1 - \tilde{\alpha} \sum \frac{d_m}{m} \gamma \varphi_m - \tilde{\alpha} \sum \frac{d_{-m}}{m} \gamma \varphi_{-m}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $-$ indicates the limit from the interior of D .

Similar to (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left((\partial_\nu \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_1 + i(\partial_\nu \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_2 \right) \Big|_{\partial D}^-(z) d\sigma(z) \\ &= \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\mu} w \left[\mathcal{C}[\psi](z) + \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]}(z) \right] d\theta + \tilde{\mu} \bar{w} \left[\tilde{\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]}(z) - \tilde{\beta} \left(z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]'(z)} - \overline{\mathcal{C}[\bar{\zeta}\psi]'(z)} \right) \right] d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain on ∂D that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{w} \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]}(z) &= - \sum d_{-m} \gamma \varphi_{-m}, \\ w \left(\mathcal{C}[\psi](z) + \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]}(z) \right) &= -\bar{d}_2 - \bar{d}_1 \gamma \varphi_1 - \sum \overline{d_{m+2}} \gamma \varphi_{-m} - \sum d_m \gamma \varphi_m, \\ \bar{w} \left(z \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]'}(z) - \overline{\mathcal{C}[\psi]'}(z) \right) &= -\bar{d}_2 - \sum \overline{d_{m+2}} \gamma \varphi_{-m}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left((\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_1 + i(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_2 \right) \Big|_{\partial D}^- (z) d\sigma(z) = -\tilde{\mu} \gamma \left[\tilde{\beta} \bar{d}_1 \varphi_1 + \tilde{\alpha} \sum d_{-m} \varphi_{-m} + \tilde{\beta} \sum d_m \varphi_m \right] d\theta. \quad (4.20)$$

4.2 Series solutions for the transmission problem

We find the solution \mathbf{u} to (1.1) for \mathbf{H} given by (3.3) with $p = 0$, that is,

$$H(z) = \overline{q(z - z_0)^n} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.21)$$

We denote by $(\psi, \varphi) \in L^2(\partial D)^2 \times L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D)^2$ the solution to (2.6) and set (ψ, φ) to be the corresponding complex functions. From (2.5), we have

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} H(z) + S_{\partial D}[\varphi](z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{D}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi](z), & z \in D, \end{cases}$$

where the transmission conditions in (2.6) can be written in complex form as

$$\begin{aligned}u \Big|_{\partial D}^+ &= u \Big|_{\partial D}^-, \\ (\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{u})_1 + i(\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{u})_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^+ &= (\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \mathbf{u})_1 + i(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \mathbf{u})_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^-\end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{u})_1 + i(\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{u})_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^+ &= (\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{H})_1 + i(\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{H})_2 + (\partial_{\nu} S_{\partial D}[\varphi])_1 + i(\partial_{\nu} S_{\partial D}[\varphi])_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^+, \\ (\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{u})_1 + i(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \mathbf{u})_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^- &= (\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_1 + i(\partial_{\bar{\nu}} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\partial D}[\psi])_2 \Big|_{\partial D}^-.\end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

From (3.2) and (4.11), it holds that

$$H = \bar{q} \gamma^{n+1} \varphi_{-n}, \quad (4.24)$$

$$((\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{H})_1 + i(\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{H})_2) d\sigma(z) = 2\mu \bar{q} n \gamma^{n+1} \varphi_{-n} d\theta \quad \text{on } \partial D. \quad (4.25)$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ and φ admits the series expansions (4.14) and (4.5), we can find the coefficients d_k and c_k in the expansions by using (4.23). Using (4.10) and (4.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi} &= d_{-n} \varphi_{-n}, \quad \varphi = c_{-n} \varphi_{-n}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} c_{-n} \\ d_{-n} \end{bmatrix} &= \bar{q} n \gamma^n \frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\mu} \alpha + \mu \beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mu} \tilde{\alpha} - \mu \tilde{\alpha} \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

From (4.9) and (4.18), it holds that

$$2\tilde{S}_{\partial D}[\psi](z) = -\tilde{\alpha} \frac{d-n}{n} \gamma^{-n} \overline{(z-a_0)^n} \quad \text{on } D, \quad (4.27)$$

$$2S_{\partial D}[\varphi](z) = -\alpha \frac{c-n}{n} \gamma^n (z-a_0)^{-n} \\ - \beta \overline{c-n} \left((z-a_0) \gamma^n \overline{(z-a_0)^{-n-1}} - \gamma^{n+2} \overline{(z-a_0)^{-n-2}} \right) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C} \setminus D. \quad (4.28)$$

5 Analytic shape recovery

We derive an explicit formula that reconstruct an elastic inclusion Ω from the elastic moment tensors. We regard Ω as a perturbation of a disk, where the disk can be obtained by using leading-order EMTs (see Subsection 5.1), and apply the asymptotic integral expression in Theorem 2.1 (see Subsection 5.2).

5.1 Approximation of the inclusion by a disk and modified EMTs

We find a disk that admit the same EMTs of low orders, more precisely $\mathbb{E}_{11}^{(1,1)}$, $\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,1)}$, and $\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,2)}$, to Ω . We temporarily assume that the inclusion is a disk D centered at a point a_0 and with radius γ , for which we find solutions to the transmission problem in Section 4.1. We express a_0 and γ in terms of the EMTs of low orders as follows.

Note that $h_1^{(1)} = \bar{z} = \overline{z-a_0} + \bar{a}_0$. The density function φ corresponding to the transmission problem (2.6) with $H(z) = h_1^{(1)}$ is the same to φ given by (4.26) with $n = 1$ and $q = 1$. In other words,

$$\varphi = M_0 \gamma \varphi_{-1}, \quad M_0 = \frac{2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta}. \quad (5.1)$$

We take $F = h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}, h_2^{(2)}$, that is, $F(z) = \bar{z}, z^2, iz^2$. It admits the Fourier series expansion

$$F(z) = \gamma^2 \varphi_{-1} + \bar{a}_0, \quad \gamma^3 \varphi_{-2} + 2\bar{a}_0 \gamma^2 \varphi_{-1} + \bar{a}_0^2, \quad -i(\gamma^3 \varphi_{-2} + 2\bar{a}_0 \gamma^2 \varphi_{-1} + \bar{a}_0^2) \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

It follows by reformulation $\mathbf{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in (3.6) into a complex form that

$$\mathbb{E}_{1m}^{(1,s)}(D) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{1}{2} (F \bar{\varphi} + \bar{F} \varphi) d\sigma$$

and, thus, $\mathbb{E}_{11}^{(1,1)}(D) = 2\pi\gamma^2 M_0$, $\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,1)}(D) = 2\pi\gamma^2 M_0 (a_0 + \bar{a}_0)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,2)}(D) = 2\pi\gamma^2 M_0 i (a_0 - \bar{a}_0)$. We arrive to the expression for a_0 and γ in terms of the EMTs of low orders:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi M_0}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{11}^{(1,1)}(D)}, \quad (5.2) \\ a_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\gamma^2 M_0} \left(\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,1)}(D) - i \mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,2)}(D) \right).$$

Let D be the disk where the center a_0 and radius γ are determined by (5.2). As before, we set $H(z) = H_1 + iH_2$ for the background solution \mathbf{H} to the problem $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. By replaying z

by $z - a_0$ in (3.4), we obtain modified basis functions for $H(z)$:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_n^{(1)}(z) = \overline{(z - a_0)^n}, \\ \tilde{h}_n^{(2)}(z) = \overline{i(z - a_0)^n}, \\ \tilde{h}_n^{(3)}(z) = \kappa(z - a_0)^n - (z - a_0) \overline{n(z - z_0)^{n-1}}, \\ \tilde{h}_n^{(4)}(z) = \kappa i(z - a_0)^n - (z - a_0) \overline{in(z - a_0)^{n-1}}. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Definition 3 (Modified EMTs using the approximated disk D). *Fix $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F} be given by $H = \tilde{h}_n^{(t)}(z), F = \tilde{h}_m^{(s)}(z)$. Set a_j^α, b_k^β to be the coefficients of the Taylor series expansions of \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{F} as in (2.11). We define*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) := \sum_{\alpha\beta jk} a_j^\alpha b_k^\beta m_{\alpha\beta k}^j(\Omega). \quad (5.4)$$

One can easily find that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial D} \operatorname{Re} \{F \bar{\varphi}\} d\sigma = \int_{\partial D} \frac{1}{2} (F \bar{\varphi} + \bar{F} \varphi) d\sigma, \quad (5.5)$$

where $F(z) = \tilde{h}_m^{(s)}(z)$ and let φ be the density function corresponding to (2.6) with $H(z) = \tilde{h}_n^{(t)}(z)$ and the domain Ω . We restrict t, s to be 1, 2. It then holds that

$$\tilde{h}_n^{(t)}(z) = \operatorname{const.} + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{nk} h_k^{(t)}(z), \quad \varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{nk} \varphi_k^{(t)} \quad \text{with } c_{nk} = (-\bar{a}_0)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $h_k^{(t)}(z)$ is defined by (3.4) and $\varphi_k^{(t)}$ is the density function corresponding to (2.6) with $H(z) = h_k^{(t)}(z)$. Note that $h_k^{(2)} = -i h_k^{(1)}$ (see (4.26) and (5.3)). It follows from (3.6) that for $t, s = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) &= \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\operatorname{Re} \{c_{ml} \bar{c}_{nk}\} \int_{\partial D} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h_l^{(s)} \overline{\varphi_k^{(t)}} \right\} d\sigma + \operatorname{Im} \{c_{ml} \bar{c}_{nk}\} \int_{\partial D} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ i h_l^{(s)} \overline{\varphi_k^{(t)}} \right\} d\sigma \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\operatorname{Re} \{c_{ml} \bar{c}_{nk}\} \mathbb{E}_{kl}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) + \operatorname{Im} \{c_{nk} \bar{c}_{ml}\} I_{kl}^{(t,s)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$I_{kl}^{(t,s)} = \begin{cases} -\mathbb{E}_{kl}^{(t,2)}(\Omega) & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \mathbb{E}_{kl}^{(t,1)}(\Omega) & \text{if } s = 2. \end{cases}$$

The modified EMTs of D admit simpler expressions. Set $H(z) = \tilde{h}_n^{(t)}$ and $F(z) = \tilde{h}_m^{(s)}$. On ∂D , by (4.26), $\varphi = M_0 n \gamma^n \varphi_{-n}$ for $t = 1$ and $\varphi = -i M_0 n \gamma^n \varphi_{-n}$ for $t = 2$; $F(z) = \gamma^{m+1} \varphi_{-m}$ for $s = 1$ and $F(z) = -i \gamma^{m+1} \varphi_{-m}$ for $s = 2$, where M_0 is given by (5.1). By (5.5), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)}(D) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}(D) = 0, \\ \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)}(D) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)}(D) = 2\pi M_0 n \delta_{nm} \gamma^{n+m} \quad \text{for any } n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

5.2 Explicit formulas for the shape perturbation

We regard Ω as a perturbation of the disk $D = B(a_0, \gamma)$, that is,

$$\partial\Omega = \{z + \varepsilon h_{\partial D}(z) \nu_{\partial D}(z) : z \in \partial D\} \quad (5.9)$$

for some real-valued C^1 function $h_{\partial D}$. We set $h(\theta)$ by $h_{\partial D}(a_0 + \gamma e^{i\theta}) = \gamma h(\theta)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Being a real-valued function, $h(\theta)$ admits the Fourier series

$$h(\theta) = \hat{h}_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\hat{h}_m e^{im\theta} + \overline{\hat{h}_m} e^{-im\theta} \right), \quad \hat{h}_m = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma} \int_{\partial D} \varphi_{-m} h_{\partial D} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}).$$

To recover εh , we consider

$$\Delta_{nm}^{(t,s)} := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(D) \quad \text{for } t, s = 1, 2, \quad (5.10)$$

where the values of $\Delta_{nm}^{(t,s)}$ can be easily obtained from $\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega)$ by using (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8). By Theorem 2.1, we derive the following theorem. The proof is provided at the end of this subsection.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (λ, μ) be the background Lamé constants. Let Ω be a bounded simply connected planar domain given by (5.9), whose Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ are known. For some $\delta > 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{nm}^{(1,1)} + \Delta_{nm}^{(2,2)} - i(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,2)} - \Delta_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \\ &= 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)nm\gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 \varepsilon \int_{\partial D} \varphi_{-n+m} h_{\partial D} d\sigma(x) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}), \\ & \Delta_{nm}^{(1,1)} - \Delta_{nm}^{(2,2)} + i(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,2)} + \Delta_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \\ &= 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)nm\gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 M_2 \varepsilon \int_{\partial D} \varphi_{-n-m-2} h_{\partial D} d\sigma(x) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta}, \quad M_2 = \frac{\beta(\mu - \tilde{\mu})}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta}. \quad (5.11)$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1, we derive explicit asymptotic formula for the Fourier coefficients of the shape perturbation function h as the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (λ, μ) be the background Lamé constants. Let Ω be a bounded simply connected planar domain given by (5.9), whose Lamé constants $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ are known. Assume that for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega)$ are given for $1 \leq m \leq n \leq M$, $t, s = 1, 2$. Then, the boundary of Ω can be approximated by the parameterized curve:*

$$\theta \mapsto a_0 + \gamma e^{i\theta} + 2\text{Re} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \varepsilon \hat{h}_k e^{ik\theta} \right) \gamma e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \quad (5.12)$$

where the Fourier coefficients \hat{h}_k of the shape perturbation function $h(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{n-m} &= \frac{1}{16\pi nm\gamma^{n+m}} \frac{1}{(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) M_1} \left(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,1)} + \Delta_{nm}^{(2,2)} - i(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,2)} - \Delta_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}), \\ \hat{h}_{n+m+2} &= \frac{1}{16\pi nm\gamma^{n+m}} \frac{1}{(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) M_1 M_2} \left(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,1)} - \Delta_{nm}^{(2,2)} + i(\Delta_{nm}^{(1,2)} + \Delta_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

with M_1, M_2 given by (5.11).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We set γ , w and φ_k as in subsection 4.1. We set u^e and u^i be the complex functions corresponding to the solution \mathbf{u} to (1.1) for \mathbf{H} given by (4.21) as in Subsection 4.2. To highlight the dependence of u^e and u^i on (n, q) , we also write $u^e(\cdot; n, q)$ and $u^i(\cdot; n, q)$.

For a vector-valued function $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ and $v = v_1 + iv_2$, we have

$$\widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v} := \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (v + \bar{v}) & i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \bar{v} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} v \right) \\ i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \bar{v} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} v \right) & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (v - \bar{v}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it holds that on ∂D ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla} \overline{c(z - a_0)^k} &= \frac{k\gamma^k}{2} (c\varphi_{k-1}\mathbb{J} + \bar{c}\varphi_{-k+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}), \\ \widehat{\nabla} \bar{c}(z - a_0)^k &= \frac{k\gamma^k}{2} (\bar{c}\varphi_{k-1} + c\varphi_{-k+1})\mathbb{I}_2, \\ \widehat{\nabla} (z - a_0) \overline{c(z - a_0)^k} &= \frac{\gamma^{k+1}}{2} (c\varphi_k + \bar{c}\varphi_{-k})\mathbb{I}_2 + \frac{k\gamma^{k+1}}{2} (c\varphi_{k-2}\mathbb{J} + \bar{c}\varphi_{-k+2}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathbb{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $\text{tr}(\mathbb{J}) = \text{tr}(\bar{\mathbb{J}}) = 0$, $\mathbb{J} : \mathbb{I}_2 = \bar{\mathbb{J}} : \mathbb{I}_2 = 0$, $\mathbb{J} : \mathbb{J} = \bar{\mathbb{J}} : \bar{\mathbb{J}} = 0$, and $\mathbb{J} : \bar{\mathbb{J}} = 4$.

On ∂D , by (4.23), (4.26), (4.27), and (4.28), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, q) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta} \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (q\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} + \bar{q}\varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}), \\ \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, q) &= \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (q\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} + \bar{q}\varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) + (\alpha - \beta) \frac{\tilde{\mu} - \mu}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta} \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\bar{q}\varphi_{-n-1} + q\varphi_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta} \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\bar{q}\varphi_{-n-3}\mathbb{J} + q\varphi_{n+3}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, q) &= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, q), \\ (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, q) &= \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{2\mu + \lambda} \frac{\tilde{\mu} - \mu}{\tilde{\mu}\alpha + \mu\beta} n\gamma^n (\bar{q}\varphi_{-n-1} + q\varphi_{n+1})\mathbb{I}_2 + 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, q). \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Plugging $q = 1, i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, 1) &= M_1 \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} + \varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}), \quad \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, i) = iM_1 \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} - \varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}), \\ \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, 1) &= \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} + \varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) + M_2 \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\varphi_{-n-3}\mathbb{J} + \varphi_{n+3}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) + \text{other term} * \mathbb{I}_2, \\ \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, i) &= i \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (\varphi_{n-1}\mathbb{J} - \varphi_{-n+1}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) + iM_2 \frac{n\gamma^n}{2} (-\varphi_{-n-3}\mathbb{J} + \varphi_{n+3}\bar{\mathbb{J}}) + \text{other term} * \mathbb{I}_2 \end{aligned}$$

with the constants M_1, M_2 given by (5.11).

Applying (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, 1) : \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; m, 1) = (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, 1) : \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; m, 1) \\
&= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} \left[M_1 (\varphi_{n-m} + \varphi_{-n+m}) + M_1 M_2 (\varphi_{n+m+2} + \varphi_{-n-m-2}) \right], \\
II &:= (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, 1) : \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; m, i) = (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, 1) : \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; m, i) \\
&= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} \left[i M_1 (-\varphi_{n-m} + \varphi_{-n+m}) + i M_1 M_2 (\varphi_{n+m+2} - \varphi_{-n-m-2}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
III &:= (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, i) : \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; m, 1) = (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, i) : \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; m, 1) \\
&= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} \left[i M_1 (\varphi_{n-m} - \varphi_{-n+m}) + i M_1 M_2 (\varphi_{n+m+2} - \varphi_{-n-m-2}) \right], \\
IV &:= (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; n, i) : \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; m, i) = (\tilde{\mathbb{C}} - \mathbb{C}) \widehat{\nabla} u^e(\cdot; n, i) : \widehat{\nabla} u^i(\cdot; m, i) \\
&= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} \left[M_1 (\varphi_{n-m} + \varphi_{-n+m}) - M_1 M_2 (\varphi_{n+m+2} + \varphi_{-n-m-2}) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily find that

$$\begin{aligned}
I + IV - i(II - III) &= 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 \varphi_{-n+m}, \\
I - IV + i(II + III) &= 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 M_2 \varphi_{-n-m-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, I corresponds to the modified EMTs $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)}$. Similarly, II , III and IV corresponds to $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)}$, respectively. From (5.15), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)} + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)} - i(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) (D_\varepsilon) - \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)} + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)} - i(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) (D) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\partial D} 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 \varphi_{-n+m} h_{\partial D} d\sigma(x) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)} + i(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)} + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) (D_\varepsilon) - \left(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,1)} - \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,2)} + i(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(1,2)} + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(2,1)}) \right) (D) \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\partial D} 8(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) nm \gamma^{n+m-1} M_1 M_2 \varphi_{-n-m-2} h_{\partial D} d\sigma(x) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

6 Numerical computation

We show numerical results for the recovery of an unknown elastic inclusion, Ω , based on the analytic reconstruction methods provided in Section 5, assuming that the Lamé constants $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \mu$ are known.

All example inclusions have smooth boundaries. The background parameters are fixed to be $(\lambda, \mu) = (1.5, 1.2)$ and the inclusion parameters $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}$ are various. In each simulation, we use the contracted EMTs up to some given order, namely $\text{Ord} \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words,

$$\left\{ \mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega) : 1 \leq n, m \leq \text{Ord}, t, s = 1, 2 \right\}. \tag{6.1}$$

To acquire the values of the EMTs for the example inclusions, we solve the boundary integral equation (2.6) and evaluate the integral in (3.6) by employing the Nystöm discretization method for the integrals.

We recover Ω from (6.1) by the following two-step procedure.

- **Step 1.** We find a disk $D = B(a_0^{\text{rec}}, \gamma^{\text{rec}})$ whose values of $\mathbb{E}_{11}^{(1,1)}, \mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,1)}, \mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,2)}$ coincide with those of Ω , respectively. In other words, by using (5.2), we have

$$\gamma^{\text{rec}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi M_0}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{11}^{(1,1)}(\Omega)}, \quad a_0^{\text{rec}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\gamma^2 M_0} \left(\mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,1)}(\Omega) - i \mathbb{E}_{12}^{(1,2)}(\Omega) \right) \quad (6.2)$$

with M_0 given by (5.1), which is a constant depending on $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}, \lambda, \mu$.

- **Step 2.** We obtain finer details of the shape by taking the image of

$$\theta \mapsto a_0^{\text{rec}} + \gamma^{\text{rec}} e^{i\theta} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\text{Ord}-1} \varepsilon \hat{h}_k e^{ik\theta} \right) \gamma^{\text{rec}} e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), \quad (6.3)$$

where $\varepsilon \hat{h}_k$ is determined by (5.13) with $n = k + 1$ and $m = 1$ and γ^{rec} in the place of γ , neglecting the $O(\varepsilon^{1+\delta})$ term.

In all figures, the gray curve represents the original shape of an example inclusion, while the black curve represents the reconstructed inclusion.

Example 1 (Starfish-shaped domain). In Figure 6.1, we consider a starfish-shaped inclusion whose boundary is given by

$$z(\theta) = a_0 + e^{i\theta} + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(8^{-1} e^{5i\theta} \right) e^{i\theta} \quad \text{with } a_0 = 0, -0.9 + 1.2i.$$

For this example, we use the EMTs with multiplicative Gaussian noise, that is,

$$\mathbb{E}_{nm}^{(t,s)} \left(1 + \mathcal{N} \left(0, \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 \right) \right) \quad \text{for each } n, m, t, s, \quad (6.4)$$

where \mathcal{N} means the normal distribution. We illustrate the reconstruction results obtained by one sample set of EMTs with noise generated by (6.4). The first row of Figure 6.1 is the case of $a_0 = 0$ and the second row is the case of $a_0 = -0.9 + 1.2i$. Note that (6.3) in Step 2 uses $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{nm}^{(t,s)}(\Omega)$, on which the error in a_0 affects more for a larger magnitude of a_0 . Hence, the proposed method is more sensitive to the measurement noise when a_0 has a larger magnitude, as is shown in Figure 6.1.

Example 2 (Kite-shaped domain). In Figure 6.2, we consider a kite-shaped inclusion whose boundary is given by $z(\theta) = 0.6 + 0.8i + e^{i\theta} + 0.65 \cos(2\theta)$. The EMTs without noise up to $\text{Ord} = 2, 4, 6, 8$ are used.

Example 3 (Ellipse). We consider an ellipse-shaped inclusion whose boundary is given by a parametrization: $z(\theta) = 4 \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$. The proposed method assumes that the inclusion is a perturbed disk. Hence, the reconstruction performance depends on the shape of the inclusion. Figure 6.3 shows that the performance varies also depending on the Lamé constants even when the inclusion has the same shape.




Figure 6.1: Starfish-shaped inclusion. The Lamé constants for Ω is $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) = (0.6, 0.4)$. The EMTs up to Ord = 6 are used. The first row is the case of $a_0 = 0$ and the second row is the case of $a_0 = -0.9 + 1.2i$. The reconstruction is more sensitive to the noise in EMTs when a_0 has a larger magnitude.

7 Conclusion

We investigated the inverse problem of reconstructing a planar isotropic elastic inclusion embedded in a homogeneous background medium from the elastic moment tensors (EMTs). Utilizing the layer potential approach and the complex formulation for the plane elastostatic problem, we successfully obtained explicit formulas for the shape derivative of the contracted EMTs, by considering the inclusion as a perturbed disk. We then derived explicit expressions in terms of the EMTs for the Fourier coefficients of the shape deformation function, which describes the shape of the inclusion. Our approach provides an analytic non-iterative reconstruction algorithm for the elastic inclusion.

References

- [1] Habib Ammari, Elena Beretta, Elisa Francini, Hyeonbae Kang, and Mikyoung Lim. Reconstruction of small interface changes of an inclusion from modal measurements II: the elastic case. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 94(3):322–339, 2010.
- [2] Habib Ammari, Josselin Garnier, Hyeonbae Kang, Mikyoung Lim, and Knut Sørensen. Multistatic imaging of extended targets. *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 5(2):564–600, 2012.




Figure 6.2: Recovery of a kite-shaped inclusion. Recovery with the EMTs up to higher order terms provides finer details for the inclusion.




Figure 6.3: Recovery of an ellipse-shaped inclusion with Ord = 4 without noise. The reconstruction performance varies depending on $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ even when Ω has the same shape.

- [3] Habib Ammari and Hyeonbae Kang. *Reconstruction of small inhomogeneities from boundary measurements*, volume 1846 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [4] Habib Ammari and Hyeonbae Kang. *Polarization and moment tensors*, volume 162 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [5] Habib Ammari, Hyeonbae Kang, Mikyoung Lim, and Habib Zribi. Conductivity interface problems. I. Small perturbations of an interface. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(5):2435–2449, 2010.
- [6] Habib Ammari, Hyeonbae Kang, Mikyoung Lim, and Habib Zribi. The generalized polarization tensors for resolved imaging. Part I: Shape reconstruction of a conductivity inclusion. *Math. Comp.*, 81(277):367–386, 2012.
- [7] Habib Ammari, Hyeonbae Kang, Gen Nakamura, and Kazumi Tanuma. Complete asymptotic expansions of solutions of the system of elastostatics in the presence of an inclusion of small diameter and detection of an inclusion. *J. Elasticity*, 67(2):97–129, 2002.
- [8] Kazunori Ando, Yong-Gwan Ji, Hyeonbae Kang, Kyongsun Kim, and Sanghyeon Yu. Spectral properties of the Neumann-Poincaré operator and cloaking by anomalous localized resonance for the elasto-static system. *European J. Appl. Math.*, 29(2):189–225, 2018.
- [9] Elena Cherkaev, Minwoo Kim, and Mikyoung Lim. Geometric series expansion of the Neumann-Poincaré operator: application to composite materials. *European J. Appl. Math.*, 33(3):560–585, 2022.
- [10] Doosung Choi, Johan Helsing, Sangwoo Kang, and Mikyoung Lim. Inverse problem for a planar conductivity inclusion. *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 16(2):969–995, 2023.
- [11] Doosung Choi, Junbeom Kim, and Mikyoung Lim. Analytical shape recovery of a conductivity inclusion based on Faber polynomials. *Math. Ann.*, 381(3-4):1837–1867, 2021.
- [12] B. E. J. Dahlberg, C. E. Kenig, and G. C. Verchota. Boundary value problems for the systems of elastostatics in Lipschitz domains. *Duke Math. J.*, 57(3):795–818, 1988.
- [13] Luis Escauriaza and Jin Keun Seo. Regularity properties of solutions to transmission problems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 338(1):405–430, 1993.
- [14] Younghoon Jung and Mikyoung Lim. Series expansions of the layer potential operators using the Faber polynomials and their applications to the transmission problem. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(2):1630–1669, 2021.
- [15] Hyeonbae Kang, Eunjoo Kim, and June-Yub Lee. Identification of elastic inclusions and elastic moment tensors by boundary measurements. *Inverse Problems*, 19(3):703–724, 2003.
- [16] Jihene Lagha, Faouzi Triki, and Habib Zribi. Small perturbations of an interface for elastostatic problems. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 40(10):3608–3636, 2017.
- [17] Mikyoung Lim and SangHyeon Yu. Reconstruction of the shape of an inclusion from elastic moment tensors. In *Mathematical and statistical methods for imaging*, volume 548 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 61–76. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

- [18] Ornella Mattei and Mikyoung Lim. Explicit analytic solution for the plane elastostatic problem with a rigid inclusion of arbitrary shape subject to arbitrary far-field loadings. *J. Elasticity*, 144(1):81–105, 2021.
- [19] A. B. Movchan and S. K. Serkov. The Pólya-Szegő matrices in asymptotic models of dilute composites. *European J. Appl. Math.*, 8(6):595–621, 1997.
- [20] N. I. Muskhelishvili. *Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity. Fundamental equations, plane theory of elasticity, torsion and bending*. P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1953. Translated by J. R. M. Radok.