

# Finite Time Stability Analysis for Fractional Stochastic Neutral Delay Differential Equations

Javad A. Asadzade, Nazim I. Mahmudov

*Department of Mathematics, Eastern Mediterranean University, Mersin 10, 99628, T.R. North Cyprus, Turkey*

*Research Center of Econophysics, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Istiqlaliyyat Str. 6, Baku 1001, Azerbaijan*

---

## Abstract

In this manuscript, we delve into the study of a stochastic differential equation with a fractional order and a time delay, encompassing deterministic and stochastic components. Our primary aim is to rigorously establish the existence of a unique solution that follows specified initial conditions, achieved through mathematical analysis. Moreover, we extend our research to investigate the finite-time stability of the system, analyzing trajectory behavior within a specific time frame. Employing advanced mathematical techniques, we systematically verify the finite-time stability, providing insights into convergence and stability within this defined interval. By employing illustrative examples, we strengthen this all-encompassing investigation into the intricate dynamics and stability properties that exist in fractionally ordered stochastic systems with time delays.

*Keywords:* Fractional stochastic neutral delay differential equations, Existence and uniqueness, Finite-time stability

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Mathematical preliminaries and main lemma</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Main results</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Existence and uniqueness of the system (1.1)	6
3.2	Finite-type stability of the system (1.1)	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>16</b>

## 1. Introduction

Finite-time stability research is at the pinnacle of the field of stochastic differential equations (SDEs), providing an understanding of the complex dynamics that occur within a limited time interval. While classical stability theories focus mostly on the asymptotic behavior over an infinite horizon, finite-time stability examines the complex convergence and trajectory patterns in a given short interval.

The importance of finite-time stability in deterministic systems is well-established historically, and it is crucial to the achievement of desired states or trajectories within a confined temporal frame. It is fundamental

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* javad.asadzade@emu.edu.tr (Javad A. Asadzade), nazim.mahmudov@emu.edu.tr (Nazim I. Mahmudov)

to many fields, including dynamical systems and control theory, where it serves as a foundation for both theoretical and practical applications.

The imperative extension of stability analysis to stochastic systems became evident as real-world processes exhibited a blend of deterministic dynamics and unpredictable perturbations. In the early to mid-20th century, trailblazing mathematicians, N. Wiener and A. Kolmogorov, laid the groundwork for comprehending stochastic processes and developing indispensable mathematical tools, as expounded in their seminal works [32]-[35].

As the 20th century progressed, a cohort of distinguished mathematicians significantly contributed to the rigorous exploration of stability concepts, particularly within the realm of stochastic differential equations (SDEs). Their endeavors encompassed the development of criteria for various stability notions, including probability stability, mean square stability, and asymptotic stability.

Figures such as D. W. Stroock, with his substantial contributions to probability theory and stochastic processes [36], and E. B. Dynkin, whose Dynkin's Formula plays a pivotal role in understanding the behavior of solutions to SDEs [37], are emblematic of the collective effort during this era.

Moreover, the collaboration between P. L. Lions and S. N. Kruzhkov on viscosity solutions and stochastic control [38], and the groundbreaking work of Leonard Gross in proving the Gross-Stroock theorem, fundamental in the study of stochastic partial differential equations, further enriched this period of exploration.

The transformative contributions of K. Itô and H. P. McKean in the development of Itô calculus, a crucial tool for analyzing SDEs and comprehending the stability properties of stochastic systems, marked a significant milestone in this collective endeavor.

This confluence of mathematical pioneers collectively shaped the landscape of stability analysis, paving the way for further advancements in the theory of stochastic processes. Finite-time stability, gaining prominence, found applications in diverse fields including control theory, finance, and biology.

In the realm of control theory and robotics, where temporal stability is paramount, controllers grounded in finite-time stability criteria offer robustness against uncertainties and disturbances. Ongoing research endeavors continue to propel the understanding of finite-time stability, with scholars developing novel mathematical tools and techniques to unravel intricacies and address challenges. The evolution of finite-time stability encapsulates a dynamic field, bridging deterministic stability concepts with the mathematical framework tailored for stochastic processes, thereby contributing to a profound understanding of systems characterized by both deterministic and stochastic components. (for extra information see, [1]-[44])

In this manuscript, we delve into the investigation of a neutral fractional order stochastic differential equation with a time delay, given by:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_0^\lambda y(t) = A_0 y(t) + A_1 y(t - h_1) + A_2 D_0^\lambda y(t - h_2) + f(t, y(t), y(t - h_1), y(t - h_2)) \\ \quad + \sigma(t, y(t), y(t - h_1), y(t - h_2)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ y(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [-h, 0], \quad h = \max\{h_1, h_2\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here are the key components of the equation:

- ${}^C D_0^\lambda y(t)$  represents a Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\lambda$  of the function  $y(t)$ .
- $A_0 y(t)$ ,  $A_1 y(t - h_1)$ , and  $A_2 D_0^\lambda y(t - h_2)$  are linear terms in the system, where  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .
- $f(t, y(t), y(t - h_1), y(t - h_2))$  represents a nonlinear function of the system.
- $\sigma(t, y(t), y(t - h_1), y(t - h_2)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}$  is a stochastic term involving a Wiener process  $W(t)$ .
- $y(t) = \varphi(t)$  sets the initial condition for the system in the interval  $[-h, 0]$ .

Our primary objective in this article is to rigorously establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions for this system. Employing a comprehensive mathematical analysis, we aim to showcase the well-posedness of the model, ensuring the presence of a singular, unique solution that adheres to the stipulated initial conditions and system dynamics.

Furthermore, we extend our exploration to the realm of finite-time stability for the proposed fractional order stochastic differential equation. Our analysis involves scrutinizing the behavior of trajectories within a finite time span, offering valuable insights into convergence and stability aspects over a limited interval.

Through the application of advanced mathematical techniques, we systematically prove the finite-time stability of the system, providing a clear understanding of the transient behavior of the solution within the specified duration.

This thorough examination is designed to contribute significantly to the comprehension of the intricate dynamics and stability properties inherent in fractional order stochastic systems with time delay. Our findings establish a robust foundation for further exploration of these systems and their applications across diverse scientific and engineering domains.

In a complementary manner, the deterministic counterpart of our study was undertaken by I. T. Huseynov and N. I. Mahmudov in their work [1]. Their research delved into the initial value problem associated with linear matrix coefficient systems of fractional-order neutral differential equations, encompassing two incommensurate constant delays in Caputo's sense. The authors introduced exact analytical representations for solutions to both linear homogeneous and non-homogeneous neutral fractional-order differential-difference equation systems, employing newly defined delayed Mittag-Leffler type matrix functions.

Additionally, the paper presented a criterion for assessing the positivity of a specific class of fractional-order linear homogeneous time-delay systems. The global existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear fractional neutral delay differential equation systems were rigorously established using the contraction mapping principle within a weighted space of continuous functions, considering classical Mittag-Leffler functions. Moreover, the authors achieved Ulam-Hyers stability results for solutions through a fixed-point approach.

This paper introduces significant advancements in the study of fractional stochastic neutral delay differential equations (FSNDDEs). Here's a breakdown of the paper's structure:

In Section 2, we revisit essential definitions and results from fractional calculus and fractional stochastic neutral differential equations. Additionally, we discuss various lemmas that will play a crucial role in proving the existence and uniqueness of solutions to FSNDDEs. These proofs consider Caratheodory-type conditions on the coefficients throughout the paper.

Moving on to Section 3, we present a systematic proof for the existence, uniqueness, and finite-time stability of solutions to FSNDDEs. This analysis specifically considers Lipschitz conditions. The assumptions and lemmas from Section 2 are instrumental in this proof.

Section 4 is dedicated to providing concrete examples, offering instances that help clarify the proposed concepts. Finally, in Section 5, we summarize the conclusions drawn from the study. This section encapsulates key findings and discusses potential implications within the broader context of fractional stochastic neutral delay differential equations.

## 2. Mathematical preliminaries and main lemma

In this segment, we will delve into fractional calculus, presenting information on lemmas and theorems. Furthermore, we will demonstrate the proof for a main lemma, a crucial tool essential for deriving our main results.

- **Gamma Function:** [40] The gamma function, denoted by  $\Gamma(z)$ , is defined as the integral:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $z$  is a complex variable.

- **Beta Function:** [40] The beta function, represented as  $B(\lambda, \nu)$ , is defined by the integral:

$$B(\lambda, \nu) = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda-1} (1-t)^{\nu-1} dt, \quad (2.2)$$

with  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  being positive real numbers. The relationship between gamma and beta functions is given by:

$$B(\lambda, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)}. \quad (2.3)$$

• **Riemann-Liouville Fractional Integral:** [40] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\lambda$  for a function  $f(t)$  is defined as:

$${}^{RL}I_{0+}^{\lambda}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\lambda-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the order of the integral, and  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

• **Caputo Fractional Derivative:** [40] The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\lambda$  for a function  $f(t)$  is defined as:

$${}^CD_{0+}^{\lambda}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\lambda)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{n-\lambda-1} f^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $n-1 < \lambda < n$  is the order of the derivative,  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function, and  $f^{(n)}(\tau)$  represents the  $n$ th derivative of  $f(t)$ .

• **Mittag-Leffler Function:** [40] The Mittag-Leffler function, denoted by  $E_{\lambda,\nu}(z)$ , is defined as the series:

$$E_{\lambda,\nu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\lambda n + \nu)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  are real parameters,  $z$  is a complex variable, and  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function.

**Definition 2.1.** (see, [1],[9]) A perturbed matrix function of Mittag-Leffler type with two constant delays, denoted by  $\mathcal{E}_{h_1,h_2}^{\lambda,\nu}(A_0, A_1, A_2; \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , is defined for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ . This function is generated by piecewise nonpermutable matrices  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and is subject to two constant delays  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , both greater than 0. The definition is as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_1,h_2}^{\lambda,\nu}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t) := \begin{cases} \emptyset, & -h \leq t < 0, \\ I, & t = 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}(m_1 h_1, m_2 h_2) \frac{(t - m_1 h_1 - m_2 h_2)_+^{k\lambda + \nu - 1}}{\Gamma(k\lambda + \nu)}, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$(t - m_1 h_1 - m_2 h_2)_+ = \begin{cases} t - m_1 h_1 - m_2 h_2, & t \geq m_1 h_1 + m_2 h_2, \\ 0, & t < m_1 h_1 + m_2 h_2. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** (refer to Huseynov et al. [1]) Assume  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ , and  $A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The following relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}_{h_1,h_2}^{\lambda,\nu}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)\| &\leq \mathcal{E}_{h_1,h_2}^{\lambda,\nu}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t) \\ &\leq t^{\nu-1} E_{\lambda,\nu}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{\lambda,\nu}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t)$  is the norm defined as

$$E_{\lambda,\nu}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\omega_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\omega_2=0}^{\infty} \|Q_{k+1}(\omega_1 h_1, \omega_2 h_2)\| t^{k\lambda + \nu - 1} \Gamma(k\lambda + \nu).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** (refer to Huseynov et al. [1]) The solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases} ({}^CD_{0+}^{\lambda}y)(t) = A_0 y(t) + A_1 y(t - h_1) + A_2 ({}^CD_{0+}^{\lambda}y)(t - h_2) + f(t, y(t), y(t - h_1), y(t - h_2)), & t \in [0, T], \\ y(t) = \varphi(t), & -h \leq t \leq 0, \quad h = \max\{h_1, h_2\}, \quad h_1, h_2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

can be represented as:

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) = & \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)) + \int_{-h_1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s)A_1\varphi(s)ds \\
& + \int_{-h_2}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s)A_2\varphi(s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s)f(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2))ds
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ ,  $h = \max(h_1, h_2)$ .

Now, we will prove the following lemma, which plays a crucial role in the next sections.

**Lemma 2.3. (Main lemma)** *For any positive values of  $\gamma$  and  $t$ , where  $p \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \in (\frac{p-1}{p}, 1)$ , the given inequality is satisfied:*

$$\frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{p\lambda - p} E_{p\lambda - p + 1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda - p + 1}) ds \leq E_{p\lambda - p + 1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda - p + 1}).$$

*Proof.* By employing the definitions of the Mittag-Leffler function and the beta function, we will be able to establish the result.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{p\lambda - p} E_{p\lambda - p + 1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda - p + 1}) ds \\
&= \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(p(\lambda - 1) + 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{p\lambda - p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^j s^{j(p\lambda - p + 1)}}{\Gamma(j(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1)} ds \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{j+1}}{\Gamma(p(\lambda - 1) + 1)\Gamma(j(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{p\lambda - p} s^{j(p\lambda - p + 1)} ds \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{j+1} t^{(j+1)(p\lambda - p + 1)}}{\Gamma(p(\lambda - 1) + 1)\Gamma(j(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1)} B(p\lambda - p + 1, j(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{j+1} t^{(j+1)(p\lambda - p + 1)}}{\Gamma((j+1)(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^j t^{j(p\lambda - p + 1)}}{\Gamma(j(p\lambda - p + 1) + 1)} \\
&= E_{p\lambda - p + 1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda - p + 1}) - 1 \leq E_{p\lambda - p + 1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda - p + 1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Consequently, the Corollaries below are valid for the lemma 2.3 we have proven above.

**Corollary 2.1.** *(see, [1] lemma 5.2) Substituting  $p = 1$  into lemma 2.3, we derive the following inequality:*

$$\frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^t (t - s)^\lambda E_\lambda(\gamma s^\lambda) ds \leq E_\lambda(\gamma t^\lambda).$$

**Corollary 2.2.** *(see, [2] lemma 2.1) If we choose  $p = 2$  in lemma 2.3, we end up with the subsequent inequality:*

$$\frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(2\lambda - 1)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{2\lambda - 2} E_{2\lambda - 1}(\gamma s^{2\lambda - 1}) ds \leq E_{2\lambda - 1}(\gamma t^{2\lambda - 1}).$$

Now we will mention Gronwall's inequality, which plays a crucial role in demonstrating the significance of finite-time stability in fractional stochastic neutral differential equations, this inequality provides bounds on the solutions of such equations, aiding in the analysis of their behavior over time. Understanding finite-time stability is essential as it determines the system's behavior within a specific time interval, which is crucial for practical applications.

**Lemma 2.4.** (Bainov and Simeonov, Theorem 14.8) Let  $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = [t_0, \infty)$ ,  $g \in C(\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  is non-decreasing,  $b, c_i \in C(\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\psi \in C([t_0 - h, t_0], \mathbb{R}^+)$ . If  $u \in C(\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  and

$$u(t) \leq g(t) + \int_{t_0}^t b(s)u(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_0}^t c_i(s)u(s - h_i)ds, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

$$u(t) = \psi(t), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + h,$$

where  $h_i > 0$ ,  $h = \max\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$ . Then

$$u(t) \leq \left[ g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{[t_0, t] \cap E_i} c_i(s)\psi(s - h_i)ds \right] e^{\int_{t_0}^t b(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{[t_0, t] \setminus E_i} c_i ds}$$

for  $t \geq t_0$ , where  $E_i = [t_0, t_0 + h_i]$ .

**Lemma 2.5. (Jensen's Inequality)** ([43]) Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  be nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq m^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i,$$

for  $p > 1$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section, our objective is to establish the existence, uniqueness, and finite-time stability of solutions for the systems (1.1). For this purpose, we introduce the space  $H^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ , comprising all random processes  $y$  that adhere to  $\|y\|_{H^p} \equiv E[\|y(t)\|^p] < \infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  represents the standard Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Undoubtedly,  $(H^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{H^p})$  constitutes a Banach space.

We then define  $H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  as the subset of  $H^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $y(t) = \varphi(t)$  for  $t \in [-h, 0]$ . Here, we introduce the maximum weighted norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , with  $\gamma > 0$ , defined as:

$$\|y\|_\gamma^p = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{E[\|y^*(t)\|^p]}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  and  $y \in H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Evidently, the two norms  $\|\cdot\|_{H_\varphi^p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  are equivalent. Consequently,  $(H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$  also forms a Banach space.

The expression  $\|y^*(t)\|^p = \max_{-h \leq \zeta \leq t} \|y(\zeta)\|^p$ , where  $h = \max\{h_1, h_2\}$  with  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ . Given the equivalence of the two norms  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , the space  $(H_\varphi, \|\cdot\|)$  also constitutes a Banach space. Here, we employ the fact that  $\max_{-h \leq s \leq t} \|\bar{y}(s)\| = \bar{y}^*(t)$  and  $\max_{-h \leq s \leq t} \|\bar{y}(s) - \bar{z}(s)\| = \bar{y}^*(t) - \bar{z}^*(t)$ . Additionally, we define:

$$\bar{y}(t) := \max_{-h \leq t \leq 0} y(t + h).$$

#### 3.1. Existence and uniqueness of the system (1.1)

Before delving into this section, we will give the following assumptions.

•  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ : The functions  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^\top$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)^\top$  are continuous functions defined on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, there exist positive constants  $L_f$  and  $L_\sigma$  such that:

(i) For  $f$ , there exists  $L_f$  satisfying a certain condition regarding the Lipschitz continuity of  $f$  with respect to its arguments.

$$\|f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3) - f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)\|^p \leq L_f \sum_{j=1}^3 \|y_j - z_j\|^p$$

where  $L_f = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} L_{f_j}$ , and this inequality holds for all  $y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, T]$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

(ii) For  $\sigma$ , there exists  $L_\sigma$  satisfying the Lipschitz condition for  $\sigma$  with respect to its arguments.

$$\|\sigma(t, y_1, y_2, y_3) - \sigma(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)\|^p \leq L_\sigma \sum_{j=1}^3 \|y_j - z_j\|^p$$

where  $L_\sigma = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} L_{\sigma_j}$ , and this inequality holds for all  $y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, T]$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

• ( $\mathcal{A}_2$ ): Define various quantities  $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3, \mathcal{M}_4, \Phi, \mathcal{F}$  based on certain functions and parameters.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1, h_2}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t)^p, \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1, h_2}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t)^p, \\ \mathcal{M}_3 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1, h_2}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t)^p, \\ \mathcal{M}_4 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1, h_2}(\|A_0\|, \|A_1\|, \|A_2\|; t-s)^p, \\ \Phi &= \max_{-h \leq t \leq 0} \|\varphi(t)\|, \\ \mathcal{F} &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} E\|f(t, 0, 0, 0)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

• ( $\mathcal{A}_3$ ) Set

$$K = \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} + \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^p T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} \leq 1.$$

• ( $\mathcal{A}_4$ ) For any  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . and for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3)\|^p &\leq L_f(1 + \|y_1\|^p + \|y_2\|^p + \|y_3\|^p), \\ \|\sigma(t, y_1, y_2, y_3)\|^p &\leq L_\sigma(1 + \|y_1\|^p + \|y_2\|^p + \|y_3\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

We present the solution to Equation (1.1) in the following manner.

**Definition 3.1.** The solution to Eq. (1) is considered unique for a stochastic process  $\{y(t)\}_{-h \leq t \leq T}$  with values in  $\mathcal{R}^d$  if  $y(t)$  is adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ ,  $E \left[ \int_{-h}^T \|y(t)\| dt \right] < \infty$ ,  $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$ , and it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1, h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)) + \int_{-h_1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1, h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s) A_1 \varphi(s) ds \\ + \int_{-h_2}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1, h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_2 - s) A_2 \varphi(s) ds \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1, h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s) f(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2)) ds \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1, h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s) \sigma(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2)) dW(s) \\ y(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [-h, 0], \quad h = \max\{h_1, h_2\}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Assuming that Assumptions ( $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_3$ ) are satisfied, it follows that the non-linear problem (1.1) has a unique solution within the space  $(H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L} : (H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_\gamma) \rightarrow (H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$  be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}y)(t) &= \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)) + \int_{-h_1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s)A_1\varphi(s)ds \\
&+ \int_{-h_2}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_2 - s)A_2\varphi(s)ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s)f(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2))ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s)\sigma(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2))dW(s) \\
(\mathcal{L}y)(t) &= \varphi(t), \quad t \in [-h, 0].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The clarity of the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$  is established through  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ . Consequently, the existence of a solution to the initial value problem (1.1) is synonymous with the presence of a fixed point for the integral operator  $\mathcal{L}$  within the space  $H_\varphi^p([-h, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ . To demonstrate this, we will leverage either the contraction mapping principle or Banach's fixed point theorem. The proof unfolds in two distinct steps.

• **Step 1.** In the first step, our aim is to ensure that  $\mathcal{L}$  effectively maps elements from  $H_\varphi^p$  to  $H_\varphi^p$ . Considering  $y(t) \in H_\varphi^p$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ , we can deduce this by applying Jensen's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t)\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} &\leq \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2))\|^p \\
&+ \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_{-h_1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s)A_1\varphi(s)ds\right\|^p \\
&+ \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_{-h_2}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_2 - s)A_2\varphi(s)ds\right\|^p \\
&+ \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s)f(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2))ds\right\|^p \\
&+ \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s)\sigma(s, y(s), y(s - h_1), y(s - h_2))dW(s)\right\|^p \\
&= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3 + \mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

• Utilizing the assumption  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , we can obtain the following estimation for  $\mathcal{J}_1$ .

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,1}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2))\|^p \leq 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_1 \|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|_p^p. \tag{3.4}$$

• Motivated by Hölder's inequality and informed by the conditions presented in  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , we express the following estimate for  $\mathcal{J}_2$ .

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_{-h_1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_1 - s)A_1\varphi(s)ds\right\|^p \leq 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_2 E(\Phi^p) \|A_1\|^p h_1^{p-1} \tag{3.5}$$

• Similarly, employing the aforementioned estimation, we obtain the subsequent results for  $\mathcal{J}_3$ .

$$\mathcal{J}_3 = \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\left\|\int_{-h_2}^0 \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,0}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - h_2 - s)A_2\varphi(s)ds\right\|^p \leq 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_3 \Phi^p \|A_2\|^p h_2^{p-1} \tag{3.6}$$



• Applying Hölder's inequality in conjunction with  $\mathcal{A}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , Lemma 2.2, and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following estimate for  $\mathcal{J}_4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_4 &= \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) ds \right\|^p \\
&\leq \frac{5^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2))\|^p ds \\
&\leq \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) - f(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
&\quad + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|f(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
&\leq \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_f \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \|y(s)\|^p ds \\
&\quad + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_f \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \|y(s-h_1)\|^p ds \\
&\quad + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_f \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \|y(s-h_2)\|^p ds \\
&\quad + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|f(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
&\leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_f \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds \\
&\quad \times \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{E \|\bar{y}^*\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} ds \\
&\leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \mathcal{M}_4 L_f \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \\
&\leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1} T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 L_f \Gamma(p\lambda-p+1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

• Similarly, following the outlined procedure and utilizing the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we derive the following estimate for  $\mathcal{J}_5$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_5 &= \frac{5^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) \sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) dW(s) \right\|^p \\
&\leq \frac{5^{p-1} C_p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left( \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) \sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2))\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{5^{p-1} C_p T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \|\sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2))\|^p ds \\
&\leq \frac{10^{p-1} T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|\sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) - \sigma(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
&\quad + \frac{10^{p-1} T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|\sigma(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
&\leq \frac{10^{p-1} T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_\sigma \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \|y(s)\|^p ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_\sigma \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\|y(s-h_1)\|^p ds \\
& + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_\sigma \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p \frac{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} E\|y(s-h_2)\|^p ds \\
& + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E\|\sigma(s, 0, 0, 0)\|^p ds \\
& \leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} L_\sigma \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds \\
& \times \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{E\|\bar{y}^*\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} ds \\
& \leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \\
& \leq \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma \Gamma(p\lambda-p+1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By employing the approximations provided in equations (3.3-3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t)\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} & \leq 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_0 \|\varphi(0) - A_2 \varphi(-h_2)\|_\gamma^p + 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_2 \Phi^p \|A_1\|^p h_1^{p-1} \\
& + 5^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_3 \Phi^p \|A_2\|^p h_2^{p-1} + \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1}T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 L_f \Gamma(p\lambda-p+1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F} \\
& + \frac{3 \cdot 10^{p-1}T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma \Gamma(p\lambda-p+1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y}\|_\gamma^p + \frac{10^{p-1}T^{p\lambda}}{p\lambda-p+1} \mathcal{M}_4 \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By analyzing the preceding information, one can infer the existence of a positive constant  $k$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}(t)\|^p \leq k(1 + \|\bar{y}\|^p(t)).$$

This implies that the mapping of  $\mathcal{L}$  preserves the set  $H_\varphi^p$ .

• **Step 2.** We demonstrate that  $\mathcal{L}$  functions as a contraction mapping. To establish this, it is essential to prove that  $\mathcal{L}$  is contractive on the set  $H_\varphi^p$ . Consider any pair of elements  $y, z \in H_\varphi^p$ . Observe that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{L}y)(t) - (\mathcal{L}z)(t) \\
& = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) \left( f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) - f(s, z(s), z(s-h_1), z(s-h_2)) \right) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) \left( \sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) - \sigma(s, z(s), z(s-h_1), z(s-h_2)) \right) dW(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By using the Jensen's inequality, we deduced that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t) - (\mathcal{L}z)(t)\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \\
& \leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) (f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - f(s, z(s), z(s-h_1), z(s-h_2))) ds \right\|^p \\
& + \frac{2^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) (\sigma(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma(s, z(s), z(s-h_1), z(s-h_2))) dW(s) \right\|^p = \mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_7. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

• By employing Hölder's inequality,  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ , Jensen's inequality, Lemma 2.2,  $(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , and Lemma 2.3, one can attain the estimation of  $\mathcal{J}_6$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_6 & = \frac{2^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} E \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s) (f(s, y(s), y(s-h_1), y(s-h_2)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - f(s, z(s), z(s-h_1), z(s-h_2))) ds \right\|^p \\
& \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s) - z(s)\|^p ds \\
& + \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s-h_1) - z(s-h_1)\|^2 ds \\
& + \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s-h_2) - z(s-h_2)\|^p ds \\
& \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{E\|\bar{y}^*(t) - \bar{z}^*(t)\|}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} \\
& \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|_\gamma^p \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

• Similarly, we will obtain for  $\mathcal{J}_7$ , in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_7 & \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s) - z(s)\|^p ds \\
& + \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s-h_1) - z(s-h_1)\|^2 ds \\
& + \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t \|\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,\lambda}^{h_1,h_2}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t-s)\|^p E \|y(s-h_2) - z(s-h_2)\|^p ds \\
& \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \int_0^t (t-s)^{p\lambda-p} E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1}) ds \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{E\|\bar{y}^*(t) - \bar{z}^*(t)\|}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma s^{p\lambda-p+1})} \\
& \leq \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|_\gamma^p. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (3.12) and (3.13), we will achieve the following outcomes.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t) - (\mathcal{L}z)(t)\|^p}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} & \leq \left( \frac{6^{p-1} L_f^p T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} + \frac{6^{p-1} L_\sigma^\sigma T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 \Gamma(p\lambda - p + 1)}{\gamma} \right) \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|_\gamma^p \\
& = K \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|_\gamma^p. \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

By taking weighted maximum norm (3.1), we achieve

$$\|(\mathcal{L}y)(t) - (\mathcal{L}z)(t)\|_\gamma^p \leq K \|\bar{y} - \bar{z}\|_\gamma^p \quad (3.15)$$

Since  $K < 1$  in accordance with  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is acknowledged as a contraction mapping on  $H_\varphi^p$ . Consequently, there exists a unique fixed point  $y \in H_\varphi^p$ , establishing it as the solution to (1.1).  $\square$

### 3.2. Finite-type stability of the system (1.1)

In this section of the article, we intend to demonstrate the finite-time stability of equation (1.1) through the following theorem. Before presenting the theorem, we define finite-time stability of system as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** [42] Given positive numbers  $\Lambda, \varepsilon$  satisfying  $\Lambda < \varepsilon$ , the system is finite-time stable if  $\|\Phi\|_\gamma \leq \Lambda$  implies  $\|y\|_\gamma \leq \varepsilon$  with respect to  $\{\Lambda, \varepsilon, -h, T\}$ , for all  $t \in [-h, T]$ .

Now, we prove the theorem concerning the finite-time stability of the system described by equation (1.1) over the given interval.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assuming that Assumptions  $(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_4)$  hold, and there exist two positive numbers  $\Lambda, \varepsilon$  satisfying  $\Lambda < \varepsilon$ , and  $\|\Phi\|_\gamma < \Lambda$ , then system (1.1) is finite-time stable on  $[-h, T]$  provided that

$$\Lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{M}e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)}} - 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4,$$

where  $\tilde{M} = 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1(1 + \|A_2\|^p) + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p)h^{p-1} + 2Ch^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ .

*Proof.* From Equation (1.1), for  $t \in [0, T]$ , utilizing Jensen's inequality, Hölder inequality, Assumption  $(\mathcal{A}_4)$ , and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\|y(t)\|^p) &\leq 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1 E\|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|^p + 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_2\Phi^p\|A_1\|^p h_1^{p-1} + 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_3\Phi^p\|A_2\|^p h_2^{p-1} \\ &\quad + 5^{p-1}t^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_4 L_f E \int_0^t (1 + \|y(s)\|^p + \|y(s-h_1)\|^p + \|y(s-h_2)\|^p) ds \\ &\quad + 5^{p-1}C_p t^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma E \int_0^t (1 + \|y(s)\|^p + \|y(s-h_1)\|^p + \|y(s-h_2)\|^p) ds \\ &\leq 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1 E\|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|^p + 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_2\Phi^p\|A_1\|^p h^{p-1} + 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_3\Phi^p\|A_2\|^p h^{p-1} \\ &\quad + 5^{p-1}t^p \mathcal{M}_4 L_f + 5^{p-1}T^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 L_f \int_0^t E(\|y(s)\|^p + \|y(s-h_1)\|^p + \|y(s-h_2)\|^p) ds \\ &\quad + 5^{p-1}C_p t^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma + 5^{p-1}C_p T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_4 L_\sigma \int_0^t E(\|y(s)\|^p + \|y(s-h_1)\|^p + \|y(s-h_2)\|^p) ds \\ &\leq 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1 E\|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|^p + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p)\Phi^p h^{p-1} + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)t^p \mathcal{M}_4 \\ &\quad + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)t^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4 \int_0^t (E(\|y(s)\|^p) + E(\|y(s-h_1)\|^p) + E(\|y(s-h_2)\|^p)) ds \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\beta(t) = 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1 E\|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|^p + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p)\Phi^p h^{p-1} + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)t^p \mathcal{M}_4$  and  $C = 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)t^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_4$ , then we will get

$$E(\|y(t)\|^p) \leq \beta(t) + C \int_0^t (E(\|y(s)\|^p) + E(\|y(s-h_1)\|^p) + E(\|y(s-h_2)\|^p)) ds \quad (3.16)$$

By applying Lemma 2.4 and utilizing the inequality (3.16) with  $y(t) = \varphi(t)$  for  $t \in [-h, 0]$  as the initial condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\|y(t)\|^p) &\leq \left[ \beta(t) + C \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{[0,t] \cap [0,h_i]} E\|\varphi(s-h_i)\|^p ds \right] e^{Ct + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{[0,t] \setminus [0,h_i]} C ds} \\
&\leq \left[ \beta(t) + 2C \int_{-h}^0 E\|\varphi(s)\|^p ds \right] e^{C(3t-h_1-h_2)} \leq \left[ \beta(t) + 2CE(\Phi^p)h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right] e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)} \\
&= \left[ 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1 E\|\varphi(0) - A_2\varphi(-h_2)\|^p + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p) E(\Phi^p)h^{p-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)t^p \mathcal{M}_4 + 2CE(\Phi^p)h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right] e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)} \\
&\leq \left[ 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1(1 + \|A_2\|^p)E(\Phi^p) + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p) E(\Phi^p)h^{p-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4 + 2CE(\Phi^p)h^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \right] e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)} \\
&= \left( \tilde{M}E(\Phi^p) + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4 \right) e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{M} = 5^{p-1}\mathcal{M}_1(1 + \|A_2\|^p) + 5^{p-1}(\mathcal{M}_2\|A_1\|^p + \mathcal{M}_3\|A_2\|^p)h^{p-1} + 2Ch^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ .

Therefore, due to the norm of  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , we get

$$\frac{E(\|y(t)\|^p)}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \leq \frac{1}{E_{p\lambda-p+1}(\gamma t^{p\lambda-p+1})} \left( \tilde{M}E(\Phi^p) + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4 \right) e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)}$$

Therefore, according to the weight maximum norm and Eq. (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y\|_\gamma &\leq (\tilde{M}\|\Phi\|_\gamma + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4) e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)} \\
&\leq (\tilde{M}\Lambda + 5^{p-1}(L_f + C_p L_\sigma)T^p \mathcal{M}_4) e^{C(3T-h_1-h_2)} \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Using definition 3.2, we can deduce that the system (1.1) is finite-time stable over the interval  $[-h, T]$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section, we present various instances to illustrate the outcomes established in the preceding section.

*Example 4.1.* Consider the fractional stochastic neutral delay differential equation given by:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_0^{0.5} y(t) = A_0 y(t) + A_1 y(t-1) + A_2 D_0^{0.5} y(t-0.5) + \cos(y(t-1)) + \sin(y(t-0.5)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}, & t \in [0, 2], \\ y(t) = 0, & t \in [-1, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Where the matrices are defined as:

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0.5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices satisfy the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 A_1 &\neq A_1 A_0, \\
A_0 A_2 &\neq A_2 A_0, \\
A_1 A_2 &\neq A_2 A_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the definition of 3.2, we obtain the following solution for the above equation:

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{1,0.5}(A_0, A_1, A_2, t-s) \cos(y(s-1)) ds \\ + \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{1,0.5}(A_0, A_1, A_2, t-s) \sin(y(s-0.5)) dW(s).$$

This results in:

$$\mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{1,0.5}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t) := \begin{cases} \emptyset, & -h \leq t < 0, \\ I, & t = 0, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} Q_{k+1}(m_1, 0.5m_2) \frac{(t-m_1-0.5m_2)_+^{0.5k-0.5}}{\Gamma(0.5k+0.5)}, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases}$$

where:

$$(t - m_1 - 0.5m_2)_+ = \begin{cases} t - m_1 - 0.5m_2, & t \geq m_1 + 0.5m_2, \\ 0, & t < m_1 + 0.5m_2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the sequence  $Q_{k+1}(m_1, 0.5m_2)$  is governed by the recursive formula:

$$Q_{k+1}(m_1, 0.5m_2) = A_0 Q_k(m_1, 0.5m_2) + A_1 Q_k(m_1 - 1, 0.5m_2) \\ + A_2 Q_{k+1}(m_1, 0.5(m_2 - 1)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with initial conditions:

$$Q_0(m_1, 0.5m_2) = Q_k(-1, 0.5m_2) = Q_k(m_1, -0.5) = \emptyset, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ Q_1(0, 0) = I, \quad Q_1(m_1, 0.5m_2) = \emptyset.$$

Here,  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  and  $\emptyset \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  represent identity and zero matrices, respectively.

Certainly, the Lipschitz condition for the given equation is easily verified by examining the following expressions:

1. The Lipschitz condition for the function  $f(t, y(t), y(t-0.5), y(t-1))$  can be checked in the form:

$$\|f(t, y(t), y(t-0.5), y(t-1)) - f(t, z(t), z(t-0.5), z(t-1))\|^p \\ = \|\cos(y(t-1)) - \cos(z(t-1))\|^p \\ = \left\| -2 \sin\left(\frac{y(t-1) - z(t-1)}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{y(t-1) + z(t-1)}{2}\right) \right\|^p \\ \leq \|y(t-1) - z(t-1)\|^p,$$

This implies  $L_f = 1$ .

2. Similarly, the Lipschitz condition for the function  $\sigma(t, y(t), y(t-0.5), y(t-1))$  is verified as follows:

$$\|\sigma(t, y(t), y(t-0.5), y(t-1)) - \sigma(t, z(t), z(t-0.5), z(t-1))\|^p \\ = \|\sin(y(t-0.5)) - \sin(z(t-0.5))\|^p \\ = \left\| 2 \sin\left(\frac{y(t-0.5) - z(t-0.5)}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{y(t-0.5) + z(t-0.5)}{2}\right) \right\|^p \\ \leq \|y(t-0.5) - z(t-0.5)\|^p.$$

This implies  $L_\sigma = 1$ .

Therefore, it can be concluded that both  $L_f$  and  $L_\sigma$  are equal to 1 based on the derived inequalities. This indicates that under the conditions  $(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_4)$ , with Lipschitz constant  $L_f = L_\sigma = 1$ , Theorems 3.1

and 3.2 guarantee the existence and uniqueness of a continuous solution for Equation (1.1). Moreover, this solution is stable in the Finite time sense on the interval  $[-1, 2]$ .

In Figure 1, the simulation displays the solution  $y(\cdot) \in C^1([-1, 2], \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  of the Cauchy problem (1.1), considering a fractional-order neutral delay differential equation with an initial data  $\varphi(\cdot) = 0$ .




Figure 1:

*Example 4.2.* Consider the following stochastic fractional delay differential equation.

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_0^{0.5} y(t) = A_0 y(t) + A_1 y(t - 0.5) + A_2 D_0^\lambda y(t - 1) + \sin(t + y(t - 0.5) + y(t - 1)) \\ + \cos(t + y(t - 0.5) + y(t - 1)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}, \quad t \in [0, 10], \\ y(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [-1, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

In this example:

- ${}^C D_0^{0.5} y(t)$  is the Caputo fractional derivative of the function  $y(t)$ .
- The linear terms  $A_0 y(t)$ ,  $A_1 y(t - 0.5)$ , and  $A_2 D_0^\lambda y(t - 1)$  are included.
- The nonlinear term  $\sin(t + y(t - 0.5) + y(t - 1))$  represents a nonlinear function of the system.
- The stochastic term  $\cos(t + y(t - 0.5) + y(t - 1)) \frac{dW(t)}{dt}$  involves a Wiener process  $W(t)$ .
- The initial condition is set to

$$y(t) = \varphi(t) = e^t.$$

Where the matrices are defined as:

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0.5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given the parallel conditions observed in the first example, where matrices yielded the same result, and noting that the Mittag-Leffler function adheres to analogous conditions as illustrated in Example 4.1, we

can express the solution for the equation using the definition denoted by Equation (1).

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) &= \mathcal{E}_{0.5,1}^{0.5,1}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t)(1 - A_2 e^{-1}) + \int_{-0.5}^0 \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{0.5,1}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - 0.5 - s) A_1 e^s ds \\
&+ \int_{-1}^0 \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0}^{0.5,1}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - 1 - s) A_2 e^s ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{0.5,1}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s) \sin(s + y(s - 0.5) + y(s - 1)) ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_{0.5,0.5}^{0.5,1}(A_0, A_1, A_2; t - s) \cos(s + y(s - 0.5) + y(s - 1)) dW(s) \\
y(t) &= e^t \quad \text{if } t \in [-1, 0].
\end{aligned}$$

If we confirm that the conditions  $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_4$  hold for the specified equation, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 guarantee the presence of a continuous solution for Equation (1.1), ensuring both its existence and uniqueness. Furthermore, this solution exhibits finite-time stability within the interval  $[-1, 10]$ .

## 5. Conclusion

This paper focuses on delivering comprehensive findings regarding the stability analysis of fractional stochastic neutral delay differential equations (FSNDDEs). We have established the finite-time stability for FSNDDEs by leveraging the existence and uniqueness of solutions. Our stability analyses rely on employing suitable weighted maximum norms and making assumptions on nonlinear terms consistent with finite-dimensional stochastic theory. Additionally, we have provided illustrative examples to reinforce the validity of our results.

## References

- [1] Huseynov, I. T., & Mahmudov, N. I. (2022). Analysis of positive fractional-order neutral time-delay systems. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 359(1), 294-330.
- [2] Ahmadova, A., & Mahmudov, N. I. (2020). Existence and uniqueness results for a class of fractional stochastic neutral differential equations. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 139, 110253.
- [3] Asadzade, J. A., & Mahmudov, N. I. (2024). Well-posedness and Euler-Maruyama approximation for stochastic fractional neutral integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernel and generalized Gronwall inequality with a multi weakly singularity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15407*.
- [4] Luo, D., Tian, M., & Zhu, Q. (2022). Some results on finite-time stability of stochastic fractional-order delay differential equations. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 158, 111996.
- [5] Oksendal, B. (2013). *Stochastic differential equations: an introduction with applications*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [6] Bainov, D. D., & Simeonov, P. S. (2013). *Integral inequalities and applications (Vol. 57)*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [7] Kushner, H. J. (1968). On the stability of processes defined by stochastic difference-differential equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 4(3), 424-443.
- [8] Ahmadova, A., Huseynov, I. T., & Mahmudov, N. I. (2020). Controllability of fractional stochastic delay dynamical systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.10654*.
- [9] Li, M., & Wang, J. (2018). Exploring delayed Mittag-Leffler type matrix functions to study finite time stability of fractional delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 324, 254-265.



- [10] Wang, X., Luo, D., Luo, Z., & Zada, A. (2021). Ulam–Hyers stability of Caputo-type fractional stochastic differential equations with time delays. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021, 1-24.
- [11] Zhou, X., Liu, X., & Zhong, S. (2016). Stability of delayed impulsive stochastic differential equations driven by a fractional Brown motion with time-varying delay. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2016(1), 1-23.
- [12] Sakthivel, R., Revathi, P., & Ren, Y. (2013). Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional stochastic differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 81, 70-86.
- [13] Xu, J., & Caraballo Garrido, T. (2019). Long time behavior of fractional impulsive stochastic differential equations with infinite delay. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series B*, 24 (6), 2719-2743.
- [14] Wu, R., Lu, Y., & Chen, L. (2015). Finite-time stability of fractional delayed neural networks. *Neuro-computing*, 149, 700-707.
- [15] Ponosov, A., Idels, L., & Kadiev, R. I. (2023). A novel algorithm for asymptotic stability analysis of some classes of stochastic time-fractional Volterra equations. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 126, 107491.
- [16] Zhang, X., Agarwal, P., Liu, Z., Peng, H., You, F., & Zhu, Y. (2017). Existence and uniqueness of solutions for stochastic differential equations of fractional-order  $q > 1$  with finite delays. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2017, 1-18.
- [17] Yan, Z., & Zhang, H. (2013). Asymptotic stability of fractional impulsive neutral stochastic partial integro-differential equations with state-dependent delay. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ*, 206(2013), 1-29.
- [18] Rajivganthi, C., Thiagu, K., Muthukumar, P., & Balasubramaniam, P. (2015). Existence of solutions and approximate controllability of impulsive fractional stochastic differential systems with infinite delay and Poisson jumps. *Applications of Mathematics*, 60(4), 395-419.
- [19] Da Prato, G., & Zabczyk, J. (2014). *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*. Cambridge university press.
- [20] Mahmudov, N. I. (2006). Existence and uniqueness results for neutral SDEs in Hilbert spaces. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 24(1), 79-95.
- [21] Khasminskii, R., & Khasminskii, R. (2012). Stability of stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic stability of differential equations*, 145-176.
- [22] Ivanov, A. F., Kazmerchuk, Y. I., & Swishchuk, A. V. (2003). Theory, stochastic stability and applications of stochastic delay differential equations: a survey of results. *Differential Equations Dynam. Systems*, 11(1-2), 55-115.
- [23] Arnold, L. (1974). *Stochastic differential equations*. New York, 2.
- [24] Mackey, M. C., & Nechaeva, I. G. (1995). Solution moment stability in stochastic differential delay equations. *Physical Review E*, 52(4), 3366.
- [25] Mao, X. (1997). Razumikhin-type theorems on exponential stability of neutral stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 28(2), 389-401.
- [26] Kozin, F. (2006, August). Stability of the linear stochastic system. In *Stability of Stochastic Dynamical Systems: Proceedings of the International Symposium Organized by “The Control Theory Centre”, University of Warwick, July 10–14, 1972 Sponsored by the “International Union of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics”* (pp. 186-229). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [27] Ahmadova, A., & Mahmudov, N. (2021). Asymptotic stability analysis of Riemann-Liouville fractional stochastic neutral differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.11493*.

- [28] Mao, X. (1999). Stability of stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 79(1), 45-67.
- [29] Lei, J., & Mackey, M. C. (2007). Stochastic differential delay equation, moment stability, and application to hematopoietic stem cell regulation system. *SIAM journal on applied mathematics*, 67(2), 387-407.
- [30] Yamada, T., & Watanabe, S. (1971). On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 11(1), 155-167.
- [31] Ahmadova, A., & Mahmudov, N. I. (2021). Ulam–Hyers stability of Caputo type fractional stochastic neutral differential equations. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 168, 108949.
- [32] Wiener, N. (1988). *The Fourier integral and certain of its applications*. CUP Archive.
- [33] Wiener, N. (1930). Generalized harmonic analysis. *Acta mathematica*, 55(1), 117-258.
- [34] Kolmogorov, A. N., & Bharucha-Reid, A. T. (2018). *Foundations of the theory of probability: Second English Edition*. Courier Dover Publications.
- [35] Kolmogorov, A. N. (1941). Stationary sequences in Hilbert space. *Bull. Math. Univ. Moscow*, 2(6), 1-40.
- [36] Stroock, D. W. (2010). *Probability theory: an analytic view*. Cambridge university press.
- [37] Dynkin, E. B. (2000). Calculation of the coefficients in the Campbell–Hausdorff formula. *DYNKIN, EB Selected Papers of EB Dynkin with Commentary*. Ed. by YUSHKEVICH, AA, 31-35.
- [38] Lions, P. L. (1988). Viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second-order equations and optimal stochastic control in infinite dimensions. Part I: The case of bounded stochastic evolutions.
- [39] Kruzhkov, S. N. (1975). Generalized solutions of the Hamilton–Jacobi equations of eikonal type. I. Formulation of the problems; existence, uniqueness and stability theorems; some properties of the solutions. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 140(3), 450-493.
- [40] Podlubny, I. (1998). *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*. Elsevier.
- [41] Yin, J., Khoo, S., Man, Z., & Yu, X. (2011). Finite-time stability and instability of stochastic nonlinear systems. *Automatica*, 47(12), 2671-2677.
- [42] Du, F., & Lu, J. G. (2020). Finite-time stability of neutral fractional order time delay systems with Lipschitz nonlinearities. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 375, 125079.
- [43] Luo, D., Zhu, Q., & Luo, Z. (2020). An averaging principle for stochastic fractional differential equations with time-delays. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 105, 106290.
- [44] Wang, J., Lv, L., & Zhou, Y. (2011). Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations with Caputo derivative. *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, 2011(63), 1-10.