# Polyhedral realizations for crystal bases and Young walls of classical affine types

YUKI KANAKUBO\*

### Abstract

For affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{n-1}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ , let  $B(\lambda)$ and  $B(\infty)$  be the crystal bases of integrable highest weight representation  $V(\lambda)$  and negative part  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  of quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . We consider the polyhedral realizations of crystal bases, which realize  $B(\lambda)$  and  $B(\infty)$  as sets of integer points of some polytopes and cones in  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ . It is a natural problem to find explicit forms of the polytopes and cones. In this paper, we introduce pairs of truncated walls, which are defined as modifications of level 2-Young walls and describe inequalities defining the polytopes and cones in terms of level 1-proper Young walls and pairs of truncated walls. As an application, we also give combinatorial descriptions of  $\varepsilon_k^*$ -functions on  $B(\infty)$  in terms of Young walls and truncated walls.

# 1 Introduction

The crystal base is one of the most important objects in the representation theory of symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  or quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  [18]. Realizing crystal bases as objects like as Young tableaux, path models, Laurent monomials, one can combinatorially study the structure of representations.

In [14, 16], when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a classical affine Lie algebra, crystal bases  $B(\lambda)$  for integrable highest weight representations are realized in terms of Young walls. When  $\lambda$  is a fundamental weight with level 1, the crystal bases are realized via sets of reduced proper Young walls (except for type  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ -case) [14]. For a general level  $\ell$ ,  $B(\lambda)$  are realized by level  $\ell$  Young walls [16]. In particular, when  $\lambda = \Lambda_k$  is a fundamental weight of level 2, the crystal base is described as a set of pairs of walls, which are obtained from a ground state wall  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$  (Definition 4.4) by stacking several blocks.

Polyhedral realizations for  $B(\lambda)$  and  $B(\infty)$  are introduced for symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which realize crystal bases as sets of integer points of polytopes or cones in  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  [26, 27]. Here,  $B(\infty)$  is the crystal base for the negative part  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  of quantum group. There exist embeddings of crystals  $\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)} : B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty} \otimes R_{\lambda}$  and  $\Psi_{\iota} : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}$  associated with an infinite sequence  $\iota$  from the index set  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $R_{\lambda}$  is a crystal with a single element (Example 2.2) and

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty} = \{(\cdots, a_r, \cdots, a_2, a_1) | a_r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a_r = 0 \text{ for } r \gg 0\}$$

has a structure of crystal associated with  $\iota$ . When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra, the set  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  can be identified with the set of integer points in string cones in [1, 23]. It is a natural problem to find explicit forms of  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}) (\cong B(\infty))$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) (\cong B(\lambda))$ . A bunch of researchers are working on this problem. In case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and  $\iota$  is a specific one then explicit forms of  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  are given in [5, 22, 23, 26, 27]. For the finite dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type A, combinatorial descriptions of string cones are provided in

<sup>\*</sup>Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany : j\_chi\_sen\_you\_ky@eagle.sophia.ac.jp

[2, 3]. When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a classical affine Lie algebra and  $\iota$  is a specific one, explicit forms of them are also given in [6, 7, 26, 27]. In our previous papers [12, 13], for finite dimensional classical Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ , assuming that  $\iota$  is adapted (see Definition 4.1), we explicitly describe inequalities defining  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  in terms of rectangular Young tableaux. In [10, 11], taking  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a classical affine Lie algebra of type  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  and  $\iota$  as an adapted one, we give explicit forms of inequalities for  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  in terms of extended Young diagrams and Young walls of type  $X^L$ . Here,  $X^L$  means the Langlands dual type of X (see (4.1)) and extended Young diagrams are introduced to realize irreducible integrable highest weight modules  $V(\Lambda)$  of the quantum affine algebras  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  as Fock space representations in the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(2)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  for almost all fundamental weights  $\Lambda$  [4, 8, 17]. We can expect that inequalities defining  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  can be described in terms of some combinatorial objects related to fundamental representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}^L)$  for more general  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Based on this philosophy, in this paper, we assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of classical affine type X, that is,  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  and  $\iota$  is adapted and introduce the notion of the pairs of proper truncated walls, which are modified notion of proper level-2 Young walls. The main results are as follows:

(I) We describe inequalities defining  $Im(\Psi_{\iota})$  in terms of Young walls and truncated walls.

(II) We also describe inequalities defining  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  in terms of Young walls and truncated walls and easy boxes.

(III) As an application, we also give combinatorial descriptions of  $\varepsilon_k^*$ -functions on  $B(\infty)$  (Theorem 4.13) in terms of Young walls and truncated walls.

Let us explain the detail. (I) We will define a set of linear maps  $\Xi'_{\iota}$  in (2.5), which satisfies  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} | \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \ge 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi'_{\iota}\}$ . The set  $\Xi'_{\iota}$  is naturally decomposed into certain subsets  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$  as  $\Xi'_{\iota} = \bigcup_{k \in I, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}} \Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$ . As for level 1 indices  $k \in I$  (except for type  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ -case), the set  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$  is described by using proper Young walls of type  $X^L$  as

$$\Xi_{s,k,\iota}' = \{L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^L}(Y) | s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \ Y \in \mathrm{YW}_k^{X^L}\},$$

where  $YW_k^{X^L}$  is the set of proper Young walls of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  for type  $X^L$ ,  $L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^L}(Y) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\infty})^*$  is an assigned linear map (see subsection 4.3). As for other indices  $k \in I$ , the set  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$  is similarly described in terms of truncated walls of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  (Theorem 4.7).

(II) We also define the set of functions  $\Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda]$  in (2.10) such that  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} | \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$  for any  $\varphi \in \Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda]\}$ . The set  $\Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda]$  can be written as  $\Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda] = \Xi'_{\iota} \cup \bigcup_{k \in I} \Xi'_{k,\iota}[\lambda]$  (see subsection 6.2). Depending on  $\iota$ , the set  $\Xi'_{k,\iota}[\lambda]$  is described as one of the following three : (1) a set of single or double functions, (2) a set of functions parametrized by boxes, (3) a set of functions parametrized by proper Young walls or truncated walls (Theorem 4.10).

(III) Using functions in  $\Xi'_{k,\iota}[0]$  of (II), one can describe  $\varepsilon^*_k$ -function on  $B(\infty)$ . Thus, as an application of (II), one obtains a combinatorial description of  $\varepsilon^*_k$ -function (Theorem 4.13).

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Sect.2, we review crystals and polyhedral realizations. In particular, we review procedures to compute the explicit forms of  $\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  and  $\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$ . In Sect.3, we recall Young walls and introduce truncated walls. Sect.4 is devoted to present our main theorems. In Sect.5, we show key propositions, which imply adding/removing blocks of walls are compatible with procedures of Sect.2 in a certain sense. We complete all proofs in Sect.6.

**Acknowledgements** The author thanks Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support.

# 2 Polyhedral realizations and procedures

# 2.1 Notation

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$  be a generalized Cartan matrix with the index set  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra,  $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  a weight lattice,  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$  a set of simple roots and  $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$  a set of simple coroots. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathbb{Q}$  denote the canonical pairing,  $P^* := \{h \in \mathfrak{h} | \langle h, P \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $P^+ := \{\lambda \in P | \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for all  $i \in I\}$ . In particular, we have  $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{i,j}$ . For each  $i \in I$ , the fundamental weight  $\Lambda_i \in P^+$  is defined by  $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ . Let  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra, which is an associative  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra with generators  $e_i, f_i \ (i \in I)$  and  $q^h \ (h \in P^*)$  satisfying the usual relations. Let  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by  $f_i \ (i \in I)$ . For  $\lambda \in P^+$ , it is known that the irreducible integrable highest weight module  $V(\lambda)$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  has a crystal base  $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$ . The algebra  $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$  also has a crystal base  $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$  (see [18, 19]).

We will use the following numbering of vertices in affine Dynkin diagrams:



Replacing our numbering  $1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n+1$  of  $A_{2n}^{(2)}$  with  $n, n-1, \dots, 1, 0$ , one obtains the numbering in [14]. We define the type  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  by the following diagram whose numbering is same as [14]:

$$A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger} \ (n \ge 3): \ \bullet \underbrace{\longleftarrow}_{1 \ 2} \ \cdots \ \underbrace{n-1 \ n}_{n-1 \ n}$$

For other types, replacing our numbering  $1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n+1$  with  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we obtain the numbering in [14, 16].

### 2.2 Crystals

Let us recall the notion of *crystals* following [20]:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a set and wt :  $\mathcal{B} \to P$ ,  $\varepsilon_k, \varphi_k : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$  and  $\tilde{e}_k, \tilde{f}_k : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \sqcup \{0\}$ ( $k \in I$ ) be maps. Then the set  $\mathcal{B}$  together with these maps is said to be a *crystal* if the following holds: For  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $k \in I$ ,

- $\varphi_k(b) = \varepsilon_k(b) + \langle h_k, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle,$
- $\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_k b) = \operatorname{wt}(b) + \alpha_k \text{ if } \tilde{e}_k(b) \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \operatorname{wt}(\tilde{f}_k b) = \operatorname{wt}(b) \alpha_k \text{ if } \tilde{f}_k(b) \in \mathcal{B},$
- $\varepsilon_k(\tilde{e}_k(b)) = \varepsilon_k(b) 1$ ,  $\varphi_k(\tilde{e}_k(b)) = \varphi_k(b) + 1$  if  $\tilde{e}_k(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- $\varepsilon_k(\tilde{f}_k(b)) = \varepsilon_k(b) + 1$ ,  $\varphi_k(\tilde{f}_k(b)) = \varphi_k(b) 1$  if  $\tilde{f}_k(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- $\tilde{f}_k(b) = b'$  if and only if  $b = \tilde{e}_k(b')$ ,
- if  $\varphi_k(b) = -\infty$  then  $\tilde{e}_k(b) = \tilde{f}_k(b) = 0$ .

Here, symbols 0 and  $-\infty$  are additional elements which do not belong to  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , respectively.

In the above definition, the maps  $\tilde{e}_k, \tilde{f}_k$  are called *Kashiwara operators*. It is well-known that the sets  $B(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in P^+$ ) and  $B(\infty)$  have crystal structures.

**Example 2.2.** For  $\lambda \in P$ , let  $R_{\lambda} := \{r_{\lambda}\}$  be the set consisting of a single element  $r_{\lambda}$ . One can define a crystal structure on  $R_{\lambda}$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{wt}(r_{\lambda}) = \lambda, \ \ \varepsilon_k(r_{\lambda}) = -\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle, \ \ \varphi_k(r_{\lambda}) = 0, \ \ \ \widetilde{e}_k(r_{\lambda}) = \widetilde{f}_k(r_{\lambda}) = 0.$$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I}, w$  denote the crystal structure on  $B(\infty)$  and  $*: U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  be the antiautomorphism such that  $e_i^* = e_i, f_i^* = f_i$  and  $(q^h)^* = q^{-h}$  in [19]. The antiautomorphism \* induces a bijection  $*: B(\infty) \to B(\infty)$  satisfying  $* \circ * = id$ . Using this antiautomorphism, we can define another crystal structure on  $B(\infty)^*$  as follows : The underlying set  $B(\infty)^*$  equals  $B(\infty)$  and the maps on  $B(\infty)^*$  are defined by  $\tilde{e}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{e}_i \circ *, \tilde{f}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{f}_i \circ *, \varepsilon_i^* := \varepsilon_i \circ *, \varphi_i^* := \varphi_i \circ *$  and wt \* := wt.

**Definition 2.4.** (1) For two crystals  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , we say a map  $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \{0\} \to \mathcal{B}_2 \sqcup \{0\}$  is a *strict* morphism and denoted by  $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$  if  $\psi(0) = 0$  and the following holds: For  $k \in I$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,

• if  $\psi(b) \in \mathcal{B}_2$  then

$$\operatorname{wt}(\psi(b)) = \operatorname{wt}(b), \quad \varepsilon_k(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_k(b), \quad \varphi_k(\psi(b)) = \varphi_k(b),$$

- it holds  $\tilde{e}_k(\psi(b)) = \psi(\tilde{e}_k(b))$  and  $\tilde{f}_k(\psi(b)) = \psi(\tilde{f}_k(b))$ , where we define  $\tilde{e}_k(0) = \tilde{f}_k(0) = 0$ .
- (2) If a strict morphism  $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \{0\} \to \mathcal{B}_2 \sqcup \{0\}$  is injective (resp. bijective) then  $\psi$  is said to be a *strict embedding* (resp. *isomorphism*) and denoted by  $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$  (resp.  $\psi : \mathcal{B}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_2$ ).

For two crystals  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ , one can define a new crystal called *tensor product*  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$ . The underlying set of  $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{B}_2$  is the direct product  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_2$  and a crystal structure is defined in [20].

### **2.3** Polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$

We fix an infinite sequence  $\iota = (\cdots, i_r, \cdots, i_2, i_1)$  of indices from I such that

$$i_r \neq i_{r+1} \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text{ and } \sharp \{ r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} | i_r = k \} = \infty \text{ for all } k \in I.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Putting

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\infty} := \{ (\cdots, a_r, \cdots, a_2, a_1) | a_r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \text{ and it holds } a_r = 0 \text{ for } r \gg 0 \},\$$

one can define a crystal structure on the set  $\mathbb{Z}^{\infty}$  associated with  $\iota$  (see subsection 2.4 of [27]) and denote it by  $\mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\iota}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [20, 27] There is the unique strict embedding of crystals

$$\Psi_{\iota}: B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty} \tag{2.2}$$

satisfying  $\Psi_{\iota}(u_{\infty}) = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $u_{\infty} \in B(\infty)$  is the highest weight vector and  $\mathbf{0} := (\cdots, 0, \cdots, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}$ .

Based on the original paper [27], we gave a procedure to compute  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}$  in [10]. We set

$$\mathbb{Q}^{\infty} := \{ \mathbf{a} = (\cdots, a_r, \cdots, a_2, a_1) | a_r \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text{ and it holds } a_r = 0 \text{ for } r \gg 0 \}.$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we define  $x_r \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\infty})^*$  as  $x_r(\cdots, a_r, \cdots, a_2, a_1) = a_r$ . One understands  $x_r := 0$  when  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let

$$r^{(+)} := \min\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid \ell > r \text{ and } i_r = i_\ell\}, \ r^{(-)} := \max\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid \ell < r \text{ and } i_r = i_\ell\} \cup \{0\},$$

and

$$\beta_r := x_r + \sum_{r < j < r^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_r}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{r^{(+)}} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*.$$
(2.3)

We understand  $\beta_0 := 0$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , the map  $S'_r = S'_{r,\iota} : (\mathbb{Q}^{\infty})^* \to (\mathbb{Q}^{\infty})^*$  is defined as follows: For  $\varphi = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} c_\ell x_\ell \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\infty})^*$ ,

$$S'_{r}(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \beta_{r} & \text{if } c_{r} > 0, \\ \varphi + \beta_{r^{(-)}} & \text{if } c_{r} < 0, \\ \varphi & \text{if } c_{r} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

The map  $S'_r(\varphi)$  is often simply written as  $S'_r\varphi$ . Using the map, one defines

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\iota}' &:= \{S_{j_{\ell}}' \cdots S_{j_{2}}' S_{j_{1}}' x_{j_{0}} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_{0}, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \\ \Sigma_{\iota}' &:= \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\infty} \mid \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_{\iota}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5)$$

If the following condition holds then we say the sequence  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -positivity condition:

for any 
$$\varphi = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} c_{\ell} x_{\ell} \in \Xi'_{\iota}$$
, if  $\ell^{(-)} = 0$  then  $c_{\ell} \geq 0$ . (2.6)

We obtain the following procedure:

**Theorem 2.6.** [10] We assume that the sequence  $\iota$  satisfies (2.1) and (2.6). Then it holds  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}) = \Sigma'_{\iota}$ .

### **2.4** Polyhedral realizations of $B(\lambda)$

We assume a sequence  $\iota$  satisfies (2.1). For  $\lambda \in P^+$ , we set  $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda] := \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty} \otimes R_{\lambda}$ , where  $R_{\lambda}$  is a crystal defined in Example 2.2. Since  $R_{\lambda}$  has a single element, we can naturally identify  $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda]$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}$  as a set. Just as in Theorem 2.5, the following holds:

Theorem 2.7. [26] There is the unique strict embedding of crystals

$$\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}: B(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda]$$

such that  $\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}(u_{\lambda}) = (\cdots, 0, 0, 0)$ , where  $u_{\lambda}$  is the highest weight vector in  $B(\lambda)$  and we identify  $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda]$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}$  as a set.

In [11], we gave a procedure to compute  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\iota}^{\infty}[\lambda]$  by slightly modifying the method of [26]. Let  $\beta_r^{(\pm)}$  be  $\beta_r^{(\pm)} = \beta_r$  and

$$\beta_r^{(-)} = \begin{cases} x_{r^{(-)}} + \sum_{r^{(-)} < j < r} \langle h_{i_r}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_r = \sigma_{r^{(-)}} - \sigma_r & \text{if } r^{(-)} > 0, \\ - \langle h_{i_r}, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{1 \le j < r} \langle h_{i_r}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_r = \sigma_0^{(i_r)} - \sigma_r & \text{if } r^{(-)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $r^{(-)} > 0$  then  $\beta_r^{(-)}$  coincides with  $\beta_{r^{(-)}}$  defined in (2.3). For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $\varphi = c + \sum_{r>1} \varphi_r x_r$  with  $c, \varphi_r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , a new function  $\widehat{S}'_r(\varphi)$  is defined as follows:

$$\widehat{S}'_{r}(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \beta_{r}^{(+)} & \text{if } \varphi_{r} > 0, \\ \varphi + \beta_{r}^{(-)} & \text{if } \varphi_{r} < 0, \\ \varphi & \text{if } \varphi_{r} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7)$$

It is easy to see that except for the case  $\varphi_r < 0$  and  $r^{(-)} = 0$ , it follows

$$\widehat{S}'_r(\varphi) = S'_r(\varphi - c) + c, \qquad (2.8)$$

where  $S'_r$  is defined in (2.4). For  $k \in I$  and  $\lambda \in P^+$ , we define

$$\iota^{(k)} := \min\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} | i_r = k\}, \qquad \lambda^{(k)} := \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle - \sum_{1 \leq j < \iota^{(k)}} \langle h_k, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(k)}}.$$
(2.9)

Let  $\Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda]$  be the set of all functions generated from the functions  $x_j$   $(j \ge 1)$  and  $\lambda^{(k)}$   $(k \in I)$  by applying  $\widehat{S}'_r$ , that is,

$$\Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda] := \{ \widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_{1}} x_{j_{0}} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_{0}, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \} \\ \cup \{ \widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_{1}} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ k \in I, \ j_{1}, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \}.$$

$$(2.10)$$

We also set

$$\Sigma'_{\iota}[\lambda] := \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\iota}[\lambda] | \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \ge 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi'_{\iota}[\lambda] \}$$
(2.11)

and consider the following condition:

**Definition 2.8.** [11] We say the pair  $(\iota, \lambda)$  is  $\Xi'$ -ample if  $\mathbf{0} := (\cdots, 0, 0, 0) \in \Sigma'_{\iota}[\lambda]$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** [11] For  $\lambda \in P^+$ , we assume the pair  $(\iota, \lambda)$  is  $\Xi'$ -ample. Then the image  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) (\cong B(\lambda))$  coincides with  $\Sigma'_{\iota}[\lambda]$ .

# 2.5 An application for $\varepsilon_k^*$

Using the operators  $S'_j$ , one can compute the function  $\varepsilon_k^*$  in Example 2.3. For  $k \in I$ , we define

$$\xi^{(k)} := -\sum_{1 \le j < \iota^{(k)}} \langle h_k, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{\iota^{(k)}} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\infty)^*.$$

Note that for any  $\lambda \in P^+$ , it is related with  $\lambda^{(k)}$  in (2.9) by  $\xi^{(k)} = \lambda^{(k)} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ . Using the maps in (2.4), we define

$$\Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)} := \{ S_{j_{\ell}}^{\prime} \cdots S_{j_{1}}^{\prime} \xi^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_{1}, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \}.$$

As defined in [11], we say the sequence  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -strict positivity condition if it holds the following:

for any 
$$\varphi = \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \varphi_{\ell} x_{\ell} \in \left( \bigcup_{k \in I} \Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)} \setminus \{\xi^{(k)}\} \right) \cup \Xi_{\iota}^{\prime}, \text{ if } \ell^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_{\ell} \ge 0,$$
 (2.12)

where  $\Xi'_{\iota}$  is defined in (2.5).

**Theorem 2.10.** [11] If  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -strict positivity condition then for  $k \in I$  and  $x \in \text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$ , it holds

$$\varepsilon_k^*(x) = \max\{-\varphi(x)|\varphi \in \Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)}\}.$$

# 3 Young walls

In this section, let  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}, B_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  and  $k \in I$ .

**Remark 3.1.** For the sake of simplicity, we often omit the rank of type. For example, we often simply write  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$  as  $A^{(1)}$ .

# 3.1 Periodic maps

- **Definition 3.2.** (1) We define a map  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  as  $\ell \mapsto \ell$  for all  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and extend it to the map  $\pi'_{A^{(1)}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = I$  by periodicity n. Furthermore, we extend  $\pi'_{A^{(1)}}$  to  $\pi_{A^{(1)}} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow I$  by periodicity n.
  - (2) We define a map  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n-2\} \to \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  as

$$\ell \mapsto \ell, \ 2n - \ell \mapsto \ell \qquad (2 \le \ell \le n - 1),$$
  
 $1 \mapsto 1, \ n \mapsto n,$ 

 $\pi'_{C^{(1)}}: \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \to \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} = I$ 

and extend it to the map

by periodicity 2n-2. We also define maps  $\pi'_{D^{(2)}}$  and  $\pi'_{A^{(2)\dagger}_{2n-2}}$  as

$$\pi'_{D^{(2)}} := \pi'_{C^{(1)}}, \quad \pi'_{A^{(2)\dagger}_{2n-2}} := \pi'_{C^{(1)}}$$

(3) We define a map  $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3, \dots, 2n-4\} \to I$  as

$$\ell \mapsto \ell + 1$$
  $(2 \le \ell \le n - 1), \quad 2n - \ell - 1 \mapsto \ell \quad (3 \le \ell \le n - 1),$   
 $1 \mapsto 1, \quad \frac{3}{2} \mapsto 2$ 

and extend it to the map

$$\pi'_{B^{(1)}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + (2n-4)z | z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \to I$$
(3.2)

(3.1)

by periodicity 2n-4. We also define  $\pi'_{A^{(2)}_{2n-3}}$  as  $\pi'_{A^{(2)}_{2n-3}} := \pi'_{B^{(1)}}$ .

(4) We define a map  $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3, \cdots, n-2, n-\frac{3}{2}, n-1, n, \cdots, 2n-6\} \to I$  as

$$\ell \mapsto \ell + 1 \quad (2 \le \ell \le n - 2), \quad 2n - \ell - 3 \mapsto \ell \quad (3 \le \ell \le n - 2)$$
$$1 \mapsto 1, \quad \frac{3}{2} \mapsto 2, \quad n - \frac{3}{2} \mapsto n$$

and extend it to the map

$$\pi'_{D^{(1)}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + (2n-6)z | z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \cup \left\{ n - \frac{3}{2} + (2n-6)z | z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \to I$$
(3.3)

by periodicity 2n - 6.

**Definition 3.3.** For  $k \in I$  and X other than  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , we say k is in class 1 if the fundamental weight  $\Lambda_k$  is level 1. We say  $k \in I$  is in class 2 if k is not in class 1. When  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , we understand all  $k \in I$  are in class 2. The list of  $k \in I$  in class 1 is as follows:

Type of $\mathfrak{g}$	$A_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$B_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$D_{n-1}^{(1)}$	$A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$	$A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$	$D_n^{(2)}$
k	$1, 2, \cdots, n$	1, 2, n	1, 2, n - 1, n	1	1, 2	1, n

Let  $D_X$  denote the domain of definition of  $\pi'_X$ . For example,

$$D_{B^{(1)}} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + (2n-4)z | z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

For  $k \in I$  in class 1, let  $\ell \in D_X$  be the smallest number such that  $\pi'_X(\ell) = k$  and we define  $T_k^X := \lfloor \ell \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor \rfloor$  is the floor function. For  $k \in I$ , let  $\overline{T}_k^X$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{T}}_k^X$ ) be the smallest number (resp. second smallest number)  $\ell \in D_X$  such that  $k = \pi'_X(\ell)$ . Here, we often omit the type X as  $T_k, \overline{T}_k, \overline{\overline{T}}_k$ .

## 3.2 Proper Young walls

Let us review the notion of Young walls introduced in [14]. Each Young wall consists of I-colored blocks of three different shapes:

(1) block with unit width, unit height and unit thickness:

j	$\mathcal{V}$

(2) block with unit width, unit height and half-unit thickness:

(3) block with unit width, half-unit height and unit thickness:

We simply call (1), (2), and (3) a unit cube, a block with half-unit thickness and a block with half-unit height, respectively. Using these blocks, we will build a wall whose thickness is less than or equal to one unit. Just as in [14], we simply express blocks (1) with color  $j \in I$  as

blocks (2) with color  $j \in I$  as

(3.5)

or



and (3) with color  $j \in I$  as

The blocks (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) are called *j*-blocks. If colored blocks are stacked as follows

j

it is simply described as

In this way, a block (2) in front is expressed by (3.5) and a block (2) at the back is expressed by (3.6). Let us recall ground state walls  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$  of type X for  $k \in I$  in class 1 [14]:

(1) For  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_k$   $(k \in I)$ , let  $Y_{\lambda}$  be the wall which has no blocks.

(2) For  $X = A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_1$ , one defines

$$Y_{\Lambda_1} := \cdots 1 1 1$$

Here, the wall  $Y_{\Lambda_1}$  has infinitely many 1-blocks with half-unit height and extends infinitely to the left.

(3) For  $X = D_n^{(2)}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_1, \Lambda_n$ , one defines

$$Y_{\Lambda_1} := \begin{array}{c} \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \end{array}$$

and

$$Y_{\Lambda_n} := \cdots \boxed{n \quad n \quad n}$$

1

(4) For  $X = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2,$ 

$$Y_{\Lambda_1} := \dots \underline{1 2 1 2} \qquad Y_{\Lambda_2} := \dots \underline{2 1 2 1}$$

Here, the walls  $Y_{\Lambda_1}$ ,  $Y_{\Lambda_2}$  extend infinitely to the left. For  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_n$ , we set

$$Y_{\Lambda_n} := \cdots \boxed{n \quad n \quad n}$$

(5) For  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\lambda = \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_{n-1}$  or  $\Lambda_n$ ,

$$Y_{\Lambda_1} := \dots \underbrace{1 \ 2}_{n-1} Y_{\Lambda_2} := \dots \underbrace{2 \ 1 \ 2}_{1}$$

$$Y_{\Lambda_{n-1}} := \dots \underbrace{n \ n-1}_{n-1} N \qquad Y_{\Lambda_n} := \dots \underbrace{n \ n-1}_{n-1} N$$



(3.7)

In [16], the following stacking patterns are introduced:

The stacking pattern with right-hand line drawn repeated infinitely many times. Here,  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{1, 2\}$ and  $\{j_3, j_4\} = \{n - 1, n\}$ . For  $k \in I$  in class 1, let  $Pat(X, \geq k)$  denote the pattern obtained from the above pattern for type X by truncating all blocks below the first k-block from the bottom. For  $k \in I$  in class 2, let  $\overline{Pat}(X, \geq k)$  (resp.  $\overline{Pat}(X, \geq k)$ ) denote the pattern obtained from the above pattern for type X by truncating all blocks below the first (resp. second) k-block from the bottom and changing the lowest k-block to two k-blocks with half-unit height:

k
k

For example,



**Definition 3.4.** [14] Let  $k \in I$  in class 1. A wall Y is said to be Young wall of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type X if the following holds:

- (i) The wall Y is obtained from  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$  by stacking finitely many colored blocks on  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$ .
- (ii) The colored blocks in *i*th column from the right are stacked following the patterns as below:
  - (a) For  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , the pattern is  $Pat(A_{n-1}^{(1)}) \ge \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k-i+1)$ .
  - (b) For  $X = A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ , the pattern is  $Pat(X, \ge k)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ .

- (c) For  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  or  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  and  $k \neq n$ , the pattern is  $Pat(X, \geq k)$  with  $j_1 = k$  when i is odd and  $Pat(X, \geq k)$  with  $j_2 = k$  when i is even. If k = n so that  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  then the pattern is  $Pat(B_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq n)$  with  $j_1 = 2$  when i is odd and  $Pat(B_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq n)$  with  $j_1 = 1$  when i is even.
- (d) For  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and k = 1 or 2, the pattern is  $Pat(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  with  $j_1 = k$ ,  $j_3 = n$  when i is odd and  $Pat(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  with  $j_2 = k$ ,  $j_3 = n 1$  when i is even. For k = n 1 or n, the pattern is  $Pat(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  with  $j_3 = k$ ,  $j_1 = 2$  when i is odd and  $Pat(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  with  $j_4 = k$ ,  $j_1 = 1$  when i is even.
- (iii) No block can be put on the top of a single block with half-unit thickness.
- (iv) Except for the right-most column, there are no free spaces to the right of any blocks.

The patterns mentioned in (ii) are explicitly described in Sect.5 of [14].

**Definition 3.5.** [14] Let Y be a Young wall of ground state  $\lambda$  of type X.

- (i) A column of Y is called a *full column* if its height is a multiple of the unit length and its top has unit thickness.
- (ii) For  $X = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$ ,  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $D_n^{(2)}$ , the Young wall Y is said to be *proper* if none of two full columns of Y have the same height.
- (iii) For  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , we understand all Young walls are proper.

**Definition 3.6.** [14] Let Y be a proper Young wall and  $i \in I$ .

- (i) An *i*-block in Y is called a *removable i-block* if the wall obtained from Y by removing this *i*-block remains a proper Young wall.
- (ii) If we obtain a proper Young wall by adding an *i*-block to a place of Y then the place is called an *i*-admissible slot.

**Definition 3.7.** [10] Let Y be a proper Young wall and t = 1 or t = n.

(i) Let Y' be a wall obtained by adding two t-blocks of shape (3.7) to the top of a column of Y:

In the Young wall Y, a slot is named A as above. The slot A in Y is said to be *double t-admissible* if Y' is a proper Young wall.

(ii) Let Y'' be a wall obtained by removing two *t*-blocks of shape (3.7) from the top of a column of Y:

A block in the Young wall Y is named B as above. The block B in Y is said to be *double* t-removable if Y'' is a proper Young wall.

(iii) Other *i*-admissible slots (resp. removable *i*-blocks) are said to be single admissible (resp. single removable) for  $i \in I$ .

### 3.3 Truncated walls

We take  $k \in I$  in class 2 and consider walls obtained from proper Young walls by truncating blocks below a horizontal line.

**Definition 3.8.** We say a wall Y is a *truncated wall* of supporting (resp. covering) ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type X if the following holds:

(i) The wall Y is obtained from

$$\cdots k k k$$

by stacking finitely many colored blocks on it.

- (ii) The colored blocks in *i*th column from the right are stacked following the patterns as below:
  - (a) For  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ , the pattern is  $\overline{Pat}(X, \geq k)$  (resp.  $\overline{Pat}(X, \geq k)$ ) for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .
  - (b) For  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  or  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$ , the pattern is  $\overline{Pat}(X, \ge k)$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{Pat}}(X, \ge k)$ ) with  $j_1 = 2$  when i is odd and  $\overline{Pat}(X, \ge k)$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{Pat}}(X, \ge k)$ ) with  $j_1 = 1$  when i is even.
  - (c) For  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , the pattern is  $\overline{Pat}(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  (resp.  $\overline{Pat}(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$ ) with  $j_1 = 2, j_3 = n$ when i is odd and  $\overline{Pat}(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$  (resp.  $\overline{Pat}(D_{n-1}^{(1)}, \geq k)$ ) with  $j_1 = 1, j_3 = n-1$  when i is even.

(iii) Y satisfies the conditions (iii), (iv) of Definition 3.4.

We define the notion of *full columns* for truncated walls by the same way as in Definition 3.5 (i). Just as in Definition 3.5 (ii), for  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)\dagger}$ ,  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $D_n^{(2)}$ , a truncated wall Y is said to be *proper* if none of the two full columns in Y have the same height. For example, the following  $\overline{Y}_1$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{Y}}_1$ ) is a proper truncated wall of supporting (resp. covering) ground state  $\Lambda_3$  of type  $B_3^{(1)}$ :

Similarly to Definition 3.6, one also defines the notion of removable blocks and admissible slots: **Definition 3.9.** Let Y be a proper truncated wall of supporting or covering ground state  $\Lambda_k$  and  $i \in I$ .

(i) An i-block in Y except for

is called a *removable i-block* if the wall obtained from Y by removing this *i*-block remains a proper truncated wall.

k

(ii) If we obtain a proper truncated wall by adding an *i*-block except for

# k

to a place of Y then the place is called an *i*-admissible slot.

By the same way as in Definition 3.7, one defines the notion of double removable blocks and double admissible slots for proper truncated walls.

# 4 Expression of inequalities by Young walls

Just as in the previous section, let  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}, B_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  and  $k \in I$ . We define the Langlands dual type  $X^L$  as

$$\begin{split} X^{L} &= X \left( X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)} \right), \ (C_{n-1}^{(1)})^{L} = D_{n}^{(2)}, \ (D_{n}^{(2)})^{L} = C_{n-1}^{(1)}, \ (A_{2n-3}^{(2)})^{L} = B_{n-1}^{(1)}, \ (B_{n-1}^{(1)})^{L} = A_{2n-3}^{(2)} \\ & (4.1) \end{split}$$
 We also define  $(A_{2n-3}^{(2)})^{L} = A_{2n-3}^{(2)\dagger}$  and  $(A_{2n-3}^{(2)\dagger})^{L} = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$ . Note that  $(X^{L})^{L} = X$ .

# 4.1 Adaptedness and non-negative integers $P_{\ell}^X$

**Definition 4.1.** [12] Let  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  be the symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\iota = (\dots, i_3, i_2, i_1)$  be a sequence satisfying (2.1). If the following condition holds then we say  $\iota$  is *adapted* to A: For  $i, j \in I$  such that  $a_{i,j} < 0$ , the subsequence of  $\iota$  consisting of all i, j is

$$(\cdots, i, j, i, j, i, j, i, j)$$
 or  $(\cdots, j, i, j, i, j, i, j, i)$ 

When the Cartan matrix A is fixed, the sequence  $\iota$  is shortly said to be *adapted*.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $A_2^{(1)}$  and  $\iota = (\dots, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2)$ . It follows  $a_{1,2} = a_{2,3} = a_{1,3} = -1$  and

- the subsequence consisting of 1 and 2 is  $(\cdots, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$ ,
- the subsequence consisting of 2 and 3 is  $(\cdots, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2)$ ,
- the subsequence consisting of 1 and 3 is  $(\cdots, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1)$ .

Hence,  $\iota$  is an adapted sequence.

In the rest of paper, we fix a sequence  $\iota = (\cdots, i_3, i_2, i_1)$  adapted to A. Let us define a set of integers  $(p_{i,j})_{i,j \in I; a_{i,j} < 0}$  by

$$p_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the subsequence of } \iota \text{ consisting of } i, j \text{ is } (\cdots, j, i, j, i, j, i), \\ 0 & \text{if the subsequence of } \iota \text{ consisting of } i, j \text{ is } (\cdots, i, j, i, j, i, j). \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

It is easy to see if  $a_{i,j} < 0$  then

$$p_{i,j} + p_{j,i} = 1.$$
 (4.3)

For each  $i \in I$ , we set  $p_{i,i} := 0$ .

For  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one inductively defines integers  $P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(t)$   $(t \in \mathbb{Z})$  as  $P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(\ell) = 0$  and

p

$$P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(t) := P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(t-1) + p_{\pi_{A^{(1)}}(t),\pi_{A^{(1)}}(t-1)} \text{ (for } t > \ell), \tag{4.4}$$

$$P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(t) := P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(t+1) + p_{\pi_{A^{(1)}}(t),\pi_{A^{(1)}}(t+1)} \text{ (for } t < \ell).$$

$$(4.5)$$

For  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_n^{(2)}$  or  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  and  $\ell \in D_X = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we define integers  $P_\ell^X(t)$   $(t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell})$  as

$$P_{\ell}^{X}(\ell) := 0, \qquad P_{\ell}^{X}(t) = P_{k}^{X}(t-1) + p_{\pi'_{X}(t),\pi'_{X}(t-1)} \ (t > \ell).$$

For  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  or  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  and  $\ell \in D_X$ , we define integers  $P_{\ell}^X(t)$   $(t \in D_X)$  as follows. One defines  $P_{\ell}^X(t) := 0$  when  $t = \ell$  or  $t \le \ell - 1$  and  $P_{j_1}^X(j_2) := p_{3,\pi'_X(j_1)} - p_{3,\pi'_X(j_2)}$  when  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$  and for  $t > \ell + \frac{1}{2},$ 

$$P_{\ell}^{X}(t) = \begin{cases} P_{\ell}^{X}(t - \frac{3}{2}) + p_{2,3} & \text{if } \pi'_{X}(t) = 2\\ P_{\ell}^{X}(t - 1) + p_{\pi'_{X}(t),\pi'_{X}(t - 1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, for  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\ell \in D_X$ , we define integers  $P_{\ell}^X(t)$   $(t \in D_X)$  as follows. One defines  $P_{\ell}^X(t) := 0$  when  $t = \ell$  or  $t \leq \ell - 1$  and  $P_{j_1}^X(j_2) := p_{3,\pi'_X(j_1)} - p_{3,\pi'_X(j_2)}$  (resp.  $P_{j_1}^X(j_2) := p_{n-2,\pi'_X(j_1)} - p_{n-2,\pi'_X(j_2)}$ ) when  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$  (resp.  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{n - 2, n - \frac{3}{2}\}$ ) and for  $t > \ell + \frac{1}{2},$ 

$$P_{\ell}^{X}(t) = \begin{cases} P_{\ell}^{X}(t - \frac{3}{2}) + p_{2,3} & \text{if } \pi'_{X}(t) = 2, \\ P_{\ell}^{X}(t - \frac{3}{2}) + p_{n,n-2} & \text{if } \pi'_{X}(t) = n, \\ P_{\ell}^{X}(t - 1) + p_{\pi'_{X}(t),\pi'_{X}(t-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for any type X, it holds  $P_{\ell}^X(t) \ge 0$  except for the case  $\{\ell, t\} = \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$  or  $\{\ell, t\} = \{n-2, n-\frac{3}{2}\}$ .

#### 4.2An identification of single indices and double indices

For a fixed sequence  $\iota$ , we identify the set of single indices  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  with the set of double indices  $\mathbb{Z}_{>1} \times I$  as follows: Each single index  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$  is identified with a double index  $(s, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \times I$  when  $i_r = k$  and k appears s times in  $i_r, i_{r-1}, \dots, i_1$ . For example, for  $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ , single indices  $\cdots$ , 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 are identified with double indices

$$\cdots$$
, (2, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (1, 2), (1, 1), (1, 3).

The notation  $x_r$ ,  $\beta_r$ ,  $\beta_r^{\pm}$ ,  $S'_r$  and  $\widehat{S}'_r$  in Sect.2 are also rewritten as

$$x_r = x_{s,k}, \quad \beta_r = \beta_{s,k}, \quad \beta_r^{\pm} = \beta_{s,k}^{\pm}, \quad S_r' = S_{s,k}', \quad \widehat{S}_r' = \widehat{S}_{s,k}'.$$

If  $(s,k) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$  then we define  $x_{s,k} := 0$ . By this identification and the ordinary order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , that is,  $1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < \cdots$ , one can define an order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{>1} \times I$ . For  $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3)$ , the order is  $\cdots > (2,2) > (2,1) > (2,3) > (1,2) > (1,1) > (1,3)$ . Using the notation in (4.2), if  $\iota$  is adapted then  $\beta_{s,k}$  can be written as

$$\beta_{s,k} = x_{s,k} + x_{s+1,k} + \sum_{j \in I; a_{k,j} < 0} a_{k,j} x_{s+p_{j,k},j}.$$

#### Descriptions of inequalities for $B(\infty)$ 4.3

In what follows, we draw proper Young walls of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type X in  $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq T_k^X}$  for  $k \in I$ in class 1. Similarly, we draw truncated walls of supporting (resp. covering) ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type  $X \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq \overline{T}_k^X} \text{ (resp. in } \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>\overline{\overline{T}}_k^X} \text{ ) for } k \in I \text{ in class 2. Here, } T_k^X, \overline{T}_k^X \text{ and } \overline{\overline{T}}_k^X \text{ are defined}$  in the end of subsection 3.1. For example, when  $X = B_2^{(1)}$ , the ground state wall  $Y_{\Lambda_1}$  is drawn in  $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ :



When  $X = C_2^{(1)}$ , a truncated wall of covering ground state  $\Lambda_1$  are drawn as



For  $k \in I$  and a slot or block S in a proper Young wall or truncated wall, we define

 $P_{k,S}^{X}(t) := \begin{cases} P_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{X}(t) & \text{if } S \text{ is in a proper Young wall or a truncated wall of supporting ground state,} \\ P_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{X}(t) & \text{if } S \text{ is in a truncated wall of covering ground state} \end{cases}$  (4.6)

and take  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and S be the following slot or block in a proper Young wall or truncated wall:  $(-i-1, \ell+1) = (-i, \ell+1)$ 

$$S = \underbrace{(-i-1, \ell+1)}_{(-i-1, \ell)} \underbrace{(-i, \ell+1)}_{(-i, \ell)}$$

When S is colored by  $t \in I$ , we set

$$L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{A^{(1)}}(S) := x_{s+P_k^{A^{(1)}}(\ell-i)+\min\{i,\ell-k\},t},$$
(4.7)

$$L_{s,k,re}^{A^{(1)}}(S) := x_{s+P_k^{A^{(1)}}(\ell-i)+\min\{i,\ell-k\}+1,t}$$
(4.8)

and for  $X \neq A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , set

$$L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(S) := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S}^{X}(\ell)+i,t} & \text{if } S \text{ is admissible,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(S) := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S}^{X}(\ell)+i+1,t} & \text{if } S \text{ is removable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.9)$$

By  $P_{k,S}^X(\ell) \ge 0$ , it is easy to see

$$s + P_{k,S}^X(\ell) + i \ge s, \quad s + P_{k,S}^X(\ell) + i + 1 \ge s + 1.$$
 (4.10)

Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and S' be a slot or block colored by t = 1 or t = n in a proper Young wall or truncated wall such that the place is one of the following two:

$$S' = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -i-1, \ell + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}}_{(-i-1, \ell)} \underbrace{(-i, \ell + \frac{1}{2})}_{(-i, \ell)} \underbrace{(-i-1, \ell+1)}_{(-i, \ell+1)} \underbrace{(-i-1, \ell+1)}_{(-i, \ell+\frac{1}{2})} \underbrace{(-i, \ell+1)}_{(-i, \ell+\frac{1}{2})}$$

Then we set

$$L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(S') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S'}^{X}(\ell)+i,t} & \text{if } S' \text{ is admissible,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(S') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S'}^{X}(\ell)+i+1,t} & \text{if } S' \text{ is removable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.11)$$

It is clear by  $P^X_{k,S'}(\ell) \geq 0$  that

$$s + P_{k,S'}^X(\ell) + i \ge s, \quad s + P_{k,S'}^X(\ell) + i + 1 \ge s + 1.$$
(4.12)

Note that in the above settings, except for the case  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , it holds

$$t = \pi'_X(\ell).$$

Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and S'' be a slot or block

$$S'' = \underbrace{(-i, \ell + 1)}_{(-i, \ell)} \underbrace{(-i, \ell + 1)}_{or} \underbrace{(-i - 1, \ell + 1)}_{(-i, \ell)} \underbrace{(-i, \ell + 1)}_{or}$$

in a proper Young wall or truncated wall. If S'' is colored by t = 1 or t = n - 1 then it holds  $t = \pi'_X(\ell)$  and we set

$$L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(S'') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S''}^{X}(\ell)+i,t} & \text{if } S'' \text{ is admissible,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(S'') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S''}^{X}(\ell)+i+1,t} & \text{if } S'' \text{ is removable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.13)$$

and if colored by t = 2 or t = n then it holds  $t = \pi'_X \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)$  and we set

$$L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(S'') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S''}^{X}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)+i,t} & \text{if } S'' \text{ is admissible,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(S'') := \begin{cases} x_{s+P_{k,S''}^{X}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)+i+1,t} & \text{if } S'' \text{ is removable,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \\ (4.14) \end{cases}$$

Writing  $P_{k,S''}^X(\ell) = P_{j_1}^X(j_2)$ , it holds  $P_{j_1}^X(j_2) = -1$  only if  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{1, \frac{3}{2}\}$  or  $\{j_1, j_2\} = \{n-2, n-\frac{3}{2}\}$ . Then if S'' is admissible or removable then we have i > 0. Therefore, we get  $P_{k,S''}^X(\ell) + i \ge 0$ . Similarly, we get  $P_{k,S''}^X(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i \ge 0$ . Hence,

$$s + P_{k,S''}^X(\ell) + i \ge s, \quad s + P_{k,S''}^X(\ell) + i + 1 \ge s + 1, \quad s + P_{k,S''}^X\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right) + i \ge s, \quad s + P_{k,S''}^X\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right) + i + 1 \ge s + 1.$$

$$(4.15)$$

**Definition 4.3.** For above S, S' or S'', we say the *position* of S, S' or S'' is  $(-i, \ell)$ .

Let Y be a proper Young wall (resp. a proper truncated wall) of ground state (resp. supporting or covering ground state)  $\Lambda_k$  of type  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}, B_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ . We define

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X}(Y) := \sum_{t \in I} \left( \sum_{P: \text{single } t \text{-admissible slot}} L_{s,k,\text{ad}}^{X}(P) - \sum_{P: \text{single removable } t \text{-block}} L_{s,k,\text{re}}^{X}(P) \right) + \sum_{P: \text{double } t \text{-admissible slot}} 2L_{s,k,\text{ad}}^{X}(P) - \sum_{P: \text{double } removable } 2L_{s,k,\text{re}}^{X}(P) \quad (4.16)$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 4.4.** (i) For  $k \in I$  in class 1, we define  $YW_k^X$  as the set of all proper Young walls of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type X.

- (ii) For  $k \in I$  in class 2, one defines  $\overline{YW_k^X}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{YW_k^X}}$ ) as the set of all proper truncated walls of supporting (resp. covering) ground state  $\Lambda_k$  of type X.
- (iii) For  $k \in I$  in class 2,

$$\mathrm{YW}_k^X := \left\{ (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in \overline{\mathrm{YW}_k^X} \times \overline{\overline{\mathrm{YW}_k^X}} | h_j = \frac{1}{2} \text{ if and only if } h'_j = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \right\},$$

where  $h_j$  (resp.  $h'_j$ ) is the height of *j*-th column of  $\overline{Y}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$ ) from the right. We set

$$Y_{\Lambda_k} := ( \cdots \boxed{k \ k \ k}, \cdots \boxed{k \ k \ k} ) \in YW_k^X$$

For example, for  $\overline{Y}_1, \overline{\overline{Y}}_1$  in (3.9), it holds  $(\overline{Y}_1, \overline{\overline{Y}}_1) \in \mathrm{YW}_3^{B_3^{(1)}}$ .

**Definition 4.5.** We take  $k \in I$  in class 2.

(i) For  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^X$ , we suppose that  $Y' = (\overline{Y}', \overline{\overline{Y}}') \in YW_k^X$  is obtained from Y by removing one k-block with half-unit height

k

from the top of *j*-th column of  $\overline{Y}$  and one from the top of *j*-th column of  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Then the pair of these two *k*-blocks are said to be a *removable k-pair*.

(ii) For  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^X$ , we suppose that  $Y'' = (\overline{Y}'', \overline{\overline{Y}}'') \in YW_k^X$  is obtained from Y by adding one k-block with half-unit height

k

to the top of *j*-th column of  $\overline{Y}$  and one to the top of *j*-th column of  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Then the pair of these two *k*-slots are said to be a *k*-admissible pair.

Let P be a pair in some  $Y \in YW_k^X$  in the following form for  $k \in I$  in class 2:

$$P = \frac{k}{(-i-1,\overline{T}_{k}+1)} (-i,\overline{T}_{k}+1) (-i,\overline{T}_{k}+1)$$

Then we set

 $L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(P) := \begin{cases} x_{s+i,k} & \text{if } P \text{ is a } k\text{-admissible pair,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(P) := \begin{cases} x_{s+i+1,k} & \text{if } P \text{ is a removable } k\text{-pair,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  (4.17)

**Definition 4.6.** For above P, we say the position of P is  $(-i, \overline{T}_k)$ .

For  $k \in I$  in class 2 and  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^X$ , combining (4.16) and (4.17), we define

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X}(Y) := L_{s,k,\iota}^{X}(\overline{Y}) + L_{s,k,\iota}^{X}(\overline{\overline{Y}}) + \sum_{P:k\text{-admissible pair in } Y} L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X}(P) - \sum_{P:\mathrm{removable } k\text{-pair in } Y} L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X}(P).$$
(4.18)

We set  $\operatorname{COMB}_{\iota}^{X}[\infty] := \{ L_{s,k,\iota}^{X}(Y) | s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \ k \in I, \ Y \in \operatorname{YW}_{k}^{X} \}.$ 

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $X^{L}$  (=  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $D_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$ ,  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_{n}^{(2)}$ ). Here,  $X^{L}$  is defined in (4.1). We suppose  $\iota$  is adapted. Then  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -positivity condition and it holds

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}) = \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} | \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \operatorname{COMB}_{\iota}^{X}[\infty] \}$$

**Example 4.8.** We consider the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $D_3^{(2)}$ ,  $\iota = (\cdots, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3)$ . We see that

$$P_{\overline{T}_1}^{C^{(1)}}(r) = P_1^{C^{(1)}}(r) \ (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}), \quad P_{\overline{T}_1}^{C^{(1)}}(r) = P_5^{C^{(1)}}(r) \ (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 5})$$

and

$$\begin{split} P_1^{C^{(1)}}(1) &= 0, \ P_1^{C^{(1)}}(2) = 1, \ P_1^{C^{(1)}}(3) = P_1^{C^{(1)}}(4) = P_1^{C^{(1)}}(5) = 2, P_1^{C^{(1)}}(6) = 3, \cdots, \\ P_5^{C^{(1)}}(5) &= 0, \ P_5^{C^{(1)}}(6) = 1, \ P_5^{C^{(1)}}(7) = P_5^{C^{(1)}}(8) = P_5^{C^{(1)}}(9) = 2, P_5^{C^{(1)}}(10) = 3, \cdots. \\ \text{Taking } Y_{\Lambda_1}, \ Y_1, \ Y_2, \ Y_3, \ Y_4 \in \mathrm{YW}_1^{(D_3^{(2)})^L} = \mathrm{YW}_1^{C_2^{(1)}} \text{ as } \end{split}$$



Let us compute several inequalities defining  $\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$ . In  $Y_{\Lambda_1}$ , there is the unique 1-admissible pair (denoted by  $P_1$ ) at position (0, 1) and there are neither admissible slots nor removable blocks so that  $L_{s,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_{\Lambda_1}) = L_{s,1,\mathrm{ad}}^{C_2^{(1)}}(P_1) = x_{s,1}$  by (4.17), (4.18). In  $Y_1$ , there are two 2-admissible slots  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  at positions (0, 2) and (0, 6) and removable 1-pair  $P_2$  at position (0, 1). Thus,  $L_{s,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_1) = L_{s,1,\mathrm{ad}}^{C_2^{(1)}}(S_1) + L_{s,1,\mathrm{ad}}^{C_2^{(1)}}(S_2) - L_{s,1,\mathrm{re}}^{C_1^{(1)}}(P_2) = x_{s+P_{\overline{T}_1}^{C_1^{(1)}}(2),2} + x_{s+P_{\overline{T}_1}^{C_1^{(1)}}(6),2} - x_{s+1,1} = 2x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,1}$ .

Similarly, we get  $L_{s,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_2) = x_{s+1,2} + x_{s+2,3} - x_{s+2,2}$ ,  $L_{s,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_3) = x_{s+1,1} + 2x_{s+2,3} - 2x_{s+2,2}$  and  $L_{s,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_4) = x_{s+1,1} + x_{s+2,3} - x_{s+3,3}$ . Therefore, for all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,j})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I} \in \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s,1} &\geq 0, \ 2a_{s+1,2} - a_{s+1,1} \geq 0, \ a_{s+1,2} + a_{s+2,3} - a_{s+2,2} \geq 0, \\ a_{s+1,1} + 2a_{s+2,3} - 2a_{s+2,2} \geq 0, a_{s+1,1} + a_{s+2,3} - a_{s+3,3} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

**Example 4.9.** We consider the case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_5^{(2)}$ ,  $\iota = (\dots, 1, 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 4, 2)$ . It holds

$$P_{\overline{T}_3}^{B^{(1)}}(r) = P_2^{B^{(1)}}(r) \ (r \in D_{B^{(1)}}, r \ge 2), \quad P_{\overline{T}_3}^{B^{(1)}}(r) = P_4^{B^{(1)}}(r) \ (r \in D_{B^{(1)}}, r \ge 4)$$

and

$$P_2^{B^{(1)}}(2) = 0, \ P_2^{B^{(1)}}(3) = 1, \ P_2^{B^{(1)}}(4) = 1, \ P_2^{B^{(1)}}(5) = 1, \ P_2^{B^{(1)}}\left(\frac{11}{2}\right) = 2, \cdots,$$

$$P_4^{B^{(1)}}(4) = 0, \ P_4^{B^{(1)}}(5) = 0, \ P_4^{B^{(1)}}\left(\frac{11}{2}\right) = 1, \ P_4^{B^{(1)}}(6) = 1, \ P_4^{B^{(1)}}(7) = 2, \cdots.$$

Taking  $Y_{\Lambda_3}$ ,  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ ,  $Y_3$ ,  $Y_4 \in YW_3^{(A_5^{(2)})^L} = YW_3^{B_3^{(1)}}$  as



Let us compute several inequalities defining  $\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$ . In  $Y_{\Lambda_3}$ , there is the unique 3-admissible pair at position (0, 2) and there are neither admissible slots nor removable blocks so that  $L_{s,3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(Y_{\Lambda_3}) = x_{s,3}$ . In  $Y_1$ , there are 1-admissible slot and 2-admissible slot at position (0, 5), a double 4-admissible slot at

position (0, 3) and removable 3-pair at position (0, 2). Thus,  $L_{s,3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(Y_1) = 2x_{s+1,4} + x_{s,1} + x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,3}$ . Similarly, we get  $L_{s,3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(Y_2) = x_{s+1,4} + x_{s,1} + x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+2,4}$ ,  $L_{s,3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(Y_3) = 2x_{s+1,4} + x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,1}$ and  $L_{s,3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(Y_4) = x_{s+1,4} + x_{s+1,3} + x_{s+1,2} - x_{s+1,1} - x_{s+2,4}$ . Hence, for all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,j})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I} \in \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$  satisfies

$$a_{s,3} \ge 0, \ 2a_{s+1,4} + a_{s,1} + a_{s+1,2} - a_{s+1,3} \ge 0, \ a_{s+1,4} + a_{s,1} + a_{s+1,2} - a_{s+2,4} \ge 0,$$
  
$$2a_{s+1,4} + a_{s+1,2} - a_{s+1,1} \ge 0, a_{s+1,4} + a_{s+1,3} + a_{s+1,2} - a_{s+1,1} - a_{s+2,4} \ge 0.$$
(4.20)

# 4.4 Descriptions for $B(\lambda)$

Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . We define

$$\boxed{r}_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}} := x_{P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(r), \pi_{A^{(1)}}(r)} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(r-1), \pi_{A^{(1)}}(r-1)} \qquad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell+1}), \tag{4.21}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r} \end{bmatrix}_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}} := x_{P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(r-1), \pi_{A^{(1)}}(r-1)} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{A^{(1)}}(r), \pi_{A^{(1)}}(r)} \qquad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \ell}).$$
(4.22)

For  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell+1}$ , we set

$$\boxed{r}_{\ell}^{X} := c_{X}(r) x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r), \pi_{X}'(r)} - c_{X}(r-1) x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1), \pi_{X}'(r-1)}, \qquad (4.23)$$

where

$$c_X(r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (X, \pi'_X(r)) = (A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, 1), \ (D_n^{(2)}, 1) \text{ or } (D_n^{(2)}, n), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $X = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $r \in D_X$  such that  $r \ge \ell + 1$ , we define

$$\underline{[r]}_{\ell}^{X} := \begin{cases} x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),1} + x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),3} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 1, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 2, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),3} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 3 \text{ and } \pi_{X}'(r-1) = 1, \\ c_{X}(r)x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),\pi_{X}'(r)} - c_{X}(r-1)x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),\pi_{X}'(r-1)} & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(4.24)

where

$$c_X(r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (X, \pi'_X(r)) = (B_{n-1}^{(1)}, n) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for r such that  $\pi'_X(r) = 2$ , define

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r} \end{bmatrix}_{\ell}^{X} := x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\right), 1} - x_{1 + P_{\ell}^{X}(r), 2}.$$
(4.25)

For X and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell+1}$  such that  $(X, \pi'_X(r)) = (A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, 1), \ (B_{n-1}^{(1)}, n), \ (D_n^{(2)}, 1)$  or  $(D_n^{(2)}, n)$ , we also set

$$\boxed{r+\frac{1}{2}}_{\ell}^{X} := x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r), \pi_{X}'(r)} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r), \pi_{X}'(r)}$$

and for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,

$$H_{X,\ell}^{\lambda} := \{ \boxed{r + \frac{1}{2}}_{\ell}^{X} + \langle h_{\pi'_{X}(\ell)}, \lambda \rangle | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell+1}, \ (X, \pi'_{X}(r)) = (A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, 1), \ (B_{n-1}^{(1)}, n), \ (D_{n}^{(2)}, 1) \text{ or } (D_{n}^{(2)}, n) \}.$$

For  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $r \in D_X$  such that  $r \ge \ell + 1$ ,

$$\left[ \overrightarrow{r} \right]_{\ell}^{X} := \begin{cases} x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),1} + x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),3} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 1, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 2, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),3} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 3 \text{ and } \pi_{X}'(r-1) = 1, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),n-2} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),n} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),n-1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = n-2 \text{ and } \pi_{X}'(r-1) = n-1, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),n-1} + x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right),n} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),n-2} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = n-1, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),n} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),n-1} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = n, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}(r),\pi_{X}'(r)} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r-1),\pi_{X}'(r-1)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for r such that  $\pi'_X(r) = 2$  or n, define

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r} \end{bmatrix}_{\ell}^{X} := \begin{cases} x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),1} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r),2} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = 2, \\ x_{P_{\ell}^{X}\left(r-\frac{1}{2}\right),n-1} - x_{1+P_{\ell}^{X}(r),n} & \text{if } \pi_{X}'(r) = n. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.27)$$

For 
$$X = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}, B_{n-1}^{(1)}$$
 or  $D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , we set  
 $\tilde{H}_{X,\ell}^{\lambda} := \{ \left[ \tilde{r} \right]_{\ell}^{X} + \langle h_{\pi'_{X}(\ell)}, \lambda \rangle | r \in D_{X}, r \geq \ell+1, (X, \pi'_{X}(r)) = (A_{2n-3}^{(2)}, 2), (B_{n-1}^{(1)}, 2), (D_{n-1}^{(1)}, 2) \text{ or } (D_{n-1}^{(1)}, n) \}.$ 
For  $k \in I$  we also set

For  $k \in I$ , we also set

$$\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{A^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k+1)), \ (1,k) < (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k-1)), \\ \{\overline{r}_k^{A^{(1)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le k} \} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k+1)), \ (1,k) > (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k-1)), \\ \{\overline{r}_k^{A^{(1)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge k+1} \} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k+1)), \ (1,k) < (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k-1)), \\ \{L_{0,k,\iota}^{A^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | T \in \text{YW}_k^{A^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\} \} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k+1)), \ (1,k) > (1, \pi_{A^{(1)}}(k-1)), \\ \end{cases}$$

and for  $X = C_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ , set

$$\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k+1)), \ (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k-1)), \\ \{\underline{r}_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \overline{T}_{k}}^{X} + 1\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k+1)), \ (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k-1)), \\ \{\underline{r}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \overline{T}_{k}}^{X} + 1\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k+1)), \ (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k-1)), \\ \{\underline{L}_{0,k,\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle | T \in \mathrm{YW}_{k}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{k}}\}\} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k+1)), \ (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k-1)), \\ (4.29) \end{cases}$$

where we understand  $H^{\lambda}_{C^{(1)}_{n-1},\overline{T}^X_k} = H^{\lambda}_{C^{(1)}_{n-1},\overline{T}^X_k} = \phi.$ 

Let  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$  be the generalized Cartan matrix of type X. For  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$   $(n \ge 4)$  or  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$   $(n \ge 6)$  and  $k \in I$ , we define  $\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^X[\lambda]$  as follows (as for  $X = D_4^{(1)}$ , we will define  $\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^X[\lambda]$  in Appendix B):

When  $a_{k,j} = a_{j,k} = -1$  and  $a_{k,m} = 0$   $(m \in I \setminus \{k, j\})$  for some  $j \in I$ 

$$\operatorname{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,j), \\ \{L_{0,k,\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | T \in \operatorname{YW}_k^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}\} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1,j). \end{cases}$$
(4.30)

Note that it holds

 $k \in \{1, 2\} \text{ when } X = B_{n-1}^{(1)} \text{ or } A_{2n-3}^{(2)}, \ k \in \{1, 2, n-1, n\} \text{ when } X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}.$ (4.31) When (4.31) does not hold and  $a_{k,\pi'_X(k-2)} < 0, \ a_{k,\pi'_X(k)} < 0 \text{ and } a_{k,m} = 0 \ (m \in I \setminus \{k, \pi'_X(k-2), \pi'_X(k)\})$ Note that in this case, it holds  $\pi'_X(k-2) = k-1$  and  $\pi'_X(k) = k+1$  when k < n and  $\pi'_X(k-2) = \pi'_X(k) = k-1 = n-1$  when k = n.

$$\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k)), \ (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k-2)), \\ \{\overline{T}_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in D_{X \ge \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k)), \ (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k-2)), \\ \{\overline{T}_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in D_{X \ge \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k)), \ (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k-2)), \\ \{\overline{T}_{k}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \ | r \in D_{X \ge \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k)), \ (1,k) < (1,\pi'_{X}(k-2)), \\ \{L_{0,k,\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle | T \in \mathrm{YW}_{k}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{k}}\}\} & \text{if } (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k)), \ (1,k) > (1,\pi'_{X}(k-2)). \\ (4.32) \end{cases}$$

When  $a_{k,j_1} = a_{j_1,k} = a_{k,j_2} = a_{j_2,k} = -1$ ,  $a_{k,j_3} < 0$ ,  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are in class 1 with distinct  $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in I$ . It holds k = 3 or k = n - 2. For  $t \in \{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ , we consider the following conditions:

 $C_t$ : (1,t) < (1,k) holds,  $\overline{C}_t$ : (1,t) > (1,k) holds.

$$\operatorname{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X}[\lambda] := \begin{cases} \{-x_{1,k} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}}, \\ \{-x_{1,k} + x_{1,j_{1}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle, -x_{2,j_{1}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}}, \\ \{-x_{1,k} + x_{1,j_{2}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle, -x_{2,j_{2}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle\} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}}, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = 3, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = n-2, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = 3, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, \overline{C}_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = 3, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = 3, \\ \{\overline{T}_{T_{k}}^{X} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid r \in D_{X \geq \overline{T}_{k}^{X} + 1}\} \cup H_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} \cup \tilde{H}_{X,\overline{T}_{k}^{X}}^{\lambda} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}} \text{ and } k = n-2, \\ \{L_{-1,j_{2},\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid T \in YW_{j_{2}}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{j_{2}}}, Y_{j_{2}}^{1}\}\} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, \overline{C}_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}}, \\ \{L_{-1,j_{1},\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid T \in YW_{j_{1}}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{j_{1}}}, Y_{j_{1}}^{1}\}\} & \text{if } \overline{C}_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}}, \\ \{L_{0,k,\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid T \in YW_{k}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{k}}\}\} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}}, \\ \{L_{-1,j_{2},\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid T \in YW_{k}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{k}}\}\} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}, C_{j_{3}}, \\ \{L_{-1,j_{2},\iota}^{X}(T) + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \mid T \in YW_{k}^{X} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{k}}\}\} & \text{if } C_{j_{1}}, C_{j_{2}}$$

where  $D_{X \ge j}$  is defined as  $D_{X \ge j} := \{r \in D_X | r \ge j\}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $D_X$  is defined in Definition 3.1, and  $Y_{j_r}^1$  is obtained from  $Y_{\Lambda_{j_r}}$  by adding a  $j_r$ -block to the  $j_r$ -admissible slot (r = 1, 2). We understand  $H_{X,\overline{T}_k}^{\lambda} = H_{X,\overline{T}_k}^{\lambda} = \phi$  when  $X = D_{n-1}^{(1)}$  or  $X = A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $X^L$  and  $\lambda \in P^+$ . We suppose that  $\iota$  is adapted. Then the pair  $(\iota, \lambda)$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -ample condition and

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty} \middle| \varphi(\mathbf{a}) \ge 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \operatorname{COMB}_{\iota}^{X}[\infty] \cup \bigcup_{k \in I} \operatorname{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X}[\lambda] \right\}.$$

**Example 4.11.** We consider the same setting as in Example 4.8. It holds  $\text{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{-x_{1,3} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle\}$  and  $\text{COMB}_{2,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{\overline{[r]}_2^{C_2^{(1)}} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}\}$ . We explicitly compute  $\boxed{3}_2^{C_2^{(1)}} = x_{1,3} - x_{1,2},$  $\boxed{4}_2^{C_2^{(1)}} = x_{1,2} - x_{2,3}, \\ \boxed{5}_2^{C_2^{(1)}} = x_{1,1} - x_{2,2}, \cdots$  Let us compute several inequalities in  $\text{COMB}_{1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}[\lambda] =$   $\{L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle | T \in \mathrm{YW}_1^{C_2^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_1}\}\}. \text{ For } Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \text{ and } Y_4 \text{ in Example 4.8, it holds } L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_1) = 2x_{1,2} - x_{1,1}. \text{ Similarly, we get } L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_2) = x_{1,2} + x_{2,3} - x_{2,2}, L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_3) = x_{1,1} + 2x_{2,3} - 2x_{2,2} \text{ and } L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(Y_4) = x_{1,1} + x_{2,3} - x_{3,3}. \text{ Therefore, } \mathbf{a} = (a_{m,j})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I} \in \mathrm{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)}) \text{ satisfies the inequalities in } (4.19) \text{ and } K_{0,1,\iota}^{(\lambda)}(Y_1) = X_{1,1} + X_{2,3} - X_{2,3} + X$ 

 $-a_{1,3} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,3} - a_{1,2} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,2} - a_{2,3} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,1} - a_{2,2} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \cdots$   $2a_{1,2} - a_{1,1} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,2} + a_{2,3} - a_{2,2} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,1} + 2a_{2,3} - 2a_{2,2} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \ a_{1,1} + a_{2,3} - a_{3,3} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \ge 0, \cdots$ 

1

**Example 4.12.** We consider the same setting as in Example 4.9. It holds  $\text{COMB}_{2,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{-x_{1,2} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle\}$  and  $\text{COMB}_{4,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{-x_{1,4} + \langle h_4, \lambda \rangle\}$ . One obtains

$$P_1^{B^{(1)}}(1) = 0, \ P_1^{B^{(1)}}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = p_{3,1} - p_{3,2} = 1, \ P_1^{B^{(1)}}(2) = 1, \ P_1^{B^{(1)}}(3) = 2.$$

We put  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \mathrm{YW}_1^{B_3^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_1}\}$  as

$$X_{1} := \underbrace{\dots \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2}_{(0,1)}, \quad X_{2} := \underbrace{\dots \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2}_{(0,1)}, \quad X_{2} := \underbrace{\dots \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2}_{(0,1)},$$

We see that  $\operatorname{COMB}_{1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{L_{0,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle | T \in \operatorname{YW}_1^{B_3^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_1}\}\}, \operatorname{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}[\lambda] = \{L_{-1,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle | T \in \operatorname{YW}_1^{B_3^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_1}, X_1\}\} \text{ and } L_{0,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_1) = x_{1,3} - x_{1,1}, L_{0,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_2) = x_{2,2} + 2x_{2,4} - x_{2,3}, L_{0,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_3) = 2x_{2,4} - x_{3,2}, L_{0,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_4) = x_{2,4} + x_{2,3} - x_{3,2} - x_{3,4} \text{ and } L_{-1,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_2) = x_{1,2} + 2x_{1,4} - x_{1,3}, L_{-1,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_3) = 2x_{1,4} - x_{2,2}, L_{-1,1,\iota}^{B_3^{(1)}}(X_4) = x_{1,4} + x_{1,3} - x_{2,2} - x_{2,4}.$  Therefore,  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,j})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I} \in \operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota}^{(\lambda)})$  satisfies the inequalities in (4.20) and

$$\begin{split} -a_{1,2} + \langle h_2, \lambda \rangle &\geq 0, \ -a_{1,4} + \langle h_4, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \ a_{1,3} - a_{1,1} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \ a_{2,2} + 2a_{2,4} - a_{2,3} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \\ &2a_{2,4} - a_{3,2} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \ a_{2,4} + a_{2,3} - a_{3,2} - a_{3,4} + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \cdots, \\ &a_{1,2} + 2a_{1,4} - a_{1,3} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \ 2a_{1,4} - a_{2,2} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \ a_{1,4} + a_{1,3} - a_{2,2} - a_{2,4} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \cdots. \end{split}$$

# 4.5 Combinatorial descriptions of $\varepsilon_k^*$

Let us give a combinatorial descriptions  $\varepsilon_k^*$  in Example 2.3.

**Theorem 4.13.** We suppose that  $\iota$  is adapted and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $X^L$ . Then  $\iota$  satisfies  $\Xi'$ -strict positivity condition and for  $k \in I$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_k^*(\mathbf{a}) = \max\{-\varphi(\mathbf{a}) | \varphi \in \text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^X[0]\}.$$

**Example 4.14.** We consider the same setting as in Example 4.8, 4.11. By a computation in Example 4.11 and above theorem, one obtains

$$\varepsilon_3^*(\mathbf{a}) = \max\{-\varphi(\mathbf{a})|\varphi \in \text{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}[0] = \{-x_{1,3}\}\} = a_{1,3}$$

for  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{s,j})_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in I} \in \operatorname{Im}(\Psi_{\iota})$ . We also obtain

$$\varepsilon_2^*(\mathbf{a}) = \max\{-\varphi(\mathbf{a}) | \varphi \in \operatorname{COMB}_{2,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}[0] = \{\underline{r}_2^{C_2^{(1)}} | r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}\}\}.$$

Note that there exists  $(s, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$  such that  $a_{s',j'} = 0$  for (s', j') > (s, j) so that one can explicitly compute  $\varepsilon_2^*(\mathbf{a})$ . For example, if  $a_{s',j'} = 0$  for all (s', j') > (2, 1) then  $\boxed{r}_2^{C_2^{(1)}}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  for r > 6 so that  $\varepsilon_2^*(\mathbf{a}) = \max\{-3\frac{C_2^{(1)}}{2}(\mathbf{a}), -4\frac{C_2^{(1)}}{2}(\mathbf{a}), -5\frac{C_2^{(1)}}{2}(\mathbf{a}), -6\frac{C_2^{(1)}}{2}(\mathbf{a})\} = \max\{a_{1,2} - a_{1,3}, a_{2,3} - a_{1,2}, a_{2,2} - a_{1,1}, a_{2,1} - a_{2,2}, 0\}$ . Similarly,

$$\varepsilon_1^*(\mathbf{a}) = \max\{-\varphi(\mathbf{a})|\varphi \in \{L_{0,1,\iota}^{C_2^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_1, \lambda \rangle | T \in \mathrm{YW}_1^{C_2^{(1)}} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_1}\}\}\}.$$

**Remark 4.15.** In case of  $X = A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ , proper Young walls of ground state  $\Lambda_k$  can be regarded as extended Young diagrams via reflection over the line y = x + k. By this identification, t-admissible slots and removable t-blocks with position  $(-i, \ell)$  correspond to concave corners  $(\ell - k, k - i)$  and convex corners  $(\ell + 1 - k, k - i - 1)$  coloring by t in the terminology of [11], respectively. The definitions (4.7) and (4.8) are obtained from (4.7) of [11] by changing  $i \mapsto \ell - k$ ,  $j \mapsto k - i$  and  $i \mapsto \ell + 1 - k$ ,  $j \mapsto k - i - 1$ , respectively. Thus, Theorem 4.7, 4.10 and 4.13 follow from Theorem 4.3 in [10] and Theorem 4.3, 4.9 in [11]. Hence, in what follows, we assume  $X \neq A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ .

# 5 Action of S, $\hat{S}$

Let  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)}, A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X and  $k \in I$ . Let  $t \in I$  and we assume that a proper Young wall (resp. proper truncated wall) Y of type  $X^L$  of ground state (resp. supporting or covering ground state)  $\Lambda_k$  has a t-admissible slot

and the below block

is not

 $\frac{k}{k}$ 

Let Y' be a wall obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i \geq 1$ , it follows

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t}$$

where putting the t-admissible slot in our claim as A, we set  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}(m) := P_{k,A}^{X^L}(m)$  (see (4.6)).

Proof.

For a block or slot P in Y, we define

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(P) := \begin{cases} L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is a single admissible slot,} \\ 2L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is a double admissible slot,} \\ -L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is a single removable block,} \\ -2L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(P) & \text{if } P \text{ is a double removable block,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

If P consists of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  ( $P_j$  is a block or slot with half-unit thickness or half-unit height for j = 1, 2) then we define

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(P) := L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(P_{1}) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(P_{2}).$$
(5.2)

Let A be the t-admissible slot in our claim. We name the blocks and slots around the slot A in Y as B, C, D and E as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} (-i-1,\ell+1) & D & B \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & &$$

If i = 0 then we consider B as a non-removable block. Let A' be the removable t-block in Y' and we also name the blocks and slots around the slot A' in Y' as B', C', D and E' as follows:

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \begin{array}{c} D' & B' \\ \hline A' \\ E' & C' \end{array}$$

The admissibility and removability of slots and blocks in Y other than A, B, C, D and E are same as those in Y'. We consider the value of

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E)).$$

If C does not consist of two blocks with unit width, unit height and half-unit thickness colored by 1 and 2 or n-1 and n

$$\begin{array}{c} j_1 \\ j_2 \\ j_2 \end{array} \quad \{j_1, j_2\} = \{1, 2\} \text{ or } \{j_1, j_2\} = \{n - 1, n\} \ (5.3)$$

then one can show

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E)) = c_{X^{L}}(\ell-1)x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell) + p_{\pi'_{X^{L}}(\ell-1),t} + i,\pi'_{X^{L}}(\ell-1)}.$$
 (5.4)

by the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.7 (i) in [10]. Here, just as in subsection 4.4, we set

$$c_X(r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } (X, \pi'_X(r)) = (A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}, 1), \ (B_{n-1}^{(1)}, n), \ (D_n^{(2)}, 1) \text{ or } (D_n^{(2)}, n), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the case C is in the form (5.3) and name the  $j_1$ -block and  $j_2$ -block as  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively. By Definition 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8, there are three cases for the form of E:

(1) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} & - & - \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & &$$

We name the  $j_1$ -block or slot in E as  $E_1$  and  $j_2$ -block or slot in E as  $E_2$ . The admissibility and removability of these slots and blocks are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$C_1$	(1), (2) $j_1$ -removable	normal
	(3) normal	
$C_2$	(1), (3) $j_2$ -removable	normal
	(2) normal	
$E_1$	(1) same as in $Y'$	(1) same as in $Y$
	(2) same as in $Y'$	(2) same as in $Y$
	(3) normal	(3) $j_1$ -admissible
$E_2$	(1) same as in $Y'$	(1) same as in $Y$
	(2) normal	(2) $j_2$ -admissible
	(3) same as in $Y'$	(3) same as in $Y$

We may assume that  $j_2 \in \{2, n\}$ . By a direct calculation, it holds

$$\begin{split} L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(C) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(E)) &= x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell-1)+i+1,j_{1}} + x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell-\frac{1}{2})+i+1,j_{2}} (5.5) \\ &= x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i+p_{j_{1},t},j_{1}} + x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i+p_{j_{2},t},j_{2}}. \end{split}$$

Here, we use  $p_{j_1,t} + p_{t,j_1} = 1$ ,  $p_{j_2,t} + p_{t,j_2} = 1$  and  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) = P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell-1) + p_{t,j_1} = P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell-\frac{1}{2}) + p_{t,j_2}$ in the second equality. Next, we consider the value of

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D)).$$

If the slot D does not consist of two slots with unit width, unit height and half-unit thickness colored by 1 and 2 or n - 1 and n

then one can show

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D)) = c_{X^{L}}(\ell+1)x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+p_{\pi'_{X}(\ell+1),t}+i,\pi'_{X}(\ell+1)}.$$
 (5.7)

by the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.7 (i) in [10]. We assume D is in the form (5.6) and name  $j_1$ -slot and  $j_2$ -slot as  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively. By Definition 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8, there are three cases for the form of B:

(1) 
$$j_2 / j_1$$
 or  $i = 0$  (2)  $j_1 / j_1$  (3)  $j_2 / j_1$ 

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be the  $j_1$ -block and  $j_2$ -block in B respectively. The admissibility and removability of these slots and blocks are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$D_1$	normal	(1), (3) $j_1$ -admissible
		(2) normal
$D_2$	normal	(1), (2) $j_2$ -admissible
		(3) normal
$B_1$	(1) same as in $Y'$	(1) same as in $Y$
	(2) removable $j_1$	(2) normal
	(3) same as in $Y'$	(3) same as in $Y$
$B_2$	(1) same as in $Y'$	(1) same as in $Y$
	(2) same as in $Y'$	(2) same as in Y
	(3) removable $j_2$	(3) normal

By a direct calculation, it holds

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D') - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(D)) = x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell+1)+i,j_{1}} + x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell+\frac{3}{2})+i,j_{2}}$$
(5.8)  
$$= x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i+p_{j_{1},t},j_{1}} + x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i+p_{j_{2},t},j_{2}}.$$

It is easy to check

$$-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(A') - L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(A) = -x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i+1,t} - x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t}.$$

Combining with (5.4), (5.5), (5.7) and (5.8), we get our claim.

**Proposition 5.2.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X and  $k \in I$ . For  $t \in \{1, n\}$  with  $t \neq k$ , we assume that a proper Young wall (resp. proper truncated wall) Y of type  $X^L$  of ground state (resp. supporting or covering ground state)  $\Lambda_k$  has a t-admissible slot

$$(-i-1, \ell-1)$$
  $(-i, \ell-1)$ 

 $\frac{k}{k}$ 

Let Y' be a wall obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. For  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell) + i \geq 1$ , it follows

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t},$$

where we define  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}(m)$  just as in the previous proposition.

### Proof.

We can show the claim by the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.7 (ii) in [10].

**Proposition 5.3.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X and  $k \in I$ . For  $t \in \{1, 2, n - 1, n\}$ , we assume that a proper Young wall (resp. proper truncated wall) Y of type  $X^L$  of ground state (resp. supporting or covering ground state)  $\Lambda_k$  has a t-admissible slot

and the below block

$$(-i-1,\ell)$$
  $(-i,\ell)$   
 $(-i-1,\ell-1)$   $(-i,\ell-1)$ 

is not

k	
k	

Let Y' be a wall obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_k^{X^L}(\ell) + i \geq 1$  (resp.  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i \geq 1$ ) when  $t \in \{1, n-1\}$  (resp.  $t \in \{2, n\}$ ), it follows

$$\begin{split} L^{X^{L}}_{s,k,\iota}(Y') &= L^{X^{L}}_{s,k,\iota}(Y) - \beta_{s+P^{X^{L}}_{(k)}(\ell)+i,t} \quad (if \ t \in \{1,n-1\}), \\ L^{X^{L}}_{s,k,\iota}(Y') &= L^{X^{L}}_{s,k,\iota}(Y) - \beta_{s+P^{X^{L}}_{(k)}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)+i,t} \quad (if \ t \in \{2,n\}), \end{split}$$

where we define  $P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(m)$  just as in the previous proposition.

# Proof.

We only consider the first t-admissible slot in our claim



is not

since one can similarly treat the second admissible slot. Let  $A_1$  be this *t*-admissible slot. One can take  $u \in I$  such that  $\{u, t\} = \{1, 2\}$  or  $\{u, t\} = \{n - 1, n\}$ . We name the blocks and slots around the slot  $A_1$  in Y as follows:

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \xrightarrow{F} D$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_2 \\ \ddots \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{B_1} B_2$$

$$E C \xrightarrow{(-i,\ell)} C$$

If i = 0 then we consider  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , D as non-removable blocks. By Definition 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8,  $B_2$  is an *u*-block. We can consider the following five cases:

Case 1 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a block, E is a slot,

Case 2 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a block, E is a block,

Case 3 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a slot,

Case 4 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a slot,  $A_2$  is a slot,

Case 5 :  $B_1$  is a slot, D is a slot,  $A_2$  is a slot.

Case 1 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a block, E is a slot

In this case, the admissibility and removability of  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , C and E in Y are same as those in Y'. Those of  $A_1$ , D and F are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$A_1$	<i>t</i> -admissible	removable $t$
D	normal (resp. removable $\pi'_{X^L}(\ell+1)$ )	normal
F	normal	$\pi'_{X^L}(\ell+1)$ -admissible (resp. normal)

The admissibility and removability of other slots and blocks in Y are same as those in Y'. By a direct calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{split} L^{X^L}_{s,k,\iota}(Y') &= L^{X^L}_{s,k,\iota}(Y) - \beta_{s+P^{X^L}_{(k)}(\ell)+i,t} \quad (\text{if } t \in \{1,n-1\}), \\ L^{X^L}_{s,k,\iota}(Y') &= L^{X^L}_{s,k,\iota}(Y) - \beta_{s+P^{X^L}_{(k)}\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)+i,t} \quad (\text{if } t \in \{2,n\}). \end{split}$$

We can similarly prove above formulas in other cases so that write only the table of admissibility and removability for each case. The admissibility and removability of  $A_1$  are same as in Case 1.

Case 2 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a block, E is a block

We name two blocks as  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  as follows:

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \xrightarrow{F} D$$

$$G_1 \xrightarrow{[A_2] \times A_1} B_1$$

$$G_2 \xrightarrow{[A_2] \times A_1} B_2$$

$$E C$$

By Definition 3.4 (iv) and 3.8 (iii), we see that  $G_2$  is a slot. In this case, the admissibility and removability of  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , C and E in Y are same as those in Y'. Those of  $A_1$ , D and F are same as

Case 1. There are two patterns for  $G_1$ : (1)  $G_1$  is a block, (2)  $G_1$  is a slot. Those of  $A_2$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$A_2$	normal	(1) normal
		(2) removable $u$
$G_1$	same as in $Y'$	same as in $Y$
$G_2$	normal	(1) normal
		(2) $u$ -admissible

Case 3 :  $B_1$  is a block, D is a block,  $A_2$  is a slot

In this case, the admissibility and removability of  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , D and F in Y are same as those in Y'. Those of C and E are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
C	normal (resp. removable $\pi'_{X^L}(\ell-1)$ )	normal
E	normal	$\pi'_{X^L}(\ell-1)$ -admissible (resp. normal)

### Case 4 : $B_1$ is a block, D is a slot, $A_2$ is a slot

In this case, the admissibility and removability of  $B_1$ , D and F in Y are same as those in Y'. Those of  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ , C and E are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$A_2$	<i>u</i> -admissible	normal
$B_2$	removable $u$	normal
C	normal (resp. removable $\pi'_{X^L}(\ell-1)$ )	normal
E	normal	$\pi'_{X^L}(\ell-1)$ -admissible (resp. normal)

Case 5 :  $B_1$  is a slot, D is a slot,  $A_2$  is a slot

In this case, the admissibility and removability of  $A_2$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ , D and F in Y are same as those in Y'. Those of C and E are same as in Case 3.

**Proposition 5.4.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X. Let  $k \in I$  in class 2. Let  $t \in I$  and  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^{X^L}$ . We assume that  $\overline{Y}$  or  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  has a t-admissible slot

$$\begin{array}{c} (-i-1,\ell+1) & (-i,\ell+1) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ (-i-1,\ell) & & (-i,\ell) \end{array}$$

and the below block is

$$\begin{array}{c|c} (-i-1,\ell) & (-i,\ell) \\ \hline k \\ (-i-1,\ell-1) & (-i,\ell-1) \end{array}$$

Let  $Y' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the pair of proper truncated walls obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i \geq 1$ , it follows

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t},$$

where  $P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(m)$  is defined as in the previous proposition. Proof.

We only consider the case the *t*-admissible slot in our claim is in  $\overline{Y}$  since one can similarly show the case the *t*-admissible slot is in  $\overline{Y}$ . Let  $\overline{A}$  be the *t*-admissible slot. Since the slot  $\overline{A}$  is *t*-admissible, by Definition 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8, blocks and slots around  $\overline{A}$  are as follows:



Here, we named blocks and slots as above and  $\overline{C}$  is the k-block with unit width, half-unit height and unit thickness. Let  $\overline{\overline{B}}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{C}}$ ) be a slot (resp. block) with half-unit thickness such that the position of the pair  $B := (\overline{B}, \overline{\overline{B}})$  (resp.  $C := (\overline{C}, \overline{\overline{C}})$ ) is  $(-i - 1, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$  (resp.  $(-i, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$ ). The admissibility and removability of B and C are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
В	normal	k-admissible pair (resp. normal)
C	normal (resp. removable $k$ -pair)	normal

Hence, we have

$$-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(C') + L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(B') - (-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(C) + L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(B)) = x_{s+i+1,k} = x_{s+i+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell) + p_{k,t},k},$$

where B' and C' are corresponding pairs in Y'. Let  $\overline{A}'$ ,  $\overline{D}'$  and  $\overline{E}'$  be the corresponding blocks and slots in Y' to  $\overline{A}$ ,  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{E}$ . One can compute

$$L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(\overline{E}') + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(\overline{D}) - (L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(\overline{E}) + L_{s,k}^{X^{L}}(\overline{D}))$$

by the same way as in (5.7) and (5.8). Here, we used the same notation as in (5.1). It is easy to check

$$-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^L}(\overline{A}') - L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^L}(\overline{A}) = -x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell)+i+1,t} - x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell)+i,t}$$

Combining the above calculations, we get our claim.

**Proposition 5.5.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X. Let  $k \in I$  in class 2. Let  $t \in I$  and  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^{X^L}$ . We assume that  $\overline{Y}$  or  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  has a t-admissible slot

and the below block is

$$(-i-1,\ell)$$
  $(-i,\ell)$   
 $[k] \\ k \\ (-i-1,\ell-1)$   $(-i,\ell-1)$ 

Let  $Y' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the pair of proper truncated walls obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i \geq 1$ , it follows

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t},$$

where  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell)$  is same as in the previous proposition.

Proof.

We only consider the case the slot in our claim is in  $\overline{Y}$ . First, we consider the case the *t*-admissible slot is

$$\begin{array}{c} (-i-1,\ell+\frac{1}{2}) & (-i,\ell+\frac{1}{2}) \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ --- & & \\ (-i-1,\ell) & & (-i,\ell) \end{array}$$

and name this slot as  $\overline{A}_1$ . Blocks and slots around  $\overline{A}_1$  are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & & \\ D_3 \\ (-i-1,\ell+\frac{1}{2}) & \overline{A}_2 & & \overline{D}_2 \\ & & & \overline{A}_1 & & \overline{D}_1 \\ & & & \overline{B} & & \overline{C} \\ & & & & (-i,\ell) \\ & & & & k & \end{array}$$

D

Here, we named blocks and slots as above. Let  $\overline{\overline{B}}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{C}}$ ) be a slot (resp. block) with half-unit thickness such that the position of the pair  $B := (\overline{B}, \overline{\overline{B}})$  (resp.  $C := (\overline{C}, \overline{\overline{C}})$ ) is  $(-i - 1, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$  (resp.  $(-i, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$ ). There are three cases (1)  $\overline{D}_2$  and  $\overline{D}_3$  are slots, (2)  $\overline{D}_2$  is a block and  $\overline{D}_3$  is a slot, (3)  $\overline{D}_2$  and  $\overline{D}_3$  are blocks. The admissibility and removability of  $\overline{A}_1, \overline{A}_2, \overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_2$  and  $\overline{D}_3$  for each case are as follows:

1 . 11 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
slot or block	$\inf Y$	$\inf Y'$
$\overline{A}_1$	(1), (2) single <i>t</i> -admissible	single removable $t$
	(3) double $t$ -admissible	
$\overline{A}_2$	normal	(1), (2) normal
		(3) single $t$ -admissible
$\overline{D}_1$	normal	normal
$\overline{D}_2$	(1) same as in $Y'$	(1) same as in $Y$
	(2) single removable $t$	(2) single removable $t$
	(3) normal	(3) normal
$\overline{D}_3$	(1) normal	(1) normal
	(2), (3) same as in $Y'$	(2), (3) same as in Y

The admissibility and removability of B and C are same as in the proof of Proposition 5.4:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
В	normal	k-admissible pair (resp. normal)
C	normal (resp. removable $k$ -pair)	normal

Thus, our claim is a consequence of a direct calculation.

Next, we consider the case our t-admissible slot is

$$\begin{array}{c} (-i-1,\ell+1) & (-i,\ell+1) \\ & & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ - & & & \\ (-i-1,\ell+\frac{1}{2}) & (-i,\ell+\frac{1}{2}) \end{array}$$

and name this slot as  $\overline{A}_2$ . Blocks and slots around  $\overline{A}_2$  are as follows:

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \xrightarrow[]{} \overline{A_2} \xrightarrow[]{} \overline{A_2}$$

$$(-i,\ell+\frac{1}{2})$$

$$\overline{B} \xrightarrow{k}$$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{k} \xrightarrow{k}$$

Let  $\overline{\overline{B}}$  be a slot with half-unit thickness such that the position of the pair  $B := (\overline{B}, \overline{\overline{B}})$  is  $(-i-1, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$ . There are two cases : (1)  $\overline{B}$  is a slot, (2)  $\overline{B}$  is a block. The admissibility and removability of  $\overline{A}_1, \overline{A}_2$  and B are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$\overline{A}_1$	(1) single removable $t$	normal
	(2) normal	
$\overline{A}_2$	single <i>t</i> -admissible	(1) double removable $t$
	0	(2) single removable t
B	same as in $Y'$	same as in $Y$

The admissibility and removability of  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{E}$  are as follows:

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
$\overline{D}$	removable $\pi'_{X^L}(\ell+1)$ (resp. normal)	normal
$\overline{E}$	normal	normal (resp. $\pi'_{X^L}(\ell+1)$ -admissible)

Thus, we get

$$-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(D') + L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(E') - (-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(D) + L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(E)) = x_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell) + p_{\pi'_{X^{L}}(\ell+1),\pi'_{X^{L}}(\ell)} + i,\pi'_{X^{L}}(\ell+1))}$$

where D' and E' are the block and slot in Y' corresponding to D and E, respectively. A direct calculation yields our claim.

**Proposition 5.6.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X. Let  $k \in I$  in class 2. Let  $t \in I$  and  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in YW_k^{X^L}$ . We assume that  $\overline{Y}$  or  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  has a t-admissible slot



and the below block is

$$(-i-1,\ell)$$
  $(-i,\ell)$   
 $[k] (-i-1,\ell-1)$   $(-i,\ell-1)$ 

Let  $Y' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the pair of proper truncated walls obtained from Y by adding the t-block to the slot. Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$  such that  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i \geq 1$  (resp.  $s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i \geq 1$ ) when  $t \in \{1, n-1\}$  (resp.  $t \in \{2, n\}$ ) it follows

$$\begin{split} L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') &= L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell)+i,t} \quad \text{if } t \in \{1, n-1\}, \\ L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') &= L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+P_{(k)}^{X^{L}}(\ell+\frac{1}{2})+i,t} \quad \text{if } t \in \{2, n\}, \end{split}$$

where  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}$  is the same notation as in the previous proposition.

Proof.

We prove the case the *t*-admissible slot in our claim is in  $\overline{Y}$ . We only consider the first *t*-admissible slot  $(-i, \ell + 1)$ 

$$(-i, \ell + 1)$$

$$(-i-1, \ell)$$

$$(-i, \ell)$$

since one can similarly treat the second slot. We name this slot as  $\overline{A}_1$ . Blocks and slots around  $\overline{A}_1$  are as follows:

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \xrightarrow{\overline{F}} \overline{D}$$

$$(-i-1,\ell+1) \xrightarrow{\overline{F}} \overline{D}$$

$$\overline{G}_{1} \xrightarrow{\overline{A}_{2}} \xrightarrow{\overline{A}_{1}} \xrightarrow{\overline{B}_{2}}$$

$$\overline{G}_{2} \xrightarrow{\overline{A}_{1}} \xrightarrow{\overline{B}_{2}}$$

$$\overline{E} \xrightarrow{\overline{C}} (-i,\ell)$$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{k}} \xrightarrow{\overline{k}}$$

Here, we named blocks and slots as above. Let  $\overline{\overline{C}}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{E}}$ ) be a block (resp. slot) in  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$  with half-unit thickness such that the position of the pair  $C := (\overline{C}, \overline{\overline{C}})$  (resp.  $E := (\overline{E}, \overline{\overline{E}})$ ) is  $(-i, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$  (resp.  $(-i-1, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$ ). We take  $u \in I$  such that  $\{t, u\} = \{1, 2\}$  or  $\{t, u\} = \{n-1, n\}$ .

 $(-i-1,\overline{T}_{k}^{X^{L}}))$ . We take  $u \in I$  such that  $\{t,u\} = \{1,2\}$  or  $\{t,u\} = \{n-1,n\}$ . First, we consider the case  $\overline{A}_{2}$  is a *u*-block. Note that  $\overline{B}_{1}$  and  $\overline{D}$  are blocks. The admissibility and removability of  $\overline{B}_{1}, \overline{B}_{2} C$  and E in Y are same as in Y'. Those of  $\overline{A}_{1}, \overline{D}$  and  $\overline{F}$  are same as in Case 1 of the proof of Proposition 5.3. If  $\overline{E}$  is a slot then the admissibility and removability of  $\overline{A}_2$ ,  $\overline{G}_1$  and  $\overline{G}_2$  in Y are same as those in Y'. If  $\overline{E}$  is a block then those of  $\overline{A}_2$ ,  $\overline{G}_1$  and  $\overline{G}_2$  are same as in Case 2 of the proof of Proposition 5.3.

Next, we consider the case  $\overline{A_2}$  is a *u*-slot. Then  $\overline{E}$  is a slot and  $\overline{G_1}$ ,  $\overline{G_2}$  and  $\overline{F}$  are normal slots in Y and Y'. As for  $\overline{A_2}$ ,  $\overline{B_1}$ ,  $\overline{B_2}$ ,  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{F}$ , the admissibility and removability are same as in Case 3 - Case 5 in the proof of Proposition 5.3. Note that the pair E is *k*-admissible in Y' if and only if C is not a removable *k*-pair in Y. Thus, we get our claim.

**Proposition 5.7.** We assume  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type X. Let  $k \in I$  in class 2. Let  $t \in I$  and  $Y = (\overline{Y}, \overline{\overline{Y}}) \in \mathrm{YW}_k^{X^L}$ . We assume that  $Y' = (\overline{Y}', \overline{\overline{Y}}') \in \mathrm{YW}_k^{X^L}$  is obtained from Y by adding the k-block with unit width, half-unit height and unit thickness to each top of i+1-th column of  $\overline{Y}'$  and  $\overline{Y}'$  from the right  $(i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ . Then for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $s + i \geq 1$ , it follows

$$L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^{L}}(Y) - \beta_{s+i,k}$$

Proof.

We name the k-admissible slot in  $\overline{Y}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{Y}}$ ) as  $\overline{A}$  (resp.  $\overline{\overline{A}}$ ). We name blocks and slots around  $\overline{A}$  as follows:

	$\overline{C}$	$\overline{B}$
	$\overline{A}$	k
••	k	k

We name blocks and slots around the slot  $\overline{\overline{A}}$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\overline{\overline{C}} & \overline{\overline{B}} \\
\overline{\overline{A}} & k \\
\hline k & k
\end{array}$$

The position of  $(\overline{A}, \overline{\overline{A}})$  is  $(-i, \overline{T}_k^{X^L})$ . Let  $(T, \tilde{Y}, B, C)$  be  $(\overline{T}_k^{X^L}, \overline{Y}, \overline{B}, \overline{C})$  or  $(\overline{\overline{T}}_k^{X^L}, \overline{\overline{Y}}, \overline{\overline{B}}, \overline{\overline{C}})$  and B', C' be the corresponding blocks or slots to B, C in Y'. Let us compute  $L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C') - (L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C))$ , where we use the same notation as in (5.1), (5.2). There are four cases:

Case 1: B is a unit cube,

Case 2 : B consists of two blocks with half-unit thickness,

Case 3: B consists of a block with half-unit thickness,

Case 4: B consists of one or two blocks with half-unit height.

Case 1 : B is a unit cube

Note that B is a removable  $\pi'_{XL}(T+1)$ -block in  $\tilde{Y}$  if and only if C is not a  $\pi'_{XL}(T+1)$ -admissible slot in  $\tilde{Y}'$ . Thus, we obtain  $L^{X^L}_{s,k}(B') + L^{X^L}_{s,k}(C') - (L^{X^L}_{s,k}(B) + L^{X^L}_{s,k}(C)) = x_{s+P_T^{X^L}(T+1)+i,\pi'_{XL}(T+1)} = x_{s+p_{\pi'_{XL}}(T+1),k} + i,\pi'_{XL}(T+1).$ 

Case 2: B consists of two blocks with half-unit thickness

The removability of B in  $\tilde{Y}$  are same as those in  $\tilde{Y}'$ . Writing C as

we see that C is  $j_1$ -admissible and  $j_2$ -admissible in  $\tilde{Y}'$ . Thus, we see that  $L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C') - (L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C)) = x_{s+p_{j_1,k}+i,j_1} + x_{s+p_{j_2,k}+i,j_2}$ .

Case 3: B consists of a block with half-unit thickness

 ${\cal B}$  is in the form



Let  $B_1$  be the  $j_1$ -block and  $B_2$  be the  $j_2$ -slot. Note that C consists of  $j_1$ -slot  $C_1$  and  $j_2$ -slot  $C_2$ . We see that  $B_1$  is a removable  $j_1$ -block (resp. normal) in  $\tilde{Y}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Y}'$ ). The admissibilities of  $B_2$  and  $C_1$  in  $\tilde{Y}$  are same as those in  $\tilde{Y}'$ . The slot  $C_2$  is normal (resp.  $j_2$ -admissible) in  $\tilde{Y}$  (resp.  $\tilde{Y}'$ ). Hence, we obtain  $L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C') - (L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C)) = x_{s+p_{j_1,k}+i,j_1} + x_{s+p_{j_2,k}+i,j_2}$ .

Case 4 : B consists of one or two blocks with half-unit height

There are three cases:

- (1): B consists of one block  $B_1$  with half-unit height and one slot with half-unit height  $B_2$ ,
- (2): B consists of two blocks  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  with half-unit height and the above of  $B_2$  is a slot,
- (3): B consists of two blocks  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  with half-unit height and the above of  $B_2$  is a block.

slot or block	in $Y$	in $Y'$
В	(1) $B_1$ : single $\pi'_{X^L}(T+1)$ -removable	(1) $B_1$ : normal
	(1) $B_2$ : same as in $Y'$	(1) $B_2$ : same as in Y
	(2) $B_1$ : normal	(2) $B_1$ : normal
	(2) $B_2$ : double removable $\pi'_{X^L}(T+1)$	(2) $B_2$ : single removable $\pi'_{X^L}(T+1)$
	(3) $B_1, B_2$ : normal	(3) $B_1, B_2$ : normal
C	normal	(1) single $\pi'_{XL}(T+1)$ -admissible
		(2) single $\pi'_{XL}(T+1)$ -admissible
		(3) double $\pi'_{YL}(T+1)$ -admissible

Hence,  $L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B') + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C') - (L_{s,k}^{X^L}(B) + L_{s,k}^{X^L}(C)) = 2x_{s+p_{\pi'_{X^L}(T+1),k}+i,\pi'_{X^L}(T+1)}$ . In all cases, the pair  $(\overline{A}, \overline{\overline{A}})$  (resp.  $(\overline{A}', \overline{\overline{A}}')$ ) is a k-admissible pair (resp. removable k-pair) in Y (resp. Y') so that

$$-L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^{L}}(\overline{A}',\overline{\overline{A}}') - L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^{L}}(\overline{A},\overline{\overline{A}}) = -x_{s+i+1,k} - x_{s+i,k},$$

where  $(\overline{A}', \overline{\overline{A}}')$  is the pair in Y' at the same position as  $(\overline{A}, \overline{\overline{A}})$ . In conjunction with above calculations, one obtains  $L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^L}(Y') = L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^L}(Y) - \beta_{s+i,k}$ .

# 6 Proof

We assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $X = B_{n-1}^{(1)}, C_{n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n-1}^{(1)}, A_{2n-2}^{(2)}, A_{2n-3}^{(2)}$  or  $D_n^{(2)}$ .

### 6.1 Proof of Theorem 4.7

For  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $k \in I$ , we set

$$\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} := \{ S'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots S'_{j_{2}} S'_{j_{1}} x_{s,k} \, | \, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \},$$

and simply write

$$L_{s,k} := L_{s,k,\iota}^{X^L}, \ L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}} := L_{s,k,\mathrm{ad}}^{X^L}, \ L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}} := L_{s,k,\mathrm{re}}^{X^L}$$
(6.1)

Let us prove  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} = L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$ . First, we show  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} \subset L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$ . We take  $Y_{\Lambda_k} \in YW_k^{X^L}$  defined in the subsection 3.2 and Definition 4.4. One can verify that  $L_{s,k}(Y_{\Lambda_k}) = x_{s,k}$ . Thus, we have  $x_{s,k} \in L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$  so that need to prove  $L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$  is closed under the action of  $S'_{m,t}$  for any  $(m,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$ . For any  $Y \in YW_k^{X^L}$  and  $(m,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$ , if  $x_{m,t}$  has a positive coefficient in  $L_{s,k}(Y)$  then there is a t-admissible slot or t-admissible pair S such as in Proposition 5.1-5.7 in Y and it holds  $L_{s,k,ad}(S) = x_{m,t}$ . Here, writing the position of S as  $(-i, \ell)$ , we have  $(m,t) = (s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell))$  or  $(m,t) = (s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}))$  and  $P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) = P_{k,S}^{X^L}(\ell)$  is defined in Proposition 5.1 (we understand S belongs to a truncated wall of supporting ground state when S is a pair ). Let  $Y' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the element obtained from Y by putting a t-block or pair of t-blocks to S. By the propositions, we see that

$$L_{s,k}(Y') = L_{s,k}(Y) - \beta_{m,t} = S'_{m,t}L_{s,k}(Y)$$

and  $S'_{m,t}L_{s,k}(Y) \in L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$ . Similarly, in case that  $x_{m,t}$  has a negative coefficient in  $L_{s,k}(Y)$ , there is a removable t-block or removable t-pair B with position  $(-i, \ell)$  such as in Proposition 5.1-5.7 in Y and it holds  $L_{s,k,re}(B) = x_{m,t}$ . We have  $(m,t) = (s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i + 1, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell))$  or  $(m,t) = (s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i + 1, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell))$  or  $(m,t) = (s + P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i + 1, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}))$ . It is clear  $m \ge 2$  from (4.10), (4.12) and (4.15). Let  $Y'' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the element obtained from Y by removing B. By the propositions, we see that

$$L_{s,k}(Y) = L_{s,k}(Y'') - \beta_{m-1,t}$$

so that  $L_{s,k}(\mathrm{YW}_k^{X^L}) \ni L_{s,k}(Y'') = L_{s,k}(Y) + \beta_{m-1,t} = S'_{m,t}L_{s,k}(Y)$ . In this way, we can show  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} \subset L_{s,k}(\mathrm{YW}_k^{X^L})$ .

Next, we prove  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} \supset L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$ . When we get a wall Y by adding m blocks to  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$ , we say the number of blocks in Y is m. For any  $Y \in YW_k^{X^L}$ , we prove  $L_{s,k}(Y) \in \Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$  using the induction on the number of blocks in Y. If the number of blocks is 0 then it holds  $Y = Y_{\Lambda_k}$  and  $L_{s,k}(Y) = x_{s,k} \in \Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$ . Thus, we may assume  $Y \neq Y_{\Lambda_k}$ . Using (4.10), (4.12), (4.15) and Proposition 5.1-5.7, we see that  $L_{s,k}(Y)$  can be expressed as

$$L_{s,k}(Y) = x_{s,k} - \sum_{(r,j)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq s}\times I} c_{r,j}\beta_{r,j}$$

with non-negative integers  $\{c_{r,j}\}$ . Except for finitely many (r, j), it follows  $c_{r,j} = 0$ . Since we assumed  $Y \neq Y_{\Lambda_k}$ , one can take  $(m', t') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq s} \times I$  as  $(m', t') = \max\{(r, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq s} \times I | c_{r,j} > 0\}$ . Here, we consider the order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$  defined in the subsection 4.2. Considering the definition of  $\beta_{m',t'}$  and the adaptedness of  $\iota$ , it is easy to see the coefficient of  $x_{m'+1,t'}$  is negative in  $L_{s,k}(Y)$ . Hence, we can also take (m'', t'') as

$$(m'', t'') = \min\{(r, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I | \text{the coefficient of } x_{r,j} \text{ in } L_{s,k}(Y) \text{ is negative} \}.$$

There exists a removable t''-block or removable t''-pair B in Y such that  $L_{s,k,re}(B) = x_{m'',t''}$ . Let  $Y'' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the element obtained from Y by removing B. Then we can prove

$$L_{s,k}(Y) = L_{s,k}(Y'') - \beta_{m''-1,t''} = S'_{m''-1,t''}L_{s,k}(Y'')$$
(6.2)

by (4.10), (4.12), (4.15) and Proposition 5.1-5.7. Since the number of boxes in Y'' is smaller than Y, by induction assumption, we obtain  $L_{s,k}(Y'') \in \Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$ , which yields  $L_{s,k}(Y) \in \Xi'_{s,k,\iota}$  by (6.2). Therefore, we get the inclusion  $\Xi'_{s,k,\iota} \supset L_{s,k}(YW_k^{X^L})$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\Xi'_{\iota} = \text{COMB}_{\iota}^{X^L}[\infty]$ . It follows from (4.10), (4.12), (4.15) and (4.17) that  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -positivity condition. Our claim is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.6.

## 6.2 Proof of Theorem 4.10

For simplicity, we assume  $X \neq D_4^{(1)}$ . The proof for  $X = D_4^{(1)}$  is essentially same as other cases. Let us prove  $\Xi'_{k,\iota}[\lambda] := \{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X^L}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ . We consider only  $k \in I$  such that either

(1) for any  $j \in I$  such that  $a_{k,j} < 0$ , it holds (1,k) > (1,j), or

(2) there exists distinct  $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in I$  such that  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are in class 1,  $a_{k,j_1} = a_{j_1,k} = a_{k,j_2} = a_{j_2,k} = -1$  and  $a_{k,j_3} < 0$  and  $(1,k) > (1,j_1)$ ,  $(1,k) > (1,j_3)$  and  $(1,k) < (1,j_2)$ , since other cases are trivial via direct calculations or Appendix A.

First, we consider the case (1). Putting  $Z := \{L_{0,k}(Y) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle | Y \in YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}\} \cup \{0\}$ , we show  $\{\hat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \hat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \subset Z$ . Here, we use the same notation as in (6.1). Let  $Y_k^1 \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the element obtained from  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$  by adding k-block to the unique k-admissible slot (or k-admissible pair). One can confirm  $L_{0,k}(Y_k^1) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)}$  so that  $\lambda^{(k)} \in Z$ . Let us prove Z is closed under the action of  $\hat{S}'_{m,t}$  for all  $(m,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$ . We take  $Y \in YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}$ . If the coefficient of  $x_{m,t}$  in  $L_{0,k}(Y)$  is positive then there is a t-admissible slot or t-admissible pair S in Y such that  $L_{0,k,ad}(S) = x_{m,t}$ . Let  $(-i,\ell)$  be its position. We have  $(m,t) = (P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell) + i, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell))$  or  $(m,t) = (P_{(k)}^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}))$ . Let  $Y' \in YW_k^{X^L}$  be the element obtained from Y by putting a t-block to the slot or pair. By Proposition 5.1-5.7, we see that

$$L_{0,k}(Y') = L_{0,k}(Y) - \beta_{m,t} = \widehat{S}'_{m,t}L_{0,k}(Y)$$

and  $\widehat{S}'_{m,t}L_{0,k}(Y) \in L_{0,k}(YW_k^{X^L} \setminus {Y_{\Lambda_k}})$ . If the coefficient of  $x_{m,t}$  in  $L_{0,k}(Y)$  is negative then there is a removable *t*-block or removable *t*-pair *S* in *Y* such that  $L_{0,k,re}(S) = x_{m,t}$ . Let  $(-i, \ell)$  be its position. Putting

 $T := \begin{cases} \overline{T}_k & \text{if } S \text{ is in a proper Young wall,} \\ \overline{T}_k & \text{if } S \text{ is a pair or is in a truncated wall of supporting ground state,} \\ \overline{\overline{T}}_k & \text{if } S \text{ is in a truncated wall of covering ground state,} \end{cases}$ 

we have  $(m,t) = (P_T^{X^L}(\ell) + i + 1, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell))$  or  $(m,t) = (P_T^{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}) + i + 1, \pi'_{X^L}(\ell + \frac{1}{2}))$ . If  $Y = Y_k^1$  then S is the removable k-pair and  $(-i,\ell) = (0,\overline{T}_k)$  so that (m,t) = (1,k) and  $\widehat{S}'_{1,k}(L_{0,k}(Y_k^1) + \langle h_k,\lambda\rangle) = \widehat{S}'(\lambda^{(k)}) = 0$ . If  $Y \neq Y_k^1$  then it holds i > 0 or  $\ell \ge T + 1$ , which implies

$$P_T^{X^L}(\ell) + i + 1 \ge 2 \quad (\text{or } P_T^{X^L}\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right) + i + 1 \ge 2).$$
 (6.3)

Let  $Y'' \in YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}$  be the element obtained from Y by removing S. Using Proposition 5.1-5.7, we get

$$L_{0,k}(Y'') = L_{0,k}(Y) + \beta_{m-1,t} = S'_{m,t}L_{0,k}(Y)$$

and  $\widehat{S}'_{m,t}L_{0,k}(Y) = L_{0,k}(Y'') \in L_{0,k}(YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\})$ . Therefore, the set Z is closed under the action of  $\widehat{S}'_{m,t}$  so that the inclusion  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell}\cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1}\lambda^{(k)}|\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_1,\cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \subset Z$  follows.

Next, to prove another inclusion, let us take any  $Y \in YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}$ . We show  $L_{0,k}(Y) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \in \{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  by induction on the number of boxes in Y. The minimum value of number of boxes is 2, which occurs only if  $Y = Y_k^1$ . By  $L_{0,k}(Y_k^1) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)} \in \{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$ , we may assume  $Y \neq Y_k^1$ . By Proposition 5.1-5.7,  $L_{0,k}(Y)$  can be expressed as

$$L_{0,k}(Y) = (\lambda^{(k)} - \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle) - \sum_{(r,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1} \times I} c_{r,j} \beta_{r,j}$$

with non-negative integers  $\{c_{r,j}\}$ . Except for finitely many (r, j), it follows  $c_{r,j} = 0$ . It follows from the assumption  $Y \neq Y_k^1$  that one can take  $(m', t') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I$  as  $(m', t') = \max\{(r, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I | c_{r,j} > 0\}$ . The coefficient of  $x_{m'+1,t'}$  is negative in  $L_{0,k}(Y)$  by the definition of  $\beta_{m',t'}$ . We set

 $(m'', t'') := \min\{(r, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times I | \text{the coefficient of } x_{r,j} \text{ in } L_{0,k}(Y) \text{ is negative} \}$ 

and take a removable block B such that  $L_{0,k,re}(B) = x_{m'',t''}$ . By (6.3), it holds  $m'' \ge 2$ . Let  $Y'' \in YW_k^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_k}\}$  be the element obtained from Y by removing B. Then we get

$$L_{0,k}(Y) = L_{0,k}(Y'') - \beta_{m''-1,t''} = \widehat{S}'_{m''-1,t''}L_{0,k}(Y'')$$
(6.4)

by Proposition 5.1-5.7. Since the number of boxes in Y'' is smaller than Y, by induction assumption, we obtain  $L_{0,k}(Y'') + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  belongs to  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  and so is  $L_{0,k}(Y) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  by (6.4). Therefore, we get the inclusion  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \supset Z$ .

 $\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  by (6.4). Therefore, we get the inclusion  $\{\hat{S}'_{j_\ell} \cdots \hat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \supset Z$ . Next, we turn to the case (2). One obtains  $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,j_1} + cx_{1,j_3} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$ , where c = 2 when  $X^L = B_{n-1}^{(1)}$  and  $j_3 = n$  and c = 1 otherwise. Let  $Y_k^2 \in YW_{j_2}^{X^L}$  be the wall obtained from  $Y_{\Lambda_k}$  by adding a  $j_2$ -block and k-block, that is,

$$Y_k^2 := \underbrace{\frac{k}{j_2}}_{(0, T_{j_2}^{X^L})} \xrightarrow{k}_{(0, T_{j_2}^{X^L})}$$

Then one can verify  $L_{-1,j_2}(Y_k^2) + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^{(k)}$ . Note that any  $Y \in YW_{j_2}^{X^L} \setminus \{Y_{\Lambda_{j_2}}, Y_{j_2}^1\}$  is obtained from  $Y_k^2$  by adding several boxes to admissible slots. When  $Y \neq Y_k^2$ , for an admissible slot or removable block with position  $(-i, \ell)$  in Y, we have either i > 0 or  $\ell \geq T_{j_2} + 2$ . From this, one can deduce

$$-1 + P_{\overline{T}_{j_2}}^{X^L}(\ell) + i \ge 1, \quad -1 + P_{\overline{T}_{j_2}}^{X^L}(\ell) + i + 1 \ge 2.$$
(6.5)

In the same manner as in (1), we can show  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}}\cdots\widehat{S}'_{j_{1}}\lambda^{(k)}|\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}, j_{1},\cdots,j_{\ell}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1}\}=\{L^{X^{L}}_{-1,j_{2},\iota}(Y)+\langle h_{k},\lambda\rangle|Y\in\mathrm{YW}^{X^{L}}_{j_{2}}\setminus\{Y_{\Lambda_{j_{2}}},Y^{1}_{j_{2}}\}\}\cup\{0\}.$ By (2.8) and the proof in the previous subsection, we see that  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}}\cdots\widehat{S}'_{j_{1}}x_{j_{0}}|\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}, j_{0},j_{1},\cdots,j_{\ell}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\}$ 

By (2.8) and the proof in the previous subsection, we see that  $\{S'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots S'_{j_1} x_{j_0} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \{S'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots S'_{j_1} x_{j_0} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_0, j_1, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{COMB}_{\iota}^{X^L}[\infty].$  Combining with  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}} \cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_1} \lambda^{(k)} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_1, \cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X^L}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ , it is easy to see  $(\iota, \lambda)$  satisfies  $\Xi'$ -ample condition by the definition of  $\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X^L}[\lambda]$ . Therefore, our claim holds from Theorem 2.9.

## 6.3 Proof of Theorem 4.13

By Theorem 4.7, the sequence  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -positivity condition. Therefore, it holds

if 
$$\ell^{(-)} = 0$$
 then  $\varphi_{\ell} \ge 0$  for any  $\varphi = \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \varphi_{\ell} x_{\ell} \in \Xi'_{\ell}$ .

Let us show for any  $k \in I$  and  $\varphi = \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \varphi_{\ell} x_{\ell} \in \Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)} \setminus \{\xi^{(k)}\}, \text{ if } \ell^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_{\ell} \ge 0.$  In the previous subsection, we proved  $\{\widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}}\cdots \widehat{S}'_{j_{1}}\lambda^{(k)}|\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j_{1},\cdots, j_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} = \text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X^{L}}[\lambda] \cup \{0\}$ . Thus, taking  $\lambda$  as 0, we obtain  $\Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)} = \text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^{X^{L}}[0] \cup \{0\}$  by (2.24) of [11]. Using (6.3), (6.5) and Appendix A, we see that  $\ell^{(-)} = 0$  then  $\varphi_{\ell} \ge 0$ . Hence,  $\iota$  satisfies the  $\Xi'$ -strict positivity condition. As a consequence of Theorem 2.10 and (2.24) of [11], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_k^*(x) &= \max\{-\varphi(x)|\varphi \in \Xi_{\iota}^{\prime(k)}\} \\ &= \max\{-\varphi(x)|\varphi \in \{S_{j_\ell}^{\prime} \cdots S_{j_1}^{\prime} 0^{(k)}|\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}\} \\ &= \max\{-\varphi(x)|\varphi \in \{\widehat{S}_{j_\ell}^{\prime} \cdots \widehat{S}_{j_1}^{\prime} 0^{(k)}|\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ j_1, \cdots, j_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \setminus \{0\}\} \\ &= \max\{-\varphi(x)|\varphi \in \operatorname{Comb}_{k,\iota}^{X^L}[0]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Appendix A Α

We explicitly give the set

$$\{\widehat{S}'_{j_{\ell}}\cdots\widehat{S}'_{j_{1}}\lambda^{(k)}|\ell\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0},\ j_{1},\cdots,j_{\ell}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1}\}$$

and action of  $\widehat{S}'_j$  on elements when the set is expressed by boxes. We assume that 1 < k < n and  $k \in I$  is in class 2.

 $\frac{\text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{n-1}^{(1)}}{\text{If } (1,k) > (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ then we get } \lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k-1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  $\left[\tilde{k}\right]_{k}^{A^{(1)}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle$ . Following the definition, we get the following diagram of actions of  $\hat{S}'$  on boxes:

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\tilde{s}'_{P_k(k-1), \pi(k-1)}}_{\hat{s}'_{1,k}} \underbrace{\tilde{k}}_{\hat{s}'_{1+P_k(k-1), \pi(k-1)}} \underbrace{\tilde{s}'_{P_k(k-2), \pi(k-2)}}_{\hat{s}'_{1+P_k(k-2), \pi(k-2)}} \underbrace{\tilde{s}'_{P_k(k-3), \pi(k-3)}}_{\hat{s}'_{1+P_k(k-3), \pi(k-3)}} \underbrace{\tilde{s}'_{P_k(k-4), \pi(k-4)}}_{\hat{s}'_{1+P_k(k-4), \pi(k-4)}} \cdots$$

Here, we simply write  $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}_{k}^{A^{(1)}}$ ,  $P_{k}^{A^{(1)}}$  and  $\pi_{A^{(1)}}$  as  $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P_{k}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively. If (1,k) < (1,k-1) and (1,k) > (1,k+1) then we get  $\lambda^{(k)} = -x_{1,k} + x_{1,k+1} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$  $\boxed{k+1}_{k}^{A^{(1)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  and

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overbrace{\hat{S}'_{1,k}}}_{\hat{S}'_{1,k}} \underbrace{k+1}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_k(k+1),\pi(k+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S}'_{P_k(k+2),\pi(k+2)}}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_k(k+2),\pi(k+2)}} \underbrace{\hat{S}'_{P_k(k+3),\pi(k+3)}}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_k(k+3),\pi(k+3)}} \underbrace{\hat{S}'_{P_k(k+4),\pi(k+4)}}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_k(k+4),\pi(k+4)}} \cdots$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } B_{n-1}^{(1)} \\ \hline \text{We put } K := \overline{T}_k^{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}} \text{ (resp. } K := \overline{\overline{T}}_k^{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}} \text{ and suppose that either } k \neq 3, \ (1,k) < (1,k-1) \\ \text{and } (1,k) > (1,k+1) \text{ or } k = 3, \ (1,3) < (1,2), (1,1) \text{ and } (1,3) > (1,4) \text{ (resp. either } k \neq 3, \\ (1,k) > (1,k+1) \text{ or } k = 3, \ (1,3) < (1,2), (1,1) \text{ and } (1,3) < (1,4) \text{ (therefore)} \end{array}$ (1,k) > (1,k-1) and (1,k) < (1,k+1) or k = 3, (1,3) > (1,2), (1,1) and (1,3) < (1,4) then we get

$$\lambda^{(k)} = \overline{K+1}_{K}^{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \text{ and }$$

$$-\langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1,k}} \underbrace{\overline{K+2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}} \underbrace{\overline{S}'_{P_{K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}} \underbrace{\overline{S}'_{P_{K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(K+4),\pi(K+4)}} \underbrace{K+3}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}} \underbrace{\overline{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+1),\pi(K+4)}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+\frac{1}{2}),2}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+1),3}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + 2}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+2),4}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+1),3}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + 2}_{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}+2),4}} \underbrace{\overline{T}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{T}$$

Here, we simply write  $a_{K}^{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}}$ ,  $P_{K}^{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}}$  and  $\pi'_{A_{2n-3}^{(2)}}$  as a,  $P_{K}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } C_{n-1}^{(1)}}_{n-1} \\ \hline \text{We put } K := \overline{T}_k^{D^{(2)}}, \text{ (resp. } K := \overline{\overline{T}}_k^{D^{(2)}}) \text{ and } T := \overline{T}_n^{D^{(2)}}, \text{ } T' := \overline{\overline{T}}_1^{D^{(2)}} \text{ (resp. } T := \overline{\overline{T}}_1^{D^{(2)}}, \\ T' := \overline{\overline{T}}_n^{D^{(2)}}). \text{ If } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) > (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) > (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1)) \\ \text{then we get } \lambda^{(k)} = \underbrace{\overline{K+1}}_K^{D^{(2)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \text{ and} \end{array}$ 

$$-\langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overbrace{S'_{P_{K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}}_{S'_{1,k}} \underbrace{K+1}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(K+4),\pi(K+4)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(K+4),\pi(K+4)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T-1),\pi(T-1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T),\pi(T)}}_{T+\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T),\pi(T)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T),\pi(T)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'-1),\pi(T')}}_{T+\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'),\pi(T')}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'),\pi(T')}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S$$

Here, we simply write  $a_{K}^{D^{(2)}}$ ,  $P_{K}^{D^{(2)}}$  and  $\pi'_{D^{(2)}}$  as a,  $P_{K}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively.

 $\frac{\text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } D_{n-1}^{(1)}}{\text{We put } K := \overline{T}_k^{D^{(1)}}, \text{ (resp. } K := \overline{\overline{T}}_k^{D^{(1)}}) \text{ and } T := \overline{T}_{n-1}^{D^{(1)}}, T' := \overline{\overline{T}}_1^{D^{(1)}} \text{ (resp. } T := \overline{\overline{T}}_1^{D^{(1)}},$  $T' := \overline{T}_{n-1}^{D^{(1)}}.$  We assume that for all  $t \in I$  such that t < k and  $a_{k,t} = -1$ , it holds (1,k) < (1,t)(resp. (1,k) > (1,t)) and for all  $t' \in I$  such that t' > k and  $a_{k,t'} = -1$ , it holds (1,k) > (1,t') (resp. (1,k) < (1,t')). Then one obtains  $\lambda^{(k)} = \overline{K+1}_{K}^{D^{(1)}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle$  and

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overbrace{S'_{1,k}}_{I_{1,k}} \underbrace{K+1}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K}(K+1),\pi(K+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S'_{P_K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K}(K+2),\pi(K+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S'_{P_K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K}(K+3),\pi(K+3)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S'_{P_K}(K+4),\pi(K+4)}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K}(K+4),\pi(K+4)}} \cdots$$

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T-1),\pi(T-1)}}{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T-1),\pi(T-1)}}{T}} \underbrace{T + \frac{1}{2}}_{S'_{P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+\frac{1}{2})}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+\frac{1}{2})}}{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+\frac{1}{2})}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}}_{S'_{1+P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+\frac{1}{2})}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+\frac{1}{2}),\pi(T+\frac{1}{2})}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+1),\pi(T+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T+2),\pi(T+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+1),\pi(T'+1)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}_{\overset{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}(T'+2),\pi(T'+2)}}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{K}($$

When  $\mathbf{g} \text{ is of } \mathbf{c}_{j,p} = \underline{A}_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}$ We put  $K := \overline{T}_{k}^{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}}$  (resp.  $K := \overline{T}_{k}^{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}}$ ). If (1,k) < (1,k-1) and (1,k) > (1,k+1) (resp. (1,k) > (1,k-1) and (1,k) < (1,k+1)) then we get  $\lambda^{(k)} = \overline{K+1}_{K}^{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}} + \langle h_{k}, \lambda \rangle$  and

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overbrace{\hat{S}'_{1,k}}^{\hat{S}'_{P_K}(K+1), \pi(K+1)}}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_K}(K+1), \pi(K+1)} \underbrace{\hat{S}'_{P_K}(K+2), \pi(K+2)}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_K}(K+2), \pi(K+2)} \underbrace{\hat{S}'_{P_K}(K+3), \pi(K+3)}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_K}(K+3), \pi(K+3)} \underbrace{\hat{S}'_{P_K}(K+4), \pi(K+4)}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_K}(K+4), \pi(K+4)} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \underbrace{\widehat{S}'_{P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}-1),2}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{T}_{1}-1),2}} \overline{\overline{T}_{1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \overline{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),1}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}),2}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline{\overline{T}_{1}),2}}} \underbrace{\overline{\overline{T}_{1}}}_{\widehat{S}'_{1+P_{K}(\overline$$

Here, we simply write  $a_{K}^{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}}$ ,  $P_{K}^{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}}$  and  $\pi'_{A_{2n-2}^{(2)\dagger}}$  as a,  $P_{K}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively.

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } A_{2n-3}^{(2)} \\ \hline \text{We suppose that either } k \neq 3, (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) > (1,k+1) \text{ or } k = 3, (1,3) < (1,2), (1,1) \\ \text{and } (1,3) > (1,4). \text{ We write } \overline{T}_m^{B^{(1)}} = \overline{T}_m, \overline{\overline{T}}_m^{B^{(1)}} = \overline{\overline{T}}_m. \text{ Then } \lambda^{(k)} = \boxed{\overline{T}_k + 1}_{\overline{T}_k}^{B^{(1)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \text{ and} \\ \end{array}$ 

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overline{\hat{S}'_{P_{T_k}}}_{i_{1+k}} \overline{T_{k+1}}, \pi(\overline{T_{k+1}}), \pi(\overline{T_{k+1}})}_{\hat{S}'_{1+P_{T_k}} \overline{T_{k+1}}, \pi(\overline{T_{k+1}}), \pi(\overline{T_{k$$



Here, we simply write and  $\underline{a}_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{B^{(1)}}$ ,  $P_{\overline{T}_{k}}^{B^{(1)}}$  and  $\pi'_{B^{(1)}}$  as  $\underline{a}$ ,  $P_{\overline{T}_{k}}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively. We suppose that either  $k \neq 3$ , (1, k) > (1, k-1) and (1, k) < (1, k+1) or k = 3, (1, 3) > (1, 2), (1, 1)

and 
$$(1,3) < (1,4)$$
. Then  $\lambda^{(k)} = \overline{\overline{T}_k + 1}_{\overline{T}_k}^{B^{(1)}} + \langle h_k, \lambda \rangle$  and

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overline{\widetilde{S}'_{P_{\overline{T}_k}}}_{S_{1,k}} (\overline{\overline{T}_k+1}), \pi(\overline{\overline{T}_k+1})}_{S_{1+P_{\overline{T}_k}}(\overline{\overline{T}_k+1}), \pi(\overline{\overline{T}_k+1})} (\overline{\overline{T}_k+2}), \pi(\overline{\overline{T}_k+2}), \pi(\overline{\overline{T}_k+2})} (\overline{\overline{T}_k+3}), \pi(\overline{\overline{T}_k+3}), \pi$$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \overset{D_{1+P_{\overline{T}_{k}}(\overline{T}_{n}),n}}{=} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \overset{D_{1+P_{\overline{T}_{k}}(\overline{T}_{n}),n}}{=} & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \overset{D_{1+P_{\overline{T}_{k}}(\overline{T}_{n}),n}}{=} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \overset{D_{1+P_{\overline{T}_{k}}(\overline{T}_{n}),n}}{=} & & \\ &$ 

 $\frac{\text{When } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } D_n^{(2)}}{\text{We put } K := \overline{T}_k^{C^{(1)}} \text{ (resp. } K := \overline{\overline{T}_k^{C^{(1)}}} \text{). If } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) > (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) > (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) > (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) > (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k+1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ and } (1,k) < (1,k-1) \text{ (resp. } (1,k$ 

$$-\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle \underbrace{\overbrace{S'_{P_K(K+1),\pi(K+1)}}_{\widehat{S'_{1,k}}} \underbrace{K+1}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K(K+1),\pi(K+1)}}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K(K+1),\pi(K+1)}}} \underbrace{\widetilde{S'_{P_K(K+2),\pi(K+2)}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K(K+2),\pi(K+2)}}} \underbrace{K+3}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K(K+3),\pi(K+3)}}}_{\widehat{S'_{1+P_K(K+4),\pi(K+4)}}} \cdots$$

Here, we simply write  $a_{K}^{C^{(1)}}$ ,  $P_{K}^{C^{(1)}}$  and  $\pi'_{C^{(1)}}$  as a,  $P_{K}$  and  $\pi$ , respectively.

#### B Appendix B

When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $X = D_4^{(1)}$  and k = 3, we give the definition of  $\text{COMB}_{k,\iota}^X[\lambda]$ . Let us take  $a, b, c, d \in I$  such that  $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$ .

If (1,3) < (1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d) then  $\text{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \{-x_{1,3} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle\}.$ 

If (1,3) < (1,b), (1,c), (1,d) and (1,3) > (1,a) then  $\text{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \{-x_{1,3} + x_{1,a} + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle, -x_{2,a} + \langle$  $\langle h_3, \lambda \rangle \}.$ 

If (1,3) < (1,c), (1,d) and (1,3) > (1,a), (1,b) then we put

$$\boxed{r_1, r_2}_s := x_{s, r_1} - x_{s+1, r_2}, \quad \boxed{r_1, r_2, 3}_s := x_{s, r_1} + x_{s, r_2} - x_{s+p_{3, r_1}, 3}, \quad \boxed{3, r_1, r_2}_s := x_{s+p_{3, r_1}, 3} - x_{s+1, r_1} - x_{s+1, r_2} - x_{s+1, r_3} - x_{s+1, r_3}$$

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{for } s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text{ and } r_1, r_2 \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\} \text{ and set COMB}_{3, \iota}^{D^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \{\boxed{r_1, r_2, 3}_s + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle, \boxed{r_1, r_2}_s + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle, \boxed{r_2, r_1}_s + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle, \boxed{r_1, r_2}_s + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle |(r_1, r_2) \in \{(a, b), (c, d)\}, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, s : \text{odd}\}. \\ &\text{If } (1, 3) < (1, d) \text{ and } (1, 3) > (1, a), (1, b), (1, c) \text{ then we put} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\operatorname{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \{ L_{-1,d,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle | T \in \operatorname{YW}_d^{D^{(1)}} \setminus \{ Y_{\Lambda_d}, Y_d^1 \} \},$$

where  $Y_d^1$  is obtained from  $Y_{\Lambda_d}$  by adding a *d*-block to the *d*-admissible slot.

If (1,3) > (1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d) then

$$\operatorname{COMB}_{3,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}[\lambda] := \{ L_{0,3,\iota}^{D^{(1)}}(T) + \langle h_3, \lambda \rangle | T \in \operatorname{YW}_3^{D^{(1)}} \setminus \{ Y_{\Lambda_3} \} \}.$$

# References

- [1] A.Berenstein, A.Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, Invent. Math. 143, no. 1, 77–128 (2001).
- [2] V.Genz, G.Koshevoy and B.Schumann, Combinatorics of canonical bases revisited: type A, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 27, no. 4, Paper No. 67, 45 pp (2021).
- [3] O.Gleizer, A.Postnikov, Littlewood-Richardson coefficients via Yang-Baxter equation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 14, 741–774 (2000).
- [4] T.Hayashi, Q-analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras—spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 127, no. 1, 129–144 (1990).
- [5] A.Hoshino, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantum algebras of finite types, J. Math. Phys. 46, no. 11, 113514, 31 pp (2005).
- [6] A.Hoshino, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantum algebras of classical affine types, J. Math. Phys. 54, no. 5, 053511, 28 pp (2013).
- [7] A.Hoshino, K.Nakada, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases  $B(\lambda)$  for quantum algebras of nonexceptional affine types, J. Math. Phys. 60, no. 9, 56 pp (2019).
- [8] M.Jimbo, K. C. Misra, T.Miwa, M.Okado, Combinatorics of representations of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$  at q = 0, Comm. Math. Phys. 136, no. 3, 543--566 (1991).

- [9] V. G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xxii+400 pp (1990).
- [10] Y.Kanakubo, Polyhedral realizations for  $B(\infty)$  and extended Young diagrams, Young walls of type  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$ ,  $D_n^{(2)}$ , Algebr. Represent. Theory 26, no.5, 2181–2233 (2023).
- [11] Y.Kanakubo, Polyhedral realizations for crystal bases of integrable highest weight modules and combinatorial objects of type  $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $C_{n-1}^{(1)}$ ,  $A_{2n-2}^{(2)}$ ,  $D_n^{(2)}$ , Lett Math Phys. 113 : 60, no.3, 1–50 (2023).
- [12] Y.Kanakubo, T.Nakashima, Adapted sequence for polyhedral realization of crystal bases, Communications in Algebra 48, Issue 11, 4732–4766 (2020).
- [13] Y.Kanakubo, T.Nakashima, Adapted sequences and polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for highest weight modules, J. Algebra 574, 327–374 (2021).
- [14] S.-J. Kang, Crystal bases for quantum affine algebras and combinatorics of Young walls, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 86, no. 1, 29--69 (2003).
- [15] S.-J. Kang, J.-H. Kwon, Crystal bases of the Fock space representations and string functions, J. Algebra 280, no. 1, 313–349 (2004).
- [16] S.-J. Kang, H. Lee, Crystal bases for quantum affine algebras and Young walls. J. Algebra 322, no. 6, 1979–1999 (2009).
- [17] S.-J. Kang, K. C. Misra, T.Miwa, Fock space representations of the quantized universal enveloping algebras  $U_q(C_l^{(1)}), U_q(A_{2l}^{(2)})$ , and  $U_q(D_{l+1}^{(2)})$ , J. Algebra 155, no. 1, 238–251 (1993).
- [18] M.Kashiwara, Crystalling the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Comm. Math. Phys., 133, 249–260 (1990).
- [19] M.Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J., 63 (2), 465–516 (1991).
- [20] M.Kashiwara, The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, Duke Math. J., 71, no 3, 839–858 (1993).
- [21] M.Kashiwara, T.Nakashima, Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras, J. Algebra 165, no. 2, 295–345 (1994).
- [22] Kim, J.-A., Shin, D.-U., Monomial realization of crystal bases  $B(\infty)$  for the quantum finite algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 11, no. 1, 93–105 (2008).
- [23] P.Littelmann, Cones, crystals, and patterns, Transform. Groups 3, no. 2, 145–179 (1998).
- [24] G.Lusztig, Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, no. 2, 447–498 (1990).
- [25] K. C. Misra, T.Miwa, Crystal base for the basic representation of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ , Comm. Math. Phys. 134, no. 1, 79–88 (1990).
- [26] T.Nakashima, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for integrable highest weight modules, J. Algebra 219, no. 2, 571—597 (1999).
- [27] T.Nakashima, A.Zelevinsky, Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras, Adv. Math. 131, no. 1, 253–278 (1997).