

Graph Burning: Bounds and Hardness

Dhanyamol Antony¹, Anita Das², Shirish Gosavi¹, Dalu Jacob³, and
Shashanka Kulamarva¹

¹Department of Computer Science and Automation,
Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India

Email: {dhanyamola, shirishgp, shashankak}@iisc.ac.in

²Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology Bengaluru,
Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

Email: anita.das@manipal.edu

³Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India

Email: dalujacob@maths.iitd.ac.in

Abstract

Graph burning models the propagation of information within a network as a stepwise process where at each step, one node becomes informed, and this information also spreads to all neighbors of previously informed nodes. Formally, graph burning is defined as follows: For an undirected graph G , at step $t = 0$ all vertices in G are unburned. At each step $t \geq 1$, one new unburned vertex is selected to burn if such a vertex exists. If a vertex is burned at step t , then all its unburned neighbors are burned in step $t + 1$, and the process continues until there are no unburned vertices in G . The *burning number* of a graph G , denoted by $b(G)$, is the minimum number of steps required to burn all the vertices of G . The BURNING NUMBER problem asks whether the burning number of an input graph G is at most k or not. In this paper, we study the BURNING NUMBER problem both from an algorithmic and a structural point of view. The BURNING NUMBER problem is known to be NP-complete for trees with maximum degree at most three and interval graphs. Here, we prove that this problem is NP-complete even when restricted to connected cubic graphs and connected proper interval graphs. The well-known *burning number conjecture* asserts that all the vertices of a graph of order n can be burned in $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ steps. In line with this conjecture, upper and lower bounds of $b(G)$ are well-studied for various graph classes. Here, we provide an improved upper bound for the burning number of connected P_k -free graphs and show that the bound is tight up to an additive constant 1. Finally, we study two variants of the problem, namely *edge burning* (only edges are burned) and *total burning* (both vertices and edges are burned). In particular, we establish their relationship with the burning number problem and evaluate the algorithmic complexity of these variants.

Keywords: *Burning Number; Graph burning; P_k -free graphs; Cubic graphs; Proper interval graphs; Edge burning; Total burning*

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C38, 05C05, 05C85

1 Introduction

One of the key concepts in network science is the propagation of social influence. The spread of contagions can have diverse impacts across different applications, making it crucial to measure how quickly they disseminate through a network. For instance, on social media platforms like Instagram and Twitter, users quickly share online memes. The driving principle here is that a node can immediately influence only its acquaintances or neighbors. Although the influence originates from a single source

node, new sources gradually emerge at different locations within the network. Consequently, the network's structure is the sole factor influencing the rate of spread, making it essential to examine how this rate varies. Bonato et al. [12] introduced a mathematical model called *graph burning* for analyzing the rate of the information spread over a network where this information spread is considered as a fire spread over the network. For an undirected graph G , graph burning is defined as follows: At step $t = 0$, all the vertices in G are unburned. At each step $t \geq 1$, one new unburned vertex is selected to burn, if such a vertex exists, and we call it as a *burning source*. If a vertex is burned in step t , then all its unburned neighbors are burned in step $t + 1$ and the process continues until there are no unburned vertices in G . The *burning number* of a graph G , denoted by $b(G)$, is the minimum number of steps required to burn all the vertices of G . Formally, $b(G)$ is the minimum number k for which there exist a sequence $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ of vertices in G such that for each vertex v in G there exist a vertex $b_i \in B$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, so that the distance between v and b_i is at most $i - 1$. Here, the sequence B is called the *burning sequence* of G and the vertices b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k in B are called the *burning sources* in G . Now, the problem BURNING NUMBER is formally defined as follows.

BURNING NUMBER

Input: An undirected graph G of order n and a positive integer k .

Question: Does there exist a burning sequence of size at most k ?

Even though the concept of graph burning was introduced recently, a similar message-transmitting problem on hypercubes was studied by Alon [3] based on a real-world application from Intel. They proved that an n -dimensional hypercube has the burning number $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, and this line of research was further extended by a few researchers [22, 25, 31].

For any connected graph G , it is easy to see that $b(G) \leq d(G) + 1$ where $d(G)$ is the diameter of G . But it may not always be tight. For instance, for a path P_n on n vertices, $d(P_n) = n - 1$, whereas $b(P_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ [12]. The literature is rich in the direction to answer the question of how fast the information can spread over a network under this model in the worst case. Bonato et al. [12] showed that for any connected graph G with n vertices, $b(G) \leq 2\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil - 1$ and conjectured the following:

Conjecture 1.1 ([12]). *If G is a connected graph of order n , then $b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Conjecture 1.1 is known as *burning number conjecture* [12] in the literature and some improvements of the general upper bound and some study on special graph classes were done [8, 11, 14, 21, 29, 30, 33]. For any graph G having a spanning tree T , it is easy to see that $b(G) \leq b(T)$. Therefore, proving the conjecture for trees is sufficient to prove it for any graph G . Several advances towards this goal can be witnessed in the literature, where Conjecture 1.1 is proved for various subclasses of trees, including caterpillars [21], spiders (trees with at most one vertex of degree at least 3) [14, 16], and trees without degree 2 vertices [36]. Apart from trees, the bounds on the burning number have also been studied on many classes of graphs, such as Hamiltonian graphs [12], grids [20], hypercubes [33], biconvex bipartite graphs [5], and graph products [33]. When the graph under consideration is a path or a cycle, as in the following Theorem 1.2, the upper bound in the conjecture is attained, and the proof of which yields an $O(n)$ -time algorithm to construct an optimal burning sequence. However, the conjecture for general connected graphs is still open.

Theorem 1.2 ([12]). *If $G = P_n$ or $G = C_n$, then $b(G) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Extensive research has also been done on the computational complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem. The problem is known to be NP-complete even for path forests (disjoint union of paths) and trees with maximum degree 3 [7]. Further, the NP-completeness result of the BURNING NUMBER problem is extended to caterpillars with maximum degree 3 [30], which is a subclass of interval graphs. The problem is also well studied in the paradigm of approximation algorithms [7, 13, 26, 35] and parameterized algorithms [24, 27, 28]. Moreover, a variant of this problem in which the burning sequence is selected according to some probabilistic rule is also studied [32, 39]. To get a general summary of

the state-of-the-art results on graph burning, refer to [10].

Numerous problems related to the concept of graph burning are studied in the literature [1, 4, 6, 17]. Among these, *firefighter problem* [17, 37, 38] and *graph bootstrap percolation* [2, 6, 9] are two important and well-studied problems. In the firefighter problem, a group of ‘firefighters’ prevents burned vertices from spreading. In fact, a firefighter’s job is to protect as many vertices as possible so that they are unburned at the end of the process, in contrast to graph burning, where our goal is to burn all vertices at the end. In graph bootstrap percolation [6], given a graph H and a set $G \subseteq E(K_n)$ (K_n is a clique on n vertices) of initially infected edges, an edge e becomes infected at time $t+1$ only when there exists a subgraph H in K_n such that e is the only uninfected edge in H at time t .

In this paper, we also consider two interesting variants of graph burning, namely *edge burning* and *total burning*. Even though these notions were introduced in [10, 34, 35], they are less explored in the literature. In the *edge burning* problem, we burn only the edges, and the fire spreads via neighboring edges. On the other hand, in the *total burning* problem, we burn both vertices and edges, and the fire spreads via both neighboring vertices and neighboring edges.

We now summarize our contributions to the graph burning problem and its variants.

Our Results

The contribution of this paper to graph burning is bifold. In particular, we address a few algorithmic and structural questions concerning graph burning and its two variants: *edge burning* and *total burning*. First, we revisit some of the existing complexity results on the BURNING NUMBER problem and strengthen them as described below.

We know that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete on subcubic graphs [7]. In the following theorem, we strengthen this by proving that the problem remains NP-complete even for cubic graphs. We achieve this by showing a reduction from the minimum vertex cover problem on cubic graphs (which is known to be NP-complete [19]).

Theorem 1.3. *The BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for connected cubic graphs.*

It is known that for an *interval graph* G , with diameter d , we have $\lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil \leq b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil + 1$ [27]. Gorain et al. [20] proved that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for connected interval graphs, and therefore, by the above observation, for an interval graph G , it is even NP-complete to decide whether $b(G) = \lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil$ or $b(G) = \lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil + 1$. We strengthen this result by proving a similar statement for *connected proper interval graphs*, which is a restricted subclass of interval graphs. In particular, by providing a reduction from the distinct 3-partition problem (which is known to be NP-complete [18]), we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *The BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for connected proper interval graphs.*

Note that the BURNING NUMBER problem is known to be NP-complete for the class of path forests [7], a subclass of disconnected proper interval graphs. In Theorem 1.4, we prove that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete even for connected proper interval graphs. It is important to observe that the hardness of the problem on a class of disconnected graphs does not imply the hardness on the corresponding connected graph class. For instance, the BURNING NUMBER problem has different algorithmic complexities on path forests and paths.

Recall that paths and cycles are two graph classes for which the burning number attains the maximum value proposed by Conjecture 1.1. This motivated us to explore the burning on graphs that do not contain paths of “specific length” as induced subgraphs, i.e., P_k -free graphs, where $k \geq 2$. For any P_k -free graph G , it is trivial to see that $b(G) \leq k$. In the following theorem, we significantly improve this upper bound.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a connected P_k -free graph with $k \geq 2$. Then, $b(G) \leq \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$.*

Note that for any integer $k < 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil - 1$, Theorem 1.5 settles Conjecture 1.1 affirmatively for P_k -free graphs of order n . Moreover, we show that the bound in Theorem 1.5 is tight when $k = 6$, and the bound is tight up to an additive constant 1 for any k with $2 \leq k < 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil - 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 1.5, we also improve the existing algorithmic complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem for P_k -free graphs.

Further, we explore two variants of graph burning, namely, edge burning and total burning. For a graph G , let $b_L(G)$ and $b_T(G)$ denote the minimum number of steps needed for the edge burning and total burning of G , respectively. It is easy as well as curious to infer that even though they look like variants of burning, they turn out to be a special case of the BURNING NUMBER problem. To be specific, for any graph G , we have $b_L(G) = b(L(G))$ and $b_T(G) = b(T(G))$, where $L(G)$ and $T(G)$ are the *line graph* and *total graph* of G respectively. We then study the parameters $b_L(G)$ and $b_T(G)$ in relation to $b(G)$ more closely and derive several interesting consequences. In particular, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *If G is a connected graph, then $b(G) - 1 \leq b_L(G) \leq b(G) + 1$.*

Moreover, we prove that the upper bound in Theorem 1.6 can be improved when G is a tree (Theorem 5.4). Moghbel [34] introduced the total burning problem and conjectured the relationship between $b_T(G)$ and $b(G)$ as follows.

Conjecture 1.7 ([34]). *For a connected graph G with the burning number $b(G)$, and its total graph $T(G)$ with the burning number $b_T(G)$, we have $b(G) \leq b_T(G) \leq b(G) + 1$.*

We settle this conjecture positively in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. *If G is a connected graph, then $b(G) \leq b_T(G) \leq b(G) + 1$.*

To the best of our knowledge, the algorithmic complexity of these two variants of burning is not known. In this paper, we prove that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete even for the *line graphs of caterpillars* (Corollary 3.8) and *total graphs of bounded degree trees* (Theorem 5.6). These results not only give more insights into the complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem on the well-known graph classes, namely, line graphs and total graphs, but also imply that *the edge burning problem* and *the total burning problem* are NP-complete for the respective graph classes. Moreover, observe that both proper interval graphs and line graphs are two incomparable subclasses of *claw-free* graphs (graphs that do not contain claw as an induced subgraph). The algorithmic complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem was unknown for claw-free graphs. Our results, namely, Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 3.8 imply that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete even for these two incomparable subclasses of claw-free graphs.

2 Preliminaries

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, and undirected. For a graph G , the vertex set and edge set are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively. Two vertices are called *neighbors* if they have an edge between them. Similarly, two edges are called neighbors if they are incident on a common vertex. A vertex and an edge are neighbors if the edge is incident on the vertex. For a subset X of vertices (resp. edges) of G , the graph obtained from G by removing the vertices (resp. edges) in X is denoted by $G - X$. We refer to [40] for basic graph theoretic notations and definitions.

Let u, v be two vertices in a graph G . The *distance* between two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the number of edges in a shortest path between u and v in G . We call any path between u and v as $u - v$ *path*. The *diameter* of G is the $\max\{d_G(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$. For any vertex v in a graph G , the k^{th} *closed neighborhood* of v in G , denoted by $N_G^k[v]$, is the set $\{u \in V(G) : d_G(u, v) \leq k\}$.

A burning sequence B of size $b(G)$ is called an optimal burning sequence of G . The *burning cluster* of b_i , denoted by B_{c_i} , is the set of vertices in $N_G^{k-i}[b_i]$. For $1 \leq i \leq |B|$, the set of vertices in G that are



Fig. 1: A graph G' obtained from $G \cong K_4$ by subdividing the edge ab in G .

burned in the i^{th} step is denoted by $S_i(B)$. Similarly, the set of vertices in G that are burned within the first i steps is denoted by $S_i^-(B)$.

A *caterpillar* is a tree with a path P such that every vertex that is not in P is adjacent to an internal vertex in P . A *star* is a graph of order n with $n - 1$ vertices of degree 1 and one vertex of degree $n - 1$. A *claw* is a star on four vertices. The *line graph* $L(G)$, of a graph G , is the graph with the vertex set $E(G)$, and there exists an edge between two vertices x and y in $L(G)$ if and only if x and y are incident on a common vertex in G . A vertex v in a connected graph G is said to be a *cut vertex* if $G - v$ is not a connected graph. A *block* is a maximal subgraph of a graph without any cut vertices.

3 Hardness Results

In this section, we derive the hardness results for connected cubic graphs as well as for two incomparable subclasses of claw-free graphs, namely, connected proper interval graphs and line graphs.

3.1 Cubic Graphs

A graph is *cubic* if all its vertices have degree three. In this section, we obtain the hardness result for the BURNING NUMBER problem on connected cubic graphs by a reduction from the MINIMUM VERTEX COVER problem on cubic graphs.


MINIMUM VERTEX COVER

Input: An undirected graph G and an integer k

Question: Does there exist a set Q of at most k vertices in G such that each edge in G is incident to at least one of the vertices in Q ?

Construction 1. Consider a cubic graph G on n vertices, and a positive integer k . We obtain a graph H from G by a series of transformations. Let ab be an arbitrary edge in G . First, we construct a graph G' on $n' = n + 2$ vertices from G by subdividing the edge ab twice such that the edge ab becomes an $a - b$ path on four vertices, say, a, x, y, b in G' (see Fig. 1). Let $k' = k + 1$. Now we construct a graph H from G' as follows:

- For each vertex $v \in V(G')$, we introduce a vertex in H which is also named as v .
- For each edge $uv \in E(G')$, we introduce two vertices u_v and v_u in H such that u_v (resp. v_u) is adjacent to u (resp. v). Also, introduce two paths P_{uv} and P'_{uv} on $2n'$ vertices such that one of the endpoints of P_{uv} (resp. P'_{uv}) is denoted by f_{uv} (resp. f'_{uv}) and the other by l_{uv} (resp. l'_{uv}). Add edges from f_{uv} and f'_{uv} to u_v . Similarly, add edges from l_{uv} and l'_{uv} to v_u . Note that, since uv and vu are same edges in G' , P_{uv} (resp. P'_{uv}) and P_{vu} (resp. P'_{vu}) are also the same. Furthermore, introduce two paths on n' vertices, namely S_{uv} and S'_{uv} . One of the endpoints of S_{uv} (resp. S'_{uv}) is adjacent to the $(n')^{\text{th}}$ (resp. $(n' + 1)^{\text{th}}$) vertex in the path P'_{uv} ; note that first vertex in P'_{uv} is f'_{uv} . Now, remove the edge between the $(n')^{\text{th}}$ and the $(n' + 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertices in the



(a) Structure of R_{uv} corresponding to an edge uv in G' shown in Fig. 1b

(b) Structure of T_{xy} in H .

Fig. 2: An illustration of Construction 1.

path P'_{uv} . For $1 \leq i \leq n'$, add an edge between the i^{th} vertex of S_{uv} and the i^{th} vertex of S'_{uv} , except for $i = n' - 1$. Further, add an edge between the $(n' - 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertex of S_{uv} (resp. S'_{uv}) and the $(n')^{\text{th}}$ vertex of S'_{uv} (resp. S_{uv}). Let R_{uv} be the subgraph of H induced by the vertices in $P_{uv} \cup P'_{uv} \cup S_{uv} \cup S_{vu} \cup \{u_v\} \cup \{v_u\}$ (see Fig. 2a).

- For the vertices x and y (in the $a - b$ path introduced during the construction of G' from G), introduce a vertex z and two paths P_x and P_y each with $n' + 1$ vertices such that one of the endpoints x_1 (resp. y_1) of P_x (resp. P_y) is adjacent to x (resp. y). Add an edge between z and the other endpoints $x_{n'+1}$ and $y_{n'+1}$ of P_x (resp. P_y) (see Fig. 2b). Now, introduce two paths P'_x and P'_y each with $n' - 1$ vertices and, for $1 \leq i \leq n' - 1$, add an edge between the i^{th} vertex in the path P'_x (resp. P'_y) and the $(i + 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertex in P_x (resp. P_y). Further, add an edge between the vertex x_1 (resp. $x_{n'+1}$) of P_x and the vertex x'_1 (resp. $x'_{n'-1}$) of P'_x . Moreover, introduce two paths P_z and P'_z with $k' + n'$ and $k' + n' - 2$ vertices respectively. Let z_a and z_b be the endpoints of P_z . Similarly, let z'_a and z'_b be the endpoints of P'_z . The vertex z_a is adjacent to z . The adjacency between P_z and P'_z is in such a way that i^{th} vertex in P'_z is adjacent to the $(i + 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertex in P_z , for $1 \leq i \leq (k' + n' - 2)$. Now add an edge between z'_a (resp. z'_b) of P'_z and z_a (resp. z_b) of P_z .
- Introduce a cycle C on $(2n' + 3)^2$ vertices in H . Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{(2n'+3)^2}$ be the vertices in the cycle with $c_{(2n'+3)^2}$ being the vertex adjacent to z_b which is introduced in the previous step (see Fig. 3). For an odd integer i , $1 \leq i \leq 2n' + 3$, let S_i be an induced subpath of C with order i such that $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2n'+3} S_i$ and for each $i \neq j$, $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$. Note that we order the subpaths $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{2n'+3}$ such that one of the endpoints of S_i is adjacent to one endpoint of S_{i+1} and the other endpoint is adjacent to one endpoint of S_{i-1} . Note that $c_{(2n'+3)^2}$ is the first vertex in the path $S_{2n'+3}$, which is adjacent to z_b also. For each subpath S_i except S_1 , S_5 and $S_{2n'+3}$, introduce a path S'_i on $i - 2$ vertices such that the j^{th} , for $1 \leq j \leq i - 2$, vertex of S'_i is adjacent to the $(j + 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertex of S_i . The first (resp. last) vertex of S_i is adjacent to the first (resp. last) vertex of S'_i . Further, introduce a path $S'_{2n'+3}$ on $2n'$ vertices such that, for $1 \leq j \leq 2n'$, the j^{th} vertex of $S'_{2n'+3}$ is adjacent to the $(j + 2)^{\text{th}}$ vertex of $S_{2n'+3}$. Note that the last vertex in $S_{2n'+3}$ is $c_{(2n'+3)^2}$ and second last vertex in $S_{2n'+3}$ is $c_{(2n'+3)^2-1}$. For the sake of convenience, let $t = c_{(2n'+3)^2-1}$. Now




Fig. 3: The structure of C' described in Construction 1. To keep the figure simple we assume that $(2n+3)^2 = 16$ even if it will never happen as $(2n+3)^2$ is always odd.

the vertex t of $S_{2n'+3}$ is adjacent to the last vertex, say t' , of $S'_{2n'+3}$. Finally, add two vertices p and q . Add an edge from s_{51} , s_{53} , and s_{55} to p , where s_{5i} , for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ are the vertices in the path S_5 . Similarly, add an edge from s_{52} , s_{54} , and S_1 to q . The subgraph induced by the vertices in $C \cup S'_i \cup \{p\} \cup \{q\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2n'+3$, is denoted by C' . The subgraph induced by $\{z\} \cup P_x \cup P'_x \cup P_y \cup P'_y \cup P_z \cup P'_z \cup C'$ is denoted by T_{xy} . See Fig. 2b for an example.

This completes the construction.

First, we give an outline of the reduction. Consider an instance of MINIMUM VERTEX COVER on cubic graphs where the input is a connected cubic graph G on n vertices and we obtain another cubic graph H using Construction 1. Our goal is to prove that G has a vertex cover of size at most k if and only if $b(H) \leq k' + 2n' + 3$, where $n' = n + 2$ and $k' = k + 1$. Initially, we convert the input graph G to G' by subdividing one of its edges ab twice. Let x and y be the newly introduced vertices in this process. Let Q be a vertex cover of G with size at most k . Then, it can be seen that the vertex cover Q' of G' is increased exactly by one, i.e., $|Q'| \leq k' = k + 1$. This ensures that any vertex cover, Q' of G' with size k' contains either x or y . Further, it is not difficult to see that all the vertices in Q' can be burned in k' steps. Since all the vertices in $G' - Q'$ are adjacent to at least one vertex in Q' , the corresponding vertices in H are at a distance of at most $2n' + 3$ from the vertices in Q' . By Theorem 1.2, we know that the burning number of a cycle on $(2n' + 3)^2$ vertices is $2n' + 3$. This implies that H can be burned in $k' + 2n' + 3$ if we initially burn the vertex corresponding to x (or y , whichever is in the Q'), followed by the other vertices in H corresponding to the vertices of Q' , and finally, the $2n' + 3$ burning sources of the cycle C . Recall the definitions of the subgraphs T_{xy} and C' in Construction 1. Note that the vertices in $T_{xy} - C'$ will also be burned within $k' + 2n' + 3$ steps by a fire spread from x (or y) as we start the fire from the vertex x (or y) due to the construction. This implies that the burning sequence in $H - T_{xy}$ requires at most k' burning sources. Thus, the subgraph R_{uv} in H between the pair of vertices u, v of G' such that $uv \in E(G')$ ensures that at least one of the endpoint of each edge uv is in the burning sequence B of H and thereby we can obtain a vertex cover of G from B .

The following lemma is based on Construction 1 which directly implies Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a cubic graph on n vertices and H be a graph obtained from G by Construction 1. Then G has a vertex cover of size at most k if and only if H has a burning sequence of size at most $k' + 2n' + 3$ where $n' = n + 2$ and $k' = k + 1$.*

Proof. Let Q be a vertex cover of G with size at most k . Then by the definition of G' , it is straightforward to see that the minimum vertex cover of G' is increased exactly by one, i.e., G' has a vertex cover Q' of size at most $k' = k + 1$. Hence, it is clear that any vertex cover, Q' of G' with size k' , contains either x or y , where x and y are the newly introduced vertices in G' . Note that the cycle on $(2n' + 3)^2$ vertices can be burned using $2n' + 3$ sources by Theorem 1.2. Initially, we burn the vertex corresponding to x (or y , whichever is in the Q'), followed by the other vertices in H corresponding to the vertices of Q' , and finally, the $2n' + 3$ burning sources of the cycle C . We need to prove that this will completely burn all the vertices of H in $k' + 2n' + 3$ steps. Note that we can burn all the vertices corresponding to Q' in k' steps. Since all the vertices in $G' - Q'$ are adjacent to at least one vertex in Q' , the corresponding vertices in H are at a distance of at most $2n' + 3$ from the vertices in Q' . Thus,

all vertices corresponding to the vertices of $G' - Q'$ are burned in the next $2n' + 3$ steps. Since we choose the next $2n' + 3$ burning sources in C , the vertices in C' will be burned within $2n' + 3$ steps by Theorem 1.2. Note that the vertices in $T_{xy} - C'$ will also be burned within $k' + 2n' + 3$ steps by a fire spread from x (or y) as we start the fire from the vertex x (or y) due to the construction. Thus, H will be burned in $k' + 2n' + 3$ steps.

On the other hand, assume that H has a burning sequence of size $k' + 2n' + 3$. Then, we claim that G has a vertex cover Q of size at most k . For an edge $uv \in E(G')$, let H_{uv} be the subgraph of H induced by the vertices in S_{uv} and S'_{uv} along with the vertices which are at a distance at most $n' + 1$ from both u and v (recall definitions of S_{uv} and S'_{uv} using Fig. 2a). Note that H_{uv} contains R_{uv} along with the vertices which are at a distance $n' + 1$ from u (vertices from $R_{uu_1}, R_{uu_2}, R_{vv_1}, R_{vv_2}$, where u_1, u_2 are neighbours of u and v_1, v_2 are neighbours of v). Now, for each H_{uv} , identify the vertex u or v (corresponding to the vertex in G') which is closer to the vertex x in the burning sequence of H , and add to the set Q' .

We claim that the set Q' is a vertex cover of G' . For a contradiction, assume that there exists an edge $uv \in E(G')$ such that its both endpoints u and v are not in Q' . This means that no burning source is in H_{uv} . Then the vertices in H_{uv} are burned by the fire spread from vertices outside H_{uv} , i.e., by a fire spread from the vertices which are at a distance of at least $n' + 2$ from u and v . Recall that H_{uv} contains an induced path on $n' + 2n' + 3$ vertices induced by the vertices which are at a distance at least $n' + 1$ from the vertex (say) u and the vertices in S_{uv} along with u ; for instance, the path is formed by the n' vertices in S_{uv} , the n' vertices in P'_{uv} , $n' + 1$ vertices in R_{uu_1} along with u and u_v . Since $n' + 2n' + 3$ is strictly greater than $k + 2n' + 3$, H_{uv} contains at least one burning source. Thus by construction, at least one of u or v is in Q' .

Now, it is enough to show that $|Q'| \leq k'$. Since C is a cycle on $(2n' + 3)^2$ vertices and these vertices are at a distance of at least $k' + 2n' + 3$ from the vertices in G' , at least $2n' + 3$ steps are required to burn C completely. It is not difficult to see that the vertices in $C' \setminus C$ can also be burned by the same burning sources in C in $2n' + 3$ steps. This means that the remaining burning sources are distributed in the component $H - T_{xy}$, thereby implying that Q' contains at most k' vertices. Therefore G has a vertex cover of size at most k . ■

3.2 Proper Interval Graphs

An *interval representation* of a graph G is a collection $\{I_v\}_{v \in V(G)}$ of intervals on a real line such that for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, we have $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if $I_u \cap I_v \neq \emptyset$. A graph is said to be an *interval graph* if it has a corresponding interval representation. A *proper interval graph* is an interval graph having an interval representation in which no interval properly contains another. Note that proper interval graphs are exactly the claw-free interval graphs. Here, we prove that the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete even for connected proper interval graphs by providing a reduction from DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem.

DISTINCT 3-PARTITION

Input: A set of distinct natural numbers, $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{3n}\}$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^{3n} a_i = nB$ where $\frac{B}{4} < a_i < \frac{B}{2}$.

Question: Is there any partition of X into n triples such that the sum of elements in each triple equals B ?

The DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem is known to be strongly NP-complete [18, 23]; that is, this problem is NP-complete, even when restricted to the cases where B is bounded above by a polynomial in n . In this reduction, we use Construction 2 to construct a proper interval graph G_p from an instance X of the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem. We obtain this by modifying the ‘‘comb’’ structure in the construction of the NP-completeness proof of interval graphs in [20].

Recall that the burning number of a path on n^2 vertices is n [12] and the burning clusters B_{c_i} , for $1 \leq i \leq n$ of this path contain $1, 3, \dots, 2n - 3, 2n - 1$ vertices such that the $\sum_{i=1}^n |B_{c_i}|$ is exactly n^2 , i.e., burning clusters are disjoint. This implies that, for a path P on n^2 vertices, the burning clusters of each of the n fire sources of any optimal burning sequence of P are pairwise disjoint. The following proposition gives the bounds for the burning number of interval graphs.

Proposition 3.2 ([27]). *If G is an interval graph with diameter d , then*

$$\lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil \leq b(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{d+1} \rceil + 1$$

Since proper interval graphs are subclasses of interval graphs, Proposition 3.2 is also true for proper interval graphs. Proposition 3.2 follows from the fact that, for an interval graph G , every vertex in G is either part of a diametral path or adjacent to at least one vertex of the diametral path. Thus, it is trivial to see that for an interval graph G , the burning number $b(G)$ is either $b(P)$ or $b(P) + 1$, where P is the diametral path of G . Here, we prove that determining whether $b(G) = b(P)$ is NP-complete even when G is a connected proper interval graph.

We start with an arbitrary input X of the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem. First, we construct another instance X' from X such that all the elements of X' are odd. The reason behind working with X' instead of X is to use the fact that the sizes of the burning clusters of the fire sources on a path are all odds if the length of the path is a perfect square. Then, we construct a path P on $(2m + 1)^2$ vertices, where m is the maximum element in X , by combining the subpaths of shorter size. Let Z be the set of first odd natural numbers and $Y = Z \setminus X'$. Then, the shorter subpaths (mentioned in the previous sentence) of P contain n subpaths of size B' (defined in Construction 2), subpaths with size y_i where $y_i \in Y$, and subpaths Q_l with odd size l where $2m + 1 \leq l \leq 4m - 3$, i.e., we consider a total of $m + 1$ distinct odd sized paths whose size starts from $2m + 1$. Then we obtain the graph G_P from P by adding some extra vertices adjacent to Q_l 's such that the resultant graph remains proper interval graph. Further, we prove that G_P can be burned in $2m + 1$ steps if and only if there exists a distinct-3 partition for X' .

Construction 2. *Consider an arbitrary instance $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{3n}\}$ of the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem. Then $n = \frac{|X|}{3}$, and $B = \frac{S(X)}{n}$, where $S(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{3n} a_i$. Let m be the maximum element in X and $k = m - 3n$. Now construct a new instance X' from X in such a way that $X' = \{2a_i - 1 : a_i \in X\}$. Note that $B' = \frac{S(X')}{n}$. We denote the first m odd natural numbers by Z , i.e., $Z = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m - 1\}$. Let $Y = Z \setminus X'$. So, $|Y| = k$. We construct a proper interval graph G_P from X' as follows:*

- Introduce n paths S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n each with B' vertices, and k paths S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_k such that S'_i is of order y_i where y_i is the i^{th} largest number in Y . Now introduce another $m + 1$ paths Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{m+1} such that each of the path Q_j is of size $2(2m + 1 - j) + 1$. Now construct a larger path P by joining these paths S_i, S'_j , and Q_l , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $1 \leq l \leq m + 1$, in the following order: $S_1, Q_1, S_2, Q_2, \dots, S_n, Q_n, S'_1, Q_{n+1}, S'_2, Q_{n+2}, \dots, S'_k, Q_{n+k}, Q_{n+k+1}, \dots, Q_{m+1}$. Note that $|P| = nB' + \sum_{i=1}^k y_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (2(2m + 1 - j) + 1) = (2m + 1)^2$.
- For each subpath Q_i , $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$ in P , introduce $i' - 1$ vertices denoted by $q_{i1}, q_{i2}, \dots, q_{i(i'-1)}$, where i' is the number of vertices in Q_i . Now the adjacency between vertices in Q_i and the new $i' - 1$ vertices is in such a way that, for $1 \leq x \leq |Q_i|$, the x^{th} and $(x + 1)^{\text{th}}$ vertices of Q_i are adjacent to the vertex q_{ix} . The path Q_i , along with the newly introduced vertices, is denoted by Q_i^P , which is a subgraph of G_P .

This completes the construction. See Fig. 4 for an example.

It is not difficult to see that the graph G_P obtained from the construction is a connected proper interval graph and the burning number of the path P in G_P is $b(P) = 2m + 1$. The number of vertices added to Q_i is $(2(2m + 1 - i) + 1) - 1 = 4m + 2 - i$. Thus, the total number of vertices in G_P is $(2m + 1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (4m + 2 - i)$. Hence, the reduction is a pseudo-polynomial reduction.




Fig. 4: An illustration of Construction 2 corresponding to the instance $X = \{10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16\}$ with $m = 16$ of the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem.




Fig. 5: Structure of a Q_i^p with 15 vertices in Q_i . The dashed line represents the subpaths that are connected to Q_i^p on both ends.

The following observation is immediate from the definitions of Q_i and Q_i^p .

Observation 3.3. *If any subpath Q_i , for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, of P is burned in t steps using a single burning source, then Q_i^p can also be burned in t steps.*

We also have the following lemmas and observations based on Construction 2 which are used to prove Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 3.4. *If Q_i^p , for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, is burned by assigning more than one burning source on Q_i , then the burning clusters of at least two of the burning sources contain common vertices of Q_i^p .*

Proof. Let Q_i^p contains at least two burning sources on Q_i . Now consider two burning sources u_1 and u_2 on Q_i^p such that there exist no other burning sources in $u_1 - u_2$ path in G_P . Let $v \in Q_i$ be a vertex on the $u_1 - u_2$ path such that v and the vertices in $u_1 - v$ path are in the burning cluster of u_1 , and the vertices in $u_2 - v$ path excluding v are in the burning cluster of u_2 . Now consider a vertex $v' \in V(Q_i)$ adjacent to v and in the burning cluster of u_2 . Then there exists a vertex v_s in the neighborhood of both v and v' as shown in Fig. 5. Recall that the burning cluster of u_1 (resp. u_2) includes v (resp. v') but not v' (resp. v). Hence, v_s is not in the burning cluster of either u_1 or u_2 . This implies that at least one of the vertices in Q_i^p is not burned or at least two of the burning clusters contain common vertices of Q_i^p ; note that the vertex v_s will be the common vertex in the burning cluster of both u_1 and u_2 . ■

Since P is a path on $(2m + 1)^2$ vertices, the burning clusters of the burning sources in an optimal burning sequence must be disjoint (refer proof of Theorem 1.2 in [12]). Thus, we have the following observation using Lemma 3.4.

Observation 3.5. *If any subpath Q_i , for $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$, of P is burned by using more than one burning source, then P can not be burned in $2m + 1$ steps.*

By Lemma 3.4 and Observation 3.5, it is clear that each of the paths Q_i is burned using exactly one burning source. Note that Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{m+1} are the largest $m+1$ subpaths in P . Then, we have the following Observation.

Observation 3.6. *Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2m+1})$ be the burning sequence of G_p . Then, each burning source x_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$, should be on P , where P is a simple path in G_p of order $(2m+1)^2$.*

Proof. Suppose not, i.e., we put fire source x_i on $Q_i^p - Q_i$ for some i , then the subgraph induced by the vertices in $N_P^{2m+1-i}[x_i]$ contains less than $2(2m+1-i)+1$ vertices. Note that $b(G_p) = 2m+1$. Then the subgraph induced by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2m+1} N_P^{2m+1-i}[x_i]$ has less than $(2m+1)^2$ vertices, hence the statement follows. ■

The following lemma is immediate from the above observations.

Lemma 3.7. *For $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, let x_i and r_i be the burning source and the middle vertex of Q_i respectively. Then $x_i = r_i$.*

Proof. Let a_i and b_i be the vertices in $Q_i^p - Q_i$ such that a_i is adjacent to the one of the end vertex of Q_i and b_i is adjacent to the other end vertex of Q_i . Note that for any Q_i^p , we can put only one burning source on P due to Observation 3.5. Hence, the only vertex that is at a distance at most $2m+1-i$ from both a_i and b_i is r_i . Hence, the statement of lemma follows. ■

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let X be a yes-instance of the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem and X' be the corresponding instance in which all elements are odd as mentioned in Construction 2. Let G_p be the graph obtained from X' using Construction 2. We claim that if there is a partition of X' into triples such that each triple adds to B' , then there exists a partition of the paths S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n into subpaths of size $2a_i - 1 \in X'$ such that $b(G_p) = 2m+1$; note that each subpath S_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, adds to B' . Now assume that X' has a partition of triples such that each triple adds up to B' . Recall that $Z = X' \cup Y$. This implies that the path P can be partitioned into subpaths of order a_i such that $a_i \in Z$. Let $P' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^k S'_j \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^{m+1} Q_l$ be the subpaths obtained by this partition where each S_i is further partitioned into triples S_{i1}, S_{i2}, S_{i3} according to the triples obtained from X' . Let r_i be the middle vertex in the i^{th} largest subpath of the partition P' . Then, it is straightforward to see that $V(P) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2m+1} N_P^{2m+1-i}[r_i]$ and hence $V(G_p) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2m+1} N_{G_p}^{2m+1-i}[r_i]$. Thus, $b(G_p) \leq 2m+1$. Since the path P is of order $(2m+1)^2$, which is a subgraph of G_p , we have that $b(P) = 2m+1$. Hence, $b(G_p) \geq b(P) = 2m+1$. Therefore, $b(G_p) = 2m+1$ and hence the claim.

On the other hand, assume that $b(G_p) = 2m+1$ such that $B_s = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2m+1})$ is the burning sequence of G_p . Then, by Observation 3.5, it is clear that each subpath Q_i , for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ of P , is burned by using exactly one burning source.

Now consider $P' = G_p - Q_i^p$, which is a disjoint union of paths, and by Observation 3.6, it is clear that, for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, the vertices in Q_i^p is burned using $x_i = r_i$, the middle vertex of Q_i . Now the path forest P' of order m^2 should be burned using $(x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{2m+1})$. This implies that for each $m+2 \leq i \leq 2m+1$, the subgraph $N_P^{2m+1-i}[x_i]$ is a path of order $2(2m+1-i)+1$ as B_s is an optimal burning sequence. Therefore, there exists a partition of P' , induced by the burning sequence $(x_{m+2}, \dots, x_{2m+1})$ into subpaths of length as per each element in Z .

Now, if each of the subpath S'_i , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, has to be burned in the desired number of steps by a single burning source, then they must be burned by burning the middle vertex of S'_i such that $|S'_i| = y_i$, where $y_i \in Y$. This implies that S'_j 's, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, are burned by the fire sources corresponding to the integers belonging to the set X' . Note that $|S'_j| = B'$ which is always odd, and $B' > 2m-1$; recall that by definition of DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem, $m < \frac{B}{2}$. Hence, none of the S'_j s can be burned

by a single fire source. Again, it can not be burned by two fire sources as the sum of any two numbers in X' is even. Also, none of the S_j s can be burnt by four or more fire sources as then by pigeon-hole principle, there would be at least one S_j which needs to be burned by at most two fire sources, which is not possible. Hence, each S_j must be burned by exactly three fire sources. This implies that the sizes of the three burning clusters of each S_j lead to the desired distinct 3-partition of X' , and thereby we have a solution for the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem with an input set X' . This, in turn, implies that there exists a solution for the DISTINCT 3-PARTITION problem on the input set X . ■

A consequence on the complexity of line graphs: The above reduction has an interesting consequence on the complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem on the class of line graphs. Let \mathcal{G} be the class of caterpillars with degree at most three. Let \mathcal{G}_L be the class of the line graphs of graphs in \mathcal{G} . It is not difficult to see that each connected proper interval graph of order n obtained using Construction 2 also belongs to \mathcal{G}_L ; each of these graphs $G_L \in \mathcal{G}_L$ corresponds to the line graph of a caterpillar G on $n + 1$ vertices (in which the number of vertices in the central path of G is one higher than the central path of G_L) and for each Q_i^p , the edges corresponding to the vertices q_{ij} in G_L become exactly the leaf edges incident on the internal vertices of the stem of the caterpillar G . Thus, we have the following corollary due to Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 3.8. *The BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete on line graphs of caterpillars with degree at most three.*

4 P_k -free Graphs

A graph G is said to be P_k -free for some positive integer k if G does not contain P_k (a path on k vertices) as an induced subgraph. Theorem 1.5 gives an upper bound for the burning number of connected P_k -free graphs and, thereby, settles Conjecture 1.1 affirmatively for P_k -free graphs for any integer $k < 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil - 1$. We first note down the following structural result on connected P_k -free graphs by Camby and Schaudt [15] which is useful in proving Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.1 ([15]). *Let G be a connected P_k -free graph of order n with $k \geq 4$, and let D be a minimum connected dominating set of G . Then $G[D]$ is either P_{k-2} -free or isomorphic to P_{k-2} . Further, such a connected dominating set can be obtained in $O(n^7)$ time.*

Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof is based on the induction on k . Note that the result is trivial for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$, which forms the base case. Let $r \geq 4$ be a positive integer and for any connected P_k -free graph H with $2 \leq k \leq r - 1$, we have $b(H) \leq \lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil$. Now, let G be a connected P_r -free graph. Since $r \geq 4$, by Theorem 4.1, we have a connected dominating set D of G such that $G[D]$ is either a P_{r-2} -free graph or a graph isomorphic to P_{r-2} . Further, since D is a dominating set of G , we have $b(G) \leq b(G[D]) + 1$.

If $G[D]$ is a P_{r-2} -free graph, then by induction hypothesis, we have $b(G[D]) \leq \lceil\frac{r-1}{2}\rceil$. This implies that $b(G) \leq \lceil\frac{r+1}{2}\rceil$, and we are done. On the other hand, if $G[D]$ is isomorphic to P_{r-2} , then by Theorem 1.2, we have that $b(G[D]) = b(P_{r-2}) = \lceil\sqrt{r-2}\rceil$. Since $r \geq 4$, we then have $b(G) \leq \lceil\sqrt{r-2}\rceil + 1 \leq \lceil\frac{r+1}{2}\rceil$. Hence, the theorem. ■

Tightness of Theorem 1.5: Now, we claim that the upper bound in Theorem 1.5 is tight. To justify this, consider the graph \tilde{G} shown in Fig. 6a which is a P_6 -free graph with $b(\tilde{G}) = 4 = \lceil\frac{6+1}{2}\rceil$. We also show that for any integer k with $2 \leq k < 2\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil - 1$, the upper bound in Theorem 1.5 is tight up to an additive constant 1. For this, we present an infinite subclass of P_k -free graphs having their burning number exactly equal to $\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor$. Let \mathbb{P}_r be a graph obtained by taking a union of r separate paths, each of them individually being a path P_r , and then identifying one end vertex of each path

(totally r vertices are identified together) to form a new vertex common to all the paths. The graph \mathbb{P}_r is shown in Fig. 6b. One can verify that if $r = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then \mathbb{P}_r is a P_k -free graph with $b(\mathbb{P}_r) = r$.




Fig. 6: Tight examples for Theorem 1.5

Algorithmic consequences: Our simple combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.5 has an algorithmic consequence too. For a constant value of k , our upper bound of $\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$ for the burning number of a P_k -free graph (Theorem 1.5) can be used to reduce the running time of finding an optimal burning sequence. To be specific, an optimal burning sequence of P_k -free graphs, for constant values of k , can be found in $O(n^{\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil + 2})$ -time whereas the existing running time is $O(n^{k+1})$ [26]. On the other hand, for non-constant values of k , a burning sequence of size at most $\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$ in a P_k -free graph can be found in polynomial time as in the following corollary due to Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a connected P_k -free graph with $k \geq 2$. A burning sequence of G having size at most $\lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$ can be found in $O(kn^7)$ time.*

5 Variants of Graph Burning

In this section, we study two variants of graph burning; namely edge burning and total burning. Note that the burning number of a graph G may not be the same as the edge burning number and the total burning number of G . Hence, it would be interesting to explore the research in these directions.

5.1 Edge Burning

As the name “*edge burning*” suggests, here we burn only the edges of the graph. At each step i , a new edge is burned along with the neighboring unburned edges of the edges which are burned before the i^{th} step. The edge burning number $b_L(G)$, of a graph G , is the minimum number of steps required to burn all the edges of G . Let $L(G)$ be the line graph of G . It is easy to see that $b_L(G) = b(L(G))$ for any graph G . Since the line graph of a path P_n is P_{n-1} and the line graph of a cycle C_n is C_n itself, we have the following Observation due to Theorem 1.2.

Observation 5.1. *For a path P_n and a cycle C_n , $b_L(P_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n-1} \rceil$ and $b_L(C_n) = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.*

Theorem 1.6 establishes the relationship between $b_L(G)$ and $b(G)$. To prove this theorem we use the following Lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $b(G) \leq b_L(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $H = L(G)$. Then we have $V(H) = E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. Let $b_L(G) = k$ and let $B_L = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ be an optimal burning sequence of H . Consider the following vertex sequence $B = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1})$ in G , where a_i is an arbitrary end vertex of the edge b_i in G for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and a_{k+1} is an unburned vertex after k^{th} iteration (if exists) in G chosen arbitrarily. Now, it is enough to show that B is a burning sequence of G as $|B| = k + 1 = b_L(G) + 1$.

Recall that, for $1 \leq i \leq |B|$, $S_i(B)$ is the set of vertices of G burned in the i^{th} step, and $S_i^-(B)$ is the set of vertices of G burned within the first i steps. Let e_i be a vertex in H for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and let $e_i = uv$ be the corresponding edge in G . If $e_i \in S_l(B_L)$ in H for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then we claim that $u \in S_l^-(B)$ or $v \in S_l^-(B)$ in G .

We prove this claim by induction on l . The base case, when $l = 1$, is trivial. Let the hypothesis be true for all $l \leq j - 1$. We need to prove the hypothesis for $l = j$. Let e_i be a vertex in H with $e_i \in S_j(B_L)$, which corresponds to the edge uv in G . This can happen in two ways: (i) $e_i \notin B_L$ or (ii) $e_i \in B_L$. Suppose (i) is true. Then the vertex e_i is burned by a fire spread from its neighbor, say e_p , which is burned in the $(j - 1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration. Since $e_p e_i \in E(H)$, either $e_p = uw$ or $e_p = vw$ in G for some $w \in V(G)$. In either case, by the induction hypothesis, at least one vertex in $\{u, v, w\}$ is in $S_{j-1}^-(B)$. Hence, at least one vertex in $\{u, v\}$ is in $S_j^-(B)$. On the other hand, suppose (ii) is true. Then the vertex e_i is the j^{th} burning source in B_L . Hence, by choice of B , one of the end vertices of e_i , say u , will be burned independently in the j^{th} iteration in G , implying that $u \in S_l^-(B)$, as claimed.

Since all the vertices in H are burned in k steps, all the vertices of G are burned in $k + 1$ steps due to the above claim. Hence, B is a burning sequence of G and therefore, we have that $b(G) \leq |B| = k + 1 = b_L(G) + 1$. ■

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges, and let $H = L(G)$. Then $b_L(G) \leq b(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $b(G) = k$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ be an optimal burning sequence of G . Consider a vertex sequence $B_L = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1})$ in H , where a_i is an edge incident on the vertex b_i in G chosen arbitrarily, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and a_{k+1} is a vertex of H , unburned in the first k steps, chosen arbitrarily. It is enough to prove that B_L is a burning sequence of H .

Let $v_i \in V(G)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $v_i \in S_l(B)$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then we claim that at least one vertex in H corresponding to the edges incident on v_i is in $S_l^-(B_L)$. We prove the claim by induction on l . The base case, when $l = 1$, is trivial. Let the hypothesis be true for all $l \leq j - 1$. We prove the hypothesis for $l = j$. Let v_i be a vertex in G with $v_i \in S_j(B)$. This can happen in two ways: (i) $v_i \notin B$ or (ii) $v_i \in B$. Suppose (i) is true. This means that the vertex v_i gets burned by a fire spread from one of its neighbors, say v_p , which is burned in the $(j - 1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration. By induction hypothesis, one vertex corresponding to the edge incident on v_p in G is burned in the $(j - 1)^{\text{th}}$ iteration in H as per B_L . If that edge is $v_i v_p$, then the claim holds. Suppose not, then in the j^{th} iteration, the vertex in H corresponding to the edge $v_i v_p$ will be burned. On the other hand, assume that (ii) is true. Then v_i is the j^{th} burning source in B . Hence, by choice of B_L , one unburned vertex in H corresponding to an edge incident on v_i will be burned independently in the j^{th} iteration in H . Hence, the claim holds.

Thus, while burning H as per B_L , in k steps, at least one edge (vertex in H) incident on a vertex that gets burned within k steps in G is burned. Since all the vertices of G are burned in k steps, all the vertices of H (edges of G) are burned in $k + 1$ steps. Therefore, B_L is a burning sequence of H which implies that $b_L(G) \leq b(G) + 1$. ■

Now, Theorem 1.6 is immediate from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3. Note that the lower and the upper bounds in Theorem 1.6 are tight. For instance, as $L(P_k)$ is isomorphic to P_{k-1} , for any $k = n^2 + 1$ with $n \geq 1$, we have $b(P_k) = n + 1$ and $b_L(P_k) = n$. Similarly, one can verify that $b(K_n) = 2$ and $b_L(K_n) = 3$ for every $n \geq 5$. Moreover, for trees, we even have a stronger inequality as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *If T is a tree, then $b_L(T) \leq b(T)$.*

Proof. Let T be a tree with $b(T) = k$. We assume that T is a rooted tree with an arbitrary vertex x being its root. Let T_1 be the line graph of T . Note that the vertices corresponding to the set of all

edges incident on a particular vertex in T create a block in T_1 . Let t_x be the block corresponding to the edges incident on the root vertex x .

Let T_2 be a graph constructed from T_1 by adding a vertex y which is adjacent to every vertex in the block t_x (see Fig. 7c). Observe that $N_{T_2}^r[y] \cap V(T_1) \subseteq N_{T_1}^r[x]$ for any integer r . Therefore, since T_1 is a subgraph of T_2 with $V(T_2) \setminus V(T_1) = \{y\}$, we have $b(T_1) \leq b(T_2)$. An example of a tree T with the corresponding graphs T_1 and T_2 is provided in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7: An example of a tree with the corresponding graphs T_1 and T_2 .

Now, we obtain a tree T_3 by applying Breadth-First Search on T_2 with y as the source vertex. We claim that $T_3 \cong T$. To prove this, we explicitly provide a bijection $f : V(T) \rightarrow V(T_3)$ as follows. First, we assign $f(x) = y$, where x is the root of T . Note that T_3 does not contain any edges between the vertices that are at the same level in the BFS order of T_2 . For any vertex z in $V(T) \setminus \{x\}$, there exists a unique edge e_z between z and its parent in T . Since T_2 is obtained from the line graph of T , by the definition of T_3 , there exists a vertex v_{e_z} in T_3 corresponding to the edge e_z in T . Then for any vertex z in $V(T) \setminus \{x\}$, we assign $f(z) = v_{e_z}$. One can verify that f is the desired bijection, implying that $b(T_3) = b(T)$. Since the deletion of edges from a graph will not decrease its burning number, we have that $b(T_2) \leq b(T_3)$. Hence, we collectively have the following $b_L(T) = b(T_1) \leq b(T_2) \leq b(T_3) = b(T) = k$, as desired. ■

5.2 Total Burning

In the “total burning” problem, we burn both vertices and edges of the graph. Here, in each step, i , an unburned vertex or edge is burned, and the fire spreads to all the vertices and edges that are neighbors of some vertex or edge that is burned within step $i - 1$ until all the vertices and edges of G have been burned. For a graph G , the *total burning number*, denoted by $b_T(G)$, is the minimum number of steps required to burn all the vertices and edges of G .

The *total graph* of a graph G , denoted by $T(G)$, is the graph with the vertex set $V \cup E$, and there exists an edge between two vertices x and y in $T(G)$ if and only if x and y are either adjacent vertices or adjacent edges or x is incident on y or vice versa in G . The burning number of a total graph $T(G)$ is denoted as $b(T(G))$. Hence, it is clear that $b_T(G) = b(T(G))$. Therefore, the study of the total burning problem is the same as the study of burning in total graphs. Henceforth, we use $b_T(G)$ to denote the burning number of a total graph $T(G)$. Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $H = T(G)$. Recall that $b_T(G) = b(T(G))$. Hence, $V(H)$ can be partitioned into two sets as $V(H) = V \uplus E$ where V and E correspond to the vertex set and the edge set of G . Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}, E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. First, we prove that $b_T(G) \leq b(G) + 1$. Let B be an optimal burning sequence of G . Now, if we burn H as per B , any vertex of H that is unburned at the end of $|B|$ steps will be in E . But any such vertex is adjacent to some vertex in V , and hence, one additional step is sufficient to burn all such vertices. Hence, $b_T(G) \leq b(G) + 1$.

Next, we prove that $b(G) \leq b_T(G)$. Let $b(H) = k$ and $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ be an optimal burning

sequence of H . Note that for any two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, we have $d_G(u, v) = d_H(u, v)$ by definition of total graphs. Now, we obtain a vertex sequence $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ of G from A as follows. For every i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, if $a_i \in V$, then $b_i = a_i$. Otherwise, if $a_i \in E$, then $b_i \in V$ is a vertex incident on a_i , chosen arbitrarily.

Now, it remains to prove that B is a burning sequence of G . For the rest of the proof, “for every i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ ” is implicitly assumed whenever we mention a_i or b_i . One can see that the following inequality is satisfied by the choice of b_i and the fact that $d_G(u, v) = d_H(u, v)$ for any $\{u, v\} \in V(G)$.

$$N_H^{k-i}[a_i] \cap V(G) \subseteq N_H^{k-i}[b_i] \cap V(G) \quad (1)$$

Since G is an induced subgraph of H and A is a burning sequence of H , by (1) we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} V(H) &= [N_H^{k-1}[a_1] \cup N_H^{k-2}[a_2] \cdots \cup N_H^0[a_k]] \\ \implies V(G) &= [N_H^{k-1}[a_1] \cup N_H^{k-2}[a_2] \cdots \cup N_H^0[a_k]] \cap V(G) \\ \implies V(G) &= [N_H^{k-1}[a_1] \cap V(G)] \cup [N_H^{k-2}[a_2] \cap V(G)] \cup \cdots \cup [N_H^0[a_k] \cap V(G)] \\ \implies V(G) &\subseteq [N_H^{k-1}[b_1] \cap V(G)] \cup [N_H^{k-2}[b_2] \cap V(G)] \cup \cdots \cup [N_H^0[b_k] \cap V(G)] \end{aligned}$$

Since the reverse inclusion is obvious, B is a burning sequence of G . Therefore, we have the inequality $b(G) \leq |B| = k = |A| = b_T(G)$, as desired. \blacksquare

Hardness of Total Burning

Here, we intend to prove the hardness of the total burning problem. To prove this, we define a *spike graph*, denoted by G_s , of a given graph G and observe the relationship between the burning number of G and total graph $T(G_s)$ of G_s .

Let G be a graph and G_s be a spike graph obtained from G in such a way that for each vertex $v_i \in V(G)$, for $1 \leq i \leq |V(G)|$, introduce a vertex l_i adjacent to v_i , i.e., $V(G_s) = V(G) \cup \{l_i : v_i \in V(G)\}$ and $E(G_s) = E(G) \cup \{v_i l_i : v_i \in V(G)\}$. An example of a spike graph is given in Fig. 8b.




Fig. 8: An example of a spike graph and its total graph

Now, we have the following lemma based on G and G_s .

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be a graph and G_s be its spike graph. Then $b_T(G_s) = b(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $H = T(G_s)$. Recall that $b_T(G_s) = b(T(G_s))$. Notice that $V(H)$ can be partitioned into four sets as $V(H) = V \uplus E \uplus L \uplus E'$, where V and E correspond to the vertex set and the edge set of G respectively, L corresponds to the set of newly added vertices in G_s , and E' corresponds to the set of edges in G_s which are not in G . Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$, $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$, $E' = \{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n\}$. Note that for any i , $e'_i = v_i l_i$ in G_s . See Fig. 8 for an example of a spike graph and its total graph. First, we prove that $b_T(G_s) \leq b(G) + 1$. Let B be an optimal burning sequence of G . Now, if we burn H as per B , any vertex of H that is unburned at the end of $|B|$ steps will be in $L \cup E' \cup E$. But any such vertex is adjacent to some vertex in V , and hence, one additional step is sufficient to burn all such vertices. Hence, $b_T(G_s) \leq b(G) + 1$.

Now, it remains to show that $b_T(G_s) > b(G)$. By way of contradiction, assume that $b_T(G_s) = b(G) = k$.

Claim 5.1. *Let $\tilde{B}_T = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ be an optimal burning sequence of H that contains only the vertices from $V \cup E$, possibly except b_k . Then for every $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists a vertex $v_i \in V$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $v_i \in S_j(\tilde{B}_T)$.*

Proof. We have that all the vertices in \tilde{B}_T other than b_k , are from $V \cup E$. Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be the least index such that $S_j(\tilde{B}_T) \subset L \cup E' \cup E$. Suppose the claim is false. Then $2 \leq j \leq k$. Now, we obtain a vertex sequence $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1})$ from \tilde{B}_T as follows. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$, if $b_i \in V$, then assign $a_i = b_i$. Otherwise, we have $b_i \in E$. Then a_i is a neighbor of $b_i \in V$ which is present in $S_i(\tilde{B}_T)$; if such a vertex does not exist, then a_i is any vertex in V present in $S_i(\tilde{B}_T)$. One can verify that if we burn H as per A , then at the end of $j-1$ steps, any unburned vertex is in $L \cup E' \cup E$. Hence, A is a burning sequence of G with $|A| = j-1 < k$, a contradiction to $b(G) = k$. \square

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $X_i = \{v_i, l_i, e'_i\}$. Observe that any burning sequence of H can not contain all three vertices in X_i as the vertex that appears at the last in the burning sequence of H will not be unburned at the beginning of that step.

Claim 5.2. *There exists an optimal burning sequence of H that contains only the vertices from $V \cup E$ in the first $k-1$ positions.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{B}_T = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ be an optimal burning sequence of H . We modify \tilde{B}_T with a burning sequence A in the following way. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, suppose for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, there exists a vertex $x \in X_i \setminus \{v_i\}$ in the j^{th} position in \tilde{B}_T . If $v_i \in S_{j-1}(\tilde{B}_T)$, then add v_i into A . Otherwise, add any vertex in $(V \cup E) \cap S_{j-1}(\tilde{B}_T)$ into A . Note that such a vertex exists since $b(H) = k$. Observe that the replacement of l_i or e'_i by v_i is advantageous from the burning point of view since $N_H^r[l_i] \subset N_H^r[v_i]$ and $N_H^r[e'_i] \subset N_H^r[v_i]$ for any r and any i . Note that these replacements not only maintain the length of the sequence but also ensure the validity of the burning sequence of H . One can see that A satisfies the claim. \square

Let $B_T = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$ be an optimal burning sequence of H such that for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, we have $b_i \in V \cup E$. The existence of B_T follows from Claim 5.2.

Claim 5.3. $b_k \in L \cup E'$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then, by the choice of B_T , all the vertices in B_T are from $V \cup E$. By Claim 5.1, there exists a vertex $v_i \in V$ such that $v_i \in S_k(B_T)$. If $e'_i \in S_k(B_T)$, then l_i remains unburned even after k steps, and this is a contradiction since B_T is a burning sequence of H . Therefore, e'_i is burned in the first $k-1$ steps. Further, since $v_i \in S_k(B_T)$, there exists some $e_j \in E$ incident on v_i , which was burned in the first $k-2$ steps. This is a contradiction since $v_i \in S_k(B_T)$. Hence, the claim. \square

By Claim 5.2 and Claim 5.3, for the burning sequence B_T , we can infer that $b_i \in V \cup E$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ and $b_k \in L \cup E'$. Further, by Claim 5.1, there exists a vertex $v_j \in V$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $v_j \in S_k(B_T)$. Suppose $b_k \neq l_j$. Then as $v_j \in S_k(B_T)$, we have $e'_j \in S_k(B_T)$. This implies that l_j remains unburned even after k steps, a contradiction since B_T is a burning sequence of H . Hence, we have $b_k = l_j$. Suppose $v_p \in S_k(B_T)$ for some $v_p \in V$ with $p \neq j$. This implies that $e'_p \in S_k(B_T)$ since $e'_p, l_p \notin B_T$. Therefore, since $l_p \notin B_T$, we can conclude that l_p is unburned at the end of k steps, a contradiction as B_T is a burning sequence of H .

Thus, we have that v_j is the only vertex in $V \cap S_k(B_T)$. Since $v_j \in S_k(B_T)$, we have that $e'_j \in S_k(B_T)$. This implies that v_j and e'_j are inside the burning cluster of a single source, say $s \in V \cup E$. Observe that if a burning source is a vertex in $V \cup L$ (resp. $E \cup E'$), then the corresponding burning cluster

ends at vertices in $V \cup L$ (resp. $E \cup E'$). If $s \in V$, then since v_j and e'_j are in the burning cluster of s , l_j should also be in the burning cluster of s , a contradiction. Therefore, we have $s \in E$. If there exists some other vertex $e \in E \cup E'$ that is in the burning cluster of s , and $e \in S_k(B_T)$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V$ (neighbor of e in V present in the burning cluster of s) such that $v \in S_k(B_T)$ and $v \neq v_j$. But this can not happen since v_j is the only vertex in $V \cap S_k(B_T)$. Hence, the only vertex in $E \cup E'$ that is in the burning cluster of s and is burned in the k^{th} step is e'_j .

Now, we obtain a vertex sequence $B'_T = (b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-1})$ from B_T as follows. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, if $b_i \in V$, then assign $b'_i = b_i$. Otherwise, we have $b_i \in E$. Then b'_i is a neighbor of b_i in V which is closer to v_j (break the ties arbitrarily). Using the conclusion of the previous paragraph, one can verify that if we burn H as per B'_T , at the end of $k-1$ steps, any vertex that is unburned is in $L \cup E' \cup E$. Hence, B'_T is a burning sequence of G , a contradiction since $b(G) = k$ and $|B'_T| = k-1$.

Since we obtain a contradiction in all possible scenarios, we can conclude that $b_T(G_s) > b(G)$, which completes the proof. \blacksquare

Now, we consider the complexity of the total burning problem. For any class of graphs \mathcal{C} , let $\mathcal{C}_s = \{G_s : G \in \mathcal{C}\}$, where G_s is the spike graph of G . It follows from Lemma 5.5 that if the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for a graph class \mathcal{C} , then for any graph class \mathcal{C}' such that $\mathcal{C}_s \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ the total burning number problem is NP-complete for \mathcal{C}' . In particular, let \mathcal{C} be the collection of bounded degree trees (i.e., trees having their maximum degree bounded by a constant). Since the spike graphs of bounded degree trees are again bounded degree trees, we have $\mathcal{C}_s \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, as the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for bounded degree trees, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *The total burning problem is NP-complete for bounded degree trees. Equivalently, the BURNING NUMBER problem is NP-complete for the total graphs of bounded degree trees.*

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we study the BURNING NUMBER problem and two of its variants, namely, edge burning and total burning. First, we consider the complexity of the BURNING NUMBER problem and strengthen the existing hardness results on interval graphs and bounded degree three graphs, by showing that it remains NP-complete even when restricted to connected proper interval graphs and connected cubic graphs. We also provide an almost tight bound for the burning number on P_k -free graphs, which also have some interesting algorithmic consequences. Finally, we study two variants, namely edge burning and total burning, and establish their relationships with the classical BURNING NUMBER problem. We also evaluate the algorithmic complexity of the above variants in special graph classes. Two of our results imply that for any connected graph G , $b(G) - 1 \leq b_L(G) \leq b(G) + 1$ and $b(G) \leq b_T(G) \leq b(G) + 1$. As a further direction, it would be an interesting problem to characterize graphs for which the parameters $b(G)$, $b_L(G)$, and $b_T(G)$ coincide (or, pairwise coincide). Moreover, the *burning number conjecture* remains as an important open problem concerning graph burning.

References

- [1] Huseyin Acan, Andrea Collevecchio, Abbas Mehrabian and Nick Wormald, “On the push & pull protocol for rumour spreading.” In: *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC)*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York (2015), pp. 405–412.
- [2] Joan Adler and Uri Lev, “Bootstrap percolation: Visualizations and applications.” *Braz. J. Phys.*, 33(3), (2003), 641–644.
- [3] Noga Alon, “Transmitting in the n-dimensional cube.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 37, (1992), 9–11.

- [4] Noga Alon, Paweł Prałat and Nicholas Wormald, “Cleaning regular graphs with brushes.” *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23(1), (2009), 233–250.
- [5] Dhanyamol Antony, Anita Das, Shirish Gosavi, Dalu Jacob and Shashanka Kulamarva, “Spanning caterpillar in biconvex bipartite graphs.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 356, (2024), 32–36.
- [6] József Balogh, Béla Bollobás and Robert Morris, “Graph bootstrap percolation.” *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 41(4), (2012), 413–440.
- [7] Stéphane Bessy, Anthony Bonato, Jeannette C. M. Janssen, Dieter Rautenbach and Elham Roshanbin, “Burning a graph is hard.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 232, (2017), 73–87.
- [8] Stéphane Bessy, Anthony Bonato, Jeannette C. M. Janssen, Dieter Rautenbach and Elham Roshanbin, “Bounds on the burning number.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 235, (2018), 16–22.
- [9] Béla Bollobás, “Weakly k -saturated graphs.” In: *Beiträge zur Graphentheorie (Kolloquium, Manebach, 1967)*, Teubner, Leipzig (1968), pp. 25–31.
- [10] Anthony Bonato, “A survey of graph burning.” (2020), arXiv:2009.10642 [math.CO].
- [11] Anthony Bonato, Sean English, Bill Kay and Daniel Moghbel, “Improved bounds for burning fence graphs.” *Graphs Comb.*, 37(6), (2021), 2761–2773.
- [12] Anthony Bonato, Jeannette Janssen and Elham Roshanbin, “How to burn a graph.” *Internet Math.*, 12, (2016), 85–100.
- [13] Anthony Bonato and Shahin Kamali, “Approximation algorithms for graph burning.” In: *Theory and Applications of Models of Computation, TAMC 2019 Proceedings*, vol. 11436 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Cham (2019), pp. 74–92.
- [14] Anthony Bonato and Thomas Lidbetter, “Bounds on the burning numbers of spiders and pathforests.” *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 794, (2019), 12–19, Special Issue on Theory and Applications of Graph Searching.
- [15] Eglantine Camby and Oliver Schaudt, “A new characterization of P_k -free graphs.” *Algorithmica*, 75, (2016), 205–217.
- [16] Sandip Das, Subhadeep Ranjan Dev, Arpan Sadhukhan, Uma Kant Sahoo and Sagnik Sen, “Burning spiders.” In: *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics, CALDAM 2018 Proceedings*, vol. 10743 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Cham (2018), pp. 155–163.
- [17] Stephen Finbow and Gary MacGillivray, “The firefighter problem: A survey of results, directions and questions.” *Australas. J. Comb.*, 43, (2009), 57–78.
- [18] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability; A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman & Co. (1990).
- [19] Michael R. Garey, David S. Johnson and Larry Stockmeyer, “Some simplified NP-complete problems.” In: *Proceedings of the 1974 ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York (1974), pp. 47–63.
- [20] Barun Gorain, Arya T. Gupta, Swapnil A. Lokhande, Kaushik Mondal and Supantha Pandit, “Burning and w -burning of geometric graphs.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 336, (2023), 83–98.
- [21] Michaela Hiller, Arie M. C. A. Koster and Eberhard Triesch, *On the burning number of p -caterpillars*, vol. 5, chap. Graphs and Combinatorial Optimization: from Theory to Applications: CTW 2020 Proceedings. Springer, Cham (2021), pp. 145–156.
- [22] Tung-Yang Ho, Lih-Hsing Hsu and Ting-Yi Sung, “Transmitting on various network topologies.” *Networks*, 27(2), (1996), 145–157.

- [23] Heather Hulett, Todd G. Will and Gerhard J. Woeginger, “Multigraph realizations of degree sequences: Maximization is easy, minimization is hard.” *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 36(5), (2008), 594–596.
- [24] Remie Janssen, “The burning number of directed graphs: Bounds and computational complexity.” *Theory Appl. Graphs*, 7(1), (2020), Article 8.
- [25] Jung-Sing Jwo and Tai-Ching Tuan, “On transmitting delay in a distance-transitive strongly antipodal graph.” *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 51(5), (1994), 233–235.
- [26] Shahin Kamali, Avery Miller and Kenny Zhang, “Burning two worlds: Algorithms for burning dense and tree-like graphs.” In: *Theory and Practice of Computer Science, SOFSEM 2020 Proceedings*, vol. 12011 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Cham (2020), pp. 113–124.
- [27] Anjeneya Swami Kare and I. Vinod Reddy, “Parameterized algorithms for graph burning problem.” In: *Combinatorial Algorithms, IWOCA 2019 Proceedings*, vol. 11638 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Cham (2019), pp. 304–314.
- [28] Yasuaki Kobayashi and Yota Otachi, “Parameterized complexity of graph burning.” *Algorithmica*, 84, (2022), 2379–2393.
- [29] Max R. Land and Linyuan Lu, “An upper bound on the burning number of graphs.” In: *Algorithms and Models for the Web Graph, WAW 2016 Proceedings*, vol. 10088 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Cham (2016), pp. 1–8.
- [30] Huiqing Liu, Xuejiao Hu and Xiaolan Hu, “Burning number of caterpillars.” *Discret. Appl. Math.*, 284, (2020), 332–340.
- [31] Zhen Liu and Ting-Yi Sung, “Routing and transmitting problems in de Bruijn networks.” *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, 45(9), (1996), 1056–1062.
- [32] Dieter Mitsche, Paweł Prałat and Elham Roshanbin, “Burning graphs: a probabilistic perspective.” *Graphs Comb.*, 33, (2017), 449–471.
- [33] Dieter Mitsche, Paweł Prałat and Elham Roshanbin, “Burning number of graph products.” *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 746, (2018), 124–135.
- [34] Daniel Moghbel, *Topics in Graph Burning and Datalog*. Ph.D. Thesis, Ryerson University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (2020).
- [35] Debajyoti Mondal, Angelin Jemima Rajasingh, N. Parthiban and Indra Rajasingh, “APX-hardness and approximation for the k-burning number problem.” *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 932, (2022), 21–30.
- [36] Yukihiro Murakami, “The graph burning conjecture is true for trees without degree-2 vertices.” (2023), arXiv:2312.13972 [math.CO].
- [37] Paweł Prałat, “Sparse graphs are not flammable.” *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(4), (2013), 2157–2166.
- [38] Paweł Prałat, “Graphs with average degree smaller than $\frac{30}{11}$ burn slowly.” *Graphs Comb.*, 30(2), (2014), 455–470.
- [39] Elham Roshanbin, *Burning a Graph as a Model for the Spread of Social Contagion*. Ph.D. Thesis, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada (2016).
- [40] Douglas B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*. 2nd edn., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ (2001).