# IDEALS IN HOM-ASSOCIATIVE WEYL ALGEBRAS

PER BÄCK AND JOHAN RICHTER

ABSTRACT. We introduce hom-associative versions of the higher order Weyl algebras, generalizing the construction of the first hom-associative Weyl algebras. We then show that the higher order hom-associative Weyl algebras are simple, and that all their one-sided ideals are principal.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Dixmier [5] has shown that every left (right) ideal of the first Weyl algebra  $A_1$  over a field K of characteristic zero can be generated by two elements. Later, and more generally, Stafford [9] has shown that every left (right) ideal of a simple left (right) Noetherian ring with Krull dimension n can be generated by n+1 elements; in particular, this result applies to the nth Weyl algebra  $A_n$  over K. Stafford [10] has further improved this result for  $A_n$  and shown that every left (right) ideal of  $A_n$  over K can be generated by two elements, a classical result today more commonly known as *Stafford's theorem*.

In this article, we introduce higher order hom-associative Weyl algebras as homassociative deformations of the higher order Weyl algebras over K and consider what a hom-associative version of Stafford's theorem would look like. We prove that, subject to a non-triviality condition on the deformation, the higher order hom-associative Weyl algebras are simple (Corollary 1) and that all their one-sided ideals are principal (Theorem 1).

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this article, we denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of non-negative integers. By a *non-associative algebra* over an associative, commutative, and unital ring R, we mean an R-algebra A which is not necessarily associative and not necessarily unital.

2.1. Hom-associative algebras. *Hom-associative algebras* were introduced in [8] as non-associative algebras with a "twisted" associativity condition. In particular, by using the commutator as a bracket, any hom-associative algebra gives rise to a *hom-Lie algebra*; the latter introduced in [7] as a generalization of a Lie algebra, now with a twisted Jacobi identity.

**Definition 1** (Hom-associative algebra). A hom-associative algebra over an associative, commutative, and unital ring R, is a non-associative R-algebra A with an R-linear map  $\alpha$ , where for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , the hom-associative condition holds,

$$\alpha(a)(bc) = (ab)\alpha(c).$$

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B61, 17D30.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ hom-associative Weyl algebras, hom-associative Ore extensions, Stafford's theorem.

Since  $\alpha$  in the above definition "twists" the associativity condition, it is referred to as a *twisting map*.

For hom-associative algebras it is usually too restrictive to expect them to be unital. Instead, a related condition, called *weak unitality*, is of interest.

**Definition 2** (Weak unitality). Let A be a hom-associative algebra. If for all  $a \in A$ ,  $ea = ae = \alpha(a)$  for some  $e \in A$ , we say that A is weakly unital with weak identity element e.

The so-called *Yau twist* gives a way of constructing (weakly unital) hom-associative algebras from (unital) associative algebras.

**Proposition 1** ([6, 11]). Let A be an associative algebra and let  $\alpha$  be an algebra endomorphism on A. Define a new product \* on A by  $a*b := \alpha(ab)$  for any  $a, b \in A$ . Then A with product \*, called the Yau twist of A, is a hom-associative algebra with twisting map  $\alpha$ . If A is unital with identity element  $1_A$ , then the Yau twist of A is weakly unital with weak identity element  $1_A$ .

By a left (right) *hom-ideal* in a hom-associative algebra, we mean a left (right) ideal that is also invariant under the twisting map. If the algebra is weakly unital, then all ideals, one-sided and two-sided, are automatically invariant under the twisting map.

2.2. The *n*th Weyl algebra. The *n*th Weyl algebra,  $A_n$ , over a field K of characteristic zero is the free, associative, and unital algebra with generators  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  and  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ ,  $K\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ , modulo the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j &= x_j x_i \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \\ y_i y_j &= y_j y_i \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \\ x_i y_j &= y_j x_i \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } i \neq j, \\ x_i y_i &= y_i x_i + 1 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. The first hom-associative Weyl algebras. In [3], a family of hom-associative Weyl algebras  $\{A_1^k\}_{k \in K}$  was constructed as a generalization of  $A_1$  to the hom-associative setting (see also [2] for the case when K has prime characteristic), including  $A_1$  as the member corresponding to k = 0. The definition of  $A_1^k$  is as follows:

**Definition 3** (The first hom-associative Weyl algebra). Let  $\alpha_k$  be the *K*-automorphism on  $A_1$  defined by  $\alpha_k(x) := x$ ,  $\alpha_k(y) := y + k$ , and  $\alpha_k(1_{A_1}) := 1_{A_1}$  for any  $k \in K$ . The first hom-associative Weyl algebra  $A_1^k$  is the Yau twist of  $A_1$  by  $\alpha_k$ .

For each  $k \in K$ , we thus get a hom-associative Weyl algebra  $A_1^k$  which is weakly unital with weak identity element  $1_{A_1}$ . In [3], it was proven that  $A_1^k$  is simple for all  $k \in K$ . In [1], the study of  $A_1^k$  was continued. The morphisms and derivations on  $A_1^k$  were characterized, and an analogue of the famous *Dixmier conjecture*, first introduced by Dixmer [4], was proven. It was also shown that  $A_1^k$  is a formal deformation of  $A_1$  with k as deformation parameter, this in contrast to the associative setting where  $A_1$  is formally rigid and thus cannot be formally deformed.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

2.4. Monomial orderings. We introduce an ordering, the so-called graded lexicographic ordering on  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , where a vector is larger than another vector if it has larger sum of all its elements. In case of a tie, we apply lexicographic ordering, that is,  $(1,0,0\ldots,0) > (0,1,0,\ldots,0) > \cdots > (0,0,0,\ldots,1)$ . For example, (0,0,3) > (1,1,0) > (0,2,0) > (0,1,0) > (0,0,0). Note that this is a total ordering on  $\mathbb{N}^n$  and that any subset has a smallest element. Furthermore, it is impossible to find an infinite decreasing sequence in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ . Note that this gives an ordering of the monomials in  $K[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$ .

Any  $p \in A_n$  can be written as  $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_l x_1^{l_1} x_2^{l_2} \cdots x_n^{l_n}$  where  $p_l \in K[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$ ,  $l = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , and only finitely many of the  $p_l$  are non-zero. We define  $\deg_x(p)$  as the largest l in graded lexicographic order such that  $p_l$  is non-zero, and  $L(p) = p_{\deg_x(p)}$ . We also define  $\deg_y$  in a similar way. We will often write  $\deg_y(p) = 0$ , where 0 should be understood as the zero vector of appropriate dimension.

#### 3. Ideals in higher order hom-associative Weyl algebras

We define the *nth hom-associative Weyl algebra* in analogy with how the first hom-associative Weyl algebra is defined.

**Definition 4** (The *n*th hom-associative Weyl algebra). Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let  $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \in K^n$ . Define the K-automorphism  $\alpha_k$  on  $A_n$  by  $\alpha_k(x_i) := x_i$ ,  $\alpha_k(y_i) := y_i + k_i$ , and  $\alpha_k(1_{A_n}) := 1_{A_n}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . The *n*th hom-associative Weyl algebra  $A_n^k$  is the Yau twist of  $A_n$  by  $\alpha_k$ .

**Proposition 2.** If I is a left (right) ideal of  $A_n$ , then I is a left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  if and only if  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* We show the left case; the right case is similar. To this end, let I be a left ideal of  $A_n$ . If  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ ,  $p \in A_n$ , and  $q \in I$ , then  $p * q = \alpha_k(pq) \in \alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ .

If I is a left ideal of  $A_n^k$  and  $q \in I$ , then  $\alpha_k(q) = 1_{A_n} * q \in I$ , so  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 1.** Let I be the left ideal of  $A_1$  generated by  $x^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Then I is a non-trivial left ideal (for example,  $y \notin I$ ). Any element in I may be written as  $px^n$  for some  $p \in A_1$ . We have  $\alpha_k(px^n) = \alpha_k(p)\alpha_k(x^n) = \alpha_k(p)x^n \in I$ , so  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ . Similarly, if I is the right ideal of  $A_1$  generated by  $x^n$ , then I is a non-trivial right ideal such that  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ . By Proposition 2, I is a non-trivial left (right) ideal of  $A_1^k$ .

By the next example, not all left (right) ideals of  $A_1$  are left (right) ideals of  $A_1^k$ when  $k \neq 0$ .

**Example 2.** Let *I* be the left (right) ideal of  $A_1$  generated by *y*. Then  $x \notin I$ , so  $I \neq A_1$ . Assume that  $k \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ . Then  $y + k = \alpha_k(y) \in I$ , so  $k = (y+k) - y \in I$ . Hence  $1_{A_1} \in I$ , which implies  $I = A_1$ ; a contradiction. By Proposition 2, *I* is not a left (right) ideal of  $A_1^k$ .

**Lemma 1.** If I is a left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  where  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha_k(I) = I$ .

*Proof.* Since any left (right) ideal I of  $A_n^k$  is also a left (right) hom-ideal,  $\alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ .

Now, let *I* be a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . If  $0 \neq p \in I$ , we claim that we can find an element  $p' \in I$  such that  $\deg_x(p') = \deg_x(p)$  and L(p') = 1. If  $L(p) = c \in K$ , we can take  $p' = c^{-1} * p$ . Otherwise, we note that  $\deg_x(\alpha_k(p) - p) = \deg_x(p)$ , and that  $L(\alpha_k(p))$  and L(p) have the same leading term using our monomial ordering

on  $K[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$ . Thus,  $L(\alpha_k(p) - p)$  has lower degree than L(p) using our monomial ordering. We can repeat this process until we get an element in I with a constant as leading coefficient w.r.t.  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ , and with the same degree in  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  as p.

Now suppose  $I \not\subseteq \alpha_k(I)$ . Then there is at least one element in I that does not belong to  $\alpha_k(I)$ . Pick such an element, q, of lowest possible degree w.r.t.  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . Find an element  $q' \in I$  such that  $\deg_x(q') = \deg_x(q)$  and L(q') = 1. Set  $r := \alpha_k^2(\alpha_k^{-2}(L(q))q') = \alpha_k(\alpha_k^{-2}(L(q)) * q')$ . Note that  $\deg_x(r) = \deg_x(q)$  and that L(r) = L(q). Since  $\alpha_k^{-2}(L(q)) * q' \in I$ , we have  $r \in \alpha_k(I) \subseteq I$ . Hence  $q - r \in I$ , and by the minimality of q, we must have  $q - r \in \alpha_k(I)$ . However, this would imply that also  $q \in \alpha_k(I)$ , which is a contradiction.

Now let I be a right ideal of  $A_n^k$ . If  $0 \neq p \in I$ , we can find an element  $p' \in I$  such that  $\deg_x(p') = \deg_x(p)$  and L(p') = 1. If  $L(p) = c \in K$ , we can take  $p' = p * c^{-1}$ . Otherwise we proceed like in the left case.

Now suppose  $I \not\subseteq \alpha_k(I)$ . Then there is at least one element in I that does not belong to  $\alpha_k(I)$ . Pick such an element, q, of lowest possible degree w.r.t.  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . Find an element  $q' \in I$  such that  $\deg_x(q') = \deg_x(q)$  and L(q') = 1. Set  $r := \alpha_k^2(q'\alpha_k^{-2}(L(q))) = \alpha_k(q'*\alpha_k^{-2}(L(q)))$ . As in the left case, we get  $r \in \alpha_k(I)$ and  $q - r \in I$ . By the minimality of q we get  $q - r \in \alpha_k(I)$ , which gives the contradiction  $q \in \alpha_k(I)$ .

**Proposition 3.** Any left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  for  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$  is a left (right) ideal of  $A_n$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove the left case; the right case is similar. To this end, suppose I is a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . To show that I is also a left ideal of  $A_n$ , it is enough to show that  $A_nI \subseteq I$ . Since I is a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ , we know that  $A_n * I \subseteq I$ . Moreover,  $A_n * I = \alpha_k(A_nI)$ , so  $A_nI \subseteq \alpha_k^{-1}(I)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\alpha_k(I) = I$ , so  $\alpha_k^{-1}(I) = I$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 1.**  $A_n^k$  is simple for  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let I be an ideal of  $A_n^k$  for  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$ . By Proposition 3, I is also an ideal of  $A_n$ . Since it is well known that  $A_n$  is simple, I must be trivial.

**Corollary 2.** Any left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  for  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$  is generated by two elements.

Proof. Let I be a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . Since I is also a left ideal of  $A_n$ , we know that it is generated as an ideal of  $A_n$  by two elements, say p and q. We want to show that  $\alpha^{-1}(p)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(q)$  generate I as a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . If  $r \in I$ , then there are  $a, b \in A_n$  such that  $r = ap + bq = \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(a)\alpha^{-1}(p) + \alpha^{-1}(b)\alpha^{-1}(q)) =$  $\alpha^{-1}(a) * \alpha^{-1}(p) + \alpha^{-1}(b) * \alpha^{-1}(q)$ . Clearly this shows that  $\alpha^{-1}(p)$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(q)$ generate I as a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . (That they are elements of I follows from Lemma 1.) The right case is similar.

**Lemma 2.** Any left (right) ideal, I, of  $A_n$  generated by elements  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$ with  $\deg_y(p_1) = \deg_y(p_2) = \cdots = \deg_y(p_m) = 0$  is a principal left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  if  $k \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume, without loss of generality, that  $k_1 \neq 0$ . We first show that I is a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . If  $q \in I$ , then we can write  $q = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i p_i$  for some  $r_i \in A_n$  and

 $\alpha_k(q) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_k(r_i) p_i \in I$ . Hence, by Proposition 2, I is a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . It remains to show it is a principal left ideal of  $A_n^k$ .

We will proceed by induction, and in fact we will show that I of  $A_n$  is generated as a left ideal of  $A_n^k$  by  $t = p_1 + y_1 p_2 + \dots + y_1^{m-1} p_m$ . To handle the case m = 2set  $t := p_1 + y_1 p_2$ . Let J be the left ideal of  $A_n^k$  generated by t. Then  $\alpha_k(t) = p_1 + y_1 p_2 + k_1 p_2 \in J$ , so  $p_2 = k_1^{-1} * (\alpha_k(t) - t) \in J$ , and hence  $p_1 = t - (y_1 - k_1) * p_2 \in J$ . Hence I = J.

Now assume we have proven the result for m and wish to prove it for m + 1. Set  $t := p_1 + y_1 p_2 + \cdots + y_1^m p_{m+1}$ . Let J be the left ideal of  $A_n^k$  generated by t. Obviously  $J \subseteq I$ . Note that  $t_1 := \alpha_k(t) - t = r_{1,2}p_2 + r_{1,3}p_3 + \cdots + r_{1,m+1}p_{m+1}$ , where  $r_{1,i} \in K[y_1]$  and  $\deg_{y_1}(r_{1,i}) = i-2$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, m+1\}$ . Also note that  $t_1 \in J$ . We can then set  $t_2 := \alpha_k(t_1) - t_1$  and note that  $t_2 = r_{2,3}p_3 + \cdots + r_{2,m+1}p_{m+1}$ where  $r_{2,i} \in K[y_1]$  and  $\deg_{y_1}(r_{2,i}) = i-3$  for all  $i \in \{3, 4, \ldots, m+1\}$ . Also  $t_2 \in J$ . Proceeding in a similar way, we get an element  $t_m \in J$  such that  $t_m = r_{m,m+1}p_{m+1}$ , where  $r_{m,m+1} \in K$  and  $r_{m,m+1} \neq 0$ . Thus  $p_{m+1} \in J$  and  $p_1 + y_1 p_2 + \cdots + y_1^{m-1} p_m \in J$ , so by the induction assumption, J = I.

The right case is similar; one sets  $t := p_1 + p_2 y_1 + \cdots + p_m y_1^{m-1}$  instead.

**Lemma 3.** For any  $p \in A_n$ , there are  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m \in A_n$  with  $\deg_y(q_1) = \deg_y(q_2)$ =  $\cdots = \deg_y(q_m) = 0$ , such that the left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  for  $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$ generated by p equals the left (right) ideal of  $A_n^k$  generated by  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$ .

*Proof.* Let I be the left ideal of  $A_n^k$  generated by p. Set  $p = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_a x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_n^{a_n}$ , where each  $p_a \in K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ , and let  $E(p) = \{a \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid p_a \neq 0\}$ . We prove the lemma by induction over |E(p)|.

We begin with the case when |E(p)| = 1. If  $\deg_y(p) = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise, set  $p' = p - \alpha_k(p)$ . Then E(p') = E(p) and  $\deg_y(p') < \deg_y(p)$ . Repeat as necessary until we find  $q_1 \in I$  such that  $E(q_1) = E(p)$  and  $\deg_y(q_1) = 0$ . Then there is  $r \in K[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$  such that  $p = rq_1$ , so p is in the left ideal of  $A_n$  generated by p, and thus also in the left ideal of  $A_n^k$  generated by  $q_1$ . Hence the left ideal of  $A_n^k$ generated by  $q_1$  is I.

Now suppose we have proven the lemma when  $|E(p)| \leq \ell$ . Assume  $|E(p)| = \ell + 1$ . If  $\deg_y(p) = 0$ , we are done, so let  $\deg_y(p) > 0$ . Set  $p' = p - \alpha_k(p)$ . Note that  $E(p') \subseteq E(p), p' \neq 0$ , and that if E(p') = E(p), then  $\deg_y(p') < \deg_y(p)$ . Repeat as necessary until we find  $q_1 \in I$  such that  $E(q_1) \subseteq E(p), q_1 \neq 0$ , and  $\deg_y(q_1) = 0$ . We can then find  $r \in K[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]$  such that  $E(p - rq_1) \subsetneq E(p)$ . By the induction assumption there are  $q_2, q_3, \ldots, q_m$  with  $\deg_y(q_2) = \deg_y(q_3) = \cdots = \deg_y(q_m) = 0$  that generate the same left ideal of  $A_n^k$  as  $p - rq_1$ . Then  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$  are elements that generate I as a left ideal of  $A_n^k$  and  $\deg_y(q_1) = \deg_y(q_2) = \cdots = \deg_y(q_m) = 0$ . The right case is similar.

## **Theorem 1.** Any left (right) ideal of $A_n^k$ for $k_1k_2 \cdots k_n \neq 0$ is principal.

*Proof.* Let I be a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . We know it is generated by elements p, q as a left ideal of  $A_n$ . By Lemma 3, we can find  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\ell$  and  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$  such that the  $p_i$  generate the same left ideal of  $A_n^k$  as p, the  $q_i$  generate the same left ideal of  $A_n^k$  as q, and  $\deg_y(p_1) = \deg_y(p_2) = \cdots = \deg_y(p_\ell) = \deg_y(q_1) = \deg_y(q_2) = \cdots = \deg_y(q_m) = 0$ . Clearly  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_\ell, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_m$  generate I as a left ideal of  $A_n^k$ . By Lemma 2 we are done.

The right case is similar.

#### PER BÄCK AND JOHAN RICHTER

### References

- P. Bäck and J. Richter, On the hom-associative Weyl algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 224(9) (2020).
- [2] P. Bäck and J. Richter, The hom-associative Weyl algebras in prime characteristic, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 31 (2022), pp. 203-229.
- [3] P. Bäck, J. Richter, and S. Silvestrov, Hom-associative Ore extensions and weak unitalizations, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 24 (2018), pp. 174–194.
- [4] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl, Bull. Soc. Math. France 96 (1968), pp. 209-242.
- [5] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl II, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 94 (1970), pp. 289-301.
- [6] Y. Fregier and A. Gohr, On unitality conditions for Hom-associative algebras, arXiv:0904.4874.
- [7] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S. D. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σderivations, J. Algebra 295(2) (2006), pp. 314–361.
- [8] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2(2) (2008), pp. 51–64.
- [9] J. T. Stafford, Completely faithful modules and ideals of simple Noetherian rings, Bull. London Math. Soc. 8(2) (1976), pp. 168–173.
- [10] J. T. Stafford, Module structure of Weyl algebras, J. London Math. Soc. s2-18(3) (1978), pp. 429–442.
- [11] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and Homology, J. Lie Theory 19(2) (2009), pp. 409–421.

(Per Bäck) Division of Mathematics and Physics, Mälardalen University, Box 883, SE-721 23 Västerås, Sweden

*Email address*, corresponding author: per.back@mdu.se

(Johan Richter) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, BLEKINGE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SE-371 79 KARLSKRONA, SWEDEN

Email address: johan.richter@bth.se