

Lurie’s Unstraightening as a weak biequivalence of ∞ -cosmoses

Raffael Stenzel

March 5, 2024

Abstract

We give a direct proof of the fact that Lurie’s Unstraightening functor induces an equivalence between the strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category of indexed quasi-categories and the strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category of fibered quasi-categories over any given quasi-categorical base. We conclude that Unstraightening preserves simplicial cotensors up to a (strictly) natural homotopy equivalence, and thus gives rise to an accordingly weakened notion of cosmological biequivalence between the two underlying ∞ -cosmoses.

1 Introduction

This note briefly addresses a rather subtle aspect of Lurie’s Unstraightening construction. To motivate its interest, we recall that the Grothendieck construction in ordinary category theory (over some 1-categorical base \mathcal{C}) is an equivalence

$$\int : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{GFib}(\mathcal{C})$$

between the 2-category of (pseudo-functorial) \mathcal{C} -indexed categories and the 2-category of Grothendieck fibrations over \mathcal{C} . See e.g. [Bor94, Theorem 8.3.1]. As such it yields an equivalence between the theory of indexed categories (over some base) and the theory of fibered categories (over that base).

Accordingly, one expects the ∞ -categorical analogon to the Grothendieck construction – presented by Lurie’s Unstraightening functor – to constitute an equivalence between indexed and fibered ∞ -category theory, in the sense that it induces an equivalence of respective $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. By construction, Straightening/Unstraightening (over a quasi-categorical base \mathcal{C}) is defined as an adjunction

$$(\mathrm{St}, \mathrm{Un}) : \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}^\sharp}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, \mathbf{S}^+) \quad (1)$$

between the category of marked simplicial sets sliced over the (maximally marked) quasi-category \mathcal{C}^\sharp and the category of contravariant simplicially enriched functors from its freely generated simplicial category $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})$ into the simplicially enriched category \mathbf{S}^+ of marked simplicial sets. This adjunction is shown in [Lur09a, Theorem 3.2.0.1] to be a Quillen equivalence of model categories if one equips the left hand side with the cartesian model structure “Cart” over \mathcal{C}^\sharp ([Lur09a, Section 3.1.3]) and the right hand side with the projective model structure “Proj” with respect to the cartesian model structure on \mathbf{S}^+ (i.e. on the slice $\mathbf{S}_{/(\Delta^0)^\sharp}^+$). As such, it induces an equivalence

$$(\mathrm{St}, \mathrm{Un}) : \mathrm{Cart}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$$

between the underlying ∞ -category of cartesian fibrations over \mathcal{C} and the underlying ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} -indexed ∞ -categories.

Additionally, both model categories $(\mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+, \text{Cart})$ and $(\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})), \text{Proj})$ exhibit an enrichment over the model category $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCat})$ for quasi-categories ([Lur09a, Remark 3.1.4.5] and [Lur09a, Remark A.3.3.4]). They both can hence be assigned an underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\mathbf{Cart}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ each, given by the full simplicial category spanned by the respective bifibrant objects. Here, a strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category is defined to be a simplicially enriched category whose hom-objects are quasi-categories ([Lur, Section 5.5.8]). Now, if the adjunction (1) was to be simplicially enriched itself, it would induce an according equivalence of underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories by a fairly straight-forward formal argumentation. However, the Straightening functor is not a simplicially enriched functor, which essentially follows from the fact that the unmarked Straightening functor over the point is not (isomorphic to) the identity [Lur09a, Remark 2.2.2.6]. This failure is exemplified by the fact that Straightening generally does not preserve simplicial tensors up to natural isomorphism. In particular, the adjunction (1) is not simplicially enriched either. Lurie shows in [Lur09a, Corollary 3.2.1.15] that Straightening however does preserve simplicial tensors up to a natural homotopy equivalence. This implies that at least its right adjoint can be simplicially enriched after all, as has been observed right away in [Lur09a, Section 3.2.4]. There is however no mention in [Lur09a] of the right adjoints behaviour with regards to simplicial cotensors, presumably because the book avoids $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical considerations in general. And the dual of [Lur09a, Corollary 3.2.1.15] as stated in Theorem 1.1 is not a formal triviality, and neither is the fact that Unstraightening indeed induces a DK-equivalence of underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.

Thus, in Section 3 we give the evident arguments which show that Unstraightening yields a functor of strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, that it induces equivalences of derived hom-quasi-categories, and that the dual of [Lur09a, Corollary 3.2.1.15] holds. Regarding the latter, we recall that Unstraightening cannot preserve simplicial cotensors up to natural isomorphism either as this would again imply that the entire Straightening/Unstraightening adjunction is simplicially enriched. The objective of this paper is hence to give a short proof of the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-category. The Unstraightening functor over \mathcal{C} exhibits a simplicial enrichment*

$$\mathbf{Un}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart}))_{\text{proj}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+, \text{Cart})$$

which induces a DK-equivalence of underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. It furthermore comes equipped with a binatural transformation

$$\mathbf{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)^I$$

between the respective simplicial cotensors for $F : \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ and $I \in \mathbf{S}$, which is a cartesian equivalence whenever F is projectively fibrant.

In Section 4 we discuss Theorem 1.1 from an ∞ -cosmological point of view.

Corresponding results in the literature. The fact that Unstraightening induces an equivalence of underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -categories (modelled as fibrant scaled simplicial sets) follows as a special case of the fact that the more general (and more involved) Unstraightening construction of ∞ -categories indexed over an $(\infty, 2)$ -category as introduced in [Lur09b] always induces an equivalence of underlying $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. This is shown in [GHL20, Lemma 1.4.3]. Albeit obscured by a considerable amount of additional theory and a few non-trivial details omitted, the more general argument given there is essentially the same as the argument give here. Thus, the new insight this note provides in this respect is merely marginal.

Almost simultaneously, the fact that Straightening preserves simplicial tensors up to homotopy equivalence has been used in [GHN17, Proposition 6.9] to show that it induces an equivalence of the two underlying ∞ -categories also when equipped with their canonical enhanced mapping ∞ -categories ([GHN17, Section 6]). This statement however does not quite show that Straightening is an equivalence of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, because it is not shown to be a functor of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories in the first place. To elaborate, the ∞ -category with enhanced mapping ∞ -categories which underlies a given $(\infty, 2)$ -category forgets the horizontal composition operation and hence all according horizontal coherences for all higher cells. A crucial consequence of this is that, although Unstraightening is the inverse of Straightening (as functors of underlying ∞ -categories) there is no formal reason why this would readily imply that Unstraightening induces equivalences of enhanced mapping ∞ -categories as well.

Acknowledgments. This note was written while being a guest at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn, Germany, whose hospitality is greatly appreciated.

2 Brief reminder of the underlying constructions

We recall Lurie’s Unstraightening construction ([Lur09a, Section 3.2]). Therefore, first recall that the category \mathbf{S}^+ of marked simplicial sets is cartesian closed, and hence is particular simplicially enriched via the canonical forgetful functor $U: \mathbf{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$. This yields the “flat” simplicial enrichment of [Lur09a, Section 3.1.3] in the following sense: The functor U has both a left adjoint $(\cdot)^{\flat}: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ and a right adjoint $(\cdot)^{\sharp}: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$. The simplicial enrichment $\mathbf{S}^+(X, Y) := U(Y^X)$ exhibits simplicial tensors in \mathbf{S}^+ via the formula $I \otimes X := I^{\flat} \times X$. The simplicial category \mathbf{S}^+ furthermore may be equipped with the cartesian model structure “Cart”. Its cofibrations are exactly the cofibrations of underlying simplicial sets; in particular, all marked simplicial sets are cofibrant. Its fibrant objects are exactly the marked simplicial sets I^{\sharp} where I is a quasi-category and I^{\sharp} denotes I marked by its set of equivalences. Furthermore, the cartesian model structure on \mathbf{S}^+ is enriched over the Joyal model structure $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ for quasi-categories as well, and the forgetful functor $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ is a simplicially enriched right Quillen equivalence [Lur09a, Proposition 3.1.5.3]. It hence induces a simplicial functor on the simplicially enriched categories $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})^f \rightarrow \mathbf{QCat}$ of (bi)fibrant objects which in fact is an isomorphism. In the following, we denote the simplicially enriched category of fibrant objects associated to the $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched model category $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})$ by \mathbf{Cart} . More generally, for a simplicial set S , the simplicially enriched category of fibrant objects associated to the simplicially enriched category $\mathbf{S}_{/S}^+$ equipped with its according cartesian model structure “Cart” is denoted by $\mathbf{Cart}(S)$.

Now, Unstraightening over a quasi-category \mathcal{C} is a functor

$$\text{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, \mathbf{S}^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}^{\sharp}}^+ \quad (2)$$

It is the right adjoint part of a Quillen equivalence between the projective model structure (with respect to $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})$) on the left hand side and the cartesian model structure over \mathcal{C}^{\sharp} on the right hand side. Both model structures are $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{QCat})$ -enriched and hence give rise to an underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category each which is defined as the respective quasi-categorically enriched full subcategory of bifibrant objects.

Generally, a strict $(\infty, 2)$ -category [Lur, Section 5.5.8] is a simplicially enriched category whose hom-objects are quasi-categories. A functor of strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories is just a simplicially enriched functor between such. A functor of strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories is a DK-equivalence of strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories if it is essentially surjective on associated homotopy-categories and induces equivalences between hom-quasi-categories.

3 Proof of the Theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Therefore, we recall the existence of a natural weak cartesian equivalence $q: \text{St}_* \Rightarrow 1$ from the Straightening functor $\text{St}_*: \mathbf{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ over a point to the identity on \mathbf{S}^+ [Lur09a, Proposition 3.2.1.14]. Given that the tuple $(\text{St}_*, \text{Un}_*)$ forms an adjoint pair, we obtain a mate of the form

$$\bar{q}: 1 \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Un}_* \text{St} \xrightarrow{\text{Un}_*(q)} \text{Un}_*. \quad (3)$$

As noted in [HHR, Proposition 2.15], we obtain the following formal consequence of [Lur09a, Proposition 3.2.1.14].

Lemma 3.1. *The map $\bar{q}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Un}_*(X)$ is a cartesian equivalence whenever the marked simplicial set $X \in \mathbf{S}^+$ is fibrant in the marked model structure.*

Proof. Let $\rho: 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a fibrant replacement functor in $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})$. For every $X \in \mathbf{S}^+$ we obtain a diagram in \mathbf{S}^+ as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \eta_X \downarrow & \searrow \sim & \\ \text{Un}_* \text{St}_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{Un}_* \rho_{\text{St}_*(X)}} & \text{Un}_* \mathbb{R} \text{St}_*(X) \\ \text{Un}_*(q_X) \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow \text{Un}_* \mathbb{R}(q_X) \\ \text{Un}_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{Un}_* \rho_X} & \text{Un}_* \mathbb{R}(X) \end{array}$$

The curved arrow on top is the derived unit at X . Since $(\text{St}_*, \text{Un}_*)$ is a Quillen equivalence, it is a weak cartesian equivalence for all (co)fibrant $X \in \mathbf{S}^+$. The vertical arrow $\text{Un}_* \mathbb{R}(q_X)$ is a cartesian equivalence because q_X is a weak cartesian equivalence. The bottom horizontal arrow $\text{Un}_*(\rho_X)$ is a cartesian equivalence whenever X is fibrant (as Un_* is a right Quillen functor). By 2-out-of-3 it follows that the left vertical composition $\bar{q}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Un}_*(X)$ is a cartesian equivalence whenever X is fibrant. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The map $\bar{q}_{I^\flat}: I^\flat \rightarrow \text{Un}_*(I^\flat)$ is a cartesian equivalence for all quasi-categories I .*

Proof. We first note that the canonical inclusion $\iota: I^\flat \rightarrow I^\natural$ in \mathbf{S}^+ computes a fibrant replacement of I^\flat : It is a cofibration of marked simplicial sets since the identity on the simplicial set I is a cofibration. Furthermore, the fibrant objects in $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})$ are exactly the marked simplicial sets of the form J^\natural for quasi-categories J . To prove acyclicity of the inclusion, it suffices to show that it has the left lifting property against all fibrations in $(\mathbf{S}^+, \text{Cart})$ between fibrant objects ([JT06, Lemma 7.14]). Thus, we are to show that every square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^\flat & \xrightarrow{f} & J^\natural \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I^\natural & \xrightarrow{g} & K^\natural \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{S}^+ for quasi-categories I, J and K admits a lift. This however follows from the fact that the underlying maps of simplicial sets are all functors of quasi-categories and hence preserve equivalences.

As \bar{q} is a natural transformation, we obtain a square of marked simplicial sets as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I^b & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_{I^b}} & \mathrm{Un}_*(I^b) \\
\downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \mathrm{Un}_*(\iota) \\
I^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_{I^\sharp}} & \mathrm{Un}_*(I^\sharp)
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

Its bottom map is a weak cartesian equivalence by Lemma 3.1 as I is a quasi-category by assumption. The left vertical inclusion is acyclic as we just observed. The right vertical map $\mathrm{Un}_*(\iota)$ is the canonical inclusion of $\mathrm{Un}_*(I^b) = \mathrm{Un}_*(I)^b$ in $\mathrm{Un}_*(I^\sharp) = \mathrm{Un}_*(I)^\sharp$. Indeed, for any marking E on I , the underlying simplicial set of the Unstraightening $\mathrm{Un}_*(I, E)$ is the (unmarked) Unstraightening $\mathrm{Un}_*(I)$ by construction (as explicitly stated in [HHR, Section 2.4]). Although the general simplicial structures diverge, the vertices and edges of $\mathrm{Un}_*(I)$ are exactly the vertices and edges of I (with the same boundaries and degeneracies); under this identification, the edges marked in $\mathrm{Un}_*(I, E)$ for any set of edges $E \in I_1$ are exactly the edges in E . It follows that the inclusion $\mathrm{Un}_*(\iota): \mathrm{Un}_*(I)^b \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Un}_*(I)^\sharp$ is acyclic as well. Thus, by 2-out-of-3, the top map in the square (4) is a weak cartesian equivalence, too. \square

Furthermore, we record the following (fairly specific) generalization of the well-known fact that a simplicial enrichment of a Quillen pair allows to compute its derivations simply by restriction to the simplicially enriched categories of bifibrant objects ([Lur09a, Proposition 5.2.4.6]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} be simplicial model categories and (for brevity) suppose all objects in \mathbf{M} are cofibrant. Suppose $\mathbf{G}: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is a simplicially enriched functor such that its underlying functor $G: \mathbf{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0$ is a right Quillen functor. Then the homotopy-coherent nerve $N_\Delta(G): N_\Delta(\mathbf{N}) \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathbf{M})$ computes the natural functor of homotopy ∞ -categories associated to G . In particular, for all cofibrant objects $A \in \mathbf{N}$ and all fibrant objects $B \in \mathbf{N}$ the simplicial action*

$$\mathbf{G}(A, B): \mathbf{N}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(G(A), G(B)) \tag{5}$$

is homotopy equivalent to its action

$$\mathbb{R}(G)(A, B): \mathbf{N}_0(A, B)^h \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0(G(A), G(B))^h$$

on derived mapping spaces. This implies that the action (5) is an equivalence of hom-spaces whenever G is a right Quillen equivalence.

Proof. For any simplicially enriched model category \mathbf{M} , the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{M}_0^{\mathrm{cf}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{cf}}$ associated to its full simplicial subcategory of bifibrant objects induces an inclusion of the category $N_\Delta(\mathbf{M}_0^{\mathrm{cf}}) = N(\mathbf{M}_0^{\mathrm{cf}})$ into the quasi-category $N_\Delta(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{cf}})$. This inclusion of quasi-categories presents the ∞ -categorical localization of $N(\mathbf{M}_0^{\mathrm{cf}})$ at the class of weak equivalences by a folklore combination of results in the literature (summarized in [Cis21]). We are thus to show under the given assumptions that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N(\mathbf{N}_0^{\mathrm{cf}}) & \xrightarrow{N(G)} & N(\mathbf{M}_0^{\mathrm{cf}}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N(\mathbf{N}^{\mathrm{cf}}) & \xrightarrow{N_\Delta(G)} & N(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{cf}})
\end{array}$$

commutes (up to equivalence). This however follows directly from the trivial fact that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{N}_0^{\text{cf}} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbf{M}_0^{\text{cf}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{N}^{\text{cf}} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathbf{M}^{\text{cf}} \end{array}$$

commutes by assumption. For every pair of objects $A, B \in \mathbf{M}^{\text{cf}}$, the counit of the (\mathfrak{C}, N_Δ) -adjunction induces a weak equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{N}^{\text{cf}}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}(A, B)} & \mathbf{M}^{\text{cf}}(A, B) \\ \epsilon(A, B) \uparrow & & \uparrow \epsilon(A, B) \\ \mathfrak{C}N_\Delta(\mathbf{N}^{\text{cf}})(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}N_\Delta(\mathbf{G})(A, B)} & \mathfrak{C}N_\Delta(\mathbf{M}^{\text{cf}})(A, B) \end{array}$$

of maps of spaces. The bottom map is equivalent to the functor $N_\Delta \mathbf{G}(A, B)$ via [Lur09a, Proposition 2.2.2.7] and [Lur09a, Proposition 2.2.4.1]. \square

The following proposition describes a simplicial action of the Unstraightening functor. Therefore, we exploit that both simplicial categories $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}^+)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+$ are tensored over \mathbf{S} in a very particular way: On the one hand, given a functor $F: \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ and a simplicial set I , the functor $I \otimes F: \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ is defined as the product $c_{I^b} \times F$ for c_{I^b} the constant functor with value $I^b \in \mathbf{S}^+$. On the other hand, given a map $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\sharp$ of marked simplicial sets and a simplicial set I , the object $I \otimes f \in \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+$ is defined as the product $\pi_{I^b} \times_{\mathcal{C}} f$ for $\pi_{I^b}: \mathcal{C}^\sharp \times I^b \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\sharp$ the obvious projection. Dually this implies that the corresponding simplicial cotensors are in fact exponentials and hence ∞ -categorical constructs as well.

Proposition 3.4. *The Unstraightening functor exhibits a simplicial action*

$$\mathbf{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, G): \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}^+)(F, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathbf{Un}(F), \mathbf{Un}(G))$$

of hom-objects which is an equivalence of (derived) hom-quasi-categories whenever F is projectively cofibrant and G is projectively fibrant (with respect to the cartesian model structure on \mathbf{S}^+). In particular, Unstraightening induces a simplicially enriched functor

$$\mathbf{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}^+) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+$$

which induces a DK-equivalence of underlying strict $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.

Proof. In the following for the sake of readability, we denote the simplicial hom-set of two functors $F, G \in \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{S}^+)$ by $\mathbf{Nat}(F, G)$. We have a chain of binatural transformations

of simplicial sets as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Nat}(F, G) &\cong (\mathbf{Nat}(F, G))^{\Delta^\bullet}_0 \\
&\cong \mathbf{Nat}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes F, G)_0 \\
&\cong \mathbf{Nat}(c_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b} \times F, G)_0 \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{Unc}} \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(c_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b} \times F), \text{Unc}(G))_0 & (6) \\
&\cong \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(c_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b}) \times \text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))_0 & (7) \\
&\cong \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\pi_{\text{Un}_*((\Delta^\bullet)^b)} \times \text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))_0 & (8) \\
&\xrightarrow{(\pi_{\bar{q}_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b}} \times 1)^*} \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\pi_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b} \times \text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))_0 & (9) \\
&\cong \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes \text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))_0 \\
&\cong (\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))^{\Delta^\bullet})_0 \\
&\cong \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the arrow in (6) is the natural action of Unstraightening as a 1-functor. The natural map in Line (7) is an isomorphism by the fact that Unstraightening is a right adjoint and hence preserves products. The natural isomorphism in Line (8) is an instance of pullback-stability of the Unstraightening construction [HHR, Observation 2.13]. Lastly, the action in Line (9) is given by the pullback of the natural transformation $\bar{q}|_{\Delta}: (\Delta^\bullet)^b \rightarrow \text{Un}_*((\Delta^\bullet)^b)$ from (3) along $C^\sharp \rightarrow 1$. We obtain a composite simplicial action

$$\mathbf{Unc}(F, G): \mathbf{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G)) \quad (10)$$

which returns $\text{Unc}(F, G)$ on sets of vertices.

Whenever G is projectively fibrant, then $\text{Unc}(G)$ is fibrant in $(\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}, \text{Cart})$. The object $\text{Unc}(F)$ is always cofibrant in $(\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}, \text{Cart})$. It follows that whenever F is projectively cofibrant and G is projectively fibrant, both $\mathbf{Nat}(F, G)$ and $\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))$ are (the respectively derived) hom-quasi-categories. We are left to show that the action (10) is a categorical equivalence in this case. Therefore, it suffices to show that the induced functor

$$(\mathbf{Unc}(F, G))^{\Delta^\bullet} \simeq: (\mathbf{Nat}(F, G))^{\Delta^\bullet} \simeq \rightarrow (\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G))^{\Delta^\bullet}) \simeq$$

of complete Segal spaces is a pointwise equivalence of spaces. The latter is by construction naturally isomorphic to the composition

$$\mathbf{Nat}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes F, G) \simeq \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Unc}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes F, G) \simeq} \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\text{Unc}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes F), \text{Unc}(G)) \simeq \xrightarrow{(\pi_{\bar{q}_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b}} \times 1)^*} \mathbf{S}^+_{/C}(\Delta^\bullet \otimes \text{Unc}(F), \text{Unc}(G)) \simeq.$$

Now, first, the left component of this composition is a pointwise equivalence of hom-spaces. Indeed, $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, \mathbf{S}^+)$ is a simplicial model category when considered to be equipped with the hom-objects $\mathbf{Nat}(F, G) \simeq$. Thus we may use that Unc is a right Quillen equivalence as shown in [Lur09a, Theorem 3.2.0.1] and apply Lemma 3.3. Second, $\mathbf{S}^+_{/C}$ is a $(\mathbf{S}, \text{QCAt})$ -enriched model category, the object $\text{Unc}(G)$ is fibrant by assumption and all its objects are cofibrant. Thus, to show that the map $(1 \times \pi_{\bar{q}_{(\Delta^\bullet)^b}})^*$ is a categorical equivalence it suffices to show that the map $1 \times \pi_{\bar{q}_{(\Delta^n)^b}}: \text{Unc}(F) \times \pi_{(\Delta^n)^b} \rightarrow \text{Unc}(F) \times \pi_{\text{Un}_*((\Delta^n)^b)}$ is a cartesian equivalence for every $n \geq 0$. Therefore in turn it suffices via [Lur09a, Proposition 3.1.4.2] to show that $\bar{q}: (\Delta^n)^b \rightarrow \text{Un}_*((\Delta^n)^b)$ is a cartesian equivalence in \mathbf{S}^+ for every $n \geq 0$. This however is given by Corollary 3.2 (or even directly by Lemma 3.1 as Δ^n is a quasi-category with no non-trivial equivalences, so the marked simplicial set $(\Delta^n)^b = (\Delta^n)^\sharp$ is fibrant in \mathbf{S}^+). \square

Corollary 3.5. *The Unstraightening functor exhibits a binatural transformation*

$$\gamma: \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)^I \quad (11)$$

between the respective simplicial cotensors for $F: \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^+$ and $I \in \mathbf{S}$, which is a cartesian equivalence whenever F is projectively fibrant.

Proof. The composite binatural transformation

$$I \otimes \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \cong \pi_{I^b} \times \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\bar{q}_{I^b}} \times 1} \pi_{\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(I^b)} \times \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \cong \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_{I^b}) \times \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{ev}_I)} \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)$$

yields a binatural transformation γ as in (11) via the respective tensor/cotensor adjunction. We are to verify that γ is a cartesian equivalence whenever F is projectively fibrant. Therefore, as γ is natural in both arguments, as both model categories are $(\mathbf{S}, \mathrm{QCat})$ -enriched, and as $\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is right Quillen, we may assume without loss of generality that I is a quasi-category. Furthermore, since $\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is essentially surjective, it suffices to show for every projectively bifibrant object $G \in \mathrm{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, \mathbf{S}^+)$ that the functor

$$\gamma_*: \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathrm{St}_{\mathcal{C}}(G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I)) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathrm{St}_{\mathcal{C}}(G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)^I)$$

is an equivalence of quasi-categories. To do so we consider the following commutative diagram which commutes by construction of γ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Nat}(G, F^I) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F^I)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)^I) \\ \cong \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Nat}(I \otimes G, F) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}} & \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(I \otimes G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{\bar{q}_{I^b}} \times 1)_*} & \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+(I \otimes \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G), \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)) \end{array}$$

The two vertical actions $\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are equivalences of quasi-categories by Proposition 3.4. The map $\pi_{\bar{q}_{I^b}} \times 1: \pi_{I^b} \times \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(I^b)} \times \mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ is a cartesian equivalence (between cofibrant objects) by Corollary 3.2 and the fact that $\mathrm{Un}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) \in \mathbf{S}_{/\mathcal{C}}^+$ is fibrant. Thus, γ_* is an equivalence by 2-out-of-3. \square

Remark 3.6. The argument in the proof of Corollary 3.5 that reduces arbitrary simplicial cotensors to quasi-categorical ones may appear dodgy on first sight, given that Corollary 3.2 is central to the proof but has been shown for quasi-categories only. Against the background of Proposition 3.4 however one can generalize Corollary 3.5 to apply to all simplicial sets directly as well.

4 Unstraightening as a weak cosmological biequivalence

The notion of an ∞ -cosmos as defined in [RV22] introduces a powerful framework for the abstract study of formal ∞ -category theory with a wide range of applications. We recall that an ∞ -cosmos is a $(\mathbf{S}, \mathrm{QCat})$ -enriched fibration category \mathbf{K} with countable sequential limits of fibrations and small products in which all objects are cofibrant ([RV22, Definition 1.2.1]). These ∞ -cosmoses can be understood as presentations of structurally well enough behaved $(\infty, 2)$ -categories similarly how simplicial model categories are understood as presentations of structurally well enough behaved ∞ -categories. Case in point, every $(\mathbf{S}, \mathrm{QCat})$ -enriched model category \mathbf{M} in which all fibrant objects are cofibrant gives rise to an underlying ∞ -cosmos $\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{M}^f$ of fibrant objects. In particular, for every quasi-category \mathcal{C} , the simplicial categories $\mathbf{Cart}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}^+, \mathrm{Cart}))$, Inj^f come equipped with the structure of an ∞ -cosmos.

Riehl and Verity furthermore define the notion of a cosmological functor between ∞ -cosmoses; that is, a simplicially enriched functor which preserves fibrations as well as all the “cosmological” limits of [RV22, Definition 1.2.1.(i)] up to natural isomorphism ([RV22, Definition 1.3.1.]).

Given that ∞ -cosmoses serve as a formal environment for the practice of ∞ -category theory (at times referred to as “synthetic” ∞ -category theory), cosmological functors serve as handy compilers between such for a plethora of ∞ -categorical structures ([RV22, Proposition 10.1.4]). And given that Unstraightening constitutes an equivalence between indexed and fibered ∞ -category theory as stated in the Introduction, one might want to use the Unstraightening functor as such a compiler. However, as this Unstraightening functor does not preserve simplicial cotensors on the nose, it cannot be cosmological. Therefore, one may introduce the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $G: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ be a simplicially enriched functor between ∞ -cosmoses. Say that G is *pseudo-cosmological* if

1. G preserves fibrations,
2. G preserves products, pullbacks of fibrations and countable sequential limits of fibrations (that is, all ordinary cosmological limits of [RV22, Definition 1.2.1.(i)]), and
3. G preserves simplicial cotensors up to natural equivalence. That means, there is a simplicially enriched binatural transformation $\gamma_{(C,J)}: G(C^J) \rightarrow G(C)^J$ that is pointwise an equivalence in \mathbf{V} .

Say that G is a *pseudo-cosmological embedding* if G furthermore induces local equivalences of hom-quasi-categories. Say that G is a *pseudo-cosmological biequivalence* if G furthermore is essentially surjective on homotopy categories.

Clearly every cosmological functor/embedding/biequivalence between ∞ -cosmoses is a pseudo-cosmological functor/embedding/biequivalence. The notions are furthermore closed under composition. By Theorem 1.1 they also include the following example.

Corollary 4.2. *The restricted Unstraightening construction*

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}^+, \mathbf{Cart})), \mathbf{Inj})^f \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{C})^{op}, (\mathbf{S}^+, \mathbf{Cart})), \mathbf{Proj})^f \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Unc}} \mathbf{Cart}(\mathcal{C})$$

defined on the ∞ -cosmos of injectively fibrant marked simplicial presheaves is a pseudo-cosmological biequivalence of ∞ -cosmoses.

□

Conclusion. By [RV22, Proposition 10.3.6] cosmological biequivalences do not only preserve but also reflect and create a plethora of internal ∞ -categorical properties and structures. And it is straight-forward (but a little lengthy) to recover [RV22, Propositions 10.1.4 and 10.3.6] regarding the transfer of equivalence classes of these ∞ -cosmological structures between ∞ -cosmoses along cosmological biequivalences for this weaker pseudo-cosmological notion as well. That means, one can show that such functors preserve (and such biequivalences furthermore create/reflect) equivalence classes of all the ∞ -cosmological structures/properties which are listed in [RV22, Proposition 10.3.6] in 1-1 correspondence. This for instance implies that Unstraightening yields an equivalence between fibered and indexed adjunctions, reflective localizations, limits and colimits, modules etc. over any given base \mathcal{C} .

References

- [Bor94] F. Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra volume 2: Categories and structures*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications (51), Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [Cis21] D.C. Cisinski, *Modern proofs for simplicial localizations*, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/390076/modern-proofs-for-simplicial-localizations>, 2021, Accepted answer to the given MathOverflow post, edited April 22 2021.
- [GHL20] A. Gagna, Y. Harpaz, and E. Lanari, *Fibrations and lax limits of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04537>, 2020, v2 uploaded 10 Mar 2021.
- [GHN17] D. Gepner, R. Haugseng, and T. Nikolaus, *Lax colimits and free fibrations in ∞ -categories*, Documenta Mathematica **22** (2017), 1225–1266.
- [HHR] F. Hebestreit, G. Heuts, and J. Ruit, *A short proof of the Straightening Theorem*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.00069>, v1, 29 October 2021.
- [JT06] A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, Categories in Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics, AMS, 2006, pp. 277–326.
- [Lur] J. Lurie, *Kerodon*, <https://kerodon.net/>, Version from 22 September 2023.
- [Lur09a] ———, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 170, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur09b] ———, *$(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie calculus I*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0462>, 2009, [Online, last revised 08 May 2009].
- [RV22] E. Riehl and D. Verity, *Elements of ∞ -category theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 194, Cambridge University Press, 2022.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: stenzel@mpim-bonn.mpg.de