

D’Alamebrt and Hamiltonian principles and classical relativity in Lagrangian mechanics

Božidar Jovanović

ABSTRACT. In this note we present invariant formulation of the d’Alambert principle and classical time-dependent Lagrangian mechanics with holonomic constraints from the perspective of moving frames.

1. Introduction

We consider a classical mechanical Lagrangian system (Q, L) , where Q is an n -dimensional configuration space and $L: TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a time-dependent Lagrangian.

The d’Alambert principle or d’Alambert-Lagrange principle states that the trajectories of a mechanical system can be obtained from the condition that the variational derivative of the Lagrangian vanishes along virtual displacements [1–3]. It is one of the basic tools in mechanics and is applied to both holonomic and nonholonomic systems. For holonomic systems, the principle is equivalent to the Hamiltonian principle of least action. Motivated by the notion of fixed and moving reference frames in rigid body dynamics [2, 3], we consider arbitrary time-dependent transformations between the configuration space Q (the fixed reference frame) and the manifold M diffeomorphic to Q (the moving reference frame) and consider trajectories of a classical Lagrangian system in both reference frames (section 2). In particular, we consider systems with time-dependent holonomic constraints (section 3). All considerations are valid without the assumption that the Lagrangian is regular and are derived without the use of Lagrange multipliers. For this reason, we do not discuss the uniqueness of the solution.

In section 4 we apply the construction of moving reference frames for the invariant formulation of classical Lagrangian mechanics in a space-time, $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold Q fibred over \mathbb{R} with fibers diffeomorphic to Q . The invariant formulation of time-dependent classical Lagrangian mechanics is well studied (see e.g. [9, 10] and references therein). Here we have tried to present it with minimal technical requirements.

All considered objects in the note are assumed to be smooth.

2. D’Alambert principle and dynamics of relative motions

2.1. D’Alambert principle and Hamiltonian principle of least action. Let us consider a Lagrangian system (Q, L) , where Q is an n -dimensional configuration space and $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$ is a Lagrangian, $L: TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$ be local coordinates on Q . A curve $\gamma: q(t) = (q^1(t), \dots, q^n(t))$ is a motion of the system if it satisfies the *Euler–Lagrange equations*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The solutions of the Euler–Lagrange equations are exactly the critical points of the action integral

$$(2.2) \quad S_L(\gamma) = \int_a^b L(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}, t) dt$$

in a class of curves

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega = \Omega(Q, q_0, q_1, a, b) = \{\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow Q, \gamma(a) = q_0, \gamma(b) = q_1\}$$

with fixed endpoints (the *Hamiltonian principle of least action* (1834), see e.g. [2–4, 12]). Namely, let $\gamma \in \Omega$, let $\gamma_s \in \Omega$, $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be a smooth variation of γ ($\gamma_0 = \gamma$), and let

$$\eta = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} (\gamma_s)$$

be the corresponding vector field along the curve $\gamma \subset Q$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} (S[\gamma_s] - S[\gamma]) = \int_a^b \delta L(\eta)|_\gamma dt,$$

where $\delta L(\eta)|_\gamma$ is a variational derivative of L along γ in a direction of η . Let ξ and γ be arbitrary time-dependent vector fields and a curve on Q . The *variational derivative of L along γ in the direction of ξ* is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \delta L(\xi)|_\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) \xi^i|_\gamma,$$

where $\xi = \sum_i \xi^i(q, t) \partial / \partial q^i$ and γ is given by $q(t) = (q^1(t), \dots, q^n(t))$ in a local coordinate system $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$. Therefore, $\gamma(t)$ is an extremal of the action functional if and only if it satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equations (2.1).

In classical mechanics, for a given curve γ , $q(t) = (q^1(t), \dots, q^n(t))$, a time-dependent vector field η along γ is usually referred to as a vector field of *virtual displacements*. By using the variational derivative, we can formulate the dynamics in terms of the *d’Alambert principle*: a curve $\gamma(t)$ is a motion of the Lagrangian system (Q, L) if the variational derivative $\delta L(\eta)$ is equal to zero,

$$\delta L(\eta)|_\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) \eta^i|_\gamma = 0,$$

for all virtual displacements η along γ [1–4].

Although the statement of the principle is equivalent to the fact that an element of a dual space of a vector space is zero if and only if its kernel is the entire vector space, it is fundamental for the formulation of Lagrangian mechanical systems with constraints.

2.2. Dynamics in the moving frames. In analogy to rigid body dynamics, where we consider the fixed and the moving frames defined by time-dependent isometries of Euclidean space, we consider *the moving reference frame* in a general Lagrangian system (Q, L) as a time-dependent diffeomorphism¹

$$g_t: M \rightarrow Q, \quad q = g_t(x).$$

Here $M = Q$, but we use different symbols to emphasise the domain and codomain of the mapping: the variable q is in the fixed frame, while the variable x is in the moving reference frame. Furthermore, in analogy to the angular velocity in the fixed and in the moving frame, we define the time-dependent vector fields $\omega_t \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ and $\Omega_t \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by the identities

$$\omega_t(q) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} (g_{t+s} \circ (g_t)^{-1})(q), \quad \Omega_t(x) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} ((g_t)^{-1} \circ (g_{t+s}))(x).$$

¹On the other hand, note that in [5] the rigid body dynamics is considered from the perspective of continuum mechanics.

Note that g_t is a curve in the Lie group $\text{DIFF}(Q)$ and the vector fields ω_t and Ω_t are elements of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}(Q) = \text{Lie}(\text{DIFF}(Q))^2$ given by the right and left translation of the velocity \dot{g}_t . They are related by the adjoint mapping: $\omega_t = \text{Ad}_{g_t}(\Omega_t)$. Furthermore, as in the case of the rigid body we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. (i) *The angular velocity vector fields are related as follows*

$$dg_t(\Omega_t)|_x = \omega_t|_{q=g_t(x)}.$$

(ii) *THE ADDITION OF VELOCITIES Let $\Gamma(t)$ be a smooth curve on M and $\gamma(t) = g_t(\Gamma(t))$ be the associated curve on Q . Then*

$$\dot{\gamma} = dg_t(\dot{\Gamma}) + \omega_t(g_t(\Gamma(t))).$$

Conversely, for a given curve $\gamma(t)$ and the associated curve $\Gamma(t) = g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))$, we have

$$\dot{\Gamma} = dg_t^{-1}(\dot{\gamma}) - \Omega_t(g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))).$$

For a given Lagrangian $L: TQ \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the associated Lagrangian l in the moving frame by

$$(2.6) \quad l(x, \dot{x}, t) := L(q, \dot{q}, t)|_{q=g_t(x), \dot{q}=dg_t(\dot{x})+\omega_t(g_t(x))}.$$

The following observation is of fundamental importance for the further explanations.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $\Gamma(t)$ and ξ be a smooth curve and a vector field in the moving frame and $\gamma(t) = g_t(\Gamma(t))$ and $\eta = dg_t(\xi)$ the associated curve and the vector field in the fixed frame, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the variational derivative of L along γ in the direction of ξ coincides with the variational derivative of l along Γ in the direction of η :*

$$(2.7) \quad \delta L(\eta)|_\gamma = \delta l(\xi)|_\Gamma.$$

PROOF. Consider local coordinates $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$ and $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ defined in the domains U and V , neighborhoods of the points $q_0 = g_{t_0}(x_0) \in Q$ and $x_0 \in M$. The mapping g_t is given by functions

$$(2.8) \quad q^i = Q^i(x, t) = Q^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

defined for some time interval I ($t_0 \in I$),

$$g_t(V) \subset U, \quad t \in I.$$

Then the angular velocity vector field in the fixed frame is given by

$$\omega_t(g_t(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}.$$

The curves $\Gamma(t)$ and $\gamma(t) = g_t(\Gamma(t))$, $t \in I$, are given locally by the functions $x^i = x^i(t)$ and $q^i = Q^i(x(t), t)$. The law of addition of the velocities is

$$(2.9) \quad \dot{q}^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial x^j} \dot{x}^j + \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial t}.$$

By plugging (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.6), after straightforward computations, we get that along $\Gamma(t)$ we have (see e.g. [13])

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{x}^i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \right) \frac{\partial Q^j}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

which together with the definitions of η ,

$$\eta^j|_{q=g_t(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial Q^j}{\partial x^k} \xi^k|_x, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the variational derivative (2.5), imply the statement of the proposition. \square

²Here we are not interested in the smooth structures of these infinite-dimensional objects

REMARK 2.1. If we consider the functions Q^i that do not depend on time ($\omega_t \equiv 0$), the proof of Proposition 2.2 is actually the proof that the variational derivative (2.5) does not depend on the coordinate system of the configuration space Q .

By setting both sides of the equality (2.7) to zero, Proposition 2.2 can be interpreted as: *If a motion satisfies the d’Alambert principle in one reference frame, then it satisfies the principle in arbitrary reference frame*

As a direct consequence, we also obtain the invariance of the Hamiltonian principle of least action.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $x_0 = g_a^{-1}(q_0)$ and $x_1 = g_b^{-1}(q_1)$. A curve $\gamma(t)$ in fixed space Q is the critical point of the action integral (2.2) in the class of curves (2.3) if and only if the curve $\Gamma(t) = g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ in the moving frame M the critical point of the action integral*

$$S_l(\Gamma) = \int_a^b l(\Gamma, \dot{\Gamma}, t) dt$$

in a class of curves

$$\Omega(M, x_0, x_1, a, b) = \{\Gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M, \Gamma(a) = x_0, \Gamma(b) = x_1\}.$$

REMARK 2.2. Let us recall on the Galilean principle of relativity (see [2, 11]):

- All laws of nature are the same at all times in all inertial coordinate systems.
- A coordinate system that is in uniform rectilinear motion with respect to an inertial frame is also inertial.

Depending on the geometric structure of the 4-dimensional affine space-time, we obtain two different mechanics: classical and special relativity, which have the same principle of relativity (see e.g. [6]). On the other hand, d’Alambert’s principle satisfies the following general variant of the principle of relativity, which does not include any notion of inertial frames:

- All laws of nature are the same at all times in all reference systems

Again, with suitable geometric structures on space-time manifolds, we can obtain both the general theory of relativity and classical mechanics. The invariant formulation of classical Lagrangian mechanics on a space-time manifold is well known (see e.g. [9, 10] and references therein). Here, in section 4, we have tried to present it with minimal technical requirements.

3. D’Alambert principle for systems with holonomic constraints

3.1. Time-dependent holonomic constraints. We now consider the Lagrangian system (Q, L, Υ_t) in which a motion $\gamma(t)$ is restricted to time-dependent m -dimensional immersed submanifolds $\Upsilon_t = g_t(\Sigma)$,

$$g_t: \Sigma \rightarrow \Upsilon_t \subset Q, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

without selfintersections. The curves $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Q$ that satisfy $\gamma(t) \in \Upsilon_t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are called *admissible*.

Typically, we have a situation like in the previous section, where Σ is a fixed m -dimensional immersed submanifold of $M = Q$ without selfintersections. In mechanics, the above restrictions on a motion of the system are usually called time-dependent (or rheonomic) *holonomic constraints*.

REMARK 3.1. Usually one considers *embedded* submanifolds $\Upsilon_t = g_t(\Sigma)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. However, we can assume a non-holonomic system on Q with integrable constraints. As a result, Q is foliated on integral immersed submanifolds without selfintersections and we can consider a motion on a single integral leaf. For example, let us consider a system describing a rolling without sliding of a disk of radius r over a disk of radius R . The configuration space is a torus \mathbb{T}^2 . It is known that the corresponding nonholonomic constraint is integrable. If $r/R \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the configuration space is foliated on everywhere dense integral curves of the distribution.

Consider local coordinates $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n)$ and $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$, defined in the domains U and V , neighborhoods of the points $q_0 = g_{t_0}(x_0) \in Q$ and $x_0 \in \Sigma$. The mapping g_t is given locally by functions

$$(3.1) \quad q^i = Q^i(x, t) = Q^i(x^1, \dots, x^m, t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

defined for some time interval I ($t_0 \in I$),

$$g_t(V) \subset U \subset Q, \quad t \in I.$$

Locally, the submanifolds $g_t(V) \subset \Upsilon_t$ can be given by equations

$$(3.2) \quad f_\alpha(q^1, \dots, q^n, t) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n - m,$$

where the rank of the matrix $\partial f_\alpha / \partial q^j$ is equal to $n - m$ and

$$f_\alpha(Q^1(x^1, \dots, x^m, t), \dots, Q^n(x^1, \dots, x^m, t), t) \equiv 0, \quad x \in V \subset \Sigma, \quad t \in I.$$

Now we can only define the time-dependent angular velocity vector field ω_t as a section of $T_{\Upsilon_t}Q$:

$$\omega_t(q) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} (g_{t+s} \circ (g_t)^{-1}(q)), \quad q = g_t(x), \quad x \in \Sigma,$$

i.e.,

$$\omega_t(g_t(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}, \quad x \in \Sigma.$$

Let Γ be a smooth curve on Σ and let $\gamma(t) = g_t(\Gamma(t))$ be the associated curve on Q . Then, as in the case of moving frames considered above, we have

$$\dot{\gamma} = dg_t(\dot{\Gamma}) + \omega_t(g_t(\Gamma(t))),$$

or locally,

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{q}^i = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial x^j} \dot{x}^j + \frac{\partial Q^i(x, t)}{\partial t}.$$

In other words, the *admissible velocities* (velocities allowed by holonomic constraints) at the point $q = g_t(x) \in \Upsilon_t$ belong to the affine subspace of the tangent bundle $T_{\Upsilon_t}Q$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{q,t} = \omega_t(g_t(\Gamma(t))) + dg_t(T_x\Sigma) = \omega_t(g_t(\Gamma(t))) + T_q\Upsilon_t \subset T_qQ.$$

The vectors that are tangent to the constraint submanifolds $\eta \in T_q\Upsilon_t \subset T_qQ$ and $\xi \in T_x\Sigma \subset T_xM$ are called *virtual displacements*:

$$\mathcal{V}_{q,t} = T_q\Upsilon_t = dg_t(\mathcal{V}_x), \quad \mathcal{V}_x = T_x\Sigma.$$

Note that in the “moving frame” $\Sigma \subset M$ the space of admissible velocities coincides with the space of virtual displacements: $\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{V}_x = T_x\Sigma$.

By using the constraint (3.2), the spaces of admissible velocities and virtual displacements are usually described by the equations

$$\frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial q^i} \xi^i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_\alpha}{\partial q^i} \xi^i = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n - m,$$

respectively.

3.2. External description of the dynamics: d'Alembert principle. In classical mechanics, dynamics in the case of *ideal holonomic constraints* is defined by the *d'Alembert principle*: a curve $\gamma(t) \in \Upsilon_t$ is a motion of the constrained Lagrangian system (Q, L, Υ_t) if the variational derivative $\delta L(\eta)|_\gamma$ vanishes for all virtual displacements η along γ :

$$(3.4) \quad \delta L(\eta)|_\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) \eta^i|_\gamma = 0, \quad \eta|_{\gamma(t)} \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma(t)} = T_{\gamma(t)} \Upsilon_t.$$

In the case of ideal holonomic constraints, the d'Alembert principle is equivalent to the Hamiltonian principle of least action: the constrained motions are critical points of the action integral (2.2) in a class of curves

$$(3.5) \quad \Omega = \Omega(\Upsilon_t, q_0, q_1, a, b) = \{ \gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow Q, \gamma(t) \in \Upsilon_t, \gamma(a) = q_0, \gamma(b) = q_1 \}.$$

Namely, let $\gamma_s \in \Omega$, $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be a smooth variation of $\gamma \in \Omega$, $\gamma_0 = \gamma$. Then

$$\eta(t) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} (\gamma_s) \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma(t)} = T_{\gamma(t)} \Upsilon_t,$$

and the statements follow from the identity (2.4).

On the other hand the Hamiltonian principle of least action is not equivalent to the d'Alembert principle in the case of non-holonomic cost constraints. One of the attempts to formulate non-holonomic dynamics from the variational principle is given by Kozlov (see e.g. [3]). We will discuss the non-holonomic constraints in a separate paper.

3.3. Intrinsic formulation of the dynamics. As in the cases of moving frames, for a given Lagrangian $L: TQ \times R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define the Lagrangian l_Σ by

$$(3.6) \quad l_\Sigma(x, \dot{x}, t) := L(q, \dot{q}, t)|_{q=g_t(x), \dot{q}=dg_t(\dot{x})+\omega_t(g_t(x))}.$$

Instead of solving the system (3.4) in redundant variables (q^1, \dots, q^n) using the method of Lagrange multipliers, it is more convenient to consider a standard Lagrangian system (Σ, l_Σ) . We can reduce the problem using the following analogue of Proposition 2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\Gamma(t)$ and ξ be a smooth curve and a vector field of virtual displacements along Γ in Σ and let $\gamma(t) = g_t(\Gamma(t))$ and $\eta = dg_t(\xi)$ be the associated curve and the vector field of virtual displacements in Q . Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \delta L(\eta)|_\gamma = \delta l(\xi)|_\Gamma.$$

PROOF. The proof is the same as in the case of Proposition 2.2. By setting (3.1) and (3.3) into (3.6), after straightforward computations, along $\Gamma(t)$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \dot{x}^i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^j} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \right) \frac{\partial Q^j}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

which together with the definitions of η ,

$$\eta^j|_{q=g_t(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial Q^j}{\partial x^k} \xi^k|_x, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the variational derivative (2.5), imply (3.7). \square

Since $T_{g_t(x)} \Upsilon_t = dg_t(T_x \Sigma)$, we get.

THEOREM 3.1. *A curve $\gamma(t) \in \Upsilon_t$ is a motion of the constrained Lagrangian system (Q, L, Υ_t) if and only if $\Gamma(t) = g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ is a motion of the Lagrangian system (Σ, l_Σ) . This means that in local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^m) on Σ a curve $\Gamma: x(t) = (x^1(t), \dots, x^m(t))$ is a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equations*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial l_\Sigma}{\partial \dot{x}^i} = \frac{\partial l_\Sigma}{\partial x^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Furthermore, for systems with constraints we also have

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $x_0 = g_a^{-1}(q_0)$ and $x_1 = g_b^{-1}(q_1)$. A curve $\gamma(t)$ in fixed space Q is the critical point of the action integral (2.2) in a class of curves satisfying the constraints (3.5) if and only if the curve $\Gamma(t) = g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ is the critical point of the action integral*

$$S_{l_\Sigma}(\Gamma) = \int_a^b l_\Sigma(\Gamma, \dot{\Gamma}, t) dt$$

in a class of curves $\Omega(\Sigma, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, a, b) = \{\Gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \Sigma, \Gamma(a) = \mathbf{x}_0, \Gamma(b) = \mathbf{x}_1\}$.

In particular, we can consider the time-independent constraints,

$$g_t \equiv \iota,$$

where $\iota: \Sigma \hookrightarrow Q$ is the inclusion of the submanifold Σ in Q . Then

$$l_\Sigma = L|_{T\Sigma}$$

and Theorem 3.1 becomes the standard statement for systems with holonomic constraints that do not depend on time [2, 3].

REMARK 3.2. All considerations are valid without the assumption that the Lagrangian is regular and are derived without the use of Lagrange multipliers. Therefore, the uniqueness of the solution is not considered (see [9]). In the case where the Lagrangian is regular, we can apply the Legendre transformation and proceed to the Hamiltonian description of the time-dependent mechanical systems. In this case, we have studied Noether symmetries and integrability in contact and cosymplectic frameworks [7, 8].

4. Space-time formulation of Lagrangian mechanics

4.1. Space-time and reference frames. A space-time manifold in classical Lagrangian mechanics is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional fiber manifold over real numbers

$$(4.1) \quad \tau: \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where the fibers are diffeomorphic to an n -dimensional configuration space Q .

The points \mathbf{q} in \mathcal{Q} are called *events* and the fibers $\tau^{-1}(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, are called spaces of *simultaneous events*. We say that the event \mathbf{q}_0 occurred before the event \mathbf{q}_1 if $\tau(\mathbf{q}_0) < \tau(\mathbf{q}_1)$. A *time line* (or *world line*) is a smooth curve $s(t)$, a section of the fibration (4.1), $\tau(s(t)) = t$. A time line $s(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$ is between (or connects) the events \mathbf{q}_0 and \mathbf{q}_1 if $s(a) = \mathbf{q}_0$ and $s(b) = \mathbf{q}_1$.

The space of *virtual displacements* is a subbundle of $T\mathcal{Q}$, the vertical distribution of the fibration (4.1), defined by

$$\mathcal{V} = \cup_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}} = \ker d\tau|_{\mathbf{q}} = \{\underline{\xi} \in T_{\mathbf{q}}\mathcal{Q}, d\tau|_{\mathbf{q}}(\underline{\xi}) = 0\}.$$

Since for time lines we have $d\tau(\dot{s}(t)) = 1$, we also consider the affine subbundle of $T\mathcal{Q}$ (the first jet bundle [10]),

$$\mathcal{J} = \cup_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}} = \{\underline{\xi} \in T_{\mathbf{q}}\mathcal{Q}, d\tau|_{\mathbf{q}}(\underline{\xi}) = 1\}.$$

It is clear that \mathcal{J} is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{V} .

The (global) *reference frame* is a trivialization

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha: \mathcal{Q} &\longrightarrow Q_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}, & Q_\alpha &\cong Q, \\ \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{q}) &= (q_\alpha, t_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\tau(\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(q_\alpha, t_\alpha)) = t_\alpha + c_\alpha, \quad c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In other words: In the reference frame φ_α we set the time t_α to zero at the space of simultaneous events $\tau^{-1}(c_\alpha)$.

The vertical space \mathcal{V} at \mathbf{q} in the frame φ_α can be naturally identified with $T_{\mathbf{q}}Q_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\underline{\eta} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}} \longleftarrow \eta_\alpha \in T_{q_\alpha}Q_\alpha \times \{t_\alpha\}, \quad (\eta_\alpha, 0) = d\varphi_\alpha|_{\mathbf{q}}(\underline{\eta}), \quad (q_\alpha, t_\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha(\mathbf{q}).$$

If we have two reference frames φ_α and φ_β , the transition function is defined by

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}: Q_\beta \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow Q_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}$$

is of the form $(q_\alpha, t_\alpha) = \phi_{\alpha\beta}(q_\beta, t_\beta) = (g_{\alpha\beta}(q_\beta, t_\beta), t_\beta + (c_\beta - c_\alpha))$.

4.2. Dynamics. The Lagrangian \mathbf{L} is a smooth function

$$\mathbf{L}: \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\gamma_\alpha(t_\alpha)$ be a curve in Q_α . To γ_α we associate the time curve

$$s(t) = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}((\gamma_\alpha(t_\alpha), t_\alpha))|_{t=t_\alpha+c_\alpha},$$

and vice versa. Since \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{J} are diffeomorphic, \mathcal{J} can be identified with $TQ_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}$. In the context of this identification, the Lagrangian \mathbf{L} in the reference frame φ_α is given by

$$L_\alpha: TQ_\alpha \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$L_\alpha(q_\alpha, \dot{q}_\alpha, t_\alpha)|_{q_\alpha=\gamma_\alpha(t_\alpha)} := \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})|_{\mathbf{q}=s(t)=\varphi_\alpha^{-1}((\gamma_\alpha(t_\alpha), t_\alpha))|_{t=t_\alpha+c_\alpha}}.$$

With the above notation, the *variational derivative* of the Lagrangian \mathbf{L} in the direction of the vector field of the virtual displacement $\underline{\eta}$ along the time line s is defined by

$$\delta\mathbf{L}(\underline{\eta})|_s := \delta L_\alpha(\eta_\alpha)|_{\gamma_\alpha}.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *The variation derivative does not depend on the reference frame φ_α .*

PROOF. Let φ_β be another reference frame. Without loss of generality, we can assume $c_\alpha = c_\beta = 0$ and $t_\alpha = t_\beta = t$ (we have translation in time between the reference frames φ_α and φ_β). Then we have a situation as in section 2, where

$$Q_\alpha, q_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha, L_\alpha, \eta_\alpha, \quad Q_\beta, q_\beta, \gamma_\beta, L_\beta, \eta_\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad q_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}(q_\beta, t), \eta_\alpha = dg_{\alpha\beta}(\eta_\beta)$$

corresponds to

$$Q, q, \gamma, L, \eta, \quad M, x, \Gamma, l, \xi, \quad \text{and} \quad q = g_t(x), \eta = dg_t(\xi)$$

respectively. Therefore, the statement follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

We thus have an invariant formulation of classical Lagrangian dynamics on the space-time \mathcal{Q} in the form of the *d'Alambert principle*: a time curve s is a motion of the mechanical system defined by the Lagrangian $\mathbf{L}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if the Lagrangian derivative of \mathbf{L} is zero,

$$\delta\mathbf{L}(\underline{\eta})|_s = 0,$$

for all virtual displacements $\underline{\eta}$ along s .

THEOREM 4.2. THE HAMILTONIAN PRINCIPLE OF LEAST ACTION *A time line $s(t)$ between the events \mathbf{q}_0 and \mathbf{q}_1 is a motion of the mechanical system defined by the Lagrangian $\mathbf{L}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it is a critical point of the action integral*

$$S_{\mathbf{L}}(s) = \int_{\tau(\mathbf{q}_0)}^{\tau(\mathbf{q}_1)} \mathbf{L}(s(t), \dot{s}(t)) dt$$

in a class of time lines connecting the events \mathbf{q}_0 and \mathbf{q}_1 .

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Vladimir Dragović and Borislav Gajić for useful discussions. The note is based on the joint long year experience in teaching classical mechanics and symplectic geometry at the Mathematical institute SANU. The author was supported by the Project no. 7744592, MEGIC "Integrability and Extremal Problems in Mechanics, Geometry and Combinatorics" of the Science Fund of Serbia.

References

- [1] P. Appell, *Traite de mecanique rationnelle*, tome 2, Gauthier-Villars, 6ed., 1953. (French).
- [2] В. И. Арнольд, *Математические методы классической механики*, Зед, Москва, Наука 1989 (Russian). English translation: V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [3] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, A. Neishtadt, *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics*. 3rd ed. Springer, 2006.
- [4] С. В. Болотин, А. В. Карапетян, Е. И. Кугушев, Д. В. Трещев, *Теоретическая механика*, Академия, Москва, 2010, S. V. Bolotin, A. V. Karapetyan, E. I. Kugushev, D. V. Treshchev, *Theoretical Mechanics*, Akademiya, Moscow, 2010 (Russian).
- [5] S. Federico, M. F. Alhasadi, *Inverse Dynamics in Rigid Body Mechanics*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, **49** (2022) 157–181.
- [6] B. Jovanović, *Affine Geometry and Relativity*, Foundations of Physics **53** (2023) 60 (29pp), arXiv:2305.13496
- [7] B. Jovanović, *Noether symmetries and integrability in time-dependent Hamiltonian mechanics*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, **43** (2016) 255–273, arXiv:1608.07788
- [8] B. Jovanović, K. Lukić, *Integrable systems in cosymplectic geometry*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, **56** (2023) 015201 (18pp), arXiv:2212.09427
- [9] M. de Leon, J. Marin-Solano, J. C. Marrero, *The constraint algorithm in the jet formalism*, Differential Geometry and its Applications, **6** (1996) no. 3, 275–300.
- [10] E. Massa, E. Pagani, *A new look at classical mechanics of constrained systems*, Annales de l'I. H. Poincaré, section A, **66** (1997) no 1, 1–36.
- [11] H. Poincaré, *La Science et l'Hypothese*, Paris, 1902 (French). English translation: *Science and Hypothesis*, The Walter Scott Publishing co., ltd. New York: 3 East 14th Street, 1905.
- [12] Л. С. Полак (ед.), *Вариационные принципы механики (Сборник статей классиков науки)*, Физматгиз, Москва, 1959 . L. S. Polak (ed.), *Variational principles of mechanics (Collection of classical papers)*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959 (Russian).
- [13] O. E. Zubelevich, *The d'Alembert–Lagrange Principle: a Geometrical Aspect*, Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics, **14** (2020) no 3, 592–598.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE SANU, SERBIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND ARTS, KNEZA MIHAILA 36,
11000 BELGRADE, SERBIA
Email address: bozaj@mi.sanu.ac.rs