

Efficient Processing of Subsequent Densest Subgraph Query

Chia-Yang Hung and Chih-Ya Shen
s110062522@m110.nthu.edu.tw, chihya@cs.nthu.edu.tw

Department of Computer Science
National Tsing Hua University

Abstract. Dense subgraph extraction is a fundamental problem in graph analysis and data mining, aimed at identifying cohesive and densely connected substructures within a given graph. It plays a crucial role in various domains, including social network analysis, biological network analysis, recommendation systems, and community detection. However, extracting a subgraph with the highest node similarity is a lack of exploration. To address this problem, we studied the Member Selection Problem and extended it with a dynamic constraint variant. By incorporating dynamic constraints, our algorithm can adapt to changing conditions or requirements, allowing for more flexible and personalized subgraph extraction. This approach enables the algorithm to provide tailored solutions that meet specific needs, even in scenarios where constraints may vary over time. We also provide the theoretical analysis to show that our algorithm is $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation. Eventually, the experiments show that our algorithm is effective and efficient in tackling the member selection problem with dynamic constraints.

Keywords: dense subgraph extraction · dynamic constraint member selection · graph analysis · approximation algorithms

1 Introduction

Graph-based data mining has become a prominent research focus in the field of data science. With the need to represent data existence using graph structures in domains such as biology, chemistry, social networks, and financial systems, graph mining holds immense value. The task of finding or labeling specific types of subgraph structures within a graph is a fundamental problem in discrete mathematics and finds extensive applications in the field of graph mining.

The utilization of graph mining is widespread across various domains. In biology, it can be used to analyze protein-protein interaction networks or gene regulatory networks, enabling the identification of functional modules or disease-related pathways. In chemistry, graph mining techniques are employed to discover molecular structures or chemical compounds with specific properties. In social networks, graph mining aids in understanding the dynamics of communities, identifying influential users, and detecting patterns of interaction. In financial systems, it can help analyze complex networks of transactions and identify fraudulent activities or systemic risks.

Among the structures analyzed by researchers, there is a problem known as the Member Selection problem (MSP). The objective of this problem is to develop an algorithm that can find, within polynomial time, an induced subgraph in a given graph with the highest average similarity among its nodes. However, it has been proven that this problem is NP-hard and inapproximable, meaning it is computationally challenging to find an optimal solution in a reasonable amount of time.

The NP-hardness of the subgraph selection problem implies that it belongs to a class of computationally difficult problems for which no known efficient algorithm exists. As a result, researchers often resort to heuristic or approximation algorithms to tackle this problem. These algorithms aim to provide reasonably good solutions within a reasonable amount of time, even though they may not guarantee an optimal solution.

Indeed, approximation algorithms have been proposed that demonstrate the ability to find approximate solutions for the MSSG problem. The algorithm offers solutions that are reasonably close to the optimal solution. However, in the long run, repeatedly running the same algorithm for different requirements on the same graph may lead to unnecessary time wastage. Therefore, it is desirable to minimize the time overhead associated with running the algorithm for different needs on the same graph. For instance, consider a social networking platform that aims to form interest-based communities or discussion groups for its users. Each host has specific preferences and constraints regarding the size and composition of the group they want to be a part of. However, these preferences can change over time as hosts discover new interests or undergo personal growth. Additionally, the platform may introduce new features or algorithms to enhance the group formation process, leading to evolving constraints and requirements. In such a dynamic environment, the Dynamic Constraint Member Selection Problem (DCMSP) becomes crucial. DCMSP focuses on adapting to changing size and similarity constraints when forming these interest-based groups.

By incorporating dynamic constraint management algorithms, the platform can dynamically adjust the group composition to match the evolving preferences and constraints of its users.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- The Problem Formulation: This paper introduces the Dynamic Constraint Member Selection Problem (DCMSP), which addresses the challenges posed by diverse requirements and complexities. DCMSP aims to tackle the ever-changing demands and constraints in the process of member selection.
- Complexity Analysis: To tackle the efficiency of dynamic need on different situation, our algorithm has been proved that it is also a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation algorithm.
- Experimental Evaluation: The paper conducts experiments using real datasets to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm and compare the computation time to other baselines. Through rigorous evaluation and comparison, the algorithm demonstrates superior performance and effectiveness in addressing the problem at hand.

2 Related Works

2.1 Dense Subgraph Extraction

In recent years, the extraction of dense subgraphs from large graphs plays a crucial role in various application domains. There has been significant interest in studying various complex networks such as the World Wide Web, social networks, and biological networks. In the context of the Web graph, dense subgraphs can indicate thematic groups or even spam link farms [11]. In the financial domain, one application of extracting dense subgraphs includes the identification of price value motifs. This approach has been employed among other methods for analyzing financial data [4]. In order to confront this challenge, Huang et al. address the problem of searching for the closest community using a k -truss based community model [8]. Li et al. investigate the extraction of a set of k -core subgraphs aiming to maximize the minimum node weight [10]. In addition, a set of dense subgraph extraction problems were integrated with various factors, such as spatial [19,14,15], temporal [3], skills [18,12], and many others [9,7,16,17]. As a result, the problem of extracting dense subgraphs has garnered substantial attention.

2.2 Densest Subgraph Problem and Densest k -subgraph Problem

The Densest Subgraph Problem (DSP) is a branch of the broader field of dense subgraph extraction. In DSP, the focus is specifically on identifying the subset of vertices that maximizes the degree density. Specifically, DSP is a well-known formulation that seeks to identify a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V$ that maximizes the degree density of S . The degree density, denoted as $d(S)$, is defined as the ratio of the number of edges within S , denoted as $e[S]$, to the cardinality of S , denoted as $|S|$. The objective is to find the subset of vertices that achieves the highest degree density $d(S) = \frac{e[S]}{|S|}$. Andrew V. Goldberg’s groundbreaking contribution was the development of a polynomial time algorithm that utilizes a max flow technique to identify the maximum density subgraph [6]. Charikar’s work made a significant contribution to the advancement of fast algorithms for the Densest Subgraph (DSP) [2]. His research introduced influential developments that have greatly impacted the efficiency and effectiveness of algorithms designed for solving these problems.

On the other hand, size-constrained versions of the DSP have been extensively studied in the literature, as they offer practical utility in applications where the size of the solution must be controlled. Variants of DSP exist that impose specific size requirements on the output containing exactly k nodes. In formulation, densest k -subgraph problem intent to find a induced subgraph S that maximizes $d(S) = \frac{e[S]}{|S|}$ subject to $|S| = k$. Although DSP itself can be solved in polynomial time, the introduction of size constraints makes the problem computationally challenging. U. Feige et al. developed a polynomial time algorithm which approximation ratio is n^δ , where δ is a constant approximately equal to $\frac{1}{3}$ [5]. Bhaskara et al. have proposed another algorithm that achieves an approximation ratio of $\mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{1}{4}})$. Their algorithm is also inspired by studying an average case version of the problem, specifically focusing on differentiating between random graphs and random graphs with planted dense subgraphs. In specific, their algorithms involve a clever approach of counting appropriately defined trees of constant size within the graph G . By utilizing these counts, they are able to identify the vertices that belong to the dense subgraph. These advancements contribute to the efficiency and effectiveness of solving the size-constrained DSP.

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we first provide some background material on graphs and the Member Selection Problem. Let one heterogeneous graph $G = (V, E, S)$, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is the set of n nodes, $E \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of edges,

and S represent the similarity edges between two nodes. A weight, denoted as $w \in (0, 1]$, is assigned to each similarity edge $s \in S$. In general, given an attributed graph $G = (V, E, X)$, where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the attributed matrix. We can model the $w[u, v] = w[v, u] = \sqrt{\sum_{\forall i} (1 - |X_{u,i} - X_{v,i}|)^2}$. Squared Euclidean Distance has been proved to be useful in grouping node according to their attributes [1]. Next, we introduce Member Selection Problem (MSP) proposed by Shen et al [13]. MSP aims to identify an induced subgraph $F \subseteq G$ that adheres to the following principles:

1. Maximize the average similarity between all nodes in F .
2. Ensure the group size $|F| > p$, where p is the predefined size constraint.
3. Every two nodes in F has edge, i.e., F is a complete graph.
4. Ensure that every node in F has a least one weight edge is larger than similarity constraint s .

For the first one of these, we first define the total weight function $W : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\forall F \subset G$

$$W(F) = \sum_{u,v \in F} w[u, v]$$

and next define the average similarity function $\alpha : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\alpha(F) = \frac{W(F)}{|F|}$. And the third principles can be formulated as $\forall v \in F, \exists u \in F$ such that $w[u, v] = w[v, u] > s$. Member Selection problem has been proved that it is a NP-Hard problem and inapproximable with any factor unless $P = NP$ [13].

Although MSP is inapproximable with any factor, Shen et al. still provide a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution called Support Group Member Selection (SGSEL) when we relaxed the constraint of complete graph in F [13]. SGSEL extracts a subgraph F starting from a 2-clique C_v with reference node v . Next, in the second step, SGSEL iteratively eliminates vertices within each C_v that have smallest incident similarity. To address the complete requirement of the Member Selection problem, SGSEL propose a post-processing procedure that customizes the 2-clique solution F^{APX} . This procedure ensures that the selected members in F^{APX} meet the desired complete criteria, further enhancing the objective value α of the MSP solution. As mentioned above, it has been theoretically proved that SGSEL is a 3-approximation solution on member selection problem without complete graph constraint. That is, let F^{SGSEL} be an approximation solution identity by SGSEL and F^{OPT} be the optimal solution in MSP, we have $\alpha(F^{SGSEL}) > \frac{\alpha(F^{OPT})}{3}$.




Fig. 1: Example for SGSEL

Example. Consider the example in figure 1. SGSEL iteratively selects nodes as reference node in graph. After SGSEL choosing C as reference node and expand its 2-clique $C_v = \{A, C, E, F\}$ as Γ_1 . In first iteration, A is chosen to remove because A has minimum $I_{\Gamma_1}(u), \forall u \in \Gamma_1$. Thus, $\Gamma_2 = \{C, E, F\}$. In next iteration, SGSEL remove C since $I_{\Gamma_2}(C) = 0.7$ is the smallest. This procedure repeats until $\Gamma_4 = \emptyset$. Then SGSEL take Γ_1 as Γ_C^* which has maximum objective value $\alpha(\Gamma_1) = 0.525, \forall \Gamma_i$. Afterward, SGSEL choosing next reference node D and continue above process. Eventually, SGSEL return the solution $F^{APX} = \{A, C, E, F\}$.

4 Problem Formulation

Due to the design of the MSP algorithm, it fails to consider the process under changing conditions. Moreover, as the number of requirements increases, it becomes evident that we need more flexible algorithms to effectively

address the challenges presented by different analysis scenarios. Thus, we describe the Dynamic Constraint Member Selection Problem (DCMSP). DCMSP is focus on the change of size or similarity constraint. Moreover, we present the Extended Dynamic Constraint Member Selection Problem (EDCMSP). EDCMSP incorporates the idea of divide and conquer, breaking down DCMSP into two subgraphs, to expedite the expansion of solutions for DCMSP. In this paper, we will discuss the part of size constraint p .

4.1 DCMSP

In order to better describe the dynamic constraints of parameter $p + \Delta p$, it is necessary to introduce a more comprehensive and flexible framework. Consider $G = (V, E, S)$, the objective of DCMSP is to find an induced subgraph $F \subset G$ that follow to the following three principles:

1. Maximize the average similarity $\alpha(F)$ between all nodes in F .
2. Ensure the group size $|F| > p + \Delta p$, where p is the size constraint and Δp is the **dynamic** variable.
3. Ensure that every node in F has a least one weight edge is larger than similarity constraint s .

As the parameter Δp decreases, it corresponds to a relaxation of the overall constraints. Therefore, there is no need for further discussion regarding this scenario.

4.2 EDCMSP

In EDCMSP, We can approach this problem from a differnet perspective. If we have already solved the member selection problem in graph G with size constraint p and identified an approximate solution F . We consider the remaining portion of the original graph $G \setminus F$ as a newly added graph. And next, treat the new constraints Δp as constraints specific to this newly added graph. In general, we can re-formulate this perspective as: Given two disjoint heterogeneous graph G_1, G_2 with same similarity constraint s and different size constraint p_1, p_2 , respectively. We intent to find a induced subgraph $F' \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$ such that (i) Maximize the average similarity $\alpha(F)$ between all nodes in F' . (ii) Ensure the group size $|F'| > p_1 + p_2$. (iii) Ensure that every node in F has a least one weight edge is larger than similarity constraint s . Note that F and $G \setminus F$ might have some edges, but G_1 and G_2 not. To tackle this, we might manually add some edges between G_1 and G_2 .

5 Algorithm Design

Although SGSEL has been proven to be able to identify an induced subgraph that serves as a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution for the member selection problem [13], there are certain limitations and considerations that need to be taken into account. Here we propose the algorithm called Dynamic Constrains member SElection (DCSEL) aim to solve the DCMSP efficiently. DCSEL first extract an induced subgraph F_1 by modified SGSEL, which eliminate the two cliques expansion in SGSEL. According to DCMSP requirements, when the size constraint p increases, DCSEL will search F_2 in $G \setminus F_1$. And then, DCSEL concatenates two subgraph and add some nodes which one of two endpoints is inside $F_1 \cup F_2$.

Specifically, DCSEL greedily removes nodes based on their total similarity $W(u)$ and records the best subgraph at the current size. While the size constraint p decreasing, DCSEL check that is there exists any subgraph F' such that $|F_1| > |F'| > p$ and $\alpha(F') > \alpha(F_1)$. Note that $|F_1|$ must larger than $|F|$, otherwise, F' must return in first step. On the other hand, as the size constraint p gradually increases to become larger than F_1 , DCSEL will automatically search for F_2 in $G \setminus F$ and merge the two subgraphs together. And optional, greedily select edges which one of the two end points is in $F_1 \cup F_2$. Note we call the last procedure is optional because we don't want the last procedure damage our objective value $\alpha(F_1 \cup F_2)$.

6 Theoretical Analysis

In this section, we will discuss the approximation ratio of our algorithm on EDCMSP. First, we define some notation in our proof. Next, we will discuss the problem in three cases. Last, we will show that our algorithm gives a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution for both EDCMSP and DCMSP.

In order to facilitate the subsequent proof and better elucidate our arguments, we need to define the following symbols: (i) F_i is the $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution of EDCMSP on the graph G_i while considering the size constraint p_i and the similarity constraint s . (ii) OPT_i is the optimal solution of EDCMSP on the graph G_i . (iii) \mathbb{F} is the optimal solution of EDCMSP on the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$ while considering the size constraint $p_1 + p_2$ and the similarity constraint

Algorithm 1 DCSEL**Input:** Graph $G = (V, E, S)$, similarity constraint s , and size constraint $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ **Output:** 3-Approximation solution F

```

1:  $A = \{-1, \dots, -1\}, B = \{\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset\}$   $\triangleleft$  A, B denoted the objective value and optimal solution corresponding to their size.
2:  $F_1, A, B \leftarrow$  Modified SGSEL( $G, s, p_1$ )
3: for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $k$  do
4:   if  $p_i - p_{i-1} < 0$  then
5:     if  $\exists F \in B, \alpha(F) > \alpha(F_{i-1})$  then
6:        $F_i \leftarrow F$ 
7:     else
8:        $F_i \leftarrow F_{i-1}$ 
9:     continue;
10:  if  $p_i < |F_{i-1}|$  then
11:     $F_i \leftarrow F_{i-1}$ 
12:    Continue;
13:   $F_i \leftarrow$  Modified SGSEL( $G \setminus F_{i-1}, s, p_i - p_{i-1}$ )  $\cup F_{i-1}$ 
14:  while  $I_{F_i}(u_i) > \alpha(F_i)$  do
15:     $F_i \leftarrow F_i \cup \{u_i\}$ 
16: return  $F_k$ 

```

s. (iv) \mathbb{F}_i refers to the set of nodes in \mathbb{F} that belong to G_i . i.e., $\mathbb{F}_1 \subset G_1$ and $\mathbb{F}_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. (v) \mathbb{E} represents the collection of edges that have their two endpoints in different graphs. In other words, \mathbb{F} can be decomposed into three components, namely $\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2$, and \mathbb{E} . This observation inspires us to establish individual bounds for each of these components.

Algorithm 2 Modified SGSEL**Input:** Graph $G = (V, E, S)$, similarity constraint s , and size constraint p **Output:** 3-Approximation solution F

```

1:  $F^{APX} \leftarrow \emptyset$   $\triangleleft$  Initialize the approximation solution F.
2:  $F \leftarrow V$ 
3:  $A = \{-1, \dots, -1\}, B = \{\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset\}$ 
4: while  $F \neq \emptyset$  do
5:    $v \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in F} I_F(u)$   $\triangleleft$   $I_F(u)$  is defined as the sum of the edge weights between vertex  $u$  and all other vertices  $t$  in  $F$ .
6:    $F \leftarrow F \setminus \{v\}$ 
7:   if  $\alpha(F) > \alpha(F^{APX})$  then
8:      $F^{APX} \leftarrow F$ 
9:   if  $\alpha(F) > A[|F|]$  then
10:     $A[|F|] \leftarrow \alpha(F)$ 
11:     $B[|F|] \leftarrow F$ 
12: return  $F^{APX}, A, B$ 

```

Lemma 1. Suppose $\alpha(\mathbb{F}) \leq \alpha(OPT_1)$ and $\alpha(\mathbb{F}) \leq \alpha(OPT_2)$. Then $F_1 \cup F_2$ is a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution of EDCMSP. i.e., $\alpha(F_1 \cup F_2) \geq \frac{1}{3}\alpha(\mathbb{F})$.

Proof. This can easily prove by analysis the relationship between $F_1 \cup F_2$ and \mathbb{F} .

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(F_1 \cup F_2) &= \frac{W(F_1) + W(F_2) + \Delta}{|F_1| + |F_2|} > \frac{W(F_1) + W(F_2)}{|F_1| + |F_2|} \\ &> \frac{\frac{1}{3}\alpha(OPT_1)|F_1| + \frac{1}{3}\alpha(OPT_2)|F_2|}{|F_1| + |F_2|} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{3}\alpha(\mathbb{F})|F_1| + \frac{1}{3}\alpha(\mathbb{F})|F_2|}{|F_1| + |F_2|} = \frac{1}{3}\alpha(\mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Delta > 0$ is the total edge weight whose two endpoint in two different graphs G_1 and G_2 . The third inequality is because $W(F_1) = \alpha(F_1)|F_1| \geq \frac{1}{3}\alpha(OPT_1)|F_1|$, so does $W(F_2) \geq \frac{1}{3}\alpha(OPT_2)|F_2|$.

Lemma 2. Suppose we have two disjoint subgraph of graph G , say A and B . If $\alpha(A) < \alpha(B)$, then $\alpha(A) < \alpha(A \cup B)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A \cup B) &= \frac{W(A) + W(B) + \Delta_{AB}}{|A| + |B|} > \frac{\alpha(A)|A| + \alpha(B)|B|}{|A| + |B|} > \frac{\alpha(A)|A| + \alpha(A)|B|}{|A| + |B|} \\ &= \alpha(A) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Delta_{AB} > 0$ is the total edge weight whose two endpoint in two different graphs A and B .

Theorem 1. *Given a graph G with similarity constraint s and size constraint p , and a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution F_1 given by SGSEL. Given any Dynamic size constraint Δp , the DCSEL will return a solution with ratio $\frac{1}{3}$.*

Proof. First of all, if the dynamic constraint Δp is small than 0, it indicates a loosening of the overall constraints. Since the trimming process of original constraint $\{s, p\}$ also holds the ratio $\frac{1}{3}$. Thus, there is no necessity for additional deliberation on this scenario.

For $\Delta p > 0$, We can categorize all scenarios into three disjoint cases.

1. $\mathbb{F} \cap G_1 = \emptyset$, or say $\mathbb{F} \subseteq G_2$.
2. $\mathbb{F} \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, or say $\mathbb{F} \subseteq G_1$.
3. $\mathbb{F} \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{F} \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$.

WLOG, if $\mathbb{F} \cap G_1 = \emptyset$, then we have $\alpha(\mathbb{F}) \leq \alpha(OPT_2)$. This is because $\mathbb{F} \subseteq G_2$ and $|\mathbb{F}| > p_2$. Follow the definition of OPT_2 , $\alpha(\mathbb{F})$ must smaller than $\alpha(OPT_2)$. If $\alpha(\mathbb{F}) \leq \alpha(OPT_1)$, we have proved in lemma 1. Thus, we can suppose $\alpha(\mathbb{F}) > \alpha(OPT_1)$. On the other hand, for all node u belong to graph G_1 , we can deduce that $I_{\mathbb{F}}(u) < \alpha(\mathbb{F})$. If not, $\alpha(\mathbb{F} \cup \{u\}) = \frac{W(\mathbb{F}) + I_{\mathbb{F}}(u)}{|\mathbb{F}| + 1} = \frac{|\mathbb{F}|}{|\mathbb{F}| + 1} \alpha(\mathbb{F}) + \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}| + 1} I_{\mathbb{F}}(u) > \frac{|\mathbb{F}|}{|\mathbb{F}| + 1} \alpha(\mathbb{F}) + \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}| + 1} \alpha(\mathbb{F}) = \alpha(\mathbb{F})$ which imply $\mathbb{F} \cup \{u\}$ is better than $\mathbb{F} \not\ll$. Thus, this suggest us can greedily choose the node $t \in G_2$ by $I_{F_2}(t) > \alpha(F_2)$ until satisfy the size constraint $p_1 + p_2$. Since $\mathbb{F} \subseteq G_2$, there must exists enough node that can form the approximation solution.

Now, we consider the third case: $\mathbb{F} \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{F} \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_1 \cup \mathbb{F}_2 \cup \mathbb{E}$. As mention before, we will estimate the upper bound each by each. First, without loss of generality, we show $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_1) < 3\alpha(F_1)$. If $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_1) \leq \alpha(OPT_1)$. By the property of F_1 , we have $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_1) \leq \alpha(OPT_1) < 3\alpha(F_1)$. on the other hand, we suppose $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_1) > \alpha(OPT_1)$. If $\mathbb{F}_1 \cap \alpha(OPT_1) = \emptyset$, then $\mathbb{F}_1 \cup \alpha(OPT_1)$ form a solution better than optimal solution $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_1)$ by lemma 2, which lead to contradiction. If $\mathbb{F}_1 \cap \alpha(OPT_1) \neq \emptyset$, say $\mathbb{F}_1 \cap OPT_1 = R$ and $\mathbb{F}_1 \setminus OPT_1 = R'$. For any subset U of R' , we can claim that $\frac{I_R(U)}{|U|} = \alpha(U) < \alpha(OPT_1)$. Otherwise, $OPT_1 \cup U$ will form an optimal solution on G_1 , which lead to contradiction. Next, we discover that there exists a subset V belong to $OPT_1 \setminus \mathbb{F}_1$ such that $I_R \setminus V(V) > I_R \setminus V(R')$, where $|V| = |R'|$. If not, replacing R' with V can yield another optimal solution, which lead to contradiction. Finally, we can use this V to form a better component in EDCMSP, say $\mathbb{F}_1 \cup V$, which leads a contradiction. Similarly, we can say $\alpha(\mathbb{F}_2) < 3\alpha(F_2)$. Last of all, we are going to show that our algorithm picking a node set, denoted by Δ , is an upper bound of $W(\mathbb{E})$. Since Δ is composed by greedily picking up the node in those which one of the node has already in F_1 , but not F_2 , and vice versa. Thus, we set a stopping criteria that can bound $\frac{1}{3}W(\mathbb{E})$ and not decrease the average similarity. Therefore, each component of \mathbb{F} is bound by our algorithm selected subgraph. In other words, our algorithm is a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation algorithm.

Lemma 3. *Given a graph G with similarity constraint s and size constraint p , and a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution F_1 given by SGSEL. If Dynamic similarity constraint $\Delta s > 0$, then DCSEL will return a solution with ratio $\frac{1}{3}$.*

Proof. Let U be the set of nodes whose edges similarity are all lower than $s + \Delta s$ in G . i.e., our search space of algorithm reduced to $G \setminus U$. Since the increment of similarity constraint eliminate nodes whose has lower edge similarity, thus, it doesn't impact the optimal solution. However, there might be some nodes are in both U and F_1 . If $|F_1 \setminus U| \geq p$, we don't need to modify our result. $F_1 \setminus U$ still hold the ratio $\frac{1}{3}$ and the two constraints of our member selection problem. On the other hand, if $|F_1 \setminus U| < p$, we need run our DCSEL again to generate approximation solution F . In this step, the ratio is still hold, since the procedure of new F is same as the initial constraints $\{|F_1 \setminus U|, s + \Delta s\}$, size constraint and similarity constraint respectively, and then with dynamic constraint $\Delta p = p - |F_1 \setminus U|$.

Lemma 4. *Given a graph G with similarity constraint s and size constraint p , and a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution F_1 given by SGSEL. If Dynamic similarity constraint $\Delta s < 0$, then DCSEL will return a solution with ratio $\frac{1}{3}$.*

Proof. Let U be the set of nodes whose edges similarity are all higher than $s + \Delta s$, but lower than s in graph G . i.e., $\forall u \in U, s + \Delta s < e(u) < s$. Since U can be seen as a new search space of DCSEL, we can easily run the DCSEL once, adding some nodes to F_1 from $(G \setminus F_1) \cup U$ to form new approximation solution F . The ratio is hold because the procedure of new F is same as the initial constraints $\{p, s + \Delta s\}$.

Theorem 2. *Given a graph G with similarity constraint s and size constraint p , and a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution F_1 given by SGSEL. Given any Dynamic similarity constraint Δs , the DCSEL will return a solution with ratio $\frac{1}{3}$.*


Proof. The lemma 3 and lemma 4 demonstrate the all cases of similarity constraint adjustment.

7 Extra Nodes of Graph Adjustment


In order to deal with the constantly changing node instances in the real-world network, we have also discussed the scenarios involving changes to nodes on the graph. The problem can be formulated by the following statement:

Theorem 3. *Giving a graph G with similarity constraint s and size constraint p , and a $\frac{1}{3}$ -approximation solution F_1 given by SGSEL. If there exists another graph G' , then our DCSEL algorithm can find an approximation solution with approximation ratio $\frac{1}{3}$ on $G \cup G'$.*


Proof. Since G' can be seen as a new search space of DCSEL, we can easily run the DCSEL, which adding some nodes to F_1 from $(G \cup G') \setminus F_1$ to form new approximation solution F . The ratio is hold because the procedure of F is same as the initial constraints $\{p, s\}$ on $G \cup G'$.



(a) Dataset: Cora



(b) Dataset: Citeseer




(c) Dataset: Pubmed


Fig. 2: Size constraint p monotonic increase

8 Experiments


In this section, we testify the effectiveness of our DCSEL algorithm, we compare it with four baselines. Our experiment is conducted on R9-5900x, RTX 3090 Ti, 4×16 GB memory, and Ubuntu 22.04.



(a) Dataset: Cora



(b) Dataset: Citeseer



(c) Dataset: Pubmed

Fig. 3: Size constraint p monotonic decrease

8.1 Datasets

We employ three widely-adopted benchmark datasets for our experiment. Cora, Citeseer, and Pubmed are three citation network dataset. Node represent documents and edges represent citation links. Node features of Cora and Citeseer are documents encoded by bag-of words feature vector, while the features of Pubmed are TF/IDF weighted word frequency. Their statistic is summarized in Table 1

8.2 Baseline

To demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed approach, we compare our DCSEL with other four methods, including SGSEL, Random, Degree, and Average. (I) SGSEL, which was introduced in Section 3 in detail. (II) Random. Randomly remove nodes in each iteration and return maximum average similarity subgraph in whole epoch. (III) Degree. Eliminate nodes based on their average incident similarity, i in each iteration, and then calculate the maximum average similarity throughout the entire epoch. (IV) Average. In each iteration, remove nodes based on their average incident similarity, i.e., $\frac{I_{R_i}(u)}{|\mathcal{N}(u)|}$, and then calculate the maximum average similarity over the entire epoch.

Table 1: Dataset Statistics

Dataset	#Nodes	#Edges	#Features
Cora	2,485	5,069	1,433
Citeseer	2,110	3,668	53,703
Pubmed	19,717	44,324	500

8.3 Result

We evaluate our method under three different size constraint p changes, including (i) monotonic increase, in figure 2 (ii) monotonic decrease, in figure 3 (iii) random select, in figure 4. We first compare DCSEL with other four baselines. We can find out that DCSEL achieve objective value as high as SGSEL in most scenario. This is because DCSEL has same procedure as SGSEL in beginning, thus it can extract the same induced graph. Although our proposed DCSEL is concatenate two induced subgraph, experiment result show DCSEL can find the approximation solution properly. In addition, our algorithm processes dynamic constraint member selection problem efficiently. This might because F_1 is large enough, i.e., $|G \setminus F_1| \ll |F_1|$. This observation explain that how does DCSEL work efficiently.

References

- Abramowitz, J.S., Franklin, M.E., Schwartz, S.A., Furr, J.M.: Symptom presentation and outcome of cognitive-behavioral therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology* **71**(6), 1049 (2003)
- Charikar, M.: Greedy approximation algorithms for finding dense components in a graph. In: *Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Approximation Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization*. p. 84–95. APPROX '00, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (2000)
- Chen, Y.L., Yang, D.N., Shen, C.Y., Lee, W.C., Chen, M.S.: On efficient processing of group and subsequent queries for social activity planning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **31**(12), 2364–2378 (2018)
- Du, X., Jin, R., Ding, L., Lee, V.E., Thornton, J.H.: Migration motif: A spatial - temporal pattern mining approach for financial markets. In: *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. p. 1135–1144. KDD '09, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1145/1557019.1557142>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/1557019.1557142>
- Feige, U., Peleg, D., Kortsarz, G.: The dense k-subgraph problem. *Algorithmica* **29**, 410–421 (2001)
- Goldberg, A.V.: Finding a maximum density subgraph (1984)
- Hsu, B.Y., Shen, C.Y., Chang, M.Y.: Wmego: Willingness maximization for ego network data extraction in online social networks. In: *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. pp. 515–524 (2020)
- Huang, X., Lakshmanan, L.V.S., Yu, J.X., Cheng, H.: Approximate closest community search in networks (2015)
- Huang, Y.L., Shen, C.Y., Shieh, S., Wang, H.J., Lin, C.C.: Provable secure aka scheme with reliable key delegation in umts. In: *2009 Third IEEE International Conference on Secure Software Integration and Reliability Improvement*. pp. 243–252. IEEE (2009)
- Li, R.H., Qin, L., Yu, J.X., Mao, R.: Influential community search in large networks. *Proc. VLDB Endow.* **8**(5), 509–520 (jan 2015). <https://doi.org/10.14778/2735479.2735484>, <https://doi.org/10.14778/2735479.2735484>
- Saito, H., Toyoda, M., Kitsuregawa, M., Aihara, K.: A large-scale study of link spam detection by graph algorithms. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Adversarial Information Retrieval on the Web*. p. 45–48. AIRWeb '07, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1145/1244408.1244417>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/1244408.1244417>
- Shen, C.Y., Huang, L.H., Yang, D.N., Shuai, H.H., Lee, W.C., Chen, M.S.: On finding socially tenuous groups for online social networks. In: *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. pp. 415–424 (2017)
- Shen, C.Y., Shuai, H.H., Yang, D.N., Lan, Y.F., Lee, W.C., Yu, P.S., Chen, M.S.: Forming online support groups for internet and behavior related addictions. In: *Proceedings of the 24th ACM International on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. p. 163–172. CIKM '15, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2806416.2806423>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/2806416.2806423>
- Shen, C.Y., Yang, D.N., Huang, L.H., Lee, W.C., Chen, M.S.: Socio-spatial group queries for impromptu activity planning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **28**(1), 196–210 (2015)
- Shen, C.Y., Yang, D.N., Lee, W.C., Chen, M.S.: Activity organization for friend-making optimization in online social networks. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **34**(1), 122–137 (2020)
- Shuai, H.H., Yang, D.N., Philip, S.Y., Shen, C.Y., Chen, M.S.: On pattern preserving graph generation. In: *2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on Data Mining*. pp. 677–686. IEEE (2013)
- Yang, C.H., Shen, C.Y.: Enhancing machine learning approaches for graph optimization problems with diversifying graph augmentation. In: *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. pp. 2191–2201 (2022)

18. Yang, C.H., Shuai, H.H., Shen, C.Y., Chen, M.S.: Learning to solve task-optimized group search for social internet of things. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **34**(11), 5429–5445 (2021)
19. Yang, D.N., Shen, C.Y., Lee, W.C., Chen, M.S.: On socio-spatial group query for location-based social networks. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. pp. 949–957 (2012)






Fig. 4: Size constraint p randomly selected