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#### Abstract

We classify rotational surfaces in a normed 3-space with rotationally symmetric norm whose principal curvatures satisfy a linear relation.
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## 1 Introduction

It is interesting to generalize differential geometry of surfaces in the Euclidean 3 -space to that in normed 3 -spaces (cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [8]). In the Euclidean 3space, a surface is said to be of linear Weingarten type if its principal curvatures satisfy a linear relation, or if its Gaussian and mean curvatures satisfy a linear relation (cf. [5], [6], [7]).

In this paper, we define surfaces of linear Weingarten type in a normed 3space as surfaces whose principal curvatures satisfy a linear relation, and classify rotational surfaces of linear Weingarten type in a normed 3-space with rotationally symmetric norm. It is a generalization of [7] in the Euclidean case, and also a generalization of [8] for rotational surfaces with constant mean curvature in a normed 3 -space.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, basic facts on surfaces in normed 3-spaces are given (cf. [2]). In Section 3, we give some computations on rotational surfaces in a normed 3 -space with rotationally symmetric norm (cf. [8]). In Section 4, we discuss rotational surfaces in the normed 3-space one of whose principal curvatures is constant. In Section 5, we consider rotational surfaces of homogenous linear Weingarten type in the normed 3-space. In Section 6 , we study rotational surfaces of inhomogeneous linear Weingarten type in the normed 3 -space.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be a 3 -dimensional normed space. The unit ball $B$ and the unit sphere $S$ are given by

$$
B=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ;\|x\| \leq 1\right\}, \quad S=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ;\|x\|=1\right\}
$$

We assume that $S$ is smooth and strictly convex, that is, $S$ is a smooth surface and $S$ contains no line segment.

Let $v$ be a non-zero vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\Pi$ be a plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The vector $v$ is said to be Birkhoff orthogonal to $\Pi$, denoted by $v \dashv_{B} \Pi$, if the tangent plane of $S$ at $v /\|v\|$ is parallel to $\Pi$.

Let $M$ be a surface immersed in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$, and $T_{p} M$ be the tangent plane of $M$ at $p \in M$. Then there exists a vector $\eta(p) \in S$ such that $\eta(p) \dashv_{B} T_{p} M$. This gives a local smooth map $\eta: U \subset M \rightarrow S$, which is called the Birkhoff-Gauss map. It can be global if and only if $M$ is orientable.

Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be the eigenvalues of $d \eta_{p}$, which are called the principal curvatures. We say that the surface $M$ is of linear Weingarten type if $a k_{1}+b k_{2}=c$ for some constants $a, b$ and $c$ which are not all zero.

## 3 Rotational surfaces

Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be a normed 3 -space with rotationally symmetric norm

$$
\|x\|=\left(\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{m}+x_{3}^{2 m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. Set

$$
\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{m}+x_{3}^{2 m} .
$$

Then the unit sphere $S$ is given by

$$
S=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid \Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=1\right\}
$$

Since the case $m=1$ is the Euclidean case, we assume that $m \geq 2$ in the following.

Let $M$ be a surface in the above $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ which is rotational around $x_{3}$-axis, and is parametrized by

$$
X(u, v)=(\alpha(u) \cos v, \alpha(u) \sin v, \beta(u))
$$

where $\alpha>0, \alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $\beta^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then

$$
X_{u}=\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cos v, \alpha^{\prime} \sin v, \beta^{\prime}\right), \quad X_{v}=(-\alpha \sin v, \alpha \cos v, 0)
$$

The Birkhoff-Gauss map $\eta=\eta(u, v)$ is characterized by the condition

$$
(\operatorname{grad}(\Phi))_{\eta}=\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{1}}(\eta), \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{2}}(\eta), \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{3}}(\eta)\right)=\varphi X_{u} \times X_{v}
$$

where $\varphi$ is a positive function and $\times$ is the standard cross product in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then we have

$$
\eta=A^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(-\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} \cos v,-\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} \sin v,\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}}\right)
$$

where

$$
A:=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}
$$

We can compute that

$$
\eta_{u}=-\frac{1}{2 m-1} A^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{\prime}\right) X_{u}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{v}=-\frac{1}{\alpha} A^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} X_{v}
$$

so that

$$
k_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 m-1} A^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
k_{2}=-\frac{1}{\alpha} A^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} .
$$

In particular, when $\beta(u)=u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, when $\alpha(u)=u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(1+\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \beta^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=-\frac{1}{u}\left(1+\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 The case where one of principal curvatures is constant

Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be the normed 3 -space as in Section 3. Let $M$ be a rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ parametrized as

$$
X(u, v)=(\alpha(u) \cos v, \alpha(u) \sin v, u)
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$.
In this section, we consider the case where $k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ is constant.
(i) The case where $k_{1}=0$ identically. In this case, by (3.1), we see that $\alpha(u)$ is a nonconstant linear function, and the surface is a circular cylinder.
(ii) The case where $k_{2}=\mu$ for a constant $\mu$. In this case, we have $\mu<0$ by (3.2). It suffices to consider the case where $\mu=-1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=-1 \\
\frac{d \alpha}{d u}= \pm \frac{\left(1-\alpha^{2 m}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}{\alpha^{2 m-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
u(\alpha)=u_{ \pm}(\alpha):= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}}{\left(1-\alpha^{2 m}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha=\mp\left(1-\alpha^{2 m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}+c
$$

for a constant $c$. It satisfies

$$
\alpha^{2 m}+\left(u_{ \pm}(\alpha)-c\right)^{2 m}=1
$$

and the resulting surface is a parallel translation of the unit sphere $S$.
(iii) The case where $k_{1}=\mu$ for a non-zero constant $\mu$. Then by (3.1) we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\mu .
$$

Multiplying by $-\alpha^{\prime}$ we have

$$
-\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=-\mu \alpha^{\prime}
$$

Integrating it we have

$$
\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=c_{1}-\mu \alpha(>0)
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Then

$$
\frac{d \alpha}{d u}= \pm \frac{\left\{1-\left(c_{1}-\mu \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}{\left(c_{1}-\mu \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left(c_{1}-\mu \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\left(c_{1}-\mu \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha \\
= \pm \frac{1}{\mu}\left\{1-\left(c_{1}-\mu \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2 m}}+c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

for a constant $c_{2}$. It suffices to consider the case where $\mu= \pm 1$.
(iii-1) In the case where $\mu=1$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm\left\{1-\left(c_{1}-\alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2 m}}+c_{2}
$$

and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}-\alpha\right)^{2 m}+\left(u_{ \pm}(\alpha)-c_{2}\right)^{2 m}=1, \quad \alpha<c_{1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii-2) In the case where $\mu=-1$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=\mp\left\{1-\left(c_{3}+\alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2 m}}+c_{4}
$$

for constants $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha+c_{3}\right)^{2 m}+\left(u_{ \pm}(\alpha)-c_{4}\right)^{2 m}=1, \quad \alpha>-c_{3} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $c_{1}>1, c_{3}=-c_{1}$ and $c_{4}=c_{2}$, then (4.1) and (4.2) are smoothly connected. Choosing the orientation suitably, we get a rotational torus with $k_{1}=1$.

## 5 Homogeneous linear Weingarten cases

Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be the normed 3 -space as in Section 3. Let $M$ be a rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ parametrized as

$$
X(u, v)=(\alpha(u) \cos v, \alpha(u) \sin v, u)
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$.
In this section, we consider the case where $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=0$ for a non-zero constant $\lambda$. Then by (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \\
-\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}}=(2 m-1) \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} .
$$

Multiplying by $2 \alpha^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\frac{2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}}=2(2 m-1) \lambda \cdot \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
2(2 m-1) \lambda \log \alpha=\int \frac{\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}}} d u
$$

Setting

$$
\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2}{2 m-1}}=: Z
$$

for the right hand side, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \lambda \log \alpha= & \int \frac{Z^{m-1}}{Z^{m}+1} d Z=\frac{1}{m} \log \left(Z^{m}+1\right)+c_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{m} \log \left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)+c_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Then

$$
\frac{d \alpha}{d u}= \pm \frac{\left(\alpha^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}
$$

for a positive constant $c_{2}$, and

$$
u(\alpha)=u_{ \pm}(\alpha):= \pm \int \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\alpha^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

Theorem 5.1. A rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ given by

$$
\bar{X}(\alpha, v)=(\alpha \cos v, \alpha \sin v, u(\alpha))
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $u^{\prime} \neq 0$, satisfies $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=0$ for a non-zero constant $\lambda$, if and only if

$$
u(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\alpha^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

for a positive constant $c_{2}$.
(i) The case where $\lambda>0$. In this case, we have $\alpha>c_{2}(>0)$ and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\rho^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3}
$$

for a constant $c_{3}$. On the denominaor, we set $f(t):=t^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}$. Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=2 m \lambda t^{2 m \lambda-1}, \quad f\left(c_{2}\right)=0, \quad f^{\prime}\left(c_{2}\right) \neq 0
$$

So we have $f(t)=\left(t-c_{2}\right) \tilde{f}(t)$ for some smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ such that $\tilde{f}\left(c_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
Hence, noting that

$$
0<\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}<1
$$

we can see that the above integral converses and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\rho^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=0
$$

(i-1) The case where $(2 m-1) \lambda>1$. In this case, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\rho^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=d_{1}
$$

for some positive value $d_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3} \pm d_{1} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha_{+}(u)$ be the inverse function of $u_{+}(\alpha)$, which is increasing on $\left(c_{3}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{+}(u)=c_{2}, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}+d_{1}} \alpha_{+}(u)=\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{+}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

Let $\alpha_{-}(u)$ be the inverse function of $u_{-}(\alpha)$, which is decreasing on $\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{-}(u)=c_{2}, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}-d_{1}} \alpha_{-}(u)=\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{-}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

We define a function $\hat{\alpha}(u)$ on $\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right)$ by

$$
\hat{\alpha}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{+}(u), \quad c_{3}<u<c_{3}+d_{1} \\
\alpha_{-}(u), \quad c_{3}-d_{1}<u<c_{3} \\
c_{2}, \quad u=c_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is a $C^{1}$-function on $\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{+}^{\prime}(u), \quad c_{3}<u<c_{3}+d_{1} \\
\alpha_{-}^{\prime}(u), \quad c_{3}-d_{1}<u<c_{3} \\
0, \quad u=c_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $u \in\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}\right) \cup\left(c_{3}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right), \hat{\alpha}(u)$ satisfies the equation (5.1). Then we can see that

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}}\left(\hat{\alpha}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \hat{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u)=\frac{(2 m-1) \lambda}{c_{2}},
$$

and since $m \geq 2$,

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \hat{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(u)=0
$$

Hence, $\hat{\alpha}(u)$ is a $C^{2}$-function on $\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 5.2. Under the notation above in (i-1), the rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ given by

$$
\hat{X}(u, v)=(\hat{\alpha}(u) \cos v, \hat{\alpha}(u) \sin v, u), \quad(u, v) \in\left(c_{3}-d_{1}, c_{3}+d_{1}\right) \times[0,2 \pi]
$$

satisfies $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=0$ for a constant $\lambda$ with $(2 m-1) \lambda>1$.
(i-2) The case where $0<(2 m-1) \lambda \leq 1$. In this case, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\rho^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=\infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \infty \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha_{+}(u)$ be the inverse function of $u_{+}(\alpha)$, which is increasing on $\left(c_{3}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{+}(u)=c_{2}, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{+}(u)=\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{+}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

Let $\alpha_{-}(u)$ be the inverse function of $u_{-}(\alpha)$, which is decreasing on $\left(-\infty, c_{3}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{-}(u)=c_{2}, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow-\infty} \alpha_{-}(u)=\infty, \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow c_{3}} \alpha_{-}^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

We define a function $\hat{\alpha}(u)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$
\hat{\alpha}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{+}(u), \quad c_{3}<u<\infty \\
\alpha_{-}(u), \quad-\infty<u<c_{3} \\
c_{2}, \quad u=c_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

As in the case (i-1), we can see that $\hat{\alpha}(u)$ is a $C^{2}$-function on $(-\infty, \infty)$.
Theorem 5.3. Under the notation above in (i-2), the rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ given by

$$
\hat{X}(u, v)=(\hat{\alpha}(u) \cos v, \hat{\alpha}(u) \sin v, u), \quad(u, v) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times[0,2 \pi]
$$

satisfies $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=0$ for a constant $\lambda$ with $0<(2 m-1) \lambda \leq 1$.
(ii) The case where $\lambda<0$. In this case, we have $0<\alpha<c_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{2}^{(2 m-1) \lambda}}{\left(\rho^{2 m \lambda}-c_{2}^{2 m \lambda}\right)^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3} \\
& = \pm \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}}{\left\{c_{2}^{2 m(-\lambda)}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case (i), this integral converges and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}}{\left\{c_{2}^{2 m(-\lambda)}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=0
$$

Set

$$
d_{2}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{c_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}}{\left\{c_{2}^{2 m(-\lambda)}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3} \mp d_{2} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow c_{2}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Correspondingly, we get a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

As in the case (i), we can see that the surface is of $C^{2}$-class at points where $\alpha=c_{2}$. On the $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$, we recall that

$$
u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \frac{\alpha^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}}{\left\{c_{2}^{2 m(-\lambda)}-\alpha^{2 m(-\lambda)}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}, \quad \alpha \in\left(0, c_{2}\right)
$$

Hence, the limit $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists if and only if $(2 m-1)(-\lambda) \geq 1$.
Moreover, using (3.3) and (3.4), we can see that $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$ if and only if $(-\lambda) \geq 1$.

Theorem 5.4. There are closed rotational surface such that $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=0$ for a constant $\lambda \leq-1$. They are homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

## 6 Inhomogeneous linear Weingarten cases

Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ be the normed 3 -space as in Section 3. Let $M$ be a rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ parametrized as

$$
X(u, v)=(\alpha(u) \cos v, \alpha(u) \sin v, u)
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$.
In this section, we consider the case where $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=\mu$ for non-zero constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$. The case where $\lambda=1$ (the constant mean curvature case) was treated in the previous paper [8]. Then by (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \\
&-\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=\mu . \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying by $-\alpha^{\lambda} \alpha^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{\lambda}\left\{-\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}} \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& +\lambda \alpha^{\lambda-1} \alpha^{\prime}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=-\mu \alpha^{\lambda} \alpha^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\alpha^{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=-\mu \int \alpha^{\lambda} \alpha^{\prime} d u .
$$

### 6.1 The case where $\lambda=-1$

In this case, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=-\mu \int \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha} d u=-\mu \log \alpha+c_{1}(>0)
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Then

$$
\frac{d \alpha}{d u}= \pm \frac{\left\{1-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}
$$

and

$$
u(\alpha)=u_{ \pm}(\alpha):= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

Theorem 6.1. A rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ given by

$$
\bar{X}(\alpha, v)=(\alpha \cos v, \alpha \sin v, u(\alpha))
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $u^{\prime} \neq 0$, satisfies $k_{1}-k_{2}=\mu$ for a non-zero constant $\mu$, if and only if

$$
u(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Here we need to have

$$
c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha>0, \quad \alpha\left(c_{1}-\mu \log \alpha\right)<1 .
$$

It suffices to consider the case where $\mu= \pm 1$.
(i) The case where $\mu=1$. We have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

where $0<\alpha<e^{c_{1}}$ and $\alpha\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)<1$. Set

$$
g(t):=t\left(c_{1}-\log t\right), \quad 0<t<e^{c_{1}} .
$$

Then

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=c_{1}-1-\log t
$$

and we have the following table:

| $t$ | $(0)$ | $\cdots$ | $e^{c_{1}-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\left(e^{c_{1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g^{\prime}(t)$ |  | + | 0 | - |  |
| $g(t)$ |  | $\nearrow$ | $e^{c_{1}-1}$ | $\searrow$ |  |

with

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} g(t)=0 .
$$

(i-1) The case where $c_{1}<1$. In this case, we have $\alpha\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)<1$ for $0<\alpha<e^{c_{1}}$. So the domain is given by $0<\alpha<e^{c_{1}}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{e^{c_{1}}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{2}^{ \pm}
$$

Set

$$
d_{1}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{e^{c_{1}}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{2}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{2}^{ \pm} \mp d_{1}, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$, we recall that

$$
u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \frac{\left\{\alpha\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[1-\left\{\alpha\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha\right)\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}, \quad \alpha \in\left(0, e^{c_{1}}\right)
$$

Since $m \geq 2$, we can see that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists. However, by (3.3) and (3.4), we find that $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(i-2) The case where $c_{1}=1$. In this case, the domain is given by $0<\alpha<1$ or $1<\alpha<e$.
(i-2-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<1$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3}^{ \pm}
$$

On the demoninator, we set

$$
f(t):=1-t(1-\log t)
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=\log t, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, \quad f(1)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(1)=0, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(1)=1 \neq 0
$$

So we have $f(t)=(1-t)^{2} \tilde{f}(t)$ for a smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ such that $\tilde{f}(1) \neq 0$.
Hence, noting that $m \geq 2$ and

$$
1<2 \times \frac{2 m-1}{2 m}<2
$$

we find that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=\infty
$$

Thus we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

Correspondingly, we get a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class.
The $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$ is analogous to the case (i-1). We can see that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(i-2-2) On the domain $1<\alpha<e$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{e}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3}^{ \pm}
$$

As in the case (i-2-1), we can see that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \int_{e}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}(1-\log \rho)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=-\infty
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=\mp \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

(i-3) The case where $c_{1}>1$. In this case, there exist two numbers $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1}\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha_{1}\right)=1, \quad 0<\alpha_{1}<e^{c_{1}-1} \\
\alpha_{2}\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha_{2}\right)=1, \quad e^{c_{1}-1}<\alpha_{2}<e^{c_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}$ or $\alpha_{2}<\alpha<e^{c_{1}}$.
(i-3-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{4}
$$

On the denominator, we set

$$
f(t):=1-t\left(c_{1}-\log t\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(t)=1-c_{1}+\log t, \quad f\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=0 \\
& f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=1-c_{1}+\log \alpha_{1}=1-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use that $\alpha_{1}\left(c_{1}-\log \alpha_{1}\right)=1$ for the last equality. Since $c_{1}>1$, we can see that $\alpha_{1} \neq 1$, and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Thus we have $f(t)=\left(\alpha_{1}-t\right) \tilde{f}(t)$ for a smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ with $\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \neq 0$.

Since

$$
0<\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}<1
$$

the above integral converges and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{1}} \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=0
$$

Set

$$
d_{2}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_{1}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{1}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4} \mp d_{2} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{1}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Correspondingly, we get a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

Using (6.1), the $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$ can be seen as in Section 5. The $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$ is analogous to the case (i-1). We see that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(i-3-2) On the domain $\alpha_{2}<\alpha<e^{c_{1}}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{4}
$$

As in the case (i-3-1), it converges. Set

$$
d_{3}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} \int_{\alpha_{2}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}-\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{2}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4} \pm d_{3} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{2}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=\alpha_{2}$ can be seen as before.
(ii) The case where $\mu=-1$. We have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

where $\alpha>e^{-c_{1}^{*}}$ and $\alpha\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \alpha\right)<1$. Set

$$
g(t):=t\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log t\right), \quad t>e^{-c_{1}^{*}}
$$

Then, for $t>e^{-c_{1}^{*}}$, we have

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=c_{1}^{*}+1+\log t>1, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow e^{-c_{1}^{*}}} g(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty
$$

So there exists a unique number $\alpha_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{3}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \alpha_{3}\right)=1, \quad \alpha_{3}>e^{-c_{1}^{*}}
$$

and the domain is given by $e^{-c_{1}^{*}}<\alpha<\alpha_{3}$. Thus we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{3}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{5} .
$$

On the denominator, we set

$$
f(t):=1-t\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log t\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}(t)=-\left(c_{1}^{*}+1+\log t\right), \quad f\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=0 \\
f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)=-\left(c_{1}^{*}+1+\log \alpha_{3}\right)=-\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}}\right)<-1
\end{gathered}
$$

where we use that $\alpha_{3}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \alpha_{3}\right)=1$ for the last equality. Thus we have $f(t)=\left(\alpha_{3}-t\right) \tilde{f}(t)$ for a smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ with $\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \neq 0$.

Hence, the above integral converges. Set

$$
d_{4}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{-c_{1}^{*}}} \int_{\alpha_{3}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \rho\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{1-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*}+\log \rho\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{3}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{-c_{1}^{*}}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5} \mp d_{4}, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{3}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{-c_{1}^{*}}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=\alpha_{3}$ can be seen as before.

### 6.2 Connecting argument for $\lambda=-1$

Let $u_{1 \pm}$ denote the $u_{ \pm}$in the case (i) of Subsection 6.1, and $u_{2 \pm}$ denote the $u_{ \pm}$ in the case (ii) of Subsection 6.1.
(C1) Connecting (i-1) and (ii) of Subsection 6.1.
(C1-1) If we choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{2}^{+}=c_{5}-d_{4}, \quad c_{2}^{-}=c_{5}+d_{4}
$$

then by connecting the surfaces in the cases (i-1) and (ii), we get a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere. Notice that $\alpha_{3}$ depends on $c_{1}$.

Noting the orientation, by (3.3) and (3.4) for $\beta=u_{1+}(\alpha)$ and $\beta=u_{2+}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
-\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(1+\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{1+}^{\prime \prime}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1+\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}}=1, \quad \alpha \in\left(0, e^{c_{1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(1+\left(u_{2+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(u_{2+}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{2+}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1+\left(u_{2+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(u_{2+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}}=-1, \quad \alpha \in\left(e^{c_{1}}, \alpha_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we find that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}}\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{1+}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=-(2 m-1)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}}\left(u_{2+}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{2+}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=2 m-1 .
$$

Since $m \geq 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{1+}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow e^{c_{1}}} u_{2+}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=0 .
$$

Hence, $u_{1+}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2+}(\alpha)$ are connected $C^{2}$-smoothly at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$, but $k_{1}$ is not continuous at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$. The connectedness for $u_{1-}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2-}(\alpha)$ is analogous.
(C1-2) On the other hand, choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{2}^{+}=c_{5}+d_{4}, \quad c_{2}^{-}=c_{5}-d_{4} .
$$

If $d_{1} \neq d_{4}$, then we have a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class.
In this case, noting the difference of the orientation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2 m-1}\left(1+\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{1+}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1+\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(u_{1+}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}}=1, \quad \alpha \in\left(0, e^{c_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2 m-1} & \left(1+\left(u_{2-}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{2 m+1}{2 m}}\left(u_{2-}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{2 m-2}{2 m-1}} u_{2-}^{\prime \prime} \\
+ & \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(1+\left(u_{2-}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}\left(u_{2-}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m-1}}=1, \quad \alpha \in\left(e^{c_{1}}, \alpha_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see that $u_{1+}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2-}(\alpha)$ are connected $C^{2}$-smoothly at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$, and $k_{1}$ is continuous at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$ in this case. The connectedness for $u_{1-}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2+}(\alpha)$ is analogous.

This surface is of $C^{2}$-class, but as mentioned in (i-1) of Subsection 6.1, $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.

Remark. If $d_{1}=d_{4}$, then it has a singularity at a point where $\alpha=0$.
(C2) Connecting (i-2-2) and (ii) of Subsection 6.1. If we choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-1, \quad c_{3}^{+}=c_{5}+d_{4}, \quad c_{3}^{-}=c_{5}-d_{4}
$$

then we have a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class.
As in the case (C1-2), $u_{1+}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2-}(\alpha)$ are connected $C^{2}$-smoothly at $\alpha=e$, and $k_{1}$ is continuous at $\alpha=e$. The connectedness for $u_{1-}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2+}(\alpha)$ is analogous.
(C3) Connecting (i-3-2) and (ii) of Subsection 6.1. If we choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{4}=c_{5}+d_{4}-d_{3},
$$

then we have a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class. Notice that $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ depend on $c_{1}$.

As in the case (C1-2) and (C2), we can see that $u_{1+}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2-}(\alpha)$ are connected $C^{2}$-smoothly at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$, and $k_{1}$ is continuous at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$. Analogously, we can see that $u_{1-}(\alpha)$ and $u_{2+}(\alpha)$ have the same derivatives at $\alpha=e^{c_{1}}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be the $C^{2}$-curve given by connecting the graphs of $u_{1-}, u_{1+}, u_{2-}$ and $u_{2+}$. Since $\Gamma$ has the same derivatives at the end points, it can be extended periodically as a $C^{2}$-curve $\Gamma^{*}$. As a result, we obtain a periodic rotational surface which satisfies $k_{1}-k_{2}=1$ for a suitable choice of orientation.

Remark. If $d_{3}=d_{4}$, then we may have a rotational torus such that $k_{1}-k_{2}$ is a non-zero constant.

### 6.3 The case where $\lambda \neq-1$

In this case, we have

$$
\alpha^{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 m}{2 m-1}}+1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 m}}=-\mu \int \alpha^{\lambda} \alpha^{\prime} d u=-\frac{\mu}{\lambda+1} \alpha^{\lambda+1}+c_{1}(>0)
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Then

$$
\frac{d \alpha}{d u}= \pm \frac{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}
$$

and

$$
u(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

Theorem 6.2. A rotational surface in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\|\cdot\|\right)$ given by

$$
\bar{X}(\alpha, v)=(\alpha \cos v, \alpha \sin v, u(\alpha))
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $u^{\prime} \neq 0$, satisfies $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}=\mu$ for non-zero constants $\lambda \neq-1$ and $\mu$, if and only if

$$
u(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\mu \alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

for a constant $c_{1}$. Here we need to have

$$
0<c_{1}-\frac{\mu}{\lambda+1} \alpha^{\lambda+1}<\alpha^{\lambda}
$$

We divide the cases as $\lambda>0,-1<\lambda<0$ or $\lambda<-1$. It suffices to consider the case where $\mu= \pm 1$.
(i) The case where $\lambda>0$ and $\mu=1$. In this case, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}<(\lambda+1) \alpha^{\lambda} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first inequality of (6.2), we need to have $c_{1}>0$, and

$$
0<\alpha<\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}=: \alpha_{4}
$$

For the second inequality of (6.2), set

$$
f(t):=(\lambda+1) t^{\lambda}-c_{1}(\lambda+1)+t^{\lambda+1}, \quad 0<t<\alpha_{4}
$$

Since it is strictly increasing and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=-c_{1}(\lambda+1)<0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \alpha_{4}} f(t)=(\lambda+1) \alpha_{4}^{\lambda}>0
$$

there exists a unique number $\alpha_{5} \in\left(0, \alpha_{4}\right)$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)=0$.
Thus the domain is given by $\alpha_{5}<\alpha<\alpha_{4}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{5}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{2}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{5}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{5}\right)>0$, we have $f(t)=\left(t-\alpha_{5}\right) \tilde{f}(t)$ for a smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ with $\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{5}\right) \neq 0$. So the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{1}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{4}} \int_{\alpha_{5}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{5}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{2}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{4}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{2} \pm d_{1}, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{5}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{4}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) The case where $\lambda>0$ and $\mu=-1$. We have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}<(\lambda+1) \alpha^{\lambda} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii-1) The case where $c_{1}^{*}=0$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{(2 m-1)(\lambda+1)}}{\left\{(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\alpha^{2 m(\lambda+1)}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha \\
= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}}{\left\{(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha=\mp\left\{(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2 m}}+c_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It satisfies

$$
\alpha^{2 m}+\left(u_{ \pm}(\alpha)-c_{3}\right)^{2 m}=(\lambda+1)^{2 m}
$$

and the surface is homothetic to the unit sphere $S$.
(ii-2) The case where $c_{1}^{*}>0$. In this case, the first inequality of (6.3) holds obviously. For the second inequality of (6.3), set

$$
f(t):=(\lambda+1) t^{\lambda}-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)-t^{\lambda+1}, \quad t>0
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=(\lambda+1)(\lambda-t) t^{\lambda-1}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)<0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

So we need to have

$$
f(\lambda)=\lambda^{\lambda}-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)>0
$$

that is,

$$
0<c_{1}^{*}<\frac{\lambda^{\lambda}}{\lambda+1}
$$

Then there are two numbers $\alpha_{6}$ and $\alpha_{7}$ such that

$$
f\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=f\left(\alpha_{7}\right)=0, \quad 0<\alpha_{6}<\lambda<\alpha_{7} .
$$

Thus the domain is given by $\alpha_{6}<\alpha<\alpha_{7}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{6}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3} .
$$

On the denominator, we have

$$
f\left(\alpha_{6}\right)=f\left(\alpha_{7}\right)=0, \quad f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{6}\right) \neq 0, \quad f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{7}\right) \neq 0
$$

So the above integral converges as $\alpha$ tends to $\alpha_{6}$ and $\alpha_{7}$.
Set

$$
d_{2}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{7}} \int_{\alpha_{6}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{6}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{7}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3} \pm d_{2} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{6}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{7}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be the $C^{2}$-curve given by connecting $u_{+}$and $u_{-}$. Since $\Gamma$ has the same derivatives at the end points, it can be extended periodically as a $C^{2}$-curve $\Gamma^{*}$. Thus we get a periodic rotational surface.
(ii-3) The case where $c_{1}^{*}<0$. From the first inequality of (6.3), we have

$$
\alpha>\left\{\left(-c_{1}^{*}\right)(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}=: \alpha_{8} .
$$

For the second inequality of (6.3), set

$$
f(t):=(\lambda+1) t^{\lambda}-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)-t^{\lambda+1}, \quad t>\alpha_{8} .
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=(\lambda+1)(\lambda-t) t^{\lambda-1}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \alpha_{8}} f(t)=(\lambda+1) \alpha_{8}^{\lambda}>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

So there is a unique number $\alpha_{9} \in\left(\alpha_{8}, \infty\right)$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{9}\right)=0$.
Thus the domain is given by $\alpha_{8}<\alpha<\alpha_{9}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{9}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{3}
$$

On the denominator, we can see that $f\left(\alpha_{9}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{9}\right) \neq 0$, so that the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{3}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{8}} \int_{\alpha_{9}}^{\alpha} \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \rho^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{9}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{8}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{3} \mp d_{3}, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{9}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{8}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) The case where $-1<\lambda<0$ and $\mu=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha \\
& \quad= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}>0, \quad \alpha^{(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}<\lambda+1 . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first inequality of (6.4), we have $c_{1}>0$ and

$$
0<\alpha<\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}=: \alpha_{10} .
$$

For the second inequality of (6.4), set

$$
f(t):=\lambda+1-c_{1}(\lambda+1) t^{(-\lambda)}+t, \quad 0<t<\alpha_{10} .
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=1-c_{1}(-\lambda)(\lambda+1) t^{-(\lambda+1)}
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{11}:=\left\{c_{1}(-\lambda)(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}<\alpha_{10},
$$

where we use that $0<-\lambda<1$ for the inequality.
So we have the following table:

| $t$ | $(0)$ | $\cdots$ | $\alpha_{11}$ | $\cdots$ | $\left(\alpha_{10}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f^{\prime}(t)$ |  | - | 0 | + |  |
| $f(t)$ |  | $\searrow$ |  | $\nearrow$ |  |

with

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} f(t)=\lambda+1>0,
$$

and

$$
f\left(\alpha_{11}\right)=(\lambda+1)\left[1-(-\lambda)^{\frac{(-\lambda)}{\lambda+1}}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}\right] .
$$

(iii-1) The case where $0<c_{1}<\left\{(\lambda+1)(-\lambda)^{(-\lambda)}\right\}^{-1}$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{11}\right)>0$ and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{10}$. Then

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{4}^{ \pm}
$$

Set

$$
d_{4}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}^{ \pm} \pm d_{4} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

On the $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$, we note that

$$
u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \frac{\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1) \alpha^{(-\lambda)}-\alpha\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1) \alpha^{(-\lambda)}-\alpha\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} .
$$

Hence, $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists if and only if $(2 m-1)(-\lambda) \geq 1$.
Howover, by (3.3), (3.4) and that $-1<\lambda<0$, we find that $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(iii-2) The case where $c_{1}=\left\{(\lambda+1)(-\lambda)^{(-\lambda)}\right\}^{-1}$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{11}\right)=0$ and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{11}$ or $\alpha_{11}<\alpha<\alpha_{10}$.
(iii-2-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<\alpha_{11}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{4}^{ \pm}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{11}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{11}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha_{11}\right) \neq 0$, we have $f(t)=\left(\alpha_{11}-t\right)^{2} \tilde{f}(t)$ for a smooth function $\tilde{f}(t)$ with $\tilde{f}\left(\alpha_{11}\right) \neq 0$.

Thus, noting that $m \geq 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{11}} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=\infty
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{11}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

Correspondingly, we get a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class.
As in the case (iii-1), $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists if and only if $(2 m-1)(-\lambda) \geq 1$, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(iii-2-2) On the domain $\alpha_{11}<\alpha<\alpha_{10}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{10}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{4}^{ \pm}
$$

As in the case (iii-2-1), we can see that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{4}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{11}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=\mp \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

(iii-3) The case where $c_{1}>\left\{(\lambda+1)(-\lambda)^{(-\lambda)}\right\}^{-1}$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{11}\right)<0$. There are two numbers $\alpha_{12}$ and $\alpha_{13}$ such that

$$
f\left(\alpha_{12}\right)=f\left(\alpha_{13}\right)=0, \quad 0<\alpha_{12}<\alpha_{11}<\alpha_{13}<\alpha_{10}
$$

and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{12}$ or $\alpha_{13}<\alpha<\alpha_{10}$.
(iii-3-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<\alpha_{12}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{12}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{5}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{12}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{12}\right) \neq 0$, the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{5}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_{12}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{12}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5} \mp d_{5}, \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{12}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Correspondingly, we get a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

As in the case (iii-1), $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists if and only if $(2 m-1)(-\lambda) \geq 1$, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(iii-3-2) On the domain $\alpha_{13}<\alpha<\alpha_{10}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{13}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{5} .
$$

As in the case (iii-3-1), it converges. Set

$$
d_{6}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} \int_{\alpha_{13}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}(\lambda+1)-\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{13}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{5} \pm d_{6}, \\
& \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{13}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{10}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) The case where $-1<\lambda<0$ and $\mu=-1$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int \frac{\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m} \alpha^{2 m \lambda}-\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}} d \alpha} \\
= \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}>0, \quad \alpha^{(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\alpha^{\lambda+1}\right\}<\lambda+1 . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv-1) The case where $c_{1}^{*}=0$. As in the case (ii-1), the surface is homothetic to the unit sphere $S$.
(iv-2) The case where $c_{1}^{*}>0$. The first inequality of (6.5) holds obviously. For the second inequality of (6.5), set

$$
f(t):=\lambda+1-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1) t^{(-\lambda)}-t, \quad t>0 .
$$

Since it is strictly decreasing and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\lambda+1>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

there is a unique number $\alpha_{14}>0$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{14}\right)=0$.
The domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{14}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{14}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{6}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{14}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{14}\right)<0$, the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{7}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_{14}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{14}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{6}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{6} \mp d_{7} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{14}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

As in the case (iii-1), $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists if and only if $(2 m-1)(-\lambda) \geq 1$, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(iv-3) The case where $c_{1}^{*}<0$. From the first inequality of (6.5), we have

$$
\alpha>\left\{\left(-c_{1}^{*}\right)(\lambda+1)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}=: \alpha_{15} .
$$

For the second inequality of (6.5), set

$$
f(t):=\lambda+1-c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1) t^{(-\lambda)}-t, \quad t>\alpha_{15} .
$$

Since

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=\left(-c_{1}^{*}\right)(-\lambda)(\lambda+1) t^{-(\lambda+1)}-1<-1
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \alpha_{15}} f(t)=\lambda+1>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

there is a unique number $\alpha_{16} \in\left(\alpha_{15}, \infty\right)$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{16}\right)=0$.
The domain is given by $\alpha_{15}<\alpha<\alpha_{16}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{16}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{6}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{16}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{16}\right)<-1$, the above integral converges.

$$
d_{8}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{15}} \int_{\alpha_{16}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{(2 m-1)(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m-1}}{\left[(\lambda+1)^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m(-\lambda)}\left\{c_{1}^{*}(\lambda+1)+\rho^{\lambda+1}\right\}^{2 m}\right]^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{16}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{6}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{15}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{6} \mp d_{8} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{16}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{15}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(v) The case where $\lambda<-1$ and $\mu=1$. Set $\omega:=-(\lambda+1)>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{ \pm}(\alpha) & = \pm \int \frac{\left(c_{1} \omega+\alpha^{-\omega}\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m} \alpha^{-2 m(\omega+1)}-\left(c_{1} \omega+\alpha^{-\omega}\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha \\
& = \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega}+1>0, \quad \alpha\left(c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega}+1\right)<\omega \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v-1) The case where $c_{1}=0$. The surface is homothetic to the unit sphere $S$.
(v-2) The case where $c_{1}>0$. The first inequality of (6.6) holds obviously. For the second inequality of (6.6), set

$$
f(t):=\omega-t\left(c_{1} \omega t^{\omega}+1\right), \quad t>0
$$

Since

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=-c_{1} \omega(\omega+1) t^{\omega}-1<-1 \text { for } t>0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\omega>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

there is a unique number $\alpha_{17}>0$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{17}\right)=0$.
The domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{17}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{17}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{7}
$$

On the denominator, we have $f\left(\alpha_{17}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{17}\right)<-1$, so that the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{9}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_{17}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{17}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{7}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{7} \mp d_{9}
$$

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{17}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

Thus we have a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

On the $C^{2}$-smoothness at $\alpha=0$, we note that

$$
u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \frac{\left(c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega+1}+\alpha\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\left(c_{1} \omega \alpha^{\omega+1}+\alpha\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}}
$$

In this case, we find that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, and by (3.3) and (3.4), $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(v-3) The case where $c_{1}<0$. From the first ineaulity of (6.6), we have

$$
0<\alpha<\frac{1}{\left\{\left(-c_{1}\right) \omega\right\}^{1 / \omega}}=: \alpha_{18}
$$

For the second inequality of (6.6), set

$$
f(t):=\omega-t\left(c_{1} \omega t^{\omega}+1\right), \quad 0<t<\alpha_{18} .
$$

Then

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=\left(-c_{1}\right) \omega(\omega+1) t^{\omega}-1
$$

Set

$$
\alpha_{19}:=\frac{1}{\left\{\left(-c_{1}\right) \omega(\omega+1)\right\}^{1 / \omega}}<\alpha_{18} .
$$

We have the following table:

| $t$ | $(0)$ | $\cdots$ | $\alpha_{19}$ | $\cdots$ | $\left(\alpha_{18}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f^{\prime}(t)$ |  | - | 0 | + |  |
| $f(t)$ |  | $\searrow$ |  | $\nearrow$ |  |

with

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} f(t)=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} f(t)=\omega
$$

and

$$
f\left(\alpha_{19}\right)=\omega-\frac{\omega}{\omega+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\left\{\left(-c_{1}\right) \omega(\omega+1)\right\}^{1 / \omega}} .
$$

(v-3-1) The case where $c_{1}<-\frac{1}{\omega(\omega+1)^{\omega+1}}$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{19}\right)>0$ and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{18}$. Then

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{8}^{ \pm}
$$

Set

$$
d_{10}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{8}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{8}^{ \pm} \pm d_{10} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

As in the case $(\mathrm{v}-2), \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, and $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(v-3-2) The case where $c_{1}=-\frac{1}{\omega(\omega+1)^{\omega+1}}$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{19}\right)=0$ and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{19}$ or $\alpha_{19}<\alpha<\alpha_{18}$.
(v-3-2-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<\alpha_{19}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{8}^{ \pm}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{19}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{19}\right)=0, f^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha_{19}\right) \neq 0$ and $m \geq 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{19}} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho=\infty .
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{8}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{19}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

Thus we have a rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class.
As in the case $(\mathrm{v}-2), \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, and $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(v-3-2-2) On the domain $\alpha_{19}<\alpha<\alpha_{18}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{18}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{8}^{ \pm}
$$

As in the case (v-3-2-1), we can see that

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{8}^{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{19}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=\mp \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
$$

(v-3-3) The case where $-\frac{1}{\omega(\omega+1)^{\omega+1}}<c_{1}<0$. In this case, we have $f\left(\alpha_{19}\right)<$ 0 . So there are two numbers $\alpha_{20}$ and $\alpha_{21}$ such that

$$
f\left(\alpha_{20}\right)=f\left(\alpha_{21}\right)=0, \quad 0<\alpha_{20}<\alpha_{19}<\alpha_{21}<\alpha_{18}
$$

and the domain is given by $0<\alpha<\alpha_{20}$ or $\alpha_{21}<\alpha<\alpha_{18}$.
(v-3-3-1) On the domain $0<\alpha<\alpha_{20}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{20}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{9}
$$

On the denominator, since $f\left(\alpha_{20}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{20}\right) \neq 0$, the above integral converges.

Set

$$
d_{11}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{20}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{9}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{9} \mp d_{11} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{20}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have a closed rotational surface of $C^{1}$-class which is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere.

As in the case $(\mathrm{v}-2), \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_{ \pm}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ exists, and $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(v-3-3-2) On the doamin $\alpha_{21}<\alpha<\alpha_{18}$, we have

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{21}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{9}
$$

As in the case (v-3-3-1), it converges. Set

$$
d_{12}:=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} \int_{\alpha_{21}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1} \omega \rho^{\omega}+1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{21}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{9}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{9} \pm d_{12} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{21}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{18}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

(vi) The case where $\lambda<-1$ and $\mu=-1$. Setting $\omega:=-(\lambda+1)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{ \pm}(\alpha) & = \pm \int \frac{\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega-\alpha^{-\omega}\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m} \alpha^{-2 m(\omega+1)}-\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega-\alpha^{-\omega}\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha \\
& = \pm \int \frac{\alpha^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \alpha^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\alpha^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \alpha^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{*} \omega \alpha^{\omega}-1>0, \quad \alpha\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \alpha^{\omega}-1\right)<\omega . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first inequality of (6.7), we have $c_{1}^{*}>0$ and

$$
\alpha>\frac{1}{\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega\right)^{1 / \omega}}=: \alpha_{22}
$$

For the second inequality of (6.7), set

$$
f(t):=\omega-t\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega t^{\omega}-1\right), \quad t>\alpha_{22} .
$$

Since

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=1-c_{1}^{*} \omega(\omega+1) t^{\omega}<-\omega \text { for } t>\alpha_{22}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \alpha_{22}} f(t)=\omega>0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=-\infty
$$

there is a unique number $\alpha_{23} \in\left(\alpha_{22}, \infty\right)$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{23}\right)=0$.
The domain is given by $\alpha_{22}<\alpha<\alpha_{23}$, and

$$
u_{ \pm}(\alpha)= \pm \int_{\alpha_{23}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \rho^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \rho^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho+c_{10}
$$

Since $f\left(\alpha_{23}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{23}\right) \neq 0$, this integral converges.
Set

$$
d_{13}:=-\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{22}} \int_{\alpha_{23}}^{\alpha} \frac{\rho^{2 m-1}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \rho^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m-1}}{\left\{\omega^{2 m}-\rho^{2 m}\left(c_{1}^{*} \omega \rho^{\omega}-1\right)^{2 m}\right\}^{\frac{2 m-1}{2 m}}} d \rho
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{23}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{10}, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{22}} u_{ \pm}(\alpha)=c_{10} \mp d_{13} \\
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{23}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)= \pm \infty, \quad \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_{22}} u_{ \pm}^{\prime}(\alpha)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

### 6.4 Connecting argument for $\lambda \neq-1$

We use the notation $u_{1 \pm}, u_{2 \pm}, \ldots, u_{6 \pm}$ to denote the $u_{ \pm}$in the case (i), (ii),, , (vi) of Subsection 6.3, respectievly.
(C4) Connecting (i) and (ii-3) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{2}=c_{3}+d_{3}-d_{1} .
$$

Then $u_{1+}$ and $u_{2-}$ are connected at $\alpha=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{8}$. As in Subsection 6.2, we can see that they are connected $C^{2}$-smoothly, and also $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are continuous.

Let $\Gamma$ be the $C^{2}$-curve given by connecting the graphs of $u_{1-}, u_{1+}, u_{2-}$ and $u_{2+}$. Since $\Gamma$ has the same derivatives at the end points, it can be extended periodically as a $C^{2}$-curve $\Gamma^{*}$, and we get a periodic rotational surface. If $d_{1}=d_{3}$, then we may have a rotational torus such that $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}$ is a non-zero constant, where $\lambda$ is a positive constant.
(C5) Connecting (iii-1) and (iv-3) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{4}^{+}=c_{6}+d_{8}-d_{4}, \quad c_{4}^{-}=c_{6}-d_{8}+d_{4} .
$$

If $(2 m-1)(-\lambda)>1$ and $d_{4} \neq d_{8}$, we get a rotational surface of $C^{2}$-class, but $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are not extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(C6) Connecting (iii-2-2) and (iv-3) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{4}^{+}=c_{6}+d_{8}, \quad c_{4}^{-}=c_{6}-d_{8}
$$

Then we have a rotational surface of $C^{2}$-class.
(C7) Connecting (iii-3-2) and (iv-3) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{5}=c_{6}+d_{8}-d_{6}
$$

In this case, we can get a periodic rotational surface. If $d_{6}=d_{8}$, then we may have a rotational torus such that $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}$ is a non-zero constant, where $\lambda$ is a constant with $-1<\lambda<0$.
(C8) Connecting (v-3-1) and (vi) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{8}^{+}=c_{10}+d_{13}-d_{10}, \quad c_{8}^{-}=c_{10}-d_{13}+d_{10} .
$$

If $d_{10} \neq d_{13}$, then we have a rotational surface of $C^{2}$-class, and $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are extended continuously to $\alpha=0$.
(C9) Connecting (v-3-2-2) and (vi) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{8}^{+}=c_{10}+d_{13}, \quad c_{8}^{-}=c_{10}-d_{13} .
$$

Then we have a rotational surface of $C^{2}$-class.
(C10) Connecting (v-3-3-2) and (vi) of Subsection 6.3. Choose

$$
c_{1}^{*}=-c_{1}, \quad c_{9}=c_{10}+d_{13}-d_{12} .
$$

In this case, we have a periodic rotational surface. If $d_{12}=d_{13}$, then we may have a rotational torus such that $k_{1}+\lambda k_{2}$ is a non-zero constant, where $\lambda$ is a constant with $\lambda<-1$.
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