

Electric polarization evolution equation for antiferromagnetic multiferroics with the polarization proportional to the scalar product of the spins

Pavel A. Andreev*

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

Mariya Iv. Trukhanova†

*Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991. and
Russian Academy of Sciences, Nuclear Safety Institute (IBRAE),
B. Tul'skaya 52, Moscow, Russian Federation, 115191.*

(Dated: March 5, 2024)

The spin current model of electric polarization of multiferroics is justified via the quantum hydrodynamic method and the mean-field part of the spin-orbit interaction. The spin current model is applied to derive the electric polarization proportional to the scalar product of the spins of the near by ions, which appears to be caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. Symmetric tensor spin structure of the polarization is discussed as well. We start our derivations for the ferromagnetic multiferroic materials and present the further generalization for the antiferromagnetic multiferroic materials. We rederive the operator of the electric dipole moment, which provides the macroscopic polarization obtained via the spin current model. Finally, we use the quantum average of the found electric dipole moment operator in order to derive the polarization evolution equation for the antiferromagnetic multiferroic materials. Possibility of spiral spin structures is analyzed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation happens to be highly effective method of the theoretical study of the macroscopic processes of the magnetization evolution in the magnetically ordered materials. However, if we deal with the multiferroic materials it requires equation for the polarization evolution. Therefore, the problem of derivation of the polarization evolution equation is formulated [1], [2]. There are three mechanisms of the polarization formation in the II-type multiferroics [3]. Two of them are considered in Refs. [1], [2] for the ferromagnetic multiferroics. Here, we consider the polarization evolution equation for the antiferromagnetic multiferroics, where the electric polarization is proportional to the scalar product of the spins of the neighboring ions.

Another subject of the study of multiferroics is their classification. Fig. 2 of Ref. [3] gives an illustration of classification of the mechanisms of ferroelectricity of spin origin. Particularly, there is Inverse Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya model associated with the spin current, which is also called the spin current model. In this paper, we are focused on the analytical justification of the spin current model, which basically states that the polarization is proportional to the spin-current $P^\alpha \sim \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} J^{\beta\gamma}$, where P^α is the polarization, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the three-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol, $J^{\beta\gamma}$ is the spin current tensor. We show that it can be derived with no relation to particular mechanism of the polarization formation. Further application of the spin current caused by different mechanisms leads

to the electric dipole moment proportional to the scalar product of the spins, or the electric dipole moment proportional to the vector product of the spins. Presented here analytical derivation of polarization (its macroscopic form and corresponding microscopic electric dipole moment) allows less freedom in interpretation of physical mechanisms leading to the polarization formation.

Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation contains a number of terms representing major phenomena existing in the magnetically ordered materials. One of major interactions in the ferromagnetic materials is the exchange interaction, which is modeled by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. Multiferroic materials are the magnetically ordered materials so similar mechanisms can affect the dynamics of polarization in the multiferroic materials. Especially it is related to the II-type multiferroics, where magnetic and dielectric phenomena are deeply related, in contrast to the I-type multiferroics, where magnetic and dielectric phenomena are coexisting. Therefore, we consider the role of the exchange interaction in the evolution of polarization in the regime, where the electric dipole moment proportional to the scalar product of the spins.

the many-particle quantum hydrodynamic method

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II microscopic derivation of the spin evolution equation for the antiferromagnetic materials is developed within the many-particle quantum hydrodynamic method. In Sec. III the approximate form of the polarization is considered for ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic multiferroics, if the electric dipole moment is proportional to the scalar product of the spins. In Sec. IV the spin current model is derived from the momentum balance equation with the spin-orbit interaction. Furthermore, in Sec. IV, the spin-current caused by Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction is presented in order to obtain corresponding polarization.

*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

†Electronic address: trukhanova@physics.msu.ru

In Sec. V the polarization evolution equation is derived as the evolution of the quantum average of operator of the electric dipole moment under influence of the Zeeman energy and the Coulomb exchange interaction both for ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic multiferroics. In Sec. VI the equilibrium solutions for the obtained model of multiferroics are discussed including the spiral structures. In Sec. VII a brief summary of obtained results is presented.

II. THE SPIN EVOLUTION EQUATION FOR THE ANTIFERROMAGNETIC MATERIAL: THE MICROSCOPIC BASED DERIVATION WITH THE EXCHANGE INTERACTION

If we consider the spin evolution equation in the ferromagnetic materials with primarily exchange interaction we find $\partial_t \mathbf{S} = (1/6)g_u[\mathbf{S}, \Delta \mathbf{S}]$, where $g_u = \int \xi^2 U(\xi) d^3 \xi$. Firstly, it is based on the Heisenberg Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N U_1(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j)$. Function $U_1(r_{ij})$ is the exchange integral. It depends on the distance between interaction particles. In combination with the spin operators it gives an effective potential energy. Function $U_1(r_{ij})$ drops at the distance larger average interparticle distance. So, it includes the interaction of neighbouring atoms or ions. It can include the interaction of the atoms separated by an atom, but in does not include influence of atoms located at the further distances.

In order to get the systematic derivation of the spin evolution equation we need to define the spin density of the system. For the quantum systems it is defined as the quantum average of the spin operator $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR, \quad (1)$$

where i is the number of atoms. The spin operators obey the commutation relation

$$[\hat{s}_i^\alpha, \hat{s}_j^\beta] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \hat{s}_i^\gamma, \quad (2)$$

where α, β, γ are the tensor indexes, so each of them is equal to x, y, z , summation on the repeating Greek symbol is assumed, i is the imaginary unit, δ_{ij} is the three-dimensional Kronecker symbol, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the three-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol.

We consider systems of atoms or ions. Hence, we deal with structured objects or particles. There is exchange interaction of valence electrons in each ion. It gives contribution in the properties in the ion as the particle under consideration. However, there is short-range correlation between neighboring ions due to the exchange interaction of valence electrons belonging to different ions. This interaction is included in the model presented below via the Heisenberg Hamiltonian.

Evolution of the wave function of system of ions is described by the Pauli equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \Psi(R, t) = \hat{H} \Psi(R, t). \quad (3)$$

In this paper we mostly focused on the evolution of spin density and polarization under influence of the Zeeman energy and the Coulomb exchange interaction

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N U(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j), \quad (4)$$

\hbar is the Planck constant, N number of atoms/ions in the system, $\Psi(R, t)$ many-particle wave function of the system, $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\}$, \mathbf{B}_i is the magnetic field, acting on i -th atom, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i$ magnetic moment operator, which is proportional to the spin operator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i = \gamma_i \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$ with the gyromagnetic ratio γ_i , $U_{ij} = U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$ is the exchange integral of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian as the function of the interparticle distance, (the exchange integral). Let us repeat that N is the full number of atoms under consideration. It can be considered as the sum of numbers of particles in each of two species of the magnetic atoms $N = N_A + N_B$. Formally, we have interaction between all pairs of atoms in the system in the second term of Hamiltonian (4), but the short range of function $U(r_{ij})$ leads to the contribution of the neighboring atoms only.

If we consider two subsystems for the antiferromagnetic material, we need to define the spin density for each subsystem

$$\mathbf{S}_s(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_{i \in s} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR, \quad (5)$$

where index s refer to the number of the species of atoms, or, in the simplest case, it can be atoms of the same isotop with opposite spin projection. We focus on the system of two subspecies $s = A$ and $s = B$.

Next, we consider the spin evolution equation. The time derivative acts on the wave function, while the time derivative of the wave function is replaced with Hamiltonian in accordance with the Pauli equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S}_s(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \int \sum_{i \in s} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \Psi^\dagger(R, t) [\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i] \Psi(R, t) dR. \quad (6)$$

The partial contribution in the spin evolution equation from the Zeeman energy $-\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_i$ in Hamiltonian (4) leads to $\partial_t \mathbf{S}_s = \frac{2\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\hbar} [\mathbf{S}_s, \mathbf{B}]$. If we consider the interaction of the nearest neighbors, which corresponds to the interaction of the different subspecies we find the following contribution of the second term of Hamiltonian (4) in the spin evolution equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S}_s = g_{0u, AB} [\mathbf{S}_s, \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s}] + \frac{1}{6} g_{u, AB} [\mathbf{S}_s, \Delta \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s}]. \quad (7)$$

If we consider the interaction second row neighbours, which corresponds to the interaction of atoms of the same species we find

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S}_s = \frac{1}{6} g_u [\mathbf{S}_s, \Delta \mathbf{S}_s]. \quad (8)$$

If we consider antiferromagnetic material composed of atoms of the same species, we have same form of potential of interaction atoms of same subspecies and atoms of different subspecies. However, signs of the potentials are different since the antiferromagnetic order corresponds to the negative exchange integral $g_{u,AB} < 0$ while atoms with the same spin direction have positive exchange integral $g_u > 0$. So we can assume the following relation of the interaction constants $g_{u,AB} = -g_u$. Similarly, we have relation for the zeroth order constant $g_{0u,AB} = -g_{0u}$. It allows us to combine equations (7) and (8) in order to get the spin evolution equation under the exchange interaction of two subspecies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{S}_s = & \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} [\mathbf{S}_s, \mathbf{B}] - g_{0u} [\mathbf{S}_s, \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s}] \\ & + \frac{1}{6} g_u [\mathbf{S}_s, \Delta(\mathbf{S}_s - \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s})]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is well-known that the modeling of the antiferromagnetic materials includes superpositions of the partial magnetizations [4], in our case spin densities. Hence, we introduce $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{S}_A + \mathbf{S}_B$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{S}_A - \mathbf{S}_B$. In literature, \mathbf{L} is used for the difference of the magnetizations [4], hopefully it would not confuse readers. It leads to the following equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} [\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{B}] + \frac{1}{6} g_u [\mathbf{L}, \Delta \mathbf{L}], \quad (10)$$

and

$$\partial_t \mathbf{L} = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{B}] + \frac{1}{6} g_u [\mathbf{\Sigma}, \Delta \mathbf{L}] + g_{0u,AB} [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{\Sigma}], \quad (11)$$

where we used simple representation $[\mathbf{S}_A, \mathbf{S}_B] = [\mathbf{S}_A - \mathbf{S}_B, \mathbf{S}_B] = [\mathbf{S}_A - \mathbf{S}_B, \mathbf{S}_A + \mathbf{S}_B - \mathbf{S}_A] = [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{\Sigma}] - [\mathbf{S}_A - \mathbf{S}_B, \mathbf{S}_A] = [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{\Sigma}] - [\mathbf{S}_A, \mathbf{S}_B]$. So, we get $2[\mathbf{S}_A, \mathbf{S}_B] = [\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{\Sigma}]$. Here we have $|\mathbf{S}_A| \approx |\mathbf{S}_B|$, and $\mathbf{S}_A \approx -\mathbf{S}_B$. So, the sum of partial spin densities $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{S}_A + \mathbf{S}_B$ is a small value in the antiferromagnetic material, and $|\mathbf{L}| \approx 2|\mathbf{S}_A| \approx 2|\mathbf{S}_B|$.

Equation (10) is obtained for a small vector $|\mathbf{\Sigma}| \ll |\mathbf{L}|$. The first (second) term on the right-hand side is proportional to the small vector $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ (to the small combination of parameters $g_u \Delta \mathbf{L}$). In equation (11) we see the first term with no small parameters, the third term containing the small vector $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, and the second term containing the product of the small parameters $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ and $g_u \Delta \mathbf{L}$. So, the second term can be dropped in further applications.

Equations (10) and (11) are well-known for the antiferromagnetic materials [4]. However, our derivation allows to establish the explicit form of coefficients in this equation in relation to the microscopic nature of the interaction entering the Pauli equation (4). Our major goal in this paper is the derivation of the polarization evolution equation for the multiferroic materials. However, the derivation of equations (10) and (11) shows usefulness of our method of derivation of the macroscopic equations

from the microscopic theory. Details of the derivation are not considered here, but the method of derivation can be found in Ref. [2] and [5]. This work on the microscopic justification of the macroscopic equations for the magnetization and polarization is a part of interdisciplinary field, where similar justifications are made for the classical and quantum systems [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

III. MICROSCOPIC DEFINITION OF THE MACROSCOPIC POLARIZATION IN THE EXCHANGE STRICTION MODEL

Electric dipole moment related to a pair of neighboring magnetic ions in the exchange striction regime is proportional to the scalar product of spins of these ions [3]

$$\mathbf{d}_i \sim \mathbf{\Pi}(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}). \quad (12)$$

The nonmagnetic ions contributing in the dipole moment are not considered explicitly in this equation. Equation (12) is useful to analyze a linear structure. Hence, we make representation to the electric dipole moment of two ions

$$d_{ij}^\alpha = \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j), \quad (13)$$

where $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ is the interparticle distance, and dependence of vector function $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})$ ensures that the nearest neighbors give contribution in dipole moment d_{ij}^α .

We develop the quantum theory of multiferroics so we need to make transition to the operator form of the electric dipole moment via the consideration of the spin operators $\hat{d}_{ij}^\alpha = \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j)$. Considering all nearest neighbors of i -th atom/ion we get the full electric dipole moment related to this atom

$$\hat{d}_i^\alpha = \sum_{j \neq i} \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j). \quad (14)$$

We can use operator of the electric dipole moment (14) in order to find approximate representation via the spin density, which can be an analog of the M. Mostovoy [12], but for different physical regime. Therefore, we present the quantum average of operator $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i$, which gives the macroscopic polarization

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR. \quad (15)$$

Substituting of operator (14) in the polarization definition (15) and account of the formation of the electric dipole moment by the nearest neighbors allows to get required approximate form of the polarization. We need explicitly introduce the interparticle distance in all functions in definition (15) as follows $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{R}_{ij} + (1/2)\mathbf{r}_{ij}$, and $\mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{R}_{ij} - (1/2)\mathbf{r}_{ij}$, where $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j)/2$, and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$. This substitution includes the arguments of the wave function $\Psi(R, t) = \Psi(\dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j, \dots, t)$.

A. The polarization of the ferromagnetic multiferroics

In this paper, we mostly focused on the antiferromagnetic materials. However, for comparison, it can be useful to obtain the polarization of the ferromagnetic multiferroics in the "exchange striction" regime [3]. We use equation (15) with operator of the electric dipole moment (14) and make expansion on the interparticle distance (some details of the method are described in Ref. [2]). It allows us to get approximate expression of the polarization (15) in terms of the spin density:

$$P^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha \mathbf{S}^2 + \frac{1}{6} g_{\Pi}^\alpha (\mathbf{S} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{S}), \quad (16)$$

where $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha = \int \Pi^\alpha(r) d\mathbf{r}$, and $g_{\Pi}^\alpha = \int \xi^2 \Pi^\alpha(\xi) d\xi$. Equation (16) can be also represented in the following form $P^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha \mathbf{S}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2^2} g_{\Pi}^\alpha [\Delta \mathbf{S}^2 - 2(\partial_\mu S^\nu)(\partial_\mu S^\nu)]$.

Equation (16) is the result of expansion on the relative distance. We included three terms of expansion. The first and major term is proportional to $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha$. The second term is equal to zero. The third term is proportional to g_{Π}^α appears as a correction.

B. The polarization of the antiferromagnetic multiferroics

Formation of dipole (12) happens due to the presence of the nonmagnetic ion with the opposite charge between ions i and $i + 1$. If we consider the antiferromagnetic material we find the alternation of the "spin-up" and "spin-down" ions. So, if ion i is "spin-up" then ion $i + 1$ is "spin-down". It shows that if we consider a line of magnetic ions, we have the nonmagnetic ion after each magnetic ion. It has no relation to the spin direction of the magnetic ion. Hence, the effective dipole moment (14) can be associated with each magnetic ion ("spin-up" or "spin-down")

$$\hat{d}_{i \in s}^\alpha = \sum_{j \in s'} \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j), \quad (17)$$

where index s or s' refers to subsystem of spin-up or spin-down ions. Moreover, s and s' refers to different subsystems. It leads to the polarization definition in the antiferromagnetic multiferroics

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_{i \in A \cup B} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR, \quad (18)$$

where $s = A$ refers to subsystem of spin-up ions, and $s = B$ refers to subsystem of spin-down ions. Summation in equation (18) explicitly specifies that index s in operator (17) belongs to both subspecies A and B . Same is true for the index s' , but they cannot belong to the same subspecies. Definition (17) can be splitted on two partial

polarizations $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_A + \mathbf{P}_B$

$$\mathbf{P}_A(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_{i \in A} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR, \quad (19)$$

with $\hat{d}_{i \in A}^\alpha = \sum_{j \in B} \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j)$, and

$$\mathbf{P}_B(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_{i \in B} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t)) dR, \quad (20)$$

with $\hat{d}_{i \in B}^\alpha = \sum_{j \in A} \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j)$. In order to make the splitting given by equations (19) and (20) we specify the subspecies $s = A$ or $s = B$ in operator (17).

We can calculate \mathbf{P}_A and \mathbf{P}_B separately

$$\begin{aligned} P_A^\alpha &= g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha \mathbf{S}_A \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2^3} g_{\Pi}^\alpha \left[\Delta (\mathbf{S}_A \mathbf{S}_B) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\partial_\mu [S_A^\nu \partial_\mu S_B^\nu - S_B^\nu \partial_\mu S_A^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + S_A^\nu (\Delta S_B^\nu) + S_B^\nu (\Delta S_A^\nu) - 2(\partial_\mu S_A^\nu)(\partial_\mu S_B^\nu) \right], \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_B^\alpha &= g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha \mathbf{S}_A \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2^3} g_{\Pi}^\alpha \left[\Delta (\mathbf{S}_A \mathbf{S}_B) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\partial_\mu [S_B^\nu \partial_\mu S_A^\nu - S_A^\nu \partial_\mu S_B^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + S_A^\nu \Delta S_B^\nu + S_B^\nu \Delta S_A^\nu - 2(\partial_\mu S_A^\nu)(\partial_\mu S_B^\nu) \right]. \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

Equations (21) and (22) have similar structure. The difference between them is in the replacement of subindexes $A \leftrightarrow B$. We obtain major contribution appearing from the first order of the expansion on the relative distance. It is indicated by the coefficient $g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha$. We also find correction to the major term. These corrections contain the coordinate derivatives of the spin density. These terms are indicated by the coefficient $g_{\Pi, AB}^\alpha$. Definitions of $g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha$ and $g_{\Pi, AB}^\alpha$ are identical to the definitions of $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha$ and g_{Π}^α presented after equation (16).

Next, we combine equations (21) and (22) to get an expression for polarization of the whole system

$$P^\alpha = 2g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha \mathbf{S}_A \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{1}{6} g_{\Pi, AB}^\alpha (S_A^\nu \Delta S_B^\nu + S_B^\nu \Delta S_A^\nu). \quad (23)$$

Equation (23) shows that the direction of polarization is not related to the direction of the spin or the direction of change of the spin density in space. The direction is defined by coefficients $g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha$ and $g_{\Pi, AB}^\alpha$. Below, we show that both constants have same direction. It is the direction of the shift of the nonmagnetic ion from the line of the magnetic ions.

We also represent the major term in the polarization of via vectors \mathbf{L} and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$:

$$P^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha (\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 - \mathbf{L}^2) \approx -\frac{1}{2} g_{0\Pi, AB}^\alpha \mathbf{L}^2. \quad (24)$$

IV. DERIVATION OF SPIN CURRENT MODEL

A. The spin current model as the consequence of the momentum balance equation with spin-orbit interaction

It is possible to use the electric dipole moment operator (14) for the further derivation of the macroscopic polarization evolution equation as an addition to the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation for the study of perturbations and structures in the multiferroics. However, we are going to derive and justify the electric dipole moment operator (14) starting from the quantum microscopic theory. Therefore, we consider the many-particle Pauli equation (3) with the following Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[-\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_i - \frac{1}{mc} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i \cdot [\mathbf{E}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i]) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \left(U_{ij} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot [\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j] \right) \right], \quad (25)$$

where m the mass of atom/ion, c speed of light in vacuum, $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i$ the electric dipole moment operator, being defined via the displacement of ions with different charges, its relation to the spins of ions will be found below, \mathbf{E}_i is the electric field, acting on i -th dipole, $U_{ij} = U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$ is the exchange integral of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian as the function of the interparticle distance, \mathbf{D}_{ij} is the Dzyalaoshinskii vector constant. The Dzyalaoshinskii vector has the structure related to the relative position of two magnetic ions and one nonmagnetic ion (the ligand ion) [10], [11]. It can be presented via the vector product of radius-vectors of magnetic ions relatively nonmagnetic ion [10]. Otherwise, it can be presented as the vector product of the relative position of two magnetic ions and the shift of nonmagnetic ion from the line connecting two magnetic ions [11] $\mathbf{D}_{ij} \sim \mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \boldsymbol{\delta}$. This simple formula is useful if we discuss one cell. However, if we consider whole crystal macroscopically we need to specify that we consider two neighboring ions. Hence, we introduce a function, which decreases (drops to zero) at the distances beyond period of crystal cell. So, we have the following structure $\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \beta(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \times \boldsymbol{\delta}$.

Let us also describe the physical meaning of terms in Hamiltonian (25). First term is the action of the electric field on the electric dipole moment. The second term is the action of the magnetic field on the magnetic moment. The third term is the spin-orbit interaction showing the action of the electric field on the moving magnetic moment. The fourth term is the Coulomb exchange interaction presented by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. The last term is the Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction.

It is essential to specify that the electric dipole moment is related to the group of magnetic and nonmagnetic ions. However, in Sec. III we contracted the electric dipole mo-

ment operator associated with each magnetic ion. This meaning of the operator is implicated in the Hamiltonian.

B. The spin current model in ferromagnetic materials

Here, we derive the macroscopic polarization corresponding to the dipole moment operator (14) via the spin current model. Here we also show that the spin current model follows from the momentum balance equation. Therefore, we derive the momentum balance equation corresponding to the Hamiltonian (25).

To derive the momentum balance equation we need to define the momentum density via the many-particle wave function as the quantum average of the momentum operator of each particle

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \left(\Psi_S^\dagger(R, t) \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \Psi_S(R, t) + h.c. \right) dR, \quad (26)$$

where $h.c.$ is the Hermitian conjugation, and $\mathbf{p}_i = -i\hbar \nabla_i$ is the momentum operator of i -th particle.

We consider the time derivative of the momentum density (26). The time derivative acts on the wave function, while the time derivative of the wave function is replaced with Hamiltonian in accordance with the Pauli equation:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{\hbar} \int \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \times \left(\Psi^\dagger(R, t) [\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i] \Psi(R, t) + h.c. \right) dR. \quad (27)$$

Further calculation depends on the explicit form of the Hamiltonian. Moreover, different interaction terms are considered in different approximations. First three terms in Hamiltonian (25) can be considered straightforwardly. However, two last terms can be considered with the account of the short-range nature of these interactions. It leads to the following momentum balance equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{p} = P^\beta \nabla E^\beta + \mu S^\beta \nabla B^\beta + \frac{\mu}{mc} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} J^{\delta\gamma} (\nabla E^\beta) + g_{0u} S^\beta \nabla S^\beta + \mathbf{F}_{DM}, \quad (28)$$

where $g_{0u} = \int U(r) d\mathbf{r}$, $J^{\delta\gamma}$ is the spin-current tensor, P^β is the polarization or the electric dipole moment density (15), \mathbf{F}_{DM} the force density of the Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction:

$$\mathbf{F}_{DM} = \frac{1}{3} g_{(\beta)} \left((\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}) - (\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla) \nabla (\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \right), \quad (29)$$

with $g_{(\beta)} = \int \xi^2 \beta(\xi) d\xi$. So, physical meaning of terms in equation is the same like in Hamiltonian (25), they are also placed in the same order.

Let us consider the stationary regime, where the momentum density does not change in time $\partial_t \mathbf{p} = 0$. Therefore, the right-hand side of the momentum balance equation (28) should be equal to zero. The balance of the second and fourth terms gives equilibrium magnetic field formed by magnetic moments due to the exchange interaction $\mathbf{B} = -g_{0u} \mathbf{S} / \mu$. The last term can be equal to zero in equilibrium if the spin polarization is perpendicular to the shift of the ligand ion from the line connecting neighboring magnetic ions $(\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}) = 0$ or it can be equal to zero at nontrivial balance of two terms in equation (29). However, our goal is to obtain the spin current model, which follows from the balance of the first and third terms. It can happen at the arbitrary electric field if polarization is balanced by the spin current appearing in the spin-orbit interaction:

$$P^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc} \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (30)$$

We obtain the spin-current model of the polarization with no particular relation to the form of the spin-current. Hence, equation (30) can be applied for derivation of the polarization caused by different mechanisms.

C. The spin current model in antiferromagnetic materials

First three terms in Hamiltonian (25) and momentum balance equation (28) have same form for the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic materials. Difference appears for the Coulomb exchange interaction and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. The force fields for these interactions are obtained in the following forms

$$\mathbf{F}_{HH,s} = g_{0u,ss'} S_s^\beta \nabla S_{s'}^\beta, \quad (31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{DM,s} = \frac{1}{3} g_{(\beta),ss'} \left((\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}_s) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s}) \right. \\ \left. - (\mathbf{S}_s \cdot \nabla) \nabla (\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{s' \neq s}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Hence, we derive the momentum balance equation for each subspecies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{p}_s = P_s^\beta \nabla E^\beta + \mu S_s^\beta \nabla B^\beta \\ + \frac{\mu}{mc} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} J_s^{\delta\gamma} (\nabla E^\beta) + \mathbf{F}_{HH,s} + \mathbf{F}_{DM,s}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The electric dipole moment is related to the group of ions, but the operator definition is recontracted to the form of operator associated with each magnetic ion. Hence, we get the partial polarization of each subspecies from the momentum balance equation of each subspecies. It gives us the representation of the partial polarization via the

partial spin-current $P_s^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc} \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} J_s^{\alpha\beta}$. Complete polarization of the sample is the combination of partial polarizations $P^\mu = P_A^\mu + P_B^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc} \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} (J_A^{\alpha\beta} + J_B^{\alpha\beta})$. Therefore, equation (30) is reestablished for the antiferromagnetic materials.

D. Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya spin current and related polarization in ferromagnetic materials

We presented the derivation of spin current model. It appears due to the relativistic spin-orbit interaction. Its further application requires an example of the spin current related to specific physical mechanism. The explicit form of the spin-current tensor can be found from the spin evolution equation (Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation). In this work we focus on justification of operator (14), which contains the vector coefficient of proportionality between the combination of the spin operators and the electric dipole moment operator. In Hamiltonian (25) we have two interactions containing inexplicitly defined space dependencies. They are the two last terms corresponding to the exchange Coulomb interaction given by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, correspondingly. The Heisenberg Hamiltonian contains scalar function, so we expect that it is not related to the considering regime. In contrast, the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction is proportional to the vector Dzyaloshinskii constant, so it can give a mechanism for the vector constant appearance in operator (14). To check the described suggestion we need to consider the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction contribution in the spin evolution equation. Similarly to Sec. II, we use the quantum hydrodynamic method and include the short range nature of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. As the result we find the partial contribution of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in the spin evolution equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S}_s = \mathbf{T}_{DM}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_{DM} = \frac{1}{3} g_{(\beta)} \left((\mathbf{S}_s \cdot [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]) \mathbf{S}_s - S_s^\beta [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla] S_s^\beta \right), \quad (35)$$

with $g_{(\beta)} = \int \xi^2 \beta(\xi) d\xi$.

Equation (35) shows that the spin-torque caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction cannot be represented as the divergence of the spin-current tensor. However, the second term in (35) can be represented in the required form $S_s^\delta \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta^\beta \nabla^\gamma S_s^\delta = \nabla^\gamma [(1/2) \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta^\beta \mathbf{S}_s^2]$. We can use this part for the calculation of the polarization. However, the first term in (35) gives some freedom in the interpretation of the spin current since it allows to get an additional term.

Let us start the analysis of the polarization using simplest form of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya spin-current

$$\mathbf{T}_{DM} = -\partial_\beta J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{6}g_{(\beta)}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\delta^\gamma\mathbf{S}_s^2. \quad (36)$$

It leads to the following form of the macroscopic polarization

$$P_{DM}^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc}\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{(\beta)}\delta^\mu\mathbf{S}_s^2, \quad (37)$$

which corresponds to the first term on the right-hand side of equation (16), which is derived from operator (12).

As a matter of discussion, let us represent the spin torque (35) in a form, where the spin current is extracted from the first term in addition to the spin current following from the second term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{DM} = & -\frac{1}{3}g_{(\beta)}\left((\mathbf{S}_s(\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{S}_s]) \right. \\ & \left. + \nabla^\beta\{[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \mathbf{S}_s]^\beta\mathbf{S}_s\} + \frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]\mathbf{S}_s^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

It shows that we can choose the spin current in the following alternative form

$$\tilde{J}_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{3}g_{(\beta)}\delta^\gamma\left[S_s^\alpha\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}S_s^\delta - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\mathbf{S}_s^2\right]. \quad (39)$$

This extended spin current leads to the following form of polarization

$$\tilde{P}_{DM}^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc}\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}\tilde{J}_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{(\beta)}(\boldsymbol{\delta} \cdot \mathbf{S}_s)S_s^\mu. \quad (40)$$

This form of the polarization completely differs from the structure following from the well-known electric dipole moment of the pair of ions (12) and (16). We want to mention that the spin torque following from the Heisenberg exchange interaction appears as the divergence of the spin current tensor with no additional terms.

Comparison of expressions (37) and (16) we see that they are found in different approximations. Polarization (37) is obtained in the main order of the expansion, while polarization (16) contains corrections related to the second space derivative of the spin density. To complete our comparison we can find corrections to the spin-torque caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (35) in the next order of expansion. Our calculation gives the following spin-torque

$$T_{DM,3}^\mu = \frac{1}{30}g_{2(\beta)}\left[(\mathbf{S}_s \cdot [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla])\Delta\mathbf{S}_s - S_s^\beta[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]\Delta S_s^\beta\right], \quad (41)$$

with $g_{2(\beta)} = \int \xi^4\beta(\xi)d\xi$.

We need to extract a part of the spin torque, which appears as the divergence of the spin current $\partial^\beta J^{\alpha\beta}$. In equation (35) we used the term containing the scalar product of the spin densities $S_s^\beta[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]S_s^\beta$ (the second

term on the right-hand side). In equation (41) we follow the same approach and consider the term containing the scalar product of the spin densities $S_s^\beta[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]\Delta S_s^\beta$ (the second term on the right-hand side). However, the term under consideration does not appear as the divergence of the second rank tensor. We need to split it on two parts $S_s^\beta[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]\Delta S_s^\beta = [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla](S_s^\beta\Delta S_s^\beta) - (\Delta S_s^\beta)[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]S_s^\beta$ and use the first of them to get the effective spin current

$$J_{DM,3}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{6}g_{2(\beta)}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\delta^\gamma(\mathbf{S}_s \cdot \Delta\mathbf{S}_s). \quad (42)$$

It leads to the following polarization

$$P_{DM}^\mu = \frac{\mu}{mc}\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{5}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{2(\beta)}\delta^\mu(\mathbf{S}_s \cdot \Delta\mathbf{S}_s). \quad (43)$$

We see the the spin structure in polarization (43) corresponds to the spin structure in the second term on the right-hand side in equation (16). Hence, we justify equation (16) found from the electric dipole moment (12) using the spin current model with the spin-current related to the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. Moreover, our calculations in two major orders of expansion give the interpretation of the direction of the vector constant $\Pi^\alpha(\xi)$. We see that it is parallel to the shift of the ligand ion from the line connecting neighboring magnetic ions δ^α . The complete polarization is also parallel to this direction. It also corresponds to the microscopic meaning of the electric dipole moment as a shift of ions of opposite charges.

In Sec. III we found the macroscopic polarization (16) corresponding to electric dipole moment (12). Here, we found macroscopic polarization using the momentum balance equation with the spin-orbit interaction and the spin-current caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. These expressions have same structure. It allows us to give physical interpretation for the vector constant in electric dipole moment (12). Let us compare polarization given by the first term in equation (16) with equation (37). It gives the following relation $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha\mathbf{S}_s^2 = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{(\beta)}\delta^\mu\mathbf{S}_s^2$, where we can drop the square of the spin density \mathbf{S}_s^2 and compare the coefficients. Basically, we need to compare the functions under the integrals. We have two options. First, we equate the functions under integrands and find $\Pi^\alpha(\xi) = -(1/3)(\mu/mc)\xi^2\beta(\xi)\delta^\alpha$. Second, we transform the left-hand side by integration by parts, so we obtain $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha = -(1/3)\int \xi(\partial\Pi^\alpha(\xi)/\partial\xi)d^3\xi$. Next, we equate the functions under integrands and obtain $\partial\Pi^\alpha(\xi)/\partial\xi = (\mu/mc)\xi\beta(\xi)\delta^\alpha$.

We found relation between empirically introduced function $\Pi^\alpha(\xi)$ being coefficient of proportionality in electric dipole moment (12) and function $\beta(\xi)$ appearing in the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. The Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction is the exchange part of the spin-orbit interaction and coefficient is the exchange integral. It is similar to the exchange integral in the Heisenberg exchange interaction, where the exchange part of the Coulomb interaction is considered.

In order to prove the found expression for function $\Pi^\alpha(\xi)$ we considered the next order of expansion for

the polarization definition (16) and the Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya spin-torque giving the effective spin-current and corresponding polarization (43). Hence, we compare the second term on the right-hand side in equation (16) with polarization (43). Dropping equal spin structures we find $\frac{1}{6}g_{\Pi}^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{2(\beta)}\delta^{\mu}$. Here we need to compare the functions under integral and prove relation between $\partial\Pi^{\alpha}(\xi)/\partial\xi$ and $\beta(\xi)$ obtained above. We transform the left-hand side by integration by parts, so we obtain $g_{\Pi}^{\alpha} = -(1/5)\int\xi^3(\partial\Pi^{\alpha}(\xi)/\partial\xi)d^3\xi$. It leads to relation

$$\frac{\partial\Pi^{\alpha}(\xi)}{\partial\xi} = \frac{\mu}{mc}\xi\beta(\xi)\delta^{\alpha}, \quad (44)$$

which is presented above from the first order of expansion.

The spin torque caused by the Heisenberg exchange interaction can be presented as the divergence of corresponding spin-current tensor [4] $g_u\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}S_s^{\beta}\Delta S_s^{\gamma} = \partial_{\delta}(g_u\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}S_s^{\beta}\partial_{\delta}S_s^{\gamma}) = -\partial_{\delta}J_{HH}^{\alpha\delta}$. This spin current tensor can be placed in the polarization obtained in the spin current model $P^{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{mc}\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}J^{\alpha\beta}$. It gives polarization coinciding with the result of M. Mostovoy [12]. The method demonstrated in Sec. III can be applied to operator $\mathbf{d}_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}[\mathbf{r}_{ij} \times [\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_j]]$ in order to rederive result of M. Mostovoy [12]. So, we can conclude that this result follows from the Heisenberg exchange interaction. This comment is placed here for comparison with results of our paper.

E. Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya spin current and related polarization in antiferromagnetic materials

In this section we need to consider the spin-torque caused by the Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction. Particularly, we need to consider the interaction between different subspecies in the antiferromagnetic samples. Our calculations give the following form of the s subspecies spin evolution equation under the Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction with s' subspecies:

$$\partial_t\mathbf{S}_s = \mathbf{T}_{DM,s'\neq s}, \quad (45)$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_{DM,s'\neq s} = \frac{1}{3}g_{(\beta)AB} \left((\mathbf{S}_s \cdot [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla])\mathbf{S}_{s'\neq s} - S_s^{\beta}[\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla]S_{s'\neq s}^{\beta} \right). \quad (46)$$

General structure of obtained spin torque is similar to the torque existing under interaction of the ions of the same subspecies (35). However, there is an essential difference related to appearance of two kinds of subindexes s and s' . So, we find no term, which can be rewritten as the spin current.

In order to solve described problem we suggest the following step. We need to consider the sum of spin torques

$\mathbf{T}_{DM,A}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{DM,B}$ instead of sum of partial spin currents:

$$\mathbf{T}_{DM} = \frac{1}{3}g_{(\beta)AB} \left((\mathbf{S}_A \cdot [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla])\mathbf{S}_B + (\mathbf{S}_B \cdot [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla])\mathbf{S}_A - [\boldsymbol{\delta} \times \nabla](S_A^{\beta}S_B^{\beta}) \right). \quad (47)$$

So, a part of combined spin torque can be presented as a "combined" spin current. So, we would be able to derive spin polarization of full system instead of partial polarizations. Anyway, the partial polarizations are intermediate theoretical constructions, which have no physical meaning since the polarization formation is related to magnetic ions of both subspecies (and ions of the nonmagnetic subspecies). Similarly to the last term in equation (35), we see that the last term in equation (47) gives us the effective spin current caused by the intersubspecies Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction

$$J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{3}g_{(\beta)AB}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\delta^{\gamma}(\mathbf{S}_A \cdot \mathbf{S}_B). \quad (48)$$

It leads to the polarization of antiferromagnetic materials

$$P_{DM}^{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{mc}\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}J_{DM}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{2}{3}\frac{\mu}{mc}g_{(\beta)AB}\delta^{\mu}(\mathbf{S}_A \cdot \mathbf{S}_B). \quad (49)$$

V. POLARIZATION EVOLUTION EQUATION

For the derivation of the polarization evolution equation we use Hamiltonian (4), where we include no relativistic interactions. The polarization itself it caused by the relativistic effects, hence relativistic interactions (the spin-orbit interaction, Dzyalaoshinskii-Moriya interaction, and evolution of the dipole moment under action of the external electric field due to the relativistic nature of the electric dipole moment) give relatively small effect.

In order to derive the polarization evolution equation we consider the definition of the polarization in terms of the microscopic many-particle wave function (15), with operator (14). We consider the time derivative of this definition. The time derivative acts on the wave functions under the integral. We find for Hamiltonian (4) the following intermediate form of the polarization evolution equation

$$\partial_t\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \int \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \Psi^{\dagger}(R, t) [\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{d}}_i] \Psi(R, t) dR. \quad (50)$$

The first term contains dependence on two particles i and j in the functions $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$, B_i^{α} and Π_{ij}^{α} placed under the integral in equation (50). In the following calculations of this term we need to include the strong decrease of function Π_{ij}^{α} with the increase of the interparticle distance. We need to make transition to relative interparticle distance similarly to Sec. III, where we made analysis of the definition of polarization (15).

The second term has more complex structure. It depends on three particles i , j and k in the functions placed under the integral in equation (50). Therefore, we need to introduce the center of mass and the relative distances for three particles. In our calculations we use the following substitution $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} + (2/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$, $\mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} + (2/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$, and $\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$, where $\mathbf{R}_{ijn} = (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j + \mathbf{r}_n)/3$, $\mathbf{r}_{in} \equiv \mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_n$, $\mathbf{r}_{jn} \equiv \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_n$, and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} \equiv \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$. It leads to the change of the element of volume of the configuration space $dR = dR_{N-3} d\mathbf{R}_{ijk} d\mathbf{r}_{in} d\mathbf{r}_{jn}$. We use this substitutions in the delta function $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$ and in the many-particle wave function $\Psi(R, t) = \Psi(\dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n, \dots, t)$.

After described change of notations under the integral in equation (50) we make expansion on the relative distances. It is possible due to strong dependence of functions Π_{ij}^α and $U(r_{kj})$ on the relative distance. Below we present the results of our calculations for two regimes: the ferromagnetic materials and the antiferromagnetic materials.

A. Polarization evolution for the ferromagnetic materials

In this subsection, we present results of our derivation of the polarization evolution for the ferromagnetic materials

$$\partial_t P^\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \gamma g_{\Pi}^\alpha \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} (\partial^\mu B^\beta) S^\gamma \nabla^\mu S^\delta + G^\alpha (\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^\mu \mathbf{S} \times \partial^\mu \Delta \mathbf{S}]), \quad (51)$$

where the first term is the contribution of the Zeeman energy (see the first term in Hamiltonian (4)), it is obtained in this paper, the last term is caused by the Coulomb exchange interaction and obtained in [2]. The following notations are used in equation (51): γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\hat{\mu}_i^\alpha = \gamma \hat{s}_i^\alpha$ is the magnetic moment, and the vector interaction constant

$$G^\alpha = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3^3} \frac{1}{5} g_{0u} g_{4\Pi}^\alpha + \frac{2^4}{3^5} g_u g_{\Pi}^\alpha, \quad (52)$$

which is a combined interaction constant with $g_{0u} = \int U(r) d^3 r$, $g_{4\Pi}^\alpha = \int r^4 \Pi^\alpha(r) d^3 r$, $g_u = \int r^2 U(r) d^3 r$, $g_{\Pi}^\alpha = \int r^2 \Pi^\alpha(r) d^3 r$. Here we see two interaction constants related to the exchange integral $g_{0u} = \int U(r) d^3 r$ and $g_u = \int r^2 U(r) d^3 r$ two interaction constants related to the function describing formation of the electric dipole moment $g_{\Pi}^\alpha = \int r^2 \Pi^\alpha(r) d^3 r$ and $g_{4\Pi}^\alpha = \int r^4 \Pi^\alpha(r) d^3 r$. The contribution of the Zeeman energy in the polarization evolution equation, in the regime, where electric dipole moment is proportional to the vector product of the spin operators, is found in Ref. [1]. It is related to another mechanism of the polarization formation in the multiferroic material.

B. Polarization evolution for the antiferromagnetic materials

In this subsection we consider the time evolution of polarization given by equation (19), which includes the structure of antiferromagnetic materials. Here we have two subspecies A and B , so we derive the polarization evolution equation for each of them. Let us present the result for one of the subspecies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_A^\alpha &= \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} g_{\Pi}^\alpha \gamma (\partial^\mu B^\gamma) [S_B^\delta \partial^\mu S_A^\beta - S_A^\beta \partial^\mu S_B^\delta - \partial^\mu (S_A^\beta S_B^\delta)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} [(g_{\Pi}^\alpha g_{0u} + 2g_{0\Pi}^\alpha g_u) S_B^\beta S_A^\gamma \Delta S_A^\delta \\ &+ (-g_{\Pi}^\alpha g_{0u} + 2g_{0\Pi}^\alpha g_u) S_A^\beta S_B^\gamma \Delta S_B^\delta \\ &+ 2g_{\Pi}^\alpha g_{0u} (\partial^\mu S_B^\beta) S_A^\gamma \partial^\mu S_A^\delta]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The first term in this equation is proportional to the space derivative of the magnetic field ($\partial^\mu B^\gamma$). It appears from the Zeeman energy (the first term in Hamiltonian (4)), like the first term in equation (51) obtained for the ferromagnetic regime. Other terms in equation (53) contain the interaction constants of the Heisenberg-Coulomb exchange interaction since their appearance is caused by this interaction from the second term in Hamiltonian (4). The result for the second subspecies can be obtained by exchange of subindexes $A \leftrightarrow B$.

It has been mentioned above that the polarization appears in the complex of two neighboring ions which belong to different subspecies. So the partial polarization (19) and equation for its evolution (53) are the intermediate theoretical tools. We need to combine the partial polarizations in the full polarization $\partial_t P^\alpha = \partial_t P_A^\alpha + \partial_t P_B^\alpha$ and obtain equation for its evolution

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} g_{\Pi}^\alpha \gamma (\partial^\mu B^\gamma) \partial^\mu (S_A^\beta S_B^\delta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} [2g_{0\Pi}^\alpha g_u S_B^\beta S_A^\gamma \Delta (S_A^\delta - S_B^\delta) \\ &+ g_{\Pi}^\alpha g_{0u} (\partial^\mu S_B^\beta) (S_A^\gamma - S_B^\gamma) \partial^\mu S_A^\delta], \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the meaning of different terms is the same to the physical meaning of the terms in equation (53).

The spin evolution equations (9) are combined in the evolution equations for functions $\Sigma = \mathbf{S}_A + \mathbf{S}_B$ and $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{S}_A - \mathbf{S}_B$, which are traditionally used in the theory of the antiferromagnetic materials [4]. Therefore, it is essential to represent equation (54) in terms of these functions

$$\partial_t P^\alpha = -\frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} g_{\Pi}^\alpha \gamma (\partial^\mu B^\gamma) \partial^\mu [L^\beta \Sigma^\delta]$$

$$+\frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[2g_{0\Pi}^{\alpha}g_u\Sigma^{\beta}L^{\gamma}\Delta L^{\delta}+g_{\Pi}^{\alpha}g_{0u}(\partial^{\mu}\Sigma^{\beta})L^{\gamma}\partial^{\mu}L^{\delta}], \quad (55)$$

where we include $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[(\Sigma^{\beta}+L^{\beta})(\Sigma^{\delta}-L^{\delta})]=2\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}L^{\beta}\Sigma^{\delta}$. Physical meaning of terms in the obtained equations can be traced via the coefficients. The presence of the magnetic field shows appearance of this term from the Zeeman energy and the presence of g_{0u} or g_u shows its appearance from the exchange interaction, similarly to the equations shown above.

VI. EQUILIBRIUM SOLUTIONS SET OF SPIN-POLARIZATION EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Let us consider equilibrium structures obeying system of equations for the spin evolution and polarization evolution. For the simplicity of derivation of the equation obtained above we considered the external magnetic field. It is possible to include the magnetic field created by the magnetic moments of the medium. Hence, we need to include the Maxwell equations: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 4\pi\gamma\nabla \times \mathbf{S}$, where we included the zero time derivative of the electric field due to our focus on the static regime.

A. Ferromagnetic multiferroics

We start this analysis with the ferromagnetic materials. In this case we consider several configurations of the spin density.

1. Parallel spins, transverse change of spin magnitude

Let us consider the regime, where we consider the spin density directed in the z-direction $\mathbf{S}_0 = S_0\mathbf{e}_z$. We also assume that its module changes in the x-direction $S_0 = S_0(x)$. Since we assume $\partial_t\mathbf{S}_0 = 0$, we need to check that the right-hand side of the spin evolution equation

$$\partial_t\mathbf{S} = \frac{2\gamma}{\hbar}[\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B}] + \frac{1}{6}g_u[\mathbf{S} \times \Delta\mathbf{S}] \quad (56)$$

is equal to zero. We see $\Delta\mathbf{S} \parallel \mathbf{e}_z$, hence the last term is equal to zero. To check the first term we need to find corresponding magnetic field assuming that the external magnetic field is equal to zero. We find $\nabla \times \mathbf{S}_0 = -(\partial_x S_0(x))\mathbf{e}_y$. Hence, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0 = -4\pi\gamma(\partial_x S_0(x))\mathbf{e}_y$. It gives $\mathbf{B}_0 = -4\pi\gamma S_0(x)\mathbf{e}_z$. Condition $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0 = 0$ is also satisfied. It is parallel to \mathbf{S}_0 , so the first term in equation (56) is equal to zero as well.

Equilibrium condition means that the polarization does not depend on time. Hence, the right-hand side of equation (51). Estimations given above show that this condition is satisfied. We also need to find corresponding polarization (nonzero value) via the first term on the

right-hand side of equation (16): $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{g}_{0\Pi}\mathbf{S}_0^2$. Let us remind that constant $\mathbf{g}_{0\Pi}$ is parallel to the shift of the ligand ion δ . We present a simple equilibrium spin structure leading to nonzero polarization.

2. Parallel spins, longitudinal change of spin magnitude

We consider the spin density directed in the z-direction $\mathbf{S}_0 = S_0\mathbf{e}_z$, where its module changes in the z-direction $S_0 = S_0(z)$ as well. We require $\partial_t\mathbf{S}_0 = 0$ and check the value of the right-hand side of the spin evolution equation (56). We see $\Delta\mathbf{S} \parallel \mathbf{e}_z$, hence the last term is equal to zero. We also find the zero magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = 0$. Hence, both equations (51) and (56) are satisfied. We also find corresponding polarization $\mathbf{P}_0(z) = \mathbf{g}_{0\Pi}\mathbf{S}_0^2(z)$.

3. Cycloidal spiral spin structure

Let us consider the spiral spin structure which was earlier presented in works [12], [13]:

$$\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbf{r}) = s_b\mathbf{e}_y \cos(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{q}) + s_c\mathbf{e}_z \sin(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{q}) + s_a\mathbf{e}_x, \quad (57)$$

where $\mathbf{q} = q\mathbf{e}_y$. It is a spiral shifting in the direction being in the rotation plane. It can be represented in the following form

$$\mathbf{S}_0(y) = s_y\mathbf{e}_b \cos(yq) + s_c\mathbf{e}_z \sin(yq) + s_a\mathbf{e}_x, \quad (58)$$

which can be substituted in the spin evolution equation to find the magnetic field corresponding to equilibrium condition.

The right-hand side of equation (56) should be equal to zero for the static regime. In addition to the magnetic field parallel $\mathbf{B}_1 = \chi\mathbf{S}_0$ to the equilibrium spin density we need to include additional field since the second term $[\mathbf{S}_0 \times \Delta\mathbf{S}_0] = -q^2[\mathbf{S}_0 \times (\mathbf{S}_0 - s_a\mathbf{e}_x)] = s_aq^2[\mathbf{S}_0 \times \mathbf{e}_x]$ has nonzero value. It leads to the following structure of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2$ with the additional constant field $\mathbf{B}_2 = -\frac{g_u\hbar}{12\gamma}q^2s_a\mathbf{e}_x$. To complete the solution we need to find the coefficient χ . If we assume $\chi = const$ we find that equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = 0$ cannot be satisfied. So we consider coefficient χ as a function of coordinates $\chi(\mathbf{r})$. However, x and z projections of equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0 = 4\pi\gamma\nabla \times \mathbf{S}_0$ can be satisfied at $\chi = 4\pi\gamma$ or $q = 0$. We conclude that two interactions entering the spin evolution equation (56) cannot support structure (57). Possibly, one can find consistent solution in form (59) at extending the range of interactions included in the model.

4. Screw spiral spin structure

Here we consider a spiral shifting in the direction perpendicular to the rotation plane, so substitute $\mathbf{q} = q\mathbf{e}_x$ in equation (57). In chosen regime structure simplifies to

$$\mathbf{S}_0(x) = s_b\mathbf{e}_y \cos(xq) + s_c\mathbf{e}_z \sin(xq) + s_a\mathbf{e}_x. \quad (59)$$

Let us consider the right-hand side of equation (56) under assumption (59) for the spin structure. The balance of two terms leads to following form of magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2$ with $\mathbf{B}_1 = \chi \mathbf{S}_0$ and

$$\mathbf{B}_2 = -\frac{g_u \hbar}{12\gamma} q^2 s_a \mathbf{e}_x. \quad (60)$$

We need to check that found magnetic field satisfies equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = 0$. It shows that function χ depends on coordinates y and z ($\chi(y, z)$) or to be a constant $\chi = \text{const}$. Next, we need to consider second Maxwell equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0 = 4\pi\gamma \nabla \times \mathbf{S}_0$ (its static regime). Assuming $\chi = \text{const}$, we found explicit expression for $\chi = 4\pi\gamma$.

We can check that the right-hand side of equation (51) is equal to zero since we consider the equilibrium state. We see that it is satisfied. Let us present corresponding polarization $P_0^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha \mathbf{S}^2 = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha (s_a^2 + s_b^2 \cos^2 qx + s_c^2 \sin^2 qx)$. It can be a constant under conditions $s_b = \pm s_c$. It gives polarization P_0^α in the following form $P_0^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha (s_a^2 + s_b^2)$. Let us remind that the constant $g_{0\Pi}^\alpha$ is parallel to the shift of the ligand ion δ^α (44).

B. Antiferromagnetic multiferroics

We presented a spiral spin structure in a-direction for ferromagnetic multiferroics in terms of the model based on the Zeeman energy and the Heisenberg-Coulomb exchange interaction. So, we are focused on the same regime for the antiferromagnetic multiferroics, but we also briefly mention the uniform regime.

1. Uniform regime

For the uniform regime we have parallel partial spin densities and therefore we have parallel vectors \mathbf{L}_0 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$. However, we can consider different modules of the partial spin densities at their opposite directions, so $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$ has nonzero equilibrium value. It corresponds to the constant magnetic field parallel to vectors \mathbf{L}_0 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$.

2. On a form of screw spiral spin structure

In the uniform case we consider the parallel partial spin densities with different modules. Here, we can consider two regimes of spirals in a-direction. One corresponds to the parallel partial spin densities with different modules. So, we see spirals for \mathbf{L}_0 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$ with the space phase shift on π . Another case is the regime, where the partial spin densities have approximately equal modules, but they are directed at the angle to each other. It leads to perpendicular directions of \mathbf{L}_0 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$ in each point. It corresponds to the space phase shift on $\pi/2$ for \mathbf{L}_0 and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$.

Let us start the analysis with the screw spiral structure for \mathbf{L}_0 vector

$$\mathbf{L}_0(x) = l_b \mathbf{e}_y \cos(xq) + l_c \mathbf{e}_z \sin(xq) + l_a \mathbf{e}_x, \quad (61)$$

while other characteristics we retrieve from equilibrium regime of equations of motion.

Next, we need to find the magnetic field corresponding both the spin evolution equations (10), (11) and the Maxwell equations $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = 0$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0 = 4\pi_{i=1}^2 \nabla \times (\gamma_i \mathbf{S}_{0i})$. In chosen case, we have $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ and $\mathbf{S}_{01} + \mathbf{S}_{02} = \mathbf{S}_0$.

We consider equilibrium form of equation (11), where we dropped the second term on the right-hand side. It gives $(2\mu/\hbar)\mathbf{B}_0 = \chi\mathbf{L}_0 - g_{0u,AB}\mathbf{S}_0$, where χ is an unknown coefficient. We substitute this magnetic field in equation (10) and obtain vector $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$:

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_0(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\chi} \mathbf{L}_0 + \frac{1}{6} g_u q^2 l_a \mathbf{e}_x, \quad (62)$$

where α is another unknown coefficient. It also leads to the expression for the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = (\hbar/2\mu)[(\chi - g_{0u,AB}\alpha/\chi)\mathbf{L}_0 - \frac{1}{6}g_{0u,AB}g_u q^2 l_a \mathbf{e}_x]$.

Equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ can be satisfied if $(\chi - g_{0u,AB}\alpha/\chi)$ is a constant or a combination of functions equal to zero. Equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 4\pi\gamma \nabla \times \mathbf{\Sigma}_0$ can be satisfied at the following relation between two introduced coefficients

$$\chi^2 = \alpha(4\pi\gamma\mu/\hbar + g_{0u,AB}). \quad (63)$$

Hence, $(\chi - g_{0u,AB}\alpha/\chi)$ is a nonzero constant. So, α and χ are constants connected via equation (63).

To complete our analysis we need to check that the polarization evolution equation (55) also corresponds to the equilibrium regime, so its right-hand side is equal to zero. Direct substitution of found \mathbf{B}_0 , \mathbf{L}_0 , and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_0$ shows that it is satisfied.

In this case the polarization is mostly defined by vector \mathbf{L} in accordance with equation (24). If we need to get a constant value of polarization we need to choose $l_b = \pm l_c$ and find $\mathbf{P}_0 = (1/6)(\mu/mc)g_{(\beta)}\delta(l_a^2 + l_b^2)$.

VII. CONCLUSION

The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation can be called the main macroscopic equation for the evolution of the magnetization in the magnetically ordered materials. Multiferroic materials show existence of the electric polarization in addition to magnetization. Hence, the study of the multiferroics requires a couple of connected equations for the magnetization and the electric polarization. The problem of derivation of described set of equations for the antiferromagnetic materials has been formulated in this paper. The II-type of multiferroics with the electric dipole moment proportional to the scalar product of the neighboring spins have been chosen for this research. The polarization evolution equation has been found under the action of the Zeeman energy and the Heisenberg-Coulomb exchange interaction. Similar equation for the

ferromagnetic regime has been demonstrated as well. The many-particle quantum hydrodynamic method has been applied for the derivation of the required polarization evolution equation. Before, the application of this method to this derivation, the method has been successfully tested on the derivation of the spin/magnetization evolution equation.

However, the chosen definition of the electric dipole moment has been required an analytical justification. The justification has been made in several steps. First, the spin-current model is justified for the ferromagnetic materials via the momentum balance equation (the hydrodynamic Euler equation) containing the spin-orbit interaction. Second, the spin-current caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction has been found from the spin/magnetization evolution equation and placed in the spin-current model to find the required polarization. Finally, same steps have been made for the antiferromagnetic materials.

Therefore, it has been analytically derived that there is the electric dipole moment proportional to the scalar product of the neighboring spins caused by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya. The interpretation of direction of the vector coefficient of proportionality in the electric dipole moment has been interpreted being parallel to the shift of the ligand ion from the line connecting neighboring magnetic ions (this vector is the well-known part the Dzyaloshinskii vector constant).

Several equilibrium spin configurations have been con-

sidered for the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic multiferroics. Regimes of parallel and spiral spin structures have been discussed and corresponding electric polarizations have been calculated.

Overall, the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert equation contains the contribution of a number of physical mechanisms. Their systematic including in the polarization evolution equation is the research program demonstrated in this paper. The account of the Zeeman energy and the Heisenberg-Coulomb exchange interaction for the antiferromagnetic materials with the electric dipole moment proportional to the scalar product of the neighboring spins has been one of initial steps towards realization of this program.

VIII. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study, which is a purely theoretical one.

IX. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of M.I. Trukhanova is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under the grant No. 22-72-00036.

-
- [1] M. I. Trukhanova, P. A. Andreev, Y. N. Obukhov, "Quantum hydrodynamical model of the ferroelectricity in systems with antisymmetric exchange interaction", arXiv:2311.03610.
 - [2] P. A. Andreev, M. I. Trukhanova, "Polarization evolution equation for the exchange-strictionally formed II-type multiferroic materials", arXiv:2312.16321.
 - [3] Y. Tokura, S. Seki, and N. Nagaosa, "Multiferroics of spin origin", Rep. Prog. Phys. **77**, 076501 (2014).
 - [4] L. P. Pitaevskii and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Part 2: Volume 9 (Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 9), Pergamon Press; 3rd Edition (January 1, 1980).
 - [5] P. A. Andreev, "Extended hydrodynamics of degenerate partially spin polarized fermions with short-range interaction up to the third order by interaction radius approximation", Laser Phys. **31**, 045501 (2021).
 - [6] P. Andreev, M. Trukhanova, "Quantum Hydrodynamic Representation of the Exchange Interaction in the Theory of Description of Magnetically Ordered Media", Moscow University Physics Bulletin, **78**, No. 4, 445 (2023).
 - [7] Pavel A. Andreev, "Hydrodynamic and kinetic representation of the microscopic classic dynamics at the transition on the macroscopic scale", J. Plasma Phys., **90**, 905900108 (2024).
 - [8] Pavel A. Andreev, "Extended relativistic kinetic model composed of the scalar and two vector distribution functions: Application to the spin-electron-acoustic waves", arXiv:2212.12525.
 - [9] P. A. Andreev, I. N. Mosaki, and M. I. Trukhanova, "Quantum hydrodynamics of the spinor Bose–Einstein condensate at non-zero temperatures", Phys. Fluids **33**, 067108 (2021).
 - [10] A. P. Pyatakov and A. K. Zvezdin, "Magnetoelectric and multiferroic media", Phys.-Usp. **55**, 557 (2012).
 - [11] D. I. Khomskii "Multiferroics and Beyond: Electric Properties of Different Magnetic Textures", Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **132**, 482 (2021).
 - [12] M. Mostovoy, "Ferroelectricity in Spiral Magnets", Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 067601 (2006).
 - [13] S. Dong, J.-M. Liu, S.-W. Cheong, Z. Ren, "Multiferroic materials and magnetoelectric physics: symmetry, entanglement, excitation, and topology", Advances in Physics **64**, 519 (2015).