

On Hoffman polynomials of λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrices and commutative association schemes

Giusy Monzillo

Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences
and Information Technologies
University of Primorska
Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia
Giusy.Monzillo@famnit.upr.si

Safet Penjić

Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences
and Information Technologies; and
Andrej Marušič Institute
University of Primorska
Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia
Safet.Penjić@iam.upr.si

March 4, 2024

Abstract

Let Γ denote a finite (strongly) connected regular (di)graph with adjacency matrix A . The *Hoffman polynomial* $h(t)$ of $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ is the unique polynomial of smallest degree satisfying $h(A) = J$, where J denotes the all-ones matrix. Let X denote a nonempty finite set. A nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ is called λ -*doubly stochastic* if $\sum_{z \in X} (B)_{yz} = \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{zy} = \lambda$ for each $y \in X$. In this paper we first show that there exists a polynomial $h(t)$ such that $h(B) = J$ if and only if B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix. This result allows us to define the Hoffman polynomial of a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix.

Now, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal irreducible nonnegative matrix, and $\mathcal{B} = \{p(B) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}[t]\}$ denote the vector space over \mathbb{C} of all polynomials in B . Let us define a 01-matrix \hat{A} in the following way: $(\hat{A})_{xy} = 1$ if and only if $(B)_{xy} > 0$ ($x, y \in X$). Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(\hat{A})$ denote a (di)graph with adjacency matrix \hat{A} , diameter D , and let A_D denote the distance- D matrix of Γ . We show that \mathcal{B} is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative D -class association scheme if and only if B is a normal λ -doubly stochastic matrix with $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues and A_D is a polynomial in B .

MSC: 05E30, 05C75, 05C50, 05C12, 05C20

Keywords: Hoffman polynomial, doubly stochastic matrix, commutative association schemes, Bose–Mesner algebra.

1 Introduction

Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix, Γ denote the underlying weighted digraph of B , and $\mathcal{B} = \{p(B) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}[t]\}$ denote the vector space over \mathbb{C} of all polynomials in B . In this paper, we study connections between commutative association schemes and λ -doubly stochastic matrices by considering the following question: under which combinatorial or algebraic restriction on Γ is the vector space \mathcal{B} the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative association scheme? Formal definitions are given in Section 2.

We first give the relevant background before presenting our main results. Let X denote a finite set, and $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ the set of complex matrices with rows and columns indexed by X . Let

$\mathcal{R} = \{R_0, R_1, \dots, R_d\}$ denote a set of cardinality $d + 1$ of nonempty subsets of $X \times X$. The elements of the set \mathcal{R} are called *relations* (or *classes*) on X . For each integer i ($0 \leq i \leq d$), let $B_i \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the adjacency matrix of the graph (X, R_i) (directed, in general). The pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ is a *commutative d -class association scheme* if the *relation matrices* B_i satisfy the following properties

(AS1) $B_0 = I$, the identity matrix.

(AS2) $\sum_{i=0}^d B_i = J$, the all-ones matrix.

(AS3) $B_i^\top \in \{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_d\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(AS4) $B_i B_j$ is a linear combination of B_0, B_1, \dots, B_d for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ (i.e., for every i, j ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$) there exist positive integers p_{ij}^h ($0 \leq h \leq d$), known as *intersection numbers*, such that $B_i B_j = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h B_h$).

(AS5) $B_i B_j = B_j B_i$ for every i, j ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$) (i.e., for the intersection numbers p_{ij}^h , $0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$, from (AS4) we have that $p_{ij}^h = p_{ji}^h$).

By (AS1)–(AS5) the vector space $\mathcal{M} = \text{span}\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_d\}$ is a commutative algebra; it is known as the *Bose–Mesner algebra* of \mathfrak{X} . We say that a matrix B *generates* \mathcal{M} if every element in \mathcal{M} can be written as a polynomial in B . We say that \mathfrak{X} is *symmetric* if the B_i 's are symmetric matrices.

A nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\sum_{z \in X} (B)_{yz} = \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{zy} = \lambda$ for each $y \in X$ is called a λ -*doubly stochastic matrix*. If $\lambda = 1$, the matrix is simply called *doubly stochastic*. The following result was proved by BIRKHOFF (1946) and independently by VON NEUMANN (1953): Each doubly stochastic matrix B can be represented as a convex combination of permutation matrices, that is,

$$B = c_1 P_1 + c_2 P_2 + \dots + c_m P_m \quad (1)$$

where the c_i 's are positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = 1$, and P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m are distinct permutation matrices. It is well known that the convex representation (1) of the doubly stochastic matrix B is unique (up to reordering the terms) if and only if the graph Γ is uniquely edge colourable, where Γ is a bipartite graph with bipartition (V_1, V_2) , where $V_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{|X|}\}$, $V_2 = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{|X|}\}$ and two vertices x_i and y_j are joined by $(\sum_{i=1}^m P_i)_{ij}$ edges ($1 \leq i, j \leq |X|$) (see, for example, [1, Subchapter 9.2]). In [19], DUFOSSÉ and UÇAR showed that determining the minimal number of permutation matrices needed in (1) is strongly NP-complete. Some interesting papers that study doubly stochastic matrices are, for example, [4, 6, 7, 35, 36]. With respect to representation (1), from our point of view, it would be interesting to study the combinatorial structure of a (di)graph with adjacency matrix $\sum_{i=1}^m P_i$. In this paper we study representation (1) in the set-up of Problem 1.1.

Problem 1.1 Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a non-negative matrix. Assume that the matrix B has exactly $m + 1$ distinct entries $\{0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$, so that we can write B as a linear combination of 01-matrices F_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) as follows

$$B = c_1 F_1 + c_2 F_2 + \dots + c_m F_m$$

(note that the c_i 's are positive real numbers and our F_i 's are not necessarily permutation matrices). We also assume that F_i 's are \circ -idempotents, i.e., $F_i \circ F_j = \mathbf{O}$ whenever $i \neq j$, where \circ denotes the elementwise-Hadamard product. Let $A = \sum_{i=0}^m F_i$. Can we describe the

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$




Figure 1: A doubly stochastic matrix B and its underlying weighted digraph. The Hoffman polynomial of B is $h(t) = \frac{8}{q(1)}q(t)$, where $q(t) = t^7 - \frac{1}{3}t^6 + \frac{1}{3}t^5 + \frac{5}{27}t^4 - \frac{8}{27}t^3 + \frac{8}{27}t^2 - \frac{32}{243}t$.

combinatorial structure (or give some algebraic properties) of the digraph $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$, so that the vector space $\mathcal{B} = \{p(B) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}[t]\}$ over \mathbb{C} of all polynomials in B is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative association scheme? Also, what can we say about the entries of the matrix B ?

Since the all-1 matrix J belongs to every commutative association scheme, as a first subproblem of Problem 1.1, we are interested in the case when J is a polynomial in B . This property implies that B is a λ -doubly stochastic matrix (see Theorem 1.1), so we obtain an answer to the second part of the problem. Let us give some background in this direction. For the moment, let Γ denote an undirected graph with vertex set X , adjacency matrix A , and let J denote the all-ones matrix of order $|X|$. In [26], HOFFMAN proved that there exists a polynomial $p(x)$ such that

$$p(A) = J \tag{2}$$

if and only if Γ is connected and regular. In [27], HOFFMAN and MCANDREW studied the case of a directed graph, and obtained a similar result: there exists a polynomial $p(x)$ such that (2) holds if and only if Γ is strongly connected and regular. Moreover, they showed that the unique polynomial of smallest degree satisfying (2) is $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{q(k)}q(t)$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ is a regular digraph of valency k , and $(t - k)q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of A . Next, it is well known that a digraph Γ is strongly connected if and only if its adjacency matrix A is irreducible (see, for example, [31, Section 8.3]). For the moment, let $C \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a nonnegative matrix. In [41], WU and DENG study a polynomial that sends a nonnegative irreducible matrix to a positive rank one matrix; they showed that there is a polynomial $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $p(C)$ is a positive matrix of rank one if and only if C is irreducible. Moreover, they show that the lowest degree of such a polynomial $p(t)$ with trace $p(C) = |X|$ is unique. The first main result of our paper is Theorem 1.1, which is in the same spirit as that of HOFFMAN and MCANDREW from [27] (note that one direction of our theorem also follows from [41, Theorem 2.2]).

Theorem 1.1 *For a nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that*

$$p(B) = J \tag{3}$$

if and only if B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix. Moreover, the unique polynomial of smallest degree satisfying (3) is $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{q(\lambda)}q(t)$, where $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $(t - \lambda)q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of B .

We call the polynomial $h(t)$ from the Theorem 1.1 *Hoffman polynomial of B* . We use Theorem 1.1 to prove Theorem 1.2, giving an algebraic-combinatorial characterization when the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative association scheme is generated by a normal λ -doubly stochastic matrix (for results about when the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative association scheme is generated by a (directed) graph, see [21, 32, 43]). For a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix B with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ the Hoffman polynomial is $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{\pi_0} \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \lambda_i)$, where $\pi_0 = \prod_{i=1}^d (\lambda - \lambda_i)$. In Section 5, using the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(p(B)\overline{q(B)}^\top)$ on the ring $\mathbb{R}_d[t]$, we define the so-called “predistance polynomials” $\{p_i(t)\}_{i=0}^d$, and we show that $\sum_{i=0}^d p_i(A) = J$ (see Lemma 4.5). The term “predistance polynomials” is taken from the theory of distance-regular graphs (see, for example, [15, 16, 17, 20, 38]). For the moment Problem 1.1 seems to be a hard problem, so we make one restriction on it: we assume that the number of distinct eigenvalues of B is $D + 1$, where D is the diameter of a graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$. The motivation for this restriction (again) arises from the theory of distance-regular graphs (see, for example, [12, 20, 22, 33, 34]). As a consequence of our restriction, the second main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a nonnegative irreducible matrix. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{p(B) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}[t]\}$ denote the vector space of all polynomials in B . Define a 01-matrix A in the following way: $(A)_{xy} = 1$ if and only if $(B_i)_{xy} > 0$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote a digraph with adjacency matrix A , diameter D , and let A_D denote the distance- D matrix of Γ . Then, \mathcal{B} is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative D -class association scheme if and only if B is a normal λ -doubly stochastic matrix with $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues and A_D is a polynomial in B .*

Our Theorem 1.2 is an analogue of a result from algebraic graph theory, see for example [22, Proposition 2] or [24], where the authors considered an undirected graph (symmetric adjacency matrix) and proved the following claim: An undirected regular graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ with diameter D and $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues is a distance-regular if and only if $D = d$ and the distance- D matrix A_D is a polynomial in A .

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic concepts from algebraic graph theory (experts from the field can skip this section). Our paper then starts from Section 3, where we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we define predistance-polynomials, the polynomials that we use later in the paper. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Preliminaries

A *digraph* with *vertex set* X and *arc set* \mathcal{E} is a pair $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{E})$ which consists of a finite set $X = X(\Gamma)$ of *vertices* and a set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)$ of *arcs* (*directed edges*) between vertices of Γ . As the initial and final vertices of an arc are not necessarily different, digraphs may have loops (arcs from a vertex to itself) and multiple arcs, that is, there can be more than one arc from each vertex to any other. If $e = (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}$ is an arc from x to y , then the vertex x (and the arc e) is *adjacent to* the vertex y , and the vertex y (and the arc e) is *adjacent from* x . The *converse directed graph* $\overline{\Gamma}$ is obtained from Γ by reversing the direction of each arc. For a vertex x , let $\Gamma_1^{\leftarrow}(x)$ (resp. $\Gamma_1^{\rightarrow}(x)$) denote the set of vertices adjacent to (resp. from) the vertex x . In other words,

$$\Gamma_1^{\rightarrow}(x) = \{z \mid (x, z) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_1^{\leftarrow}(x) = \{z \mid (z, x) \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma)\}.$$




Figure 2: A normal doubly stochastic matrix B and its underlying weighted digraph. The Hoffman polynomial of B is $h(t) = 16t^3 - 16t^2 + 8t - 2$, and the predistance polynomials are $p_0(t) = 1$, $p_1(t) = 4t - 2$, $p_2(t) = 8t^2 - 8t + 2$ and $p_3(t) = 16t^3 - 24t^2 + 12t - 3$. By Lemma 4.5, $\sum_{i=0}^3 p_i(B) = J$. Moreover, B generates a 3-class association scheme.

Two small comments about the above notation: (i) drawing a directed edge from x to z , we have $x \rightarrow z$, which yields the idea of using the notation $\Gamma_1^{\rightarrow}(x)$; (ii) drawing a directed edge from z to x , we have $x \leftarrow z$ (or $z \rightarrow x$), which yields the idea of using the notation $\Gamma_1^{\leftarrow}(x)$. The elements of $\Gamma_1^{\rightarrow}(x)$ are called *neighbors* of x . Instead of a set of vertices, we can consider a set of arcs: for a vertex y , let $\delta_1^{\leftarrow}(y)$ (resp. $\delta_1^{\rightarrow}(y)$) denote the set of arcs adjacent to (resp. from) the vertex y . The number $|\delta_1^{\rightarrow}(y)|$ is called the *out-degree of y* and is equal to the number of edges leaving y . The number $|\delta_1^{\leftarrow}(y)|$ is called the *in-degree of y* and is equal to the number of edges going to y . A digraph Γ is *k -regular* (of valency k) if $|\delta_1^{\rightarrow}(y)| = |\delta_1^{\leftarrow}(y)| = k$ for all $y \in X$. We call Γ *simple* if Γ contains neither loops nor multiple edges.

Let $\Gamma = (X, \mathcal{E})$ denote a digraph. For any two vertices $x, y \in X$, a *directed walk* of length h from x to y is a sequence $[x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_h]$ ($x_i \in X$, $0 \leq i \leq h$) such that $x_0 = x$, $x_h = y$, and x_i is adjacent to x_{i+1} (i.e. $x_{i+1} \in \Gamma_1^{\rightarrow}(x_i)$) for $0 \leq i \leq h - 1$. We say that Γ is *strongly connected* if for any $x, y \in X$ there is a directed walk from x to y . A *closed directed walk* is a directed walk from a vertex to itself. A *directed path* is a directed walk such that all vertices of the directed walk are distinct. A *cycle* is a closed directed path. The *girth* of Γ is the length of a shortest cycle in Γ .

For any $x, y \in X$, the *distance* from x to y (or between x and y), denoted by $\partial(x, y)$, is the length of a shortest directed path from x to y . The *diameter* $D = D(\Gamma)$ of a strongly connected digraph Γ is defined to be

$$D = \max\{\partial(y, z) \mid y, z \in X\}.$$

For a vertex $x \in X$ and any nonnegative integer i not exceeding D , let $\Gamma_i^{\rightarrow}(x)$ (or $\Gamma_i(x)$) denote the subset of vertices in X that are at distance i from x , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_i^{\rightarrow}(x) = \{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i\}.$$

We also define the set $\Gamma_i^{\leftarrow}(x)$ as $\Gamma_i^{\leftarrow}(x) = \{z \in X \mid \partial(z, x) = i\}$. Let $\Gamma_{-1}(x) = \Gamma_{D+1}(x) := \emptyset$. The *eccentricity* of x , denoted by $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$, is the maximum distance between x and any other vertex of Γ . Note that the diameter of Γ equals $\max\{\varepsilon(x) \mid x \in X\}$.

All undirected graphs in this paper can be understood as digraphs in which an undirected edge between two vertices x and y represents two arcs, an arc from x to y , and an arc from y to x . In diagrams, instead of drawing two arcs, we draw one undirected edge between vertices x and y . For a basic introduction to the theory of undirected graphs we refer to [25, Section 2]. With the word *graph* we refer to a finite simple digraph.

2.1 Doubly stochastic matrix

Let X denote a nonempty finite set and let \mathbb{R} (resp. \mathbb{C}) denote the real number field (resp. the complex number field). Let $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$) denote the \mathbb{R} -algebra (resp. the \mathbb{C} -algebra) consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by X and whose entries are in \mathbb{R} (resp. \mathbb{C}).

A square matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be a λ -*doubly stochastic* if $B \geq \mathbf{O}$ and $B\mathbf{j} = B^\top\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$, where \mathbf{O} is the zero square matrix of order $|X|$, $B \geq \mathbf{O}$ is a shortcut for $(B)_{xy} \geq 0$ (for all $x, y \in X$), \mathbf{j} is the $|X|$ -dimensional column-vector with 1 in all entries, and B^\top is the transpose of B . If $\lambda = 1$, the matrix is called *doubly stochastic*. A *permutation matrix* P is a square matrix with exactly one 1 in each row and column, and the rest of the entries being zero.

2.2 Elementary algebraic graph theory

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic concepts from algebraic graph theory.

The *adjacency matrix* $A \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ of a digraph Γ (with vertex set X) is indexed by the vertices from X , and defined in the following way

$$(A)_{yz} = \text{the number of arcs from } y \text{ to } z \quad (y, z \in X) \quad (4)$$

(note that $(A)_{yz} \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$). The distance- i matrix A_i ($2 \leq i \leq D$) of a digraph Γ with diameter D and vertex set X is defined by

$$(A_i)_{zy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(z, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (z, y \in X, 2 \leq i \leq D).$$

We also define $A_0 = I$ and $A_1 = A$. A matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be *reducible* when there exists a permutation matrix P such that $P^\top B P = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ \mathbf{O} & Z \end{pmatrix}$, where X and Z are both square, and \mathbf{O} is a zero matrix of suitable size. Otherwise, B is said to be *irreducible*.

Theorem 2.1 (Perron–Frobenius Theorem) *Let B denote an irreducible nonnegative matrix, and let $\text{eig}(B)$ denote the set of distinct eigenvalues of B . If $\theta = \max_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(B)} |\lambda|$, then the following hold.*

- (i) $\theta \in \text{eig}(B)$ and $\theta > 0$.
- (ii) The algebraic multiplicity of θ is equal to 1.
- (iii) There exists an eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with all positive entries, such that $B\boldsymbol{\nu} = \theta\boldsymbol{\nu}$.

Sometimes it is useful to normalize a vector $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ from (iii) in such a way that the smallest entry is equal to 1. Such a vector $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is called a *Perron–Frobenius eigenvector*.

Proof. See, for example, [31, Section 8.3]. ■

Lemma 2.2 (see, for example, [31, Section 8.3]) *A digraph Γ with adjacency matrix A is strongly connected if and only if A is an irreducible matrix.*

Corollary 2.3 *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote a simple strongly connected digraph, and let $\text{eig}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of distinct eigenvalues of Γ . If $\theta = \max_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\Gamma)} |\lambda|$, then the following hold.*

- (i) $\theta \in \text{eig}(\Gamma)$ and $\theta > 0$.
- (ii) The algebraic multiplicity of θ is equal to 1.
- (iii) There exists an eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with all positive entries, such that $A\boldsymbol{\nu} = \theta\boldsymbol{\nu}$.

Proof. Routine using Lemma 2.2. (See, for example, [31, Section 8.3].) ■

A matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ is called *normal* if it commutes with its adjoint, i.e. if $A\bar{A}^\top = \bar{A}^\top A$.

Theorem 2.4 (see, for example, [2, Chapter 7]) *Let $A \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote a matrix over \mathbb{C} , with rows and columns indexed by X . Then, the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A is normal.
- (ii) $\mathbb{C}^{|X|}$ has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of A .
- (iii) A is a diagonalizable matrix.
- (iv) The algebraic multiplicity of λ is equal to the geometric multiplicity of λ , for every eigenvalue λ of A .

Two matrices $A, B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ are said to be *simultaneously diagonalizable* if there is a nonsingular $S \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $S^{-1}AS$ and $S^{-1}BS$ are both diagonal.

Lemma 2.5 ([28, Theorem 1.3.12]) *Two diagonalizable matrices are simultaneously diagonalizable if and only if they commute.*

Theorem 2.6 *Let \mathcal{M} denote a space of commutative normal matrices. Then, there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ which diagonalizes \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and [28, Subsection 1.3]. ■

2.3 Underlying digraph of a nonnegative matrix B

The *underlying digraph* of a nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as a pair $\Gamma = (X, E)$, in which X denotes the set of vertices (nodes), and E stands for the set of arcs such that $(x, y) \in E$ if and only if $(B)_{xy} > 0$. With other words, the adjacency matrix A of an underlying digraph of a nonnegative matrix B is defined in the following way:

$$(A)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } B_{xy} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Lemma 2.7 *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a nonnegative matrix, and let A denote the adjacency matrix of the underlying digraph of B . Then B is irreducible if and only if A is irreducible.*

Proof. Routine. ■

2.4 Underlying weighted digraph of a nonnegative matrix B

A *weighted digraph* is a digraph whose arcs are assigned values, known as *weights*. An *underlying weighted digraph of a nonnegative matrix B* is defined as a triplet $\Delta = (X, E, \omega)$ for which the following (i)–(iii) holds.

- (i) X denotes the set of vertices.
- (ii) E stands for the set of arcs such that $(x, y) \in E$ if and only if $B_{x,y} > 0$.
- (iii) $\omega : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ stands for a function that weights each arc of the graph, which is defined in the following way: $\omega(x, y) = (B)_{xy}$ ($(x, y) \in E$).

Note that Δ is the underlying digraph of a nonnegative matrix B such that each arc (x, y) of Δ has weight $(B)_{xy}$.

2.5 Number of walks in Γ and B^ℓ

Lemma 2.8 is well known for undirected graphs. We give a corresponding claim for directed graphs, in particular, for our definition of the adjacency matrix A of a digraph Γ as given in (4).

Lemma 2.8 *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote a strongly connected digraph with vertex set X , diameter D , and adjacency matrix A . The number of walks of length $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ in Γ from x to y is equal to (x, y) -entry of the matrix A^ℓ .*

Proof. Pick $x, y \in X$. We prove the claim by mathematical induction on $h = \partial(x, y)$ (the distance from x to y).

BASE OF INDUCTION. For $\ell = 1$ the claim is trivial.

INDUCTION STEP. Assume that, if $\partial(x, y) = h \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ ($m \geq 2$), then the number of walks of length h is equal to (x, y) -entry of the matrix A^h . We prove that the claim is true for m .

$$\begin{aligned} (A^m)_{xy} &= (A^{m-1}A)_{xy} \\ &= \sum_{z \in X} (A^{m-1})_{xz} \cdot (A)_{zy}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

By the induction assumption, $(A^{m-1})_{xz}$ is the number of walks of length $m-1$ from x to z . The entry $(A)_{zy}$ is nonzero (i.e., equal to the number of walks of length 1 from z to y) if and only if in Γ there is at least one arc from z to y . So, in (5), we start from the sum $S = 0$, and for every $z \in X$ if there is at least one arc (z, y) we add the number $(A^{m-1})_{xz} \cdot (A)_{zy}$ to S . Thus, the sum (5) represents the number of walks of length m from x to y , and the result follows. ■

Lemma 2.9 *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a nonnegative matrix and $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote underlying digraph of B with adjacency matrix A . Then, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(B^\ell)_{zy} \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (A^\ell)_{zy} \neq 0 \quad (y, z \in X).$$

Proof. Immediate from the definition of the underlying digraph and the underlying weighted digraph of B . ■

2.6 A vector space of all polynomials in a normal nonnegative matrix

Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal nonnegative matrix. By Theorem 2.4, B has $|X|$ linearly independent eigenvectors $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|X|}\}$ which form an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{|X|}$. Let V_i denote the eigenspace $V_i = \ker(B - \lambda_i I)$ and $\dim(V_i) = m_i$, for $0 \leq i \leq d$. For every vector $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists exactly one eigenspace V_j such that $u_i \in V_j$, and since $V_i \cap V_j = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for $i \neq j$, we can partition the set \mathcal{U} into sets $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_d$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_i \text{ is a basis for } V_i, \quad \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{U}_d \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j = \emptyset.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{C}^{|X|} = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_d \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum})$$

and

$$m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_d = |X|. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.10 Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal nonnegative matrix. For each eigenvalue λ_i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) of B , let U_i denote the matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis of its eigenspace $V_i = \ker(B - \lambda_i I) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{|X|}$. The *primitive idempotents* of B are matrices E_i defined in the following way:

$$E_i := U_i \overline{U_i}^\top \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Proposition 2.11 With reference to Definition 2.10, let $\mathcal{B} = \{p(B) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}[x]\}$ denote the vector space over \mathbb{C} of all polynomials in B . Then, the following hold.

- (i) Any power of B can be expressed as a linear combination of the idempotents E_i ($0 \leq i \leq d$), i.e.,

$$B^h = \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i^h E_i \quad (h \in \mathbb{N}).$$

- (ii) $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d\}$ is an orthogonal basis of \mathcal{B} .

- (iii) $\{I, B, B^2, \dots, B^d\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{B} .

- (iv) $\overline{B}^\top = B^\top = p(B)$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Proof. (i) With respect to Definition 2.10, abbreviate $m_i = m(\lambda_i)$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). Pick i ($0 \leq i \leq d$), and note that $B U_i = \lambda_i U_i$. If $U = [U_1 | U_2 | \dots | U_d]$, then

$$B = U \Lambda \overline{U}^\top, \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 I_{m_0} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 I_{m_1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_d I_{m_d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, it is routine to see that

$$B = U \Lambda \overline{U}^\top = [U_0 | U_1 | \dots | U_d] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 I_{m_0} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 I_{m_1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_d I_{m_d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{U_0}^\top \\ \overline{U_1}^\top \\ \vdots \\ \overline{U_d}^\top \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\lambda_0 U_0 | \lambda_1 U_1 | \cdots | \lambda_d U_d] \begin{bmatrix} \overline{U_0}^\top \\ \overline{U_1}^\top \\ \vdots \\ \overline{U_d}^\top \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \lambda_0 U_0 \overline{U_0}^\top + \lambda_1 U_1 \overline{U_1}^\top + \cdots + \lambda_d U_d \overline{U_d}^\top \\
&= \underbrace{\lambda_0}_{\in \mathbb{C}} E_0 + \underbrace{\lambda_1}_{\in \mathbb{C}} E_1 + \cdots + \underbrace{\lambda_d}_{\in \mathbb{C}} E_d \quad (\text{where } E_i := U_i \overline{U_i}^\top).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$, $\overline{E_i}^\top = E_i$ and $\text{trace}(E_i) = m_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$), for any polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ we have

$$p(B) = \underbrace{p(\lambda_0)}_{\in \mathbb{C}} E_0 + \cdots + \underbrace{p(\lambda_d)}_{\in \mathbb{C}} E_d.$$

The result follows.

(ii)–(iv) Routine. For (ii) and (iii), see, for example, [34, Chapter 2]. For claim (iv), see, for example, [12, Theorem 1], or note that $\overline{E_i}^\top = E_i$. \blacksquare

2.7 Commutative association schemes

In Section 1, we have already provided the definition of a commutative d -class association scheme $\mathfrak{X} = \{X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d\}$ together with definitions of relations $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$, relation matrices $\{B_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and Bose–Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} of \mathfrak{X} . The meaning of a “matrix generates \mathfrak{X} ” has been given in Section 1 as well. Note that relation matrices $\{B_i\}_{i=0}^d$ form a standard basis of the Bose–Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} . We say that the relation R_i *generates* the association scheme \mathfrak{X} if every element of the Bose–Mesner algebra \mathcal{M} of \mathfrak{X} can be written as a polynomial in B_i , where B_i is adjacency matrix of the (di)graph (X, R_i) . We say that the association scheme \mathfrak{X} is *P -polynomial* with respect to B_1 , if it is generated by B_1 and there exists an ordering (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_d) and polynomials $p_j(t)$ of degree j , such that $B_j = p_j(B_1)$ ($0 \leq j \leq d$). It is well known that if (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_d) is a P -polynomial ordering of \mathfrak{X} then $\Gamma = \Gamma(B_1)$ is a distance-regular (di)graph. Recall that the association scheme \mathfrak{X} is *polynomial* (with respect to R_i) if it is generated by a relation R_i for some i (we recommend articles [32, 43] for interesting results in that direction).

3 The Hoffman polynomial of a nonnegative matrix

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Our proof is within the lines of [27, Theorem 1]. Theorem 1.1 states: For a nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that $p(B) = J$ if and only if B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix. Furthermore, it states that the unique polynomial of smallest degree satisfying $p(B) = J$ is $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{q(\lambda)} q(t)$, where $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $(t - \lambda)q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of B .

Hoffman polynomial is well-known polynomial in algebraic graph theory and can be found in many textbooks (see, for example, [3, 5, 8, 14, 39, 40]). There has been a great deal of work following this concept, for example: polynomials that sends a nonnegative irreducible matrix to a positive rank one matrix [41], some Hoffman-type identities for the class of harmonic and semiharmonic graphs [18], Hoffman identities of non-regular graphs through the use of the Laplacian [37], Hoffman identities by means of main eigenvalues [29], Hoffman polynomial of

the tensor product of a cycle [11], Hoffman polynomial of cycle prefix digraphs [13], Hoffman polynomials of some more general regular strongly connected digraphs [42].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (\Leftarrow) Assume that B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix. We use this assumption to show that there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that $p(B) = J$. Note that, by assumption, $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j} = B^\top\mathbf{j}$.

A square matrix is *stochastic* if all of its entries are nonnegative, and the entries of each column sum to 1. From, for example, [30, Subsection 5.6], if M is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue of M ; and if θ is a (real or complex) eigenvalue of M , then $|\theta| \leq 1$. In our case, we have that $\lambda^{-1}B$ is a stochastic matrix. It is routine to show that $\text{eig}(B) = \{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ are eigenvalues of B if and only if $\lambda^{-1}\text{eig}(B)$ are eigenvalues of $\lambda^{-1}B$. It follows that $\lambda = \max_{\theta \in \text{eig}(B)} |\theta|$. By Theorem 2.1, the algebraic multiplicity of λ is equal to 1 and consequently

$$\text{the geometric multiplicity of } \lambda \text{ is equal to } 1 \quad (7)$$

also. This implies that the minimal polynomial of B is in the following form $m(t) = (t - \lambda)s(t)$ for some polynomial $s(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, where $s(\lambda) \neq 0$. Since $(B - \lambda I)s(B) = \mathbf{0}$, for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^{|X|}$ we have $(B - \lambda I)s(B)v = \mathbf{0}$, which yields $s(B)v \in \ker(B - \lambda I)$. Thus,

$$\text{for every } v \in \mathbb{C}^{|X|} \text{ there exists } \alpha_v \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } s(B)v = \alpha_v \mathbf{j}. \quad (8)$$

Next we consider when equation (8) is possible. For the moment, assume that $\langle v, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for standard Hermitian inner product $\langle u, v \rangle = u\bar{v}^\top$. For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B^\ell v, \mathbf{j} \rangle &= \langle v, \overline{B^\ell}^\top \mathbf{j} \rangle \\ &= \lambda^\ell \langle v, \mathbf{j} \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\langle s(B)v, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0$, and by (8), $\langle \alpha_v \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0 = \alpha_v \|\mathbf{j}\|^2$, which implies $\alpha_v = 0$. Thus, for every vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{|X|}$ for which $\langle v, \mathbf{j} \rangle = 0$, we also have $s(B)v = \mathbf{0}$. Since $s(B)\mathbf{j} = s(\lambda)\mathbf{j}$ (as well as $J\mathbf{j} = |X|\mathbf{j}$), and $\mathbb{C}^{|X|} = \langle \mathbf{j} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathbf{j} \rangle^\perp$ (orthogonal direct sum), we can conclude that the polynomial

$$h(t) = \frac{|X|}{s(\lambda)} s(t)$$

has the property that $h(B) = J$.

(\Rightarrow) Assume now that for a nonnegative matrix $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that $p(B) = J$. We use this assumption to show that B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $y, z \in X$ denote two arbitrary elements of X , and A denote the adjacency matrix of the underlying digraph of B . Since $p(B) = J$, there exists ℓ such that $(B^\ell)_{zy} \neq 0$, which is true if and only if $(A^\ell)_{zy} \neq 0$ (see Lemma 2.9). Thus the digraph $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ (digraph with adjacency matrix A) is strongly connected. Note that Γ is strongly connected if and only if A is an irreducible matrix (see Lemma 2.2). Therefore, our non-negative matrix B is also irreducible (see Lemma 2.7).

To prove that B is λ -doubly stochastic matrix, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we pick $x \in X$, and we consider the out-edge-weight-sum $\Sigma_1^\rightarrow(x)$ of the vertex x , as well as the in-edge-weight-sum $\Sigma_1^\leftarrow(x)$ of the same vertex:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^\rightarrow(x) &= \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{xz} = (B\mathbf{j})_x, \\ \Sigma_1^\leftarrow(x) &= \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{zx} = (B^\top\mathbf{j})_x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $J = p(B)$, we have $JB = BJ$, and with it, for any $y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \Sigma_1^{\rightarrow}(x) = (B\mathbf{j})_x \\
&= \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{xz}(J)_{zy} = (BJ)_{xy} \\
&= (JB)_{xy} = \sum_{z \in X} (J)_{xz}(B)_{zy} \\
&= \sum_{z \in X} (B^{\top})_{yz}(J)_{zx} = (B^{\top}\mathbf{j})_y \\
&= \Sigma_1^{\leftarrow}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

From above, we have that every $y \in X$ (including our fixed x) has the same in-edge-weight-sum, i.e., $\Sigma_1^{\leftarrow}(y) = \theta$ ($\forall y \in X$). Again, considering $JB = BJ$, for any $y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta &= \Sigma_1^{\leftarrow}(x) = (B^{\top}\mathbf{j})_x \\
&= \sum_{z \in X} (B^{\top})_{xz}(J)_{zy} = \sum_{z \in X} (J)_{yz}(B)_{zx} \\
&= (JB)_{yx} = (BJ)_{yx} \\
&= \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{yz}(J)_{zx} = (B\mathbf{j})_y \\
&= \Sigma_1^{\rightarrow}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, every $y \in X$ has the same out-edge-weight-sum θ also, i.e., $\Sigma_1^{\rightarrow}(y) = \theta$ ($\forall y \in X$). This concludes the proof that B is a λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is left to prove that the unique polynomial of smallest degree satisfying $p(B) = J$ is $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{q(\lambda)}q(t)$ where $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $(t - \lambda)q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of B .

We had already shown that $h(B) = J$ for $h(t) = \frac{|X|}{q(\lambda)}q(t)$, where $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $m(t) = (t - \lambda)q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of B . Assume that degree of $q(t)$ is ℓ , and note that ℓ is smaller than the degree of the minimal polynomial $m(t)$ of B . We first prove that $h(t)$ is the unique polynomial of degree ℓ such that $h(B) = J$; our proof is by a contradiction. Assume that $r(t)$ is a polynomial of degree m (in particular for this case $m = \ell$) such that $r(B) = J$, and that $r(t) \neq h(t)$. We have $(r - h)(B) = \mathbf{O}$, and since degree of $r(t) - h(t)$ is less or equal to ℓ , this is possible if and only if $r(t) - h(t) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $h(t)$ is the unique such polynomial of degree ℓ . In a similar way we show that there are no polynomials $r(t)$ of degree smaller than ℓ that satisfy $r(B) = J$. The result follows.

Corollary 3.1 *Assume that $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ is a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix, and let $\text{eig}(B) = \{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ denote the set of distinct eigenvalues of B . Then, the Hoffman polynomial of B is*

$$h(t) = \frac{|X|}{\pi_0} \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \lambda_i),$$

where $\pi_0 = \prod_{i=1}^d (\lambda - \lambda_i)$.

Proof. Define $\theta = \max_{\mu \in \text{eig}(B)} |\mu|$. Since B is a nonnegative irreducible matrix, by Theorem 2.1, $\theta \in \text{eig}(B)$, $\theta > 0$, the algebraic multiplicity of θ is 1, and there exists an eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with all positive entries (normalized in such a way that the smallest entry is equal to 1), such that $B\boldsymbol{\nu} = \theta\boldsymbol{\nu}$. On the other hand, since B is λ -doubly stochastic, we have $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$. By definition

of θ we have $\lambda \leq \theta$. Next, we prove that $\theta \leq \lambda$. Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_x, \dots, \nu_w)^\top$ and define $\nu_y = \max_{z \in X} \{\nu_z\}$. We have

$$\theta \nu_y = (\theta \boldsymbol{\nu})_y = (B \boldsymbol{\nu})_y = \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{yz} \nu_z \leq \nu_y \sum_{z \in X} (B)_{yz} = \nu_y \lambda.$$

As consequence, $\theta = \lambda$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{j}$.

From the above observation, now we can let $m(t) = (t - \lambda)q(t)$ denote the minimal polynomial of B . In the end, since B is a normal matrix, $m(t) = (t - \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \lambda_i)$ (see, for example, [31, Section 7.11]). The result follows from Theorem 1.1. \blacksquare

4 On predistance polynomials

In this section, we define predistance polynomials, the set of orthogonal polynomials that we use for the rest of the paper. The term ‘‘predistance polynomial’’ is taken from the theory of distance-regular graphs (see, for example, [15, 16, 17, 20, 38]).

We define an inner product on $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ in the following way:

$$\langle B, C \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(B \overline{C}^\top) \quad (B, C \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})). \quad (9)$$

Let

$$\|C\|^2 = \langle C, C \rangle \quad \text{for all } C \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C}),$$

and note that for any $R, S \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\langle R, S \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{u \in X} (R \overline{S}^\top)_{uu} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{u \in X} \sum_{v \in X} (R)_{uv} (\overline{S})_{uv} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{u, v \in X} (R \circ \overline{S})_{uv}, \quad (10)$$

(where \circ is the elementwise-Hadamard product).

Now, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote a normal matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\mathbb{C}_d[t] = \{a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_d t^d \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ denote the ring of all polynomials of degree at most d with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . For every $p, q \in \mathbb{C}_d[t]$ we define

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(p(B) \overline{q(B)}^\top), \quad (11)$$

and let $\|p\|^2 = \langle p, p \rangle$. We also have that (11) is an inner product in $\mathbb{C}_d[t]$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal real matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ (real or complex) and let $\mathbb{R}_d[t] = \{a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_d t^d \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ denote the ring of all polynomials of degree at most d with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . The minimal polynomial $m(t)$ of B is of degree $d + 1$ and $m(t) \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$.*

Proof. Since B is diagonalizable (see Theorem 2.4), the minimal polynomial $m(t)$ of B is

$$m(t) = (t - \lambda)(t - \lambda_1) \cdots (t - \lambda_d) \quad (12)$$

(see, for example, [31, Subchapter 7.11]). As B has real entries, its characteristic polynomial $c(t) = \det(B - tI)$ will only have real coefficients. The complex roots of $c(t) = 0$ come in conjugate complex pairs, yielding $m(t) \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ from (12). \blacksquare

Lemma 4.2 *Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal real matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ (real or complex), and let $\mathbb{R}_d[t] = \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_d t^d \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ denote the ring of all polynomials of degree at most d with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . For every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ we define an inner product $\langle p, q \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}_d[t]$ as in (11). If $\lambda \neq 0$, then there exists an orthogonal system of polynomials $\{q_0(t), q_1(t), \dots, q_d(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ such that every $q_i(t)$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) has degree i and $q_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) (i.e., λ is not a root of $q_i(t)$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$)).*

Proof. Our proof is by construction. Using the inner product (11), we apply the Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm to the set $\{s_0(t) = 1, s_1(t) = t, \dots, s_d(t) = t^d\}$, modifying it in such a way to meet our conditions.

Note that, since $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$, by (11) we have $\langle p, q \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. To construct the q_i 's, we use mathematical induction on i .

BASE OF INDUCTION. Since $s_0(t) = 1$ is a constant function, λ is not a root of $s_0(t)$. So, we can define $q_0(t) := s_0(t)$. Next, define $r_1(t)$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(t) &:= s_1(t) - \sum_{\ell=0}^0 \frac{\langle q_\ell, s_1 \rangle}{\|q_\ell\|^2} q_\ell(t) \\ &= s_1(t) - \frac{\langle q_0, s_1 \rangle}{\|q_0\|^2} q_0(t). \end{aligned}$$

For the polynomial $r_1(t)$ two cases are possible: either $r_1(\lambda) \neq 0$ or $r_1(\lambda) = 0$. In the first case (i.e., $r_1(\lambda) \neq 0$), we let $q_1(t) := r_1(t)$. In the second case (i.e., $r_1(\lambda) = 0$), we have $\lambda = s_1(\lambda) = \frac{\langle q_0, s_1 \rangle}{\|q_0\|^2} q_0(\lambda)$, which yields, for example, $2\lambda \neq \frac{\langle q_0, s_1 \rangle}{\|q_0\|^2} q_0(\lambda)$. Thus, we define $q_1(t)$ in the following way

$$q_1(t) := 2s_1(t) - \frac{\langle q_0, s_1 \rangle}{\|q_0\|^2} q_0(t).$$

In both cases, $q_1(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $q_1(t)$ is a polynomial of degree 1.

INDUCTION STEP. Assume that we found an orthogonal set of polynomials $\{q_0(t), q_1(t), \dots, q_{j-1}(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ such that $q_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq j-1$, $j \geq 2$), i.e., such that λ is not a root of $q_i(t)$ (and assume that each q_i has degree i). Now, we define $r_j(t)$ in the following way

$$r_j(t) := s_j(t) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \frac{\langle q_\ell, s_j \rangle}{\|q_\ell\|^2} q_\ell(t)$$

For the polynomial $r_j(t)$ two cases are possible: either $r_j(\lambda) \neq 0$ or $r_j(\lambda) = 0$. In the first case (i.e., $r_j(\lambda) \neq 0$), we let $q_j(t) := r_j(t)$. In the second case (i.e., $r_j(\lambda) = 0$), we have

$$\lambda^j = s_j(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \frac{\langle q_\ell, s_j \rangle}{\|q_\ell\|^2} q_\ell(\lambda),$$

which yields, for example, $2\lambda^j \neq \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \frac{\langle q_\ell, s_j \rangle}{\|q_\ell\|^2} q_\ell(\lambda)$. Thus, we define $q_j(t)$ in the following way

$$q_j(t) := 2s_j(t) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \frac{\langle q_\ell, s_j \rangle}{\|q_\ell\|^2} q_\ell(t)$$

In both cases, $q_j(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $q_j(t)$ is a polynomial of degree j (by construction). ■

Lemma 4.3 Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal irreducible matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$, such that $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$ ($\lambda \neq 0$). For every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ we define an inner product $\langle p, q \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}_d[x]$ as in (11), and let $\|p\|^2 = \langle p, p \rangle$. Then, there exists a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to (11), such that $\deg(p_i) = i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) and they are normalized in such a way that $\|p_i\|^2 = p_i(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$).

Proof. Our proof is by construction. By Lemma 4.2, we always can find an orthogonal system of polynomials $\{q_0(t), q_1(t), \dots, q_d(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ such that $q_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) (i.e., that λ is not a root of any $q_i(t)$) and that each q_i is of degree i . Next, we first define polynomial $r_i(t)$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) on the following way

$$r_i(t) = \frac{1}{\|q_i\|} q_i(t) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Note that $\{r_0(t), r_1(t), \dots, r_d(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ orthonormal system of polynomials, and that we have $\deg r_i = i$, $\|r_i\| = 1$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$), and by our choice of the q_i 's, we also have $r_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). For arbitrary nonzero real numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$, the set $\{\alpha_0 r_0, \alpha_1 r_1, \dots, \alpha_d r_d\}$ is again an orthogonal set. For any $r_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$), define $c := r_i(\lambda)$ and $p_i(t) := cr_i(t)$ (note that $c \neq 0$ by our construction). We have

$$\|p_i\|^2 = \langle cr_i, cr_i \rangle = c^2 \underbrace{\|r_i\|}_{=1} = c \cdot c = cr_i(\lambda) = p_i(\lambda).$$

Thus, $\|p_i\|^2 = p_i(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$). Note that the set $\{p_0(t), p_1(t), \dots, p_d(t)\}$ is an orthogonal system and $p_0(t) = 1$. ■

Definition 4.4 Let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal irreducible matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$, such that $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$. For every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_d[t]$ we define $\langle p, q \rangle$ as in (11) (i.e., $\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(p(B)\overline{q(B)}^\top)$), and let $\|p\|^2 = \langle p, p \rangle$. With reference to Lemma 4.3, the set of so-called *predistance polynomials* $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_d[t]$, is a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the inner product (11) (defined on the vector space $\mathbb{R}_d[t]$), such that $\deg(p_i) = i$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) and they are normalized in such a way that $\|p_i\|^2 = p_i(\lambda)$. Note that $p_i(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$).

Lemma 4.5 With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues. If $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ denotes the set of the predistance polynomials, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^d p_i(B) = J.$$

Proof. Our proof uses the same technique that implicitly can be found, for example, in [9, 10, 23, 26].

Let $h(t)$ denote the Hoffman polynomial, i.e., $h(B) = J$ (see Theorem 1.1). If we denote by $\{\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ the set of the distinct eigenvalues of B , then $h(\lambda) = |X|$ and $h(\mu) = 0$ for $\mu \in \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d\}$ (see Theorem 1.1). Since B is a normal matrix, there exists a unitary matrix U such that $B = U\Lambda\overline{U}^\top$, where Λ is diagonal matrix in which the diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of B . Let $\text{diag}(\Lambda)$ denote the list of all diagonal entries of Λ . Then, we have

$$\langle h, p_j \rangle = \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(h(B)\overline{p_j(B)}^\top)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(Uh(\Lambda)\overline{p_j(\Lambda)}^\top \overline{U}^\top) \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(h(\Lambda)\overline{p_j(\Lambda)}^\top) \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(h(\Lambda)\overline{p_j(\Lambda)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{\mu \in \text{diag}(\Lambda)} h(\mu)\overline{p_j(\mu)} \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \cdot h(\lambda) \cdot p_j(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\langle h, p_j \rangle = \|p_j\|^2 \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, the Fourier expansion of h is

$$h = \frac{\langle h, p_0 \rangle}{\|p_0\|^2} p_0 + \frac{\langle h, p_1 \rangle}{\|p_1\|^2} p_1 + \cdots + \frac{\langle h, p_d \rangle}{\|p_d\|^2} p_d. \quad (14)$$

By (13) and (14), the result follows. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.6 *With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote the underlying digraph of B , with adjacency matrix A , diameter D , and let A_D denote the distance- D matrix of Γ . Assume that $d = D$, and let $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_D\}$ denote the set of the predistance polynomials. If there exists a polynomial $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}_D[t]$ such that $A_D = q(B)$, then*

$$q(t) = p_D(t).$$

Proof. By our assumption, $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$. We first show that $\|q\|^2 = q(\lambda)$. Note that $A_D\mathbf{j} = q(B)\mathbf{j} = q(\lambda)\mathbf{j}$, and from (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|q\|^2 &= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(q(B)\overline{q(B)}^\top) \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} (A_D)_{xy} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (A_D\mathbf{j})_x \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \cdot |X| \cdot q(\lambda) \\
&= q(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_D\}$ is a set of orthogonal polynomials such that $\deg(p_i) = i$ and $\|p_i\|^2 = p_i(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$). Next note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q, p_i \rangle &= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(q(B)\overline{p_i(B)}^\top) \\
&= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} \left(A_D \circ \overline{p_i(B)} \right)_{xy} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq D-1, \\ \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} \left(A_D \circ \overline{p_D(B)} \right)_{xy}, & \text{if } i = D \end{cases} \quad (\text{by Lemmas 2.8, 2.9}).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields that the Fourier expansion of $q = \sum_{i=0}^D \frac{\langle q, p_i \rangle}{\|p_i\|^2} p_i$ is equal to

$$q = \frac{\langle q, p_D \rangle}{\|p_D\|^2} p_D \quad \text{and} \quad \langle q, p_D \rangle \neq 0$$

which implies $p_D = c \cdot q$ where $c = \frac{\|p_D\|^2}{\langle q, p_D \rangle}$. To conclude, we show that $c = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} q(\lambda) &= \frac{\langle q, p_D \rangle}{\|p_D\|^2} \underbrace{p_D(\lambda)}_{=\|p_D\|^2} \\ &= \langle q, p_D \rangle = \langle q, cq \rangle \\ &= \bar{c} \langle q, q \rangle = \bar{c} \|q\|^2 \\ &= \bar{c} q(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. ■

Lemma 4.7 *With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote the underlying digraph of B with diameter D , and let A_D denote the distance- D matrix of Γ . Assume that $D \geq 3$. For any $x, y \in X$, if $\partial(x, y) \leq D - 2$ in Γ , then*

$$(A_D B^\top)_{xy} = 0.$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_D B^\top)_{xy} &= \sum_{z \in X} (A_D)_{xz} (B^\top)_{zy} \\ &= \sum_{z \in \Gamma_D^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz}. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof is by a contradiction. Assume that there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) \leq D - 2$, and $(A_D B^\top)_{xy} \neq 0$. This yields $\sum_{z \in \Gamma_D^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz} \neq 0$, i.e., there exists $z \in \Gamma_D^\rightarrow(x)$ such that $(B)_{yz} \neq 0$, or equivalently $(A)_{yz} = 1$. Now consider the distance- i partition $\{\Gamma_i^\rightarrow(x)\}_{i=0}^D$ of the vertex set X (for our choice of $x \in X$). Since $\partial(x, y) \leq D - 2$ and $(A)_{yz} = 1$, it follows that $\partial(x, z) \leq D - 1$, a contradiction with $z \in \Gamma_D^\rightarrow(x)$. The result follows. ■

5 Case when A_D is polynomial in B

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. For this purpose, we need Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.

Proposition 5.1 *With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote the underlying digraph of B , with adjacency matrix A , diameter D , and let $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D\}$ denote the distance- i matrices of Γ . Assume that $d = D$, and let $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_D\}$ denote the set of predistance polynomials. If $A_D = p_D(B)$, $A_{D-1} = p_{D-1}(B)$, \dots , $A_{i+1} = p_{i+1}(B)$, and if there exists a polynomial $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}_i[t]$ such that $A_i = q(B)$, then*

$$q(t) = p_i(t).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.6. By assumption $B\mathbf{j} = \lambda\mathbf{j}$. We first show that $\|q\|^2 = q(\lambda)$. Note that $A_i\mathbf{j} = q(B)\mathbf{j} = q(\lambda)\mathbf{j}$, and from (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\|q\|^2 &= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(q(B)\overline{q(B)}^\top) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} (A_i)_{xy} \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \cdot |X| \cdot q(\lambda) \\ &= q(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_D\}$ is a set of orthogonal polynomials such that $\deg(p_j) = j$ and $\|p_j\|^2 = p_j(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq j \leq D$). Next note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q, p_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{|X|} \text{trace}(q(B)\overline{p_j(B)}^\top) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} (A_i \circ \overline{p_j(B)})_{xy} \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} (A_i \circ p_j(B))_{xy} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq i-1, \\ \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x,y \in X} (A_i \circ \overline{p_i(B)})_{xy}, & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0, & \text{if } i+1 \leq j \leq D \end{cases} \quad (\text{by Lemmas 2.8, 2.9}).\end{aligned}$$

This yields that the Fourier expansion of $q = \sum_{j=0}^D \frac{\langle q, p_j \rangle}{\|p_j\|^2} p_j$ is equal to

$$q = \frac{\langle q, p_i \rangle}{\|p_i\|^2} p_i \quad \text{and} \quad \langle q, p_i \rangle \neq 0$$

which implies $p_i = c \cdot q$ where $c = \frac{\|p_i\|^2}{\langle q, p_i \rangle}$. To conclude, we show that $c = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}q(\lambda) &= \frac{\langle q, p_i \rangle}{\|p_i\|^2} \underbrace{p_i(\lambda)}_{=\|p_i\|^2} \\ &= \langle q, p_i \rangle = \langle q, cq \rangle \\ &= \bar{c} \langle q, q \rangle = \bar{c} \|q\|^2 \\ &= \bar{c} q(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. ■

Lemma 5.2 *With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote the underlying digraph of B with diameter D , and let $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D\}$ denote the distance- i matrices of Γ . Assume that $D - j - 1 \geq 2$. For any $x, y \in X$, if $\partial(x, y) < D - j - 1$ in Γ then*

$$(A_{D-j} B^\top)_{xy} = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.7. For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{D-j}B^\top)_{xy} &= \sum_{z \in X} (A_{D-j})_{xz} (B^\top)_{zy} \\ &= \sum_{z \in \Gamma_{D-j}^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz}. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof is by a contradiction. Assume that there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) \leq D - j - 2$, and $(A_{D-j}B^\top)_{xy} \neq 0$. This yields $\sum_{z \in \Gamma_{D-j}^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz} \neq 0$, i.e., there exists $z \in \Gamma_{D-j}^\rightarrow(x)$ such that $(B)_{yz} \neq 0$, or equivalently $(A)_{yz} = 1$. Now consider the distance- i partition $\{\Gamma_i^\rightarrow(x)\}_{i=0}^D$ of the vertex set X (for our choice of $x \in X$). Since $\partial(x, y) \leq D - j - 2$ and $(A)_{yz} = 1$, we have $\partial(x, z) \leq D - j - 1$, a contradiction with $z \in \Gamma_{D-j}^\rightarrow(x)$. The result follows. \blacksquare

Proposition 5.3 *With reference to Definition 4.4, let $B \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ denote a normal λ -doubly stochastic irreducible matrix with $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ denote the underlying digraph of B , with adjacency matrix A , diameter D , and let A_D denote the distance- D matrix of Γ . Assume that $d = D$, and let $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_D\}$ denote the set of predistance polynomials. If A_D is a polynomial in B , then*

$$A_i = p_i(B) \quad (0 \leq i \leq D).$$

Proof. For the moment, let $q_m(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(t)$ ($0 \leq m \leq D$), and note that $\deg(q_m) = m$ ($0 \leq m \leq D$). Since A_D is a polynomial in B , by Proposition 4.6, $p_D(B) = A_D$.

We first prove that $A_{D-1} = p_{D-1}(B)$. If $D = 2$, the result follows (because $p_0(t) = 1$, by assumption $p_2(B) = A_2$, and $J = \sum_{i=0}^2 p_i(B)$ yields $p_1(B) = A$). Assume that $D \geq 3$. Pick $x, y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = D - 1$, and note that $(q_{D-2}(B))_{xy} = 0$. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (J)_{xy} = (q_D(B))_{xy} \\ &= (q_{D-2}(B) + p_{D-1}(B) + p_D(B))_{xy} \\ &= \underbrace{(q_{D-2}(B))_{xy}}_{=0} + (p_{D-1}(B))_{xy} + \underbrace{(A_D)_{xy}}_{=0} \\ &= (p_{D-1}(B))_{xy}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We can conclude that,

$$\text{if } \partial(x, y) = D - 1, \text{ then } (p_{D-1}(B))_{xy} = 1. \tag{16}$$

Using (16), we show that A_{D-1} is a polynomial in B .

Since A_D is a polynomial in B , by Proposition 4.6, $p_D(B) = A_D$. By Lemma 4.7, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$(A_D B^\top)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \leq D - 2, \\ \sum_{z \in \Gamma_D^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz}, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \in \{D - 1, D\} \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X). \tag{17}$$

Let \mathcal{B} denote the vector space of all polynomials in B . Since $\{p_i(B)\}_{i=0}^D$ is a basis of \mathcal{B} , and $B^\top \in \mathcal{B}$ (see Proposition 2.11(iv)), there exist complex scalars α_h ($0 \leq h \leq D$) such that

$$A_D B^\top = \sum_{h=0}^D \alpha_h p_h(B) = \sum_{h=0}^{D-1} \alpha_h p_h(B) + \alpha_D \underbrace{p_D(B)}_{A_D}. \tag{18}$$

By (17) and (18), note that

$$\left(\sum_{h=0}^D \alpha_h p_h(B) \right)_{xy} = 0 \quad \text{if } \partial(x, y) \leq D - 2. \quad (19)$$

Now, from (15), (16) and (19), we have

$$\left(\sum_{h=0}^{D-1} \alpha_h p_h(B) + \alpha_D A_D \right)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \leq D - 2, \\ \alpha_{D-1}, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = D - 1 \\ \alpha_D, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = D \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X),$$

or, in other words,

$$\sum_{h=0}^{D-1} \alpha_h p_h(B) = \alpha_{D-1} A_{D-1}. \quad (20)$$

If $\alpha_{D-1} = 0$ then by (18) and (20), $A_D B^\top = \alpha_D A_D$, a contradiction (see (17)). Thus (20) yields that A_{D-1} is a polynomial in B . By Proposition 5.1, $p_{D-1}(B) = A_{D-1}$.

If $D = 3$, the result follows. Assume that $D \geq 4$, and that we executed $j \geq 1$ steps from above (where $D - j - 1 \geq 1$), i.e.,

$$A_D = p_D(B), \quad A_{D-1} = p_{D-1}(B), \quad \dots, \quad A_{D-j} = p_{D-j}(B).$$

We now show that $A_{D-j-1} = p_{D-j-1}(B)$. Pick $x, y \in X$ such that $\partial(x, y) = D - j - 1$, and note that $(q_{D-j-2}(B))_{xy} = 0$ (by Lemma 2.8). By Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (J)_{xy} = (q_D(B))_{xy} \\ &= \left(q_{D-j-2}(B) + p_{D-j-1}(B) + \sum_{i=D-j}^D p_i(B) \right)_{xy} \\ &= \underbrace{(q_{D-j-2}(B))_{xy}}_{=0} + (p_{D-j-1}(B))_{xy} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=D-j}^D p_i(B) \right)_{xy}}_{(A_{D-j} + \dots + A_D)_{xy}=0} \\ &= (p_{D-j-1}(B))_{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that,

$$\text{if } \partial(x, y) = D - j - 1, \text{ then } (p_{D-j-1}(B))_{xy} = 1. \quad (21)$$

Using (21), we prove that A_{D-j-1} is a polynomial in B .

Consider the product $A_{D-j} B^\top$. For arbitrary $x, y \in X$, by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$(A_{D-j} B^\top)_{xy} = 0, \quad \text{if } \partial(x, y) < D - j - 1. \quad (22)$$

Since $\{p_i(B)\}_{i=0}^D$ is a linearly independent set and $B^\top \in \mathcal{B}$, there exist complex scalars β_h ($0 \leq h \leq D$) such that

$$A_{D-j} B^\top = \sum_{h=0}^D \beta_h p_h(B) = \sum_{h=0}^{D-j-1} \beta_h p_h(B) + \beta_{D-j} A_{D-j} + \dots + \beta_D A_D. \quad (23)$$

By (21), (22) and (23) we have

$$\sum_{h=0}^{D-j-1} \beta_h p_h(B) = \beta_{D-j-1} A_{D-j-1}.$$

Next we prove that $\beta_{D-j-1} \neq 0$. The proof is by contradiction. If $\beta_{D-j-1} = 0$, then (23) becomes $A_{D-j}B^\top = \beta_{D-j}A_{D-j} + \beta_{D-j+1}A_{D-j+1} + \cdots + \beta_D A_D$. This yields that $(A_{D-j}B^\top)_{xy} = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $y \in \Gamma_{D-j-1}^\rightarrow(x)$, a contradiction (note that $(A_{D-j}B^\top)_{xy} = \sum_{z \in \Gamma_{D-j}^\rightarrow(x)} (B)_{yz}$). Thus, A_{D-j-1} is a polynomial in B . By Proposition 5.1, the result follows. \blacksquare

In some sense, our Theorem 1.2 is similar to the following result from the theory of distance-regular graphs.

Proposition 5.4 ([22, Proposition 2] or [24]) *An undirected regular graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ with diameter D and $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues is distance-regular if and only if $D = d$ and the distance- D matrix A_D is a polynomial in A .*

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.2.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that B is a normal λ -doubly stochastic matrix with $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues and that A_D is a polynomial in B . We use this assumption to show that \mathcal{B} is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative D -class association scheme.

Since B is a normal matrix with $D+1$ distinct eigenvalues, by Proposition 2.11, $\{I, B, B^2, \dots, B^D\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{B} . Since $A_D \in \mathcal{B}$, from Proposition 5.3 it follows that the distance- i matrices A_i ($0 \leq i \leq D$) belong to \mathcal{B} . Furthermore since $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^D$ is a linearly independent set of matrices, it also forms a basis of \mathcal{B} . As B is a normal matrix, note that $\overline{B}^\top \in \mathcal{B}$ by Proposition 2.11(iv). Now it is routine to check that the distance- i matrices satisfy all properties (AS1)–(AS5) of a commutative association scheme.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that \mathcal{B} is the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative D -class association scheme. We use this assumption to show that B is a normal λ -doubly stochastic matrix (for some λ) with $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues and that the distance- D matrix A_D of $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ is a polynomial in B , where A is the adjacency matrix of the underlying digraph of B .

The fact that B belongs to a commutative association scheme implies that B is a normal matrix. Since B generates a commutative association scheme and J belongs to the algebra of this scheme, by Theorem 1.1, B is a (normal) λ -doubly stochastic matrix (for some λ). For a moment, assume that B has $d+1$ distinct eigenvalues; then, by Proposition 2.11, $\{I, B, B^2, \dots, B^d\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{B} . This is possible only if $d = D$, and thus B has $D + 1$ distinct eigenvalues (see also, for example, [32, Corollary 3.5]).

It is left to show that the distance- D matrix A_D is polynomial in B . Let $\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_D\}$ denote the standard basis of \mathcal{B} (the basis of \circ -idempotent 01 -matrices), and let θ_i 's denote the nonzero scalars such that

$$B = \sum_{i \in \Phi} \theta_i B_i.$$

for some nonempty set of indices Φ . Note that, by definition, $A = \sum_{i \in \Phi} B_i$ is the adjacency matrix of the underlying digraph of B . Since B is an irreducible matrix, the matrix A is irreducible too (Lemma 2.7). Then, by Lemma 2.2, Γ is a strongly connected digraph, it is also a regular graph since $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

We consider $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ and we finish the proof by proving Claims 1 and 2 below.

CLAIM 1. For any i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) and $y, z, u, v \in X$, if $(B_i)_{zy} = (B_i)_{uv} = 1$, then $\partial(z, y) = \partial(u, v)$ in Γ .

Proof of Claim 1. For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist complex scalars $\alpha_i^{(\ell)}$ ($0 \leq i \leq d$) such that $A^\ell = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i^{(\ell)} B_i$. Recall that $\sum_{i=0}^d B_i = J$ and $B_i \circ B_j = \delta_{ij} B_i$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$). This yields that, for any $y, z, u, v \in X$ and i ($0 \leq i \leq d$), if $(B_i)_{zy} \neq 0$ and $(B_i)_{uv} \neq 0$, then

$(A^\ell)_{zy} = (A^\ell)_{uv} = \alpha_i^{(\ell)}$, i.e., the number of walks of length ℓ from z to y is equal to the the number of walks of length ℓ from u to v (see Lemma 2.8). Moreover, $(A^\ell)_{zy} = (A^\ell)_{uv}$ holds for any ℓ ($\ell \in \mathbb{N}$). We proceed by a contradiction, in the same spirit as in [21, Lemma 2.3], where the author has an undirected graph. Assume that $\partial(z, y) > \partial(u, v) = m$. Then, $(A^m)_{uv} \neq 0$ and $(A^m)_{zy} = 0$, a contradiction. The claim follows.

Next we show that Claim 2 holds.

CLAIM 2. Every distance- i matrix A_i of $\Gamma = \Gamma(A)$ belongs to \mathcal{B} , i.e., $A_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ($0 \leq i \leq D$).

Proof of Claim 2. From the proof of Claim 1 it follows that, if $y, z \in X$ are two arbitrary vertices such that $\partial(z, y) = i$, then there exists B_j (for some $0 \leq j \leq d$) such that $(B_j)_{zy} = 1$. Recall also that $(A_i)_{zy} = 1$. In fact, for such an index j and any nonzero (u, v) -entry of B_j , we have $\partial(u, v) = i$. This yields

$$A_i = \sum_{j:A_i \circ B_j \neq \mathbf{0}} B_j \quad (0 \leq i \leq D).$$

The result follows.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285 and research projects J1-3001 and N1-0353).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] A. S. Asratian, T. M. J. Denley and R. Häggkvist, *Bipartite graphs and their applications*, volume 131 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511984068, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511984068>.
- [2] S. Axler, *Linear algebra done right*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 3rd edition, 2015, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-11080-6, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11080-6>.
- [3] N. Biggs, *Algebraic graph theory*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1993.
- [4] G. Birkhoff, Three observations on linear algebra, *Univ. Nac. Tucumán. Revista A.* **5** (1946), 147–151.
- [5] B. Bollobás, *Modern graph theory*, volume 184 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0619-4, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0619-4>.
- [6] R. A. Brualdi and G. Dahl, Diagonal sums of doubly stochastic matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **70** (2022), 4946–4972, doi:10.1080/03081087.2021.1901844, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2021.1901844>.

- [7] R. A. Brualdi and P. M. Gibson, Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices. I. Applications of the permanent function, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 194–230, doi:10.1016/0097-3165(77)90051-6, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(77\)90051-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(77)90051-6).
- [8] R. A. Brualdi and H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial matrix theory*, volume 39 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, doi:10.1017/CBO9781107325708, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107325708>.
- [9] M. Cámara, J. Fàbrega, M. A. Fiol and E. Garriga, Some families of orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable and their applications to graphs and codes, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), Research Paper 83, 30, doi:10.37236/172, <https://doi.org/10.37236/172>.
- [10] T. S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, volume Vol. 13 of *Mathematics and its Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1978.
- [11] F. Comellas, M. A. Fiol, J. Gimbert and M. Mitjana, The spectra of wrapped butterfly digraphs, *Networks* **42** (2003), 15–19, doi:10.1002/net.10085, <https://doi.org/10.1002/net.10085>.
- [12] F. Comellas, M. A. Fiol, J. Gimbert and M. Mitjana, Weakly distance-regular digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **90** (2004), 233–255, doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2003.07.003, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2003.07.003>.
- [13] F. Comellas and M. Mitjana, The spectra of cycle prefix digraphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **16** (2003), 418–421, doi:10.1137/S0895480100380604, <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480100380604>.
- [14] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs*, Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, 3rd edition, 1995, theory and applications.
- [15] C. Dalfó, M. A. Fiol and E. Garriga, Characterizing (ℓ, m) -walk-regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **433** (2010), 1821–1826, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2010.06.042, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2010.06.042>.
- [16] C. Dalfó, E. R. van Dam, M. A. Fiol, E. Garriga and B. L. Gorissen, On almost distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 1094–1113, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2010.10.005, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2010.10.005>.
- [17] V. Diego, J. Fàbrega and M. A. Fiol, Equivalent characterizations of the spectra of graphs and applications to measures of distance-regularity, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* **36** (2020), 629–644.
- [18] A. Dress and D. Stevanović, Hoffman-type identities, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **16** (2003), 297–302, doi:10.1016/S0893-9659(03)80047-2, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659\(03\)80047-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-9659(03)80047-2).
- [19] F. Dufossé and B. Uçar, Notes on Birkhoff–von Neumann decomposition of doubly stochastic matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **497** (2016), 108–115, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2016.02.023, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2016.02.023>.
- [20] M. A. Fiol, Algebraic characterizations of distance-regular graphs, volume 246, pp. 111–129, 2002, doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(01)00255-2, formal power series and algebraic combinatorics (Barcelona, 1999), [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(01\)00255-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(01)00255-2).
- [21] M. A. Fiol, Quotient-polynomial graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **488** (2016), 363–376, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2015.09.053, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2015.09.053>.
- [22] M. A. Fiol, S. Gago and E. Garriga, A simple proof of the spectral excess theorem for distance-regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), 2418–2422, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2009.07.030, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2009.07.030>.

- [23] M. A. Fiol and E. Garriga, From local adjacency polynomials to locally pseudo-distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **71** (1997), 162–183, doi:10.1006/jctb.1997.1778, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1997.1778>.
- [24] M. A. Fiol, E. Garriga and J. L. A. Yebra, Locally pseudo-distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **68** (1996), 179–205, doi:10.1006/jctb.1996.0063, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1996.0063>.
- [25] M. A. Fiol and S. Penjić, On symmetric association schemes and associated quotient-polynomial graphs, *Algebr. Comb.* **4** (2021), 947–969, doi:10.5802/alco, <https://doi.org/10.5802/alco>.
- [26] A. J. Hoffman, On the polynomial of a graph, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963), 30–36, doi:10.2307/2312780, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2312780>.
- [27] A. J. Hoffman and M. H. McAndrew, The polynomial of a directed graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 303–309, doi:10.2307/2033868, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2033868>.
- [28] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2013.
- [29] Y. Hou and F. Tian, A note on Hoffman-type identities of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **402** (2005), 143–149, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2004.12.017, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2004.12.017>.
- [30] D. Margalit, J. Rabinoff and B. Williams, *Interactive Linear Algebra: UBC edition*, University of British Columbia, 2023, <https://personal.math.ubc.ca/~tbjw/ila/index2.html>.
- [31] C. Meyer, *Matrix analysis and applied linear algebra*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2000, doi:10.1137/1.9780898719512, with 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX) and a solutions manual (iv+171 pp.), <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898719512>.
- [32] G. Monzillo and S. Penjić, On commutative association schemes and associated (directed) graphs, 2023., <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.11680>.
- [33] S. Penjić, *Algebraic characterizations of distance-regular graphs*, Master’s thesis, University of Sarajevo, 2013.
- [34] S. Penjić, *On the Terwilliger algebra of bipartite distance-regular graphs*, University of Primorska, 2019, thesis (Ph.D.) – University of Primorska, Koper, <https://osebje.famnit.upr.si/~penjic/research/>.
- [35] H. Perfect and L. Mirsky, The distribution of positive elements in doubly-stochastic matrices, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1965), 689–698, doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-40.1.689, <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-40.1.689>.
- [36] R. Sinkhorn and P. Knopp, Concerning nonnegative matrices and doubly stochastic matrices, *Pacific J. Math.* **21** (1967), 343–348, <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102992505>.
- [37] Y. Teranishi, The Hoffman number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **260** (2003), 255–265, doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(02)00764-1, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(02\)00764-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(02)00764-1).
- [38] E. R. van Dam and M. A. Fiol, A short proof of the odd-girth theorem, *Electron. J. Combin.* **19** (2012), Paper 12, 5, doi:10.37236/2289, <https://doi.org/10.37236/2289>.
- [39] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A course in combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2001, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511987045, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511987045>.
- [40] D. B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.

- [41] Y. Wu and A. Deng, Hoffman polynomials of nonnegative irreducible matrices and strongly connected digraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **414** (2006), 138–171, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2005.09.012, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.09.012>.
- [42] Y. Wu and A. Deng, Hoffman polynomials of nonnegative irreducible matrices and strongly connected digraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **414** (2006), 138–171, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2005.09.012, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2005.09.012>.
- [43] T.-T. Xia, Y.-Y. Tan, X. Liang and J. H. Koolen, On association schemes generated by a relation or an idempotent, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **670** (2023), 1–18, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2023.03.029, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2023.03.029>.