

# SMOOTHLY SLICE LINKS IN $S^2 \times S^2$ OR $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$

MARCO MARENGON AND CLAYTON MCDONALD

ABSTRACT. For  $X = S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , we show that there exists a link with 2 components which is not smoothly slice in  $X$ . By contrast, it is well-known that every knot (i.e., link with 1 component) is smoothly slice in both  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . Our proof uses classical topological and smooth obstructions, as well as constructive arguments to exploit the symmetries of the problem. As a side note, we also show that for every compact 4-manifold there exists a link that is not slice in it (either smoothly or topologically).

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Acknowledgements	4
2. Review of some obstructive methods	4
2.1. Levine-Tristram signatures	4
2.2. Arf invariant	5
3. Non-slice links in 4-manifolds	5
4. A 2-component link not smoothly slice in $S^2 \times S^2$	7
4.1. The structure of the link $L$	7
4.2. The genus function on $S^2 \times S^2$	9
4.3. Symmetries	10
4.4. Linking number and Arf invariant	11
4.5. The genus function again	12
4.6. Levine-Tristram signatures	12
4.7. Proof of Theorem 1.1	13
5. A 2-component link not smoothly slice in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$	14
5.1. The genus function on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .	14
5.2. Symmetries	15
5.3. Linking number and Arf invariant	15
5.4. Ruling out the pairs of infinite families	17
5.5. Ruling out the sporadic cases	19
5.6. Proof of Theorem 1.2	23
6. A 3-component link not topologically slice in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$	23
Appendix A. Assumptions for the link not smoothly slice in $S^2 \times S^2$	25
Appendix B. Assumptions for the link not smoothly slice in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$	25
References	25




FIGURE 1. A 2-component link which is not smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A popular strategy to disprove the 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture was formulated by Freedman-Gompf-Morrison-Walker in [FGMW10]: simply put, their idea is that if a knot  $K \subset S^3$  bounds a smooth disc in a homotopy 4-ball  $X$ , but not in the standard 4-ball  $B^4$  (i.e., it is not slice), then  $X$  must be exotic.

More generally, one can define sliceness in any smooth, connected 4-manifold  $X$ . We say that  $K \subset S^3$  is *slice in  $X$*  if it bounds a smooth disc in  $X^\circ := X \setminus B^4$ , which is called a *slice disc*. Recent progress has been made on the study of slice knots in 4-manifolds other than  $B^4$ , see for example [KR21, MMSW23, Ren23a, Ren23b, MM22]. A recent result shows that exotic pairs can be detected by studying *null-homologous* slice discs [MMP24], but the question of whether the set of knots slice in a given 4-manifold can detect exotic structures is still open.

An old result of Norman [Nor69] and Suzuki [Suz69] shows that every knot is slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$  and in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , hence the set of slice knots does not say anything about the topology of these two 4-manifolds. In this paper, we show that the same is not true for *2-component links*. Throughout this paper, we say that an  $n$ -component link  $L$  is *smoothly (strongly) slice in  $X$*  if  $L$  bounds a collection of  $n$  disjoint smooth discs in  $X^\circ := X \setminus B^4$ . With this terminology, our main theorems are stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The 2-component link in Figure 1 is not smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *The 2-component link in Figure 2 is not smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .*

$S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  are simply connected 4-manifolds that are currently not known to support exotic structures. By contrast, we remark that their (common) blow up  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# 2\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  is indeed known to be exotic [AP10]. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can theoretically be the starting point for the detection of exotic copies of  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , using a strategy similar to that of [FGMW10]. To this end, the next step of this program would be a robust way to construct 4-manifolds homotopy equivalent to  $S^2 \times S^2$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  coming with a pair of embedded discs, similarly in spirit to Manolescu-Piccirillo's construction of homotopy  $\#^n \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  [MP23]. This theoretical application is conceptually possible since the proofs of the above results use an essentially smooth (as opposed to topological) ingredient, namely the smooth




FIGURE 2. A 2-component link which is not smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . There are 29 positive full twists in the central box.

genus function. This obstruction was successfully employed in [ACM<sup>+</sup>23] to give a new proof that the (2,1)-cable of the figure-eight knot is not slice, after a Heegaard Floer theoretic proof was given a year earlier [DKM<sup>+</sup>22].

The above theorems provide some evidence that the set of links that are slice in a given 4-manifold provide more information than the set of knots slice in it. This is not surprising, and in fact it also follows from a simpler result, namely Theorem 1.3 below. While [KPRT22, Corollary 1.15] shows that every knot is *topologically* slice in every closed, simply connected 4-manifold  $X \neq S^4, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , Theorem 1.3 shows that the same is not true for links.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological, compact 4-manifold. Then there exists a link  $L$  that is not topologically slice in  $X$ .*

*Moreover, if  $X$  is closed and simply connected, we can choose  $L$  as a disjoint union  $L' \sqcup K$ , where  $K$  is a knot and  $L'$  is a link such that:*

- if  $X$  odd,  $L'$  has  $2 \cdot b_2(X)$  components;
- if  $X$  even,  $L'$  has  $b_2(X)$  components;
- if  $X$  is smooth or indefinite,  $L'$  is topologically slice in  $X$ .

In the above theorem, the definition of *topologically* slice is the same as *smoothly* slice, except that the discs are locally flatly, as opposed to smoothly, embedded.

The number of components of a link not slice in  $X$  provided by Theorem 1.3 can be non-minimal. For example, for  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  it is 5, but a finer argument shows that there is a 3-component link that is not topologically slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  (see Theorem 6.1). Theorem 1.3 is more efficient for spin manifolds, for example by producing a 3-component link that is not topologically slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Our Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 show that *in the smooth category* the number of link components can be brought down to 2. It remains an open question whether there is a 2-component link that is not *topologically* slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

While for every compact 4-manifold there is a link not slice in it, a simple Kirby-diagrammatic argument shows that every link is (smoothly, hence topologically) slice in *some* 4-manifold. This is a generalisation of the Norman-Suzuki trick [Nor69, Suz69]. (Compare also with [KR21, Proposition 4.1].)

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $L \subset S^3$  be an  $n$ -component link, and let  $L_s \subset S^3$  be a sublink of  $L$  of  $m$  components such that  $L_s$  is strongly slice in  $B^4$ . Then, for all  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_1 + n_2 = n - m$ ,  $L$  is smoothly slice in  $(\#^{n_1}(S^2 \times S^2)) \# (\#^{n_2}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}))$ .*

Our strategy to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the same. We start by considering a family of 2-component links which have a certain structure and symmetry (see Figure 3), and we use a series of obstructive methods:

- the Arf invariant;
- the Levine-Tristram signature function;
- the smooth genus function on  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

Each of the above methods is effective at obstructing the existence of slice discs only in given homology classes, so the bulk of our work is to find a way to combine the methods above to eliminate all possible homology classes. In order to do so, we will start making assumptions on the link, and we finally prove that there exists a link satisfying all the assumptions we have made. For the reader's convenience, we list all the assumptions we made in the two cases ( $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ ) in Appendices A and B at the end of the paper.

*Remark 1.5.* As we already mentioned above, our method to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 works only in the smooth category, since it makes essential use of the smooth genus function on  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . The topological genus function on  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  is not known, but even if it were our method would not apply, because every primitive homology class is represented by a torus [LW97, KPRT22].

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** We are very grateful to András Stipsicz and Marco Golla for their support and helpful discussions, and to Brendan Owens for spotting a mistake in a draft of this paper. We also thank Roberto Giménez Conejero and Daniele Dona for a helpful conversation. MM acknowledges that: This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 893282.

## 2. REVIEW OF SOME OBSTRUCTIVE METHODS

Here we review some methods to obstruct the existence of a properly embedded surface of genus  $g$  in a 4-manifold  $X$  with boundary a given knot  $K \subset S^3$ . The methods we review in this section are *topological*, i.e. they work for locally flat embeddings in topological 4-manifolds.

We refer to [MMP24] for a more detailed description of the state of the art of obstructive methods, and we list only the results that we will need for the scope of this paper.

**2.1. Levine-Tristram signatures.** The following theorem gives an effective obstruction in terms of the Levine-Tristram signatures of a knot when the class of the surface is not primitive.

**Theorem 2.1** ([Vir75, Gil81], see [MMP24, Theorem 3.6] for this statement). *Let  $X$  be a topological closed oriented 4-manifold with  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Let  $\Sigma \subset X^\circ$  be a locally flat, properly embedded surface of genus  $g$ , with boundary a knot  $K \subset S^3$ . If the homology class  $[\Sigma] \in H_2(X^\circ, \partial X^\circ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is divisible by a prime power  $m = p^k$ , then*

$$\left| \sigma_K(e^{2\pi ri/m}) + \sigma(X) - \frac{2r(m-r) \cdot [\Sigma]^2}{m^2} \right| \leq b_2(X) + 2g,$$

for every  $r = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

We recall that the signatures of a satellite of a knot can be computed by the following formula.

**Theorem 2.2** ([Lit06, Theorem 2]). *Let  $C$  be a knot and  $P$  be a pattern with winding number  $w$ . Then for every root of unity  $\zeta$*

$$\sigma_{P(C)}(\zeta) = \sigma_C(\zeta^w) + \sigma_P(\zeta),$$

where  $P(C)$  denotes the satellite of  $C$  with pattern  $P$ .

**2.2. Arf invariant.** Another obstruction comes from the Arf invariant. In Theorem 2.3 below,  $\text{ks}(X)$  denotes the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant of a topological, closed 4-manifolds, and  $\text{Arf}(X, \Sigma)$  denotes the Arf invariant of a particular quadratic enhancement of the intersection form on  $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , which comes from viewing  $\Sigma$  as sitting in  $X$  (see [FK78] for details).

The stated form of Theorem 2.3 is the one from [MMP24, Theorem 3.1]. As explained there, the smooth version of it is found in the literature as [Kir06, p. 69, Corollary 6] and [Yas96, Theorem 2.2], and the topological version can be deduced from it using a formula for the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant in the closed case (such a formula can be found e.g. in [Sco22, p. 502]). Theorem 2.3 can also be recovered as a special case of [Klu20, Theorem 4].

For our purposes we need only a simpler version of Theorem 2.3, because  $\text{ks}(X) \equiv 0$  if  $X$  admits a smooth structure, and  $\text{Arf}(X, \Sigma) \equiv 0$  if  $\Sigma$  is a disc.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological, closed, connected, oriented 4-manifold. If  $\Sigma \subset X^\circ$  is a properly embedded, locally flat characteristic surface with boundary a knot  $K$ , then*

$$\frac{\sigma(X) - [\Sigma]^2}{8} \equiv \text{Arf}(K) + \text{Arf}(X, \Sigma) + \text{ks}(X) \pmod{2}$$

### 3. NON-SLICE LINKS IN 4-MANIFOLDS

It is well known (cf. [Nor69, Suz69]) that every knot is smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$  and in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . A simple Kirby calculus argument generalises this result.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $L \subset S^3$  be an  $n$ -component link, and let  $L_s \subset S^3$  be a sublink of  $L$  of  $m$  components such that  $L_s$  is strongly slice in  $B^4$ . Then, for all  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_1 + n_2 = n - m$ ,  $L$  is smoothly slice in  $(\#^{n_1}(S^2 \times S^2)) \# (\#^{n_2}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}))$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $L_c := L \setminus L_s$ . Consider the Kirby diagram for a 4-manifold  $X$  given by  $m(L)$ , the mirror of  $L$ , where  $n_1$  components of  $L_c$ , and all of the components of  $L_s$  are 0-framed and the other  $n_2$  components of  $L_c$  are 1-framed, together with a 0-framed meridian added to each link component of  $L_c$ . By construction  $L$  is strongly slice in  $X$ . To identify  $X$ , we unlink  $m(L_c)$  by sliding over the 0-framed meridians whenever necessary, and the final Kirby diagram will be for the 0-trace of  $L_s$  connect sum the manifold  $(\#^{n_1}(S^2 \times S^2)) \# (\#^{n_2}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}))$ . Because  $L_s$  is strongly slice in  $B^4$ , we can complete the Kirby diagram to a diagram of  $(\#^{n_1}(S^2 \times S^2)) \# (\#^{n_2}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}))$  using the complement of  $L_s$ .  $\square$

The above result shows that there exist non-compact 4-manifolds such that every link is slice therein (for example, one can take  $\mathbb{R}^4 \#^\infty(S^2 \times S^2)$ ). However, for every compact 4-manifold  $X$  there exists a link that is not slice in  $X$ , even topologically.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a topological, compact 4-manifold. Then there exists a link  $L$  that is not topologically slice in  $X$ .*

*Moreover, if  $X$  is closed and simply connected, we can choose  $L$  as a disjoint union  $L' \sqcup K$ , where  $K$  is a knot that is not topologically H-slice in  $X$  and  $L'$  is a link such that:*

- *if  $X$  odd,  $L'$  has  $2 \cdot b_2(X)$  components;*
- *if  $X$  even,  $L'$  has  $b_2(X)$  components;*
- *if  $X$  is smooth or indefinite,  $L'$  is topologically slice in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  is closed. If  $\partial X \neq \emptyset$ , double  $X$  along its boundary to get a closed 4-manifold  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Then every link that is slice in  $X$  is slice also in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .


Secondly, without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  is simply connected. If not, let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  be a set of pairwise disjoint simple closed curves that generate  $\pi_1(X)$ , and let  $X'$  be the result of doing surgery along all the  $\gamma_i$ , so  $X'$  is simply connected. If a link  $L$  is slice in  $X$ , then we can arrange the discs to be disjoint from  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ , and therefore  $L$  will be slice in  $X'$  too. This surgery procedure is a standard method (see e.g. [OS03, Proof of Theorem 9.1]).

Thus, let  $X$  be a topological, simply connected, closed 4-manifold. We will construct a link  $L = L' \sqcup K$ , where  $L$  is a link and  $K$  is a knot that is not topologically H-slice in  $X$ , and we will show that  $L$  is not topologically strongly slice in  $X$ . We will describe two constructions, depending on the parity of  $X$ .

If the intersection form  $Q_X$  is an *odd* unimodular lattice of rank  $n$ , then we construct  $L'$  as the disjoint union of  $n$  copies of the Hopf link  $H = A \cup B$ . For each copy  $A_i \cup B_i$  of the Hopf link, define  $C_i$  to be the unknot obtained by taking the oriented resolution at a crossing in a minimal diagram for  $A_i \cup B_i$ ; note that  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $C_i$  are all unknots.

We define  $L$  as the disjoint union of  $L'$  and a knot  $K$  (which we will specify later). By contradiction, suppose that  $L$  is strongly slice. Then, for each  $i$ , at least one of  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $C_i$  must bound a non-isotropic disc (i.e., with non-zero framing). This is because strong sliceness of  $L$  provides discs for  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ . If both of them are zero-framed, then their homology classes satisfy  $\alpha_i^2 = \beta_i^2 = 0$ . The disc obtained by band summing them has boundary  $C_i$  and self-intersection  $(\alpha_i + \beta_i)^2 = 2\alpha_i \cdot \beta_i = \pm 2$  by Equation (4.1). Consider these discs  $D_i \subset X^\circ$ , which can be chosen to be pairwise disjoint, and cap them off with  $n$  disjoint discs in  $B^4$  to obtain  $n$  disjoint spheres  $S_i \subset X$ . By construction the homology classes  $[S_i]$  are orthogonal and non-isotropic, hence linearly independent. It follows that they span all of  $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . This forces  $K$  to be H-slice, which can be obstructed using the signature: we choose  $K$  with  $\sigma_K(-1) > 2b_2^-(X) = b_2(X) - \sigma(X)$ , and Theorem 2.1 shows that  $K$  cannot be H-slice in  $X$ .

Now suppose that  $Q_X$  is *even*. Let  $M$  denote the matrix representing  $Q_X$  in such a basis, and construct an  $n$ -component link  $L'$  such that every component is an unknot and its pairwise linking numbers are given by the non-diagonal entries of the matrix  $M$ . Let  $L = L' \sqcup K$ . If  $L$  is strongly slice in  $X$ , then by capping off all the (unknotted) components of  $L'$  with a disc in  $B^4$ , we get a collection of spheres  $S_1, \dots, S_{b_2(X)}$  embedded in  $X$  with intersection pattern mod 2 given by the matrix  $M$  (note that we know nothing of the self-intersection numbers, except that they are even). Since  $\det M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , these spheres must be linearly independent

FIGURE 3. The structure of the link  $L$ .

in  $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and therefore they must rationally span all of it. Thus,  $K$  must be  $H$ -slice, which we can obstruct using the signature as in the case of  $X$  odd.

Lastly, we have to show that if  $X$  is smooth or indefinite the links  $L'$  we constructed are topologically strongly slice in  $X$ . By Freedman's classification theorem,  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $(\#^a \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \# (\#^b \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})$  or  $(\#^c X_{\pm E_8}) \# (\#^d S^2 \times S^2)$ , depending on its parity.

For the case of  $X$  odd, note that the Hopf link is slice in both  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , so  $L'$  (a union of  $n = a + b$  copies of the Hopf link) is slice in  $(\#^a \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \# (\#^b \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})$ .

For the case of  $X$  even, by construction  $L'$  consists of the attaching spheres of the 2-handles that exhibit  $(\#^c X_{\pm E_8}) \# (\#^d S^2 \times S^2)$  as a plumbing filled out with contractible pieces. Thus, it is strongly slice by construction.  $\square$

#### 4. A 2-COMPONENT LINK NOT SMOOTHLY SLICE IN $S^2 \times S^2$

Theorem 1.3 shows that there exists a 3-component link that is not topologically slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . In this section we improve this result *in the smooth category* by proving Theorem 1.1, which we restate below.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The 2-component link in Figure 1 is not smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .*

The proof of the theorem is a rather long case analysis and will take the rest of the section.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the two link components, and suppose that  $L$  bounds two disjoint smooth discs  $D_A, D_B \subset (S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$ , so that  $\partial D_A = A$  and  $\partial D_B = B$ , and let  $\alpha := [D_A]$  and  $\beta := [D_B]$  denote the homology classes of such discs in  $H_2((S^2 \times S^2)^\circ, S^3) \cong H_2(S^2 \times S^2)$ . The idea of the proof is to combine various obstructive methods to rule out all the possible pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , hence showing that the link cannot be slice. To do so, we will progressively add assumptions on  $L$  until we eventually eliminate all pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . All the assumptions are collected together in Appendix A.

**4.1. The structure of the link  $L$ .** We make some assumptions on the structure of  $L$  to simplify our case analysis and our computations in the later subsections. Specifically, we assume that:

- (A1)  $L$  has a diagram as in Figure 3, where  $T_A$  (resp.  $T_B$ ) is a  $(1, 1)$ -tangle whose closure is  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ), and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the number of right-handed full twists added in the region.




FIGURE 4. The figure shows the band surgeries to get  $A\#B$  and  $A\#B^r\#T_{2,2n\pm 1}$ , respectively. The sign of the crossing in the band on the right determines whether the torus knot component is  $T_{2,2n-1}$  or  $T_{2,2n+1}$ .

We remark that  $n = -\text{lk}(L) = \alpha \cdot \beta$ . This follows from the more general statement that if  $\Sigma_A, \Sigma_B \subset X^\circ$  are properly embedded surfaces in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and with boundary  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, the following relation holds

$$(4.1) \quad \#(\Sigma_A \pitchfork \Sigma_B) + \text{lk}(m(L)) = \alpha \cdot \beta,$$

where  $m(L)$  denotes the mirror of  $L$ . This is because one can cap off  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Sigma_B$  in  $X$  using Seifert surfaces  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  for  $m(A)$  and  $m(B)$  respectively, slightly pushed into  $B^4$ . Then the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  is computed by  $\#(\Sigma_A \pitchfork \Sigma_B) + \#(F_A \pitchfork F_B)$ , and the second summand is well known to agree with  $\text{lk}(m(L))$ .

Our assumption on the structure of  $L$  gives us the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth, connected 4-manifold,  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (A1), and that there are two disjoint smooth discs  $D_A, D_B \subset X^\circ$ , with  $\partial D_A = A$  and  $\partial D_B = B$ . If  $\alpha := [D_A]$  and  $\beta := [D_B]$ , then:*

- the knot  $A\#B$  bounds a smooth disc in  $X^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha + \beta$ ;
- the knot  $A\#B^r\#T_{2,2n\pm 1}$  bounds a smooth disc in  $X^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha - \beta$ ;
- the knot  $A\#(B_{(2,-2\beta^2-2n\pm 1)})$  bounds a smooth disc in  $X^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha + 2\beta$ .

Note that  $n = -\text{lk}(A, B)$ .

In the statement above  $K^r$  denotes the reverse of the knot  $K$  (i.e.  $K$  with reversed orientation), not to be confused with the mirror  $m(K)$  of  $K$ , and the knot  $K_{(p,q)}$  denotes the  $(p, q)$ -cable of  $K$ .

*Proof.* For the first bullet point, by performing a surgery along a horizontal band below the  $n$ -labelled box in Figure 3, then the box can be undone and one obtains  $A\#B$ . See the left hand side of Figure 4.

If instead one first reverses the orientation of  $B$  and then performs a surgery along a band parallel to the  $n$ -labelled box, but half-twisted, one gets a new knot which is the connected sum of three summands:  $A$  appears on the left,  $B$  on the right, and  $T_{2,2n\pm 1}$  in the middle. (The sign in  $\pm 1$  depends on the direction of twisting of the band.) See the right hand side of Figure 4.

We now turn to the last bullet point. First, take a parallel copy  $D'_B$  of  $D_B$ , so that the two are disjoint. Then,  $\partial(D_B \cup D'_B) = B_{2,2p}$ , an appropriate cable of  $B$ . Equation (4.1) applied to  $B_{2,2p}$  implies that  $p = -\beta^2$ . By attaching a half-twisted band to join the two components, we see that  $B_{2,-2\beta^2\pm 1}$  bounds a disc in homology




FIGURE 5. The figure on the left shows the band sum of  $A$  and the cable  $B_{2,-2\beta^2 \pm 1}$ . (The two parallel copies of  $B$  do not wind on each other in the box labelled with  $n$ .) The figure on the right shows that a positive full twist in the box labelled  $n$  on the left can be traded for a negative full twist on the cable of  $B$ .

class  $2\beta$  and supported in a neighbourhood of  $D_B$ . Now we consider the original link  $L$  and we cable the  $B$  component to obtain  $B_{2,-2\beta^2 \pm 1}$ . After band summing the components  $A$  and  $B_{2,-2\beta^2 \pm 1}$  as shown in Figure 5 on the left, we obtain a knot that bounds a disc in homology class  $\alpha + 2\beta$ . After an isotopy (see Figure 5 on the right), this knot is identified as  $A \# (B_{(2,-2\beta^2 - 2n \pm 1)})$ .  $\square$

**4.2. The genus function on  $S^2 \times S^2$ .** Given a smooth, connected 4-manifold  $X$ , the 4-ball genus of a knot  $K$  gives a first obstruction to the homology classes of  $H_2(X^\circ, S^3)$  that are represented by a disc with boundary  $K$ . More precisely, if there is such a disc in homology class  $\alpha$ , by gluing it to a minimal surface for  $K$  in  $B^4$  we obtain a closed surface of genus  $g_{B^4}(K)$  sitting in a homology class that by abuse of notation we still call  $\alpha \in H_2(X) \cong H_2(X^\circ, S^3)$ . Then, knowledge of the genus function on  $H_2(X)$  can give obstruction to such an  $\alpha$ .

Luckily for us, the smooth genus function on  $S^2 \times S^2$  was determined by Ruberman.

**Theorem 4.2** ([Rub96, Corollary 1.3]). *The minimal genus of a smoothly embedded orientable surface in  $S^2 \times S^2$  in homology class  $(a_1, a_2) \in H_2(S^2 \times S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , with respect to the obvious basis, is*

$$G_{S^2 \times S^2}(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_1 \cdot a_2 = 0 \\ (|a_1| - 1) \cdot (|a_2| - 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us now return to the link  $L = A \cup B$  whose sliceness in  $S^2 \times S^2$  we are trying to obstruct. If either  $A$  or  $B$  were slice in the 4-ball, then by Proposition 1.4 the link would be slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . The next simplest assumption we can make is the following:

$$\text{(A2)} \quad g_{B^4}(A) = g_{B^4}(B) = 1.$$

This assumption implies that if  $A$  bounds a smooth disc  $D_A \subseteq (S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$ , then  $D_A$  must be in homology class  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2)$  with  $\min(|a_1|, |a_2|) \leq 1$  or  $|a_1| = |a_2| = 2$ . (Same goes for  $D_B$ .)

*Remark 4.3.* This is the only point in our argument where the adjective *smooth* actually makes a difference. As far as the authors know, the topological genus function of  $S^2 \times S^2$  (defined using locally flat embeddings as opposed to smooth ones) is not known. However, as every primitive class of  $S^2 \times S^2$  is represented by a locally flat embedded sphere (by a result of Lee-Wilczyński), there would be

	$(0, y)$	$(y, 0)$	$(\pm 1, y)$	$(y, \pm 1)$	$(\pm 2, \pm 2)$
$(0, x)$	0	$xy$	$\pm x$	$xy$	$\pm 2x$
$(1, x)$	$y$	$xy$	$y \pm x$	$xy \pm 1$	$\pm 2 \pm 2x$
$(2, \pm 2)$	$2y$	$\pm 2y$	$2y \pm 2$	$\pm 2 \pm 2y$	$0, \pm 8$

TABLE 1. The table shows the possible pairs of homology classes  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , under assumptions (A1)-(A3). The value of the cell is the intersection numbers  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ . The orange (cells (1,3),(1,4),(1,5) and (2,5)) and light purple cells (cells (3,2) and (3,4)) can be discarded by symmetry considerations.

many more classes to consider in the case analysis. The paper of Lee-Wilczyński, which was brought to our attention by Arunima Ray, more generally gives an upper bound to the topological genus function by determining exactly when there is a *simple* topological embedding, cf. [LW97, Theorem 1.2]. See also [KPRT22].

**4.3. Symmetries.** We will use symmetries to reduce the number of pairs of homology classes  $(\alpha, \beta)$  that we need to study. In addition to using the symmetries of  $X := S^2 \times S^2$ , we will make the following assumption:

(A3) The link  $L$  in Figure 3 has an ambient isotopy that swaps  $A$  and  $B$ .

With the above assumption, we list all the orientation-preserving symmetries we can use and their action:

- (S1) swapping the  $S^2$  factors in  $S^2 \times S^2$ :  
acts on  $H_2(X)$  by  $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto (a_2, a_1)$ ;
- (S2) inverting both  $S^2$  factors:  
acts on  $H_2(X)$  by  $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto (-a_1, -a_2)$ ;
- (S3) assumption (A3):  
acts on pairs  $(\alpha, \beta) \in H_2(X) \times H_2(X)$  by  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\beta, \alpha)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (A1)-(A3) which is smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then, up to symmetries we may assume that  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) is as in the first column (resp. row) of Table 1, and that the corresponding table entry is not marked in orange or light purple.*

*Proof.* By (A2), we know that  $g_{B^4}(A) = g_{B^4}(B) = 1$ . We can cap off the slice discs  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  with a minimum genus surface in  $B^4$  for (the mirrors of)  $A$  and  $B$ , and obtain closed surfaces of genus 1, smoothly embedded in  $S^2 \times S^2$  in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively.

By Ruberman's result (Theorem 4.2), we know that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  must be of the form  $(a_1, a_2)$  so that one of the following three conditions hold:

- $|a_1| \leq 1$ , or
- $|a_2| \leq 1$ , or
- $|a_1| = |a_2| = 2$ .

This already gives the possible values of  $\beta$  in Table 1. For the possible values of  $\alpha$ , using symmetries (S1)-(S2), we can further assume that  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2)$  with  $a_1 = 0, 1, 2$ , and we therefore obtain Table 1.

Using symmetry (S3), and possibly symmetries (S1)-(S2) again, we can trade the orange cells for another cell in the table. Finally, using symmetries (S1)-(S2), we can also discard the light purple cells.  $\square$

**4.4. Linking number and Arf invariant.** The next restriction that we will put on  $L$  is the linking number of the two components, which is (up to sign) the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ . At the same time we also impose an assumption on the Arf invariant of  $A$  and  $B$ , which will simplify our analysis.

$$(A4) \quad \text{lk}(A, B) = -4.$$

$$(A5) \quad \text{Arf } A = \text{Arf } B = 1.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (A1)-(A5) which is smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then, up to symmetries, the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to one of two infinite families*

- (1)  $((1, x), (1, 4 - x))$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (2)  $((1, x), (-1, 4 + x))$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

or is one of the following four sporadic cases:

- (3)  $((2, 2), (1, 1))$ ,
- (4)  $((2, 2), (-1, 3))$ ,
- (5)  $((2, -2), (1, 3))$ ,
- (6)  $((2, -2), (-1, 1))$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.4, we know that the possible combinations of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the entries of Table 1 that are not marked in orange or light purple. By (A4) and Equation (4.1), we know that the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , computed in Table 1, must be 4.

We study the possible combinations that yield  $\alpha \cdot \beta = 4$  by analysing such an equation for each non-colored cell of the table separately. We will start with entry (2, 3) of the table, which yields the two infinite families.

- Entry (2, 3):  $y \pm x = 4$ .  
The equation has infinitely many solutions, namely  $y = 4 \mp x$ . Thus, we get the two infinite families in the statement of the lemma.
- Entry (1, 1):  $0 = 4$ .  
The equation has no solutions.
- Entry (2, 1):  $y = 4$ .  
This implies that  $\beta = (0, 4)$ , which is a characteristic class with  $\beta^2 = 0$ . By Theorem 2.3, we deduce that  $\text{Arf } B = 0$ , contradicting (A5). Thus, we do not get any new pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .
- Entry (3, 1):  $2y = 4$ .  
This implies that  $\beta = (0, 2)$ , which is again a characteristic class with  $\beta^2 = 0$ . Thus, we do not get any new pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .
- Entry (1, 2):  $xy = 4$ .  
Every solution of the equations has either  $x$  even or  $y$  even, and therefore either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  (or both) is characteristic and squares to 0, again contradicting (A5) as in the previous two points. Thus, no solutions of  $xy = 4$  yield a possible pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .
- Entry (2, 2):  $xy = 4$ .  
Following the same reasoning of the previous points, we can rule out all solutions where  $y$  is even. Thus, we get two possible solutions, namely

$x = 4, y = 1$  and  $x = -4, y = -1$ . These yield the pairs  $((1, 4), (1, 0))$  and  $((1, -4), (-1, 0))$ . However, these are not new solutions, because they belong to the infinite families (1) and (2) respectively.

- Entry (3, 3):  $2y \pm 2 = 4$ .  
Depending on the choice of the signs in  $(2, \pm 2)$  and  $(\pm 1, y)$ , this equation yields exactly the four sporadic cases as possible solutions.
- Entry (2, 4):  $xy \pm 1 = 4$ .  
The two equations are  $xy = 3$  and  $xy = 5$ . Since both 3 and 5 are prime numbers, one of  $x$  and  $y$  must be  $\pm 1$ . After perhaps applying symmetries (S1)-(S3), we may assume that  $y = \pm 1$ . Thus, we can assume that  $\beta$  is of the form  $(\pm 1, y')$ , so any solution coming from this entry is already contained in the infinite families coming from entry (2, 3).
- Entry (3, 5):  $0, \pm 8 = 4$ .  
This equation has no solutions. □

**4.5. The genus function again.** From the list of cases provided by Lemma 4.5 we can immediately rule out cases (1) and (3) using the genus function again.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.5, the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  does not belong to the infinite family (1) or to the sporadic case (3).*

*Proof.* We claim that if  $L = A \cup B$  were smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$  with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then the homology class  $\alpha + \beta$  would be represented by a closed, smoothly embedded, genus-2 surface.

Indeed, by Lemma 4.1 the knot  $A \# B$  would bound a smooth disc in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha + \beta$ . Since  $g_4(A \# B) \leq 2$  by assumption (A2), we would be able to construct the desired closed genus-2 surface, smoothly embedded in  $S^2 \times S^2$  in homology class  $\alpha + \beta$ , by capping off a  $g_4$ -minimising surface for  $A \# B$  in  $B^4$  with the slice disc in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$ .

However, if the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to the infinite family (1), then  $\alpha + \beta = (2, 4)$ , and if  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to the sporadic case (3), then  $\alpha + \beta = (3, 3)$ . Neither of these homology classes are represented by a smooth genus-2 surface (see Theorem 4.2). □

**4.6. Levine-Tristram signatures.** The last step in order to prove Theorem 1.1 is to obstruct the existence of pairs of discs  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  in the homology classes given by Lemma 4.5. We have already taken care of two cases in Lemma 4.6. For the remaining cases we will use the obstruction from the Levine-Tristram signatures (Theorem 2.1). We will first choose a value of the classical signature of  $A$  and  $B$  to obstruct the infinite family (2) from Lemma 4.5, then we will use other signatures to obstruct the remaining sporadic cases.

We recall that  $\sigma_K(\cdot)$  denotes the signature function of a knot  $K$ . We also introduce the notation  $\zeta_m := e^{2\pi i/m}$ . We remark that  $\zeta_m$  denotes this very specific root of unity, not just any primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. For example,  $\sigma_K(\zeta_2) = \sigma_K(-1)$  is the classical signature of  $K$ .

These are the assumptions we make on the signature functions:

- (A6)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_2) = 2$ .
- (A7)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_4) = \sigma_B(\zeta_4) = 2$ .
- (A8)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_8) = \sigma_B(\zeta_8) = 2$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (A1) and (A6) which is smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  does not belong to the infinite family (2) of Lemma 4.5, nor is one of the sporadic cases (5) and (6).*

*Proof.* The obstruction that we use in this lemma is Theorem 2.1, which, in the special case of  $K$  bounding a disc  $D$  in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  such that  $[D]$  is 2-divisible, says that

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \sigma_K(\zeta_2) - \frac{[D]^2}{2} \right| \leq 2.$$

Assume by contradiction that  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is in the infinite family (2), i.e. it is of the form  $((1, x), (-1, 4 + x))$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 4.1 the knot  $A \# B$  bounds a smooth disc in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha + \beta = (0, 2x)$ . By applying Equation (4.2) with  $K = A \# B$  and  $D = D_+$  we obtain

$$\left| \sigma_{A \# B}(\zeta_2) - \frac{[D_+]^2}{2} \right| \leq 2,$$

which is a contradiction since  $\sigma_{A \# B}(\zeta_2) = \sigma_A(\zeta_2) + \sigma_B(\zeta_2) = 4$  and  $[D_+]^2 = 0$ .

As for the sporadic cases (5) and (6), we obstruct them by showing that  $A$  cannot bound a disc  $D_A$  in homology class  $\alpha = (2, -2)$ . Indeed, if such a disc existed, we could apply Equation (4.2), which in this case yields the contradiction

$$\left| 2 - \frac{-8}{2} \right| \leq 2. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (A1), (A4), (A7) and (A8) which is smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq ((2, 2), (-1, 3))$ , which is the sporadic case (4) of Lemma 4.5.*

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that  $(\alpha, \beta) = ((2, 2), (-1, 3))$ . By Lemma 4.1, third bullet point, the knot  $A \# (B_{(2,3)})$  bounds a smooth disc  $D$  in  $(S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  in homology class  $(0, 8)$ , which is 8-divisible. (For the computation of the cabling coefficient, we used  $\beta^2 = -6$  and  $n = 4$ .) Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.1 with  $m = 8$  and  $r = 1$ , and by noting that  $[D]^2 = 0$  we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \sigma_{A \# (B_{(2,3)})}(\zeta_8) \right| \leq 2.$$

We compute  $\sigma_{A \# (B_{(2,3)})}(\zeta_8)$  using Theorem 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A \# (B_{(2,3)})}(\zeta_8) &= \sigma_A(\zeta_8) + \sigma_{B_{(2,3)}}(\zeta_8) \\ &= \sigma_A(\zeta_8) + \sigma_B(\zeta_4) + \sigma_{T_{2,3}}(\zeta_8) \\ &= 2 + 2 + 0 = 4, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (A7) and (A8), and the computation for  $T_{2,3}$  is straightforward from the definition (see also Equation (5.5)). Thus, we have obtained a contradiction with Equation (4.3).  $\square$

**4.7. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following more general theorem.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $L$  be a 2-component link in  $S^3$  satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A8). Then  $L$  is not smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = A \cup B$ , and suppose by contradiction that it bounds two disjoint smooth discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Then, by Lemma 4.5 the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to one of the infinite families (1) and (2) or is one of the four sporadic cases (3), (4), (5), and (6). However, Lemmas 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8 show that none of these possibilities can happen. Thus,  $L$  could not be smoothly slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .  $\square$

To find a concrete example of a 2-component link that is not slice in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , we need to produce a link satisfying all assumptions (A1)-(A8). Our assumption (A3) on the symmetry of the link implies that it is enough to find a *knot*  $K$  with certain properties, and then set  $A = B = K$  as knots in  $S^3$ . The properties that  $K$  must satisfy are:

- $g_4(K) = 1$ ;
- $\text{Arf } K = 1$ ;
- $\sigma_K(\zeta_2) = \sigma_K(\zeta_4) = \sigma_K(\zeta_8) = 2$ .

A search with KnotInfo [LM23] showed that we can choose  $K = m(7_2)$ , the mirror of the knot  $7_2$ .

Thus, since the link in Figure 1 satisfies all assumptions (A1)-(A8), Theorem 4.9 implies Theorem 1.1.

## 5. A 2-COMPONENT LINK NOT SMOOTHLY SLICE IN $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$

Recall that by the Norman-Suzuki trick all knots are slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . However, using the same techniques as for  $S^2 \times S^2$ , we find the minimal component number of a non-slice link in the smooth category.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The 2-component link in Figure 2 is not smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .*

Similarly to the case of  $S^2 \times S^2$ , this theorem is the result of a long case analysis, and makes use of Tristram-Levine signatures, the Arf invariant, linking numbers and the associated genus function. The strategy of ruling out all possible pairs of homology classes for the two discs will also be the same, i.e. we will add assumptions on the link  $L$  until we rule out all of them. The assumptions will be collected together in Appendix B.

The first assumption that we make is the same as (A1), about the general form of the link in question:

- (B1)  $L$  has a diagram as in Figure 3, where  $T_A$  (resp.  $T_B$ ) is a  $(1, 1)$ -tangle whose closure is  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ), and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the number of right-handed full twists added in the region.

However, the specifics of the genus function makes the previous casework mostly inapplicable to this setting. As before, suppose that  $L$  bounds two disjoint smooth discs  $D_A, D_B \subset (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})^\circ$ , so that  $\partial D_A = A$  and  $\partial D_B = B$ , and let  $\alpha := [D_A]$  and  $\beta := [D_B]$  denote the homology classes of such discs in  $H_2((\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})^\circ, S^3) \cong H_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})$ .

**5.1. The genus function on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .** As in the case of  $S^2 \times S^2$ , we start from the smooth genus function on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , which was determined by Ruberman.

**Theorem 5.2** ([Rub96, Corollary 1.3]). *The minimal genus of a smoothly embedded orientable surface in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  in homology class  $(a_1, a_2) \in H_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , with respect to the obvious basis, is*

$$G_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{(|a_1| - 1)(|a_1| - 2)}{2} - \frac{|a_2|(|a_2| - 1)}{2} & \text{if } |a_1| > |a_2| \\ 0 & \text{if } |a_1| = |a_2| \\ \frac{(|a_2| - 1)(|a_2| - 2)}{2} - \frac{|a_1|(|a_1| - 1)}{2} & \text{if } |a_1| < |a_2| \end{cases}$$

As in the case of  $S^2 \times S^2$ , we make an assumption on the 4-genus of  $A$  and  $B$ , which is exactly the same as (A2):

$$(B2) \quad g_{B^4}(A) = g_{B^4}(B) = 1.$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a knot with  $g_{B^4}(A) = 1$  which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with a disc in homology class  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2)$ , where:*

- $||a_1| - |a_2|| \leq 1$ ; or
- $\{|a_1|, |a_2|\} = \{0, 3\}$ ; or
- $\{|a_1|, |a_2|\} = \{1, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  bounds a smooth disc  $D_A \subseteq (S^2 \times S^2)^\circ$  in homology class  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  is represented by a closed surface of genus 1 (obtained by capping off a minimal genus surface for  $A$  in  $B^4$  with the slice disc  $D_A$ ), and therefore  $G_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}(\alpha) \leq 1$ .

An analysis of the function  $G_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}(a_1, a_2)$  shows that the values 0 and 1 are attained if and only if  $||a_1| - |a_2|| \leq 1$ ,  $\{|a_1|, |a_2|\} = \{0, 3\}$ , or  $\{|a_1|, |a_2|\} = \{1, 3\}$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Symmetries.** Like we did for  $S^2 \times S^2$ , we will use symmetries to reduce the number of pairs of homology classes  $(\alpha, \beta)$  that we need to study. In addition to using the symmetries of  $X := \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , we will make the following assumption (which is exactly the same as (A3)):

(B3) The link  $L$  in Figure 3 has an ambient isotopy that swaps  $A$  and  $B$ .

With the above assumption, we list all the orientation-preserving symmetries we can use and their action:

- (R1) complex conjugation on the  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  summand:  
acts on  $H_2(X)$  by  $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto (-a_1, a_2)$ ;
- (R2) complex conjugation on the  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  summand:  
acts on  $H_2(X)$  by  $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto (a_1, -a_2)$ ;
- (R3) assumption (B3):  
acts on pairs  $(\alpha, \beta) \in H_2(X) \times H_2(X)$  by  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\beta, \alpha)$ .

**5.3. Linking number and Arf invariant.** We first rule out the possibility that  $\alpha$  is of the form  $(a, \pm a)$  (or that  $\beta$  is of the form  $(b, \pm b)$ ). To this end, we make two assumptions:

- (B4a)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .
- (B5)  $\text{Arf } A = \text{Arf } B = 1$ .

We remark that (B4a) is one of the assumptions that we will make on the linking number. This assumption, together with the upcoming ones (B4b)-(B4f), will be subsumed in assumption (B4), which is the one listed in Appendix B.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B4a) and (B5) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha$  is not of the form  $(a, \pm a)$  (and likewise  $\beta$  is not of the form  $(b, \pm b)$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that  $\alpha = (a, \pm a)$ , and let  $\beta = (b_1, b_2)$ . Then, by Equation (4.1)

$$a(b_1 \mp b_2) = -\text{lk}(A, B),$$

which is odd by (B4). This implies that  $a$  is odd too, and that therefore  $\alpha = (a, \pm a)$  is a characteristic class. Then, using Theorem 2.3 we can compute

$$\text{Arf } A \equiv \frac{\sigma(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}) - \alpha^2}{8} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

which contradicts assumption (B5).  $\square$

We add a further assumption on the linking number to rule out the classes  $(\pm 3, 0)$  or  $(0, \pm 3)$ :

**(B4b)**  $\text{lk}(A, B) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B4b) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha$  (and likewise  $\beta$ ) is not of the form  $(\pm 3, 0)$  or  $(0, \pm 3)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha$  were of the form  $(\pm 3, 0)$  or  $(0, \pm 3)$ , then by Equation (4.1) the linking number  $\text{lk}(A, B)$  would be divisible by 3, contradicting (B4b).  $\square$

By putting together everything obtained so far, we can prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1)-(B3), (B4a), (B4b), and (B5) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then we can assume that either both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to an infinite family (not necessarily the same) among the following:*

- (1)  $(a, a + 1)$ ,
- (2)  $(a + 1, a)$ ,
- (3)  $(a, -(a + 1))$ ,
- (4)  $(a + 1, -a)$ ;

or  $\alpha$  belongs to one of the above infinite families while  $\beta$  is one of the eight sporadic classes cases  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  and  $(\pm 3, \pm 1)$ .

*Proof.* Lemmas 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5 imply that each of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belongs to one of the four infinite families or is one of the eight sporadic classes  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  and  $(\pm 3, \pm 1)$ .

To rule out the possibility that both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are sporadic classes, we notice that in such a case the linking number  $\text{lk}(A, B) = -\alpha \cdot \beta$  would be even, in contradiction with assumption (B4a). Thus, either each of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belongs to one of the infinite families, or exactly one of them is  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  or  $(\pm 3, \pm 1)$ , in which case by assumption (B3) we can assume it is  $\beta$ .  $\square$

	$(b, b+1)$	$(b+1, b)$	$(b, -(b+1))$	$(b+1, -b)$
$(a, a+1)$	$-(a+b+1)$	$a-b$	$2ab+a+b+1$	$2ab+a+b$
$(a+1, a)$	$b-a$	$a+b+1$	$2ab+a+b$	$2ab+a+b+1$
$(a, -(a+1))$	$2ab+a+b+1$	$2ab+a+b$	$-(a+b+1)$	$a-b$
$(a+1, -a)$	$2ab+a+b$	$2ab+a+b+1$	$b-a$	$a+b+1$

TABLE 2. The table shows the possible pairs of homology classes  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , under the assumption that both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in one of the infinite families from Lemma 5.6 (not necessarily the same). The value in each cell is the intersection number  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ . Cell (1,1) is grey, cell (1,2) is blue, cells (1,3) and (1,4) are green, and the rest are orange. The orange cells can be discarded by symmetry considerations.

5.4. **Ruling out the pairs of infinite families.** Moving from Lemma 5.6, we start by obstructing the case when both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in one of the infinite families. The possible pairs of homology classes are summarised in Table 2.

Noting that symmetries (R1) and (R2) combined permute the 4 infinite families from Lemma 5.6 transitively, we can restrict to the first row of the table, where  $\alpha$  is of the form  $(a, a+1)$ .

5.4.1. *Ruling out the grey cell.* We start by ruling out the grey cell of Table 2. We make the following further assumption on the linking number:

$$(B4c) \quad |\text{lk}(A, B)| \geq 4.$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B2), (B4a), and (B4c) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq ((a, a+1), (b, b+1))$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* By contradiction assume  $(\alpha, \beta) = ((a, a+1), (b, b+1))$ . By Equation (4.1),  $\text{lk}(A, B) = a + b + 1$ , and assumption (B4a) then implies that the integer  $a + b$  is even.

Therefore, the class  $\alpha + \beta = (a + b, a + b + 2)$  is 2-divisible, and (using Lemma 4.1) we can apply Theorem 2.1 to  $K = A \# B$ ,  $[\Sigma] = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $m = 2$ , and  $r = 1$ , which after some manipulation yields

$$|\sigma_{A \# B}(-1) + 2 \cdot \text{lk}(A, B)| \leq 2.$$

By assumption (B2), the 4-genera of  $A$  and  $B$  are 1, and therefore their signatures are in absolute value less than 2, implying  $|\sigma_{A \# B}(-1)| \leq 4$ . Thus, by the triangle inequality, we get

$$|2 \cdot \text{lk}(A, B)| \leq |\sigma_{A \# B}(-1)| + |\sigma_{A \# B}(-1) + 2 \cdot \text{lk}(A, B)| \leq 4 + 2,$$

which contradicts (B4c).  $\square$

5.4.2. *Ruling out the blue cell.* To rule out the blue cell, we make our first assumption on the signature function.

$$(B6) \quad \sigma_A(\zeta_2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_2) \neq 0.$$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B2), (B4a) and (B6) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  does not correspond to the blue cell in Table 2.*

*Proof.* By contradiction assume  $(\alpha, \beta) = ((a, a+1), (b+1, b))$ . By Equation (4.1),  $\text{lk}(A, B) = b - a$ , which is odd by assumption (B4a).

Therefore, the class  $\alpha + \beta = (a + b + 1, a + b + 1)$  is 2-divisible and with square 0. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.1 as in Lemma 5.7 we get

$$|\sigma_{A\#B}(-1)| \leq 2,$$

which contradicts assumption (B6).  $\square$

5.4.3. *Ruling out the green cells.* To rule out the green cells of Table 2 we make another assumption on the linking number.

(B4d)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \notin \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11\}$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B2), (B4a), (B4d), and (B6) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  does not correspond to one of the green cells in Table 2.*

*Proof.* We can treat both cases simultaneously by writing

$$\alpha = (a, a+1) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (b+\eta, -(b+1-\eta))$$

for some  $\eta \in \{0, 1\}$ . We can compute the pairing

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot \beta &= a(b+\eta) + (a+1)(b+1-\eta) \\ &= 2ab + a + b - \eta + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is odd by assumption (B4a), and thus deduce that the integer  $a + b - \eta$  must be even. It then follows that the class

$$\alpha + \beta = (a + b + \eta, a - b + \eta)$$

is 2-divisible. Using Lemma 4.1 we can apply Theorem 2.1 to  $K = A\#B$ ,  $[\Sigma] = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $m = 2$ , and  $r = 1$ , which after some manipulation yields

$$|\sigma_{A\#B}(-1) - 2(a + \eta)b| \leq 2.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.7, we deduce that  $|(a + \eta) \cdot b| \leq 3$ , but assumption (B6) allows us to discard the case  $(a + \eta) \cdot b = 0$ , as in Lemma 5.8. Thus, we get

$$(5.2) \quad (a + \eta) \cdot b \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}.$$

For each of the possible pairs  $(a + \eta, b)$  satisfying Equation (5.2), we will compute the pairing  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , which equals  $-\text{lk}(A, B)$ , and check that it is one of the values listed in assumption (B4d).

If we let  $\tilde{a} = a + \eta$ , then the possible values of the pairs  $(\tilde{a}, b)$  satisfying Equation (5.2) are

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} (1, 1) & (1, -1) & (-1, 1) & (-1, -1) \\ (1, 3) & (1, -3) & (-1, 3) & (-1, -3) \end{array}$$

and the ones obtained from these by swapping the two coordinates. Note that we do not need to consider the case when  $\tilde{a}b = \pm 2$ , because this has no solutions under our assumption (noted earlier) that  $\tilde{a} + b = a + b + \eta$  is an even number.

To simplify the computation of  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  for all these cases, we first note that the expression (5.1) is symmetric in  $a$  and  $b$ , hence we can re-write

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot \beta &= (a + \eta)b + (a + 1 - \eta)(b + 1) \\ &= \tilde{a}b + (\tilde{a} + 1 - 2\eta)(b + 1). \end{aligned}$$

To treat the two cases of  $\eta$  simultaneously, we denote  $f_\eta(\tilde{a}, b)$  as the function from Equation (5.4). It is straightforward to check that

$$f_1(\tilde{a}, b) = -f_0(-\tilde{a}, b),$$

so it is enough to compute the values of  $f_\eta(\tilde{a}, b)$  in the case  $\eta = 0$  (and remember to allow for a potential sign change). A further simplification comes from the fact that

$$f_0(\tilde{a}, b) = f_0(b, \tilde{a}),$$

so it is enough to compute  $f_0$  in the 8 cases from Equation (5.3). These values are straightforward to compute, and they are

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 5 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 11 & -7 & -3 & 3 \end{array}$$

These integers and their opposites are exactly the numbers listed in the set of assumption (B4d). It follows that if assumption (B4d) holds the link  $L = A \cup B$  cannot be smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  corresponding to one of the green cells in Table 2.  $\square$

**5.5. Ruling out the sporadic cases.** Referring to the statement of Lemma 5.6, we still have to rule out the case when  $\alpha$  belongs to one of the infinite families and  $\beta$  is one of the eight sporadic cases. We first use the symmetries to reduce the cases we have to deal with.

**Lemma 5.10.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B2), and (B4d) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Suppose further that  $\alpha$  belongs to one of the infinite families*

- (1)  $(a, a + 1)$ ,
- (2)  $(a + 1, a)$ ,
- (3)  $(a, -(a + 1))$ ,
- (4)  $(a + 1, -a)$ ;

while  $\beta$  is one of the eight sporadic classes cases  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  and  $(\pm 3, \pm 1)$ . Then up to symmetries (R1) and (R2) we can assume that  $\alpha = (a, a + 1)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta = (3, 1)$  or  $(-1, -3)$ .

*Proof.* Since the symmetries (R1) and (R2) combined permute the 4 infinite families transitively, we can restrict to the case of  $\alpha = (a, a + 1)$ .

If we let  $\beta = (b_1, b_2)$ , we can study 4 cases depending on the value of  $b_2 - b_1$ , which can be  $\pm 2$  or  $\pm 4$ .

Case 1:  $b_2 - b_1 = 4$ . In such a case the class  $\alpha + \beta$  is of the form  $(x, x + 5)$ , and by Lemma 4.1 it is represented by a closed surface of genus 2, obtained by capping off a minimal 4-genus surface for  $m(A \# B)$  in  $B^4$  with a slice disc in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . Thus, the genus function (see Theorem 5.2) implies that  $x = -2$  or  $x = -3$ . Assume that  $\beta = (-1, 3)$ : then for each possible value of  $x$ , we can compute  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ , which is done in Table 3. All the computed values of  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  are obstructed by assumption

$(x, x + 5)$	$(-2, 3)$	$(-3, 2)$
$\alpha$	$(-1, 0)$	$(-2, -1)$
$\alpha \cdot \beta$	1	5

TABLE 3. Computations of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  under the assumption that  $\beta = (-1, 3)$  and  $\alpha + \beta$  is of the form  $(x, x + 5)$ .

$(x, x - 3)$	$(0, -3)$	$(1, -2)$	$(2, -1)$	$(3, 0)$
$\alpha$	$(-1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 2)$	$(2, 3)$
$\alpha \cdot \beta$	-1	3	7	11

TABLE 4. Computations of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  under the assumption that  $\beta = (1, -3)$  and  $\alpha + \beta$  is of the form  $(x, x - 3)$ .

$(x, x - 3)$	$(0, 3)$	$(-1, 2)$	$(-2, 1)$	$(-3, 0)$
$\alpha$	$(3, 4)$	$(2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(0, 1)$
$\alpha \cdot \beta$	-5	-3	-1	1

TABLE 5. Computations of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  under the assumption that  $\beta = (-3, -1)$  and  $\alpha + \beta$  is of the form  $(x, x + 3)$ .

(B4d), so we can rule out the case  $\beta = (-1, 3)$ . As for the case when  $\beta = (-3, 1)$ , we observe that the transformation  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (-x_2, -x_1)$  preserves the infinite family  $(a, a + 1)$  and swaps  $(-3, 1)$  with  $(-1, 3)$ : therefore, it preserves the set of possible values  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  up to multiplication by  $-1$ . These values are still obstructed by assumption (B4d).

Case 2:  $b_2 - b_1 = -4$ . We argue in the same way as Case 1. In this second case, the class  $\alpha + \beta$  is of the form  $(x, x - 3)$ , and as before it is represented by a closed surface of genus 2. Thus, the genus function implies that  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Assuming that  $\beta = (1, -3)$ , the computations of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  for each possible value of  $x$  are summarised in Table 4. All the computed values of  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  are obstructed by assumption (B4d). The other possible case, namely  $\beta = (3, -1)$ , is dealt with by applying the same symmetry argument as in Case 1 above.

Case 3:  $b_2 - b_1 = 2$ . We argue in the same way as the previous two cases. The class  $\alpha + \beta$  is now of the form  $(x, x + 3)$ , and therefore  $x \in \{0, -1, -2, -3\}$ . By the usual symmetry argument, assume that  $\beta = (-3, -1)$ . The computations of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  for each possible value of  $x$  are summarised in Table 5. All the computed values of  $\alpha \cdot \beta$  are obstructed by assumption (B4d).

Thus, the only possibility left is that  $b_2 - b_1 = -2$ , which leaves out the two cases  $\beta = (3, 1)$  or  $(-1, -3)$  that appear in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

The last part of this section is devoted to obstructing the last two remaining cases after Lemma 5.10, namely

- $\alpha = (a, a + 1)$  and  $\beta = (3, 1)$ ;
- $\alpha = (a, a + 1)$  and  $\beta = (-1, -3)$ .

To do so, we will add some assumptions on the 3- and 5-signatures of the knots. We will also need to add more assumptions on the linking number, to ensure that we get enough divisibility to apply Theorem 2.1.

The following formula for the signature function of the positive torus knot  $T_{2,q}$  (i.e.  $q > 0$ ) is a special case of [Lit06, Proposition 1]:

$$(5.5) \quad \sigma_{T_{2,q}}(e^{2\pi i x}) = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} - q \cdot |x| \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } x \in \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \setminus \left( \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2q} \right),$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the floor of  $x$ .

*Remark 5.11.* Equation (5.5) determines the values of  $\sigma_{T_{2,q}}(e^{2\pi i x})$  also at the jump points, i.e. when  $x \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2q}$ , using the property that for every knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  and every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sigma_K(e^{2\pi i x}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \lim_{y \rightarrow x^-} \sigma_K(e^{2\pi i y}) + \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} \sigma_K(e^{2\pi i y}) \right).$$

*Remark 5.12.* When  $q < 0$ , the value of the function  $\sigma_{T_{2,q}}(\cdot)$  can be recovered from Equation (5.5) using the identity  $\sigma_{T_{2,q}}(e^{2\pi i x}) = -\sigma_{T_{2,-q}}(e^{2\pi i x})$ .

5.5.1. *The 3-signatures.* We make the following two assumptions:

**(B4e)**  $\text{lk}(A, B) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**(B7)**  $\sigma_A(\zeta_3) = \sigma_B(\zeta_3) \neq +2$ .

**Lemma 5.13.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B4a), (B4e), and (B7) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq ((a, a+1), (3, 1))$ .*

*Proof.* Using (B4a) and (B4e), we write  $\text{lk}(A, B) = 6j + 1$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, using Equation (4.1) we compute

$$\text{lk}(A, B) = -\alpha \cdot \beta = -2a + 1,$$

from which we deduce  $a = -3j$ .

By Lemma 4.1 (with  $\beta^2 = 8$  and  $n = -6j - 1$ ), we have that the knot

$$K := A \# B_{(2, 12j - 14 \pm 1)}$$

bounds a smooth disc  $D$  in homology class

$$\alpha + 2\beta = (-3j + 6, -3j + 3),$$

which is a 3-divisible class. We can therefore apply Theorem 2.1 to  $K$  and  $D$  with  $m = 3$  and  $r = 1$ , and obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \sigma_K(\zeta_3) - \frac{4}{9} \cdot (\alpha + 2\beta)^2 \right| \leq 2.$$

The computation of  $(\alpha + 2\beta)^2$  is straightforward:

$$(\alpha + 2\beta)^2 = (-3j + 6)^2 - (-3j + 3)^2 = 9 \cdot (-2j + 3).$$

As for the computation of  $\sigma_K(\zeta_3)$ , we use Theorem 2.2 (together with the fact that  $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \sigma_B(\bar{\zeta})$ ):

$$\sigma_K(\zeta_3) = \sigma_A(\zeta_3) + \sigma_B(\zeta_3) + \sigma_{T_{2, 12j - 14 \pm 1}}(\zeta_3).$$

The computation of the last summand is done using Equation (5.5) and Remark 5.12:

$$\sigma_{T_{2,12j-14\pm 1}}(\zeta_3) = -8j + 9 \mp 1.$$

Substituting back into Equation (5.6) we obtain

$$\left| \sigma_A(\zeta_3) + \sigma_B(\zeta_3) + (-8j + 9 \mp 1) - \frac{4}{9} \cdot 9(-2j + 3) \right| \leq 2.$$

This can be simplified as

$$|\sigma_A(\zeta_3) + \sigma_B(\zeta_3) - 3 \mp 1| \leq 2,$$

which is impossible under assumption (B7).  $\square$

5.5.2. *The 5-signatures.* We make the following two assumptions:

**(B4f)**  $\text{lk}(A, B) \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

**(B8)**  $\sigma_A(\zeta_5) + \sigma_A(\zeta_5^2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_5) + \sigma_B(\zeta_5^2) \geq +2$ .

**Lemma 5.14.** *Suppose that  $L = A \cup B$  is a link satisfying (B1), (B3), (B4a), (B4f), and (B8) which is smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , with discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .*

*Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq ((a, a + 1), (-1, -3))$ .*

*Proof.* The argument is similar to that of Lemma 5.13. Using (B4a) and (B4f), we write  $\text{lk}(A, B) = 10k + 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, using Equation (4.1) we compute

$$\text{lk}(A, B) = -\alpha \cdot \beta = -2a - 3,$$

from which we deduce  $a = -5k - 2$ .

We apply Lemma 4.1 with the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  swapped, and (using  $\alpha^2 = 10k + 3$  and  $n = -10k - 1$ ) we have that the knot

$$K := A_{(2, -4\pm 1)} \# B$$

bounds a smooth disc  $D$  in homology class

$$2\alpha + \beta = (-10k - 5, -10k - 5),$$

which is a 5-divisible class with  $(2\alpha + \beta)^2 = 0$ . We can therefore apply Theorem 2.1 to  $K$  and  $D$  with  $m = 5$  and  $r = 1$ , and obtain

$$(5.7) \quad |\sigma_K(\zeta_5)| \leq 2.$$

By Theorem 2.2 we get

$$\sigma_K(\zeta_5) = \sigma_A(\zeta_5^2) + \sigma_B(\zeta_5) + \sigma_{T_{2, -4\pm 1}}(\zeta_5).$$

The last summand equals +2 by Equation (5.5). Substituting back into Equation (5.7), and using (B3) to replace  $\sigma_B(\cdot)$  with  $\sigma_A(\cdot)$ , we obtain

$$|\sigma_A(\zeta_5) + \sigma_A(\zeta_5^2) + 2| \leq 2,$$

which is impossible under assumption (B8).  $\square$

**5.6. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We can now prove Theorem 1.2 in the following, more general form.

**Theorem 5.15.** *Let  $L$  be a 2-component link in  $S^3$  satisfying assumptions (B1)-(B8). Then  $L$  is not smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = A \cup B$ , and suppose by contradiction that it bounds two disjoint smooth discs in homology classes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Then, by Lemma 5.6 we have two possibilities:

- (1) either both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to one of the infinite families of Lemma 5.6, or
- (2)  $\alpha$  belongs to one of the infinite families and  $\beta$  is one of the eight sporadic cases  $(\pm 1, \pm 3)$  and  $(\pm 3, \pm 1)$ .

To rule out possibility (1), recall that the symmetries of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , which are spanned by (R1) and (R2), act transitively on the four infinite families of Lemma 5.6, thus we can assume  $\alpha = (a, a+1)$ . However, Lemmas 5.7, 5.8, and 5.9 rule out this case, depending on what infinite family  $\beta$  belongs to.

Lastly, to rule out possibility (2), by Lemma 5.10 we can assume that  $\alpha = (a, a+1)$  and  $\beta = (3, 1)$  or  $(-1, -3)$ . These two cases are obstructed by Lemmas 5.13 and 5.14 respectively.

Thus,  $L$  could not be smoothly slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . □

In order to find a concrete example of a 2-component link that is not slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  we just need to produce a link satisfying all assumptions (B1)-(B8). Our assumption (B3) on the symmetry of the link implies that it is enough to find a *knot*  $K$  with certain properties, and then set  $A = B = K$  as knots in  $S^3$ . We can choose a knot  $K$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- $g_4(K) = 1$ ;
- $\text{Arf } K = 1$ ;
- $\sigma_K(\zeta_2) = \sigma_K(\zeta_5^2) = +2$  and  $\sigma_K(\zeta_3) = \sigma_K(\zeta_5) = 0$ .

A search with KnotInfo [LM23] showed that we can choose  $K = 10_{125}$ .

Thus, since the link in Figure 3 satisfies all assumptions (B1)-(B8), Theorem 5.15 implies Theorem 1.2.

## 6. A 3-COMPONENT LINK NOT TOPOLOGICALLY SLICE IN $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$

While the methods outlined in Sections 4 and 5 work only in the smooth category, we can improve the result of Theorem 1.3 in the case of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $L = H \sqcup C$  be a 3-component link in  $S^3$  given by the split union of a Hopf link  $H = A \cup B$  and a knot  $C$  satisfying the following properties:*

- $C$  is topologically slice in neither  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  nor  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ ;
- $C$  is not topologically  $H$ -slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

*Then  $L$  is not topologically slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .*

The existence of a knot  $C$  that is topologically slice in neither  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  nor  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  follows by a straightforward variation of an argument of Kasprowski-Powell-Ray-Teichner (cf. [KPRT22, Corollary 1.15.(2)]), which we briefly outline below.

**Proposition 6.2.** *The knot  $C = \#^7 T_{2,3}$  is topologically slice in neither  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  nor  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .*

Note that  $\#^7 T_{2,3}$  is also not topologically H-slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , by Theorem 2.1 applied with  $[\Sigma] = 0$ ,  $m = 2$ , and  $r = 1$ . Thus, it satisfies both conditions of Theorem 6.1.

*Proof.* We identify  $H_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . For every homology class  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we rule out the possibility that  $C$  bounds a locally flat disc in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  in that class.

Since  $\text{Arf } C = 1$ , the same argument as in [KPRT22, Corollary 1.15.(2)] shows that  $C$  does not bounds a disc with  $d = \pm 1$ .

For every other  $d$ , the corresponding homology class is not primitive, so we can apply Theorem 2.1. We prepare for it by defining, for each prime power  $m$  and a knot  $K$ , the ‘central’ signature

$$\sigma_m^{\text{centr}}(K) := \begin{cases} \sigma_K(-1) & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sigma_K\left(e^{\pi i \cdot \frac{m-1}{m}}\right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

In our case, when  $K = C$ , we have  $\sigma_m^{\text{centr}}(K) = -14$  for all prime powers  $m$ .

Suppose by contradiction that  $C$  bounds a disc in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  in homology class  $d \neq \pm 1$ . If  $m$  is a prime power that divides  $d$ , then using Theorem 2.1, the triangle inequality  $|x \pm y| \geq ||x| - |y||$ , and  $\sigma_m^{\text{centr}}(K) = -14$ , we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad 1 \geq |14 - |f_m(d)||,$$

where

$$f_m(d) := \begin{cases} \frac{d^2}{2} - 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{d^2}{2} \cdot \frac{m^2-1}{m^2} - 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

If  $|d| \leq 5$ ,  $d \neq 1$ , then it is immediate to check that  $|f_m(d)| \leq 11$  for every prime power factor  $m$  of  $d$ , so Equation (6.1) is not satisfied.

If  $d = \pm 6$ , then we can choose  $m = 2$ , and since  $f_2(6) = 17$ , again Equation (6.1) is not satisfied.

Finally, if  $|d| \geq 7$ , we have

$$f_m(d) \geq \frac{d^2}{2} \cdot \frac{8}{9} - 1 > 20,$$

and once again Equation (6.1) is not satisfied.

Thus, there cannot be any value of  $d$  such that  $C$  bounds a disc in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  in homology class  $d$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Suppose by contradiction that there exists three disjoint discs  $D_A$ ,  $D_B$ , and  $D_C$  in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  with boundary  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  respectively.

The fact that  $C$  is not topologically H-slice in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  shows that  $[D_C] \neq 0$ . By Equation (4.1),  $[D_A] \cdot [D_C] = [D_B] \cdot [D_C] = 0$ , i.e., the homology classes  $[D_A]$  and  $[D_B]$  are orthogonal to  $[D_C]$ , and since the intersection pairing on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  is non-degenerate and of rank 2,  $[D_A]$  and  $[D_B]$  must in fact be linear multiples of each other. From Equation (4.1) we get

$$[D_A] \cdot [D_B] = \pm 1,$$

and therefore  $[D_A]$  and  $[D_B]$  are primitive. Thus, we must have  $[D_A] = \pm [D_B]$ , and the previous equation implies that  $D_A$  is a  $(\pm 1)$ -framed disc with boundary  $A$ .

If we remove a neighbourhood of  $D_A$  (which is a punctured  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ ), then the complement  $X$  is again a punctured  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , by Freedman's classification theorem [Fre82].

Thus, we obtain a contradiction because by construction  $C$  bounds a disc  $D_C$  in  $X$ , but by hypothesis  $C$  is topologically slice in neither  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  nor  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE LINK NOT SMOOTHLY SLICE IN $S^2 \times S^2$

- (A1)  $L$  has a diagram as in Figure 3, where  $T_A$  (resp.  $T_B$ ) is a  $(1, 1)$ -tangle whose closure is  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ), and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the number of right-handed full twists added in the region.
- (A2)  $g_{B^4}(A) = g_{B^4}(B) = 1$ .
- (A3) The link  $L$  in Figure 3 has an ambient isotopy that swaps  $A$  and  $B$ .
- (A4)  $\text{lk}(A, B) = -4$ .
- (A5)  $\text{Arf } A = \text{Arf } B = 1$ .
- (A6)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_2) = 2$ .
- (A7)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_4) = \sigma_B(\zeta_4) = 2$ .
- (A8)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_8) = \sigma_B(\zeta_8) = 2$ .

#### APPENDIX B. ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE LINK NOT SMOOTHLY SLICE IN $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$

- (B1)  $L$  has a diagram as in Figure 3, where  $T_A$  (resp.  $T_B$ ) is a  $(1, 1)$ -tangle whose closure is  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ), and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the number of right-handed full twists added in the region.
- (B2)  $g_{B^4}(A) = g_{B^4}(B) = 1$ .
- (B3) The link  $L$  in Figure 3 has an ambient isotopy that swaps  $A$  and  $B$ .
- (B4)  $\text{lk}(A, B) = 30\ell + 1$  for some  $\ell \neq 0$ .
- (B5)  $\text{Arf } A = \text{Arf } B = 1$ .
- (B6)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_2) \neq 0$ .
- (B7)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_3) = \sigma_B(\zeta_3) \neq +2$ .
- (B8)  $\sigma_A(\zeta_5) + \sigma_A(\zeta_5^2) = \sigma_B(\zeta_5) + \sigma_B(\zeta_5^2) \geq +2$ .

Assumption (B4) is made to subsume all the following assumptions on the linking number:

- (B4a)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .
- (B4b)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .
- (B4c)  $|\text{lk}(A, B)| \geq 4$ .
- (B4d)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \notin \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 11\}$ .
- (B4e)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .
- (B4f)  $\text{lk}(A, B) \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ACM<sup>+</sup>23] Paolo Aceto, Nickolas A Castro, Maggie Miller, JungHwan Park, and András Stipsicz. Slice obstructions from genus bounds in definite 4-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10587*, 2023.
- [AP10] Anar Akhmedov and B Doug Park. Exotic smooth structures on small 4-manifolds with odd signatures. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 181(3):577–603, 2010.
- [DKM<sup>+</sup>22] Irving Dai, Sungkyung Kang, Abhishek Mallick, JungHwan Park, and Matthew Stoffregen. The  $(2, 1)$ -cable of the figure-eight knot is not smoothly slice. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.14187*, 2022.

- [FGMW10] Michael H Freedman, Robert E Gompf, Scott Morrison, and Kevin Walker. Man and machine thinking about the smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture. *Quantum Topology*, 1(2):171–208, 2010.
- [FK78] Michael Freedman and Robion Kirby. A geometric proof of Rochlin’s theorem. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2*, volume XXXII of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 85–97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1978.
- [Fre82] Michael Hartley Freedman. The topology of four-dimensional manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17(3):357–453, 1982.
- [Gil81] Patrick M Gilmer. Configurations of surfaces in 4-manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 264(2):353–380, 1981.
- [Kir06] Robion C Kirby. *The topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 1374. Springer, 2006.
- [Klu20] Michael Klug. A relative version of Rochlin’s theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.12418*, 2020.
- [KPRT22] Daniel Kasprowski, Mark Powell, Arunima Ray, and Peter Teichner. Embedding surfaces in 4-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.03961*, 2022.
- [KR21] Michael Klug and Benjamin Ruppik. Deep and shallow slice knots in 4-manifolds. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 8(17):204–218, 2021.
- [Lit06] Richard A Litherland. Signatures of iterated torus knots. In *Topology of Low-Dimensional Manifolds: Proceedings of the Second Sussex Conference, 1977*, pages 71–84. Springer, 2006.
- [LM23] Charles Livingston and Allison H. Moore. Knotinfo: Table of knot invariants. URL: [knotinfo.math.indiana.edu](http://knotinfo.math.indiana.edu), August 2023.
- [LW97] Ronnie Lee and Dariusz M Wilczyński. Representing homology classes by locally flat surfaces of minimum genus. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 119(5):1119–1137, 1997.
- [MM22] Marco Marengon and Stefan Mihajlović. Unknotting number 21 knots are slice in  $K3$ . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10089*, 2022.
- [MMP24] Ciprian Manolescu, Marco Marengon, and Lisa Piccirillo. Relative genus bounds in indefinite four-manifolds. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–26, 2024.
- [MMSW23] Ciprian Manolescu, Marco Marengon, Sucharit Sarkar, and Michael Willis. A generalization of Rasmussen’s invariant, with applications to surfaces in some four-manifolds. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 172(2):231–311, 2023.
- [MP23] Ciprian Manolescu and Lisa Piccirillo. From zero surgeries to candidates for exotic definite 4-manifolds. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 108(5):2001–2036, 2023.
- [Nor69] RA Norman. Dehn’s lemma for certain 4-manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 7:143–147, 1969.
- [OS03] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary. *Advances in Mathematics*, 173(2):179–261, 2003.
- [Ren23a] Qiuyu Ren. Lee filtration structure of torus links. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16089*, 2023.
- [Ren23b] Qiuyu Ren. Slice genus bound in  $DTS^2$  from  $s$ -invariant. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.17816*, 2023.
- [Rub96] Daniel Ruberman. The minimal genus of an embedded surface of non-negative square in a rational surface. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 20(1):129–133, 1996.
- [Sco22] Alexandru Scorpan. *The wild world of 4-manifolds*. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [Suz69] Shin’ichi Suzuki. Local knots of 2-spheres in 4-manifolds. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, 45(1):34–38, 1969.
- [Vir75] Oleg Y Viro. Placements in codimension 2 and boundary. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 30(1):231–232, 1975.
- [Yas96] Akira Yasuhara. Connecting lemmas and representing homology classes of simply connected 4-manifolds. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 19(1):245–261, 1996.