# ON LOCALLY CONFORMAL SPIN(7) STRUCTURE

EYÜP YALÇINKAYA

ABSTRACT. This article reveals a significant connection in geometry: when the Lee form  $\theta$  is normal to an almost Hermitian manifold N, it implies that N possesses a nearly Kähler structure. Investigating locally conformally Spin(7) manifolds with 2-vector fields, our study provides a concise yet rigorous proof of this relationship.

## 1. HISTORY

In the realm of Riemannian geometry, the study of exceptional holonomy groups has unveiled intricate structures on manifolds, offering a profound understanding of their geometric properties. Among these, Spin(7) holonomy stands out as a captivating subject, leading to the emergence of Spin(7)-manifolds—an arena where geometry takes on exceptional nuances.

This article embarks on a journey through the landscape of Spin(7)-manifolds, tracing the historical milestones and key contributors who have shaped our understanding of these fascinating structures. Beginning with Berger's identification of Spin(7) as an exceptional holonomy group in [2], we delve into the classifications provided by Fernández [6], discerning two distinct classes of non-integrable Spin(7)-structures: locally conformal the balanced.

Our exploration extends to the realm of non-compact examples, where Bryant and Salamon [3], unveiled the first complete instances of Spin(7)-manifolds. Turning our attention to compact examples, we encounter the works of Joyce [7], who has left indelible imprints on the understanding of compact Spin(7)manifolds. Fernández's insights into compact balanced Spin(7)-structures and the meticulous exploration of compact locally conformal Spin(7)-structures by Ivanov, Parton, and Piccinni [10] give the structure of compact locally Spin(7) manifold.

The article culminates with a discussion on the existence of a unique characteristic connection preserving a given Spin(7)-structure, as demonstrated by Ivanov [9].

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C38,53D05,53D15,57R17.

Key words and phrases. Spin(7) structures, Locally Conformal Structure.

The author thanks Sema Salur for helpful advice on this issue and TUBITAK for its support.

## EYÜP YALÇINKAYA

### 2. INTRODUCTION

This paper embarks on an exploration of the intricate geometric structures inherent in Spin(7) manifolds, 8-dimensional Riemannian spaces with holonomy groups encapsulated within the exceptional Lie group Spin(7). Within the landscape of M-theory compactifications, manifolds with special holonomy serve as key players, representing the intricacies of curled-up dimensions pervasive in spacetime.

While Calabi-Yau manifolds of dimension 6 have undergone extensive scrutiny, the geometric properties of 7-dimensional  $G_2$  manifolds and 8-dimensional Spin(7) manifolds remain elusive. Our paper seeks to address this gap by initiating a comprehensive program dedicated to the study of torsion on Riemannian 8-manifolds equipped with a spin structure.

In conjunction with the broader context of our research, we contribute a novel result: a profound connection between the Lee form normality on locally conformally Spin(7) manifolds with 2-vector fields and the emergence of nearly Kähler structures on almost Hermitian manifolds. This result not only enriches our understanding of Spin(7) manifolds but also provides a bridge between diverse geometric frameworks.

By combining this newfound insight with our study of torsion, we aim to shed light on the geometric intricacies of Spin(7) manifolds, unraveling the enigma surrounding these higher-dimensional spaces and their relevance in theoretical physics. This paper marks the inception of a broader program to unveil the mysteries of geometric structures on manifolds with special holonomy, opening avenues for further exploration and advancement in the field.

# 3. Spin(7)-STRUCTURES

In this section, we review the basics of Spin(7) geometry. More on the subject can be found in [6], [7], [8].

Let  $(x^1, ..., x^8)$  be coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . The standard Cayley 4-form on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  can be written as

$$\Phi_0 = dx^{1234} + dx^{1256} + dx^{1278} + dx^{1357} - dx^{1368} - dx^{1458} - dx^{1467} - dx^{2358} - dx^{2367} - dx^{2457} + dx^{2468} + dx^{3456} + dx^{3478} + dx^{5678}$$

where  $dx^{ijkl} = dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k \wedge dx^l$ .

The subgroup of  $GL(8,\mathbb{R})$  that preserves  $\Phi_0$  is the group Spin(7). It is a 21-dimensional compact, connected and simply-connected Lie group that preserves the orientation on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  and the Euclidean metric  $g_0$ . A differential 4form  $\Phi$  on an oriented 8-manifold M is called admissible if it can be identified with  $\Phi_0$  through an oriented isomorphism between  $T_pM$  and  $\mathbb{R}^8$  for each point  $p \in M$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  denotes the space of admissible 4-forms on M. A Spin(7)-structure on an 8-dimensional manifold M is an admissible 4-form  $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}(M)$ . If M admits such structure,  $(M, \Phi)$  is called a manifold with Spin(7)-structure.

Each 8-manifold with a Spin(7)-structure  $\Phi$  is canonically equipped with a metric g. Hence, we can think of a Spin(7)-structure on M as a pair  $(\Phi, g)$  such that for all  $p \in M$  there is an isomorphism between  $T_pM$  and  $\mathbb{R}^8$  which identifies  $(\Phi_p, g_p)$  with  $(\Phi_0, g_0)$ .

The existence of a Spin(7)-structure on an 8-dimensional manifold M is equivalent to a reduction of the structure group of the tangent bundle of Mfrom SO(8) to its subgroup Spin(7). The following result gives the necessary and sufficient conditions so that the 8-manifold admits Spin(7) structure.

**Theorem 3.2.** ([8]) Let M be a differentiable 8-manifold. M admits a Spin(7)structure if and only if  $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$  and for appropriate choice of orientation on M we have that

$$p_1(M)^2 - 4p_2(M) \pm 8\chi(M) = 0.$$

Furthermore, if  $\nabla \Phi = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection of g, then  $\operatorname{Hol}(M) \subseteq Spin(7)$ , and M is called a Spin(7)-manifold. All Spin(7) manifolds are Ricci flat.

Let  $(M, g, \Phi)$  be a Spin(7) manifold. The action of Spin(7) on the tangent space gives an action of Spin(7) on the spaces of differential forms,  $\Lambda^k(M)$ , and so the exterior algebra splits orthogonally into components, where  $\Lambda^k_l$ corresponds to an irreducible representation of Spin(7) of dimension l:

$$\Lambda^1(M) = \Lambda^1_8, \quad \Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda^2_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{21}, \quad \Lambda^3(M) = \Lambda^3_8 \oplus \Lambda^3_{48},$$
  
$$\Lambda^4(M) = \Lambda^4_+(M) \oplus \Lambda^4_-(M), \quad \Lambda^4_+(M) = \Lambda^4_1 \oplus \Lambda^4_7 \oplus \Lambda^4_{27}, \quad \Lambda^4_- = \Lambda^4_{35},$$
  
$$\Lambda^5(M) = \Lambda^5_8 \oplus \Lambda^5_{48} \quad \Lambda^6(M) = \Lambda^6_7 \oplus \Lambda^6_{21}, \quad \Lambda^7(M) = \Lambda^7_8;$$

explicitly;

(1) 
$$\Lambda_7^2 = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2(M) | * (\alpha \land \Phi) = 3\alpha \}, \quad \Lambda_{21}^2 = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda^2(M) | * (\alpha \land \Phi) = -\alpha \},$$

## EYÜP YALÇINKAYA

(2) 
$$\Lambda_8^3 = \{*(\beta \land \Phi) | \beta \in \Lambda^1(M)\}, \Lambda_{48}^3 = \{\gamma \in \Lambda^3(M) | \gamma \land \Phi = 0\},\$$

(3) 
$$\Lambda_1^4 = \{ f\Phi | f \in \mathcal{F}(M) \}$$

The Hodge star \* gives an isometry between  $\Lambda_l^k$  and  $\Lambda_l^{8-k}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** [12] The following identity holds for v and  $\omega$  vector fields:

$$(\iota_{v}\iota_{\omega}\Phi)\wedge(\iota_{v}\iota_{\omega}\Phi)\wedge\Phi=6|v\wedge\omega|^{2}$$

According to the Fernandez classification [6], there are 4-classes of Spin(7) manifolds obtained as irreducible representations of Spin(7) of the space  $\nabla^g \Phi$ . Following [9] we consider the 1-form  $\theta$  defined by

(4) 
$$7\theta = -*(*d\Phi \wedge \Phi) = *(\delta\Phi \wedge \Phi) = 6*(T \wedge \Phi)$$

We shall call the 1-form  $\Theta$  the Lee form of a given Spin(7) structure and the Gauduchon Spin(7) structure if  $d * \theta = 0$ 

The 4 classes of Spin(7) manifolds in the Fernandez classification can be described in terms of the Lee form as follows :

Space	Equation	Name
$W_0$	$d\Phi = 0;$	Torsion-free
$W_1$	$\theta = 0$	Balanced
$W_2$	$d\Phi = \theta \wedge \Phi$ and $d\theta = 0$	Locally conformal parallel
$W_1 \oplus W_2$	none	none

**Definition 3.4.** A 3-form T is called Torsion, if it satisfies the following;

(5) 
$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^{LC} Y + T(X, Y, \cdot)$$

where  $\nabla_X^{LC} Y$  is Levi-Civita connection on M.

Ivanov [9] showed that there is a unique Spin(7) connection that has skewsymmetric torsion. Since the decomposition of form spaces at (2) the torsion  $T \in \Lambda^3(M) = \Lambda_8^3 + \Lambda_{48}^3$ , Then by the orthogonality of component, T can be decomposed as  $T = T_8 \oplus T_{48}$ .

Puble showed that  $M^8$  is locally conformal parallel Spin(7) then  $T_{48} = 0$ and  $T^c = -\frac{7}{6} * (\theta \wedge \Phi)$ . where  $T^c$  is characteristic torsion of Spin(7) manifolds induced from characteristic torsion given by Ivanov [9].

# 4. LCSPIN(7)-STRUCTURE WITH A VECTOR FIELD

Cabrera [4] and Ivanov [9] showed that the locally conformal Spin(7) structure gives rise to nearly  $G_2$  structure.

**Theorem 4.1.** [4] The Spin(7)-structures on  $M \times G$  are LCSpin(7) if and only if M is totally umbilic in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** [9]  $M = N \times S^1$  is LCSpin(7) if N is nearly paralel  $G_2$ .

 $S^7 \times S^1$  is an example of the given theorem since  $S^7$  is a nearly  $G_2$  manifold.

**Theorem.** [4] The Spin(7) structure on  $M \times G$  are locally conformal iff M is totally umbilic in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

**Theorem.** [5] Let N be a totally umbilical submanifold in a symmetric space M. If dim(M) - dim(N) < rank(M) - 1, then the mean curvature vector is parallel (in the normal bundle). In particular, the mean curvature is constant and N is either totally geodesic or of constant curvature.

**Theorem.** 7-manifold M with  $G_2$ -structure is totally umbilic in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , then M must be either totally geodesic or constant curvature. ( $S^7$  is constant curvature  $\mathbb{R}^7$  is totally geodesic)

if M is not a manifold with constant curvature, then M must be totally geodesic in locally spin(7) manifold.

5. LCSPIN(7)-STRUCTURE WITH 2-VECTOR FIELDS

Agricola, Borówka, and Friedrich [1] showed that the 6-dimensional manifold yields a nearly Kähler manifold under some restrictions.

**Proposition 5.1.** [1] A 6-dimensional manifold (M, g, J) is nearly Kähler if and only if there exists a holomorphic form  $\Omega \in \Lambda^{3,0}$  and a constant a such that the following conditions hold:

$$d\omega = 12a\Omega_+,$$
  
$$d(\Omega_-) = a\omega \wedge \omega,$$

where  $\omega = g(J, \cdot)$  is the Kähler form.

Ivanov and Cabrera [11] studied 6-dimensional almost Hermitian submanifolds immersing inside of Spin(7) manifolds. On the other hand, by using previous proposition, the following idea yields the structure of almost Hermitian manifolds induced from locally conformal Spin(7) manifolds.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let M be a locally conformally Spin(7) manifold with 2-vector field. If Lee form  $\theta$  is normal to almost Hermitian manifold N. Then N is nearly Kähler.

## EYÜP YALÇINKAYA

*Proof.* Under topological restrictions, Thomas showed that 8-manifolds admit 2-vector fields [14].

To simplify the calculation, let  $\{e_7, e_8\}$  be the 2-vector field.

Vector fields  $\{u, v\}$  are linear combination of  $\{e_7, e_8\}$  such that  $u = \cos \gamma e_7 + \sin \gamma e_8$  and  $v = -\sin \gamma e_7 + \cos \gamma e_8$ , and hence  $u^{\flat} \wedge v^{\flat} = e^{78}$  where  $\flat$  defines the differential form of a vector field.

Consider the differential form

(6) 
$$\Phi = \omega \wedge e^{78} + \Omega_+ \wedge u^\flat + \Omega_- \wedge v^\flat + \omega \wedge \omega$$

where  $e^i = de_i$ ,  $\omega$  is Kähler form and  $\Omega = \Omega_+ + i\Omega_-$  induced from SU(3) structure on an almost Hermitian 6-manifold.

Let  $\theta = \cos \beta e^7 + \sin \beta e^8$ , be a Lee form and

 $d\Phi = d\omega \wedge e^{78} + \omega \wedge de^{78} + d\Omega_+ \wedge v^{\flat} + \Omega_+ \wedge dv^{\flat} + d\Omega_- \wedge u^{\flat} + \Omega_- \wedge du^{\flat} + d(\omega \wedge \omega)$ Since  $de^7$ ,  $de^8$  and  $de^{78}$  are trivially zero and  $d(\omega \wedge \omega)$  are zero depending on degree of  $\omega$  (deg  $\omega = 0$ ), the following holds;

$$d\Phi = d\omega \wedge e^{78} + d\Omega_+ \wedge u^\flat + d\Omega_- \wedge v^\flat.$$

By virtue of the local conformal Spin(7) structure, we assert that  $d\Phi = \Phi \wedge \theta$ . Substituting  $\Phi$  into (6) we obtain the desired expression;

$$\Phi \wedge \theta = \Omega_{+} \wedge \cos \gamma \sin \beta e^{78} - \Omega_{+} \wedge \sin \gamma \cos \beta e^{78} + \Omega_{-} \wedge \sin \gamma \sin \beta e^{78} + \Omega_{-} \cos \gamma \cos \beta e^{78} + \omega \wedge \omega \wedge (\cos \beta e^{7} + \sin \beta e^{8})$$

For  $\theta = u^{\flat}$ ,

$$d\omega \wedge e^{78} + d\Omega_+ \wedge e^7 + d\Omega_- \wedge e^8 = \Omega_- \wedge e^{78} + \omega \wedge \omega \wedge e^7.$$

Hence, this yields  $d\omega = \Omega_-$ ,  $d\Omega_+ = \omega \wedge \omega$  and  $d\Omega_- = 0$ .

By using 5.1, it can be concluded induced 6-manifold is Nearly Kähler.

**Example 5.3.** Consider a locally conformal Spin(7) manifold  $N \times S^2$  with 2 vector fields admitting

$$\Phi = \omega \wedge e^{78} + \Omega_+ \wedge e^7 + \Omega_- \wedge e^8 + \omega \wedge \omega$$

Thus N, namely  $S^6$ ,  $S^3 \times S^3$  and  $\mathbb{CP}^3$ , is given rise to be a nearly compact Kähler 6-manifold.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

In the future, we study balanced Spin(7) manifolds since they have a deep relation between 6-manifolds.

#### References

- Ilka Agricola, Aleksandra Borówka, Thomas Friedrich, S<sup>6</sup> and the geometry of nearly Kähler 6-manifolds, Differential Geometry and its Applications, Volume 65, August 2019, Pages 241-255.
- [2] M. Berger, Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogènes de variétés à connexion afne et des variétés Riemanniennes, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, 83, 279–330 (1955).
- [3] R.L. Bryant, S.M. Salamon, On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy, Duke Math. J., 58(3), 829–850 (1989).
- [4] F. M. Cabrera, Spin (7)-structures in principal fibre bundles over Riemannian manifolds with G 2-structure, in Geometries Defined by Differential Forms Proceedings, (1995), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Vol. 44, pp. 249–272.
- [5] B. Chen, Classification of totally umbilical submanifolds in symmetric spaces, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 30(2), 129–136 (1980).
- M. Fernandez, A Classification of Riemannian Manifolds with Structure Group Spin (7), Annali di Mat. Pura ed App., vol (143), (1986), 101-122.
  Math. Ann. 136 (1958), 156-172.
- [7] D. Joyce, Riemannian Holonomy Groups, and Calibrated Geometry, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics 12, OUP.
- [8] F.R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, *Calibrated Geometries*, Acta. Math. 148 (1982), 47–157.
- [9] S. Ivanov, Connection with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of Spin(7) manifolds, Math. Res. Lett. 11(2), 171–186 (2004).
- [10] S. Ivanov, M. Parton, P. Piccinni, Locally conformal parallel G2 and Spin(7) manifolds, Math. Res. Lett., 13(2), 167–177 (2006).
- [11] S. Ivanov and F. M. Cabrera, SU(3)-structures on submanifolds of a Spin(7)manifold, Differential Geometry and its Applications, 26(2), 113–132 (2008).
- S. Karigiannis, Deformations of G<sub>2</sub> and Spin(7) structures on manifolds, Canad. J. Math. 57 (2005), no. 5, 10121055. (arXiv:math/0301218v3)
- [13] W. Massey, On the Stiefel-Whitney Classes of a Manifold, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 82, No. 1 (Jan. 1960), pp. 92-102. Press, 1974.
- [14] E. Thomas, Vector fields on manifolds, Bull. of the American Math. Soc., vol 75, (1969), 643–683.

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NY, USA *Email address*: eyup.yalcinkaya@rochester.edu

THE SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF TURKEY (TUBITAK) ANKARA, TÜRKIYE

Email address: eyup.yalcinkaya@tubitak.gov.tr